

1 **Assessment of the Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding of the Baby-Friendly**
2 **Hospital Initiative at Healthcare Facilities in East Java, Indonesia**

3 Pande Putu Januraga^{1,2,*}, Ngakan Putu Anom Harjana^{1,3}, Mellysa Kowara¹, Putu Ayu Indrayathi¹,
4 Dinar SM Lubis¹, I Gusti Ngurah Edi Putra⁴, Doddy Izwardi⁵

5 ¹Center for Public Health Innovation, Faculty of Medicine, Udayana University, Indonesia;
6 januraga@unud.ac.id, ngakananom@gmail.com, mellysa.kowara@gmail.com,
7 pa_indrayathi@unud.ac.id, dinar_lubis@unud.ac.id

8 ²Discipline of Public Health, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia

9 ³Institute for Population and Social Research, Mahidol University, Nakorn Pathom, Thailand

10 ⁴School of Health and Society, Faculty of the Arts, Social Sciences and Humanities, University of
11 Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia; ignep718@uowmail.edu.au

12 ⁵Ministry of Health of Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia; izwardydoddy@gmail.com

13 *Corresponding author

14 *E-mail address:* januraga@unud.ac.id (Pande Putu Januraga)

15

16 **Abstract**

17 **Background:** The implementation of the Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding (TSSB) of the Baby-
18 Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) has been shown to be associated with improved breastfeeding
19 outcomes. Indonesia still lags other countries in promoting breastfeeding in the first hour and 6
20 months of exclusive breastfeeding. This study aimed to assess health facilities' compliance with the
21 TSSB in Indonesia.

22 **Methods:** A cross-sectional survey of 242 health facility managers and 130 postpartum mothers
23 across hospitals, community health centers (puskesmas), and private clinics at 5 sites in the East Java
24 province was conducted between January and June, 2019. The health facility managers and mothers
25 were interviewed using questionnaires consisting of questions adopted from the TSSB. The data
26 were analyzed using the descriptive method to present the level of compliance with each step, the
27 overall steps, comparing self-appraisal and validating methods, and comparing results by study site
28 and type of health facility.

29 **Results:** The assessment data showed various levels of compliance with the TSSB, with scores
30 ranging from 65.47 to 98.09 (mean score 77.5 on a scale from 0 to 100). The highest compliance was
31 found in step 8 (breastfeeding on demand) and the lowest in step 7 (rooming-in). The validation
32 results showed a significantly lower compliance with steps 3, 4, 8, and 9 compared with the self-
33 appraisal. The assessment of compliance with the TSSB also showed certain variations between the
34 site and the type of health facility.

35 **Conclusion:** We recommend that policy makers and managers of health facilities formulate effective
36 and appropriate policies to increase institutional compliance with the TSSB. Greater efforts are
37 needed to facilitate puskesmas and private clinics in implementing the TSSB for better breastfeeding
38 outcomes.

39 **Keywords:** Breastfeeding; Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative; Ten Steps; Compliance; Indonesia

40

41 **Introduction**

42 The recent evaluation of exclusive breastfeeding across 194 nations in the Global Breastfeeding
43 report found that in 2013–2018, 43% of newborns initiated breastfeeding within 1 hour of birth,
44 with only 41% of infants under 6 months of age receiving exclusive breastfeeding [1]. These figures
45 are far from the collective global rates targeted for 2030, which are 70% for initiating breastfeeding
46 in the first hour and 70% for exclusive breastfeeding. Breastfeeding initiation in the first hour after
47 birth and exclusive breastfeeding are known to have significant short-term benefits for children’s
48 health, mainly protection against morbidity and mortality from infectious diseases [2]. Moreover,
49 the long-term effects of exclusive breastfeeding on blood pressure, diabetes, and related indicators,
50 serum cholesterol, overweight and obesity, and intellectual performance have been documented in
51 a systematic review and meta-analysis [3]. Therefore, efforts to improve the coverage of first-hour
52 breastfeeding and 6 months’ exclusive breastfeeding should be amplified.

53 Similar to the global figures, breastfeeding coverage in Indonesia has been far below the global
54 target. The Basic Health Survey conducted regularly by the Ministry of Health of Indonesia reported
55 a decline in breastfeeding over the past 5 years, falling from 38% in 2013 to 37.2% in 2018 [4,5].
56 These figures remain far from the national target of 50% in 2019. The good news is that early
57 breastfeeding initiation has risen from 34.5% to 58.2% over the same period [6]. Nevertheless,
58 greater efforts need to be undertaken to improve this figure.

59 The factors that affect breastfeeding rates are numerous and complex and operate differently in
60 different situations, such as gender inequality, culture, and local norms, as well as the family’s

61 economic situation [3,7]. At the health system level, the role of policy in supporting and regulating
62 breastfeeding promotion in the health delivery setting is crucial, given that the breastfeeding
63 initiation process occurs here [7–9]. Furthermore, the low rates of breastfeeding are attributable in
64 part to a lack of appropriate support by health professionals [10]. In 1991, the World Health
65 Organization (WHO)/United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF) launched the
66 Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) [11], based on the Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding
67 (TSSB), to increase support for breastfeeding at the healthcare level [7]. A number of studies that
68 evaluated the implementation of TSSB at the hospital level have demonstrated that increased
69 implementation of the TSSB is associated with increased breastfeeding [9,10,12,13]. The studies
70 further suggested that hospitals with comprehensive breastfeeding policies are likely to have better
71 breastfeeding support services and better breastfeeding outcomes [10,12].

72 Following the international breastfeeding campaign's agenda, the Indonesian Government has
73 enshrined its commitment to supporting breastfeeding in the form of national regulations. Law
74 Number 36 of 2009 on Health affirms that every child has the right to be breastfed and lays out
75 sanctions for parties who obstruct exclusive breastfeeding [14]. To implement the provisions of
76 Article 129 paragraph (2) of Law Number 36 of 2009 on Health, the Indonesian Government issued
77 Government Regulation Number 33 of 2012 on Exclusive Breastfeeding [15]. Previously, the Ministry
78 of Health of Indonesia had reinforced the exclusive breastfeeding campaign with Ministerial Decree
79 Number 450 of 2004 on Exclusive Breastfeeding [16], which urged health providers to support
80 breastfeeding initiation and exclusive breastfeeding through the implementation of the TSSB.
81 However, none of these health-related regulations have provided systematic guidelines on how
82 health facilities should implement the TSSB, including how to monitor and evaluate its
83 implementation. Interestingly, the guidelines for implementing the TSSB in healthcare facilities were
84 regulated by the Ministry for Women's Empowerment and Child Protection Regulation Number 3 of
85 2010. Unfortunately, within the Indonesian constitutional system, the health sector is not directly
86 responsible to the Ministry for Women's Empowerment and Child Protection and therefore does not
87 have a direct obligation to obey its regulations [17]. At the implementation level, the TSSB policy
88 would require sufficient resources to support information sharing, training, monitoring, and
89 enforcement. With no direct regulation from the health sector authority, there could be limited
90 resources available for the TSSB campaign [18].

91 With no direct regulation from the health sector authority to support and monitor the
92 implementation of the TSSB in healthcare settings, Indonesia has lacked evidence on the success of
93 its implementation, thereby providing a disincentive for healthcare providers to seriously implement
94 the program. Reports indicate that the implementation of the TSSB and BFHI has long been

95 neglected, although efforts are being made to revitalize them [19]. A published study using
96 Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey data reported an increase in the likelihood of delayed
97 breastfeeding initiation among infants delivered by Cesarean section and in government-owned
98 facilities, where most of the deliveries occurred [20]. This finding clearly highlights the need for
99 implementing the TSSB to support breastfeeding initiation in healthcare facilities in Indonesia. In
100 addition, the BFHI campaign in Indonesia has focused more on hospitals than on other health
101 facilities that organize childbirth assistance, such as public health centers (puskesmas) and private
102 maternity clinics.

103 With limited reports available on the implementation of the TSSB in Indonesia in hospitals and other
104 healthcare settings, this study aimed to fill the gap by presenting the results of the TSSB
105 implementation survey in healthcare facilities that assist childbirth in 5 cities/districts in East Java,
106 Indonesia.

107 **Methods**

108 **Study settings**

109 The study was conducted in 5 districts/cities in East Java, namely, Bondowoso, Jember, Probolinggo,
110 Surabaya, and Trenggalek. These areas were selected because of their involvement in the Children
111 Under Two Years Old (*bayi bawah dua tahun* [Baduta]) 2.0 program. The Baduta is a cooperative
112 health program between the Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) and the Ministry of
113 Health of Indonesia aimed at improving nutrition in the first 1000 days of life to prevent stunting.
114 Improving breastfeeding practices is one of Baduta's main objectives, with a major plan to support
115 the implementation of the TSSB in all healthcare facilities assisting childbirth in 2020.

116 To implement an appropriate campaign strategy, a baseline survey was conducted to assess the level
117 of TSSB implementation in those 5 areas. The survey was conducted in 242 health facilities
118 (consisting of 22 hospitals, 112 puskesmas, and 108 private maternity clinics) between January and
119 June, 2019, by the Center for Public Health Innovation, Faculty of Medicine, Udayana University.

120 **Study design, data collection, and analysis**

121 This study adopted a cross-sectional approach aimed at mapping the health facilities in the study
122 areas related to their implementation of the TSSB. The instrument for TSSB implementation was
123 adapted from the BFHI WHO/UNICEF guidelines (Table 1) [21]. The total sample consisted of 372
124 respondents, including 242 health facility managers (self-appraisal) and 130 postpartum mothers
125 (validation). Interviews with the postpartum mothers were conducted to cross-validate the facility

126 managers' response regarding several steps of the TSSB. Validation data were only collected on
127 steps 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9 due to the suitability of these items for validation questionnaires.

128 Health facilities were selected using a simple random sampling method, and the selected healthcare
129 facilities were contacted for interviews. The managers interviewed were those responsible for
130 managing the antenatal care (ANC) and childbirth departments or wards. The postpartum mothers
131 from selected healthcare facilities were chosen using incidental sampling on the same day after the
132 manager interviews were completed. Table 2 lists the details on the number of survey participants.
133 Data collection was performed by trained enumerators and retrieved through an e-questionnaire
134 using the Epicollect5 application. Observation-based data collection was also conducted to gather
135 the supporting documents of BFHI implementation at healthcare facilities.

136 The data were tabulated on 2 different computers by 2 different researchers (double data entry),
137 and the results were cross-checked to determine data cleaning errors. The data set was processed
138 using 2 different programs (Microsoft Excel and STATA version 12) to identify significant trends in
139 accordance with the research objectives. The TSSB score was 0 or 1 point, attributed to each
140 indicator based on its implementation; a value of 0 denotes that the indicator was not implemented,
141 and a value of 1 denotes that the indicator was implemented. The total score was calculated by
142 adding all the points in each step, then dividing by the maximum points attributed in each step and
143 multiplying by 100, resulting in a score that ranged from 0 to 100 [22]. The self-appraisal and
144 validation results were compared using Two-sample t-tests, and the mean compliance of each
145 facility and district was compared using a One-way ANOVA, with a 5% significance value.

146 **Ethical considerations**

147 All study participants received information regarding the study implementation from the study's
148 enumerators and provided signed consent for their participation in the study. The study received
149 ethics approval from the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Research and Community Service,
150 Universitas Katolik Indonesia Atma Jaya, Indonesia (number 0155/III/LPPM-PM.10.05/02/2019).

151 **Results**

152 Tables 3 and 4 present the socio-demographic characteristics of the healthcare providers and the
153 mothers who were interviewed for validation purposes. From the data analysis, we found various
154 levels of compliance with the TSSB, ranging from 65.47 to 98.09 (mean score of 77.75 on a scale
155 from 0 to 100). The highest compliance was found in step 8 (breastfeeding on demand, 98.09;
156 standard deviation [SD], 7.76), and the lowest compliance was found in step 7 (rooming-in, 65.47;
157 SD, 25.84). We also found a low level of compliance (<80) in steps 1, 2, 4, 6, and 7. Table 5 presents

158 the comparison between the self-appraisal results (health facility managers) and the validation
159 results (postpartum mothers) in terms of BFHI conformity. The self-appraisal compliance was clearly
160 prone to be higher than the validation result. The validation results showed significantly lower
161 compliance with steps 3, 4, 8, and 9, with a mean (SD) compliance of 78.08 (22.87), 59.81 (26.87),
162 91.03 (21.48), and 82.69 (32.77), respectively. The largest gap between the self-appraisal and
163 validation results was found in step 4 (skin-to-skin contact).

164 The assessment of TSSB compliance also showed certain variations between sites (Table 6). For
165 example, Bondowoso had the lowest compliance (mean score, 72.91; SD, 11.52), whereas
166 Trenggalek had the highest compliance (mean score, 85.78; SD, 11.71). Similar mean compliance
167 scores were found in Probolinggo, Jember, and Surabaya (78.38 [SD, 11.69], 78.45 [SD, 11.89], and
168 76.27 [SD, 10.27], respectively). In terms of the variations in each step, the majority of districts
169 showed a high level of compliance with step 8 (breastfeeding on demand) and step 9 (no artificial
170 teats or pacifiers given to infants) and the lowest was in step 1 (have a written breastfeeding policy)
171 and step 7 (rooming-in). Almost all steps in the TSSB were significantly different between
172 districts/cities except for step 9 (no artificial teats or pacifiers given to infants) and 10 (establishment
173 of breastfeeding support groups).

174 The mean compliance score of the health facilities with all the BFHI criteria also varied significantly
175 (Table 7). In puskesmas, for instance, the mean compliance score was 78.21 (SD, 11.07). Similar
176 results were found in private maternity clinics (76.61; SD, 12.55). Compared with other facilities, the
177 highest mean compliance score was for hospitals (80.74; SD, 11.96). Variations in each step were
178 also found among the healthcare facilities. Most of the healthcare facilities had high compliance
179 (>90) with steps 8 and 9, and the lowest compliance was with steps 1 and 7. Only steps 2, 4, 7, and
180 10 showed significant differences between the type of health facilities.

181 **Discussion**

182 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in the published literature to show compliance
183 with the TSSB of the BFHI across different health facilities. This is also the first study to report
184 compliance with the TSSB in Indonesian settings, including large-scale sites and facilities. Most of the
185 relevant studies in the published literature have reported only on TSSB compliance in hospital
186 settings [23–25], and almost all of the studies found in the literature assessing the impact of
187 compliance on breastfeeding outcomes were conducted in hospital settings [12,26,27]. This situation
188 could be due to the use of the term “hospital” in the WHO/UNICEF TSSB campaign, which provided a
189 loophole in many middle-low income countries, given that a large proportion of ANC and deliveries
190 occur outside hospitals, particularly in healthcare centers and private clinics.

191 Regarding compliance with the TSSB criteria, steps 1, 2, 4, and 7 scored low compliance (<80), with
192 the lowest compliance found for steps 1 (policy) and 7 (rooming-in). The low compliance level for
193 step 1 occurred across cities and healthcare facilities, indicating the need to strengthen efforts to
194 promote TSSB implementation at a policy level. A study conducted in Oregon found that hospitals
195 with comprehensive breastfeeding policies were likely to have better breastfeeding support services
196 and better breastfeeding outcomes [12]. Policy changes, including better policy communication, are
197 needed to promote the implementation of the next steps of the TSSB [12,28].

198 The low level of compliance with step 1 (policy) was followed by a relatively low level of compliance
199 with step 2 (staff training). An inadequate policy for implementing the TSSB resulted in the absence
200 of a legal framework, guidance, and commitment to improving the staff's understanding and related
201 skills [28,29]. Poor compliance with step 2 affects the coverage of exclusive breastfeeding. A study
202 performed in Canada revealed that the training of hospital nurses could significantly increase the
203 coverage of exclusive breastfeeding, from 31% to 54% [30].

204 Furthermore, the low level of compliance found in steps 4 (skin to skin contact) and 7 (rooming-in)
205 could be related to the lack of health facility infrastructure and the number of medical staff, as well
206 as their capacity to support immediate skin-to-skin contact and rooming-in practices [31,32]. We
207 found that the low compliance level was significantly different across healthcare facilities, in which
208 puskesmas showed the poorest compliance, followed by private clinics. Hospitals had the highest
209 compliance. Other factors that influenced the decision for rooming-in were staff experience with
210 rooming-in practices, breastfeeding counseling training, parity, and delivery methods [33].

211 Overall, the self-appraisal results were prone to higher values compared with the validation data, in
212 which steps 3 (information), 4 (skin to skin contact), 8 (breastfeeding on demand), and 9 (no artificial
213 teats or pacifiers given to infants) had significant differences between self-appraisal and validation. A
214 possible reason for this is the fact that healthcare facility leaders are prone to overestimating the
215 factual data to maintain their institution's image. It might therefore be difficult for these
216 respondents to be objective in their self-appraisal of the respective health facility's performance
217 [22]. However, a higher score in the validation data could well be caused by the external position of
218 the mother and her ability to provide an accurate overview of the health facility's services. These
219 considerations indicate that the self-appraisal results were unlikely to provide a true reflection of
220 each health facility's compliance with the BFHI criteria. The largest gap between the self-appraisal
221 and validation results was found in step 4. Our result was in line with a study performed in Croatia,
222 which found that compliance with step 4 was also <50% [34]. In Indonesia, the percentage of
223 Cesarean-sections was relatively high (9.8% in 2013), which inhibits compliance with step 4. This was

224 evidenced by American studies that revealed that giving birth by Cesarean section represents a
225 significant barrier to early breastfeeding initiation [35,36].

226 The highest level of compliance across the 4 districts/cities and also across health facilities was in
227 step 8 (encouraging breastfeeding on demand), which shows the effort by health facilities to support
228 exclusive breastfeeding. The results also revealed that certain steps showed high conformity, namely
229 steps 3, 5, 9, and 10 and indicates the institution's attempts to apply the TSSB, despite the high rate
230 of violation with step 1 of having written policy [37].

231 **Limitations of the study**

232 This study has 3 major limitations. First, the cross-sectional data collection method represents short-
233 term data and cannot assess longitudinal TSSB conformity [38]. Second, the data representation and
234 analysis represent a weakness because, of the 244 healthcare facility managers, only 130 (53.28%)
235 participated in the validation; 46.72% of the healthcare facilities were not verified. Lastly, validation
236 by the postpartum mothers on the health facilities' compliance was only performed on steps 3, 4, 5,
237 7, 8, and 9 due to the suitability of these items for the questionnaire. The rest of the steps were not
238 subject to validation. Future studies should address these concerns.

239 **Conclusions**

240 This study concluded that a low level of TSSB compliance was particularly related to steps 1, 2, 4, and
241 7. We recommend robust steps to encourage policy makers and managers of healthcare facilities to
242 formulate effective and appropriate policies to raise institutional compliance with the TSSB of the
243 BFHI. Greater effort is needed to facilitate puskesmas and private clinics in implementing the TSSB
244 due to their significant involvement in providing ANC and deliveries in Indonesia. Furthermore, there
245 is an imperative need for providing refresher training for staff.

246 **Acknowledgments**

247 We would like to thank the Health Office of East Java Province and the Health Office of each study
248 site for helping us with the administrative aspects of the study. We offer our gratitude to all of the
249 health facility managers and mothers who participated in the survey. Lastly, we would like to thank
250 GAIN Indonesia for providing us with resources to conduct the study and write the report.

251 **References**

252 1. UNICEF, WHO. Increasing commitment to breastfeeding through funding and improved policies
253 and programmes: Global breastfeeding scorecard 2019. Geneva: UNICEF, WHO; 2019.

- 254 2. Oddy WH. Breastfeeding protects against illness and infection in infants and children: a review of
255 the evidence. *Breastfeed Rev. Nursing Mothers' Association of Australia*; 2001;9:11.
- 256 3. Horta BL, Bahl R, Martinés JC, Victora CG, Organization WH. Evidence on the long-term effects of
257 breastfeeding: systematic review and meta-analyses. World Health Organization; 2007;
- 258 4. Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. Basic Health Research (Riskesmas) 2013. Jakarta;
259 2013.
- 260 5. Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. Basic Health Research (Riskesmas) 2018. Jakarta;
261 2018.
- 262 6. Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. Strategic Plan of the Ministry of Health of the
263 Republic of the Indonesia for 2015-2019. Jakarta; 2015.
- 264 7. World Health Organization (WHO). Evidence for the ten steps to successful breastfeeding. Geneva:
265 World Health Organization; 1998.
- 266 8. Bhandari N, Kabir IAKM, Salam MA. Mainstreaming nutrition into maternal and child health
267 programmes: Scaling up of exclusive breastfeeding. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2008;4:5–23.
- 268 9. Li CM, Li R, Ashley CG, Smiley JM, Cohen JH, Dee DL. Associations of hospital staff training and
269 policies with early breastfeeding practices. *J Hum Lact.* 2014;30:88–96.
- 270 10. Gomez-Pomar E, Blubaugh R. The Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative and the ten steps for
271 successful breastfeeding. a critical review of the literature. *J Perinatol [Internet]. Springer US*;
272 2018;38:623–32. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41372-018-0068-0>
- 273 11. WHO. Indicators for assessing breastfeeding practices. Geneva: World Health Organization
274 Geneva; 1991.
- 275 12. Rosenberg KD, Stull JD, Adler MR, Kasehagen LJ, Crivelli-Kovach A. Impact of hospital policies on
276 breastfeeding outcomes. *Breastfeed Med. Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. 140 Huguenot Street, 3rd Floor*
277 *New Rochelle, NY 10801 ...*; 2008;3:110–6.
- 278 13. Sinha B, Chowdhury R, Sankar MJ, Martines J, Taneja S, Mazumder S, et al. Interventions to
279 improve breastfeeding outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Paediatr. Wiley*
280 *Online Library*; 2015;104:114–34.
- 281 14. Government Republic of Indonesia. Law on Health (Law No. 36/2009). 36 Of 2009 Indonesia;
282 2009.

- 283 15. Government Republic of Indonesia. Government Regulation Number 33 of 2012 on Exclusive
284 Breast Feeding. 33 tahun 2012 Indonesia; 2012.
- 285 16. Ministry of Health of Indonesia. Minister of Health Decree No. 450 of 2004 on Exclusive
286 Breastfeeding in Indonesia. 450/menkes/SK/IV/2004 Jakarta, Indonesia; 2004.
- 287 17. Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection. Ministry of Women Empowerment and
288 Child Protection No. 3 of 2010 on the STSB implementation. Indonesia; 2010.
- 289 18. Soekarjo D, Zehner E. Legislation should support optimal breastfeeding practices and access to
290 low-cost, high-quality complementary foods: Indonesia provides a case study. *Matern Child Nutr.*
291 2011;7:112–22.
- 292 19. Trisnantoro L, Soemantri S, Singgih B, Pritasari K, Mulati E, Agung FH, et al. Reducing child
293 mortality in Indonesia. *SciELO Public Health*; 2010.
- 294 20. Titaley CR, Loh PC, Prasetyo S, Ariawan I, Shankar AH. Socio-economic factors and use of
295 maternal health services are associated with delayed initiation and non-exclusive breastfeeding in
296 Indonesia: Secondary analysis of Indonesia Demographic and Health Surveys 2002/2003 and 2007.
297 *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2014;23:91–104.
- 298 21. World Health Organization/ United Nations Children’s. Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative Revised
299 Updated and Expanded for Integrated Care Section 3 Breastfeeding Promotion and Support in a
300 Baby-Friendly Hospital 20-hour Course for Maternity Staff. Geneva; 2009.
- 301 22. Araújo RG, de Fonseca V de M, de Oliveira MIC, Ramos EG. External evaluation and self-
302 monitoring of the Baby-friendly Hospital Initiative’s maternity hospitals in Brazil. *Int Breastfeed J.*
303 *International Breastfeeding Journal*; 2019;14:1–9.
- 304 23. Haiek LN. Measuring compliance with the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative. *Public Health Nutr.*
305 2012;15:894–905.
- 306 24. Zakarija-Grković I, Boban M, Janković S, Čuže A, Burmaz T. Compliance with who/unicef bfhi
307 standards in croatia after implementation of the bfhi. *J Hum Lact.* 2018;34:106–15.
- 308 25. Grizzard TA, Bartick M, Nikolov M, Griffin BA, Lee KG. Policies and practices related to
309 breastfeeding in Massachusetts: Hospital implementation of the Ten Steps to Successful
310 Breastfeeding. *Matern Child Health J.* 2006;10:247–63.
- 311 26. Hawkins SS, Stern AD, Baum CF, Gillman MW. Compliance with the Baby-Friendly Hospital
312 Initiative and impact on breastfeeding rates. *Arch Dis Childhood-Fetal Neonatal Ed.* BMJ Publishing

- 313 Group; 2014;99:F138–43.
- 314 27. Nickel NC, Labbok MH, Hudgens MG, Daniels JL. The extent that noncompliance with the ten
315 steps to successful breastfeeding influences breastfeeding duration. *J Hum Lact.* 2013;29:59–70.
- 316 28. Okolo SN, Ogbonna C. Knowledge, attitude and practice of health workers in Keffi local
317 government hospitals regarding Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) practices. *Eur J Clin Nutr.*
318 Nature Publishing Group; 2002;56:438–41.
- 319 29. Balogun OO, Dagvadorj A, Yourkavitch J, Da Silva Lopes K, Suto M, Takemoto Y, et al. Health
320 Facility Staff Training for Improving Breastfeeding Outcome: A Systematic Review for Step 2 of the
321 Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative. *Breastfeed Med* [Internet]. 2017;12:537–46. Available from:
322 <http://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/bfm.2017.0040>
- 323 30. Martens PJ. Does Breastfeeding Education Affect Nursing Staff Beliefs, Exclusive Breastfeeding
324 Rates, and Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative Compliance? The Experience of a Small, Rural Canadian
325 Hospital. *J Hum Lact.* SAGE Publications Inc.; 2000;16:309–18.
- 326 31. Taylor EC, Nickel NC, Labbok MH. Implementing the Ten Steps for Successful Breastfeeding in
327 Hospitals Serving Low-Wealth Patients. *Am J Public Health.* 2012;102:2262–8.
- 328 32. Kakrani VA, Rathod Waghela HK, Mammulwar MS, Bhawalkar JS. Awareness about “Ten Steps for
329 Successful Breastfeeding” among Medical and Nursing Students. *Int J Prev Med.* Isfahan University of
330 Medical Sciences(IUMS); 2015;2015-May.
- 331 33. Hakala M, Kaakinen P, Kääriäinen M, Bloigu R, Hannula L, Elo S. Implementation of Step 7 of the
332 Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) in Finland: Rooming-in according to Mothers and Maternity-
333 ward Staff. *Eur J Midwifery.* E.U. European Publishing; 2018;2.
- 334 34. Grguric J, Zakarija-Grkovic I, Pavičić Bošnjak A, Stanojevic M. A Multifaceted Approach to
335 Revitalizing the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative in Croatia. *J Hum Lact.* SAGE Publications Inc.;
336 2016;32:568–73.
- 337 35. Crenshaw JT, Cadwell K, Brimdyr K, Widström AM, Svensson K, Champion JD, et al. Use of a
338 Video-Ethnographic Intervention (PRECESS Immersion Method) to Improve Skin-to-Skin Care and
339 Breastfeeding Rates. *Breastfeed Med.* 2012;7:69–78.
- 340 36. Weddig J, Baker SS, Auld G. Perspectives of Hospital-Based Nurses on Breastfeeding Initiation
341 Best Practices. *JOGNN - J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs.* Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2011;40:166–78.
- 342 37. Fikawati S SA. Study on Implementation and Exclusive Breastfeeding Policy and Early

343 Breastfeeding Initiation in Indonesia. *Makara Kesehatan*. 2010;14:17–24.

344 38. Kovach AC. A 5-year follow-up study of hospital breastfeeding policies in the Philadelphia area: a
345 comparison with the ten steps. *J Hum Lact*. Sage Publications Sage CA: Thousand Oaks, CA;
346 2002;18:144–54.

347

348 **Table 1** Criteria on the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative

Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding

Step 1	Have a written breastfeeding policy that is routinely communicated to all health care staff. (13 items)
Step 2	Train all health care staff in the skills necessary to implement policy. (10 items)
Step 3	Inform all pregnant women about the benefits and management of breastfeeding. (8 items)
Step 4	Facilitate immediate and uninterrupted skin-to-skin contact and support mothers to initiate breastfeeding within an hour of birth. (5 items)
Step 5	Show mothers how to breastfeed and how to maintain lactation even if they should be separated from their infants. (10 items)
Step 6	Give newborn infants no food or drink other than breast milk unless there is a medical indication. (15 items)
Step 7	Rooming-in. (4 items)
Step 8	Breastfeeding on demand. (3 items)
Step 9	No artificial teats or pacifiers to infants. (2 items)
Step 10	Foster the establishment of breastfeeding support groups and refer mothers to them after discharge. (7 items)

349

350 **Table 2.** Number of Surveyed Respondents

No	Districts	Hospitals	Puskesmas	Private Maternity Clinics	Total Health Facility	Post-Partum Mother
1	Surabaya	6	34	42	82	48
2	Jember	7	15	15	37	22
3	Probolinggo	6	19	7	32	17
4	Bondowoso	1	25	25	51	22
5	Trenggalek	2	19	19	40	21

Total	22	112	108	242	130
-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-----

351

352 Table 3. Socio-demographic characteristics of health facilities respondents

Variables	Frequency (%)
City/district	
Bondowoso	51 (20.90)
Jember	37 (15.16)
Probolinggo	34 (13.93)
Surabaya	82 (33.61)
Trenggalek	40 (16.39)
Health facilities	
Community health center	112 (45.90)
Private maternity clinics and Private Midwifery Practice	108 (44.26)
Hospital	24 (9.84)
Age (years)	
21-30	24 (9.84)
31-40	54 (22.13)
41-50	118 (48.36)
>50	48 (19.67)
Sex	
Male	20 (8.20)
Female	224 (91.80)
Qualification	
Public administration	1 (0.41)
Midwife	190 (77.87)
Physician	26 (10.66)
Dentist	1 (0.41)
Nutritionist	18 (7.38)
Public health practitioner	4 (1.64)
Nurse	2 (0.82)
Psychologist	1 (0.41)
Others	1 (0.41)
Education	
High school	1 (0.41)
Diploma (3 years)	68 (27.87)
Diploma (4 years)	128 (52.46)
Bachelor's degree	36 (14.75)
Master	11 (4.51)
Position	
Head of facilities	190 (77.87)
Head of unit	31 (12.70)
Head of functional staff	23 (9.43)

Working duration (years)	
1-10	123 (50.41)
11-20	65 (26.64)
21-30	44 (18.03)
>31	12 (4.92)

353

354 Table 4. Socio-demographic characteristics of mothers interviewed for validation purposes

Variable	Frequency (%)
City or district	
Bondowoso	22 (16.92)
Jember	22 (16.92)
Probolinggo	17 (13.08)
Surabaya	48 (36.92)
Trenggalek	21 (16.15)
Age (years)	
≤ 20	9 (6.92)
21-30	82 (63.08)
31-40	31 (23.85)
41-50	7 (5.38)
>50	1 (0.77)
Education	
Primary school	19 (14.62)
Junior high school	24 (18.46)
High school	56 (43.08)
Higher education	31 (23.85)
Delivery methods	
Vaginal	87 (66.92)
Caesarian delivery (C-Section)	43 (33.08)
Health Insurance	
Yes	83 (63.85)
No	47 (36.15)

355

356 Table 5. Comparison of the compliance with the Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding between self-
357 appraisal and validation

Steps	Self-appraisal		Validation		p-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Step 1	66.52	25.38			
Step 2	69.22	24.22			
Step 3	88.83	22.24	78.08	22.87	≤0.0001
Step 4	66.72	25.22	59.81	26.87	0.0141
Step 5	82.25	17.60	81.28	26.90	0.6744

Step 6	79.54	15.41			
Step 7	65.47	25.84	59.81	29.76	0.0565
Step 8	98.09	7.76	91.03	21.48	≤0.0001
Step 9	94.67	16.11	82.69	32.77	≤0.0001
Step 10	89.23	15.91			
Total Compliance	77.75	11.85	74.65	16.72	0.0385

The p-values are derived from Two-sample t-test with equal variance. The level of significance is 5%, SD = Standard Deviation.

358 Table 6. Compliance of the Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding by different study areas

Steps	Bondowoso	Probolinggo	Trenggalek	Jember	Surabaya	p-value
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
Step 1	57.92 (30.89)	64.93 (27.58)	69.23 (23.69)	64.66 (20.78)	72.05 (22.07)	0.0310
Step 2	51.96 (23.24)	75.88 (24.38)	90.00 (13.39)	72.43 (20.87)	65.61 (21.61)	≤0.0001
Step 3	90.68 (22.20)	95.22 (8.71)	88.44 (23.91)	94.93 (11.58)	82.46 (27.13)	0.0120
Step 4	63.92 (10.59)	64.12 (27.75)	77.00 (20.03)	69.73 (20.34)	63.17 (32.73)	0.0428
Step 5	80.00 (12.49)	81.18 (18.38)	91.50 (13.11)	82.43 (20.46)	79.51 (19.30)	0.0066
Step 6	76.86 (11.57)	76.86 (15.84)	86.33 (10.34)	78.92 (14.45)	79.27 (18.76)	0.0324
Step 7	55.39 (15.25)	72.06 (21.11)	87.5 (22.64)	66.89 (24.33)	57.62 (27.96)	≤0.0001
Step 8	100.00	100.00	98.33 (7.36)	93.69 (13.23)	97.96 (8.03)	0.0016
Step 9	96.08 (13.58)	92.64 (17.97)	98.75 (7.91)	95.95 (13.83)	92.07 (19.98)	0.2105
Step 10	89.91 (12.55)	87.39 (22.99)	94.27 (19.08)	88.42 (14.99)	87.46 (12.37)	0.2226
Total compliance	72.91 (11.52)	78.38 (11.69)	85.78 (11.71)	78.45 (11.89)	76.27 (10.27)	≤0.0001

359 The p-values are from one-way ANOVA. The level of significance is 5%, SD = Standard Deviation

360

361 Table 7. Compliance of the Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding by different health care facilities

Steps	Community		Clinics and Private		Hospital		p-value
	Health Centre		Midwifery Practice				
	mean	SD	mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Step 1	67.45	22.81	65.59	28.36	66.35	23.33	0.8650
Step 2	74.20	22.77	62.59	25.22	75.83	19.09	0.0006
Step 3	87.61	25.08	89.93	20.18	89.58	16.76	0.7321
Step 4	62.32	27.47	67.96	22.86	81.67	17.61	0.0021
Step 5	79.91	17.27	83.52	17.94	87.5	16.48	0.0962
Step 6	80.71	13.84	77.41	17.41	83.61	11.29	0.1108
Step 7	62.5	26.63	64.35	24.46	84.38	20.61	0.0006
Step 8	97.62	8.62	98.15	7.67	100.00	0.00	0.3945
Step 9	95.08	16.38	94.44	15.79	93.75	16.89	0.9167
Step 10	92.60	12.56	89.15	15.36	73.81	22.55	0.0000
Total Compliance	78.21	11.07	76.61	12.55	80.74	11.96	0.2614

362 The p-values are from one-way ANOVA. The level of significance is 5%, SD = Standard Deviation