Entrusting "Attitudes" in the K/S/A of Bedside Cardiac Assessment

James L. Meisel, MD, MHPE, Deborah Navedo, PhD, CPNP, FNAP, Isaac O. Opole, MD, PhD, Daniel C. R. Chen, MD, MSc, Gail March Cohen, PhD, MFA, Sheilah A. Bernard, MD, Hugo Carmona, MD, Emil R. Petrusa, PhD, Ahmed H. Nahas, MD, Carly M. Eiduson, Nick Papps, MBA

J.L. Meisel is Associate Chief of Staff for Education, VA Bedford Healthcare System, Bedford MA and Associate Professor of Medicine, Dept. of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5608-0175

D. Navedo is Director of Education, STRATUS Center for Simulation, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3225-1336

I.O. Opole is Professor of Internal Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6357-0196

D.C.R. Chen is Assistant Dean for Student Affairs and Assistant Professor of Medicine, Dept. of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; ORCID: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-6672-6814

G. March Cohen is Assistant Director, Educational Science and Initiatives, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0191-0797

S.A. Bernard is Associate Professor of Medicine, Dept. of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; ORCID: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-9394-1448

H. Carmona is Assistant Professor of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5252-4821

E.R. Petrusa is Professor of Surgery, Harvard Medical School; Department of Surgery, Learning Lab, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7482-0402

A.H. Nahas was Geriatric Medicine Fellow, Veterans Health Administration, New England Geriatrics Research, Education, and Clinical Center, VA Boston Health Care System, Boston, MA, at the time this article was written

C.M. Eiduson is a medical student, University of Rochester School of Medicine & Dentistry, Rochester, NY

N. Papps is a multimedia producer, Synchro Agency, Canton, MA

Correspondence should be sent to James L. Meisel, Associate Chief of Staff for Education, Office of Academic Programs and Health Professions Education, Mailstop 151b, VA Bedford Healthcare System, 200 Springs Road, Bedford, MA 01730. Email jameisel@bu.edu.

ABSTRACT

Problem

In the competency-based medical education literature, investigators have typically discussed notions of trust in the context of entrustment decision-making rather than as attitudes within competency frameworks. Complementarity between concepts of entrustable professional activities and of competencies created an opportunity to infuse the latter with notions of trust.

Bedside cardiac assessment (BCA) exemplified one such competency. Traditional approaches to teaching and learning BCA addressed relevant knowledge and skills but not attitudes. We conceptualized entrustability as part of an attitudinal approach to teaching and learning BCA more holistically and effectively. The purpose of this thematic analysis was to explore students' experience, in a medicine clerkship at one U.S. medical school, of participating in a newly developed BCA curriculum that aimed to foster attitudinal growth and a holistic approach to clinical learning.

Approach

Building upon Teaching for Understanding and conceptual change frameworks, the authors developed a flipped class curriculum utilizing pre-class short online videos and practice exercises followed by in-class activities and reflective discussions. Using a combination of content analysis and thematic analysis methodology of open-ended questionnaires administered from November 2017 to June 2018, the authors analyzed the experiences of medical students at one of the schools at which the curriculum was implemented.

Outcomes

Thematic analysis suggested learning strategies were effective and that peer encounters, skills practice, and encounters with educators were meaningful. The analysis also revealed opportunities to improve the original instructional design.

Next Steps

The authors will incorporate feedback into future iterations of the curriculum and make it widely available to better study its impact on learners' abilities; entrustability as a construct; and transfer of learning from the classroom to the workplace. Further work is needed to clarify the value of incorporating notions of trust into competency frameworks. The authors intend this exploratory work to stimulate conversations around expanded roles of trustworthiness and entrustability in medical education.

PROBLEM

Notions of trust are foundational to the concept of competency-based medical education (CBME).[1] One such notion, entrustability, is typically discussed in the context of entrustment decision-making, an approach to assessment based on work or output, rather than as an attitude complementing knowledge and skills within a competency framework[2] (see Figure). Yet "without a competency framework to describe the spectrum of necessary physician abilities, the result might be...a definition [of the physician] based solely on [their] work or output...and thus potentially ignore…abilities required of the twenty-first century physician..."[3] Bedside cardiac assessment (BCA), which requires the integration of patients' physiology, symptoms, signs, and social and psychological aspects of illness, exemplifies one such ability. When performed well, BCA also facilitates faster and more expedient diagnosis and improves the performance of diagnostic technology like focused cardiac ultrasound.[4,5]   However, teaching and learning BCA is declining, and traditional, reductionist approaches address knowledge and skills[4] but not attitudes of the "K/S/A" domains. In this paper, we conceptualize entrustability as part of an attitudinal approach to teaching and learning BCA more holistically and effectively.

A competency is an observable, activity-related *ability* that integrates knowledge, skills, values, and attitudes. By contrast, an entrustable professional activity (EPA) is an essential *task* "..that a learner can be trusted to perform without direct supervision...once sufficient competence has been demonstrated," which requires the integration of multiple competencies.[3] Overlap between these two concepts (Figure) creates an opportunity to infuse competency development with notions of trust and, by so doing, "...[counter] the notion of a check-box approach of CBME that has been said to reduce the medical profession to a series of superficial skills."[2]

Several of the Association of American Medical College's Core Entrustable Professional Activities for Entering Residency (CEPAERs) are directly relevant to BCA: integrating the scientific foundations of medicine with clinical reasoning skills to guide history taking and physical examination (EPA 1), updating and prioritizing differential diagnoses (EPA 2), and communicating with patients or families to ensure pre- and post-procedure explanation (EPA 12).[1] In the authors' view, competent BCA requires integration of not just knowledge of cardiac pathophysiology and skills such as cardiac auscultation, jugular venous pressure measurement, and clinical reasoning, but also self-aware attitudes associated with trustworthiness and entrustability such as understanding the importance of the patient's perspective, symptoms, and predicament.[1,6]

The purpose of this study was to explore students' experience of participating in a newly developed BCA curriculum that sought to foster attitudinal growth and a holistic approach to clinical learning.

APPROACH

Instructional design of the curriculum

To improve the teaching and learning of BCA as a fully developed competency, we developed a curriculum using constructivist learning theories that support learner development, acquisition of professional attitudes, and effective self-assessment in addition to traditional knowledge and skills. We explicitly incorporated notions of trust, asking learners to reflect upon the processes of learning and of communicating with patients. Its title, *Listen Before You Auscultate*,[7] asks the learner to hear the patient's perspective, both empathically, as a human predicament; and diagnostically, as an element of the clinical history in diagnostic reasoning.

We built upon the Teaching for Understanding (TfU) framework, which helps learners go

beyond facts to gain deep understanding that can then be applied in new contexts.[8] In particular, TfU helps educators engage students in challenging learning experiences that help build and demonstrate their understanding. Three other principles of TfU help educators identify topics, concepts, and skills that are worth understanding; define overarching, long term understanding-goals or "throughlines" and frame sub-goals focused on the most important aspects of those topics; and develop assessment practices that deepen student understanding. The curriculum's constructivist undergirding is further supported by adult, experiential, and transformational learning theories.[6]

Within the CBME framework, the abilities of a professional progress along a spectrum from novice to expert.[3] We used conceptual change theory to foster the development of expertise, generating dissatisfaction with learners' current conceptions and skills, ensuring that new material was both understandable and plausible, and creating the expectation that the time invested would be fruitful.[9] We emphasized causal relationships, another hallmark of expertise development,[10] to further help learners process patterns more efficiently. Finally, we applied principles of effective multimedia design[11] and strategies to manage cognitive load.[12]

To develop the flipped class curriculum, we developed pre-class assignments with short videos, and developed in-class activities and a facilitator guide (see Table 1). In the first video, a patient unexpectedly asked the viewer, "Why should I trust your clinical skills?" followed by statements by the onscreen instructor about the importance of patient communication skills. Two, one-hour class sessions followed, including a large-group discussion about the patient's startling question and simulated patient encounters in which understanding the patient's perspective was central to effective, pre-procedural communication. In the class sessions, students also engaged

in JVP measurement exercises and a team game in which students identified heart sounds in various clinical contexts.

Evaluation strategy

We implemented the integrated curriculum at Boston University Medical Center (BUMC, Massachusetts USA), Kansas University Medical Center (Kansas USA), Central Clinical School Monash University (Australia), and Tan Tock Seng Hospital (Singapore). To better understand learners' experiences, we surveyed the third-year students on internal medicine rotation at BUMC.

From November 2017 to June 2018, we asked participants to complete a post-class survey with open-ended questions. After the first classroom session, we surveyed students about their experiences with the pre-class assignments and the session. After the second session, we surveyed students about their experiences in that session and the curriculum as a whole. Because the survey questions varied slightly from site to site, content analysis[13(p7)] allowed us to group the survey questions into two categories, those related to successful learning and those related to opportunities for improvement (see Table 2).

We then analyzed the responses using a sequence of content analysis and then thematic analysis.[13] Applied Thematic Analysis[13(p15-17)] approach was implemented in which two researchers (DN, IO) engaged the separated data sets independently using an initial open coding strategy. Codes were developed using a constant comparison methodology, and we developed the final codebook based on consensus discussions to resolve differences. A third researcher (JM) served as arbiter to facilitate differences in perspectives. A re-examination of the codes in context resulted in the emergence of themes, reported below.

OUTCOMES

There were 489 short answer questions presented within the surveys, of which 61.6% (n=301) were analyzed. The remaining 38.4% (n=188) were blank or unintelligible. There was a 75.4% (n=159/211) completion rate for questions related to "Successful Learning" and a 51.1% (n=142/278) completion rate for questions related to "Opportunities for Improvement." First-round coding agreement rate was 54.8% (n=165/301). All coding disagreements were resolved by discussion resulting in consensus, except for 3.7% (n=11/301), which required arbitration. Thus, common definitions of codes emerged easily, resulting in effective codebooks (see Table 3).

The emergent codes clustered around various themes in each category. Successful Learning themes centered around effective learning strategies and meaningful peer encounters, skills practice, and educator encounters (see Table 4). Sample comments representing the Successful Learning codes included:

"The pre-class videos were helpful because they got me thinking what we learned today ahead of time. (code VHL, Participant 1129-08),"

"I like the standarized [sic] approach to the cardiac exam (SAE, 120-16),"

"…I prefer to make mistakes in the simulated environment and a little more effective [sic] with active patients (SAE, 1214-10)," and

"Showing examples of approaches to different patients" (ATP, 1206-06).

The last two responses also exemplified concepts of a transition to recognizing that holistic care was dependent on effective communication with the patient.

Interactions with instructors and peers were valued as contributing to learning as seen here:

"The interactive aspect of the in-class activities helped [learning]," (IWV, 1129-11)

"In person demonstration…," (DOE, 1129-02)

"Interactive exercises," (IWV, 1129-10), and

"Good teachers." (GTE, 1129-10)

Each of these quotes contributes to an overarching concept, the importance of learning beyond the knowledge and skills domains, affirming the importance of attitudinal aspects of the development of clinical abilities and the meaningfulness of human interaction while learning.

The Opportunities for Improvement themes focused on instructional design improvements, individual learning preferences, instruction related improvements, and general comments regarding how no improvement was needed (see Table 5). Sample comments representing the Improvement codes included:

"Hard to watch videos while in a busy Med service, this would have been more useful in 2nd year when we were learning about physical exam (WPC, 1129-05)" and

"… Why should I trust clinical skills likely more relevant of a discussion start of 3rd year (WPC, 0411-11)."

Perspectives varied widely on not just the sequence but also the presentation of the concepts within the overall medical school curriculum. This was exemplified by one student's comment on individual learning preferences and expectations, "The variety of teaching methods used in each session (MMT, 0411-01)," under the Successful Learning category.

These emergent themes became the basis for our understanding of the learning experiences, as we discuss next.

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we introduce the concept of integrating entrustability into competency development, emphasizing the sometimes-forgotten attitudinal or affective domain of teaching and learning. We used cognitive science-based instructional design to weave together threads of knowledge and skill development, notions of trust, and communication with patients, to create a curriculum that learners experienced as meaningful. A holistic, effective learning experience like the *Listen Before You Auscultate* curriculum may make it more likely that learners will transfer new competencies to the workplace, further strengthening the fabric of CBME.

Two throughlines in the TfU framework, attitudinal aspects of the development of clinical abilities and the development of learners' diagnostic reasoning, particularly supported this approach. As an attitudinal, meaning-making concept, entrustability presumes that understanding, or at least trying to understand, a patient's feelings, intentions, and assumptions (and perhaps culture, race, class, or gender) is necessary for transformative learning to occur.[6] The development of diagnostic reasoning is central to the progression of expertise, so we designed the assignments and activities to enable participants to learn and practice tools such as hypothesis testing and pattern recognition.

Thematic analysis suggested that the learning strategies were effective and that peer encounters, skills practice, and encounters with educators were meaningful. Learners affirmed the implicit value of integrating human interaction, and thus learning in the "attitudes" domain, as contributing to successful learning. The analysis captured experiences that have the potential to help transition learning from a solely transactional approach to one "...where the consequent personal transformation on the part of students can actually lead to wider, positive social

transformation."[6] The analysis also revealed opportunities to improve the original instructional design, which will be incorporated into future iterations.

Additionally, classroom facilitators observed improved transfer of knowledge from classroom to workplace, an expected benefit of the TfU approach. For example, prior to the class sessions, students expressed little confidence in their JVP measurement skills. At the second session, most reported having measured their patients' JVPs over the preceding week.

Limitations

Given the use of the content analysis approach and inability to explore further the emergent themes from the limited data set, the instructional design's generalizability to learners in other health professions education programs is limited and may require further study. Further, this dataset was based on responses to similar but not identical survey questions, which may have introduced an unintended bias which would require further study.

We conceptualized entrustability as part of an attitudinal aspect of a competency but acknowledge that it could also be viewed as a construct that is challenging to measure.[2] Similarly, while our explicit incorporation of notions of trust in the curriculum[1] aligns with students' desire to be introduced early to the CEPAERs framework,[14] future concept or outcome analyses are needed to clarify the value of incorporating elements of entrustment beyond their well-established roles in assessment. Finally, as CBME's shared language evolves,[3] we acknowledge the inherent ambiguity of such terms as trust, trustworthiness and entrustability.

Next Steps

We will make the curriculum widely available and continue to study its impact on learners' BCA-related knowledge, skills, and attitudes, entrustability as a construct, and transfer. We hope that thoughtful design of curricula that foster learners' entrustability and attitudinal

growth will promote development beyond traditional knowledge and skills, and that this exploratory work will stimulate conversations around expanded roles of trustworthiness and entrustability in medical education.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study team wishes to thank the many people who were integral to the success of this project, especially the students who learned with us, Sonia Ananthakrishnan, Warren Hershman, Kelly Ho, and David Flynn at the Boston University Medical Center (BUMC). Thanks to Tina Grotzer, Flossie Chua, and Bryan Mascio at the Harvard Graduate School of Education, Vlad Valtchinov at Harvard Medical School, and Maria Romero at VA Bedford Healthcare System. We gratefully acknowledge the work of the late Dr. Michael S. Gordon at the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine for teaching auditory heart sounds mnemonics and Dr. W. Proctor Harvey's "5-Finger Approach" to cardiac assessment, from which was adapted the 6-Step Systematic Approach to Bedside Cardiac Assessment.

FUNDING/SUPPORT

An Education Pilot grant from the BUMC Faculty Development Committee funded part of this study. Our work was also partially supported with resources from VA Bedford, VA Boston, and the New England Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center.

OTHER DISCLOSURES

None.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The Boston University Medical Center Institutional Review Board (IRB) reviewed the research and made the determination that it was not human subjects research and therefore had no requirement to obtain consent, IRB Number: H-42353, Jan. 10, 2022. This work was reviewed by the VA Bedford Healthcare System IRB and determined not to require its oversight.

DISCLAIMERS

Views and content are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of VA or any agency of the United States Government.

PREVIOUS PRESENTATIONS

Early versions of the curriculum and proposed evaluation strategy were presented in poster format:

Meisel JL, Chen D, March G, Bernard SA, Carmona H, Petrosa E, Papps N. Building competence – an active-learning approach. Alliance for Academic Internal Medicine. Academic Medicine Week (2018). San Antonio TX, USA.

Meisel JL, Chen D, March G, Bernard SA, Carmona H, Papps N. Building competence and confidence in clinical skills: a systematic, flipped classroom approach to learning bedside cardiac assessment. Northeast Group on Educational Affairs Annual Conference (2017). University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry. Rochester NY, USA.

REFERENCES

1.   AAMC. Core EPAs guiding principles. AAMC. Accessed 4/1/2021, https://www.aamc.org/what-we-do/mission-areas/medical-education/cbme/core-epas/guiding-principles

2.   ten Cate O. Competency-based postgraduate medical education: past, present and future. *GMS J Medical Educ*. 2017;34(5):Doc69-Doc69. doi:10.3205/zma001146

3.   Englander R, Frank JR, Carraccio C, Sherbino J, Ross S, Snell L. Toward a shared language for competency-based medical education. *Med Teach*. 2017;39(6):582-587. doi:10.1080/0142159X.2017.1315066

4.   Lekic M, Lekic V, Riaz IB, Mackstaller L, Marcus FI. The cardiovascular physical examination - is it still relevant? *Am J Cardiol*. 2021;149:140-144. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2021.02.042

5.   Thompson WR. In defence of auscultation: a glorious future? *Heart Asia*. 2017;9(1):44-47. doi:10.1136/heartasia-2016-010796 [Replace with MedEdPORTAL citation]

6.   Chaves C. Adult learners and the dialectical process: a validating constructivist approach to learning transfer and application. *Online Journal of Workforce Education and Development* 2008;3(1)

7.   *Listen Before You Auscultate* title is original, also used by Cadoré B in *With Him: Listening to the Underside of the World*. Bloomsbury Publishing Plc; 2020. p. 26

8.   Wiske MS. What is teaching for understanding? *Teaching for Understanding: Linking Research with Practice*. 1st ed. ed. Jossey-Bass Publishers; 1998:chap 3

9.   Strike KA, Posner GJ. A conceptual change view of learning and understanding. In: Pines AL, West LHT, eds. *Cognitive Structure and Conceptual Change*. Academic Press; 1985:211-231

10.   Grotzer T. *Learning Causality in a Complex World : Understandings of Consequence*. Rowman & Littlefield Education; 2012

11.   Clark RC, Mayer RE. *E-learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning*. Fourth edition. ed. Wiley; 2016 [Replace with MedEdPORTAL citation]

12.   Van Merrienboer JJG, Sweller J. Cognitive load theory in health professional education: design principles and strategies. *Med Educ*. 2010;44(1):85-93. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.2009.03498.x [Replace with MedEdPORTAL citation]

13.   Guest G. *Applied Thematic Analysis*. Sage Publications; 2012.

14.   Geraghty JR, Ocampo RG, Liang S, et al. Medical students' views on implementing the core EPAs: recommendations from student leaders at the core EPAs pilot institutions. *Acad Med*. Feb 1 2021;96(2):193-198. doi:10.1097/acm.0000000000003793

Figure

Concept of entrustability as a central element of both competencies and entrustment decision-making in competency-based medical education

Abbreviation
EPAs: entrustable professional activities

Table 1

Listen Before You Auscultate bedside cardiac assessment curriculum

Complete Learning Sets A-F **Before Classroom Session 1**					
A	B	C	D	E	F
"Why Should I Trust Your Bedside Cardiac Skills?"	Overview of Bedside Cardiac Assessment (BCA)	Look at the Patient, Feel the Heart	Right Heart Assessment Parts 1 &2	Left Heart Assessment	Diagnostic Reasoning Tips from Us to You

Complete Learning Sets G-M **Before Classroom Session 2**						
G	H	I	J	K	L	M
Listen to the Heart: Left Ventricular Gallops	Systolic Murmurs	Diastolic Murmurs: Aortic Regurgitation	Diastolic Murmurs: Mitral Stenosis	Basic Confirmatory Studies	Heart Sounds Practice & Summary	The Cardiac Cycle (Supplemental)

PARTICIPATE IN IN-CLASS ACTIVITIES									
Class Session 1					Class Session 2				
Introduction: BCA and Session 1	Activity 1: Meet Mr. Smith	Activity 2: Assess the Right Heart	Optional Activity: Question Pool/ Muddiest Point	Recap and Looking Ahead	Introduction: Session 2	Activity 3: Name that Heart Sound!	Activity 4: Simulated Clinical Encounters	Recap: Using BCA as a Clinician

Abbreviation

BCA: Bedside Cardiac Assessment

Table 2
Question Categories That Resulted from the Grouped Survey Questions

This analysis and categorization was required due to unanticipated variation in the survey questions presented to the students following the activity. See text for details.

Question Category	Grouped Questions (N)
Related to Successful Learning	• Please identify the most useful aspects of the course so far. (185) • What were the most useful takeaways acquired from today's session? (15)
Related to Opportunities for Improvement	• Please identify the least useful aspects of this course so far. (176) • What could we do to further improve the pre-class assignments or today's in-class activities? (121)

Table 3
Codebooks

Codes were developed using a constant comparison methodology, with the final codebook based on consensus discussions. Third year students on internal medicine rotation, Nov. 2017 - June 2018. Boston University Medical Center, Massachusetts USA. See text for details.

Successful Learning Codebook		
Code	**Full Name**	**Description**
BLK	BLanK	Nothing written in - No response to assigned question
UND	UNDecipherable	Comments could not be read interpreted from written form.
GTE	Great TEachers	Non-specific recognition that the qualities of the instructor enhansed the learning experience.
STS	Seeing The Sign	Learning was enhanced by actually seeing the physical sign or observation on a real person.
GEP	Graphic Explaining Physiology	Learning was enhanced by a diagram or graphic of the physiology, pathophysiology, or exam technique.
RHS	Recorded Heart Sounds	Discussion of recordings with attention to each sound's charactoristics and differentiation was helpful.
DOE	Demonstration of Exam	Watching a demonstration of the exam with explanations of the technique was helpful.
SAE	Systematic Approach to Exam	Provided with a systematic plan to proceed through the steps of the examination
OTP	Opportunity To Practice	Learned better because of the opportunity ot practice each skill repeatedly as needed.
VEP	Verbal Explanation of Procedure	Verbal explanation or review of the skill or procedure was helpful. Explanations coded as this unless noted as "Feedback"
OFF	Opportunity For Feedback	Session was valuable because of the opportunity to get feedback on own practice and performance.
IWV	Interactions Were Valuable	General comment about "interactions" as being valuable. Otherwise not specified.
VHL	Video Helped Learning	Watching the video assisted in the broader understanding or specific application of the skill.
AAF	Assessment As Feedback	Mentioned the use of a structured assessment to better understand own skill level.
SIM	SIMulation was valuable	Simulation was identified as "useful aspect" of the sessions.
DEB	DEBriefing was valuable	Post-simulation discussion or debriefing was seen as facilitating learning.
TWH	Topic Was Helpful	Topic was named in response to a positive question.
TNH	Topic was Not Helpful	Topic was named in response to a negative question.
ATP	Approach To Patient	Leaarning was enhanced by situating the skill within a human encounter with the patient.
PRH	Pre-session Readings were Helpful	Pre-session materials enhanced learning during the session. Most talked about the "readings."
MMT	MultiModal Teaching	Specific comments about variety of learning opportunities or teaching methods.
IDS	Improved Diagnostic Skills	The sessions' activities resulted in improved confidence in own assessment and diagnostic skills.

Opportunities for Improvement Codebook		
Code	**Full Name**	**Description**
BLK	BLanK	Nothing written in - No response to assigned question.
NIN	No Improvement Needed	Indicated that no improvements were identifiable for the session.
UND	UNDecipherable	Comments could not be read interpreted from written form, or responded as "not sure."
SUS	Poor Teaching/ Scaffolding not sufficient	Instructors did not create effective learning environments or were lacking in some way.
WPC	Wrong Place in Curriculum	Participant indicated that this session would have been more meaningful either earier or later in the curriculum
NEF	Not Enough Feedback	Wanted more opportunity to get feedback of own understanding and skills.
DNH	Discussion Not Helpful	Discussion of one or more topics was seen as not contributing to effective learning.
NET	Not Enough Time to think	Wanted more time to understand what was being presented.
STD	Steps Too Dense	Too much being taught at one time. More complexity than could be managed as learner.
NEP	Not Enough Practive	More time practicing skills was desired to gain competency and to meet objectives.
BSC	Bed-Side Copy was desired	Participant wanted pdf or other portable version of the materials to be able to carry to the bedside for clinical use.
ENH	Exercise or activity Not Helpful	A specific activity, practice, or game was not seen as supporting learning and worth the time.
PRB	Pre-Readings/videos were Burdensome	The excess of pre-class requirements was not helpful. Not enough time to prepare. Too many places to find required items.
ANH	Aids were Not Helpful	Something about mnemonics, graphic, or recordings were not conducive to better understanding of the skill.
VNH	Videos were Not Helpful	For what ever reason, the content of the videos were identified as least helpful to learning.
NEV	Not Enough Videos	Session could have been improved by additional similar videos.
SNH	Simulation Not Helpful	Did not find the simulation experience or debriefing helpful, including needing more structure.
FPP	Faster Pace Preferred	Session had too much repetition or could have moved faster.
SUB	SUrveys were Burdensome	Reported that the surveys were the least helpful for learning.
CCN	Clarify Course Navigation	Confusing or forgotten instructions

Table 4

Themes Related to Successful Learning

Third year students on internal medicine rotation, Nov. 2017 - June 2018
Boston University Medical Center, Massachusetts USA

Theme	Full Name	Description	Comments
ELS	Effective Learning Strategies	Session design elements such as audiovisual material and practice opportunities were helpful	Focused on elements of instructional design, not on human interactions
MPE	Meaningful Peer Encounters	Discussion and practice on/with peers were helpful to learning	
MSP	Meaningful Skills Practice	Opportunity to practice and get feedback was helpful to learning	
MEE	Meaningful Educator Encounters	The in-room faculty were identified as the source of additional benefit	Included discussion leading as well as individual encounters
Other	Other	Does not contribute to a theme.	Either blank or undecipherable

Table 5
Themes Related to Opportunities for Improvement

Third year students on internal medicine rotation, Nov. 2017 - June 2018
Boston University Medical Center, Massachusetts USA

Theme	Full Name	Description	Comments
IDI	Instructional Design Improvements	Improvements were systems, curriculum, and session design related.	Recommendations could be applied system-wide to the materials
ILP	Individual Learning Preferences	Improvements were related to student preferences and needs	Included comments that might be attributable to learning preferences
NIN	No Improvement Needed	Answers indicated no adjustments or updates were needed.	Blanks were not included.
IRI	Instruction Related Improvements	These were related to the delivery of the experience or session	Attributable to one instructor. Differentiated from systems issues.
Other	Other	Does not contribute to a theme.	Either blank or undecipherable