

Full title: COVID-19 Prevention at Institutions of Higher Education, United States, 2020 – 2021: Implementation of Nonpharmaceutical Interventions

Short title: COVID-19 Prevention at Institutions of Higher Education

Authors: Sarah Moreland^{1,2}, MPH, Nicole Zviedrite¹, MPH, Faruque Ahmed¹, Ph.D., MPH, Amra Uzicanin¹, MD, MPH

¹Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

²Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

Corresponding Author: Sarah Moreland (ovh1@cdc.gov)

Key words: COVID-19, institutions of higher education, nonpharmaceutical interventions, pandemic response, remote learning

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

During the study period, SM was a fellow appointed through the Research Participation Program at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the US Department of Energy and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Financial support: This study was supported by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (<http://www.cdc.gov/>). The co-authors are or were employees (NZ FA AU) or an ORISE fellow (SM) of the US CDC at the time of the study. The funder of author SM (Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education) provides support in the form of a stipend, but did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The specific roles of all authors are articulated in the 'Author Contributions' section.

IRB Approval: The project underwent ethical review at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Human Research Protections Office and was determined not to involve human subjects; it was therefore not subject to institutional review board review requirements.

Author Contributions:

Conception and design: SM; Analysis and interpretation of the data: SM, NZ, FA, AU; Drafting of the article: SM, NZ; Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: SM, NZ, FA, AU; Final approval of the article: AU; Collection and assembly of data: SM

Abstract

Background: In early 2020, following the start of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, institutions of higher education (IHEs) across the United States rapidly pivoted to distance learning to reduce risk of on-campus virus transmission.

Objective: To explore IHE use of nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) during the subsequent pandemic-affected academic year 2020–2021.

Design: Cross-sectional study of data collected January – June 2021.

Setting: US four-year, undergraduate IHEs.

Patients (or Participants): All public (n=547) and a stratified random sample of private (n=300) IHEs.

Measurements: From IHE websites, we documented NPIs, including changes to the calendar, learning environment, housing, common areas, and dining; COVID-19 testing; and facemask protocols, and performed weighted analysis to assess congruence with the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidance for IHEs. We used weighted multivariable linear regression to explore the association between IHE characteristics and the summated number of implemented NPIs.

Results: Overall, 20% of IHEs implemented all surveyed CDC-recommended NPIs. The most frequently utilized were learning environment changes (91%), practiced as one or more of the following: distance or hybrid learning opportunities (98%), 6-foot spacing (60%), and reduced class sizes (51%). Additionally, 88% of IHEs specified facemask protocols, 78% physically modified common areas, and 67% offered COVID-19 testing. Among the 33% of IHEs offering ≥50% of courses in person, having <1,000 students was associated with having implemented fewer NPIs than IHEs with ≥1,000 students.

Limitations: Data collected from publicly available sources may introduce observation biases but allow for large sample size.

Conclusion: Only 1 in 5 IHEs implemented all surveyed CDC recommendations, while a majority implemented a subset. IHE size and location were associated with degree of NPI implementation. Additional research is needed to assess adherence to NPI implementation in IHE settings.

Funding Source: United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Introduction

In early 2020, nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) were implemented across the United States (US) to slow the spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS CoV-2), the causative agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). These included mass gathering cancelations, travel restrictions, use of facemasks, and physical distancing, including a pivot to distance learning for both K-12 schools and institutions of higher education (IHEs) (1, 2).

By August 2020, COVID-19 incidence was highest among young adults 20-29 years of age (1). While generally at lower risk for severe disease and outcomes than older age groups, infected young adults transmit infection to others in their communities (3, 4). Simulation models suggest that the introduction of university students into a population worsened COVID-19 outcomes in the broader community between August 15, 2020, and December 31, 2020 (5). However, experiences from the US and Taiwan suggest that the safe re-opening of IHEs may be feasible with a combination of containment and mitigation strategies (6-9).

The rapid, near-universal transition to distance learning experienced by US IHEs in March 2020 was unprecedented in terms of duration and nationwide scale, thereby leaving administrators, faculty, and students without a clear path to return to on-campus operations (2). In May 2020, CDC issued guidance for IHE operations during the coming academic year, 2020–2021, that was updated regularly (10, 11).

We assessed how IHEs adapted educational instruction and other processes during the 2020–2021 academic year and how they implemented recommended NPIs to prevent on-campus SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

Methods

Study sample

From the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) (12), we identified traditional 4-year undergraduate public and private IHEs within the 50 states and DC, excluding primarily graduate, clinical, or trade programs. Data were obtained for the 2018–2019 academic year, the most recent year of data prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. All 547 public and a stratified random sample of private IHEs meeting inclusion criteria were included in the study. The universe of 1,181 private IHEs was stratified by student enrollment number as defined by IPEDS (< 1,000, 1,000 - 4,999, 5,000 - 9,999, 10,000 - 19,999, \geq 20,000). Based on sample size calculations using a 95% confidence interval with a 5% margin of error, 26% of eligible IHEs were randomly selected within each stratum (97 of 398 IHEs, 161 of 625 IHEs, 24 of 93 IHEs, 12 of 47 IHEs, and 6 of 18 IHEs, respectively).

Data collection

From January through June 2021, we conducted searches of publicly available online data from IHE-run websites, including those pertaining to COVID-19 response, plans to return to in-person learning, and campus announcements, to examine NPIs implemented within 547 public IHEs and the sample of 300 private IHEs in response to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. From IHE websites, we sought available information about NPIs implemented, with particular attention to those recommended in the October 29, 2020 update to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Guidance for IHEs (11). We summarized the CDC guidance into seven broad categories of interventions and

designed a standardized data collection form, including fields for changes to the academic calendar, learning environment, residence halls, common spaces, and student dining; campus COVID-19 testing protocols; and facemask requirements. We collected data on NPIs specifically related to the COVID-19 pandemic rather than those routinely recommended for everyday use regardless of pandemic status, such as hand washing, cleaning, and respiratory etiquette (13). Where available, we documented how each NPI was implemented and the primary learning format utilized by the IHE. Table 1 lists all NPIs surveyed and how they were classified. Data collection for public and private IHEs was done consecutively, January 2021 – May 2021 and April 2021 – June 2021, respectively.

1 **Table 1. Nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) abstracted from IHE websites, derived from CDC guidance^{*}, United States, Academic Year**
 2 **2020–2021**

Category	Variable	Variable Type	Response Examples
1. Academic Calendar			
	Has the academic calendar been changed in response to COVID-19?	Yes, No, or Not found	
	How was each campus break (Thanksgiving, winter break, spring break, etc.) changed?	Select all that apply	Shortened, lengthened, eliminated, unchanged
2. Learning Environment			
	Has the learning environment been adapted in response to COVID-19?	Yes, No, or Not found	
	How has the learning environment been changed?	Select all that apply	Spacing between seats, hybrid attendance, reduced class size, other
	In what format(s) are classes being offered?	Select all that apply	In person, hybrid, distance learning
3. On-Campus Housing			
	Has the capacity of residence halls been changed or reduced?	Yes, No, Not found, or Does not apply	
	How has residence hall capacity been changed?	Select all that apply	Single-occupancy rooms, reduced capacity rooms, designated isolation spaces, other
	Have residence hall guest and visitor policies been changed?	Yes, No, Not found, or Does not apply	
	How have residence hall guest policies been changed?	Select all that apply	Eliminate visitation, limit number of visitors, restrict visitors based on residence, other
4. Campus Common Spaces			
	Have common spaces been physically changed or restricted?	Yes, No, or Not found	
	How have common spaces been changed?	Select all that apply	Removal of furniture, signage and floor stickers, closure of spaces, limit capacity, other
5. Campus Dining Services			
	Have dining halls and services been changed or limited?	Yes, No, or Not found	
	How have dining services been changed?	Select all that apply	Limit capacity, to-go dining, installation of partitions, removal of self-serve options, other
6. COVID-19 Testing			
	Does the IHE offer COVID-19 testing on campus?	Yes, No, or Not found	

	Who is eligible to utilize campus COVID-19 testing services?	Select all that apply	Residential students, all students, staff, non-campus affiliates
	What criteria must be met to receive a COVID-19 test?	Select all that apply	Asymptomatic, suspected contact, confirmed contact, symptomatic, no criteria
	When, if ever, is COVID-19 testing required?	Select all that apply	Surveillance testing, upon arrival for the semester
	How did COVID-19 testing availability change between semesters?	More available, Less available, No change	
7. Facemask Requirements			
	Where are facemasks required on campus?	Select all that apply	Indoor, outdoor (where 6ft cannot be maintained)
	Who do facemask requirements apply to?	Select all that apply	Students, staff, visitors


3 * NPIs derived from October 29, 2020 update of US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guidance for IHEs.

4 Final dataset

5 From the NCES IPEDS dataset, we collected data on the characteristics of IHEs included in our
6 study, including affiliation (public vs. private), student enrollment, degree of urbanization, and location
7 information (county name and code). IHEs were categorized into four geographic regions as defined by
8 the US Census Bureau (14). IHEs were further categorized by size into small (>1,000 students), medium
9 (1,000 – 9,999 students), and large ($\geq 10,000$ students). We obtained publicly available county-level data
10 on COVID-19 cases from January 2020 – June 2021 from the COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for
11 Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (15, 16). The national incidence rate
12 per 100,000 population (CI) for each week during the period May 2020 – June 2021 was calculated using
13 the summated reported daily COVID-19 case counts and the US Census Bureau 2020 estimated
14 residential population (15-17). To visualize national COVID-19 trends in the context of the 2020–2021
15 academic year, IHE academic calendar dates were collected and summarized by median and IQR dates
16 for semester start and end, and each break within the first semester, classified as August through
17 December 2020, and the second semester, classified as January through May 2021, and illustrated
18 graphically along with US weekly COVID-19 incidence (Figure 1). To simplify variation in calendar
19 structure between IHEs, our analysis was restricted to dates encompassing the common two-semester
20 academic year, excluding summer or other abbreviated terms.

21

22 **Figure 1. Timeline of institution of higher education (IHE) academic calendars* and weekly national COVID-19 incidence†, United States,**
 23 **Academic Year 2020–2021**



24

25 *2020-2021 Academic calendar dates collected from each IHE website. Median (50th percentile) and IQR (25th and 75th percentile) were
 26 calculated for milestone dates.
 27 † Weekly Incidence (cases per week per 100,000 people) derived from JHU CSSE COVID-19 Data and U.S. Census Bureau 2020 population
 28 estimation.
 29 ‡ Thanksgiving median and IQR, and winter break IQR dates encompass November 25-29, 2020.

30 **Analysis and visualization**

31 Descriptive statistics were calculated to summarize characteristics of the sampled IHEs,
32 including affiliation, enrollment, US Census Bureau Region, and urbanicity. The number of NPIs
33 implemented was summated to create a score from 0-7, representing a level of compliance with the
34 seven categories of NPIs shown in Table 1 (11). For each NPI category, a score of 1 was assigned if it was
35 implemented through at least one modality, such as the examples listed in Table 1, and a score of 0 was
36 assigned if it was not implemented or if implementation was unknown. To generalize findings to all US
37 IHEs, we performed weighted analysis to assess congruence with the guidance. Sampling weights
38 representing the inverse of the sampling fractions were used to account for the unequal probability of
39 selection into the sample (18). For IHEs that offered $\geq 50\%$ courses in-person, we used weighted
40 multivariable linear regression to explore the associations between IHE characteristics and the
41 summated number of implemented NPIs. Weighted multivariable logistic regression was performed to
42 explore associations between IHE characteristics and individual NPIs among IHEs offering $\geq 50\%$ courses
43 in person.

44
45 Analysis was conducted using SAS 9.4. Figures were created in Tableau, Microsoft Power BI, and
46 Microsoft Excel.

48 **Role of the Funding source**

49 The funding source had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or
50 preparation of the manuscript.

51 **Results**

52 **Characteristics of the study sample**

53 A total of 847 IHEs were sampled from the universe of 1,728 IHEs, including all 547 (32%) public and 300
54 of the 1,181 (68%) private institutions (Table 2). Of the four US Census Bureau regions, the South
55 contained the highest number of IHEs, and the West contained the fewest. Half of the sampled IHEs
56 were in cities, and the fewest were in rural areas. IHEs were categorized based on student enrollment:
57 24% enrolled under 1,000 students, 44% enrolled 1,000 – 4,999 students, 13% enrolled 5,000 – 9,999
58 students, 10% enrolled 10,000 – 19,999 students, and 9% enrolled 20,000 or more students.

59

60 **Table 2. Characteristics of sampled IHEs by institution size*, United States, Academic Year 2020–2021 (N=847)**

Institution size category*	Total	Under 1,000 students	1,000 - 4,999 students	5,000 - 9,999 students	10,000 - 19,999 students	20,000 students and above	p-value
Number of IHEs, n (%)†	847 (100)	118 (24)	302 (44)	152 (13)	139 (10)	136 (9)	
Affiliation, n (%)†							<0.0001
Public	547 (32)	21 (5)	141 (18)	128 (58)	127 (73)	130 (88)	
Private	300 (68)	97 (95)	161 (82)	24 (42)	12 (27)	6 (12)	
United States Census Region, n (%)†							<0.0001
Northeast	204 (26)	38 (25)	79 (28)	45 (35)	29 (23)	13 (13)	
Midwest	213 (28)	33 (32)	80 (30)	35 (24)	37 (26)	28 (19)	
South	305 (34)	35 (33)	112 (34)	53 (29)	50 (35)	55 (39)	
West	125 (12)	12 (11)	31 (8)	19 (13)	23 (15)	40 (30)	
Urbanicity‡, n (%)†							<0.0001
Rural	50 (7)	18 (14)	29 (8)	3 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Town	186 (18)	18 (15)	89 (22)	42 (20)	29 (17)	8 (5)	
Suburban	194 (25)	33 (25)	69 (26)	49 (31)	29 (20)	23 (16)	
City	417 (50)	49 (45)	115 (44)	67 (47)	81 (63)	105 (79)	

61 *Institution size category based on total students enrolled for credit as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.


62 †The universe of 1,181 private IHEs was stratified by institution size category. Based on sample size calculations using a 95% confidence interval
63 with a 5% margin of error, 26% of eligible IHEs were randomly selected within each stratum (<1,000: 97 of 398 IHEs, 1,000 – 4,999: 161 of 625
64 IHEs, 5,000 – 9,999: 24 of 93 IHEs, 10,000 – 19,999: 12 of 47 IHEs, and ≥20,000: 6 of 18 IHEs). Weights represent the inverse of the sampling
65 fractions. n (%) represents the unweighted number (n) and the weighted percent of the universe of 1,728 IHEs.

66 ‡Level of urbanization based on urban boundaries by Census GEO as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

67 **CDC Guidance compliance**

68 The October 29, 2020, CDC Guidance for IHEs was comprised of 7 broad interventions (11), and their
69 implementation resulted in at least 24 distinct modalities in practice, summarized in Appendix Table 1.
70 All recommended NPIs were addressed and implemented through at least one modality by 20% of IHEs,
71 and 4% implemented none (Appendix Table 1). IHEs implementing all 7 NPIs more often had higher
72 enrollment, while those implementing none most often had <1,000 students. The four-NPI combination
73 of changes to the learning environment, common spaces, dining, and facemask protocols were
74 implemented by 62% of IHEs. Figure 2 illustrates the implementation of NPIs by institution size category.
75

76 **Figure 2. Nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) implemented* by institutions of higher education (IHEs) (N = 847) in response to the COVID-**
 77 **19 pandemic by enrollment size category†, United States, Academic Year 2020–2021**




78
 79 * NPIs derived from CDC Guidance October 29, 2020 update of US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guidance for IHEs
 80 † Institution size category based on total students enrolled for credit as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

81 **Primary learning method**

82 The primary format of course delivery was identified for 393 IHEs, of which 38% offered courses <50% in
83 person, 26% offered courses >50% in person, and 36% offered courses roughly 50% through distance
84 learning and 50% in person (Appendix Table 1). IHEs that offered $\geq 50\%$ of courses in person were most
85 often smaller by student enrollment and in the Midwest (Figure 3, Appendix Figure 1). In multivariable
86 regression, IHEs having 1,000-4,999 students enrolled versus <1,000, being in the Midwest versus the
87 Northeast, and being in a town versus urban were more likely to offer $\geq 50\%$ of courses in person
88 (Appendix Table 2). IHEs in the West versus Northeast were less likely to have offered $\geq 50\%$ of courses
89 in person.

90

91 **Figure 3. Geographical distribution* of primary learning method† offered by institutions of higher education (IHEs) (N=393), by institutional**
92 **size category‡, United States, Academic Year 2020–2021**



93
94 * Geographical distribution of primary learning method with size of the point representing institution enrollment size category. Generated with
95 Tableau Software

96 † 227 IHEs offer courses ≥50% in person. 166 IHEs offer courses <50% in person. Primary learning method not found for 454 IHEs.

97 ‡ Institution size category based on total students enrolled for credit as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

98 Among the subset of IHEs that reported offering $\geq 50\%$ courses in person, the number of NPIs
99 implemented was higher among IHEs in the Northeast compared to the other regions and among IHEs
100 having 1,000-4,999 and 10,000-19,999 students than those with $< 1,000$ students (Table 3). When
101 examined visually by affiliation, private IHEs exhibited wider variation in the number of NPIs
102 implemented than public IHEs, most notably in IHEs with under 1,000 students (Appendix Figure 2).
103

104 **Table 3. IHE characteristics associated with compliance* with CDC Guidance† among IHEs offering primarily in-person learning (N=227),**
 105 **United States, Academic Year 2020–2021**

IHE Characteristics	Number of IHEs (Unweighted n)	Weighted‡ mean score for compliance* with CDC guidance†	95% Confidence Limits		p-value	Multivariable Analysis§			
			Lower	Upper		Parameter Estimate Beta	95% Confidence Limits		p-value
							Lower	Upper	
All IHEs offering ≥50% courses in person	227	5.75	5.57	5.93					
Affiliation									
Public	129	6.10	5.97	6.23	0.001	0.23	-0.15	0.60	0.234
Private	98	5.64	5.40	5.87	-	0 (Referent)	-	-	-
Institution size category									
Under 1,000 students	27	5.19	4.65	5.73	-	0 (Referent)	-	-	-
1,000 - 4,999 students	101	5.82	5.58	6.07	0.032	0.56	0.01	1.11	0.048
5,000 - 9,999 students	40	5.95	5.50	6.39	0.031	0.65	-0.10	1.39	0.087
10,000 - 19,999 students	33	6.18	5.80	6.55	0.002	0.84	0.21	1.47	0.009
Over 20,000 students	26	5.97	5.30	6.64	0.064	0.71	-0.14	1.56	0.102
United States Census Region									
Northeast	56	6.17	5.83	6.51	-	0 (Referent)	-	-	-
Midwest	79	5.62	5.35	5.89	0.012	-0.57	-0.98	-0.16	0.006
South	66	5.77	5.35	6.20	0.124	-0.48	-0.99	0.04	0.072
West	26	5.19	4.54	5.84	0.007	-1.07	-1.75	-0.39	0.002
Degree of urbanicity¶									
Rural	15	6.15	5.57	6.73	0.170	0.16	-0.47	0.80	0.615
Town	67	5.88	5.55	6.21	0.511	0.05	-0.37	0.47	0.799
Suburban	44	5.53	5.14	5.92	0.412	-0.35	-0.81	0.10	0.129
Urban	101	5.74	5.45	6.02	-	0 (Referent)	-	-	-

106 * Compliance with CDC guidance represented by the summing number of NPIs implemented, as derived from CDC guidance, by the IHE into a
 107 score from 0 to a maximum of 7.

108 †October 29, 2020 update of US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guidance for IHEs.

109 ‡ The universe of 1,181 private IHEs was stratified by institution size category. Based on sample size calculations using a 95% confidence interval
 110 with a 5% margin of error, 26% of eligible IHEs were randomly selected within each stratum (<1,000: 97 of 398 IHEs, 1,000 – 4,999: 161 of 625
 111 IHEs, 5,000 – 9,999: 24 of 93 IHEs, 10,000 – 19,999: 12 of 47 IHEs, and ≥20,000: 6 of 18 IHEs). Weights represent the inverse of the sampling
 112 fractions.

- 113 § Multivariable linear regression analysis of IHE characteristics with compliance with CDC Guidance as to the dependent variable, represented by
114 the summing number of NPIs implemented by the IHE into a score of 0-7. Parameter estimate beta represents the predicted change in
115 compliance score compared to that of the reference group holding all other characteristics constant.
- 116 || Institution size category based on total students enrolled for credit as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.
- 117 ¶ Level of urbanization based on urban boundaries by Census GEO as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

118 **Academic Calendar**

119 Over two-thirds of IHEs made changes to their academic calendars in response to COVID-19 (Appendix
120 Table 1). Figure 1 summarizes common IHE academic breaks. Among the 418 IHEs with available
121 information, 77% lengthened Thanksgiving break, nearly all of which extended it into winter break and
122 did not return to in-person instruction for the remainder of the calendar year. Where identified (386
123 IHEs), half of IHEs specifically lengthened winter break with additional days off, a period of distance
124 learning, or a combination of the two. Among the 511 IHEs where information was identified, 68%
125 eliminated spring break.

126

127 **Learning Environment**

128 The most frequently utilized NPI, implemented by 91% of IHEs, was changes to the learning environment
129 which was practiced most often among this subset as one or more of the following: distance or hybrid
130 learning options (98%), 6-foot spacing (60%), reduced class sizes (51%), and alternating classroom
131 attendance (38%) (Appendix Table 1). Only one IHE explicitly did not implement any changes, and
132 information was not identified for 9%.

133

134 **Housing**

135 Residential housing capacity policies were changed in 50% of IHEs, not changed in 11%, and not
136 identified in 36% (Appendix Table 1). On-campus housing was not offered in 3%. Overall housing
137 capacity or density was reduced in 55% of IHEs, and, notably, dorms were limited to single occupancy in

138 20%. Residential housing guest policies were changed in 58% of IHEs, not changed in 2%, and not
139 identified in 37% (Appendix Table 1).

140

141 **Common Spaces**

142 Changes to common campus spaces were made by 78% of IHEs, practiced most often among this subset
143 as one or more of the following: reduced capacity (74%), physical guides (58%), such as signs or floor
144 markers, or physical modifications (54%), such as furniture removal or use of partitions (Appendix Table
145 1). Changes were most often made by IHEs with $\geq 1,000$ students. Only one IHE explicitly did not
146 implement any changes to common spaces, and information was not identified for 22% IHEs.

147

148 **Dining Services**

149 Dining services were modified by 72% of IHEs, among which most implemented one or more of the
150 following methods: increased to-go meal options (73%), reduced indoor seating capacity (67%), and
151 physical modifications, such as furniture removal or use of partitions (45%) or elimination of self-service
152 options (48%) (Appendix Table 1). IHEs with $\geq 1,000$ students made changes to dining most often. Dining
153 services were explicitly unchanged in 3% of IHEs, and information was not identified for 25%.

154

155 **COVID-19 Testing**

156 Over two-thirds of IHEs were found to offer on-campus COVID-19 testing, most often larger IHEs
157 (Appendix Table 1). Among IHEs that offered on-campus COVID-19 testing, testing was required by 83%

158 under one or more circumstances, such as upon arrival for the semester or ongoing surveillance testing.
159 Among IHEs learning $\geq 50\%$ in person, those with testing requirements were significantly more likely to
160 have over 10,000 students compared to those with under 1,000 students (Appendix Table 3). Testing
161 requirements were more common in the Northeast than in the Midwest, and in urban than suburban
162 areas.

163

164 **Facemask Policies**

165 Facemasks were required by 88% of IHEs when indoors on campus property (Appendix Table 1).
166 Similarly, 82% of IHEs required facemasks outdoors whenever a 6-foot distance could not be
167 maintained. Policies requiring facemasks were least prevalent both indoors and outdoors in IHEs with
168 $< 1,000$ students.

169 Discussion

170 Main Findings

171 While 96% of sampled IHEs deployed NPIs during the 2020–2021 academic year in response to the
172 ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, only 1 in 5 (20%) comprehensively complied with the CDC guidance.
173 Degree of compliance was associated with both IHE size and location, with larger IHEs ($\geq 1,000$ students)
174 and those located in the Northeast (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey,
175 New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont) being most likely to have high compliance.
176 Conversely, the lowest compliance was most often observed in private IHEs and those located in the
177 West (Arizona, Alaska, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Washington,
178 and Wyoming).

179
180 IHEs typically harbor high attack rates during outbreaks of respiratory illness; however, little
181 comprehensive data on IHE outbreak response exist, including during the COVID-19 pandemic (19, 20).
182 Although measures introduced at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, such as distance learning and
183 physical distancing, continue to be used (2, 21, 22), the scientific evidence base on their effects and
184 effectiveness in reducing respiratory virus transmission (2022) is still evolving, and it was even more
185 limited in early 2020 when the initial CDC IHE guidance was issued (11). IHEs that re-opened for the
186 2020 – 2021 academic year reported implementation of a more streamlined set of NPIs across the US
187 and globally, which included distancing in the learning environment, use of facemasks, and COVID-19
188 testing protocols (6, 8, 9, 23-28). However, much of the currently available literature is focused on the
189 implementation of few or individual NPIs rather than a comprehensive evaluation of layered NPIs in
190 COVID-19 prevention (25, 27-29). However, although most currently available studies are limited to

191 specific populations and not fully generalizable to IHEs across the US (6, 8, 9, 23), they suggest that
192 regardless of size or location, IHEs must take a multifaceted approach and timely implement
193 combinations of appropriate NPIs to effectively reduce transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on campus (9, 30,
194 31).

195
196 Among IHEs where the primary learning method was identified, about one-third reported offering
197 classes primarily through distance education. Our data suggest that large or public IHEs were more likely
198 to have primarily offered distance learning, while also being more likely to have made physical changes
199 to the learning environment when utilizing in-person instruction. Modalities of learning environment
200 changes such as distanced seating configurations, hybrid schedules, and reduced class sizes are widely
201 used to maximize in-person opportunities while minimizing the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission by
202 decreasing student contact (30-34). Notably, distance learning has been reported to create significant
203 challenges for students, particularly those of low- and middle-income backgrounds or those who rely on
204 on-campus facilities and services for accessible technology, quiet space, or stable housing (35-38).
205 Reasons for preference in learning method are complex and are beyond the scope of this research;
206 however, factors such as logistic capabilities, funding, local politics, and perceptions of distance learning
207 may have played roles in decision making (2, 39, 40). Therefore, IHEs should carefully consider the
208 benefits of in-person operations, their ability to implement NPIs to facilitate safe in-person operations,
209 and the health of IHE populations and risks for surrounding communities during a severe pandemic such
210 as COVID-19 (28, 41).

211
212 Two-thirds of IHEs made changes to the academic calendar in response to COVID-19. Lengthening of
213 breaks, either by transitioning to a period of distance learning or by adjusting academic start or end

214 dates, acts as a temporary IHE dismissal allowing for longer periods between mixing of campus
215 populations with outside populations and re-congregation on campus. These have been employed both
216 proactively and reactively by IHEs to reduce campus-based SARS-CoV-2 transmission (6, 8, 23).
217 Elimination of breaks – a measure that is not a part of CDC pre-pandemic guidelines or pandemic
218 guidance – reduces the potential number of consecutive student days away from campus in the middle
219 of the term, theoretically reducing opportunities to bring new viral lineages onto IHE campuses and
220 surrounding communities (29). For example, a large cluster of COVID-19 cases on a Chicago university
221 campus following spring break revealed roughly two-thirds of sequenced cases originated outside of
222 Chicago (42). It is unlikely, however, that the break elimination would reduce already established virus
223 transmission.

224

225 Despite widespread efforts to reduce density and limit visitation as IHEs re-opened campus for the
226 2020–2021 academic year, many reported COVID-19 outbreaks linked to residential housing due to the
227 difficulty of maintaining and enforcing physical distancing measures in those spaces (8, 23, 27, 43, 44).
228 IHE policies restricting congregation in campus spaces and housing may be difficult to enforce and do
229 not extend to off-campus student gatherings.

230

231 Our data suggest that larger IHEs were more likely than smaller IHEs to require COVID-19 testing. On-
232 campus surveillance testing tends to be the most expensive and logistically demanding COVID-19
233 mitigation measure, and frequent deployment may pose a challenge, particularly to small IHEs, which
234 receive proportionally less enrollment-based funding or may lack extensive laboratory infrastructure
235 (26, 39, 45). Because testing is an important public health tool to reduce SARS-CoV2 transmission in

236 dense congregate settings such as IHEs, reasons for limited compliance with testing recommendations
237 should be elucidated and addressed (27, 46, 47).

238

239 Compared to laboratory testing, facemasks pose less of a financial and logistical burden on IHEs (40). A
240 majority of IHEs required facemasks indoors and outdoors wherever six-foot spacing could not be
241 maintained. The encouraged or required use of facemasks has been consistently cited as a core NPI
242 utilized by IHEs in response to COVID-19 (23, 24, 48, 49). Observational reports reveal high levels of
243 compliance with facemask mandates on IHE campuses (25), and they remain an important measure for
244 reducing SARS-CoV2 transmission, especially in dense indoor settings.

245

246 **Limitations**

247 Our results should be considered in the context of at least four limitations. First, publicly available data
248 are not guaranteed to fully reflect all NPIs implemented within IHEs. However, our method allowed us to
249 obtain the data on recommended NPIs quickly from a wide variety of measures from a large sample of
250 public and private institutions. Additionally, the CDC Guidance for IHEs made broad recommendations
251 intended to be applied by a wide variety of IHEs; as such, it left room for interpretation in how IHEs
252 implemented the guidance, which in turn posed a challenge in the data collection process. If an NPI was
253 not explicitly mentioned on an IHE website, it was recorded as “not found,” minimizing assumptions and
254 misclassification biases. Public IHEs were more likely to be larger and have publicly available COVID-19
255 information than private IHEs, which may contribute to the size- or affiliation-related variations in
256 documented NPIs.

257 Second, a team of several people was responsible for data collection, which can lead to systematic bias.
258 Response frequencies for each NPI were compared within and between each member of the data
259 collection team to ensure patterns or outliers were consistent with the original data sources. Acceptable
260 patterns were found to be due to university systems or state and local governments issuing streamlined
261 guidance for all IHEs under their influence.

262 Third, data were collected during the second semester of the 2020–2021 academic year, meaning
263 changes made during the first semester may have been missed. Although this has the potential to
264 introduce response bias as publicly available information evolves over time, data were collected
265 systematically, ensuring the data described measures representing NPIs actively implemented or how
266 they evolved throughout the entire 2020–2021 academic year.

267 Finally, this study aims to evaluate and describe the steps taken by IHEs to minimize SARS-CoV-2
268 transmission on campus and does not account for adherence to the documented NPIs, or off-campus
269 behavior.


270 **Conclusions**

271 In conclusion, the COVID-19 pandemic had an unprecedented impact on IHEs across the US, where there
272 has previously been little comprehensive data on respiratory illness outbreaks and responses. Some
273 NPIs were widely implemented as a means of reducing SARS-CoV2 transmission within IHEs, but the
274 degree of compliance with recommended NPIs varied by IHE size and location. Further research is
275 needed to understand the reasons for suboptimal compliance, including financial and logistical
276 challenges, and to address barriers to the implementation of recommended NPIs. In future studies
277 evaluating the effectiveness of these measures in IHE settings, level of compliance with NPIs as
278 described here, as well as levels of adherence and the impact of off-campus behaviors should be taken
279 into consideration. As IHEs continue to navigate the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, they must adapt their
280 normal operations to prioritize the health of students and staff through layered COVID-19 prevention,
281 including vaccination, timely case detection through testing and tracing, and continued use of NPIs as
282 feasible and appropriate for the local epidemiologic situation. In addition to the discussed NPIs, for
283 sustainable control of respiratory infections, including COVID-19, IHEs are encouraged to improve
284 campus ventilation infrastructure, increase opportunities for physical distancing such as open-air study
285 spaces, exercise flexibility in distance learning and staying home when sick, and promote the consistent
286 use of facemasks during the seasonal waves of respiratory infections, particularly for anyone (students,
287 staff, visitors) who is experiencing respiratory symptoms (10).

288 **Appendix**

289

290 **Appendix Figure 1. Distribution* of institution of higher education (IHE) student enrollment by primary course format† offered by IHEs**
291 **(N=393), United States, Academic Year 2020 – 2021**



292

293 * Violin plot of student enrollment kernel density distribution within primary learning formats. Boxplot dot and line represent the mean and
294 median enrollment, respectively. Generated in Microsoft Power BI.

295 † 227 IHEs offer courses ≥50% in person. 166 IHEs offer courses <50% in person. Primary learning method not found for 454 IHEs.

Appendix Table 1. NPIs implemented by IHEs (N=847) as derived from CDC Guidance* in response to the COVID-19 pandemic by institution size category†, United States, Academic Year 2020–2021

Institution size category†	Total	Under 1,000 students	1,000 - 4,999 students	5,000 - 9,999 students	10,000 - 19,999 students	20,000 students and above
Number of IHEs, n (%)‡	847 (100)	118 (24)	302 (44)	152 (13)	139 (10)	136 (9)
Selected combinations of NPIs implemented by IHEs, n (%)‡						
7 Nonpharmaceutical interventions						
All surveyed CDC guidelines*	182 (20)	9 (7)	70 (24)	38 (25)	27 (24)	38 (28)
5 Nonpharmaceutical interventions						
COVID-19 testing, masks, changes to classroom, common space, and dining	465 (49)	27 (21)	160 (55)	89 (56)	92 (68)	97 (70)
Masks, changes to academic calendar, classroom, common space, and dining	433 (47)	30 (25)	159 (52)	84 (56)	82 (62)	78 (55)
4 Nonpharmaceutical interventions						
Masks, changes to classroom, common space, and dining	557 (62)	41 (35)	204 (70)	104 (67)	108 (79)	100 (72)
0 Nonpharmaceutical interventions	19 (4)	15 (14)	4 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Primary format of course delivery, n (%)‡						
Primary format identified	393 (52)	48 (53)	138 (52)	72 (53)	68 (56)	67 (48)
Mostly distance learning (<50% in person)	166 (38)	21 (42)	37 (27)	32 (39)	35 (53)	41 (58)
Balanced (50% in person and 50% distance learning)	153 (36)	16 (32)	58 (37)	32 (44)	27 (38)	20 (31)
Mostly in person learning (>50% in person)	74 (26)	11 (26)	43 (36)	8 (17)	6 (9)	6 (11)
Changes in class delivery between academic terms						
More distance learning	27 (5)	5 (6)	8 (4)	5 (4)	3 (3)	6 (8)
No change	164 (39)	20 (43)	51 (36)	34 (46)	29 (15)	30 (42)
More in person learning	55 (17)	7 (16)	20 (18)	11 (20)	8 (15)	9 (15)
Not found	147 (39)	16 (34)	59 (43)	22 (30)	28 (48)	22 (34)
Primary format not identified	401 (48)	47 (47)	144 (48)	75 (47)	67 (44)	68 (52)
1. Made changes to Academic Calendar, n (%)‡						
Yes	623 (67)	58 (42)	236 (73)	120 (78)	101 (76)	108 (77)
Specific changes to academic breaks (where changes are not unknown)						
Lengthening of Thanksgiving Break (n=418)	323 (77)	42 (87)	119 (78)	64 (72)	43 (75)	54 (70)
Does not return to in-person learning after Thanksgiving break	315 (76)	42 (82)	116 (76)	63 (72)	41 (75)	53 (68)
Lengthening of Winter Break (n=386)	200 (51)	27 (53)	65 (46)	43 (60)	33 (51)	32 (56)
Elimination of Spring Break (n=511)	341 (69)	35 (71)	131 (70)	75 (77)	49 (66)	51 (58)
No	120 (14)	14 (14)	32 (12)	23 (16)	29 (17)	22 (16)
Unknown	104 (19)	46 (44)	34 (15)	9 (6)	9 (7)	6 (7)
2. Made changes to learning environment, n (%)‡						
Yes	794 (91)	95 (78)	282 (93)	147 (96)	135 (96)	135 (99)
Offered distance or hybrid learning	783 (98)	91 (95)	278 (98)	144 (96)	135 (100)	135 (100)

Physical changes to the classroom						
Space seating 6ft apart	465 (60)	43 (48)	177 (66)	92 (65)	81 (57)	72 (54)
Reduced class size	413 (51)	39 (41)	150 (53)	83 (54)	74 (57)	67 (50)
Alternating attendance of students in classes	297 (38)	27 (28)	119 (43)	48 (32)	59 (49)	44 (33)
No	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Unknown	52 (9)	23 (22)	19 (7)	5 (4)	4 (4)	1 (1)
3. Made changes to Student Housing, n (%)‡						
Residential housing capacity						
Yes	445 (50)	32 (28)	157 (54)	94 (59)	82 (62)	80 (58)
Reduced housing density and/or capacity	228 (55)	14 (44)	83 (57)	47 (55)	42 (58)	42 (53)
Single occupancy rooms	108 (20)	6 (19)	34 (17)	22 (19)	22 (26)	24 (30)
No	82 (11)	10 (10)	35 (12)	14 (13)	11 (8)	12 (9)
Unknown	301 (36)	69 (57)	105 (32)	42 (27)	42 (27)	43 (32)
IHE does not offer student housing	19 (3)	7 (5)	5 (2)	2 (1)	4 (3)	1 (1)
Guest policy						
Yes	497 (58)	37 (32)	198 (69)	96 (66)	80 (61)	86 (64)
Did not allow guests in living areas	257 (50)	16 (45)	99 (49)	50 (50)	41 (50)	51 (61)
Limited number of guests	120 (22)	7 (19)	47 (22)	25 (30)	19 (18)	22 (26)
No	14 (2)	1 (1)	6 (2)	1 (0)	5 (5)	1 (1)
Unknown	317 (37)	73 (62)	93 (27)	53 (33)	5 (31)	48 (34)
IHE does not offer student housing	19 (3)	7 (5)	5 (2)	2 (1)	4 (3)	1 (1)
4. Made changes to campus common areas, n (%)‡						
Yes	691 (78)	72 (59)	248 (83)	127 (82)	128 (94)	116 (84)
Restricted room or area capacity	508 (74)	51 (74)	187 (75)	97 (76)	99 (79)	74 (63)
Use of physical guides (signage, floor stickers, etc.)	428 (58)	36 (50)	150 (56)	89 (70)	78 (59)	75 (65)
Use of physical barriers (furniture removal, partitions, etc.)	397 (54)	30 (44)	139 (54)	79 (61)	76 (56)	73 (64)
No	1 (0)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Unknown	155 (22)	45 (40)	54 (17)	25 (18)	11 (6)	20 (16)
5. Made changes to campus dining halls, n (%)‡						
Yes	642 (72)	53 (44)	234 (80)	122 (80)	119 (89)	114 (84)
Increased grab and go options	461 (73)	40 (74)	169 (73)	87 (70)	78 (68)	87 (75)
Reduced capacity for indoor seating	404 (67)	32 (60)	158 (70)	77 (65)	75 (71)	62 (56)
Physical barriers or guides in lines and seating areas	308 (45)	24 (44)	110 (42)	56 (48)	55 (47)	63 (54)
Elimination of self service	298 (48)	28 (53)	128 (55)	47 (33)	52 (47)	43 (35)
No	58 (3)	8 (2)	19 (2)	14 (6)	8 (5)	9 (6)
Unknown	147 (25)	57 (54)	49 (18)	16 (14)	12 (6)	13 (10)
6. Offered on-campus COVID-19 testing, n (%)‡						
Yes	641 (67)	48 (32)	233 (73)	125 (82)	116 (85)	129 (95)
Population eligible for COVID-19 testing						
Residential students	576 (90)	39 (77)	198 (90)	112 (93)	104 (92)	123 (96)
All students	543 (85)	38 (74)	181 (84)	107 (90)	100 (87)	117 (91)
Staff	400 (61)	21 (45)	12 (61)	81 (61)	79 (65)	92 (72)

No minimum criteria to be tested	399 (62)	25 (39)	141 (66)	81 (68)	55 (58)	86 (67)
Scenarios when testing is required	495 (83)	43 (85)	190 (88)	94 (80)	72 (70)	96 (77)
Upon arrival for the semester	363 (60)	32 (62)	155 (71)	74 (54)	44 (38)	56 (43)
Random or scheduled surveillance testing of all students	271 (47)	21 (32)	104 (51)	52 (53)	33 (34)	61 (50)
Random or scheduled surveillance testing of residential students	129 (20)	2 (4)	38 (21)	27 (21)	24 (20)	38 (31)
Change in testing availability between academic terms						
More available	203 (32)	19 (30)	69 (32)	43 (40)	31 (27)	41 (33)
Less available	4 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (2)	1 (1)	0 (0)
No change	106 (16)	9 (23)	36 (16)	22 (15)	21 (18)	18 (13)
Unknown	328 (51)	20 (47)	118 (51)	57 (43)	63 (54)	70 (54)
No	64 (9)	15 (14)	20 (7)	13 (8)	12 (9)	4 (3)
Unknown	142 (24)	55 (54)	59 (20)	14 (10)	11 (6)	3 (2)
7. Required masks or face coverings, n (%)‡						
Indoors	784 (88)	85 (68)	288 (95)	148 (96)	133 (95)	130 (96)
Students	781 (88)	83 (69)	287 (95)	148 (96)	133 (95)	130 (96)
Staff	781 (88)	84 (67)	287 (94)	148 (96)	132 (94)	130 (96)
Visitors	750 (85)	82 (65)	275 (92)	137 (91)	128 (92)	128 (95)
Outdoors	723 (82)	82 (65)	262 (88)	137 (88)	120 (87)	122 (89)
Students	721 (82)	81 (64)	261 (87)	137 (88)	120 (87)	122 (89)
Staff	721 (82)	82 (65)	260 (87)	137 (88)	120 (87)	122 (89)
Visitors	694 (80)	80 (63)	252 (86)	126 (83)	117 (86)	119 (87)

298 * NPIs derived from October 29, 2020 update of US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guidance for IHEs. Numbered items indicate
299 each of the 7 broad NPIs being surveyed, followed by common modalities in which each NPI was implemented.

300 † Institution size category based on total students enrolled for credit as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

301 ‡ The universe of 1,181 private IHEs was stratified by institution size category. Based on sample size calculations using a 95% confidence interval
302 with a 5% margin of error, 26% of eligible IHEs were randomly selected within each stratum (<1,000: 97 of 398 IHEs, 1,000 – 4,999: 161 of 625
303 IHEs, 5,000 – 9,999: 24 of 93 IHEs, 10,000 – 19,999: 12 of 47 IHEs, and ≥20,000: 6 of 18 IHEs). Weights represent the inverse of the sampling
304 fractions. n (%) represents the unweighted number (n) and the weighted percent of the universe of 1,728 IHEs.

305

306 **Appendix Table 2. Characteristics of IHEs offering primarily in-person learning* (N=227) versus primarily distance learning (n=166), United**
 307 **States, Academic Year 2020–2021**

IHE Characteristics	Learns ≥50% in person, n (%)†	Learns <50% in person, n (%)†	p-value	Multivariable Analysis‡			
				AOR	95% Confidence Limits		p-value
					Lower	Upper	
All IHEs where primary learning method* was identified	227 (62)	166 (38)					
Affiliation							
Public	129 (52)	118 (48)	<0.0001	0.66	0.41	1.06	0.088
Private	98 (67)	48 (33)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Institution enrollment size§							
Under 1,000 students	27 (58)	21 (42)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
1,000 - 4,999 students	101 (73)	37 (27)	<0.0001	2.08	1.02	4.25	0.020
5,000 - 9,999 students	40 (61)	32 (39)	0.328	1.52	0.66	3.50	0.450
10,000 - 19,999 students	33 (47)	35 (53)	0.059	0.88	0.38	2.04	0.077
20,000 students and above	26 (42)	41 (58)	<0.0001	1.30	0.59	2.87	0.994
United States Census Region							
Northeast	56 (58)	52 (42)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Midwest	79 (91)	11 (9)	<0.0001	7.61	3.47	16.70	<0.0001
South	66 (58)	41 (42)	0.178	1.05	0.58	1.89	0.176
West	26 (33)	62 (67)	<0.0001	0.43	0.23	0.81	<0.0001
Degree of urbanicity							
Rural	15 (60)	8 (40)	0.499	0.99	0.35	2.784	0.382
Town	67 (81)	18 (19)	0.0003	2.88	1.45	5.74	0.006
Suburban	44 (59)	44 (41)	0.161	1.27	0.72	2.238	0.720
Urban	101 (58)	96 (42)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-

308 * Primary learning method not identified for 454 IHEs

309 † The universe of 1,181 private IHEs was stratified by institution size category. Based on sample size calculations using a 95% confidence interval
 310 with a 5% margin of error, 26% of eligible IHEs were randomly selected within each stratum (<1,000: 97 of 398 IHEs, 1,000 – 4,999: 161 of 625
 311 IHEs, 5,000 – 9,999: 24 of 93 IHEs, 10,000 – 19,999: 12 of 47 IHEs, and ≥20,000: 6 of 18 IHEs). Weights represent the inverse of the sampling
 312 fractions. n (%) represents the unweighted number (n) and the weighted percent of the universe of 1,728 IHEs.

313 ‡ Multivariable logistic regression analysis of IHE characteristics with primary form of course delivery as the dependent variable (0 = Learns <50%
 314 in person, 1 = Learns ≥50% in person)

315 § Institution size category based on total students enrolled for credit as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

316 || Level of urbanization based on urban boundaries by Census GEO as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

317

318

319 **Appendix Table 3. Characteristics of IHEs learning ≥50% in person (N=227) with specific COVID-19 testing requirements versus those without,**
 320 **United States, Academic Year 2020–2021**

IHE Characteristics	Any COVID-19 Testing Requirements						
	Requires, n (%)*	Does not Require, n (%)*	p-value	Multivariable Analysis†			
				AOR	95% Confidence Limits		p-value
					Lower	Upper	
All IHEs offering ≥50% courses in person	138 (58)	89 (42)					
Affiliation							
Public	83 (64)	46 (36)	0.143	0.62	0.30	1.29	0.197
Private	55 (56)	43 (44)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Institution enrollment size‡							
Under 1,000 students	11 (35)	16 (65)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
1,000 - 4,999 students	60 (60)	40 (40)	0.710	2.83	1.06	7.61	0.238
5,000 - 9,999 students	26 (63)	14 (37)	0.951	4.02	1.02	15.89	0.931
10,000 - 19,999 students	21 (73)	12 (27)	0.062	7.49	2.42	23.14	0.027
20,000 students and above	19 (77)	7 (23)	0.037	10.35	2.73	39.22	0.012
United States Census Region							
Northeast	46 (77)	10 (23)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Midwest	38 (48)	41 (52)	0.043	0.19	0.07	0.53	0.024
South	36 (55)	30 (45)	0.414	0.25	0.08	0.75	0.253
West	18 (59)	8 (41)	0.873	0.31	0.09	1.07	0.785
Degree of urbanicity§							
Rural	11 (81)	4 (19)	0.075	2.19	0.49	9.83	0.126
Town	40 (58)	27 (42)	0.607	1.08	0.43	2.71	0.743
Suburban	26 (45)	18 (55)	0.029	0.37	0.14	0.93	0.004
Urban	61 (60)	40 (40)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
IHE Characteristics	Requires COVID-19 Testing Upon Arrival for the Semester						
	Requires, n (%)*	Does not Require, n (%)*	p-value	Multivariable Analysis†			
				AOR	95% Confidence Limits		p-value
					Lower	Upper	
All IHEs offering ≥50% courses in person	113 (47)	114 (53)					
Affiliation							
Public	69 (53)	60 (47)	0.156	1.16	0.50	2.70	0.735

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.15.22277675>; this version posted July 17, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Private	44 (45)	54 (55)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Institution enrollment size‡							
Under 1,000 students	10 (31)	17 (68)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
1,000 - 4,999 students	54 (53)	47 (47)	0.140	2.49	0.90	6.92	0.345
5,000 - 9,999 students	21 (47)	19 (53)	0.738	1.98	0.53	7.39	0.849
10,000 - 19,999 students	14 (38)	19 (62)	0.470	1.30	0.26	6.51	0.484
20,000 students and above	14 (53)	12 (47)	0.386	3.26	0.61	17.39	0.271
United States Census Region							
Northeast	43 (70)	13 (30)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Midwest	29 (37)	50 (63)	0.063	0.26	0.10	0.64	0.094
South	26 (39)	40 (61)	0.128	0.26	0.10	0.70	0.128
West	15 (49)	11 (51)	0.961	0.40	0.13	1.27	0.979
Degree of urbanicity§							
Rural	10 (78)	5 (22)	0.030	3.09	0.75	12.69	0.111
Town	35 (52)	32 (48)	0.649	1.41	0.60	3.32	0.979
Suburban	26 (45)	18 (55)	0.201	0.87	0.35	2.13	0.131
Urban	42 (42)	59 (59)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Requires Surveillance Testing for COVID-19							
IHE Characteristics	Requires, n (%)*	Does not Require, n (%)*	p-value	Multivariable Analysis†			
				AOR	95% Confidence Limits		p-value
					Lower	Upper	
All IHEs offering ≥50% courses in person	80 (33)	147 (67)					
Affiliation							
Public	49 (38)	80 (62)	0.213	0.42	0.20	0.87	0.020
Private	31 (31)	67 (69)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Institution enrollment size‡							
Under 1,000 students	4 (10)	23 (90)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
1,000 - 4,999 students	31 (31)	70 (69)	0.214	5.14	1.23	21.43	0.025
5,000 - 9,999 students	17 (46)	23 (54)	0.358	15.10	2.78	81.88	0.369
10,000 - 19,999 students	14 (57)	19 (43)	0.014	34.80	7.39	163.89	0.001
20,000 students and above	14 (60)	12 (40)	0.002	46.46	8.07	267.42	0.001
United States Census Region							
Northeast	36 (57)	10 (43)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-
Midwest	15 (16)	64 (84)	0.001	0.11	0.04	0.31	0.001

South	20 (35)	46 (65)	0.913	0.32	0.11	0.92	0.924
West	9 (34)	17 (66)	0.968	0.35	0.10	1.19	0.903
Degree of urbanicity§							
Rural	7 (53)	8 (47)	0.121	3.20	0.92	11.11	0.035
Town	19 (22)	48 (78)	0.016	0.73	0.30	1.77	0.046
Suburban	21 (41)	23 (59)	0.524	1.28	0.46	3.56	0.937
Urban	33 (32)	68 (68)	-	1 (Referent)	-	-	-

321 * The universe of 1,181 private IHEs was stratified by institution size category. Based on sample size calculations using a 95% confidence interval
 322 with a 5% margin of error, 26% of eligible IHEs were randomly selected within each stratum (<1,000: 97 of 398 IHEs, 1,000 – 4,999: 161 of 625
 323 IHEs, 5,000 – 9,999: 24 of 93 IHEs, 10,000 – 19,999: 12 of 47 IHEs, and ≥20,000: 6 of 18 IHEs). Weights represent the inverse of the sampling
 324 fractions. n (%) represents the unweighted number (n) and the weighted percent of the universe of 1,728 IHEs.

325 † Multivariable logistic regression analysis of IHE characteristics with specific COVID-19 testing requirements as the dependent variable (0 = Does
 326 not require testing, 1 = Requires testing).


327 ‡ Institution size category based on total students enrolled for credit as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

328 § Level of urbanization based on urban boundaries by Census GEO as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

329

330

331 **Appendix Figure 2. Distribution* of total number of nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) implemented† by public (n=198) and private**
 332 **(n=98) institutions of higher education (IHEs) learning ≥50% in person‡ (N=227) within institution size categories§, United States, Academic**
 333 **Year 2020-2021**



334 * Violin plot of total NPIs implemented kernel density distribution within institution size categories. Boxplot dot and line represent the mean and
 335 median number of NPIs implemented within each category, respectively. Generated in Microsoft Power BI.
 336

337 † NPIs derived from October 29, 2020 update of US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guidance for IHEs and summated ranging 0–7.

338 ‡ 227 IHEs offer courses ≥50% in person. 166 IHEs offer courses <50% in person. Primary learning method not found for 454 IHEs.

339 § Institution size category based on total students enrolled for credit as reported by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System.

340 **Acknowledgments**

341 The authors would like to thank the data collection team, including in-house contractors (Esther
342 Amoakohene, Tamara Cummings, Elisha Gilbert, Ferdous Jahan, and Zaneta Oliver) and ORISE fellows
343 (Jeffrey Hodis and Olivia Shafer).

344 References

- 345 1. Boehmer TK, DeVies J, Caruso E, et al. Changing Age Distribution of the COVID-19 Pandemic -
346 United States, May-August 2020. *MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report*. 2020;69(39):1404-9.
347 doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6939e1. PubMed PMID: 33001872.
- 348 2. Day T, Chang ICC, Chung CKL, et al. The Immediate Impact of COVID-19 on Postsecondary
349 Teaching and Learning. *Professional Geographer*. 2021;73(1):1-13. doi:
350 10.1080/00330124.2020.1823864.
- 351 3. Guilamo-Ramos V, Benzekri A, Thimm-Kaiser M, et al. Reconsidering Assumptions of Adolescent
352 and Young Adult Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Transmission Dynamics. *Clinical*
353 *Infectious Diseases*. 2020. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1348.
- 354 4. Salvatore PP, Sula E, Coyle JP, et al. Recent Increase in COVID-19 Cases Reported Among Adults
355 Aged 18-22 Years - United States, May 31-September 5, 2020. *MMWR - Morbidity & Mortality Weekly*
356 *Report*. 2020;69(39):1419-24. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6939e4>. PubMed PMID:
357 33006586.
- 358 5. Cipriano LE, Haddara WMR, Zaric GS, et al. Impact of university re-opening on total community
359 COVID-19 burden. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*. 2021;16(8):e0255782. doi:
360 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255782>. PubMed PMID: 34383796.
- 361 6. Cheng SY, Wang CJ, Shen AC, et al. How to Safely Reopen Colleges and Universities During
362 COVID-19: Experiences From Taiwan. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020;173(8):638-41. doi: 10.7326/M20-2927.
363 PubMed PMID: 32614638; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7339040.
- 364 7. Benneyan JC, Gehrke C, Ilies I, et al. Potential Community and Campus Covid-19 Outcomes
365 Under University and College Reopening Scenarios. *MedRxiv : the Preprint Server for Health Sciences*.
366 2020;02:02. doi: 10.1101/2020.08.29.20184366. PubMed PMID: 32908993.
- 367 8. Fox MD, Bailey DC, Seamon MD, et al. Response to a COVID-19 Outbreak on a University
368 Campus - Indiana, August 2020. *MMWR - Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report*. 2021;70(4):118-22. doi:
369 10.15585/mmwr.mm7004a3. PubMed PMID: 33507894; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7842813.
- 370 9. Pollock BH, Kilpatrick AM, Eisenman DP, et al. Safe reopening of college campuses during COVID-
371 19: The University of California experience in Fall 2020. *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(11):e0258738. doi:
372 10.1371/journal.pone.0258738.
- 373 10. Guidance for institutions of higher education (IHEs) [Webpage]. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease
374 Control and Prevention; 2022 [updated February 7, 2022]. Available from:
375 <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/colleges-universities/considerations.html>.
- 376 11. Considerations for institutions of higher education [Webpage]. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease
377 Control and Prevention; 2020 [updated October 29, 2020]. Available from:
378 [http://web.archive.org/web/20201029222917/https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-](http://web.archive.org/web/20201029222917/https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/colleges-universities/considerations.html)
379 [ncov/community/colleges-universities/considerations.html](http://web.archive.org/web/20201029222917/https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/colleges-universities/considerations.html).
- 380 12. The National Center for Education Statistics. Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System
381 [Internet]. 2018-2019 [Accessed December 1, 2020]. Available from: <https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds>.
- 382 13. Qualls NL, Levitt AM, Kanade N, et al. Community mitigation guidelines to prevent pandemic
383 influenza — United States, 2017. *MMWR Recommendations and reports : Morbidity and mortality*
384 *weekly report Recommendations and reports*. 2017;66(1). <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/45220>.
385 PubMed PMID: cdc:45220.
- 386 14. 2010 Census Regions and Divisions of the United States [Internet]. US Census Bureau. 2010
387 [Accessed May 1, 2021]. Available from: [https://www.census.gov/geographies/reference-](https://www.census.gov/geographies/reference-maps/2010/geo/2010-census-regions-and-divisions-of-the-united-states.html)
388 [maps/2010/geo/2010-census-regions-and-divisions-of-the-united-states.html](https://www.census.gov/geographies/reference-maps/2010/geo/2010-census-regions-and-divisions-of-the-united-states.html).

- 389 15. COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) [Internet].
390 Johns Hopkins University. 2020 [Accessed May 1, 2021]. Available from:
391 <https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19>.
- 392 16. Dong E DH, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time.
393 *Lancet Inf Dis.* 20(5):533-4. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1.
- 394 17. Annual Resident Population Estimates for States and Counties: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019; April
395 1, 2020; and July 1, 2020 [Internet]. United States Census Bureau. 2020 [Accessed May 1, 2021].
396 Available from: [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/technical-](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/technical-documentation/research/evaluation-estimates/2020-evaluation-estimates/2010s-counties-total.html)
397 [documentation/research/evaluation-estimates/2020-evaluation-estimates/2010s-counties-total.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/technical-documentation/research/evaluation-estimates/2020-evaluation-estimates/2010s-counties-total.html).
- 398 18. Ciol MA, Hoffman JM, Dudgeon BJ, et al. Understanding the use of weights in the analysis of
399 data from multistage surveys. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2006;87(2):299-303. doi:
400 10.1016/j.apmr.2005.09.021. PubMed PMID: 16442990.
- 401 19. Iuliano AD, Reed C, Guh A, et al. Notes from the field: outbreak of 2009 pandemic influenza A
402 (H1N1) virus at a large public university in Delaware, April-May 2009. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;49(12):1811-
403 20. Epub 2009/11/17. doi: 10.1086/649555. PubMed PMID: 19911964.
- 404 20. Layde PM, Engelberg AL, Dobbs HI, et al. Outbreak of influenza A/USSR/77 at Marquette
405 University. *The Journal of infectious diseases.* 1980;142(3):347-52. Epub 1980/09/01. doi:
406 10.1093/infdis/142.3.347. PubMed PMID: 7441004.
- 407 21. Cevasco KE, Roess AA, North HM, et al. Survival analysis of factors affecting the timing of COVID-
408 19 non-pharmaceutical interventions by U.S. universities. *BMC Public Health.* 2021;21(1):1985. doi:
409 10.1186/s12889-021-12035-6.
- 410 22. Cevasco KE, North HM, Zeitoun SA, et al. COVID-19 observations and accompanying dataset of
411 non-pharmaceutical interventions across U.S. universities, March 2020. *PLOS ONE.*
412 2020;15(10):e0240786. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0240786.
- 413 23. Wilson E, Donovan CV, Campbell M, et al. Multiple COVID-19 Clusters on a University Campus -
414 North Carolina, August 2020. *MMWR - Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report.* 2020;69(39):1416-8. doi:
415 10.15585/mmwr.mm6939e3. PubMed PMID: 33001871; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7537562.
- 416 24. MacIntyre CR, Costantino V, Bian L, et al. Effectiveness of facemasks for opening a university
417 campus in Mississippi, United States – a modelling study. *Journal of American College Health.* 2021:1-6.
418 doi: 10.1080/07448481.2020.1866579.
- 419 25. Barrios LC, Riggs MA, Green RF, et al. Observed face mask use at six universities — United
420 States, September–November 2020. 2021;70(6):208-11. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7006e1. PubMed
421 PMID: 33571175; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7877579.
- 422 26. Chang T, Draper JM, Van den Bout A, et al. A method for campus-wide SARS-CoV-2 surveillance
423 at a large public university. *PLOS ONE.* 2021;16(12):e0261230. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0261230.
- 424 27. Schultes O, Clarke V, Paltiel AD, et al. COVID-19 Testing and Case Rates and Social Contact
425 Among Residential College Students in Connecticut During the 2020-2021 Academic Year. *JAMA Netw*
426 *Open.* 2021;4(12):e2140602-e. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.40602.
- 427 28. Leidner AJ, Barry V, Bowen VB, et al. Opening of Large Institutions of Higher Education and
428 County-Level COVID-19 Incidence - United States, July 6-September 17, 2020. *MMWR - Morbidity &*
429 *Mortality Weekly Report.* 2021;70(1):14-9. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7001a4. PubMed PMID: 33411699;
430 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7790156.
- 431 29. Lehnig CL, Oren E, Vaidya NK. Effectiveness of alternative semester break schedules on reducing
432 COVID-19 incidence on college campuses. *Scientific Reports.* 2022;12(1):2116. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-
433 06260-1.
- 434 30. Best A, Singh P, Ward C, et al. The impact of varying class sizes on epidemic spread in a
435 university population. *R.* 2021;8(6):210712. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.210712>. PubMed PMID:
436 34150319.

- 437 31. Connor C. Factors in the probability of covid-19 transmission in university classrooms.
438 Numeracy. 2020;13(2):1-15. doi: 10.5038/1936-4660.13.2.1368.
- 439 32. Ambatipudi M, Carrillo Gonzalez P, Tasnim K, et al. Risk quantification for SARS-CoV-2 infection
440 through airborne transmission in university settings. J Occup Environ Hyg. 2021;1-19. doi:
441 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15459624.2021.1985725>. PubMed PMID: 34569919.
- 442 33. Ascione F, De Masi RF, Mastellone M, et al. The design of safe classrooms of educational
443 buildings for facing contagions and transmission of diseases: A novel approach combining audits,
444 calibrated energy models, building performance (BPS) and computational fluid dynamic (CFD)
445 simulations. Energy & Buildings. 2021;230:110533. doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110533. PubMed PMID:
446 33052169; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7543903.
- 447 34. Murray AT. Planning for classroom physical distancing to minimize the threat of COVID-19
448 disease spread. PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]. 2020;15(12):e0243345. doi:
449 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243345>. PubMed PMID: 33275618.
- 450 35. Education SCoH. The Impact of COVID-19 on Higher Education. MAPS Project: The University of
451 Utah, 2021.
- 452 36. Katz VS, Jordan AB, Ognyanova K. Digital inequality, faculty communication, and remote learning
453 experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic: A survey of U.S. undergraduates. PLoS ONE [Electronic
454 Resource]. 2021;16(2):e0246641. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0246641. PubMed PMID: 33566832;
455 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7875367.
- 456 37. Unger S, Meiran WR. Student Attitudes towards Online Education during the COVID-19 Viral
457 Outbreak of 2020: Distance Learning in a Time of Social Distance. International Journal of Technology in
458 Education and Science. 2020;4(4):256-66. doi: 10.46328/ijtes.v4i4.107. PubMed PMID: 2488216723;
459 EJ1271377.
- 460 38. Mostafa S, Cousins-Cooper K, Tankersley B, et al. The impact of COVID-19 induced emergency
461 remote instruction on students' academic performance at an HBCU. PLOS ONE. 2022;17(3):e0264947.
462 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0264947.
- 463 39. Benjamin Collins JHF, Cassandra Dortch. The COVID-19 Pandemic and Institutions of Higher
464 Education: Contemporary Issues. Congressional Research Service, 2021 Contract No.: R46666.
- 465 40. Losina E, Leifer V, Millham L, et al. College Campuses and COVID-19 Mitigation: Clinical and
466 Economic Value. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174(4):472-83. Epub 2020/12/21. doi: 10.7326/M20-6558.
467 PubMed PMID: 33347322.
- 468 41. Adams GB, Shannon J, Shannon S. "Return to University Campuses Associated with 9% Increase
469 in New COVID-19 Case Rate". medRxiv. 2020. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.20212183>.
- 470 42. Doyle K, Teran RA, Reefhuis J, et al. Multiple Variants of SARS-CoV-2 in a University Outbreak
471 After Spring Break - Chicago, Illinois, March-May 2021. MMWR - Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report.
472 2021;70(35):1195-200. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7035a3>. PubMed PMID: 34473687.
- 473 43. Moreno G, Braun K, Pray I, et al. Characterizing SARS-CoV-2 spread on college campuses. Journal
474 of the International AIDS Society. 2021;24(SUPPL 1):107-8. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25659>.
475 PubMed PMID: 634543317.
- 476 44. Vang KE, Krow-Lucal ER, James AE, et al. Participation in Fraternity and Sorority Activities and
477 the Spread of COVID-19 Among Residential University Communities - Arkansas, August 21-September 5,
478 2020. MMWR - Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report. 2021;70(1):20-3. doi:
479 <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7001a5>. PubMed PMID: 33411698.
- 480 45. AAMC Recommendations for COVID-19 Testing: The Current State and The Way Forward. The
481 Way Forward on COVID-19 [Internet]. 2020 2/10/2022. Available from:
482 <https://www.aamc.org/covidroadmap/testing>.
- 483 46. Paltiel AD, Zheng A, Walensky RP. Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 Screening Strategies to Permit the
484 Safe Reopening of College Campuses in the United States. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(7):e2016818-e. doi:

- 485 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.16818. PubMed PMID: 32735339.
486 47. Oran DP, Topol EJ. Prevalence of Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection : A Narrative Review. Ann
487 Intern Med. 2020;173(5):362-7. Epub 2020/06/03. doi: 10.7326/M20-3012. PubMed PMID: 32491919.
488 48. Fung IC, Cheung CN, Handel A. SARS-CoV-2 Viral and Serological Testing When College Campuses
489 Reopen: Some Practical Considerations. Disaster Medicine & Public Health Preparedness. 2020:1-5. doi:
490 10.1017/dmp.2020.266. PubMed PMID: 32713384; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7450242.
491 49. Goyal R, Hotchkiss J, Schooley RT, et al. Evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 transmission mitigation
492 strategies on a university campus using an agent-based network model. Clinical Infectious Diseases.
493 2021;19:19. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab037. PubMed PMID: 33462589; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7929036.
494