medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Promotion and Valilation of Flipped Teaching Based on Video Conference in Standardized Training for Internal Medicine Residents

Xiao-Yu Zhang[1,2,3,*]

1 Section of Education, Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University, Shanghai, 201508, P. R. China.

2 Department of Liver Disease, Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University, Shanghai, 201508, P. R. China.

3 Public Health Education Professional Committee, Shanghai Preventive Medicine Association, Shanghai, P.R. China.

***Correspondence**:

Xiao-Yu Zhang， Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University, No.2901 Caolang Road, Shanghai, 201508, P. R. China.

Tel: +8613052251333.

Email：zhangxiaoyuPRC@163.com

Abstract

Objective: I aimed to verify the training effect of Flipped Teaching with Video Conference as carrier in the training of infectious diseases, and promote the application of this teaching mode in the standardized training for resident physicians.

Methods: The vertical management mode was adopted to carry out Flipped Teaching in the training, and the training of infectious diseases was carried out according to the requirements of the standardized training program for internal medicine resident. The residents trained in April were included in the trial group, and those trained in June were included in the validation group. The training of both groups was compared and analyzed.

Results: A total of 43 resident physicians participated in the training of infectious diseases by the Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference, all from tertiary hospitals. There were 19 participants in the trial group and 24 participants in the validation group, who carried out Flip Teaching with Video Conference as carrier for 31 times and 24 times respectively. The implementation rate of teaching plan was above 91% in both groups, and the attendance rate was above 98%; There was no significant difference on the attendance rate between the both groups, P>0.05.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

952 effective feedback questionnaires were collected, including 395 in the trial group and 557 in the validation group. In terms of teaching quality, the indicators of "Rigorous teaching attitude" and "Punctual class" in the validation group were lower than those in the trial group, $P < 0.05$; And the other indicatiors were no significant difference, $P>0.05$; All feedback of the teaching quality indexes "good and very good" accounted for more than 96%. In the overall evaluation, there was no significant difference between the both groups on the index of "Suggestions for improvement", $P>0.05$; And the "Praise highlight" of the validation group was higher than that of the trial group, $P<0.05$.

Conclusion: The Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference is generally effective for internal medicine residents to carry out training of infectious diseases, with good feedback and strong feasibility. This teaching model can be further promoted and applied in the standardized training for resident physicians.

[Key words] Flipped teaching; Resident physician in internal medicine; Standardized training; Medical teaching

Introduction

In view of the fact that some standardized training bases for internal medicine residents did not meet the training standards of the Department of Infectious Diseases, those residents in the bases were assigned to a designated hospital to carry out the training of infectious diseases.[1] During the actual operation of the project, due to various subjective and objective reasons, the training cycle of internal medicine residents in the Department of Infectious Diseases might be insufficient in a certain period; Therefore, I explored to apply a new training method as a supplement to the standardized training for internal medicine residents.

From April 1 to April 4, 2022, I began to explore Flipped Teaching with Video Conference as the carrier to carry out infectious disease training for internal medicine residents. This teaching mode was generally effective and could be used as a supplementary training method for standardized training of internal medicine residents, to make up for the lack of clinical training time for the residents due to holidays or emergencies.[2] Therefore, I conducted the infectious disease training for internal medicine residents with Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference in whole April.

In order to further verify the effect and with Video Conference as carrier of Flipped Teaching with Video Conference as the carrier in the training of infectious diseases, I once again carried out the Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference for residents whose the original plan was arranged to the designated

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

hospitals for infectious disease training in June 2022, which provided data support and experience summary for whether promoting the application of the teaching mode in the field of standardized training for resident physicians.

Objects and Methods

Subjects and Grouping

The study objects were internal medicine residents who planned to take training in training in the Department of Infectious Diseases of the designated hospital in April and June. And they were also physicians who had been participating in the standardized training for residents in Shanghai. Their dispatched hospital had signed an Agreement on Joint Training of Internal Medicine Residents with the designated hospital.

Residents who participated in the infectious diseases training with Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference in April and June were all included in the study, which was divided into two groups. Trial group: residents who participated in the infectious diseases training with Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference in April; Validation group: residents who participated in the infectious diseases training with Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference in June.

The informed consents of participants in internal medicine residents were obtained, including their data being used for the training and the research, and that this study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Construction of Flipping Teaching with Video Conference as the Carrier[2]

The Flipped Teaching with Video Conference as the carrier adopted "vertical management mode" for management[2,3], and training plan was formulated; All residents participated in teaching and evaluation. According to the training requirements of the Department of Infectious Diseases in the Standardized Training Content and Standard for Resident Physicians (2021 Edition) -- Internal Medicine Training Rules, residents chose training topics and contents, and determined the teaching time within the training cycle; Teaching programmes and implementation plans for the cycle would be identified after all training content and teaching time were determined; Residents developed PPT and clinical teaching based on the latest clinical guidelines and expert consensus. The whole teaching activity was carried out online by Video Conference, involving teaching organization, training, after-class discussion, teaching feedback, quality control and teaching management, etc.

Evaluation Indexes and Methods

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Seven teaching plan indicators (topic selection based on training syllabus, teaching on the planned time, teaching on the planned content, making PPT fully, providing references, unifying the teaching content and training program, and participating in after-class discussion) were established to evaluate the implementation of the teaching plan. Nine teaching quality indicators (rigorous teaching attitude, punctual class, detailed and accurate teaching content, reasonable structure and clear process, highlighting teaching key points, clear teaching difficulties, accurate and refined language, combining theory with clinical practice, and improving ability to analyze and deal with the disease) were used to evaluate the teaching quality. Two attendance indicators (online on timel, and end on time) were established to evaluate the online attendance of internal medicine residents. And three overall evaluation indicators (suggestions for improvement, no special suggestions, and praise highlights) were established to evaluate the overall situation of this teaching.

Teaching plan index and attendance index were completed online in real time, teaching quality index and overall evaluation index were completed by questionnaire star. Among them, teaching plan index, attendance index, and teaching quality index were objective indexes, while overall evaluation index was subjective indexe. Content analysis method was adopted for subjective indicators. Such as: The feedback of improvement needed or deficiency was classified as "improvement suggestions"; All the teaching was recognized, and all the evaluation content was praise highlights or learning achievements, and no feedback for improvement needed or deficiency was put forward, the feedback of the above was classified as "praise highlights"; The feedback on this teaching without suggestions was classified as "none" or “no special suggestions”.

Software Application

Video Conference adopted Tencent Conference software to carry out online teaching, PPT playing, lecturing by residents, participating in questions, online answering, online check-in and discussion, dynamic monitoring, whole-process management, etc.

The software Questionnaire Star was used to develop 9 teaching quality indicators and 3 overall indicators, and carry out questionnaire star survey after class.

SPSS software version 23.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis of the data. The data conforming to normal distribution were expressed as mean ± standard deviation to reflect the distribution of the study indicators. The counting data was represented by example (%) to reflect the composition ratio of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

the study indicators. Pearson Chi-square test or Fisher's exact probability method was used for the counting data, and T test was used for data conforming to normal distribution. A P value of two-sided less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Basic Information of Residents Participating in the Study

In this teaching research, a total of 43 residents participated in the infectious diseases training with Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference, all from tertiary hospitals. There were 19 resident physicians in the trial group, with an average age of 29.5 years, and male accounted for 47.4%; There were 24 residents in the validation group, with an average age of 28.5 years and 25.0% male. There were no significant differences in sexuality, age, education background, qualified as a licensed physician, and training phase between the both groups (P > 0.05). The detailed information is shown in Table 1.

Evaluation of Teaching Plan Implementation

The trial group carried out 31 Flipped Teaching sessions, and the verification group carried out 24 Flipped Teaching sessions. In the trial group and the validation group, the times of "teaching on the planned time" were 29 times and 22 times, accounting for 93.5% and 91.7%, respectively; and there was no significant difference between the both groups in other teaching performance indicators, including"topic selection based on training syllabus", "teaching on the planned content", "making PPT fully", "providing references", "providing references", and "Providing references", P > 0.05. In the both groups, the proportion of the other teaching performance indicators conforming to the training plan was 100%. The detailed information is shown in Table 2.

Teaching Attendance

The attendence was checked for the whole process of the Flipped Teaching based on Vedio Conference, while "online on time" and "end on time" two time nodes were included in the statistics. In the trial group, the total attendance times of "online on time" and "end on time" were 585 times and 588 times, accounting for 99.3% and 99.8% respectively. In the valilation group, the total attendance times of "online on time" and "end on time" were 566 times and 574 times, accounting for 98.3% and 99.7% respectively. There was no significant difference in attendance between the both group at the above two time nodes, P > 0.05. The detailed attendance is shown in Table 3.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Evaluation of Teaching Quality

A total of 952 effective feedback questionnaires were collected, including 395 in the trial group and 557 in the valilation group. The feedback of "good and very good" on the index of "rigorous teaching attitude" in the validation group was lower than that in the trial group, accounting for 96.9% and 99.7% respectively, P=0.002. In terms of "punctual class" index, the feedback of "good and very good" in the validation group was lower than that in the trial group, accounting for 97.8% and 99.5% respectively, P=0.037. There was no significant difference in attendance between the both group on the seven indexes of "detailed and accurate teaching content", "reasonable structure and clear process", "highlighting teaching key points", "clear teaching difficulties", "accurate and refined language", "combining theory with clinical practice", and "Improving ability to analyze and deal with the disease" , P > 0.05. In general, all the indicators of the teaching quality evaluated as "good and very good" accounted for more than 96%. The detailed evaluation of teaching quality is shown in Table 4.

Overall Evaluation of Flipped Teaching

In the overall evaluation of Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference, a total of 952 valid questionnaires were collected through the questionnaire star. 25 and 49 questionnaires with feedback of "improvement suggestions" were collected in the trial group and the validation group, accounting for 6.3% and 8.8% respectively; And there was no significant difference between the both groups, P > 0.05. 144 and 120 questionnaires with feedback of "none" were collected in the trial group and the validation group, accounting for 36.5% and 21.5%, respectively; And the feedback of "none" from the validation group was lower than those from the trial group, P < 0.001. 226 and 388 questionnaires with feedback of "Praise highlights" were collected in the trial group and the validation group, accounting for 57.2% and 69.7%, respectively; And the feedback of "Praise highlights" from the validation group was higher than those from the trial group, P < 0.001. The detailed overall evaluation of feedback is shown in Table 5.

Discussion

This research on Flipped Teaching with Video Conference as the carrier was based on the good results achieved by the teaching model in the early stage[2]. The implementation and evaluation of the Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference in April and June were compared and analyzed to further verify the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

training effect and repeatability of this teaching model. To this end, we carried on the following six aspects of analysis and elaboration to this study.

Highlights of the Study

The infectious diseases training was a necessary part of the standardized training for internal medicine residents. For internal medicine residents who could not carry out the training in the Department of Infectious Diseases according to the original plan, the Flipped Teaching on the carrier of Video Conference was carried out to effectively make up for the shortage of the actual training period in the Department of Infectious Diseases. Through scientific design and teaching practice, the training effect and repeatability of this model were verified, and the application value and promotion of Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference in infectious disease training were clarified. The Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference could be conducted to the smooth progress of standardized training for residents in the region and had obvious global concept and social significance.

Key Points of the Study

The teaching research adopted “vertical management mode”. Since the "vertical management mode" established had gained good experience and evaluation in the field of standardized training for public health physicians and internal medicine residents, the organizer of which should have managerial, clinical and research capabilities[2-3]. Therefore, the application of this management mode in this teaching was an important basis to ensure the smooth development of this teaching research and obtain good feedback. As the residents participating in the training of infectious diseases came from many hospitals, the supports from the teaching administrative departments and training bases of all dispatched hospitals were also important factors to ensure the smooth progress of the teaching. Furthermore, according to the requirements of the training syllabus and the training purpose, it was also the key to clarify the training contents and references to ensure the quality of Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference.

Comparison with Related Literature

With the publication of the paper ”Educators propose ‘flipping’ medical training” in 2012[4], Flipped Teaching had been studied and applied more and more in the field of medical education, such as Cardiology[5], Internal Medicine[6,7], Anaesthesiology[8], Rheumatology[9], Pathology[10], Radiology[11], Nutriology[12], dermatologic surgery[13,14], Nursing[15], et cetera, and teaching and research achievements of different degrees were achieved. Generally, in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

evaluation of Flipped Teaching from the above literature cited, more initiators and researchers were more likely to draw positive conclusions from impressive teaching achievements and put forward the positive significance of Flipped Teaching.[5-15] However, there were different opinions on Flipped Teaching for that some participants themselves were not familiar with the organization and implementation of Flipped Teaching, some residents lacked clinical knowledge reserve, and the preparation time of flipped teaching was relatively long[16.17].

In view of the fact that there was no unified definition and implementation of Flipped Teaching in the teaching field, and Inconsistent background and application domain of this kind of teaching, there were great differences in teaching practice. Therefore, I had made a detailed definition and practice of this Flipped Teaching; Including the following aspects: “vertical management mode” was adopted for this teaching, clinical teaching was carried by Video Conference, the teaching subjects were led by residents, the teaching contents were based on the requirements of the Standardized Training Content and Standard for Resident Physicians, the teaching reference materials were based on the latest clinical guidelines and experts consensus of infectious diseases, characteristic teaching programs and training plans were developed by all participants, every Flipped Teaching was managed in the whole process, all joint training unit of those residents needed to participate in the teaching management and assessment of residents, and the effect of ervery Flipped Teaching would be evaluated by all residents and the organizer.

Interpretation of Relevant Data in the Study

There was no significant difference in baseline data between the both groups ($P > 0.05$), indicating that the study was comparable and the conclusions were reliable. The implementation of the teaching plan is good; In addition to “teaching on the planned time”, the completion rate of the other teaching plan indicators reached 100%; Some residents could not carry out teaching on time; which was also related to that some residents were unfamiliarity with teaching software, or they were performing part of the clinical work at that point. The attendance rates of the both groups was high, above 98%; And this was higher than Flipped Teaching in other areas, where attendance was 30-80%[4], which might be related to the cooperation of residents, the support of joint training units and the influence of the teaching organizers. The teaching quality evaluation was generally good, and the feedbacks of all indicators were "good and very good" accounted for more than 96%; Among them, the evaluation of "rigorous teaching attitude" and "punctual class" by residents in June was lower than that in April ($P < 0.05$), which might be related to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

the fact that most of the residents in June were also engaged in clinical work, while most of the internal medicine residents were on vacation in April. In terms of the overall evaluation of the teaching, there was no significant difference between the two groups in the evaluation of "suggestions for improvement" ($P > 0.05$); The evaluation of "praise highlights" put forward by residents in June was higher than that of internal medicine residents in April ($P < 0.05$), which reflected that the Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference was more recognized by later residents along with this teaching model continuing to advance.

Advantages of the Study

The rapid increase in medical knowledge and clinical workload had resulted in a relatively limited amount of time for clinicians and residents to undertake effective training. The Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference could make up for the defects. Teaching and training could be arranged during off-peak hours by this teaching model; That could not only get rid of the space limitation of clinical training, but also reduce the time constraint of clinical teaching. Therefore, the Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference had good application value.

In order to further verify the feasibility and effectiveness of the Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference, both groups of queues were designed to conduct control study. The infectious diseases training by the Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference for residents was carried out again in June, and compared with the same teaching method for residents in April. The repeatability of the training effect of Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference was further verified. All the above emboded the scientific nature of this teaching research.

Limitations of the Study

The Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference adopted online whole-process monitoring, and three time nodes of "online on time", "middle roll call" and "end on time" were selected to be included in the statistics. However, due to incomplete data of "middle roll call", the data of "middle roll call" was not included in this study for attendance statistical analysis. This was the deficiency of this teaching research. Moreover, since the number of residents participating in the Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference was small, this model was suitable for the training of small groups. For a large number of resident training, a new study with larger sample would be needed to support.

At the beginning of this teaching model, the project had high requirements for the teaching organizer. The organizer owned management background and clinical work background, and also needed the education background and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

teaching background; At the same time, it called for good scientific research ability for teaching evaluation and promotion. The high standard requirements for the organizer at the beginning of the projiect were important foundations to ensure the smooth progress of this teaching mode. However, once the teaching had been successfully promoted, a systematic flipped teaching mode was formed, and the follow-up work would not be so high demand for the organizers.

Conclusions

It is generally feasible to carry out infectious disease training for internal medicine residents with Flipped Teaching based on Video Conference as the carrier, and the teaching plan execution and teaching feedback are good, and the training effect is obvious. This teaching model can be further promoted and applied in the standardized training of residents.

Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate

Informed consents of participants in the standardized training for internal medicine residents were obtained for the training and the study. The study received Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval by the Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center Ethics Committee. The IRB number was No. 2021-S026-01.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the internal medicine residents who had participated in the standardized training for residents in Shanghai. Thanks to the teaching administration department and the resident standardized training base of the united training hospital for their support. Thanks for the support of Shanghai and National administration department of standardized training for resident physicians.

Authors' Contributions

Xiao-Yu Zhang made conception, design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafted and revised the manuscript, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Disclosure

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The author declares no competing financial and / or non-financial interests.

Consent for publication

The author has read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Literature share statement

The model included in the manuscript submitted to the journal is transparent. Consent from the corresponding author is required for any institution or individual to reprint this document.

References

[1] Shanghai health and family planning commission,Notice on strengthening standardized training of residents in Shanghai for rotation training of infection department of internal medicine residents. http://wsjkw.sh.gov.cn/kjjy2/20180815/0012-57913.html

[2] Zhang XY. Application and Evaluation of Flipped Teaching Based on Video Conference in Standardized Training for Internal Medicine Residents during Vacation. bioRxiv; 2022. doi: 10.1101/2022.04.17.488599

[3] Zhang XY. Rapid Clinical Promotion Model of Standardized Training for Public Health Physicians in China. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2021;12:463-471. doi:10.2147/AMEP.S306737

[4] Vogel L. Educators propose "flipping" medical training. CMAJ. 2012;184(12):E625-E626. doi:10.1503/cmaj.109-4212

[5] Narang A, Velagapudi P, Rajagopalan B, et al. A New Educational Framework to Improve Lifelong Learning for Cardiologists. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(4):454-462. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.045

[6] Bonnes SL, Ratelle JT, Halvorsen AJ, et al. Flipping the Quality Improvement Classroom in Residency Education. Acad Med. 2017;92(1):101-107. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000001412

[7] Wittich CM, Agrawal A, Wang AT, et al. Flipped Classrooms in Graduate Medical Education: A National Survey of Residency Program Directors. Acad Med. 2018;93(3):471-477. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000001776

[8] Kurup V, Sendlewski G. The Feasibility of Incorporating a Flipped Classroom Model in an Anesthesia Residency Curriculum-Pilot Study. Yale J Biol Med. 2020;93(3):411-417. Published 2020 Aug 31.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

[9] Wade SD, Freed JA, Kyttaris VC, Saunders S, Hausmann JS. Implementing a Virtual Flipped Classroom in a Rheumatology Fellowship Program [published online ahead of print, 2021 Sep 22]. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2021;10.1002/acr.24791. doi:10.1002/acr.24791

[10] Koch LK, Chang OH, Dintzis SM. Medical Education in Pathology: General Concepts and Strategies for Implementation. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2021;145(9):1081-1088.
doi:10.5858/arpa.2020-0463-RA

[11] Tan N, Bavadian N, Lyons P, Lochhead J, Alexander A. Flipped Classroom Approach to Teaching a Radiology Medical Student Clerkship. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018;15(12):1768-1770.
doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.07.017

[12] Riddle E, Gier E, Williams K. Utility of the Flipped Classroom When Teaching Clinical Nutrition Material. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2020;120(3):351-358.
doi:10.1016/j.jand.2019.09.015

[13] Tassavor M, Shah A, Hashim P, Torbeck R. Flipped classroom curriculum for dermatologic surgery during COVID-19: A prospective cohort study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2021;85(5):e297-e298.
doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2021.06.891

[14] Liu KJ, Tkachenko E, Waldman A, et al. A video-based, flipped classroom, simulation curriculum for dermatologic surgery: A prospective, multi-institution study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2019;81(6):1271-1276.
doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2019.03.078

[15] Hu R, Gao H, Ye Y, Ni Z, Jiang N, Jiang X. Effectiveness of flipped classrooms in Chinese baccalaureate nursing education: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Int J Nurs Stud. 2018;79:94-103.
doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.11.012

[16] Hew KF, Lo CK. Flipped classroom improves student learning in health professions education: a meta-analysis. BMC Med Educ. 2018;18(1):38. Published 2018 Mar 15.
doi:10.1186/s12909-018-1144-z

[17] Evans L, Vanden Bosch ML, Harrington S, Schoofs N, Coviak C. Flipping the classroom in health care higher education: a systematic review. Nurse Educ 2019;44:74–78.
doi:10.1097/NNE.0000000000000554

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 1 Baseline of Internal Medicine Residents Participating in Flipped Teaching

Indicators	Trial group(n=19)	Validation group(n=24)	*p* value
Sexuality [male,n,(%)]	9(47.4)	6(25.0)	0.126
Age [years,Mean±standard deviation]	29.5±3.1	28.5±3.0	0.287
Education background			
Bachelor degree[n,(%)]	5(26.3)	11(45.8)	0.189
Master degree[n,(%)]	4(21.1)	4(16.7)	1.000
Doctor degree[n,(%)]	10(52.6)	9(37.5)	0.321
Qualified as a licensed physician [n,(%)]	17(89.5)	23(95.8)	0.575
Training phase			
First year of training[n,(%)]	2(10.5)	0(0)	0.189
Second year of training[n,(%)]	12(63.2)	17(70.8)	0.594
Third year of training[n,(%)]	5(26.3)	7(29.2)	0.836
From tertiary hospitals [n,(%)]	19(100)	24(100)	–

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2 Statistical Table of Evaluation of Teaching Plan Implementation

Teaching plan indicators[n,(%)]	Trial group (n=31)	Validation group (n=24)	*p* value
	Coincidence times (%)	Coincidence times (%)	
Topic selection based on training syllabus	31(100)	24(100)	-
Teaching on the planned time	29(93.5)	22(91.7)	1.000
Teaching on the planned content	31(100)	24(100)	-
Making PPT fully	31(100)	24(100)	-
Providing references	31(100)	24(100)	-
Unifying the teaching content and training program	31(100)	24(100)	-
Participating in after-class discussion	31(100)	24(100)	-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3 Total Attendance of of Flipped Teaching

Attendance indicators[n,(%)]	Trial group (n=585)	Validation group (n=588)	*p* value
	Total attendance times(%)	Total attendance times(%)	
Online on time	585(99.3)	566(98.3)	0.098
End on time	588(99.8)	574(99.7)	0.621

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 4 Statistical Table of Flipped Teaching Quality Evaluation

Teaching quality indicators[n,(%)]	Trial group (n=395)	Validation group (n=557)	*p* value
	Good & very good (%)	Good & very good (%)	
Rigorous teaching attitude	394(99.7)	540(96.9)	0.002
Punctual class	393(99.5)	545(97.8)	0.037
Detailed and accurate teaching content	388(98.2)	536(96.2)	0.072
Reasonable structure and clear process	388(98.2)	546(98.0)	0.821
Highlighting teaching key points	390(98.7)	542(97.3)	0.130
Clear teaching difficulties	386(97.7)	536(96.2)	0.194
Accurate and refined language	388(98.2)	541(97.1)	0.276
Combining theory with clinical practice	387(98.0)	542(97.3)	0.509
Improving ability to analyze and deal with the disease	388(98.2)	543(97.5)	0.443

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.20.22277876; this version posted July 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 5 Overall Evaluation of Flipped Teaching

Evaluation indicators[n, (%)]	Trial group (n=395)	Validation group (n=557)	*p* value
	Good & very good (%)	Good & very good (%)	
Improvement suggestions	25(6.3)	49(8.8)	0.161
None	144(36.5)	120(21.5)	<0.001
Praise highlights	226(57.2)	388(69.7)	<0.001