

1 **Level of Compliance and Predictors with Personal COVID-19-preventive measures Among**
2 **Office Government Employees Returning to work in the post-epidemic period in Western**
3 **Ethiopia: A Multicenter Cross-sectional Study**

4 Gebisa Guyasa Kabito*¹, Meskele Abreham², Amensisa Hailu Tesfaye¹, and Tadesse Guadu
5 (PhD)¹

6 Authors' affiliations:

7
8 ¹Department of Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety, Institute of Public Health,
9 College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, P.O. Box 196, Gondar, Ethiopia

10 ²Department of Labor and Social Affairs Office, Inspector at Nekemte town, Oromia Region,
11 western Ethiopia.

12 *Corresponding author

13 **Emails of authors:**

14 GGK: gebeguyasa4@gmail.com,

15 MA: abrammeskele@gmail.com

16 AHT: amensisahailu@gmail.com

17 TG: tadesseguadu@yahoo.com

18

19

20

21

22

23 Abstract

24 **Background:** The contemporary global issues, COVID-19 pandemic continued causing
25 unprecedented impact on the public health, occupational health and the global economy. Keeping
26 compliance with personal preventive measures is a vital tool for managing COVID-19 pandemic
27 control and returning to work as no pharmaceutical treatments are currently available in Ethiopia.
28 Although compliance with COVID -19 personal preventive measures (CPPMs) and predictors is
29 well addressed in healthcare settings, data on the level of CPPMs and its determinants among
30 government employees working in offices worldwide, including Ethiopia, is limited. This paper is
31 aimed to fill this gaps.

32 **Methods:** We applied a cross-sectional study design from February to March, 2021. The
33 participants were government workers working in offices who had resumed work. Stratified
34 followed by simple random sampling technique was used to select 422 study participants from 30
35 government offices that had resumed work. Data were collected using a pre-tested and structured
36 interviewer-administered questionnaires and analyzed by STATA 14 software. The significance
37 of associations was established at $p < 0.05$ and adjusted odds ratio (AOR) with 95% confidence
38 intervals (CI) in the multivariable model.

39 **Results:** Response rate 95.44% (N=394). The study found 22.3% (88) of study participants (95%
40 CI = 18.5, 26.6) had high compliance with COVID -19 personal preventive measures during past
41 month. Female workers were 2.80 times more likely than males to comply with COVID-19
42 personal preventive measures (AOR: 2.80, 95%CI (1.10, 7.12), favorable attitude towards
43 COVID-19 prevention measures (AOR: 13.73, 95% CI (4.85, 38.83), high-risk perception of
44 COVID-19 infections (AOR: 2.34; 95% CI (1.24, 4.41), and high misconception about COVID-

45 19 (AOR : 3.92, 95% CI (1.45, 10.62) were predicted better compliance with COVID-19 PPMs
46 (P < 0.05).

47 **Conclusions:** In sum, little proportion of sampled study participants complied with COVID -19
48 personal preventive measures. Sex, attitudes, risk perception, and misconception have all been
49 identified as significant risk factors. Actions are needed to strengthen COVID -19 personal
50 preventive measures among government employees to maintain COVID -19 control following
51 work resumption. In the future, it's vital to work on government employees' attitudes and
52 perceptions in order to improve compliance.

53 **Keywords:** *COVID-19; compliance; utilization; use; cross-sectional; personal preventive*
54 *measures; work resumption, government employees, offices, Ethiopia*

55 **Introduction**

56 The contemporary global issues, COVID-19 pandemic, has disrupted life and work habits and has
57 produced landmark changes worldwide [1-3]. In light of this, offices, like other workplaces, are a
58 source of stress for both employees and customers, but the nature and extent of work-related
59 stressors in non-healthcare settings have changed dramatically in recent years[4-7]. The pandemic
60 is continuing to have an unprecedented impact on the public health, occupational health and the
61 global economy. As an example, in USA, 644 workers were contracted COVID-19 due
62 occupational exposure at offices, although the actual numbers are unknown because of inadequate
63 data collection systems in the rest of the world[8]. Furthermore, occupational exposure to COVID-
64 19, also been linked to long-term depression, anxiety, and insomnia [9]. As of October 8, 2021,
65 WHO had received reports of 236,599,025 confirmed cases of COVID-19, with 4,831,486 deaths,
66 of which 352,504 were in Ethiopia, with 5,888 deaths [10]. Likewise, as of 19th June 2022, the

67 total number of confirmed COVID-19 cases in Ethiopia has reached 484,138 with 7,523 deaths,
68 458,280 recovered [11], with Addis Ababa and Oromia regional state accounting for 65% and 14%
69 of the cases respectively [12, 13]. Indeed, the COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted the global
70 economy, the health systems, social and the quality of life predominantly in developing countries
71 [14, 15]. The global GDP was estimated a 4.5% drop in economic growth results in almost 2.96
72 trillion U.S. dollars of lost economic output[16].

73 Global efforts to mitigate the effects of the pandemic and reduce the health and socioeconomic
74 impact rely heavily on personal preventive measures [17]. To slow the spread of the virus, the
75 government initially imposed a nationwide lockdown. However, such restrictions harmed the
76 country's economy. Ethiopia, as a low-income country, could not afford a prolonged lockdown in
77 the face of unprecedented challenges. As a result, the Ethiopian government decided to ease the
78 lockdown. Although the COVID-19 pandemic has been identified as a major occupational health
79 problem, particularly in healthcare workplace settings, very little research has been conducted to
80 evaluate compliance to personal COVID-19 preventive measures (PPMs) among government
81 office workers globally. Given that, COVID-19 infection can spread quickly among officials,
82 personnel, and visitors at offices and other workplaces with shared spaces such as corridors,
83 elevators & stairs, parking places, cafeteria, meeting rooms and conference halls, and so on. Thus,
84 in order to limit the spread of infection, it is necessary to prevent infection in non-healthcare
85 workplace settings [4].

86 Similarly, according to WHO, indoor locations, particularly those that are enclosed and confined
87 with little or no ventilation, are riskier than outdoor locations to acquire COVID-19 virus
88 infections[18]. To reduce the risk of infection, it is critical to ensure compliance with personal
89 preventive measures (PPMs) in the government offices. Furthermore, government employees

90 spend an average of 8 hours each day at the workplace, which may be in crowded [5]. Keeping
91 compliance with personal preventive measures is critical to striking a balance between COVID-19
92 pandemic control and resumed work. The usefulness of these PPMs is heavily reliant on
93 government employees' compliance at work[19]. Epidemiological studies conducted in China[20],
94 Australia[21], and Thailand[22] consistently supported the importance of achieving high
95 compliance with personal preventive measures (PPMs), ranging from 80% to 95%, in controlling
96 the COVID-19 pandemic.

97 Personal Preventive Measures (PPMs) against COVID-19 include frequent handwashing with soap
98 and water, physical distancing, wearing facemasks, including homemade masks, using alcohol-
99 based sanitizers, covering mouth and nose while coughing and sneezing, avoiding touching the
100 nose, mouth, and eyes with hands, and refraining from risky behavior, all of which are
101 recommended interventions and heavily promoted and implemented in Ethiopia to control the rate
102 of transmission[23].

103 The compliance with PPMs is dependent on many factors; for example the existing literatures
104 showed socio-demographic attributes (e.g. being female[24]), perceived effectiveness of
105 preventive measures by the individual[25], media exposure [26], knowledge[27], risk
106 perception[25] were statistically significant with compliance to PPMs.

107 Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, very limited study has investigated compliance with
108 personal preventive measures and associated factors among government employees who resumed
109 work during the COVID-19 pandemic at office setting in Ethiopia. In the current study, we
110 examined the level of compliance with personal preventive measures against COVID-19 and

111 associated factors among government employees working in offices in Nekemte city
112 administration in western Ethiopia, at the beginning of work resumption.

113 **Materials and Methods**

114 **Study design and period**

115 An institution based cross-sectional study was conducted from February to March, 2021.

116 **Study area**

117 The study was carried out in Nekemte town, East Wollega zone, west Ethiopia, which is 335 Km
118 west of Addis Ababa, capital city. It is the center of Western Ethiopia serving as a transient point
119 for different zones and three regional states of the country. Total population of the town is 127,380
120 among which male constitutes 51.03% [28]. There is one specialized hospital, one referral hospital,
121 two health centers, and seven health posts in the town. Along with 30 governmental
122 organizations/offices in the town with estimated 2500 government employees (civil servant).

123 **Source and study populations**

124 All government employees (GE) working in offices were the source population. The randomly
125 selected employees in the selected government offices and work in offices were our study
126 population. All government employees who were available in the office was included in the study
127 and those who were unable to communicate easily during data collection were excluded.

128 **Sample size determination and sampling procedures**

129 The sample size was calculated using a single population proportion formula [29] with a 95%
130 confidence interval (CI), 5% margin of error (d), proportion (P) 50%, since this would yield the

131 maximum possible sample size. Considering 10% non-response rate the final sample size was 422.
132 To recruit eligible samples, we employed stratified followed by simple random sampling. The list
133 of government employees was obtained from the human resources (HR) departments of each
134 government office in town. So then, a numbered list of all employees in town was created, and
135 study participants were chosen at random using random number generator software. Accordingly,
136 the sample size was proportionally assigned to each government office based on the number of
137 employees in the respective government offices. Participants were approached at their workplaces,
138 using all of the WHO-recommended COVID-19 preventive measures, such as physical distance,
139 face masks, and hand hygiene.

140 **Data collection tools**

141 Data were collected using a pre-tested and structured interviewer-administered questionnaires
142 methods. The questionnaire was adapted and modified in local settings based on previously
143 available studies [30-32], WHO guidelines[33], and Center of Diseases Control tools[34]. The tool
144 includes seven components: Sociodemographic information, compliance with COVID-19 personal
145 preventative measures, knowledge of COVID-19 and preventative measures, attitudes toward
146 COVID-19 and preventive measures, risk perception of COVID-19 infection, misconceptions, and
147 individual behavioral characteristics. We looked at the Cronbach's alpha coefficients of each
148 component item, and the results show that they are all satisfactory, ranging from 0.68 to 0.84 across
149 all components [35].

150 **Operational definitions**

151 Compliance with personal preventive measures against COVID-19 was operationalized as an
152 implementation of the four key COVID-19 preventive measures at workplaces in the past
153 month[36]. These are wearing a face mask, frequent hand hygiene, maintain social distance and

154 respiratory etiquette. Accordingly, participants who used the four key components/ 100% were
155 considered "high compliance" with personal COVID-19 personal preventive measures, otherwise
156 "low compliance"

157 COVID-19 knowledge was assessed using 13 items adapted from previous research [37]. Items
158 1-4, 5-8, and 9–13 in this list pertain to participant understanding about COVID-19 clinical
159 manifestations, transmission pathways, and prevention and control, respectively. Study subjects
160 were given “true,” “false,” or “not sure” response options to these items. An item's accurate
161 response assigned 1 points, whereas an incorrect or unsure response received 0. Respondents were
162 classified as having good knowledge if they scored a median or higher score; otherwise, poor
163 knowledge. Attitude towards COVID-19 and Preventive measures were assessed using 11
164 questions, with 7 questions about COVID-19 and 4 questions about preventive measures on a five-
165 point ordinal scale “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree”. Participants who responds median and
166 above score of the attitude questions about the COVID-19 were labeled as having favorable
167 attitude otherwise unfavorable attitude. Risk perception about COVID-19 infection was measured
168 with two dimensions, first dimension was symbolize how likely one considered oneself (his/her
169 families) would be infected with COVID-19 if no personal preventive measure will be taken. The
170 second dimension was denote how one rated the seriousness of symptoms caused by COVID-19,
171 their perceived chance of having COVID-19 cured and that of survival if infected with COVID-
172 19. To determine the respondents' levels of risk perception, we pooled the two dimensions and
173 asked five items with five response options. Answers were scored on a five-point ordinal scale
174 reflecting the levels of contacting COVID-19, such as "How likely you will be infected? How
175 likely your family will be infected?" Every item on a scale from 1 to 5, ranges in the susceptibility
176 from ‘very unlikely’ to ‘very likely’. Accordingly, response were classified into low perceived risk

177 (“very unlikely” or “unlikely”), (“very low” or “low”), and (“not serious at all” or “not serious”)
178 and high perceived risk (“very likely” or “likely”) [38]. We examined misconception of COVID-
179 19 with 11 questions. Each question had five possible response categories ranging from strongly
180 disagree (1) to strongly agree (5). Hence, respondents who score =or > median were coded as
181 having misconceptions; otherwise, no misconceptions[39]. Furthermore, a number of demographic
182 and behavioral information were also collected, such as age, gender, marital status, pay,
183 employment history, family size, educational attainment, religion, cigarette smoking, khat
184 chewing, alcohol drinking, and physical exercises. Finally, in the current study, when we refer to
185 "government employees," we only mean those who are employed in office by a government
186 department, agency, or public sector organization.

187 **Data quality control**

188 The tool was initially prepared in English and then translated into the local language, *Afaan oromo*
189 and back translated to English in order to check its consistency. We recruited ten data collectors
190 and four supervisors with different health-related professional backgrounds. One day training was
191 offered for data collectors and supervisors on topics related to research objectives, clarity of
192 questions, utilization of PPE, the confidentiality of information and consent in the study. The
193 training was given in lecture, roleplay and discussion ways. The questionnaires were pre-tested on
194 20 samples that were not included in the final analysis and the relevant modifications were made
195 before the actual data collection was conducted.

196 **Data management and statistical analysis**

197 The data were checked for completeness and entered into Epidata version 4.6, and exported to
198 STATA 14 windows for analysis. Frequency distributions, percentages, means, and standard

199 deviations were used for description of the results. Binary logistic regression (Bivariable and
200 multivariable binary logistic regression) was performed to identify statistically significant
201 variables. Adjusted odds ratio with 95% confidence interval was used to declare statistically
202 significant variables on the basis of $p < 0.05$ in the multivariable binary logistic regression model.
203 Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test was used to check the model fitness ($P > 0.05$).

204 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

205 Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of University of Gondar
206 (Reference #: IPH/625/2021) and an official permission letter was gained from the Nekemte city
207 administrative office. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before
208 conducting the actual data collection process for guaranteeing their choice of participation or
209 refusal. Any identifiable issues were eliminated to ascertain confidentiality. Furthermore, an
210 appropriate COVID-19 infection prevention measures were considered during data collection
211 process.

212 **Results**

213 **Socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics of study participants**

214 A total of 394 questionnaires were completed making a response rate of 95.44%. The mean (\pm SD)
215 age of the participant was 38.39 (\pm 6.80) years, accompanied by most (42.4%) of their age group
216 found between 36-44 years. More than half, (53.3%) of the participants were females and three-
217 fourths, (74.6%) of the participants were married. Regarding educational status, 18.8%, 19.8%,
218 and 61.4% of the participants had certificates, diplomas, and degree receptacles respectively. One-
219 fourth (25.1%) of participants had indicated 5-10 years of working experience. Concerning,
220 monthly salary 3 out of 7 (42.9%) participants' wage was found between 5201-7200 Ethiopian Birr

221 (ETB). Regarding behavioral characteristics, nearly one-fifth (21.3%) of participants reported they
222 were alcohol drinkers. Whereas, 75 (19%) of respondents chew khat. Moreover, fifty-three
223 (13.5%) of participants conveyed they were performing physical exercise and smoking cigarettes
224 (Table 1).

225 **Table 1:** Socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics of study participants among
226 government employees in Nekemte town, Ethiopia, 2021 (N=394).

Variables	Frequency	Percent (%)
Sex		
Male	184	46.7
Female	210	53.3
Age (years)		
21-35	109	27.7
36-44	167	42.4
≥45	118	29.9
Marital status		
Single	100	24.4
Married	294	74.6
Religion		
Orthodox	130	33.0
Muslim	93	23.6
Protestant	153	38.8
Wakefata	18	4.6
Educational status		
Certificate	74	18.8
Diploma	78	19.8
Degree	242	61.4
Work experience in years		
<5	108	27.4
5-10	99	25.1
>10	187	47.5

Monthly salary (ETB)

3201-5200	65	16.5
5201-7200	169	42.9
>7200	160	40.6

Cigarette smoking

Yes	53	13.5
No	341	86.5

Alcohol drinking

Yes	84	21.3
No	310	78.7

Chewing khat

Yes	75	19
No	319	81

Physical exercise

Yes	53	13.5
No	341	86.5

227 **Key:** ETB= Ethiopian Birr (currency)

228 **Knowledge, attitude, risk perception, and misconception of COVID-19**

229 One-fourth (25.4%) of participants had poor knowledge about COVID-19, while sixty (15.2%) of
 230 employees had poor knowledge of COVID-19 prevention measures. Regarding participants'
 231 attitudes, 223 (56.6%) and 184 (46.7%) of respondents had an unfavorable attitude towards
 232 COVID-19 and its preventive measures, respectively. Moreover, a majority (58.1%) of participants
 233 were a low-risk perception regarding COVID-19, whereas 175 (44.4%) of respondents had high
 234 misconceptions toward 2019 coronavirus disease (**Table 2**).

235 **Table 2:** Knowledge, attitude, risk perception, and misconception of the study participants about
 236 COVID-19 among government employees in Nekemte town, Ethiopia, 2021 (N=394).

237

Variables (n=394)	Frequency	Percent (%)
Knowledge about COVID-19		
Poor knowledge	100	25.4
Good knowledge	294	74.6
Knowledge of COVID-19 prevention measures		
Poor knowledge	60	15.2
Good knowledge	334	84.8
Attitude towards Covid-19 virus		
Unfavorable attitude	223	56.6
Favorable attitude	171	43.4
Attitude towards prevention measures of COVID-19		
Unfavorable attitude	184	46.7
Favorable attitude	210	53.3
Risk perception of COVID-19		
High risk	165	41.9
Low risk	229	58.1
Misconception of COVID-19		
High misconception	175	44.4
Low misconception	219	55.6

238

239 **Compliance of Personal COVID-19 preventive measures**

240 The finding of this study revealed that the overall utilization of employees' recommended
 241 preventive measures towards COVID-19 was 22.3% (95% CI = 18.5, 26.6).

242 **Factors associated with Compliance of Personal COVID-19 preventive measures**

243 In the bivariable logistic regression analysis, sex, marital status, physical exercise, attitude towards
 244 COVID-19 and its preventive measure, risk perception of COVID-19, and misconception of
 245 COVID-19 were the factors associated with utilization of COVID-19 preventive measures.
 246 However, after controlling for confounding variables in the multivariable binary logistic regression

247 analysis, only sex, attitude towards COVID-19 prevention measures, risk perception and
 248 misconception of COVID-19 remained to have a significant association with compliance of
 249 Personal COVID-19 preventive measures. Female workers were almost 3 times more likely to
 250 comply COVID-19 personal preventive measures compared with males [AOR = 2.80, 95% CI
 251 (1.10, 7.12)], The probability of utilizing Personal COVID-19 preventive measures was 13.73
 252 times greater in employees who had a favorable attitude towards COVID-19 prevention measures
 253 compared to those who had unfavorable about it [AOR = 13.73, 95% CI (4.85, 38.83)]. Moreover,
 254 the odds of utilizing preventive measures were 2.24 times more likely among employees who had
 255 a high-risk perception of COVID-19 than among those who had low-risk perception [AOR=2.34;
 256 95% CI (1.24, 4.41)]. Thus, respondents who had a low misconception of COVID-19 were about
 257 4 times more likely to utilize those measures compared to those who had a high misconception
 258 about coronavirus counterpart [AOR = 3.92, 95% CI (1.45, 10.62)] (**Table 3**).

259 **Table 3:** Logistic regression analysis of factors associated with compliance towards personal
 260 COVID-19 preventive measures among Nekemte town government employees, 2021.

Variables (n=394)	Compliance with PPMs		COR with 95% CI	AOR with 95% CI
	high	low		
Sex				
Male	19	165	1	1
Female	69	141	4.25 (2.44-7.41)	2.80 (1.10-7.12)*
Marital status				
Single	13	87	1	1
Married	75	219	2.29 (1.21-4.34)	0.61 (0.22-1.74)
Physical exercise				
Yes	8	45	1	1
No	80	261	1.72 (0.78-3.81)	0.59 (0.19-1.81)
Attitude towards COVID-19 virus				

Unfavorable attitude	28	195	1	1
Favorable attitude	60	111	3.76 (2.27-6.24)	0.76 (0.29-1.99)
Attitude towards prevention measures of COVID-19				
Unfavorable attitude	9	175	1	1
Favorable attitude	79	131	11.73 (5.68-24.23)	13.73(4.85-38.83)*
Risk perception of COVID-19				
High risk	67	152	3.23 (1.89-5.54)	2.34 (1.24-4.41)*
Low risk	21	154	1	1
Misconception of COVID-19				
High misconception	12	153	1	1
Low misconception	76	153	6.33 (3.31-12.18)	3.92 (1.45-10.62)*

261 **Keys:** 1=reference category AOR=adjusted odds ratio, CI= confidence interval, COR=crudes odds
 262 ratio, *=significant at $p < 0.05$ in multivariable logistic regression analysis, Hosmer and Lemeshow
 263 test $p = 0.993$.

264 **Discussions**

265 As the lockdowns released in Ethiopia to resume office work, implementation of personal COVID-
 266 19 prevention measures in offices is vital in containing the pandemic. We aimed to assess the level
 267 of compliance with COVID-19 personal preventive measures and associated factors among a
 268 sample of government employees in Nekemte town, western Ethiopia. Accordingly, the analysis
 269 showed the high level of compliance with COVID-19 personal preventive measures was stood
 270 22.3% in last one month. The study also revealed sex, attitude, risk perception, and misconception
 271 on COVID-19 preventive measures were the factors associated with the compliance of COVID-
 272 19 PPMs.

273 The result of our study was lower than other studies done in Ethiopia (49%) and other regions of
 274 the world, such as Nepal (37.1 %), China (53.7%) [40] and (96.8%) [25], Vietnam (75.8%) [41],
 275 Japan (90%) [42], Pakistan (46.7%) [43] and Iran (71%) [44]. On the other hand, the current report
 276 was higher than a study finding in Thailand (17%) [45]. The disparity could be attributed to

277 differences in study design, sample size, data collection tools and procedures (e.g., web-based,
278 face-to-face, type of tool), study time, and variable operationalization. On top of this,
279 dissimilarities in socio-demographic characteristics, access to protective equipment and comfort,
280 levels of media utilization, individual differences, job satisfaction, position, workplace policy,
281 economic and healthcare system may all have an impact on the level of compliance with personal
282 COVID-19 preventive measures. Furthermore, an Ethiopian study found a high level of reluctance
283 to accept the recommended preventive measures, which is a major issue throughout Ethiopia,
284 including the current study area [46].

285 In this study, sex of the study participants was found to be significantly associated with level of
286 compliance of COVID-19 PPMs. This findings was supported by previous studies[47], [48], [49]
287 [50]. This could be justified as, female are more conscientious often than males in doing things
288 correctly, likewise, a number of studies that explored the gender effects on individual response to
289 COVID-19 consistently reported that females are more likely to take COVID-19 seriously and
290 vigilantly, and thus are more likely to comply with COVID-19 measures, which may be true for
291 the current study populations[24, 51-53]. Furthermore, sex has been linked to compliance with
292 COVID-19 preventive measures, with men being less likely than women to wash their hands, wear
293 a mask, or engage in social distancing[54]. This could be related to information representing men's
294 trend to underestimate the brutality of the pandemic[55, 56].

295 The present study publicized, having a favorable attitudes toward COVID-19 prevention measures
296 leads to greater compliance with personal COVID-19 prevention measures than an unfavorable
297 attitude. This result is consistent with prior research findings [57-59]. This might be explained as
298 government employees with favorable/positive attitudes are more watchful and responsible for
299 implementing preventive measures. Moreover, employees with favorable/positive attitudes

300 comprehend the benefits of prevention, the mode of transmission, and the hazard if it is not
301 prevented properly[60].

302 The odds of complying with personal COVID-19 preventive measures were two times more likely
303 among employees who had a high-risk perception of COVID-19 than among those who had low-
304 risk perception. This is supported by other scholars[61-65] Undeniably, risk perception is a
305 complex process greatly influenced by many factors including, individuals' beliefs and
306 perceptions, socio-cultural system, environmental and political conditions, geographic locations,
307 contextual factors, and individual daily experiences[66, 67]. In light of this, high risk perception
308 towards COVID-19 infection could ultimately affect motivation and performance related to their
309 compliance of COVID-19 personal preventive measures [68]

310 Similarly, respondents who had a low misconception of COVID-19 were about four times more
311 likely to utilize those measures compared to those who had a high misconception about corona
312 virus counterpart. Similar findings were reported from existing literatures [39, 69, 70]. This could
313 be attributed by the fact that the current study was carried out after the disease had spread and
314 public awareness had risen somewhat. These factors have been very important in lowering
315 misconceptions and increasing the implementation of COVID-19 prevention strategies. In
316 addition, it's crucial to examine the vast and quick spread of numerous conspiracy and false
317 information regarding the ongoing pandemic [71].

318 In the current study, the following limitations should be considered while interpretations. First,
319 due to the cross-sectional nature of the study design, it is not able to show the temporal relationship
320 between the outcome variable and explanatory variables. Second, we only included government
321 employees who works in offices, so generalization should be made cautiously to individuals
322 working in other types of workplaces. Third, the compliance of COVID-19 personal preventive

323 measures was self-reported by the government employees, which might have introduced recall
324 bias. However, we limited the time to one month, which may lessen remembering issues. Despite
325 these shortcomings, we believe the report strongly depicts government employees' compliance
326 with COVID-19 personal preventive measures and associated factors.

327 **Conclusions**

328 In conclusion, little proportion of sampled study participants complied with COVID -19 personal
329 preventive measures. Sex, attitudes, risk perception, and misconception have all been identified as
330 significant risk factors. Actions are needed to strengthen COVID -19 personal preventive measures
331 among government employees to maintain COVID -19 control following work resumption. In the
332 future, it's vital to work on government employees' attitudes and perceptions in order to improve
333 compliance. Compliance with advised preventive behaviors has to be improved for employees
334 returning to work during the post-COVID-19 pandemic period.

335 **Abbreviations**

336 AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI= Confidence Interval; ETB= Ethiopia Birr; COVID-19= Corona
337 virus disease 19; CPPMs= compliance with COVID -19 personal preventive measures; COR=
338 Crude Odds Ratio; OR= Odds Ratio; SD= Standard Deviation; STATA: Statistics and Data;
339 WHO=World Health Organization

340 **Acknowledgements**

341 We are very thankful to Nekemte town city administration for approving and providing the
342 necessary preliminary information during this study. We would like to acknowledge the University
343 of Gondar, College of Medicine and Health Sciences and Comprehensive Specialized Hospital,
344 Institute of Public Health for providing ethical clearance. The authors are also very much grateful
345 for all data collectors, supervisors, and the study participants.

346 **References**

- 347 1. Thompson R: **Pandemic potential of 2019-nCoV**. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2020,
348 **20(3):280**.
- 349 2. Zu ZY, Jiang MD, Xu PP, Chen W, Ni QQ, Lu GM, Zhang LJ: **Coronavirus disease 2019**
350 **(COVID-19): a perspective from China**. *Radiology* 2020:200490.
- 351 3. Sun J, He W-T, Wang L, Lai A, Ji X, Zhai X, Li G, Suchard MA, Tian J, Zhou J: **COVID-**
352 **19: epidemiology, evolution, and cross-disciplinary perspectives**. *Trends in Molecular*
353 *Medicine* 2020.
- 354 4. Belingheri M, Paladino ME, Riva MA: **COVID-19: Health prevention and control in**
355 **non-healthcare settings**. In., vol. 70: Oxford University Press UK; 2020: 82-83.
- 356 5. Morawska L, Tang JW, Bahnfleth W, Bluysen PM, Boerstra A, Buonanno G, Cao J,
357 Dancer S, Floto A, Franchimon F: **How can airborne transmission of COVID-19**
358 **indoors be minimised?** *Environment international* 2020, **142**:105832.
- 359 6. Sasaki N, Kuroda R, Tsuno K, Kawakami N: **Workplace responses to COVID-19**
360 **associated with mental health and work performance of employees in Japan**. *Journal*
361 *of occupational health* 2020, **62(1)**:e12134.
- 362 7. Koh D: **Occupational risks for COVID-19 infection**. In., vol. 70: Oxford University
363 Press UK; 2020: 3-5.
- 364 8. Angélica C: **The Untold Story Behind America's Biggest Outbreak**. Available online
365 **at: [https://www.msn.com/en-ph/news/world/the-untold-story-behind-americas-](https://www.msn.com/en-ph/news/world/the-untold-story-behind-americas-biggest-outbreak/ar-BB12LhFn)**
366 **[biggest-outbreak/ar-BB12LhFn](https://www.msn.com/en-ph/news/world/the-untold-story-behind-americas-biggest-outbreak/ar-BB12LhFn)** (accessed May 17, 2022).
- 367 9. Shi L, Lu Z-A, Que J-Y, Huang X-L, Lu Q-D, Liu L, Zheng Y-B, Liu W-J, Ran M-S, Yuan
368 K *et al*: **Long-Term Impact of COVID-19 on Mental Health among the General**
369 **Public: A Nationwide Longitudinal Study in China**. *International journal of*
370 *environmental research and public health* 2021, **18(16)**:8790.
- 371 10. Coronavirus W: **Dashboard| WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard With**
372 **Vaccination Data**. In.; 2021.
- 373 11. Worldometers: **COVID-19 Virus Pandemic (Live)**
374 **.<https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>**. 2021. Accessed on May 10, 2022.
- 375 12. Ethiopian Public Health Institute: **National Public Health Emergency Operation**
376 **Center. COVID-19 Pandemic Preparedness and Response. Bulletin No. 66, August**

- 377 **01, 2021. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Available:**
378 **[https://www.ephi.gov.et/images/novel coronavirus/EPHI -PHEOC COVID-](https://www.ephi.gov.et/images/novel_coronavirus/EPHI_PHEOC_COVID-19_Weekly-bulletin_66_English_08082021.pdf)**
379 **[19 Weekly-bulletin 66 English 08082021.pdf](https://www.ephi.gov.et/images/novel_coronavirus/EPHI_PHEOC_COVID-19_Weekly-bulletin_66_English_08082021.pdf) Accessed 11 May 2022.**
- 380 13. Tegegne KT, Tegegne ET, Tessema MK, Abera G, Bifato B, Gebremichael K, Abebe AT,
381 Assefa AA, Zenebe A, Bagajjo WS: **Spatial distribution of COVID-19 in Ethiopia-**
382 **geospatial analysis.** *Global Journal of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Research* 2022,
383 **8(1):001-007.**
- 384 14. Famuyiro TB, Ogunwale A, des Bordes J, Raji M: **COVID-19: perceived infection risk**
385 **and barriers to uptake of Pfizer-BioNTech and moderna vaccines among community**
386 **healthcare workers.** *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities* 2021:1-7.
- 387 15. Abebe H, Shitu S, Mose A: **Understanding of COVID-19 Vaccine Knowledge, Attitude,**
388 **Acceptance, and Determinates of COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance Among Adult**
389 **Population in Ethiopia.** *Infection and Drug Resistance* 2021, **14:2015.**
- 390 16. **Impact of the coronavirus pandemic on the global economy - Statistics & Facts.**
391 **Available at [https://www.statista.com/topics/6139/covid-19-impact-on-the-global-](https://www.statista.com/topics/6139/covid-19-impact-on-the-global-economy/#dossierKeyfigures)**
392 **[economy/#dossierKeyfigures](https://www.statista.com/topics/6139/covid-19-impact-on-the-global-economy/#dossierKeyfigures). Accessed on June 20, 2022.**
- 393 17. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, Agha M, Agha R: **The**
394 **socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review.**
395 *International journal of surgery (London, England)* 2020, **78:185-193.**
- 396 18. Organization WH: **Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): How is it transmitted? 2020.**
397 **URL: <https://www.who.int/news-room/qa-detail/qa-how-is-covid-19-transmitted> 2021.**
- 398 19. Maharaj S, Kleczkowski A: **Controlling epidemic spread by social distancing: Do it**
399 **well or not at all.** *BMC Public Health* 2012, **12(1):679.**
- 400 20. Zhang L, Shen M, Ma X, Su S, Gong W, Wang J: **What is required to prevent a second**
401 **major outbreak of the novel coronavirus COVID-19 upon lifting the metropolitan-**
402 **wide quarantine of Wuhan City, China: a mathematical modelling study (3/11/2020).**
403 *Innovation 2020b*, **1(1):100006.**
- 404 21. Chang SL, Harding N, Zachreson C, Cliff OM, Prokopenko M: **Modelling transmission**
405 **and control of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia.** *Nature communications* 2020,
406 **11(1):1-13.**
- 407 22. Wipatayotin A: **70% Thais stick to social distancing.** *Bangkok Post* 2020.

- 408 23. Deressa W, Worku A, Abebe W, Getachew S, Amogne W: **Social distancing and**
409 **preventive practices of government employees in response to COVID-19 in Ethiopia.**
410 *PloS one* 2021, **16**(9):e0257112.
- 411 24. Clark C, Davila A, Regis M, Kraus S: **Predictors of COVID-19 voluntary compliance**
412 **behaviors: An international investigation.** *Global transitions* 2020, **2**:76-82.
- 413 25. Pan Y, Fang Y: **Self-Reported Compliance With Personal Preventive Measures**
414 **Among Chinese Factory Workers at the Beginning of Work Resumption Following**
415 **the COVID-19 Outbreak: Cross-Sectional Survey Study.** 2020, **22**(9):e22457.
- 416 26. Melki J, Tamim H, Hadid D, Farhat S, Makki M, Ghandour L, Hitti E: **Media exposure**
417 **and health behavior during pandemics: the mediating effect of perceived knowledge**
418 **and fear on compliance with COVID-19 prevention measures.** *Health communication*
419 2022, **37**(5):586-596.
- 420 27. Andarge E, Fikadu T, Temesgen R, Shegaze M, Feleke T, Haile F, Endashaw G, Boti N,
421 Bekele A, Glagn M: **Intention and practice on personal preventive measures against**
422 **the COVID-19 pandemic among adults with chronic conditions in southern Ethiopia:**
423 **a survey using the theory of planned behavior.** *Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare*
424 2020, **13**:1863.
- 425 28. Seyoum D, Tsegaye R, Tesfaye A: **Under nutrition as a predictor of poor academic**
426 **performance; the case of Nekemte primary schools students, Western Ethiopia.** *BMC*
427 *Research Notes* 2019, **12**(1):727.
- 428 29. Charan J, Biswas T: **How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical**
429 **research?** *Indian journal of psychological medicine* 2013, **35**(2):121-126.
- 430 30. Sahiledengle B, Gebresilassie A, Getahun T, Hiko D: **Infection prevention practices and**
431 **associated factors among healthcare workers in governmental healthcare facilities in**
432 **Addis Ababa.** *Ethiopian journal of health sciences* 2018, **28**(2):177-186.
- 433 31. Zenbaba D, Sahiledengle B, Bogale D: **Practices of healthcare workers regarding**
434 **infection prevention in Bale Zone Hospitals, Southeast Ethiopia.** *Advances in Public*
435 *Health* 2020, **2020**.
- 436 32. Azene ZN, Merid MW, Muluneh AG, Geberu DM, Kassa GM, Yenit MK, Tilahun SY,
437 Gelaye KA, Mekonnen HS, Azagew AW: **Adherence towards COVID-19 mitigation**

- 438 **measures and its associated factors among Gondar City residents: A community-**
439 **based cross-sectional study in Northwest Ethiopia.** *PloS one* 2020, **15**(12):e0244265.
- 440 33. Organization WH: **Infection prevention and control during health care when novel**
441 **coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance, 25 January 2020.** In.:
442 World Health Organization; 2020.
- 443 34. ECDC I: **prevention and control and preparedness for COVID-19 in health care**
444 **settings.** *Third update* 2020.
- 445 35. Abir T, Kalimullah NA, Osuagwu UL, Yazdani DMN-A, Al Mamun A, Husain T, Basak
446 P, Permarupan PY, Agho K: **Factors Associated with Perception of Risk and**
447 **Knowledge of Contracting the Novel COVID-19 among Adults in Bangladesh:**
448 **Analysis of Online Surveys.** 2020.
- 449 36. Organization WH: **Rational use of personal protective equipment (PPE) for**
450 **coronavirus disease (COVID-19): interim guidance, 19 March 2020.** In.: World Health
451 Organization; 2020.
- 452 37. !!! INVALID CITATION !!!
- 453 38. Gonzales AA, Ton TG, Garrouste EM, Goldberg J, Buchwald D: **Perceived cancer risk**
454 **among American Indians: Implications for intervention research.** *Ethnicity & disease*
455 2010, **20**(4):458.
- 456 39. Mekonnen HS, Azagew AW, Wubneh CA, Belay GM, Assimamaw NT, Agegnehu CD,
457 Azale T, Azene ZN, Merid MW, Muluneh AG: **Community's misconception about**
458 **COVID-19 and its associated factors among Gondar town residents, Northwest**
459 **Ethiopia.** *Tropical Medicine and Health* 2020, **48**(1):1-9.
- 460 40. Liu J, Tong Y, Li S, Tian Z, He L, Zheng J: **Compliance with COVID-19-preventive**
461 **behaviours among employees returning to work in the post-epidemic period.** *BMC*
462 *Public Health* 2022, **22**(1):369.
- 463 41. Van Nhu H, Tuyet-Hanh TT, Van NTA, Linh TNQ, Tien TQ: **Knowledge, Attitudes, and**
464 **Practices of the Vietnamese as Key Factors in Controlling COVID-19.** *Journal of*
465 *Community Health* 2020, **45**(6):1263-1269.
- 466 42. Ishimaru T, Nagata M, Hino A, Yamashita S, Tateishi S, Tsuji M, Ogami A, Matsuda S,
467 Fujino Y: **Workplace measures against COVID-19 during the winter third wave in**

- 468 **Japan: Company size-based differences.** *Journal of occupational health* 2021,
469 **63(1):e12224.**
- 470 43. Kumar P, Sohail A, Shah M, Khurshid M, Yasmin F, Asghar MS: **Self-Reported**
471 **Compliance with Personal Preventive Measures among Office Workers After Work**
472 **Resumption during the COVID-19 Outbreak in Pakistan.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2021,
473 **105(6):1498-1504.**
- 474 44. Gautam R, Paudel BD, Shrestha R, Kalikotay B: **Awareness, Perceived Risk and**
475 **Preventive Practice on Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) among Cancer Patient in**
476 **Bir Hospital, Kathmandu.** *Nepal Medical College Journal* 2021, **23(2):139-145.**
- 477 45. Srichan P, Apidechkul T, Tamornpark R, Yeemard F, Khunthason S, Kitchanapaiboon S,
478 Wongnuch P, Wongphaet A, Upala P: **Knowledge, attitude and preparedness to**
479 **respond to the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) among the bordered population**
480 **of northern Thailand in the early period of the outbreak: a cross-sectional study.**
481 *Available at SSRN 3546046* 2020.
- 482 46. Abeya SG, Barkesa SB, Sadi CG, Gameda DD, Muleta FY, Tolera AF, Ayana DN,
483 Mohammed SA, Wako EB, Hurisa MB *et al*: **Adherence to COVID-19 preventive**
484 **measures and associated factors in Oromia regional state of Ethiopia.** *PLoS One* 2021,
485 **16(10):e0257373.**
- 486 47. Alsharawy A, Spoon R, Smith A, Ball S: **Gender differences in fear and risk perception**
487 **during the COVID-19 pandemic.** *Frontiers in psychology* 2021:3104.
- 488 48. Paramita W, Rostiani R, Winahjoe S, Wibowo A, Virgosita R, Audita H: **Explaining the**
489 **Voluntary Compliance to COVID-19 Measures: An Extrapolation on the Gender**
490 **Perspective.** *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management* 2021, **22(1):1-18.**
- 491 49. Huong LTT, Hoang LT, Tuyet-Hanh TT, Anh NQ, Huong NT, Cuong DM, Quyen BTT:
492 **Reported handwashing practices of Vietnamese people during the COVID-19**
493 **pandemic and associated factors: a 2020 online survey.** *AIMS Public Health* 2020,
494 **7(3):650-663.**
- 495 50. Zhong B-L, Luo W, Li H-M, Zhang Q-Q, Liu X-G, Li W-T, Li Y: **Knowledge, attitudes,**
496 **and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise**
497 **period of the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey.** *International*
498 *journal of biological sciences* 2020, **16(10):1745.**

- 499 51. Haischer MH, Beilfuss R, Hart MR, Opielinski L, Wrucke D, Zirgaitis G, Uhrich TD,
500 Hunter SK: **Who is wearing a mask? Gender-, age-, and location-related differences**
501 **during the COVID-19 pandemic.** *PloS one* 2020, **15**(10):e0240785.
- 502 52. Galasso V, Pons V, Profeta P, Becher M, Brouard S, Foucault M: **Gender differences in**
503 **COVID-19 related attitudes and behavior: Evidence from a panel survey in eight**
504 **OECD countries.** In.: National Bureau of Economic Research; 2020.
- 505 53. Capraro V, Barcelo H: **Telling people to "rely on their reasoning" increases intentions**
506 **to wear a face covering to slow down COVID-19 transmission.** *Applied cognitive*
507 *psychology* 2021, **35**(3):693-699.
- 508 54. Griffith DM, Sharma G, Holliday CS, Enyia OK, Valliere M, Semlow AR, Stewart EC,
509 Blumenthal RS: **A biopsychosocial approach to understanding sex differences in**
510 **mortality and recommendations for practice and policy interventions.** In.; 2020.
- 511 55. Keinan R, Idan T, Bereby-Meyer Y: **Compliance with COVID-19 prevention**
512 **guidelines: Active vs. passive risk takers.** *Judgment & Decision Making* 2021, **16**(1).
- 513 56. Pan Y, Fang Y, Xin M, Dong W, Zhou L, Hou Q, Li F, Sun G, Zheng Z, Yuan J: **Self-**
514 **reported compliance with personal preventive measures among Chinese factory**
515 **workers at the beginning of work resumption following the COVID-19 outbreak:**
516 **cross-sectional survey study.** *Journal of medical Internet research* 2020, **22**(9):e22457.
- 517 57. Zheng L, Chen K, Ma L: **Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Toward COVID-19**
518 **Among Construction Industry Practitioners in China.** *Frontiers in Public Health* 2021,
519 **8.**
- 520 58. Bante A, Mersha A, Tesfaye A, Tsegaye B, Shibiru S, Ayele G, Girma M: **Adherence with**
521 **COVID-19 preventive measures and associated factors among residents of Dirashe**
522 **district, southern Ethiopia.** *Patient preference and adherence* 2021, **15**:237.
- 523 59. Beca-Martínez MT, Romay-Barja M, Falcón-Romero M, Rodríguez-Blázquez C,
524 Benito-Llanes A, Forjaz MJ: **Compliance with the main preventive measures of**
525 **COVID-19 in Spain: The role of knowledge, attitudes, practices, and risk perception.**
526 *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* 2021.
- 527 60. Ayele WM: **Practice and attitude towards COVID-19 prevention and divine belief**
528 **among residents in Ethiopia: cross-sectional survey.** *Risk Management and Healthcare*
529 *Policy* 2021, **14**:987.

- 530 61. Deressa W, Worku A, Abebe W, Gizaw M, Amogne W: **Risk perceptions and preventive**
531 **practices of COVID-19 among healthcare professionals in public hospitals in Addis**
532 **Ababa, Ethiopia.** *PloS one* 2021, **16**(6):e0242471.
- 533 62. He S, Chen S, Kong L, Liu W: **Analysis of risk perceptions and related factors**
534 **concerning COVID-19 epidemic in Chongqing, China.** *Journal of Community Health*
535 2021, **46**(2):278-285.
- 536 63. Abu EK, Oloruntoba R, Osuagwu UL, Bhattarai D, Miner CA, Goson PC, Langsi R,
537 Nwaeze O, Chikasirimobi TG, Ovenseri-Ogbomo GO: **Risk perception of COVID-19**
538 **among sub-Sahara Africans: a web-based comparative survey of local and diaspora**
539 **residents.** *BMC Public Health* 2021, **21**(1):1-13.
- 540 64. Xu H, Gan Y, Zheng D, Wu B, Zhu X, Xu C, Liu C, Tao Z, Hu Y, Chen M: **Relationship**
541 **between COVID-19 infection and risk perception, knowledge, attitude, and four**
542 **nonpharmaceutical interventions during the late period of the COVID-19 epidemic**
543 **in China: online cross-sectional survey of 8158 adults.** *Journal of medical Internet*
544 *research* 2020, **22**(11):e21372.
- 545 65. Alqahtani MM, Arnout BA, Fadhel FH, Sufyan NSS: **Risk perceptions of COVID-19 and**
546 **its impact on precautionary behavior: A qualitative study.** *Patient education and*
547 *counseling* 2021, **104**(8):1860-1867.
- 548 66. Tandi TE, Kim K, Cho Y, Choi JW: **Public health concerns, risk perception and**
549 **information sources in Cameroon.** *Cogent Medicine* 2018, **5**(1):1453005.
- 550 67. Inouye J: **Risk perception: Theories, strategies, and next steps.** *Itasca, IL: Campbell*
551 *Institute National Safety Council* 2014.
- 552 68. Birhanu Z, Ambelu A, Fufa D, Mecha M, Zeynudin A, Abafita J, Belay A, Doyore F, Oljira
553 L, Bacha E: **Risk perceptions and attitudinal responses to COVID-19 pandemic: an**
554 **online survey in Ethiopia.** *BMC public health* 2021, **21**(1):1-17.
- 555 69. Baig M, Jameel T, Alzahrani SH, Mirza AA, Gazzaz ZJ, Ahmad T, Baig F, Almurashi SH:
556 **Predictors of misconceptions, knowledge, attitudes, and practices of COVID-19**
557 **pandemic among a sample of Saudi population.** *PloS one* 2020, **15**(12):e0243526.
- 558 70. Geldsetzer P: **Knowledge and perceptions of COVID-19 among the general public in**
559 **the United States and the United Kingdom: a cross-sectional online survey.** *Annals of*
560 *internal medicine* 2020, **173**(2):157-160.

561 71. Pavela Banai I, Banai B: **Beliefs in COVID-19 conspiracy theories, compliance with the**
562 **preventive measures, and trust in government medical officials.** 2021:1-11.

563