Finding Meaning in the K/S/A of Bedside Cardiac Assessment

James L. Meisel,[1] Deborah D. Navedo,[2*] Isaac O. Opole,[3*] Gail March Cohen,[4] Sheilah A. Bernard,[5] Hugo Carmona,[6] Ahmed H. Nahas,[7] Carly M. Eiduson,[8] Nick Papps[9]

[1]Department of Medicine, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. jameisel@bu.edu. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5608-0175; [2]STRATUS Center for Simulation, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. deb.navedo@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3225-1336; [3]Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, USA. IOPOLE@kumc.edu ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6357-0196; [4]AMA Ed Hub, USA (deceased). ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0191-0797; [5]Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. Sheilah.Bernard@bmc.org ORCID: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-9394-1448; [6]Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. hjcarmona@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5252-4821; [7]New England Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center, VA Boston Health Care System, Boston, MA, USA; Harvard Medical School Multicampus Geriatrics Fellowship, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA; Yakima Valley Farm Workers Clinic, Yakima, WA, USA anahas99@gmail.com ; [8]University of Rochester School of Medicine & Dentistry, Rochester, NY, USA ceiduson@gmail.com; [9]Synchro Agency, Canton, MA, USA npapps35@gmail.com

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: James L. Meisel, Office of Academic Programs and Health Professions Education, Mailstop 151b, VA Bedford Health Care System, 200 Springs Road, Bedford, MA, USA 01730. Email jameisel@bu.edu.

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE

Notions of trust are foundational to competency-based medical education. “Entrustability” underlies assessment; assessment is guided by integration into curricula of learners’ knowledge, skills, and attitudes (k/s/a). However, attitudinal notions of trust are not commonly conceptualized as integral to such frameworks. Overlap between concepts of entrustability and trust as an attitude creates opportunity to infuse trust into competency frameworks.

We explored how an original bedside cardiac assessment (BCA) curriculum that supported professional attitudes, knowledge, and skills scaffolded clinical learning in a cohort of internal medicine clerkship students.

METHODS

The curriculum urged students to hear patients’ perspectives with humility and as key to diagnostic reasoning. Assigned short videos preceded two facilitated classes that included discussing a patient’s startling question, “Why should I trust your clinical skills?” and recognizing, in simulated clinical encounters, disparate patients’ perspectives.

To better understand their experiences, we asked sixty-seven students to complete two post-class open-ended questions. We analyzed responses using content and thematic analyses.

RESULTS

Emergent codes clustered around themes in two categories: “Successful Learning” around effective learning strategies and meaningful peer encounters, skills practice, and educator encounters; and “Opportunities for Improvement,” around instructional design, learning preferences, and instruction-related improvements.

CONCLUSION

Themes suggested effective learning and meaningful interactions. Comments affirmed the importance of attitudinal aspects of skills development; human interaction while learning; and humility, a

linchpin of expertise development and patient-centered communication. All contribute to professional identity formation (PIF). Instructional design improvements were incorporated into the final version of the curriculum. Limitations included inability to examine nuances of emergent themes from the limited data set.

We are studying the curriculum's effects on BCA-related k/s/a and trust-worthiness as a learning construct. Research opportunities include impacts on humility, patient-centeredness, and PIF. We hope this exploratory work will stimulate conversations around expanded roles of notions of trust in medical education.

Plain-language Summary

Introduction

The patient–doctor relationship is built upon trust in the doctor's knowledge, skills, and attitudes (k/s/a). In this study, we explored medical students' experience of participating in a curriculum that encouraged them to explore the "attitudes" domain related to humility and trust ("Why should I trust your clinical skills?") while learning to care for patients with heart problems.

Methods

We used an educational approach that sees learning as meaningful, not simply knowledge to be absorbed. To better understand students' experience of participating in an early version of the curriculum, we asked sixty-seven students to complete two open-ended questions after classes. We analyzed their responses looking for recurring themes.

Results

Thematic analysis suggested that learning strategies were effective and that learning with peers, skills practice, and interacting with educators were meaningful. The analysis also suggested several opportunities for improvement.

Discussion

Students reported that human interaction, that is, learning in the attitudes domain, meaningfully contributed to successful learning. In particular, humility is key to patient-centered communication and building trust. All of this suggests the curriculum may help students develop identities as trustworthy professionals. Limitations of this paper include inability to explore nuances of themes from the limited data set. We hope that this exploratory work will stimulate conversations around expanded roles of trust in medical education.

Keywords

Competency-Based Medical Education; UME-GME Transition; Professional Identity Formation; Humility in Medical Education; Trustworthiness in Health Professions Education; Clinical Teaching/Bedside Teaching

Introduction

Notions of trust are foundational to the concept of competency-based medical education (CBME).[1] "Entrustability" is typically discussed in the context of assessment; assessment is guided by integration into curricula of learners' knowledge, skills, and attitudes (k/s/a).[2,3] However, attitudes, which complement knowledge and skill acquisition, are not commonly conceptualized as notions of trust and humility that are integral to competency frameworks.[4-8] Overlap between the concepts of trust as an attitude and entrustability creates an opportunity to meaningfully infuse trust into competency development frameworks (Figure 1).

Bedside cardiac assessment (BCA), which requires the integration of patients' physiology, symptoms, signs, and social and psychological aspects of illness, exemplifies one such set of knowledge, skills, and attitudes. When performed well, BCA also facilitates faster and more expedient diagnosis and improves the performance of diagnostic technology like focused cardiac ultrasound. However, teaching and learning BCA are declining.[9] Traditional, reductionist approaches address knowledge of cardiac pathophysiology and skills such as auscultation, jugular venous pressure measurement, or point-of-care ultrasonography.[9] Competent BCA also requires integration of diagnostic reasoning skills and self-aware attitudes such as the importance of humility, patient-centered communication, and trust from the patient's perspective.[8,10,11]

CBME curricula encompass a spectrum of relationships – between learners and supervisors, trainees and their programs, and programs and society,[12] but current constructs may not adequately address or enhance the meaning that individual learners make of their perceived trust-worthiness to patients. In a commentary entitled, "Entrustable Professional Activities - Not Just for Assessment," Turner broaches this, asking what support and resources learners need to further their development, while noting that individual learning plans should arise from curricula based on desired patient outcomes.[3] Karp et al explored clerkship students' perception of trust in them from supervisors, not patients. Notably, they identified themes related to the importance of trust as scaffolding for learning; on the learning environment; and on perceived consequences for patients.[13] Both support the guiding tenet of the Coalition for Physician Accountability's Undergraduate Medical Education-Graduate Medical Education

[UME-GME] Review Committee that "[A]bove all else, [principles supporting] the UME-GME transition must optimally serve the public good."[1] In an editorial accompanying Karp's exploration, "Trust as a Scaffold for Competency-Based Medical Education," Young and Elnicki observe, "...when we conceptualize CBME through the lens of trusting relationships, greater layers of nuance and value begin to emerge."[12] Notions of trust counter the "check-box approach of CBME that has been said to reduce the medical profession to a series of superficial skills,"[5] with physician humility, in particular, fundamental to building patient trust.[8] We conceptualized students' attitudes about trust-worthiness as important to learning a more holistic[10] and effective approach to BCA.

The purpose of this study was to explore how a BCA curriculum that intentionally incorporated notions of trust, attitudinal (affective)[5] growth, and a holistic approach to patient care[14] scaffolded clinical learning in a cohort of internal medicine clerkship students.

Methods

Development of the curriculum

We deliberated what it meant to improve teaching and learning BCA as a fully developed competency, ultimately focusing on learning outcomes to answer a patient's question, "Why should I trust your clinical skills?" To develop the curriculum, we used constructivist learning theories to support acquisition of professional attitudes as well as traditional BCA knowledge and skills like diagnostic reasoning and auscultation.[9] Constructivism is a cognitive learning theory in which learning is not simply "knowledge to be attained," but rather a meaning-making process based upon the learner's prior knowledge and experience or opportunities to engage with others about shared problems.[11] We sought to help learners go beyond facts to gain deep understanding that could then be applied in new contexts.[15]

Explicitly incorporating notions of trust, the curriculum and its title, *Listen Before You Auscultate*,[16] urge learners to hear the patient's perspective with humility, as a human predicament,[8] and diagnostically, as an element of the clinical history in diagnostic reasoning. The development of both are central to the progression of expertise,[8] so we designed the assignments and activities to enable participants to learn and practice tools such as hypothesis testing and pattern recognition in various contexts.

We used several, more fine-tuned instructional design approaches to foster the development of professional growth, including multiple opportunities for learners to self-assess their knowledge, beliefs,[8] and diagnostic reasoning abilities. With conceptual change theory, for example, we sought to generate dissatisfaction with learners' current conceptions and skills, ensure that new material would be both understandable and plausible, and create the expectation that the time invested would be fruitful.[17] An emphasis on understanding causal relationships, another hallmark of the expertise development[18] that is integral to CBME[2] and professional growth, further helps learners process patterns more efficiently.

In the flipped class curriculum, clerkship directors assigned pre-class, formative self-assessments and short videos followed by two, one-hour sets of in-class activities (Figure 2). In the first video, a patient unexpectedly asked the viewer, "Why should I trust your clinical skills?" followed by an onscreen instructor emphasizing the role of empathy in patient-centered communication. The facilitated class sessions included a large-group discussion about the patient's startling question and simulated clinical encounters. Scenarios in the latter differed only by the perspective that the patient - a scared builder, an anxious biochemistry professor, or a kind patient from Latin America - brought to them. The activity gave students opportunities to demonstrate expertise and "[admit] limitations in social interactions"; both are challenges to cultivating physician humility.[8] Encounters were most successful when students elicited their patients' perspectives.

Evaluation strategy

In the first of a two-year pilot, we implemented the integrated curriculum at Boston University Medical Center (BUMC, Massachusetts USA), Kansas University Medical Center (Kansas USA), Central Clinical School Monash University (Australia), and Tan Tock Seng Hospital (Singapore). To explore learners' experiences, we surveyed the third-year students on internal medicine rotation at BUMC.

From November 2017 to June 2018, we asked 67 third-year medicine students to complete post-class surveys that included open-ended questions. After the first classroom session, we surveyed students about their experiences with the pre-class assignments and the session. After the second session, we surveyed students about their experiences in that session and the curriculum as a whole. Because the open-ended questions varied slightly, content analysis[19] allowed us to group these questions

into two categories, those related to successful learning and those related to opportunities for improvement (Table 1).

We then analyzed the responses using a sequence of content analysis and then thematic analysis.[20] Applied Thematic Analysis[21] approach was implemented in which two researchers (DN, IO) independently engaged the data using an initial open coding strategy. Codes were developed using a constant comparison methodology, and we developed the final codebook based on consensus discussions to resolve differences. A third researcher (JM) served as arbiter to facilitate differences in perspectives. A re-examination of the codes in context resulted in the emergence of themes, reported below.

Results

At the end of each of the two in-person classes, each participant was offered a survey that included the two open-ended responses. This yielded a total of 489 open-ended responses, of which 61.6% (n=301) were analyzed. The remaining 38.4% (n=188) were blank or unintelligible. There was a 75.4% (n=159/211) completion rate for questions related to “Successful Learning” and a 51.1% (n=142/278) completion rate for questions related to “Opportunities for Improvement.” First-round coding agreement rate was 54.8% (n=165/301). All coding disagreements were resolved by discussion resulting in consensus, except for 3.7% (n=11/301), which required arbitration. Thus, common definitions of codes emerged easily, resulting in effective codebooks (Tables 2 and 3).

The emergent codes clustered around various themes in each category. Successful Learning themes centered around Effective Learning Strategies (ELS) and Meaningful Peer Encounters (MPE), skills practice, and educator encounters (see Table 4 for details). Sample comments representing the Successful Learning codes and resultant themes included:

“The pre-class videos were helpful because they got me thinking what we learned today ahead of time” (code VHL, Participant 1129-08),

“I like the standarized [sic] approach to the cardiac exam” (SAE, 120-16),

“…I prefer to make mistakes in the simulated environment and a little more effective [sic] with active patients (SAE, 1214-10),”

"Showing examples of approaches to different patients" (ATP, 1206-06), and

"Learning how to change the language for different patients" (ATP, 0411-04).

The last three responses also exemplified students' recognition that holistic care was dependent on effective communication with the patient.

Interactions with others were valued as contributing to learning, as seen here (themes MPE and MEE):

"The interactive aspect of the in-class activities helped [learning]" (IWV, 1129-11),

"In person demonstration…" (DOE, 1129-02), and

"Interactive exercises," (IWV, 1129-10).

Each of these quotes contributes to an overarching concept, the importance of learning beyond the knowledge and skills domains (themes ELS and MSP).

The Opportunities for Improvement themes focused on instructional design improvements, individual learning preferences, instruction related improvements, and general comments regarding how no improvement was needed (Table 5). Sample comments representing the Improvement codes included:

"Hard to watch videos while in a busy Med service, this would have been more useful in 2nd year when we were learning about physical exam" (WPC, 1129-05) and

"… Why should I trust clinical skills likely more relevant of a discussion start of 3rd year" (WPC, 0411-11).

While the inclusion of the BCA curriculum was seen as integral to the third-year learning, perspectives varied widely on not just the sequence but also the presentation of the concepts within the overall medical school curriculum. This was exemplified by one student's comment in the Successful Learning category on individual learning preferences and expectations, "The variety of teaching methods used in each session (MMT, 0411-01)."

These emergent themes became the basis for our understanding of the learning experiences, as we discuss next.

Discussion

In this paper, we explore themes that emerged from a cohort of internal medicine clerkship students participating in a curriculum that scaffolded a holistic approach to clinical learning and patient care. The educational approach explicitly incorporated notions of trust and humility into competency development and underscored the attitudinal, or affective, domain of teaching and learning BCA. Thematic analysis suggested that the learning strategies were effective and that peer encounters, skills practice, and encounters with educators were meaningful. Learners reported that human interaction, and thus learning in the "attitudes" domain, meaningfully contributed to successful learning. The analysis also revealed opportunities to improve the original instructional design, which were incorporated into the final version of the curriculum.[9]

Taken with an analysis that participants were more confident in their BCA abilities,[9] the emergent themes suggest the curriculum supports the development of "confident humility," a trait that the UME-GME Review Committee deems critical to the UME-GME transition.[1] In a recent integrative review, Matchett and coworkers define humility as the physician's stance towards the self, others, and the profession. It entails revisiting one's knowledge and beliefs and is critical for learning, expertise development, and professional growth. Humility is also a linchpin of patient-centered communication and rebuilding trust in the doctor-patient relationship.[8]

The BCA curriculum encourages learners to explore humility and patient-centered communication alongside knowledge and skill acquisition. "Humble physicians recognize that ... patients are experts in the meaning of illness within the context of their experiences, values, and beliefs."[8] Patient-centered communication, as an attitudinal, meaning-making concept, emphasizes the importance of understanding a patient's feelings, intentions, assumptions, and perhaps any attributes of the patient that differ from those of the doctor.[10,11] Promoting such health equity across the UME-GME continuum is a fundamental responsibility of medical schools and GME programs.[22]

All of this suggests that the curriculum may be a useful tool in support of students' professional identity formation, as elaborated elsewhere,[9] one that provides several opportunities for "targeted coaching by qualified educators[.]"[23] Inherent benefits of such coaching would stem from the curriculum's

focus on the learner; potential for improving performance in residency; and, particularly through the "Trust" large-group discussion and simulated clinical encounters activities, explicitly addressing imposter syndrome and creating a safe approach toward addressing areas for growth.[24]

Limitations

We were unable to explore further the emergent themes from the limited data set, so it is possible that elements of the constructivist—meaning-making—instructional design other than notions of trust were stronger drivers of learners' experience of the curriculum as "meaningful."

Given the use of the content analysis approach and inability to examine nuances of the emergent themes, the curriculum's generalizability to learners in other health professions education programs is limited and may require further study. Further, this dataset was based on responses to similar but not identical survey questions, which may have introduced an unintended bias.

We conceptualized learners' sense of trust-worthiness as an attitudinal aspect of a competency but acknowledge that it could also be viewed as a construct that is challenging to measure and thus operationalize.[5] Similarly, while our explicit incorporation of notions of trust in the curriculum aligns with several recommendations for comprehensive improvement of the UME-GME transition,[1] future concept or outcome analyses are needed to clarify the value of incorporating elements of entrustability beyond their well-established roles in assessment. Finally, as CBME's shared language evolves,[2] we acknowledge the inherent ambiguity of such terms as attitudes, trust, trustworthiness, and entrustability.

Next Steps

A study of the curriculum's impact on learners' BCA-related knowledge, skills, attitudes, and trust-worthiness as a learning construct is ongoing. Other researchers may wish to study the effect of the BCA curriculum on learners' humility and empathy,[25] patient-centeredness,[25,26] or professional identity formation.[27]

Conclusion

We developed a BCA curriculum that supported acquisition of professional attitudes as well as traditional knowledge and skills. Learners were urged to hear the patient's perspective with humility, as a human predicament, and diagnostically, as an element of the clinical history in diagnostic reasoning. A patient's question, "Why should I trust your clinical skills?" drove the expertise development-related learning outcomes. Content- and thematic analyses of surveys of a cohort of internal medicine clerkship students suggested that the learning strategies were effective and that peer encounters, skills practice, and encounters with educators were meaningful.

The curriculum may be a useful tool in support of students' professional identity formation. We hope that thoughtful design of curricula that fosters learners' attitudinal growth will promote its development beyond traditional knowledge and skills, and that this exploratory work will stimulate conversations around expanded roles of notions of trust in medical education.

Acknowledgements

The study team wishes to thank the many people who were integral to the success of this project, especially the students who learned with us, Sonia Ananthakrishnan, Warren Hershman, Kelly Ho, and David Flynn at Boston University Medical Center (BUMC). Thanks to Tina Grotzer, Flossie Chua, and Bryan Mascio at Harvard Graduate School of Education; Emil Petrusa, formerly of Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School; Vlad Valtchinov at Harvard Medical School; Maria Romero at VA Bedford Healthcare System; and Sarah Wood at Harvard Macy Institute. Coauthors Deborah D. Navedo is currently Founding President, Clinical Perspectives Associates, Boston, MA, USA; Ahmed H. Nahas is a geriatrician currently at Yakima Valley Farm Workers Clinic, Yakima, WA, USA; and Carly M. Eiduson is currently a resident in pediatrics at Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Disclosures

This manuscript has been submitted for peer-reviewed publication.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Funding/Support

An Education Pilot grant from the BUMC Faculty Development Committee funded part of this study. Our work was also partially supported with resources from VA Bedford, VA Boston, the New England Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center, and the Bedford VA Research Corporation, Inc.

Ethical Approval

The Boston University Medical Center Institutional Review Board (IRB) reviewed the research and made the determination that it was not human subjects research and therefore had no requirement to obtain consent, IRB Number: H-42353, Jan. 10, 2022. This work was reviewed by the VA Bedford Healthcare System IRB and determined not to require its oversight.

Disclaimer

Views and content are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of VA or any agency of the United States Government.

Previous Presentations

Meisel JL, Chen D, March G, et al. Building competence and confidence in clinical skills: a systematic, flipped classroom approach to learning bedside cardiac assessment. Poster presented at Northeast Group on Educational Affairs Annual Conference; May 4-6, 2017; Rochester, NY, USA.

Carmona H, Chen D, March G, Bernard SA, Papps N, Meisel JL. New world techniques to teach old world skills: flipped classroom approach to teaching the bedside cardiac assessment. Poster presented at Senior Resident Academic Day, Boston University Internal Medicine Residency Program, Boston Medical Center; May 26, 2017; Boston, MA, USA.

Meisel JL, Chen D, March G, et al. Building competence and confidence in clinical skills: a systematic, flipped classroom approach to learning bedside cardiac assessment. Poster presented at

12th John McCahan Medical Campus Education Day, Boston University School of Medicine; May 31, 2017; Boston, MA, USA.

Meisel JL, Chen D, March G, et al. Building competence: a systematic, flipped classroom approach to learning bedside cardiac assessment. HE795 Scholarly Project presentation to Annual Convocation, Massachusetts General Hospital Institute of Health Professions; June 19, 2017; Boston, MA, USA.

Meisel JL, Chen D, March G, et al. Building competence—an active learning approach. Poster presented at Alliance for Academic Internal Medicine Academic Medicine Week; March 18-21, 2018; San Antonio, TX, USA.

References

1. UGRC. The Coalition for Physician Accountability's Undergraduate Medical Education-Graduate Medical Education Review Committee (UGRC). Recommendations for comprehensive improvement of the UME-GME transition. 2021. https://physicianaccountability.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/UGRC-Coalition-Report-FINAL.pdf. Accessed 9/29/2024.
2. Englander R, Frank JR, Carraccio C, et al. Toward a shared language for competency-based medical education. *Med Teach.* 2017;39(6):582-587.
3. Turner D. Entrustable professional activities – not just for assessment. ICE BLOG. Published 2022. Accessed 12/23/2023.
4. AAMC. Core EPAs guiding principles. AAMC. https://www.aamc.org/what-we-do/mission-areas/medical-education/cbme/core-epas/guiding-principles. Published 2021. Accessed 11/10/2024.
5. ten Cate O. Competency-based postgraduate medical education: past, present and future. *GMS J Med Educ.* 2017;34(5):Doc69.
6. Nguyen CT. Trust as an Unquestioning Attitude. In: Szabó Gendler TS, Hawthorne J, Chung J, eds. *Oxford Studies in Epistemology Volume 7*. Oxford Academic. 2022.

7. Hoang NS, Lau JN. A call for mixed methods in competency-based medical education: how we can prevent the overfitting of curriculum and assessment. *Academic Medicine.* 2018;93(7):996-1001.

8. Matchett CL, Usher EL, Ratelle JT, et al. Physician humility: a review and call to revive virtue in medicine. *Annals of Internal Medicine.* 2024;177(9):1251-1258.

9. Meisel JL, Chen DCR, Cohen GM, et al. Listen before you auscultate: an active-learning approach to bedside cardiac assessment. *MedEdPORTAL.* 2023;19:11362-11362.

10. McKneally MF, Ignagni E, Martin DK, et al. The leap to trust: perspective of cholecystectomy patients on informed decision making and consent. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons.* 2004;199(1):51-57.

11. Chaves C. Adult learners and the dialectical process: a validating constructivist approach to learning transfer and application. *Online Journal of Workforce Education and Development* 2008;3(1).

12. Young E, Elnicki DM. Trust as a scaffold for competency-based medical education. *Journal of General Internal Medicine.* 2019;34(5):647-648.

13. Karp NC, Hauer KE, Sheu L. Trusted to learn: a qualitative study of clerkship students' perspectives on trust in the clinical learning environment. *Journal of General Internal Medicine.* 2019;34(5):662-668.

14. Safadi R, Abushaikha L. Toward a holistic approach in medical education. IntechOpen. http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.100062. Published 2021. Accessed 11/17/2024.

15. Wiske MS. What is teaching for understanding? In: *Teaching for Understanding: Linking Research with Practice.* 1st ed. ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers; 1998.

16. Cadoré B. *With Him: Listening to the Underside of the World.* London: Bloomsbury Publishing Plc; 2020:26.

17. Strike KA, Posner GJ. A conceptual change view of learning and understanding. In: Pines AL, West LHT, eds. *Cognitive Structure and Conceptual Change.* Orlando: Academic Press; 1985:211-231.

18. Grotzer T. *Learning Causality in a Complex World: Understandings of Consequence*. Rowman & Littlefield Education; 2012.

19. Guest G. Introduction to Applied Thematic Analysis. In: MacQueen KM, Namey EE, eds. *Applied Thematic Analysis.* Los Angeles: Sage Publications; 2012:7.

20. Guest G. *Applied Thematic Analysis.* Los Angeles: Sage Publications; 2012.

21. Guest G. Introduction to Applied Thematic Analysis. In: MacQueen KM, Namey EE, eds. *Applied Thematic Analysis.* Los Angeles: Sage Publications; 2012:15-17.

22. UGRC. The Coalition for Physician Accountability's Undergraduate Medical Education-Graduate Medical Education Review Committee (UGRC). Recommendations for comprehensive improvement of the UME-GME transition. 2021:62-63. https://physicianaccountability.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/UGRC-Coalition-Report-FINAL.pdf. Accessed 9/29/2024.

23. UGRC. The Coalition for Physician Accountability's Undergraduate Medical Education-Graduate Medical Education Review Committee (UGRC). Recommendations for comprehensive improvement of the UME-GME transition. 2021:27;112-113. https://physicianaccountability.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/UGRC-Coalition-Report-FINAL.pdf. Accessed 9/29/2024.

24. UGRC. The Coalition for Physician Accountability's Undergraduate Medical Education-Graduate Medical Education Review Committee (UGRC). Recommendations for comprehensive improvement of the UME-GME transition. 2021:112. https://physicianaccountability.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/UGRC-Coalition-Report-FINAL.pdf. Accessed 9/29/2024.

25. Hojat M, DeSantis J, Shannon SC, et al. The Jefferson Scale of Empathy: a nationwide study of measurement properties, underlying components, latent variable structure, and national norms in medical students. *Advances in Health Sciences Education.* 2018;23(5):899-920.

26. Cruess R, McIlroy JH, Cruess S, et al. The professionalism mini-evaluation exercise: a preliminary investigation. *Academic Medicine.* 2006;81(Suppl):S74-78.

27. Teo KJH, Teo MYK, Pisupati A, et al. Correction: Assessing professional identity formation (PIF) amongst medical students in Oncology and Palliative Medicine postings: a SEBA guided scoping review. *BMC Palliative Care.* 2023;22(1):7-7.

Figures

520398 Figure 1: Notions of trust as a central element of both competencies and entrustment decision-making in competency-based medical education

520398 Figure 2: *Listen Before You Auscultate* bedside cardiac assessment curriculum

Tables

Table 1
Question Categories that Resulted from the Grouped Survey Questions

This analysis and categorization was required due to unanticipated variation in the survey questions presented to the students following the activity. See text for details.

Question Category	Grouped Questions (N)
Related to Successful Learning	Please identify the most useful aspects of the course so far. (149) What were the most useful takeaways acquired from today's session? (10)
Related to Opportunities for Improvement	Please identify the least useful aspects of this course so far. (65) What could we do to further improve the pre-class assignments or today's in-class activities? (77)

Table 2

Successful Learning Codebook. Codes were developed using a constant comparison methodology, with the final codebook based on consensus discussions.[a] See text for details.

Third year students on internal medicine rotation, Nov. 2017 - June 2018
Boston University Medical Center, Massachusetts USA

Table 2: Successful Learning Codebook

Code	Full Name	Description	Code	Full Name	Description
BLK	BLanK	Nothing written in - No response to assigned question	IWV	Interactions Were Valuable	General comment about "interactions" as being valuable. Otherwise not specified
UND	UNDecipherable	Comments could not be read interpreted from written form.	VHL	Video Helped Learning	Watching the video assisted in the broader understanding or specific application of the skill
GTE	Great TEachers	Non-specific recognition that the qualities of the instructor enhanced the learning experience	AAF	Assessment As Feedback	Mentioned the use of a structured assessment to better understand own skill level
STS	Seeing The Sign	Learning was enhanced by actually seeing the physical sign or observation on a real person	SIM	SIMulation was valuable	Simulation was identified as "useful aspect" of the sessions
GEP	Graphic Explaining Physiology	Learning was enhanced by a diagram or graphic of the physiology, pathophysiology, or exam technique	DEB	DEBriefing was valuable	Post-simulation discussion or debriefing was seen as facilitating learning
RHS	Recorded Heart Sounds	Discussion of recordings with attention to each sound's characteristics and differentiation was helpful	TWH	Topic Was Helpful	While the helpfulness of the session was mentioned, no specific aspects were identified
SAE	Systematic Approach to Exam	Provided with a systematic plan to proceed through the steps of the examination	ATP	Approach To Patient	Learning was enhanced by situating the skill within a human encounter with the patient
OTP	Opportunity to Practice	Learned better because of the opportunity to practice each skill repeatedly as needed	PRH	Pre-session Readings were Helpful	Pre-session materials enhanced learning during the session. Most talked about the "readings"
VEP	Verbal Explanation of Procedure	Verbal explanation or review of the skill or procedure was helpful. Explanations coded as this unless noted as "Feedback"	MMT	MultiModal Teaching	Specific comments about variety of learning opportunities or teaching methods
OFF	Opportunity For Feedback	Session was valuable because of the opportunity to get feedback on own practice and performance	IDS	Improved Diagnostic Skills	The sessions activities resulted in improved confidence in own assessment and diagnostic skills

a. Some responses contributed to more than one code (primary and secondary) and codes contributed to more than one theme. However, each response was counted only once toward the primary code and only once toward the primary theme.

Table 3

Opportunities for Improvement Codebook. Codes were developed using a constant comparison methodology, with the final codebook based on consensus discussions.[a] See text for details.

Third year students on internal medicine rotation, Nov. 2017 - June 2018
Boston University Medical Center, Massachusetts USA

Table 3: Opportunities for Improvement Codebook

Code	Full Name	Description	Code	Full Name	Description
BLK	BLanK	Nothing written in - no response to assigned question	BSC	BedSide Copy was desired	Participant wanted pdf or other portable version of the materials to be able to carry to the bedside for clinical use
NIN	No Improvement Needed	Indicated that no improvements were identifiable for the session	ENH	Exercise or activity Not Helpful	A specific activity, practice, or game was not seen as supporting learning and worth the time
UND	UNDecipherable	Comments could not be interpreted from written form, or responded as "not sure"	PRB	Pre-Readings/ videos were Burdensome	An excess of pre-class requirements was not helpful. Not enough time to prepare. Too many places to find required items
SUS	Poor Teaching/ Scaffolding not sufficient	Instructors did not create effective learning environments or were lacking in some way	ANH	Aids were Not Helpful	Something about mnemonics, graphics, or recordings/videos was not conducive to better understanding of the skill
WPC	Wrong Place in Curriculum	Participant indicated that this session would have been more meaningful either earlier or later in the curriculum	Collapsed into ANH, see note above.	Videos were Not Helpful	For whatever reason, the content of the videos was identified as least helpful to learning
NEF	Not Enough Feedback	Wanted more opportunity to get feedback of own understanding and skills	NEV	Not Enough Videos	Session could have been improved by additional similar videos
DNH	Discussion Not Helpful	Discussion of one or more topics was seen as not contributing to effective learning	SNH	Simulation Not Helpful	Did not find the simulation experience or debriefing helpful, including needing more structure
NET	Not Enough Time to think	Wanted more time to understand what was being presented	FPP	Faster Pace Preferred	Session had too much repetition or could have moved faster
STD	Steps Too Dense	Too much being taught at one time. More complexity than could be managed as learner	SUB	SUrveys were Burdensome	Reported that the surveys were the least helpful for learning
NEP	Not Enough Practice	More time practicing skills was desired to gain competency and to meet objectives	CCN	Clarify Course Navigation	Confusing or forgotten instructions

a. Some responses contributed to more than one code (primary and secondary) and codes contributed to more than one theme. However, each response was counted only once toward the primary code and only once toward the primary theme.

Table 4
Themes Related to Successful Learning

Third year students on internal medicine rotation, Nov. 2017 - June 2018
Boston University Medical Center, Massachusetts USA

Theme	Full Name	Description	Comments
ELS	Effective Learning Strategies	Session design elements such as audiovisual material and practice opportunities were helpful	Focused on elements of instructional design, not on human interactions
MPE	Meaningful Peer Encounters	Discussion and practice on/with peers were helpful to learning	
MSP	Meaningful Skills Practice	Opportunity to practice and get feedback was helpful to learning	
MEE	Meaningful Educator Encounters	The in-room faculty were identified as the source of additional benefit	Included discussion leading as well as individual encounters
Other	Other	Does not contribute to a theme.	Either blank or undecipherable

Table 5
Themes Related to Opportunities for Improvement

Third year students on internal medicine rotation, Nov. 2017 - June 2018
Boston University Medical Center, Massachusetts USA

Theme	Full Name	Description	Comments
IDI	Instructional Design Improvements	Improvements were systems, curriculum, and session design related.	Recommendations could be applied system-wide to the materials
ILP	Individual Learning Preferences	Improvements were related to student preferences and needs	Included comments that might be attributable to learning preferences
NIN	No Improvement Needed	Answers indicated no adjustments or updates were needed.	Blanks were not included.
IRI	Instruction Related Improvements	These were related to the delivery of the experience or session	Attributable to one instructor. Differentiated from systems issues.
Other	Other	Does not contribute to a theme.	Either blank or undecipherable