medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Original Research Article

The longitudinal relation between executive functioning and multilayer network topology in glioma patients

Marike R. van Lingen[1,2,3], Lucas C. Breedt[1,2,3], Jeroen J.G. Geurts[1,2,3], Arjan Hillebrand[2,3,4], Martin Klein[5], Mathilde C.M. Kouwenhoven[6,10], Shanna D. Kulik[1,2,3], Jaap C. Reijneveld[6, 7, 10], Cornelis J. Stam[2,3,4], Philip C. De Witt Hamer[8,10], Mona L.M. Zimmermann[1,2,3], Fernando A.N. Santos[1,2,3,9], Linda Douw[1,2,3,10]

[1.] Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Anatomy and Neurosciences, De Boelelaan 1108, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[2.] Amsterdam Neuroscience, Brain Imaging, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[3.] Amsterdam Neuroscience, Systems & Network Neurosciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[4.] Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Clinical Neurophysiology and MEG Center, De Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[5.] Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Medical Psychology, De Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[6.] Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Neurology, De Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[7.] Stichting Epilepsie Instellingen Nederland (SEIN), Heemstede, the Netherlands

[8.] Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Neurosurgery, De Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[9.] Institute of Advanced Studies, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

[10.] Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Word count

Manuscript: 2984

Abstract: 241

Corresponding authors:

Marike R. van Lingen: m.vanlingen@amsterdamumc.nl +31204448040

Linda Douw: l.douw@amsterdamumc.nl +31204448040

Address: Amsterdam UMC, location VUmc, Department Anatomy and Neurosciences, de Boelelaan 1108, 1081 HZ Amsterdam.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Many patients with glioma, primary brain tumors, suffer from poorly understood executive functioning deficits before and/or after tumor resection. We aimed to test whether frontoparietal network centrality of multilayer networks, which allow for integration across multiple frequencies, relates to and predicts executive functioning in glioma patients before and after tumor resection. Patients with glioma (n = 37) underwent neuropsychological tests assessing word fluency, inhibition, and set shifting, and resting-state magnetoencephalography before tumor resection (T1) and one year after resection (T2). We constructed binary multilayer networks comprising six layers, with each layer representing frequency-specific functional connectivity (phase lag index) between source-localized time series of 78 cortical regions. Average frontoparietal network multilayer eigenvector centrality, a measure for network integration, was calculated at both time points. Regression analyses were used to investigate its associations with executive functioning.

At T1, lower multilayer integration (p = 0.017) and having epilepsy (p = 0.006) associated with poorer set shifting (adj. R^2 = 0.269). Decreasing multilayer integration (p = 0.022) and not undergoing chemotherapy at T2 (p = 0.004) related to deteriorating set shifting (adj. R^2 = 0.283). No significant associations were found for word fluency or inhibition, nor did T1 multilayer integration predict changes in executive functioning. As expected, our results establish multilayer integration of the frontoparietal network as a cross-sectional and longitudinal correlate of executive functioning in glioma patients. However, multilayer integration did not significantly predict postoperative changes in executive functioning, limiting its direct clinical relevance.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Keywords

Graph theory | network neuroscience | functional connectivity | eigenvector centrality | cognition |

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Gliomas, originating from glial cells, are the most common primary brain tumors and have a fatal disease course. Despite their local appearance on MRI, patients experience varied cognitive complaints that cannot be attributed to location alone (De Baene et al., 2019; van Kessel et al., 2017). Executive functioning (EF), is often affected at diagnosis, before treatment starts (Noll et al., 2020; Tanzilli et al., 2022; van Kessel et al., 2017), and may contribute to lower quality of life (Weyer-Jamora et al., 2021). Subsequently, there is large individual variability in cognitive trajectories across the disease course. After tumor resection, improving, stable, as well as deteriorating EF has been observed (Lemaitre et al., 2021; Ng et al., 2019; Noll et al., 2015; Satoer et al., 2012; Sinha et al., 2020; Talacchi et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011). Many patients also receive chemo- and/or radiotherapy, depending on tumor subtype and residual tumor, which also impacts EF both positively and negatively according to the literature (Hilverda et al., 2010; Koutsarnakis et al., 2021; Tabor et al., 2021; Talacchi et al., 2011; Tanzilli et al., 2022). Other relevant correlates of poorer EF are higher age, lower Karnofsky performance status (KPS (Karnofsky et al., 1948)), frontal tumor location (Fang et al., 2014), and use of antiepileptic drugs (Klein et al., 2004). However, it remains impossible to predict individual cognitive trajectories with reasonable accuracy, leading to uncertainty about future cognitive performance.

The currently known neural correlates of EF mainly comprise connectivity and network-based variables, reflecting the distributed brain networks involved. Functional brain connectivity refers to the statistical interdependencies between brain activity of different network nodes or brain regions (Aertsen et al., 1989; Friston, 1994). Network theory can then further assess local and global properties of this brain network (Bassett & Sporns, 2017; Sporns et al., 2005; Stam & Reijneveld, 2007; van den Heuvel & Hulshoff Pol, 2010). Cognition in general, and EF particularly, has been shown to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

depend on long-distance integration across distributed brain regions, which can be operationalized through the centrality of regions within cognitively relevant networks (Baum et al., 2017; Deco et al., 2021; Medaglia et al., 2015; Sauseng et al., 2005).

In glioma, functional MRI-based connectivity of the frontoparietal network (FPN) is relevant for EF (Kocher et al., 2020; Landers et al., 2021; Maesawa et al., 2015; Noll et al., 2015, 2021; Tordjman et al., 2021). Using magnetoencephalography (MEG), frequency-specific connectivity has also been linked to EF in these patients: poorer EF at diagnosis relates to lower alpha band (8-13Hz) functional connectivity (Derks et al., 2019), and particularly lower integrative connectivity in the theta (4-8Hz), alpha, and beta (13-30Hz) bands associate with poorer EF cross-sectionally (Bosma et al., 2009) and longitudinally (Carbo et al., 2017; van Dellen et al., 2012a).

Previous studies constructed separate functional networks for each frequency band, which may relate to particular cognitive aspects, e.g. alpha band oscillations to attention (Klimesch, 2012), gamma band to memory (Kucewicz et al., 2014), and beta band to sensorimotor functions (Kilavik et al., 2013). In EF, all these cognitive aspects are combined, rendering it a relevant domain for frequency-integrated investigations. The multilayer network approach can be used to synergize frequencies, by defining a network within each frequency (layer), and then coupling the different layers (De Domenico et al., 2013). Nodes representing the same brain regions are present in each layer (Brookes et al., 2016; De Domenico et al., 2016; Guillon et al., 2017; Tewarie et al., 2016). Indeed, lower multilayer centrality of the FPN (including MEG and MRI layers) related to poorer EF in healthy subjects (Breedt et al., 2021). Associations between cognition and MEG multilayer centrality were also found in Alzheimer's disease (Yu et al., 2017).

In this study, we tested the hypotheses that (1) lower multilayer FPN integration correlates with poorer EF at diagnosis, and (2) changes in EF and multilayer centrality

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

coincide. Finally, we hypothesized (3) lower multilayer centrality at diagnosis to predict deteriorating EF after tumor resection.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Methods

Patients

Consecutive new patients between 2011-2021 at Amsterdam UMC with suspected diffuse glioma were eligible for participation in an ongoing prospective study on brain networks. Exclusion criteria were (1) age <18 years, (2) psychiatric disease, (3) comorbidities of the central nervous system, (4) insufficient mastery of the Dutch language, and (5) inability to communicate adequately. After resection, molecular characteristics were assessed as part of clinical routine, including prognostically favorable isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutations and 1p/19q codeletions (Louis et al., 2021). This led to three subgroups: IDH-wildtype glioma (glioblastoma), IDH-mutant, non-codeleted glioma, and IDH-mutant, 1p/19q-codeleted glioma.

Patients underwent neuropsychological assessments (NPA (Derks et al., 2019)) and MEG at two time points: preoperatively at diagnosis (T1), and approximately one year after tumor resection (T2). Functional and diffusion MRI and patient-reported outcomes were acquired, but not included in the current work. At T2, MEG and NPA took place between 8-20 months after tumor resection, with a maximum of 3 months between them.

Part of this data has been reported on previously (Belgers et al., 2020; Carbo et al., 2017; Derks et al., 2018, 2019, 2021; Douw et al., 2008; van Dellen et al., 2012a, 2012b). The current analysis was preregistered before selecting eligible patients and performing analyses (https://osf.io/83tbq).

The VUmc Medical Ethical Committee approved this study, which was conducted following the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Neuropsychological assessment

Three EF tests were performed: the Categoric Word Fluency test (Mulder et al., 2006) for planning and strategy, the Concept Shifting Test (van der Elst et al., 2006) for attention, working memory and set shifting, and the Stroop Color-Word Test (Hammes, 1978) for attention and inhibition (see Supplementary Materials). In short, each test yielded a single final score, which was adjusted for age, sex, and educational level and converted to a Z-score using validated normative data. The commonly used cut-off value of $Z < -1.5$ was used to indicate cognitive impairment (Sinha et al., 2020).

Magnetoencephalography

MEG was recorded for 5min in supine position during eyes closed no-task resting-state in a magnetically shielded room (VacuumSchmelze GmBh, Hanau, Germany), using a 306-channel (102 magnetometers and 204 gradiometers) whole-head MEG system (Elekta Neuromag Oy, Helsinki, Finland) and a sampling frequency of 1250Hz. Anti-aliasing and high-pass filters of 410 and 0.1Hz, respectively, were applied online.

In short, preprocessing involved visual inspection, removal of noisy channels, and noise removal in the remaining signals (see Supplementary Materials for details). Anatomical MRI was used for co-registration with the digitized scalp surface, and the Automated Anatomical Labeling atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) was used to parcellate the cortical ribbon into 78 brain regions.

Broadband time series of neuronal activity were then reconstructed for each region's centroid (Hillebrand et al., 2016) using a scalar beamformer approach (Hillebrand et al., 2012). For each patient, we included the first 60 epochs of 4096 samples (3.28s; total >3min). Fast Fourier transforms filtered the time series into six classical frequency bands: delta (0.5-4Hz), theta (4-8Hz), lower alpha (8-10Hz), upper alpha (10-13Hz), beta (13-30Hz), and gamma (30-48Hz). Finally, we computed and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

averaged the phase lag index (PLI (Stam et al., 2007)) between the frequency-filtered time series of region pairs using custom-made scripts in MATLAB (R2020b, MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA; see https://github.com/multinetlab-amsterdam for associated code and data), yielding a single weighted network per frequency band per patient.

Multilayer network analysis

We used the multiplex network (Bianconi, 2018), which contains interlayer links only between the same nodes or brain regions across layers. Since differences in weight distribution across network layers impact multilayer network topology (Mandke et al., 2018), we first binarized each layer separately through Kruskal's algorithm to construct minimum spanning trees (MSTs (Stam et al., 2014; Tewarie et al., 2015)). The MST recapitulates the network's backbone, by incorporating each node and optimizing the overall weight of the network with n-1 connections (in our case 77 connections) and no cycles. The MST has been amply applied in MEG, with a recent meta-analysis revealing consistent transdiagnostic MST alterations (Blomsma et al., 2022). We set all interlayer link weights to 1 (Figure 1).

We then calculated nodal multilayer eigenvector centrality (EC) as a measure of integration according to De Domenico et al. (2016) in Python (version 3.6, Python Software Foundation). EC not only takes the number of connections a node itself has into account, but also considers the centrality of neighboring nodes (Lohmann et al., 2010). EC relates to cognition in MEG literature (Hardmeier et al., 2012) and to EF in particular when using the multilayer approach (Breedt et al., 2021). Finally, nodal EC values of FPN nodes (according to (van Dellen et al., 2012a); Supplementary Table 2) were averaged, resulting in one multilayer FPN EC value per patient per time point.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (version 26.0, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). Paired t-tests (or Wilcoxon signed-rank tests in case of non-normality) were used to assess cognitive and network changes.

Backward linear regressions tested whether multilayer EC associated with EF at T1. For each EF aspect, a separate regression was performed. Based on literature (Derks et al., 2019; van Kessel et al., 2017; Wefel et al., 2016), glioma subtype and tumor location were selected as covariates. Potential additional covariates were selected through significant ($p < 0.05$) associations with the dependent variable (through correlation coefficients for age, time between resection and NPA/MEG, tumor volume, percent tumor overlap with FPN; through t-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests for tumor lateralization, presence of epilepsy, education, sex, handedness, active chemotherapy treatment during or <4 weeks before T2; through (Kruskal-Wallis) ANOVA for tumor grade, treatment type). Patients with tumor progression before T2 were only included for this cross-sectional T1 analysis.

To test whether multilayer EC changes (T2-T1) related to cognitive changes (T2-T1), backward linear regressions were performed. Based on literature (Hilverda et al., 2010; Koutsarnakis et al., 2021; Tabor et al., 2021; Talacchi et al., 2011), type of anti-tumor treatment (radiotherapy, chemotherapy, chemoradiation, or no treatment) was included as coviarate. We initially planned on performing longitudinal analyses separately in patients with progression before T2, but this sample proved too small.

Backward linear regressions were used to test whether T1 multilayer EC predicted changes in EF. Again, anti-tumor treatment was included as covariate, and active chemotherapy was explored as a potential additional covariate.

The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$, but significance after Bonferroni correction was also reported (p-value multiplied by three, for each EF aspect).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

Patient characteristics

In total, 37 participants (mean age 41.7 years ± SD 12.3) completed NPA and MEG at T1 and T2 (Table 1). For two patients, the T1 set shifting score could not be calculated due to missing motor scores; three patients had incomplete T2 inhibition scores; one patient had a missing T2 word fluency score. In 4 patients, the interval between T2 MEG and NPA was >3 months (range 4-7), but they were included as they had stable disease for at least 17 months after surgery.

At T1, 8 patients (22%) showed impaired set shifting (Figure 2A); 2 patients (5%) had impaired word fluency; no patients had impaired inhibition. Longitudinally, 4 patients (11%) became unimpaired and 3 patients (8%) became impaired in set shifting; 4 patients (11%) declined to impaired word fluency; 1 patient (3%) became impaired in inhibition.

At the group-level, word fluency declined significantly (uncorrected p = 0.002, corrected p = 0.006, Figure 2A). Inhibition declined non-significantly (uncorrected p = 0.057). Set shifting remained unchanged (uncorrected p = 0.441).

At the group-level, multilayer EC did not change (uncorrected p = 0.755, Table 1 and Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure 2 visually represents molecular subtypes separately).

Baseline brain-cognition correlations

For set shifting, having epilepsy or not was included as an additional covariate at T1, whereas no additional covariates were selected for word fluency and inhibition. Lower multilayer EC and having epilepsy significantly related to poorer set shifting (p = 0.017 and p = 0.006 respectively, model corrected p = 0.009; Table 2, Figure 3A). Multilayer EC was not included in the final models for word fluency and inhibition, but patients

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

with IDH-mutant, 1p/19q-codeleted glioma had better word fluency than IDH-wildtype glioblastoma patients (Supplementary Table 2).

Longitudinal brain-cognition correlations

Longitudinal regression analyses were performed in patients without tumor progression before T2 (n = 30). For set shifting, type of anti-tumor treatment, active chemotherapy, resection-NPA interval, and resection-MEG interval correlated with change scores. Due to multicollinearity, only active treatment and resection-NPA interval were included. Decreasing multilayer EC and no active chemotherapy were associated with declining set shifting (p = 0.022 and p = 0.004, respectively, model corrected p = 0.018; Table 2, Figure 3B).

Multilayer EC changes did not relate to changes in inhibition and word fluency (Supplementary Table 1). Patients with IDH-mutant, 1p/19q-codeleted glioma showed greater word fluency decline than patients with IDH-mutant, non-codeleted glioma (p = 0.001, Supplementary Figure 1) and IDH-wildtype glioblastoma (p = 0.005, model corrected p = 0.003).

Baseline predictors of cognitive change

T1 multilayer EC did not predict cognitive change (Supplementary Table 1). Instead, poorer baseline inhibition and word fluency were associated with improving performance (p = 0.014 and p < 0.001, respectively, model corrected p = 0.066 and p < 0.001, respectively). For set shifting, poorer baseline performance and active chemotherapy were related to improving scores (model corrected p = 0.049), but individual predictors did not reach significance (p = 0.069 and p = 0.091, respectively).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

As expected, a relevant proportion of glioma patients showed executive dysfunction in this study, both at diagnosis and after tumor resection. While there were group-level decreases in word fluency only, we found variable individual trajectories for inhibition and set shifting. Partly confirming our hypotheses, lower and decreasing multilayer FPN integration (eigenvector centrality) related to poorer and deteriorating set shifting, but not word fluency and inhibition. Contrary to our hypothesis, baseline multilayer centrality did not predict postoperative changes in executive functioning.

Our findings on the association between multilayer integration and set shifting are in line with previous findings in healthy controls (Breedt et al., 2021), even though the study by Breedt used a composite EF score. Central nodes like those within the FPN are thought to facilitate global communication between segregated communities, presumably enabling EF (Bertolero et al., 2017; Sporns, 2013). Our multilayer analysis indeed picks up on relevant individual variation in EF in these patients, explaining ~27% of the cognitive variance in set shifting at diagnosis. Furthermore, our findings synthesize previous frequency-specific findings in relation to cognitive functioning in these patients (Bosma et al., 2009; Carbo et al., 2017; van Dellen et al., 2012a). These findings may particularly inform future studies on the development of targeted cognitive treatment. For example, non-invasive stimulation of the FPN improves cognitive functioning in healthy adults (Luber & Lisanby, 2014), with a recent preliminary study demonstrating positive neurological results in glioma patients (Poologaindran et al., 2022). Baseline functional network properties may help determine the efficacy of stimulation and its subsequent effect on cognition (Douw et al., 2020; Fitzsimmons et al., 2020; Nicolo et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2021); multilayer FPN integration may thus be explored as a target in individual patients.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Correlations were significant only for set shifting, potentially reflecting particular sensitivity of multilayer integration towards this aspect of EF. Of note, performance on the three tests showed very different distributions, and the large variability in set shifting performance over time may have led to greater statistical power to find correlations with multilayer integration. Of course, the lack of findings for inhibition and word fluency could also be due to the small and heterogeneous sample. In particular, although we statistically adjusted for molecular subtype, scores on especially word fluency diverged between these subtypes. Moreover, poorer test scores at T1 predicted larger improvements in both word fluency and inhibition, potentially reflecting regression to the mean. In terms of covariates, having epilepsy was related to poorer set shifting at T1, congruent with earlier work (Klein et al., 2003). The finding that patients undergoing active chemotherapy at T2 showed improving set shifting remains difficult to interpret, particularly since none of these patients had shown tumor progression yet.

Contrary to our hypothesis, we did not find predictive significance of baseline multilayer integration towards EF change. Our current results suggest that although relevant as a cross-sectional EF correlate, multilayer integration as operationalized here may not predict EF trajectories in a heterogeneous sample of glioma patients.

There are several limitations that should be taken into account when interpreting these results. Firstly, although large for a rare disease, our sample size is still relatively small. Furthermore, we included a heterogeneous cohort, while molecular subtype particularly may pertain to cognitive functioning (Derks et al., 2019; Wefel et al., 2016). Future studies should include more patients of each subtype to enable more specific assessment of brain-cognition correlations, instead of merely adjusting analyses for subtype. Thirdly, participation bias and therefore generalizability should always be considered in observational studies, as supported by our patients' high performance status. Fourthly, the multilayer network approach is new; other choices in terms of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

defining intra- and interlayer connectivity (Boccaletti et al., 2014) could be explored now that we established that multilayer integration relates to EF in these patients. Finally, we used a relatively coarse and non-connectivity-based atlas to allow for comparison with earlier work (Carbo et al., 2017; Derks et al., 2019; van Dellen et al., 2012a, 2012b), but replication with a connectivity-based atlas may yield additional insightful results.

Conclusion

We describe multilayer frequency-band integration of the frontoparietal network as a new correlate of executive functioning in glioma patients. Lower and decreasing integration related to poorer and declining set shifting. Unfortunately, baseline multilayer centrality did not have predictive value for declining EF over time. Still, our findings may inform future studies on the brain mechanisms underlying cognitive decline in these patients, as well as spark exploration of more targeted treatment of EF deficits, for instance through non-invasive brain stimulation based on a multilayer target.

Funding

This study was funded by a Branco Weiss Fellowship, the Dutch Research Council (Veni 016.146.086 and Vidi 198.015), and EpilepsieNL (grants 08-08 and 09-09).

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethics approval

Approval for this study was obtained from the VUmc Medical Ethical Committee.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Consent for publication (include appropriate statements)

Availability of data and material

This analysis on existing data has been preregistered (https://osf.io/83tbq). Derivative data needed to replicate these results can be found at https://github.com/multinetlab-amsterdam.

Code availability

Scripts that were used to construct the supra-adjacency matrices in MATLAB and multilayer eigenvector centrality in the frontoparietal network in Python can be found here:

https://github.com/multinetlab-amsterdam

Author contributions included conception and study design (MRvL, LD), data collection or acquisition (MRvL, SDK), preprocessing of MEG data (LCB, SDK, MLMZ), statistical analysis (MRvL, LD, LCB, FANS), interpretation of results (MRvL, LD, LCB), drafting the manuscript work or revising it critically for important intellectual content (all authors) and approval of final version to be published and agreement to be accountable for the integrity and accuracy of all aspects of the work (all authors).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Schematic overview of the MEG multilayer analysis pipeline

For every participant, magnetoencephalography (MEG) data was preprocessed and projected to the brain; the brain was parcellated according to the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) atlas; the Phase Lag Index (PLI) was used to compute weighted connectivity matrices; minimum spanning trees (MST) of the weighted matrices were constructed using Kruskal's algorithm; and finally an LxN by LxN supra-adjacency matrix representing a multilayer network of the six MEG frequency bands as layers (all with N = 78 nodes for each AAL region and M = N – 1 = 77 binary intralayer links) was constructed, where diagonal blocks contain the intralayer connections for each frequency band and the off-diagonal blocks the interlayer connections; like the intralayer connections, we set all interlayer link weights to 1, obtaining binary multilayer networks; now, multilayer eigenvector centrality (EC) of the frontoparietal network (FPN) was calculated and averaged for each patient and timepoint. NPA = neuropsychological assessment, EF = executive functioning.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2. Executive functioning and multilayer integration at both time points

Each panel shows a paired raincloud plot, in which individual data points of each patient at both time points are displayed through the combination of a scatterplot (the 'rain'), a spaghetti plot, a box plot, and a probability density plot (the 'cloud'). Panel A shows patients' Z-scores of the three executive functioning tests. Scores below the dashed line at -1.5 indicate clinically relevant cognitive deficits. Only word fluency changed significantly at the group-level (corrected p = 0.006). Panel B shows patients' multilayer eigenvector centrality (EC) of the frontoparietal network, which did not change significantly at the group-level.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 3. Significant associations between multilayer integration and set shifting

(A) Displays the cross-sectional association between multilayer eigenvector centrality (EC) of the frontoparietal network and set shifting performance (n = 35, model corrected p = 0.009), with color codes indicating the included covariate (presence of epilepsy). (B) Shows the longitudinal association between change in multilayer EC (T2-T1) and change in set shifting (T2-T1; n = 28, model corrected p = 0.018), with color codes indicating the included covariate (active chemotherapy at T2). Shaded area: 95% confidence interval.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics	Glioma patients (n = 37)
Sex (males/females)	29/8
Age in years (mean ± SD)	41.7 ± 12.3
Education Verhage score (median (range))*	6 (4 -7)
Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS, median (range))	100 (80 - 100)
Epilepsy (yes/no)	33/4
Use of antiepileptic drugs (yes/no)	32/5
Interval resection-MEG in months (median (range))	12 (8 – 20)
Interval resection-NPA in months (median (range))	12 (7 – 20)
Handedness (left/right)	9/28
Postoperative treatment before T2	
Radiotherapy (n)	4
Concomittant chemoradiation followed by adjuvant temozolomide (n)	6
Radiotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy (n)	14
None (n)	13
Active chemotherapy during or <4 weeks before T2 (n)	6
Progression before T2 (yes/no)	7/30
Tumor grade (II/III/IV)	23/8/6
Tumor lateralization (left/right/bilateral)	25/11/1
Histology	
Astrocytoma (n)	14
Oligodendroglioma (n)	12
Oligoastrocytoma (n)	5
Glioblastoma (n)	6
Location	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Frontal (n)	16
Temporal (n)	9
Parietal (n)	7
Frontotemporal (n)	3
Insular (n)	1
Occipital (n)	1
Molecular subtype	
IDH-wildtype glioblastoma (n)	6
IDH-mutant, non-codeleted glioma (n)	14
IDH-mutant, 1p/19q-codeleted glioma (n)	16
Not available (n)	1
Tumor volume corrected for head size in mL (median (range))	34.26 (1.78 - 118.81)
Tumor overlap with frontoparietal network in percent (median (range))	2.47 (0 - 28.1)
T1 Multilayer centrality (mean ± SD)	0.41 ± 0.10
T2 Multilayer centrality (mean ± SD)	0.42 ± 0.10
Set shifting test completed (*n* at T1/T2)	35/37
Word fluency test completed (*n* at T1/T2)	37/36
Inhibition test completed (*n* at T1/T2)	37/34

*Verhage et al., 1964.

Table 2. Significant regression results concerning multilayer integration

Dependent	adj. R^2	F (df)	*p*-value	Corrected *p*-value	Significant predictors	β (stand.)	*p*-value	Excluded variables	*p*-value
T1 Set shifting	0.269	7.06 (2,31)	0.003	0.009	T1 multilayer EC	0.377	0.017	Non-frontal tumor (ref = frontal)	0.970
					Presence of epilepsy (ref = no epilepsy)	-0.437	0.006	IDH-wildtype (ref = IDH-mutant, 1p/19q-codeleted)	0.470
								IDH-mutant, non-codeleted	0.324
Δ Set shifting	0.283	6.33 (2,25)	0.006	0.018	Δ multilayer EC	0.414	0.022	Interval resection-NPA	0.524
					Active treatment at T2 (ref = no active treatment)	0.530	0.004		

EC = eigenvector centrality, Δ = change score (T2-T1), NPA = neuropsychological assessment. In case of dummy-coded variables, the reference category (ref) is indicated at first mention only.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

Aertsen, A. M. H. J., Gerstein, G. L., Habib, M. K., & Palm, G. (1989). Dynamics of Neuronal Firing Correlation: Modulation of "Effective Connectivity" *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *61*(5), 900-917.

Bassett, D. S., & Sporns, O. (2017). Network neuroscience. *Nat Neurosci*, *20*(3), 353-364. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4502

Baum, G. L., Ciric, R., Roalf, D. R., Betzel, R. F., Moore, T. M., Shinohara, R. T., Kahn, A. E., Vandekar, S. N., Rupert, P. E., Quarmley, M., Cook, P. A., Elliott, M. A., Ruparel, K., Gur, R. E., Gur, R. C., Bassett, D. S., & Satterthwaite, T. D. (2017). Modular Segregation of Structural Brain Networks Supports the Development of Executive Function in Youth. *Curr Biol*, *27*(11), 1561-1572 e1568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.051

Belgers, V., Numan, T., Kulik, S. D., Hillebrand, A., de Witt Hamer, P. C., Geurts, J. J. G., Reijneveld, J. C., Wesseling, P., Klein, M., Derks, J., & Douw, L. (2020). Postoperative oscillatory brain activity as an add-on prognostic marker in diffuse glioma. *J Neurooncol*, *147*(1), 49-58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-019-03386-7

Bianconi, G. (2018). *Multilayer networks: structure and function. Oxford university press.* Oxford university press.

Blomsma, N., de Rooy, B., Gerritse, F., van der Spek, R., Tewarie, P., Hillebrand, A., Otte, W. M., Stam, C. J., & van Dellen, E. (2022). Minimum spanning tree analysis of brain networks: A systematic review of network size effects, sensitivity for neuropsychiatric pathology and disorder specificity. *Network Neuroscience*, 1-39.

Boccaletti, S., Bianconi, G., Criado, R., Del Genio, C. I., Gómez-Gardenes, J., Romance, M., Sendina-Nadal, I., Wang, Z., & Zanin, M. (2014). The structure and dynamics of multilayer networks. *Physics reports*, *544*(1), 1-122.

Bosma, I., Reijneveld, J. C., Klein, M., Douw, L., van Dijk, B. W., Heimans, J. J., & Stam, C. J. (2009). Disturbed functional brain networks and neurocognitive function in low-grade glioma patients: a graph theoretical analysis of resting-state MEG. *Nonlinear Biomed Phys*, *3*(1), 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1753-4631-3-9

Breedt, L. C., Santos, F. A. N., Hillebrand, A., Reneman, L., van Rootselaar, A.-F., Schoonheim, M. M., Stam, C. J., Ticheler, A., Tijms, B. M., Veltman, D. J., Vriend, C., Wagenmakers, M. J., van Wingen, G. A., Geurts, J. J. G., Schrantee, A., & Douw, L. (2021). Multimodal multilayer network centrality relates to executive functioning. *BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.28.450180

Brookes, M. J., Tewarie, P. K., Hunt, B. A. E., Robson, S. E., Gascoyne, L. E., Liddle, E. B., Liddle, P. F., & Morris, P. G. (2016). A multi-layer network approach to MEG connectivity analysis. *Neuroimage*, *132*, 425-438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.045

Carbo, E. W., Hillebrand, A., van Dellen, E., Tewarie, P., de Witt Hamer, P. C., Baayen, J. C., Klein, M., Geurts, J. J., Reijneveld, J. C., Stam, C. J., & Douw, L. (2017). Dynamic hub load predicts cognitive decline after resective neurosurgery. *Sci Rep*, *7*, 42117. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42117

De Baene, W., Rutten, G. M., & Sitskoorn, M. M. (2019). Cognitive functioning in glioma patients is related to functional connectivity measures of the non-tumoural hemisphere. *Eur J Neurosci*, *50*(12), 3921-3933. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.14535

De Domenico, M., Sasai, S., & Arenas, A. (2016). Mapping Multiplex Hubs in Human Functional Brain Networks. *Front Neurosci*, *10*, 326. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2016.00326

De Domenico, M., Sole-Ribalta, A., Cozzo, E., Kivela, M., Moreno, Y., Porter, M. A., Gomez, S., & Arenas, A. (2013). Mathematical Formulation of Multilayer Networks. *Physical Review X*, *3*(4). https://doi.org/ARTN 041022

10.1103/PhysRevX.3.041022

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Deco, G., Sanz Perl, Y., Vuust, P., Tagliazucchi, E., Kennedy, H., & Kringelbach, M. L. (2021). Rare long-range cortical connections enhance human information processing. *Curr Biol*, *31*(20), 4436-4448 e4435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.07.064

Derks, J., Kulik, S., Wesseling, P., Numan, T., Hillebrand, A., van Dellen, E., de Witt Hamer, P. C., Geurts, J. J. G., Reijneveld, J. C., Stam, C. J., Klein, M., & Douw, L. (2019). Understanding cognitive functioning in glioma patients: The relevance of IDH-mutation status and functional connectivity. *Brain Behav*, *9*(4), e01204. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.1204

Derks, J., Kulik, S. D., Numan, T., de Witt Hamer, P. C., Noske, D. P., Klein, M., Geurts, J. J. G., Reijneveld, J. C., Stam, C. J., Schoonheim, M. M., Hillebrand, A., & Douw, L. (2021). Understanding Global Brain Network Alterations in Glioma Patients. *Brain Connect*, *11*(10), 865-874. https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2020.0801

Derks, J., Wesseling, P., Carbo, E. W. S., Hillebrand, A., van Dellen, E., de Witt Hamer, P. C., Klein, M., Schenk, G. J., Geurts, J. J. G., Reijneveld, J. C., & Douw, L. (2018). Oscillatory brain activity associates with neuroligin-3 expression and predicts progression free survival in patients with diffuse glioma. *J Neurooncol*, *140*(2), 403-412. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-018-2967-5

Douw, L., Baayen, H., Bosma, I., Klein, M., Vandertop, P., Heimans, J., Stam, K., de Munck, J., & Reijneveld, J. (2008). Treatment-related changes in functional connectivity in brain tumor patients: a magnetoencephalography study. *Exp Neurol*, *212*(2), 285-290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2008.03.013

Douw, L., Quaak, M., Fitzsimmons, S., de Wit, S. J., van der Werf, Y. D., van den Heuvel, O. A., & Vriend, C. (2020). Static and dynamic network properties of the repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation target predict changes in emotion regulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Brain Stimul*, *13*(2), 318-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2019.10.017

Fang, D., Jiang, J., Sun, X., Wang, W., Dong, N., Fu, X., Pang, C., Chen, X., & Ding, L. (2014). Attention dysfunction of postoperative patients with glioma. *World J Surg Oncol*, *12*, 317. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7819-12-317

Fitzsimmons, S. M., Douw, L., van den Heuvel, O. A., van der Werf, Y. D., & Vriend, C. (2020). Resting-state and task-based centrality of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex predict resilience to 1 Hz repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Human brain mapping*, *41*(11), 3161-3171. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7336158/pdf/HBM-41-3161.pdf

Friston, K. J. (1994). Functional and effective connectivity in neuroimaging: a synthesis. *Human brain mapping*, *2*(1-2), 56-78.

Guillon, J., Attal, Y., Colliot, O., La Corte, V., Dubois, B., Schwartz, D., Chavez, M., & De Vico Fallani, F. (2017). Loss of brain inter-frequency hubs in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Rep*, *7*(1), 10879. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07846-w

Hammes, J. G. W. (1978). *De Stroop kleur-woord test*. Harcourt Test Publ.

Hardmeier, M., Schoonheim, M. M., Geurts, J. J., Hillebrand, A., Polman, C. H., Barkhof, F., & Stam, C. J. (2012). Cognitive dysfunction in early multiple sclerosis: altered centrality derived from resting-state functional connectivity using magneto-encephalography. *PLoS One*, *7*(7), e42087. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042087

Hillebrand, A., Barnes, G. R., Bosboom, J. L., Berendse, H. W., & Stam, C. J. (2012). Frequency-dependent functional connectivity within resting-state networks: an atlas-based MEG beamformer solution. *Neuroimage*, *59*(4), 3909-3921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.005

Hillebrand, A., Tewarie, P., van Dellen, E., Yu, M. C., Carbo, E. W. S., Douw, L., Gouw, A. A., van Straaten, E. C. W., & Stam, C. J. (2016). Direction of information flow in large-scale resting-state networks is frequency-dependent. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *113*(14), 3867-3872. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1515657113

Hilverda, K., Bosma, I., Heimans, J. J., Postma, T. J., Peter Vandertop, W., Slotman, B. J., Buter, J., Reijneveld, J. C., & Klein, M. (2010). Cognitive functioning in glioblastoma patients during radiotherapy and temozolomide treatment: initial findings. *J Neurooncol*, *97*(1), 89-94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-009-9993-2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Karnofsky, D. A., Abelmann, W. H., Craver, L. F., & Burchenal, J. H. (1948). The use of the nitrogen mustards in the palliative treatment of carcinoma. *Cancer 1*(4), 634-656.

Kilavik, B. E., Zaepffel, M., Brovelli, A., MacKay, W. A., & Riehle, A. (2013). The ups and downs of beta oscillations in sensorimotor cortex. *Experimental neurology*, *245*, 15-26.

Klein, M., Engelberts, N. H., van der Ploeg, H. M., Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenite, D. G., Aaronson, N. K., Taphoorn, M. J., Baaijen, H., Vandertop, W. P., Muller, M., Postma, T. J., & Heimans, J. J. (2003). Epilepsy in low-grade gliomas: the impact on cognitive function and quality of life. *Ann Neurol*, *54*(4), 514-520. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.10712

Klein, M., Heimans, J., Aaronson, N., Postma, T., Muller, M., Van der Ploeg, H., & Taphoorn, M. (2004). Impaired cognitive functioning in low-grade glioma patients: relationship to tumor localisation, radiotherapy and the use of anticonvulsants. *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Geneeskunde*, *148*(44), 2175-2180.

Klimesch, W. (2012). Alpha-band oscillations, attention, and controlled access to stored information. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, *16*(12), 606-617.

Kocher, M., Jockwitz, C., Caspers, S., Schreiber, J., Farrher, E., Stoffels, G., Filss, C., Lohmann, P., Tscherpel, C., Ruge, M. I., Fink, G. R., Shah, N. J., Galldiks, N., & Langen, K. J. (2020). Role of the default mode resting-state network for cognitive functioning in malignant glioma patients following multimodal treatment. *Neuroimage Clin*, *27*, 102287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102287

Koutsarnakis, C., Neromyliotis, E., Komaitis, S., Mazarakis, N., O'Hara, D. J., Stranjalis, G., & Chumas, P. (2021). Effects of brain radiotherapy on cognitive performance in adult low-grade glioma patients: A systematic review. *Radiother Oncol*, *160*, 202-211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2021.04.023

Kucewicz, M. T., Cimbalnik, J., Matsumoto, J. Y., Brinkmann, B. H., Bower, M. R., Vasoli, V., Sulc, V., Meyer, F., Marsh, W., & Stead, S. (2014). High frequency oscillations are associated with cognitive processing in human recognition memory. *Brain*, *137*(8), 2231-2244.

Landers, M. J. F., Meesters, S. P. L., van Zandvoort, M., de Baene, W., & Rutten, G. M. (2021). The frontal aslant tract and its role in executive functions: a quantitative tractography study in glioma patients. *Brain Imaging Behav*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-021-00581-x

Lemaitre, A. L., Herbet, G., Ng, S., Moritz-Gasser, S., & Duffau, H. (2021). Cognitive preservation following awake mapping-based neurosurgery for low-grade gliomas: a longitudinal, within-patient design study. *Neuro Oncol*. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noab275

Lohmann, G., Margulies, D. S., Horstmann, A., Pleger, B., Lepsien, J., Goldhahn, D., Schloegl, H., Stumvoll, M., Villringer, A., & Turner, R. (2010). Eigenvector centrality mapping for analyzing connectivity patterns in fMRI data of the human brain. *PLoS One*, *5*(4), e10232. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010232

Louis, D. N., Perry, A., Wesseling, P., Brat, D. J., Cree, I. A., Figarella-Branger, D., Hawkins, C., Ng, H. K., Pfister, S. M., Reifenberger, G., Soffietti, R., von Deimling, A., & Ellison, D. W. (2021). The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Neuro Oncol*, *23*(8), 1231-1251. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noab106

Luber, B., & Lisanby, S. H. (2014). Enhancement of human cognitive performance using transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS). *Neuroimage*, *85*, 961-970. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4083569/pdf/nihms-494160.pdf

Maesawa, S., Bagarinao, E., Fujii, M., Futamura, M., Motomura, K., Watanabe, H., Mori, D., Sobue, G., & Wakabayashi, T. (2015). Evaluation of resting state networks in patients with gliomas: connectivity changes in the unaffected side and its relation to cognitive function. *PLoS One*, *10*(2), e0118072. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118072

Mandke, K., Meier, J., Brookes, M. J., O'Dea, R. D., Van Mieghem, P., Stam, C. J., Hillebrand, A., & Tewarie, P. (2018). Comparing multilayer brain networks between groups: Introducing graph metrics and recommendations. *Neuroimage*, *166*, 371-384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.11.016

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Medaglia, J. D., Lynall, M. E., & Bassett, D. S. (2015). Cognitive network neuroscience. *J Cogn Neurosci*, *27*(8), 1471-1491. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_00810

Mulder, J., Dekker, P., & Dekker, R. (2006). *Woord-fluency test/figuur-fluency test, handleiding*. PITS.

Ng, J. C. H., See, A. A. Q., Ang, T. Y., Tan, L. Y. R., Ang, B. T., & King, N. K. K. (2019). Effects of surgery on neurocognitive function in patients with glioma: a meta-analysis of immediate post-operative and long-term follow-up neurocognitive outcomes. *J Neurooncol*, *141*(1), 167-182. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-018-03023-9

Nicolo, P., Ptak, R., & Guggisberg, A. G. (2015). Variability of behavioural responses to transcranial magnetic stimulation: Origins and predictors. *Neuropsychologia*, *74*, 137-144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.01.033

Noll, K. R., Chen, H. S., Wefel, J. S., Kumar, V. A., Hou, P., Ferguson, S. D., Rao, G., Johnson, J. M., Schomer, D. F., Suki, D., Prabhu, S. S., & Liu, H. L. (2021). Alterations in Functional Connectomics Associated With Neurocognitive Changes Following Glioma Resection. *Neurosurgery*, *88*(3), 544-551. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/nyaa453

Noll, K. R., Walbert, T., & Wefel, J. S. (2020). Impaired neurocognitive function in glioma patients: from pathophysiology to novel intervention strategies. *Curr Opin Neurol*, *33*(6), 716-722. https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0000000000000865

Noll, K. R., Weinberg, J. S., Ziu, M., Benveniste, R. J., Suki, D., & Wefel, J. S. (2015). Neurocognitive Changes Associated With Surgical Resection of Left and Right Temporal Lobe Glioma. *Neurosurgery*, *77*(5), 777-785. https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000000987

Poologaindran, A., Profyris, C., Young, I. M., Dadario, N. B., Ahsan, S. A., Chendeb, K., Briggs, R. G., Teo, C., Romero-Garcia, R., Suckling, J., & Sughrue, M. E. (2022). Interventional neurorehabilitation for promoting functional recovery post-craniotomy: a proof-of-concept. *Sci Rep*, *12*(1), 3039. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06766-8

Satoer, D., Vork, J., Visch-Brink, E., Smits, M., Dirven, C., & Vincent, A. (2012). Cognitive functioning early after surgery of gliomas in eloquent areas. *J Neurosurg*, *117*(5), 831-838. https://doi.org/10.3171/2012.7.JNS12263

Sauseng, P., Klimesch, W., Schabus, M., & Doppelmayr, M. (2005). Fronto-parietal EEG coherence in theta and upper alpha reflect central executive functions of working memory. *Int J Psychophysiol*, *57*(2), 97-103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2005.03.018

Sinha, R., Stephenson, J. M., & Price, S. J. (2020). A systematic review of cognitive function in patients with glioblastoma undergoing surgery. *Neurooncol Pract*, *7*(2), 131-142. https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npz018

Sporns, O., Tononi, G., & Kotter, R. (2005). The human connectome: A structural description of the human brain. *PLoS Comput Biol*, *1*(4), e42. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0010042

Stam, C., Tewarie, P., Van Dellen, E., Van Straaten, E., Hillebrand, A., & Van Mieghem, P. (2014). The trees and the forest: characterization of complex brain networks with minimum spanning trees. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, *92*(3), 129-138.

Stam, C. J., Nolte, G., & Daffertshofer, A. (2007). Phase lag index: assessment of functional connectivity from multi channel EEG and MEG with diminished bias from common sources. *Human brain mapping*, *28*(11), 1178-1193.

Stam, C. J., & Reijneveld, J. C. (2007). Graph theoretical analysis of complex networks in the brain. *Nonlinear biomedical physics*, *1*(1), 1-19.

Tabor, J. K., Bonda, D., LeMonda, B. C., & D'Amico, R. S. (2021). Neuropsychological outcomes following supratotal resection for high-grade glioma: a review. *J Neurooncol*, *152*(3), 429-437. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-021-03731-9

Talacchi, A., Santini, B., Savazzi, S., & Gerosa, M. (2011). Cognitive effects of tumour and surgical treatment in glioma patients. *J Neurooncol*, *103*(3), 541-549. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-010-0417-0

Tanzilli, A., Pace, A., Prosperini, L., Oppido, P. A., Telera, S., Rasile, F., Farneti, A., Marucci, L., & Villani, V. (2022). Multidomain and long-term cognitive evaluation in malignant glioma patients. *Future Oncol*, *18*(15), 1839-1848. https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2021-0963

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Tewarie, P., Hillebrand, A., van Dijk, B. W., Stam, C. J., O'Neill, G. C., Van Mieghem, P., Meier, J. M., Woolrich, M. W., Morris, P. G., & Brookes, M. J. (2016). Integrating cross-frequency and within band functional networks in resting-state MEG: A multi-layer network approach. *Neuroimage*, *142*, 324-336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.07.057

Tewarie, P., van Dellen, E., Hillebrand, A., & Stam, C. J. (2015). The minimum spanning tree: an unbiased method for brain network analysis. *Neuroimage*, *104*, 177-188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.10.015

Tordjman, M., Madelin, G., Gupta, P. K., Cordova, C., Kurz, S. C., Orringer, D., Golfinos, J., Kondziolka, D., Ge, Y., Wang, R. L., Lazar, M., & Jain, R. (2021). Functional connectivity of the default mode, dorsal attention and fronto-parietal executive control networks in glial tumor patients. *J Neurooncol*, *152*(2), 347-355. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-021-03706-w

Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N., Mazoyer, B., & Joliot, M. (2002). Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. *Neuroimage*, *15*(1), 273-289. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0978

van Dellen, E., de Witt Hamer, P. C., Douw, L., Klein, M., Heimans, J. J., Stam, C. J., Reijneveld, J. C., & Hillebrand, A. (2012a). Connectivity in MEG resting-state networks increases after resective surgery for low-grade glioma and correlates with improved cognitive performance. *Neuroimage Clin*, *2*, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2012.10.007

van Dellen, E., Douw, L., Hillebrand, A., Ris-Hilgersom, I. H., Schoonheim, M. M., Baayen, J. C., De Witt Hamer, P. C., Velis, D. N., Klein, M., Heimans, J. J., Stam, C. J., & Reijneveld, J. C. (2012b). MEG network differences between low- and high-grade glioma related to epilepsy and cognition. *PLoS One*, *7*(11), e50122. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050122

van den Heuvel, M. P., & Hulshoff Pol, H. E. (2010). Exploring the brain network: a review on resting-state fMRI functional connectivity. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*, *20*(8), 519-534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2010.03.008

van der Elst, W., van Boxtel, M. P., van Breukelen, G. J., & Jolles, J. (2006). The Letter Digit Substitution Test: normative data for 1,858 healthy participants aged 24-81 from the Maastricht Aging Study (MAAS): influence of age, education, and sex. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*, *28*(6), 998-1009. https://doi.org/10.1080/13803390591004428

van Kessel, E., Baumfalk, A. E., van Zandvoort, M. J. E., Robe, P. A., & Snijders, T. J. (2017). Tumor-related neurocognitive dysfunction in patients with diffuse glioma: a systematic review of neurocognitive functioning prior to anti-tumor treatment. *J Neurooncol*, *134*(1), 9-18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-017-2503-z

Wefel, J. S., Noll, K. R., Rao, G., & Cahill, D. P. (2016). Neurocognitive function varies by IDH1 genetic mutation status in patients with malignant glioma prior to surgical resection. *Neuro Oncol*, *18*(12), 1656-1663. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/now165

Weyer-Jamora, C., Brie, M. S., Luks, T. L., Smith, E. M., Braunstein, S. E., Villanueva-Meyer, J. E., Bracci, P. M., Chang, S., Hervey-Jumper, S. L., & Taylor, J. W. (2021). Cognitive impact of lower-grade gliomas and strategies for rehabilitation. *Neurooncol Pract*, *8*(2), 117-128. https://doi.org/10.1093/nop/npaa072

Williams, L. M., Coman, J. T., Stetz, P. C., Walker, N. C., Kozel, F. A., George, M. S., Yoon, J., Hack, L. M., Madore, M. R., Lim, K. O., Philip, N. S., & Holtzheimer, P. E. (2021). Identifying response and predictive biomarkers for Transcranial magnetic stimulation outcomes: protocol and rationale for a mechanistic study of functional neuroimaging and behavioral biomarkers in veterans with Pharmacoresistant depression. *BMC Psychiatry*, *21*(1), 35. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-03030-z

Wu, A. S., Witgert, M. E., Lang, F. F., Xiao, L., Bekele, B. N., Meyers, C. A., Ferson, D., & Wefel, J. S. (2011). Neurocognitive function before and after surgery for insular gliomas. *J Neurosurg*, *115*(6), 1115-1125. https://doi.org/10.3171/2011.8.JNS11488

Yu, M., Engels, M. M. A., Hillebrand, A., van Straaten, E. C. W., Gouw, A. A., Teunissen, C., van der Flier, W. M., Scheltens, P., & Stam, C. J. (2017). Selective impairment of hippocampus and posterior

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

hub areas in Alzheimer's disease: an MEG-based multiplex network study. *Brain*, *140*(5), 1466-1485. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awx050

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.22277928; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 3.