Assessment of Knowledge on Human Monkeypox Virus among General Population in Bangladesh: A Nationwide Cross-sectional Study

Sudipta Deb Nath[1¶], A.M.Khairul Islam[2¶], Koushik Majumder[3&], Fahmida Hoque Rimti[3&], Jyoti Das[4], Mustari Nailah Tabassum[3], Arefin Naher Oishee[5], Tarannum Mahmood[3], Monisha Paul[6], Muntasrina Akhter[7], Alok Bijoy Bhadra[8], Fariha Hoque Rimu[9], Snahasish Chakraborty[10], Preetom Shom[11], Sirajum Monira Nosaibah[12], Md Ashikur Rahman[4], Ahmed Safwan Khan[13], Anika Anjum[5], Sushmita Khan[14], M. Mahbub Hossain[15], Mohammad Delwer Hossain Hawlader[4].

1. Department of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh
2. International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,b), Dhaka, Bangladesh
3. Chittagong Medical College, Chattogram, Bangladesh
4. Department of Public Health, North South University, Dhaka, Bangladesh
5. M Abdur Rahim Medical College, Dinajpur, Bangladesh
6. Khulna Medical College, Khulna, Bangladesh
7. Public Health Professional Development Society (PPDS), Dhaka, Bangladesh
8. Sheikh Hasina Medical College, Habiganj, Bangladesh
9. University of Rochester Medical Center, New York, USA
10. Rangamati Medical College, Bangladesh
11. Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science & Technology University, Dinajpur, Bangladesh
12. Sylhet MAG Osmani Medical College, Sylhet, Bangladesh
13. Sher -E- Bangla Medical College, Bangladesh
14. Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi, Bangladesh
15. Department of Decision and Information Sciences, C.T. Bauer College of Business & Department of Health Systems and Population Health Sciences, Tilman J. Fertitta Family College of Medicine, University of Houston, TX, USA

Corresponding Author: A.M.Khairul Islam

Email: ikhairul963@gmail.com

¶ Sudipta Deb Nath and A.M.Khairul Islam are joint first authors

& Koushik Majumder and Fahmida Hoque Rimti are joint second authors

Abstract

Introduction: Monkeypox is a zoonotic Orthopox virus of the Poxviridae family. The general public should be well-informed about monkeypox to prevent the disease in the community effectively.

Objective: The study aimed to determine the public awareness level about monkeypox among the general community to provide information regarding future measures.

Materials and Method: The study's design was a cross-sectional observational study. From May to June 2022, a nationwide survey was conducted from Bangladesh's eight administrative divisions. Data were collected from participants through a semi-structured questionnaire, and using Cochran's formula determined the sample size. Data were collected via face-to-face interviews and analyzed using IBM SPSS v.25.

Result: Among the total of 1,711 respondents to the questionnaire, about 66.6% of the respondents (N=1139) were aware of monkeypox. Among those that were aware of monkeypox, almost two-thirds of the (66.6%) respondents had insufficient knowledge about the transmission pathways (0.71± 0.73), vaccination (0.09±0.27), and the signs and symptoms of monkeypox (1.91±1.50). The majority of participants were unable to select the treatment of monkeypox (0.22±0.59). The factors affecting the knowledge level of monkeypox were educational status and occupation ($p<0.001$).

Conclusion: The general community has a minimal understanding of the spread of monkeypox and its prevention. This virus requires additional research on its epidemiology, ecology, and biology in endemic regions to be comprehended and prevented.

1. Introduction

A newly concerning name, monkeypox is a zoonotic disease caused by infection with the monkeypox virus, a member of the Orthopoxvirus genus (1,2). The virus was first detected in 1958 in the Cynomolgus monkey colony (1). Afterward, in 1971, the first monkeypox case was identified in the Democratic Republic of Congo [DRC], and following this, the disease became endemic in central and West Africa (2–4). However, the first incidence outside Africa occurred in the United States in 2003, caused by a rodent imported from Ghana (1–3). The detection of cases in the UK and Israel in 2018 and in Singapore in 2019 was documented accordingly (5,6). Since May of 2022, more than sixteen thousand cases and five fatalities have been reported in seventy-five nations, prompting the World Health Organization (WHO) to declare this a public health emergency of international significance (7). This new emergence is sporadic compared to its other presentations such as younger patients, higher prevalence in men, higher rates of sexual transmission, anogenital lesions, an incubation period of up to 21 days, infection without traveling to an endemic area, etc. (8–10).

This disease presents similar to smallpox, such as fever accompanied by headache, rash, back pain, malaise, and fatigue, except for the specific symptom of lymphadenopathy (1,2). Moreover, the vesiculopustular rash with all macular, papular, vesicular, and pustular stages makes the disease different from other vesiculopustular rash-like diseases (1,2).

The virus is transmitted through bushmeat handling, animal hosts, such as squirrels, rodents, and prairie dogs, infected oropharyngeal secretion, and direct contact (1,2,11). Several risk factors, such as the interaction between humans and infected animals, termination of smallpox vaccination, and increased globalization, make the disease a future global public health concern (1,12). In a cohort study in the Dominican Republic of Congo (DRC), smallpox-vaccinated people showed 85% capacity to prevent the infection (3,13). Consequently, the rising occurrence among the younger unprotected population raises concerns about the imminent spread of the human monkeypox virus (13). Although the case fatality rate of monkeypox is less, it may result in some critical complications (12,14).

Although the reason for re-emergence is still unknown, its broad range of animal hosts with high adaptation power is already making the virus alarming for the future (15). A report by the World Health Organization (WHO) revealed that a lack of information about the

monkeypox was one of the obstacles in preventing its re-emergence (16). Studies done on general practitioners revealed that only 10%(Indonesia), 18.6%(Saudi Arabia), and 27%(Italy) of the participants had sufficient knowledge about monkeypox (17–20). Another study in Saudi Arabia showed that 48% of the general population had sufficient knowledge about monkeypox (21). To limit the chance of the monkeypox virus spreading across the nation, the general public must be educated about the disease and know how to protect themselves. This study aims to measure the level of knowledge about monkeypox among the general population of Bangladesh to provide baseline information and comprehension of the necessary subsequent steps.

2. Methodology

2.1. Study design, settings, and ethics

This was an observational type of cross-sectional study and comprised participants from all the eight divisions (Barishal, Chattogram, Dhaka, Khulna, Mymensingh, Rajshahi, Rangpur, and Sylhet) of Bangladesh, marking it as a nationwide representative sample. The survey was conducted from May to June 2022, immediately after the confirmation of the ongoing outbreak of the human monkeypox virus this year. We followed the multi-stage sampling method to collect our data. Informed consent was taken from every participant. The anonymity and confidentiality were ensured by skipping the participant's name. Ethical clearance for the study was taken from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of North South University (2022/0R-NSU/IRB/0501).

2.2. Criteria of inclusion and exclusion

The criteria of inclusion for participants were 1) being a Bangladeshi resident, 2) being an adult (≥ 18 years old), and 3) providing consent. The exclusion criteria included- 1) being under 18 years old, 2) having any psychiatric illnesses and 3) incomplete surveys.

2.3. Sample size calculation

We determined our sample size using Cochran's formula (22). The formula is as below:

$$Ss = \frac{\left(z^2 \times \frac{p(1-p)}{e^2}\right)}{\left(1 + z^2 \times \frac{p(1-p)}{e^2 N}\right)} = 383$$

Where, N = Population Size, P = % picking a choice (0.05), e = margin of error (5%), z = z-score (95%- 1.96), Ss = Sample size

The calculated sample size is 384 for each of the eight divisions in Bangladesh. So, the total calculated sample size is 3,064. Within the short period and with limited logistic resources, we collected 1,711 data for this study.

2.4. Development and validation of the survey questionnaire

A 20-item semi-structured questionnaire was first developed following Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines and a study by Harapan H. *et al.* (16,17,23). Then, we ran a pilot study on 85 participants to check the validation of the questionnaire among the general population. Finally, the questionnaire was revised, upgraded, and divided into two sections to collect data. The first section consisted of socio-demographic variables, such as gender, age, education, and profession. The second section consisted of 12 questions regarding knowledge of the monkeypox outbreak, its signs, symptoms, prevention, and treatment. Moreover, we translated the questionnaire into Bengali for easy understanding.

2.5. Data Collection

All of the data was collected via face-to-face interviews. Ten data collectors collected data after one week of training regarding the data collection tools and measures for ensuring optimal participation. It took approximately 10 and 12 minutes to complete the questionnaire. The study's objective was described to all the participants in their native language (Bengali).

2.6. Study variables

For the questions regarding knowledge of monkeypox, participants were given 1 for each correct answer and 0 for each incorrect one. For the questions with more than one correct answer, each correct option was given 1 point. The mean score per question is demonstrated in Table 3, and the mean score difference concerning socio-demographic variable are in Table 4.

2.7. Statistical analysis

All the data analysis was done using IBM SPSS v.25. The illustration was prepared by Microsoft Power BI May 2022 Update (2.105.664.0). Descriptive analysis of the socio-demographic variables is shown in Table 1. Simple and multiple binary logistic regression was used to see the effects of demographic variables on being aware of monkeypox. Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients and Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness of Fit Test were performed to see the validity of the regression model. Results were presented as crude and adjusted odds ratios. Independent-Samples T-test and One-way ANOVA test were conducted to observe the mean score difference among the categories of the socio-demographic information. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Sociodemographic Information

In total, 1711 respondents completed the questionnaire. Men (N=979; 57.2%) made up the most of the cohort. More than one-third of the respondents (N=1102; 64.4 %) were between 18 and 35, and more than one-third indicated a graduate degree as their highest educational attainment (N=664; 38.8 %). Three-fourths of the participants reported living in an urban area (N=1284; 75.0%), as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Socio-demographic information of the participants

Socio-demographic information	**Category**	**Number (%)**
Age (in years) (N = 1711)	18-35	1102 (64.4%)
	36-50	349 (20.4%)
	Above 50	260 (15.2%)
Gender (N = 1711)	Male	979 (57.2%)
	Female	732 (42.8%)

Educational Status (N = 1711)	No formal education	142 (8.3%)
	Primary	107 (6.3%)
	Secondary	199 (11.6%)
	Higher secondary	346 (20.2%)
	Graduate	664 (38.8%)
	Postgraduate	253 (14.8%)
Occupation (N = 1711)	Unemployed/retired	97 (5.7%)
	Student	593 (34.7%)
	Homemaker	197 (11.5%)
	Daily worker	187 (10.9%)
	Service holder	307 (17.9%)
	Business	212 (12.4%)
	Health professionals	118 (6.9%)
Residence (N = 1711)	Rural	427 (25.0%)
	Urban	1284 (75.0%)

3.2. Awareness about the Monkeypox and the sources

About 66.6% of the respondents (N=1139) were aware of monkeypox while 38.3% (N=657) were unaware of such virus. The respondents who were aware of monkeypox also reported the source from which they obtained Human Monkeypox virus-related information. Most of the participants acquired their information from social media (N = 825; 48.2%) and the internet (N= 575; 33.6%). On the contrary, healthcare personnel (N=118; 1.5%) were the least influential (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Awareness of the respondents about monkeypox and their source of knowledge. Pie chart shows if respondents were previously aware about monkeypox or not. Bar chart shows respondents' source of knowledge about monkeypox.

3.3. Respondents' awareness about monkeypox

Gender, occupation, and place of residence had statistically significant effects on respondents' awareness of monkeypox. (Table 2) Male respondents were almost 1.5 times more likely than female respondents to have heard of monkeypox. (AOR: 1.4, 95% CI [1-1.8], p<0.001). (Table 2) Respondents having secondary level (AOR: 4, 95% CI [2.1- 7.4], p<0.001), higher secondary level (AOR: 8.1, 95% CI [4.2-15.4], p<0.001), graduate level (AOR: 11.2, 95% CI [5.9-21.4], p<0.001) and post-graduate level (AOR: 9.8, 95% CI [5.0-19.2], p<0.001) education was significantly associated with awareness and the odds of respondents being aware of this virus increased with their higher education level. Respondents from urban areas were more likely to be aware of monkeypox (AOR: 1.4, 95% CI [1.7-2.3], p<0.001). (Table 2)

Table 2: Factors affecting the awareness of the respondents' about monkeypox

Variables	Crude odds ratio (COR)	Range of COR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted odds ratio (AOR)	Range of AOR (95% CI)	P-value

Age (in years)						
18-35	2.7	2.0-3.5	<0.001*	1.3	0.9-1.9	0.118
36-50	1.2	0.9-1.7	0.193	1.3	0.9-1.9	0.207
Above 50 (Ref)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Gender						
Male	1.0	0.8-1.2	0.972	1.4	1-1.8	0.021*
Female (Ref)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Educational Status						
No formal education (Ref)		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Primary	3.0	1.6-5.8	0.001*	2.6	1.3-5.1	0.005*
Secondary	5.7	3.2-10.1	<0.001*	4.0	2.1-7.4	<0.001*
Higher secondary	15.4	8.8-26.7	<0.001*	8.1	4.2-15.4	<0.001*
Graduate	23.4	13.7-40.0	<0.001*	11.2	5.9-21.4	<0.001*
Post-graduate	18.5	10.4-32.9	<0.001*	9.8	5.0-19.2	<0.001*
Occupation						
Unemployed/retired (Ref)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Student	2.1	1.3-3.2	0.002*	1.2	0.7-2	0.533
Homemaker	0.7	0.4-1.1	0.090	0.9	0.5-1.7	0.772

Daily worker	0.2	0.1-0.3	<0.001*	0.6	0.3-1.1	0.094
Service holder	1.6	1.0-2.6	0.040*	1.1	0.6-1.8	0.829
Business	0.8	0.5-1.3	0.371	0.9	0.5-1.6	0.852
Health professionals	2.5	1.3-4.5	0.003*	1.4	0.7-2.6	0.337
Residence						
Rural (Ref)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Urban	2.8	2.2-3.5	<0.001*	1.7	1.4-2.3	<0.001*

∗P-value significant; CI: Confidence Interval.

3.4 Knowledge about monkeypox

A 12-item questionnaire was used to assess knowledge about monkeypox among those who were aware of the virus. The distribution of each knowledge item about monkeypox and the mean scores against each questions are presented in Table 3

Table 3: Respondents' knowledge score assesment of monkeypox by individual questions

Knowledge assessment	**Scoring (mean ± sd)**
1. Knowledge of monkeypox prevalence in Africa	0.66 ± 0.47
2. Knowledge regarding the outbreak of human monkeypox in Europe, the USA, UK.	0.61 ± 0.49
3. Knowledge of Human monkey pox cases in Bangladesh.	0.57 ± 0.50
4. Knowledge of Monkey pox as a viral disease.	0.68 ± 0.47
5. Knowledge regarding causes of monkeypox (squirrels, rat/mice, monkey)	0.71 ± 0.73
6. Knowledge of signs and symptoms of monkeypox.	1.91 ± 1.50

7. Knowledge of similar signs and symptoms of monkeypox and smallpox.	0.48 ± 0.50
8. Knowledge on the transmission of monkey pox.	0.86 ± 1.12
9. Knowledge on international travellers as a source of imported cases of monkeypox.	0.81 ± 0.39
10. Knowledge of prevention of monkey pox virus infection.	2.00 ± 1.53
11. Knowledge on vaccination against the monkey pox virus.	0.09 ± 0.27
12. Knowledge regarding the treatment of monkey pox virus infection.	0.22 ± 0.59

In response to the question about the prevalent region of monkeypox, the majority of the respondents answered correctly (0.66 ± 0.47), identifying Africa as the most prevalent region for monkeypox. Most participants (0.61 ± 0.49) correctly identified the outbreak region for monkeypox in Europe, the USA, and the UK. In regards to any cases of monkeypox in Bangladesh, respondents' knowledge about this item was insufficient (0.57 ± 0.50). The scoring for this item was (0.68 ± 0.47), indicating that the most of the respondents correctly identified monkeypox as a viral disease. In response to the question about the source of monkeypox, participants were able to choose one or more options from squirrels, rat/mice, and monkeys. As all of these animals may be responsible for causing monkeypox, the mean scoring of this item (0.71 ± 0.73) indicates that respondents are largely unaware of the sources of monkeypox (Table 3).

When asked about the signs and symptoms of monkeypox, participants could select one or more of the following: fever and headache, rash, muscle discomfort, swollen lymph node, and skin lesion. As all of these are signs and symptoms of monkeypox, the mean scoring of this item (1.91 ± 1.50) indicates that respondents are largely unaware of the signs and symptoms of monkeypox (Table 3). Most of the participants were unable to correctly identify that monkeypox and smallpox do indeed have similar symptoms (0.48 ± 0.50). When asked how monkeypox was transmitted, participants could select one or more alternatives from an animal bite or scratch, wild animal meat preparation/processing, significant respiratory, indirect contact with lesion material, and mother to fetus. As all of these are transmission pathways of monkeypox, the mean scoring of this item (0.86 ± 1.12) indicates that

respondents' knowledge level about the transmission pathways is largely insufficient. The scoring for this item was (0.81 ± 0.39), indicating that most of the respondents were aware that international travelers are a source of imported cases of monkeypox (Table 3).

In regards to how they would prevent monkeypox, participants were given the option of avoiding contact with sick animals that could harbor the virus, isolating infected people from others, avoiding direct contact with infected materials, or practicing good hand hygiene after contact with infected animals or humans. As all of these are prevention methods of monkeypox, the mean scoring of this item (2.00 ± 1.53) indicates that respondents' knowledge level about the transmission pathways is insufficient. The scoring for this item was (0.09 ± 0.27), indicating that most respondents were unaware of a vaccine. Most of the participants were unable to correctly identify the treatments for monkeypox (0.22 ± 0.59) (Table 3).

3.5. Factors that influence knowledge scores

In Table 4, the factors affecting the knowledge score were demonstrated. According to the findings, individuals with a higher degree of education have considerably higher mean knowledge scores about monkeypox, and the higher the education level, the higher the knowledge level was. Table-4

Table 4: Factors affecting participants' knowledge score regarding monkeypox.

Variables	**Mean ± sd**	**P-value**
Age (in years)		
18-35	9.68 ± 5.12	0.676
36-50	9.53 ± 5.32	
Above 50	9.24 ± 5.23	

Gender		
Male	9.51 ± 5.24	0.485
Female	9.73 ± 5.07	
Educational Status		
No formal education	4.35 ± 4.72	<0.001*
Primary	6.65 ± 4.54	
Secondary	7.51 ± 5.14	
Higher secondary	9.29 ± 5.19	
Graduate	10.09 ± 5.04	
Post-graduate	10.67 ± 4.89	
Occupation		
Unemployed/retired	9.61 ± 5.05	<0.001*
Student	9.24 ± 5.10	

Homemaker	7.60 ± 4.52	
Daily worker	6.46 ± 4.95	
Service holder	10.80 ± 4.78	
Business	8.50 ± 5.17	
Health professionals	13.38 ± 4.43	
Residence		
Rural	9.46 ± 5.42	0.683
Urban	9.63 ± 5.11	

∗P-value significant.

Respondents with a primary level of education had a higher mean knowledge score (6.65 ±4.54) than those without formal education (4.35 ±4.72). Compared to those with no formal education (4.35 ±4.72), those with a primary level of education had a higher mean knowledge score (6.65 ±4.54). The mean knowledge score of respondents with a higher secondary degree (9.29 ± 5.19) was greater than that of respondents with a secondary degree (7.51 ± 5.14) (Table 4). A higher mean knowledge score (10.67 ± 4.89) was also obtained by respondents with post-graduate degrees compared to those with graduate degrees (10.09 ± 5.04). Education and occupational factors significantly influenced the respondents' mean knowledge score ($p<0.001$). Among all of the occupations, healthcare professionals had the highest mean knowledge score (13.38 ± 4.43).

4. Discussion

The preliminary results of the study indicated that the general public lacked essential awareness and understanding of monkeypox. About 66.6% of the respondents were aware of monkeypox, while 38.3% were unaware of such virus and had never heard of monkeypox before completing the survey. Since monkeypox is a re-emerging infectious disease and no cases have ever been documented in Bangladesh or even South Asia, the widespread lack of awareness is not unexpected. Our findings contrast with those of a Malaysian one, which indicated that almost 95% of respondents were aware of dengue fever, another viral illness, during its epidemic, despite their lack of understanding of transmission and management (24). A pilot study in Karachi, Pakistan, was conducted among people that visited hospitals. The findings indicated that 90% of the participants were aware of the viral disease, among whom only 38.5% possessed adequate knowledge (25). Similarly, another survey from Pakistan indicated that only 35% of the adults from low and high socioeconomic groups possessed sufficient knowledge of dengue during the outbreak (26). Further investigation into this is needed for public health since it is crucial to comprehend why the endemic population throughout the globe is so clueless about a newly developing virus (27).

The news of potential Human Monkeypox virus cases in Bangladesh spread like wildfire on social media in the middle of this worldwide upheaval. On the other hand, the Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) refuted these assertions, stating that no case of monkeypox had yet been identified (28). Given the continual discovery of the virus and the reported deaths, there is an urgent need to understand the source better, the dynamics of transmission, and to give people the knowledge and assistance they require to safeguard themselves and others in various situations (29). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first nationwide survey in Bangladesh to look into the general public's awareness and understanding of the fundamentals, spread, transmission, symptoms, prevention, and treatment of Human Monkeypox virus infection.

Additionally, most research participants were generally ignorant of the virus's origins. Moreover, the degree of understanding regarding the transmission channels is also generally inadequate. The World Health Organization (WHO) study indicated that one of the difficulties in avoiding the re-emergence of monkeypox was a lack of information about monkeypox, which is supported by our findings (30). Although the majority of participants in

the survey could not identify that monkeypox and smallpox have comparable symptoms, almost all of the individuals identified that monkeypox is a viral illness that affects them. Nevertheless, owing to a lack of information, the general population of Bangladesh is mostly in the dark about the identity and diversity of viruses prevalent worldwide.

We also demonstrated that those who use the internet or social media as a source of information are more knowledgeable than their peers about monkeypox. This might be because social media and the internet make it simple for most individuals to access information that has been updated. This emphasizes the usefulness of the internet for health promotion, especially in the case of pandemics (31). Compared to other resources, online media have emerged as one of the primary and expedient sources for information access (32). These findings are similar to other studies performed in Egypt (33) and Ethiopia (34) that mentioned the internet and social media as the main information sources. Newspapers, local leaders, and healthcare professionals appear to be a less common source of knowledge regarding the ailment when compared to other media. As a result, the Bangladeshi health system may increase the involvement of community leaders and health extension workers to disseminate information to the populace efficiently.

In line with the majority of previous research done in developing countries (35,36), we observed that men had a higher awareness of this viral illness than females in our study. Consistent with previous research (36–38), we found that as participants' levels of education grew, so did their awareness of the spread of this virus. Furthermore, the knowledge of people from the urban area was 1.4% higher than that of their rural counterparts. One Chinese study's outcome (39) is consistent with this observation. The greater availability of mass media might explain this in urban areas, such as television, radio, and online social networks (40). The general public's level of knowledge in this field may also be influenced by the availability of suitable facilities to support health educators, including medical personnel and government officials.

Given that Bangladesh is a multi-ethnic nation with widely varying economic conditions, levels of education, and cultural practices, it is anticipated that the population's levels of knowledge would likewise vary significantly. When standard and uniform education and dissemination initiatives are espoused and applied, population sectors without internet access or who reside in areas where the fast escalation of transmission is less likely will also show

reduced knowledge. This is true even though good KAP was present in a sizeable portion of the sample.

The findings of this study may have several implications for public health policymaking and practice. First, the lack of accurate knowledge of monkeypox in the Bangladeshi population amidst a global public health crisis requires multipronged interventions that promote education and preventive health behavior. Community-based, social media and mass media interventions have been effective in health promotion among the Bangladeshi population in the past, which informs the potential of these media to promote Human Monkeypox virus knowledge and prevention (41,42). Second, healthcare providers and social workers need to work with multisectoral organizations to mobilize organizational resources that ensure optimal preparedness for potential Human Monkeypox virus outbreaks and associated health hazards in Bangladesh. Third, community stakeholders should be engaged in participatory community prevention of monkeypox and other infections that may affect individuals and families living in diverse Bangladeshi communities. In this process, exploring and utilizing context-specific knowledge would be critical for successful infection prevention. Fourth, situational analyses at the community, Upazila, district, and divisional level should be conducted in the context of monkeypox and how a potential outbreak may impact the current state of healthcare and social services. These insights, alongside other data sources, should be used to create a national-level data dashboard that informs real-time decision-making for the prevention of Human Monkeypox virus outbreaks and other infection outbreaks. News agencies and other public media can use such a database to generate public knowledge and disseminate the same. Lastly, policy-level efforts should be made proactively to mitigate the potential crises that may arise from an outbreak and lessons learned from COVID-19, and Human Monkeypox virus outbreaks in similar contexts should be analyzed to inform national and local actions preventing adverse health outcomes in the future.

5.Conclusion

This study suggested that the general people of Bangladesh lack sufficient knowledge about the source of infection and the dynamics of monkeypox transmission. Enhanced comprehension may slow down the pace of disease transmission or the end of an emerging outbreak. Therefore, considering the ongoing virus emergence, it is vital to offer the necessary knowledge and support to help people protect themselves and others. In light of the current global public health crisis, healthcare professionals, public health workers, and

healthcare policymakers should collaborate to establish a robust disease surveillance system, and implement appropriate policies and interventions that enable effective health education and preventive health behaviors to promote public awareness, increase the precautionary behaviors and mitigate the potential crisis.

6.Strengths and Limitations

The strength of the present study stems from the fact that it was one of the earliest analyses regarding the knowledge of the general people of Bangladesh on the global Human Monkeypox virus epidemic. As it is a preliminary study, we have been unable to reach out to all the areas in Bangladesh within a short span. Moreover, due to limited logistic support, the sample size was smaller compared to a nationwide study. In future research regarding monkeypox, more precise data collection might be followed.

Acknowledgements

N/A

Author Contribution:

Sudipta Deb Nath: Conceptualization, Data analysis
A.M.Khairul Islam: Data analysis, Writing- Original draft preparation
Koushik Majumder: Data analysis, Investigation
Fahmida Hoque Rimti: Writing- Original draft preparation, Visualization
Jyoti Das: Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Data curation
Mustari Nailah Tabassum: Writing- Reviewing and Editing
Arefin Naher Oishee: Methodology, Data curation
Tarannum Mahmood: Data curation, Writing- Original draft preparation
Monisha Paul: Data curation, Data analysis
Muntasrina Akhter: Methodology, Visualization
Alok Bijoy Bhadra: Conceptualization, Validation
Fariha Hoque Rimu: Data curation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing
Snahasish Chakraborty: Data curation, Investigation
Preetom Shom: Data curation, Visualization
Sirajum Monira Nosaibah: Methodology, Data curation
Md Ashikur Rahman: Data curation, Investigation
Ahmed Safwan khan: Conceptualization, Data curation

Anika Anjum: Data curation, Visualization

Sushmita khan: Data curation, Investigation

M. Mahbub Hossain: Methodology, Writing- Reviewing and Editing

Mohammad Delwer Hossain Hawlader: Supervision

7.References:

1. Damon IK. Status of human monkeypox: clinical disease, epidemiology and research. Vaccine. 2011 Dec 30;29(SUPPL. 4):D54–9.

2. Parker S, Nuara A, Buller RML, Schultz DA. Human monkeypox: an emerging zoonotic disease. http://dx.doi.org/102217/174609132117 [Internet]. 2007 Feb 1 [cited 2022 Aug 8];2(1):17–34. Available from: https://www.futuremedicine.com/doi/10.2217/17460913.2.1.17

3. Yinka-Ogunleye A, Aruna O, Dalhat M, Ogoina D, McCollum A, Disu Y, et al. Outbreak of human monkeypox in Nigeria in 2017–18: a clinical and epidemiological report. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019 Aug 1;19(8):872–9.

4. Damon IK, Roth CE, Chowdhary V. Discovery of Monkeypox in Sudan. https://doi.org/101056/NEJMc060792 [Internet]. 2006 Aug 31 [cited 2022 Aug 8];355(9):962–3. Available from: https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMc060792

5. Yong SEF, Ng OT, Ho ZJM, Mak TM, Marimuthu K, Vasoo S, et al. Imported Monkeypox, Singapore. Emerg Infect Dis [Internet]. 2020 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Aug 8];26(8):1826. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7392406/

6. Rao AK, Schulte J, Chen T-H, Hughes CM, Davidson W, Neff JM, et al. Monkeypox in a Traveler Returning from Nigeria — Dallas, Texas, July 2021. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep [Internet]. 2022 Apr 4 [cited 2022 Aug 8];71(14):509. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC8989376/

7. WHO Director-General declares monkeypox outbreak a public health emergency of international concern - PAHO/WHO | Pan American Health Organization [Internet].

[cited 2022 Aug 8]. Available from: https://www.paho.org/en/news/23-7-2022-who-director-general-declares-monkeypox-outbreak-public-health-emergency

8. Bragazzi NL, Kong JD, Mahroum N, Tsigalou C, Khamisy-Farah R, Converti M, et al. Epidemiological trends and clinical features of the ongoing monkeypox epidemic: A preliminary pooled data analysis and literature review. J Med Virol [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Aug 8]; Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/jmv.27931

9. Mileto D, Riva A, Cutrera M, Moschese D, Mancon A, Meroni L, et al. New challenges in human monkeypox outside Africa: A review and case report from Italy. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2022 Sep 1;49:102386.

10. Miura F, van Ewijk CE, Backer JA, Xiridou M, Franz E, Op de Coul E, et al. Estimated incubation period for monkeypox cases confirmed in the Netherlands, May 2022. Eurosurveillance [Internet]. 2022 Jun 16 [cited 2022 Aug 8];27(24):2200448. Available from: https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2022.27.24.2200448

11. Monkeypox virus: ecology and public health significance - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 8]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2853010/

12. Brown K, Leggat PA. Human Monkeypox: Current State of Knowledge and Implications for the Future. Trop Med Infect Dis 2016, Vol 1, Page 8 [Internet]. 2016 Dec 20 [cited 2022 Aug 8];1(1):8. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2414-6366/1/1/8/htm

13. Reynolds MG, Damon IK. Outbreaks of human monkeypox after cessation of smallpox vaccination. Trends Microbiol. 2012 Feb 1;20(2):80–7.

14. Roess AA, Monroe BP, Kinzoni EA, Gallagher S, Ibata SR, Badinga N, et al. Assessing the Effectiveness of a Community Intervention for Monkeypox Prevention in the Congo Basin. PLoS Negl Trop Dis [Internet]. 2011 Oct [cited 2022 Aug 8];5(10):e1356. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosntds/article?id=10.1371/journal.pntd.0001356

15. Ihekweazu C, Yinka-Ogunleye A, Lule S, Ibrahim A. Importance of epidemiological research of monkeypox: is incidence increasing?

https://doi.org/101080/1478721020201735361 [Internet]. 2020 May 3 [cited 2022 Aug 8];18(5):389–92. Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14787210.2020.1735361

16. Monkeypox [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 14]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/monkeypox?gclid=CjwKCAjw9NeXBhAMEiwAbaY4li6IGRnvkqzXu0hygnapvBTJt4leRNdyZoyq7PSnapHggCi2V-3SUhoCU5EQAvD_BwE&fbclid=IwAR1xWm1fK0eEMRU9vJIua0fFhvl5pS5Egm_u1EoKK9v4MKS171OkXK0uPhE

17. Harapan H, Setiawan AM, Yufika A, Anwar S, Wahyuni S, Asrizal FW, et al. Knowledge of human monkeypox viral infection among general practitioners: a cross-sectional study in Indonesia. https://doi.org/101080/2047772420201743037 [Internet]. 2020 Feb 17 [cited 2022 Aug 8];114(2):68–75. Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20477724.2020.1743037

18. Harapan H, Setiawan AM, Yufika A, Anwar S, Wahyuni S, Asrizal FW, et al. Confidence in managing human monkeypox cases in Asia: A cross-sectional survey among general practitioners in Indonesia. Acta Trop. 2020 Jun 1;206:105450.

19. Alshahrani NZ, Algethami MR, Alari AM, Abdelaal A, Sah R, Rodriguez-Morales AJ. Knowledge and attitude regarding monkeypox virus among physicians in Saudi Arabia, a cross-sectional study. 2022 Aug 15 [cited 2022 Aug 16]; Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com

20. Riccò M, Ferraro P, Camisa V, Satta E, Zaniboni A, Ranzieri S, et al. When a Neglected Tropical Disease Goes Global: Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Italian Physicians towards Monkeypox, Preliminary Results. Trop Med Infect Dis [Internet]. 2022 Jul 14 [cited 2022 Aug 16];7(7):135. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35878146

21. Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Alshahrani NZ, Alzahrani F, Alarifi AM, Algethami MR, Alhumam MN, et al. Assessment of Knowledge of Monkeypox Viral Infection among the General Population in Saudi Arabia. Pathog 2022, Vol 11, Page 904 [Internet]. 2022 Aug 11 [cited 2022 Aug 16];11(8):904. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0817/11/8/904/htm

22. Heinisch O. Cochran, W. G.: Sampling Techniques, 2. Aufl. John Wiley and Sons, New York, London 1963. Preis s. Biom Z [Internet]. 1965 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Aug 14];7(3):203–203. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/bimj.19650070312

23. 2022 U.S. Monkeypox Outbreak | Monkeypox | Poxvirus | CDC [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 14]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/poxvirus/monkeypox/response/2022/index.html

24. Naing C, Ren WY, Man CY, Fern KP, Qiqi C, Ning CN, et al. Awareness of Dengue and Practice of Dengue Control Among the Semi-Urban Community: A Cross Sectional Survey. J Community Heal 2011 366 [Internet]. 2011 Apr 29 [cited 2022 Aug 8];36(6):1044–9. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10900-011-9407-1

25. Itrat A, Khan A, Javaid S, Kamal M, Khan H, Javed S, et al. Knowledge, Awareness and Practices Regarding Dengue Fever among the Adult Population of Dengue Hit Cosmopolitan. PLoS One [Internet]. 2008 Jul 9 [cited 2022 Aug 8];3(7):e2620. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0002620

26. Syed M, Saleem T, Syeda U-R, Habib M, Zahid R, Bashir A, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding dengue fever among adults of high and low socioeconomic groups. J Pak Med Assoc [Internet]. 2010 Mar 1 [cited 2022 Aug 8];60(3). Available from: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_chs_chs/14

27. Cheema S, Maisonneuve P, Weber I, Fernandez-Luque L, Abraham A, Alrouh H, et al. Knowledge and perceptions about Zika virus in a Middle East country. BMC Infect Dis [Internet]. 2017 Jul 26 [cited 2022 Aug 8];17(1):1–9. Available from: https://link.springer.com/articles/10.1186/s12879-017-2603-6

28. No monkeypox case detected in Bangladesh yet, BSMMU says | Daily Star [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 8]. Available from: https://www.thedailystar.net/health/disease/news/no-Monkeypox-case-detected-bangladesh-yet-bsmmu-says-3030726

29. Multi-country monkeypox outbreak: situation update [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 8]. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2022-

DON392

30. Switzerland G,. WHO Advisory Committee on Variola Virus Research Report of the Nineteenth Meeting. 2017;

31. Budd J, Miller BS, Manning EM, Lampos V, Zhuang M, Edelstein M, et al. Digital technologies in the public-health response to COVID-19. Nat Med 2020 268 [Internet]. 2020 Aug 7 [cited 2022 Aug 8];26(8):1183–92. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-020-1011-4

32. Mohamed Ahmed Ayed M, Abd Elaziem Mohamed A, Mohamed Mahmoud T, Mohammed AbdElaziz S. Effect of Educational Intervention on Secondary School Students' Knowledge, Practices and Attitudes Regarding COVID-19. Egypt J Heal Care [Internet]. 2021 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Aug 8];12(2):58–74. Available from: https://ejhc.journals.ekb.eg/article_145405.html

33. Souli D, Dilucca M. Knowledge, attitude and practice of secondary school students toward COVID-19 epidemic in Italy: a cross selectional study. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2020 May 9 [cited 2022 Aug 8];2020.05.08.084236. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.08.084236v1

34. Aynalem YA, Akalu TY, Gebregiorgis BG, Sharew NT, Assefa HK, Shiferaw WS. Assessment of undergraduate student knowledge, attitude, and practices towards COVID-19 in Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia. PLoS One [Internet]. 2021 May 1 [cited 2022 Aug 8];16(5):e0250444. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0250444

35. Islam FMA, Chakrabarti R, Dirani M, Islam MT, Ormsby G, Wahab M, et al. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practice of Diabetes in Rural Bangladesh: The Bangladesh Population Based Diabetes and Eye Study (BPDES). PLoS One [Internet]. 2014 Oct 14 [cited 2022 Aug 8];9(10):e110368. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0110368

36. Shah V, Kamdar P, Shah N. Assessing the knowledge, attitudes and practice of type 2 diabetes among patients of Saurashtra region, Gujarat. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2022 Aug 8];29(3):118. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC2822215/

37. Rani P, Raman R, Subramani S, Perumal G, Kumaramanickavel G, Sharma T. Knowledge of diabetes and diabetic retinopathy among rural populations in India, and the influence of knowledge of diabetic retinopathy on attitude and practice. [cited 2022 Aug 8]; Available from: http://www.rrh.org.auhttp//www.rrh.org.au

38. Deepa M, Bhansali A, Anjana R, Pradeepa R, Joshi S, Joshi P, et al. Knowledge and awareness of diabetes in urban and rural India: The Indian Council of Medical Research India Diabetes Study (Phase I): Indian Council of Medical Research India Diabetes 4. Indian J Endocrinol Metab [Internet]. 2014 May 1 [cited 2022 Aug 8];18(3):379. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4056139/

39. Wen F, Meng Y, Cao H, Xia J, Li H, Qi H, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, practices of primary and middle school students at the outbreak of COVID-19 in Beijing: A cross-sectional online study. medRxiv [Internet]. 2020 Jun 30 [cited 2022 Aug 8];2020.06.29.20138628. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.29.20138628v1

40. Al Ahdab S. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) towards pandemic COVID-19 among Syrians. 2020 [cited 2022 Aug 8]; Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-27859/v2

41. Rahman M, Obaida-Nasrin S. Factors affecting acceptance of complete immunization coverage of children under five years in rural Bangladesh.

42. Rabbi AMF. Mass Media Exposure and its Impact on Fertility: Current Scenario of Bangladesh. J Sci Res [Internet]. 2012 Apr 23 [cited 2022 Aug 8];4(2):383–383. Available from: https://www.banglajol.info/index.php/JSR/article/view/8917