Genome-wide analysis of genetic pleiotropy and causal genes across three age-related ocular disorders

Xueming Yao, [1] Hongxi Yang, [2,a] Han Han, [1] Xuejing Kou, [1] Yuhan Jiang, [3] Menghan Luo, [3] Yao Zhou, [3] Jianhua Wang, [3] Xutong Fan, [3] Xiaohong Wang, [2,*] Mulin Jun Li, [2,3,*] Hua Yan, [1,4,5,*]

[1] Department of Ophthalmology, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, 300052, China.

[2] Department of Pharmacology, Tianjin Key Laboratory of Inflammation Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, 300070, China.

[3] Department of Bioinformatics, The Province and Ministry Co-sponsored Collaborative Innovation Center for Medical Epigenetics, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, 300070, China.

[4] Laboratory of Molecular Ophthalmology, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, 300070, China.

[5] School of Medicine, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, China.

[*] Correspondence: xiaohongwang@tmu.edu.cn   (X.W.),   mulin@tmu.edu.cn   (M.J.L.), zyyyanhua@tmu.edu.cn (H.Y.)

Word count: 4811

Funding information: This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant Numbers 82020108007, 81830026, 32070675]; and the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei

[a] X.Y. and H.Y. contributed equally.

22   Special Project [Grant Number 19JCZDJC64300(Z), 20JCZXJC00180].

Abstract

Purpose: Age-related macular degeneration (AMD), cataract, and glaucoma are leading causes of blindness worldwide. Previous genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have revealed a variety of susceptible loci associated with age-related ocular disorders, yet the genetic pleiotropy and causal genes across these diseases remain poorly understood. This study aims to identify genetic pleiotropic genes among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma.

Methods: We leveraged large-scale genetic and observational data from ocular disease GWASs and UK Biobank (UKBB) to investigate correlations among these ocular disorders. We undertook meta-analyses with the largest GWAS summary statistics of these ocular disorders to identify pleiotropic loci. We then comprehensively integrated eye-specific gene expression quantitative loci (eQTLs), epigenomic profiling, and 3D genome data to prioritize causal pleiotropic genes. Pathway enrichment analysis and drug repurposing analysis were also conducted.

Results: We found significant pairwise genetic correlations and consistent epidemiological associations among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Cross-disease meta-analysis uncovered seven pleiotropic loci, three of which were replicated in an additional cohort. Integration of variants in pleiotropic loci and multiple single-cell omics data identified that Müller cells and astrocytes were likely causal cell types underlying ocular comorbidity. After the integration with multi-omics data, 15 causal genes were identified. We found that pleiotropic genes were essential in nerve development and eye pigmentation, and targetable by existing drugs for the treatment of single ocular disorder.

Conclusions: These findings will not only facilitate the mechanistic research of ocular comorbidities but also benefit the therapeutic optimization of age-related ocular diseases.

Introduction

Age-related ocular diseases, such as age-related macular degeneration (AMD), cataract, and glaucoma, are among the leading causes of irreversible blindness and vision impairment in adults aged $\geq$ 50 years worldwide [1]. Previous cross-sectional and case-control studies have reported that cataract and glaucoma commonly co-exist and prevalent glaucoma is associated with neovascular AMD [2,3]. A clinical study also showed that over 20% of eye samples from the patients listed for cataract surgery have evidence of AMD according to optical coherence tomography imaging [4]. The findings motivate the exploration of the extent and causal factors for potential comorbidity among these age-related ocular diseases.

Recent genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have identified hundreds of genetic signals that contribute to the complex pathogenesis of AMD [5,6], cataract [7], and glaucoma [8-10]. These and other genetic studies also suggest a high heritability in susceptibility of AMD (~50%) [11], cataract (35-58%) [12,13], and glaucoma (35-65%) [14,15]. Nevertheless, the shared genetic architecture among these age-related ocular diseases is largely unknown. A study investigated the genetic pleiotropy among AMD and several eye-related diseases/traits, and found that patients with AMD demonstrate a genetically reduced risk to develop open-angle glaucoma [16], which is inconsistent with other findings [17,18]. Moreover, no genetic pleiotropy research has been conducted between cataract and other ocular diseases so far. Given the conflicting results and scant knowledge regarding the shared genetic architecture of these age-related ocular disorders, more systematic investigation based on larger and complementary cohorts is needed.

While different levels of genetic correlations or pleiotropic loci can be faithfully estimated among

ocular diseases/traits, the pleiotropic genes and causal variants have not been rigorously examined.

This is an important issue to clarify, as the shared biological basis of ocular disorders could

represent potential efficient intervention targets for the prevention and treatment of ocular

comorbidities. Emerging tissue/cell type-specific epigenomic profiles, single-cell omics atlas as

well as molecular phenotype quantitative trait loci (QTLs) mapping on certain ocular diseases

revealed many causal variants and genes underlying cell type specificity of disease etiology [19-23].

Thus, integrating large-scale multi-omics and QTL data from eyes with disease pleiotropy

information would provide a promising strategy to decipher the genetic causality of ocular

comorbidities, especially for the majority of causal variants in the non-coding genomic regions.

Here, by leveraging large-scale genetic and observational data from public GWASs and UK

Biobank (UKBB), we systematically investigated the genetic sharing patterns and epidemiological

associations of three age-related ocular diseases including AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. We

found extensive genetic pleiotropy and pairwise connections among these three diseases and

identified seven pleiotropic loci across the genome. To better understand the mechanism

underlying these pleiotropic loci, the integration of eye-specific gene eQTLs, epigenomic profiling,

and 3D genome data were conducted to probe causal pleiotropic genes. Finally, drug repurposing

was conducted by utilizing molecular networks for potential treatment or prevention of ocular

comorbidities.

Material and methods

GWAS summary statistics collection

Full GWAS summary statistics of European ancestry on AMD, cataract, and glaucoma with the largest sample size were obtained after searching eight databases (GWAS Catalog [24], UKBB [25], GeneATLAS [26], GWAS Atlas [27], LD Hub [28], GRASP [29], PhenoScanner [30], and dbGaP [31]). GWAS summary statistics with available signed statistics (beta or odds ratio), effect alleles, and non-effect alleles were retained during the search. We also collected GWAS summary data from FinnGen for replication analysis [32]. More detailed information regarding the selected GWAS summary statistics for AMD, cataract, and glaucoma was shown in **Table S1**.

Estimation of genetic correlation

Using GWAS summary statistics derived from the above datasets with a sample size > 50,000, we conducted LDSC [33] for these ocular diseases to estimate pairwise genetic correlations. SNPs were filtered to HapMap3 SNPs to avoid bias due to inconsistent imputation quality. SNPs with INFO $\leq$ 0.9, minor allele frequency $\leq$ 0.01, non-SNP variants, strand-ambiguous SNPs, and SNPs with duplicate IDs were removed. Pre-computed linkage disequilibrium (LD) scores and regression weights for European populations of the 1000 Genomes project were downloaded from the LDSC website (http://www.broadinstitute.org/~bulik/eur_ldscores/). We ensured that the LD score regression intercepts for AMD, cataract, and glaucoma were close to 1 during estimations. Moreover, genetic correlations between these diseases were also estimated using another tool SumHer from LDAK [34] under the recommended LDAK-Thin model. A tagging file computed using 2,000 white British individual genetic data files was downloaded from the LDAK website (https://dougspeed.com/pre-computed-tagging-files/), and the cutoff was set to 0.01 to preclude

large-effect loci that explain at least 1% of phenotypic variance.

Mendelian randomization

Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis between two traits was performed to determine whether

genetic correlations were due to a causal link or confounding factors using the R package

TwoSampleMR [35] which encompasses five MR methods (MR Egger, Weighted median, Inverse

variance weighted, Simple mode, Weighted mode). The instruments for each exposure trait were

significant SNPs (P-value $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$) after clumping, while the instruments for each outcome

trait were all SNPs. Variants without SNP ID were annotated using the dbSNP [36] database;

otherwise, SNPs were removed during subsequent analyses.

Epidemiological analyses based on UK Biobank cohort

The UKBB is a large-scale cohort that recruited half a million UK participants (aged 37–73 years)

between 2006 and 2010, and was followed up to 2021. Among the 502,412 participants, we

excluded those who were pregnant (n = 379), lost to follow-up (n = 1,298), and had a mismatch

between self-reported sex and genetic sex (n = 371), or missing information concerning covariates

at baseline (n = 58,637). Overall, 441,727 participants were included in the study. AMD (ICD10:

H35.3; ICD9: 362.5), cataract (ICD10: H25-H28; ICD9: 366), and glaucoma (ICD10: H40.1,

H40.8, H40.9; ICD9: 365.1, 365.9) were ascertained based on information from self-reports,

primary care records, hospital admissions, and death records. Multivariate Cox regression with

separate models for incident AMD, cataract, and glaucoma was used to evaluate pairwise

associations among them. In subsequent analyses, multi-state models were used to examine the

role of one of the three ocular diseases in the transition between the start of follow-up and the

other two disorders. In all models, we adjusted for sex, age, ethnicity, Townsend deprivation index,

education level, smoking status, drinking status, physical activity, screen time-based sedentary

behavior, diabetes, and other eye problems. This research was conducted using the UKBB

resource under application number 83974.

Determination of pleiotropic regions

We performed pleiotropic region analysis using gwas-pw [37], a tool for jointly analyzing pairs of

GWAS traits. Overlapping SNPs of both traits were split into multiple blocks based on the bed

files available at https://bitbucket.org/nygcresearch/ldetect-data. Separately, gwas-pw reckoned the

posterior probability of the four models that the pleiotropic region 1) only associated with

phenotype 1, 2) only associated with phenotype 2, 3) associated with both phenotypes, and 4)

associated with both phenotypes independently.

Identification of pleiotropic loci

To identify pleiotropic variants and characterize pleiotropy at single-variant level, cross-trait

meta-analyses were carried out with GWAS summary statistics of three ocular diseases using three

different approaches: 1) ASSET [38], 2) METAL [39], and 3) pleioFDR [40]. ASSET explored all

possible subsets of traits and evaluated a fixed-effect meta-analysis for each subset. The default

scheme was selected when executing METAL with the correction of sample overlap. pleioFDR

leveraged overlapping SNP associations of related phenotypes to identify genetic pleiotropic loci.

All significant SNPs involving two or more traits were retained for the following analysis. Once

classified, we conducted variant clumping through PLINK [41] and merged the pleiotropic loci if

they physically overlapped (±250kb). The summary statistics of these diseases were standardized

using a downloaded reference derived from genome files for 22 autosomes of filtered the 1000

Genomes project [42] European ancestry samples.

Causal cell type estimation

We applied MAGMA [43] to evaluate whether specific ocular cell types showed significant

heritability enrichment for traits based on ASSET one-sided summary statistics. MAGMA also

requires gene average expression level across cell types derived from single-cell RNA sequencing

and single-cell multi-omics sequencing data of anterior chamber angle or retina/choroid [20, 21, 23,

44-46] (**Table S2**). We additionally downloaded the raw data and determined the average expression

values per cell type for each dataset using Cell Ranger [47] and the R package Seurat [48] unless the

information was available. Cell types were assigned with the gene marker mentioned in the

original publications, and the same cell types were manually renamed to maintain concordance

with different single cell RNA-seq data. Gene names were transferred to Entrez gene IDs using the

R package biomaRt [49]. We appointed the direction of testing to "greater" and selected the

condition-hide modifier for cell-type trait association analysis. We then leveraged the open

chromatin peaks of retinal cell types from single-cell multiome to estimate LD scores for LDSC

and conducted an additional cell-type trait association analysis.

Causal SNP identification at pleiotropic loci

Colocalization analysis between two-eye eQTL data [19, 50] and ASSET one-sided GWAS data was

conducted using the R package COLOC [51]. We selected SNPs with an H4 posterior probability >

0.75, GWAS P-value $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$, and eQTL P-value < 0.05 as pleiotropic loci. By utilizing three

sets of retina sample Assay of Transposase Accessible Chromatin sequencing (ATAC-seq) and

H3K27ac Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) data [52], genomic

enhancer regions of the retina were predicted using the ABC model [53] with default threshold

settings. Next, we profiled the causal SNPs through fine-mapping analysis of pleiotropic loci

identified by the above three meta-analysis methods. The one-sided ASSET meta-analysis results

of pleiotropic SNPs located in the ± 1 Mb region of lead SNPs were imported into PolyFun [54] for

fine-mapping with an embedded statistical method termed Susie [55], and 95% credible sets were

revealed, assuming one causal SNP per locus. SNPs were included in the credible sets until the

sum of the posterior probabilities was > 0.95.

Pleiotropic causal gene prioritization

The causal genes in a pleiotropic locus were confined to the following rules: 1) Does the locus

contain eye eQTL SNPs? If yes, eQTL harboring genes (eGenes) were selected. 2) Is the locus

located in the open chromatin peaks from retina H3K27ac HiChIP data [23]? If yes, causal genes

were anchor-targeted genes. 3) Does the locus have a genomic region overlapping with the ABC

model enhancer regions or a cell type-specific peak? If so, the causal genes were enhancer target

genes or peak-inclusive genes. 4) If the pleiotropic locus contained none of the eQTL SNPs or was

not mapped within any enhancer regions or peaks, the nearest gene with the highest fine-mapping

posterior probability was identified as a causal gene. Moreover, gene ontology (GO) pathway

enrichment analysis of causal genes with no more than 10 interactors was conducted in STRING

[56] to shed light on pathogenic biological processes, then gene-phenotype association analysis and

cell-type-specific enrichment analysis was conducted using Enrichr [57] and WebCSEA [58].

Drug repurposing analysis

209 We curated approved drugs targeted at pleiotropic causal genes and target genes of such drugs for

210 AMD, cataract, and glaucoma from Drugbank [59] and DGIdb [60] to verify whether the risk genes

211 were identical to the drug target genes. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network information was

212 obtained from STRING and depicted using Cytoscape [61] to evaluate potential relationships

213 between pleiotropic causal genes and drug target genes.

Results

Genetic sharing among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma

To delineate the shared genetic architecture among the three age-related ocular disorders, we first

collected full GWAS summary statistics of AMD, cataract, and glaucoma from the latest public

resources, incorporating three AMD GWASs, three cataract GWASs and two glaucoma GWASs,

and most of them had sample size over 100,000 individuals (**Table S1**). Considering the signal

overlaps of the three largest ocular GWAS summary statistics (**Figure S1A**), genetic correlations

were measured using LD Score regression (LDSC) [33] and SumHer LDAK-Thin [34] models.

Following careful quality control, we observed moderate and concordant genome-wide genetic

correlations among these traits (**Figure 1A, 1B, Figure S1B**), in which glaucoma-cataract and

AMD-cataract showed positive genetic correlations, while AMD-glaucoma exhibited negative

genetic correlations. This is consistent with a previous study wherein the negative genetic

correlation between AMD and glaucoma was reported [16]. In addition, multiple bidirectional

Mendelian randomization (MR) methods were applied to each pair of diseases to assess whether

shared genetic components were coherent with true pleiotropy or mediated pleiotropy. Presuming

that consistent results in three of the five MR methods indicate a strong causal effect between the

two traits, there was no or weak evidence for potentially causal relationships among AMD,

cataract, and glaucoma (**Figure S2**).

Epidemiological associations among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma

To test whether the pattern of genetic sharing for the three age-related ocular disorders is

supported by epidemiological associations, we leveraged 441,727 selected participants from the

UKBB with rich information regarding self-reports, primary care records, hospital admissions, and

death records. In the UKBB cohort, at the end of follow-up, 36,5471 (82.74%) participants had no

AMD, cataract, or glaucoma; 5,611 (1.27 %) had AMD alone; 48,639 (11.01%) had cataract alone;

7,431 (1.68%) had glaucoma alone; 8,251 (1.87%) had both AMD and cataract; 1,329 (0.30%) had

both AMD and glaucoma; 7,015 (1.59%) had both cataract and glaucoma; and 1,010 (0.23%) had

AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Hazard ratios (HRs) estimated by Cox regression were

significantly larger than 1 (P-value < 0.001), which indicated positive correlations among these

traits (**Figure 1A, Figure S3**). These results showed significant pairwise associations between

AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Similar results were observed in further analyses using multi-state

models which also uncovered positive correlations between cataract and other diseases as genetic

correlation analysis showed. The correlation between AMD and glaucoma was inconsistent with

our genetic correlation results which may due to environmental effects or inadequate power.

Additionally, multi-state models distinguished the roles of one of the three prevalent ocular

diseases in the temporal trajectories of the other two. Prevalent glaucoma was inversely associated

with AMD after cataract with HR of 0.74 (95% CI: 0.64-0.86), but not with cataract after AMD

(**Figure 1C**). Multi-state analyses also showed that the associations of prevalent cataracts with the

transition from the start of follow-up to subsequent AMD or subsequent glaucoma were stronger

than those with the transition from AMD to glaucoma or from glaucoma to AMD (**Figure 1D**).

Accounting for prevalent AMD in transitions of cataract and glaucoma, there was no evidence of

stronger associations between prevalent AMD and transitions to cataract after having had

glaucoma, or glaucoma after having had cataract (**Figure 1E**). Taken together, these new findings

based on genetic evidence and epidemiological associations suggested a latent correlation among

age-related ocular traits.

Cross-disorder meta-analysis reveals seven pleiotropic loci

Considering the indication for genetic relationships between AMD-cataract, AMD-glaucoma, and

cataract-glaucoma, 11 likely pleiotropic regions (posterior probability associated with two

phenotypes > 0.6) were identified in the disease pairs using gwas-pw [37], one of which

(chr9:20464018-2220526) turned out to be significant of two disease pairs (**Figure 1F, Table S3**).

Next, we leveraged the largest collected GWAS summary statistics for AMD, cataract, and

glaucoma containing 33,287 cases and 784,851 controls of European ancestry in total (AMD:

14,034 cases and 91,214 controls, cataract: 11,306 cases and 349,888 controls, glaucoma: 7,947

cases and 343,749 controls), and conducted cross-trait meta-analyses using three complementary

methods including ASSET [38], METAL [39] and pleioFDR [40]. Only those SNPs that surpassed

genome-wide significance (P-value $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$) and had influences on at least two traits were

reserved. For ASSET, two patterns were supported, and both were performed such that the

one-sided ASSET meta-analysis maximized the standard fixed-effect meta-analysis test statistics

over all possible subsets and guaranteed the identification of pleiotropic loci that have associations

in the same direction, while the two-sided ASSET meta-analysis was performed in two directions

automatically. Accordingly, we identified 9, 28, 6, and 7 pleiotropic loci using one-sided ASSET,

two-sided ASSET, METAL, and pleioFDR, respectively, under the condition that the genomic

inflation factor did not considerably deviate from one (**Figure 2A, Figure S4, Figure S5**).

By guaranteeing that the lead SNPs should be marginally significant in all primary GWAS

summary statistics and excluding the loci in the MHC region, seven loci were conservatively

prioritized as candidate pleiotropic loci for which the one-sided ASSET results overlapped with

the other three approaches (**Table 1**). Among these pleiotropic loci, most signals were significantly

associated with glaucoma and were even stronger after meta-analysis although they were weaker

in other diseases. Notably, three novel loci (lead SNPs: rs3766916, rs17421627, and rs146447071)

became significant as the sample size increased. Besides, we found that three candidate pleiotropic

loci intersected the aforementioned pleiotropic regions identified by gwas-pw

(chr5:87390784-88891173, chr9:20464018-2220526, and chr15:110336875-113261782) (**Table 1,**

Figure 1F), which rendered our results convincing. Furthermore, we collected additional GWAS

datasets of AMD, cataract, and glaucoma from FinnGen project [32] and conducted a meta-analysis

with ASSET, three loci (lead SNPs: rs4451405, rs10501626, and rs1129038) were replicated if the

P-value of any SNPs in the same loci was smaller than 1×10^{-5} in one-sided ASSET or two-sided

ASSET results.

Single-cell multi-omics data integration identifies likely causal cell types of ocular

comorbidity

To understand the causal disease mechanisms underlying these pleiotropic loci, we investigated

which cell types are essential during the development of ocular comorbidity. We obtained six

single-cell RNA sequencing datasets and a single-cell multiome dataset derived from 33 human

eyes **(Table S2)** and performed cell-type trait association analysis to explore the most likely causal

cell types whose gene or epigenomic signatures correlate with genetic pleiotropy. In total, more

than 40 cell types across the anterior chamber angle and retina/choroid were used in our study.

Consequently, Müller cells and astrocytes were concordantly estimated to be two causal cell types

of ocular disease susceptibility using both MAGMA and LDSC (**Figure 2B, Table S4, Table S5**),

suggesting that the two cell types could play a critical role in modulating ocular comorbidity.

Although astrocytes were not significant after Benjamini-Hochberg correction, it still showed

trait-related using another macular scRNA dataset (**Figure S6**). In addition, vascular endothelium

also displayed significant results in three MAGMA analyses (FDR < 0.05), which is consistent

with previous study [20].

Functional prioritization of causal pleiotropic variants and their target genes

To identify the causal genes acting on genetic pleiotropy across the three age-related ocular

disorders,

we first leveraged PolyFun [54] to fine-map the seven pleiotropic loci and identified causal variants

in the 95% credible sets. We conducted colocalization analysis involving the seven pleiotropic loci

based on two retinal *cis*-eQTL datasets [19, 50], yielding a credible variant rs3766916 colocalizing at

several eGenes, including *EFNA4* and *TRIM46* (**Figure 2C, Figure S7, Table S6**). *TRIM46*

encodes a protein of the tripartite motif family that controls neuronal polarity and

high-glucose-induced ferroptosis in human retinal capillary endothelial cells [62], which suggests a

potential causal role for retina-associated ocular traits.

Second, we collected retina H3K27ac HiChIP loops [23] to annotate all credible variants with

spatially proximal genes. We found that two credible variants supported by HiChIP loops linked to

different genes, and they were located in the eye enhancer regions or Müller cell/astrocyte open

chromatin peaks according to single-cell multiome profiles. Notably, we found the SNP rs4845712

in high LD with rs3766916 ($r^2 = 0.95$ in European) may be more likely to be the causal SNP at the

corresponding pleiotropic locus. rs4845712 in the intron of gene *EFNA4* received the highest

posterior probability of fine-mapping analysis and overlapped with a retina H3K27ac HiChIP loop

(**Figure 2D**). rs4845712 host gene *EFNA4* has been reported to inhibit axonal regeneration and

regulate axon guidance in the optic nerve [63, 64], and its HiChIP target gene *CKS1B* encodes a

protein that binds to the catalytic subunit of cyclin-dependent kinases, and proliferation and

migration of retinoblastoma cells can be inhibited by suppressing the expression of *CKS1B* [65].

Additionally, we applied Activity-by-Contact (ABC) model [53] on ATAC-seq and H3K27ac

ChIP-seq data from three retinal samples to predict enhancer-promoter interactions (**Table S7**),

which indicated the SNP rs4845712 could interact with *EFNA3/EFNA4* gene enhancer.

Another top credible SNP rs1129038, close to *HERC2* promoter region, can interact with the

genomic region containing *GABRA5* and *GABRB3* genes (**Figure 3A**). Among these genes,

HERC2 satisfied a genome-wide significance threshold with cataract in a multiethnic combined

meta-analysis and was associated with uveal melanoma and macular inner retinal thickness [66-68].

GABRA5 and *GABRB3* coded GABA receptors that are important regulators of neuronal inhibition.

Previous studies found SNPs within the *GABRB3* gene showed positive linkage with primary

open-angle glaucoma and *GABRB3* disruption effectively reduced eye pigmentation resulting in

visual defects in Angelman syndrome [69, 70]. Based on the cell-type trait association analysis, target

genes were achieved for an additional credible variant rs17421627. The SNP rs17421627 located

at a pleiotropic locus was prioritized by three meta-analysis methods and obtained Müller

cell/astrocyte-specific open chromatin signatures (**Figure 3B**). Target gene analysis based on

cell-specific epigenomic profiling annotation suggested rs17421627 could interact with

LINC00461 gene. We found *LINC00461*, a gene that yields spliced long non-coding RNAs, was

documented to be associated with several ocular traits, such as AMD, macular thickness, and

macular telangiectasia type II [71-73]. Finally, for other credible variants without evidence of active

chromatin marks or chromosome interactions, we annotated the nearest gene as the target gene for

the top credible variant at the pleiotropic locus. The annotated and prioritized causal variants and

their genes were shown in **Table S8**.

Shared biological pathways and drug repurposing opportunities

To assess the pleiotropic causal genes that affect biological pathways relevant to ocular diseases

and explore the potential role of candidate genes in drug repurposing, we conducted several

functional investigations. GO pathway analysis was first conducted in three orthogonal ontologies

to explore the biological mechanisms of causal genes on ocular comorbidity. We detected 59

significant (FDR < 0.05) pathways, including the regulation of nerve development (GO:0048666,

GO:0007409, GO:0021675, GO:0060080, GO:0007411, and GO:1904862) (**Figure 4A, Table S9**).

Multiple glucose metabolic process pathways were also enriched, such as gluconeogenesis

(GO:0006094), and pyruvate metabolic process (GO:0006090). We conducted gene-phenotype

relationship analysis and revealed causal gene set was enriched for glaucoma as expected, macular

thickness (q-value = 0.0293) and eye color (q-value = 0.0322). Cell-type-specific enrichment

analysis showed causal genes were enriched in the visual cortex and inhibitory neurons (**Figure

S8**). We then linked genes to licensed drugs and found aspirin and zopiclone targeted the causal

genes *ME3* and *GABRA5* separately. By searching the approved drugs and drug targets for AMD,

cataract, and glaucoma in Drugbank [59] and DGIdb [60], we identified 88 drug targets for the ocular

diseases and found 6 (40%) of the 15 causal genes interacting with these drug targets.

Noteworthily, *EFNA4*, a gene identified as being involved in neuronal development in previous

pathway analysis, interacted with aflibercept-targeted gene *VEGFA* and pilocarpine-targeted gene

NTRK1 in the PPI network of AMD and glaucoma (**Figure 4B, Figure 4C**), indicating the drug

repurposing opportunities for the treatment of ocular comorbidity.

Discussion

In our study, we comprehensively investigated genetic sharing and epidemiological associations

for three age-related ocular disorders. We confirmed the significant relationships among AMD,

cataract, and glaucoma using a large-scale prospective observational study, genetic correlation

analysis, and MR, followed by pleiotropic region analysis to explore regional pleiotropy. Seven

significant pleiotropic loci of AMD, cataract, and glaucoma were identified using complementary

meta-analyses, three of which achieved a marginally significant threshold in replication

meta-analysis. In addition, we identified Müller cells and astrocytes as disease-associated cell

types by single-cell multi-omics analysis. By incorporating statistical fine-mapping, retinal eQTL

colocalization, and 3D genome loop data, we systematically prioritized causal target genes for

pleiotropic variants. The interrogation of network biology was also implemented to identify

disease genes-associated molecules and pathways for potential drug repositioning of ocular

disorders.

To our knowledge, no previous study has investigated the genetic and non-genetic associations

among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Several observational, clinical, and genetic evidence

strongly suggest a shared biological basis or similar pathophysiological mechanisms among these

age-related ocular disorders [2-4, 16]. In this study, both epidemiological evidence based on

large-scale UKBB data and genetic evidence based on several largest GWASs data supported

pairwise tight associations between AMD, cataract, and glaucoma at different levels. The

mechanisms behind our findings will shed light on the in-depth investigation of gene-environment

interactions and comorbidity mechanisms across AMD, cataract, and glaucoma, which could also

initiate further ocular disease comorbidity studies and emphasize the importance of

comprehensive diagnosis and disease prevention in clinical practice.

By cross-disease meta-analysis at both variant and locus levels, we successfully identified seven

pleiotropic loci. Nevertheless, understanding disease-causal cell types is of great value for the

investigation of the precise mechanism between these loci-influenced genes and disease

pathogenesis. We identified Müller cells and astrocytes as potential casual cell types for ocular

comorbidity using two algorithms. A study claimed that Müller cells play a role in

regulating serine biosynthesis, a critical part of the macular defense against oxidative stress, and

their dysregulation may cause macular lesions [74]. Furthermore, Müller cells and astrocytes have

multiple functions symbiotically associated with RGCs (retinal ganglion cells), such as glutamate

clearance, neurotrophic factor release, and neurotransmitter recycling [75], and the abnormality of

RGCs will cause the impairment of visual acuity. Another study reported that the loss of astrocytes,

together with retinal ischemia that occurs in the AMD macula, could induce the death of RGCs

owing to oxidative damage [76]. Despite insufficient evidence in our study, a connection between

macular vascular endothelium and these ocular diseases has been widely discussed [44, 77-80].

Leveraging pleiotropic genes we found, we nominated several ocular disease-related pathways

like the regulation of nerve development. The optic nerve is made up of RGC axons and transmits

visual signals from photoreceptor cells to the brain. Diminution of vision will occur once the

transitions were hindered. Glaucoma is a neurodegenerative disorder manifesting selective,

progressive, and irreversible degeneration of the optic nerve, and optic nerve regeneration in

glaucoma treatment was wildly studied [81-83]. The photoreceptor synapses across the retinas of

patients with AMD retract into the outer nuclear layer which evokes the subsequent outgrowth and

reformation of synaptic contacts [84]. Besides, optic nerve edema was found weeks to months after

cataract extraction [85]. By conducting gene-phenotype relationship analysis, pleiotropic genes were

related to eye color, which suggested the relevance to these age-related ocular diseases. Darker iris

color is pertinent with increased risks of cataract and intraocular pressure and mitigated risks of

AMD, uveal melanoma, and pigmentary glaucoma [14, 86-89].

There are a few shortcomings in these analyses. First, the relationship between AMD and

glaucoma was not consistent between genetic correlation analysis and epidemiological evidence

which may be due to environmental impact factors. More studies should be conducted to clarify

the relationship between AMD and glaucoma. Second, the number of glaucoma cases was much

larger than those for the other two diseases, and some controls may inevitably overlap in glaucoma

and cataract, which may affect the power of signals and cause systemic errors and wrongly

preferential shared effects in meta-analysis. Third, in the present study, we found several cell types

related to these traits, however, based on limited public annotation data, tissue-level annotation

profiles were leveraged rather than cell type-specific data to identify truly causal genes. Thus,

genetic information regarding the eye needs to be interpreted more precisely and accurately.

Fourth, our fine-mapping only yielded credible sets of variants under the assumption of one causal

variant; therefore, the prioritized causal genes may be underestimated. In addition, although we

found several signs connecting certain drug targets and causal pleiotropic genes, whether these

drugs are beneficial or harmful to the phenotype is still unknown. Further experiments concerning

drug discovery and repurposing need to be conducted in the future.

Reference

1.   Trends in prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment over 30 years:

an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *The Lancet Global health*

2021;9:e130-e143.

2.   Vinod K, Gedde SJ, Feuer WJ, et al. Practice Preferences for Glaucoma Surgery: A

Survey of the American Glaucoma Society. *Journal of glaucoma* 2017;26:687-693.

3.   Hu CC, Ho JD, Lin HC, Kao LT. Association between open-angle glaucoma and

neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a case-control study. *Eye (London, England)*

2017;31:872-877.

4.   Weill Y, Hanhart J, Zadok D, Smadja D, Gelman E, Abulafia A. Patient management

modifications in cataract surgery candidates following incorporation of routine preoperative

macular optical coherence tomography. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2021;47:78-82.

5.   Fritsche LG, Igl W, Bailey JN, et al. A large genome-wide association study of age-related

macular degeneration highlights contributions of rare and common variants. *Nat Genet*

2016;48:134-143.

6.   Winkler TW, Grassmann F, Brandl C, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis for

early age-related macular degeneration highlights novel loci and insights for advanced disease.

BMC Med Genomics 2020;13:120.

7.   Choquet H, Melles RB, Anand D, et al. A large multiethnic GWAS meta-analysis of

cataract identifies new risk loci and sex-specific effects. *Nat Commun* 2021;12:3595.

8.   Khawaja AP, Cooke Bailey JN, Wareham NJ, et al. Genome-wide analyses identify 68

new loci associated with intraocular pressure and improve risk prediction for primary

open-angle glaucoma. *Nat Genet* 2018;50:778-782.

9.   Gharahkhani P, Jorgenson E, Hysi P, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 127

open-angle glaucoma loci with consistent effect across ancestries. *Nat Commun*

2021;12:1258.

10.   Mackey DA, Hewitt AW. Genome-wide association study success in ophthalmology. *Curr*

Opin Ophthalmol 2014;25:386-393.

11.   Seddon JM, Cote J, Page WF, Aggen SH, Neale MC. The US twin study of age-related

macular degeneration: relative roles of genetic and environmental influences. *Arch Ophthalmol*

2005;123:321-327.

12.   Hammond CJ, Snieder H, Spector TD, Gilbert CE. Genetic and environmental factors in

age-related nuclear cataracts in monozygotic and dizygotic twins. *N Engl J Med*

2000;342:1786-1790.

13.   Yonova-Doing E, Forkin ZA, Hysi PG, et al. Genetic and Dietary Factors Influencing the

Progression of Nuclear Cataract. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123:1237-1244.

14.   Simcoe MJ, Weisschuh N, Wissinger B, Hysi PG, Hammond CJ. Genetic Heritability of

Pigmentary Glaucoma and Associations With Other Eye Phenotypes. *JAMA ophthalmology*

2020;138:294-299.

15.   van Koolwijk LM, Despriet DD, van Duijn CM, et al. Genetic contributions to glaucoma:

heritability of intraocular pressure, retinal nerve fiber layer thickness, and optic disc

morphology. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* 2007;48:3669-3676.

16.   Grassmann F, Kiel C, Zimmermann ME, et al. Genetic pleiotropy between age-related

macular degeneration and 16 complex diseases and traits. *Genome Med* 2017;9:29.

17. Cuellar-Partida G, Craig JE, Burdon KP, et al. Assessment of polygenic effects links

primary open-angle glaucoma and age-related macular degeneration. *Scientific reports*

2016;6:26885.

18. Xue Z, Yuan J, Chen F, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 88,250

individuals highlights pleiotropic mechanisms of five ocular diseases in UK Biobank.

EBioMedicine 2022;82:104161.

19. Ratnapriya R, Sosina OA, Starostik MR, et al. Retinal transcriptome and eQTL analyses

identify genes associated with age-related macular degeneration. *Nature genetics*

2019;51:606-610.

20. Orozco LD, Chen HH, Cox C, et al. Integration of eQTL and a Single-Cell Atlas in the

Human Eye Identifies Causal Genes for Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Cell reports*

2020;30:1246-1259.e1246.

21. Menon M, Mohammadi S, Davila-Velderrain J, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic atlas of the

human retina identifies cell types associated with age-related macular degeneration. *Nat*

Commun 2019;10:4902.

22. Wang J, Zibetti C, Shang P, et al. ATAC-Seq analysis reveals a widespread decrease of

chromatin accessibility in age-related macular degeneration. *Nature communications*

2018;9:1364.

23. Wang SK, Nair S, Li R, et al. Single-cell multiome of the human retina and deep learning

nominate causal variants in complex eye diseases. *bioRxiv* 2022;2022.2003.2009.483684.

24. Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published

genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic acids*

research 2019;47:D1005-d1012.

25.   Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying

the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS medicine*

2015;12:e1001779.

26.   Canela-Xandri O, Rawlik K, Tenesa A. An atlas of genetic associations in UK Biobank.

Nature genetics 2018;50:1593-1599.

27.   Watanabe K, Stringer S, Frei O, et al. A global overview of pleiotropy and genetic

architecture in complex traits. *Nature genetics* 2019;51:1339-1348.

28.   Zheng J, Erzurumluoglu AM, Elsworth BL, et al. LD Hub: a centralized database and web

interface to perform LD score regression that maximizes the potential of summary level GWAS

data for SNP heritability and genetic correlation analysis. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*

2017;33:272-279.

29.   Leslie R, O'Donnell CJ, Johnson AD. GRASP: analysis of genotype-phenotype results

from 1390 genome-wide association studies and corresponding open access database.

Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 2014;30:i185-194.

30.   Kamat MA, Blackshaw JA, Young R, et al. PhenoScanner V2: an expanded tool for

searching human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*

2019;35:4851-4853.

31.   Mailman MD, Feolo M, Jin Y, et al. The NCBI dbGaP database of genotypes and

phenotypes. *Nature genetics* 2007;39:1181-1186.

32.   Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, et al. FinnGen: Unique genetic insights from combining

isolated population and national health register data. *medRxiv*

2022;2022.2003.2003.22271360.

33.　Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across

human diseases and traits. *Nature genetics* 2015;47:1236-1241.

34.　Zhang Q, Privé F, Vilhjálmsson B, Speed D. Improved genetic prediction of complex traits

from individual-level data or summary statistics. *Nature communications* 2021;12:4192.

35.　Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal

inference across the human phenome. *eLife* 2018;7.

36.　Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, et al. dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation.

Nucleic acids research 2001;29:308-311.

37.　Pickrell JK, Berisa T, Liu JZ, Ségurel L, Tung JY, Hinds DA. Detection and interpretation

of shared genetic influences on 42 human traits. *Nature genetics* 2016;48:709-717.

38.　Bhattacharjee S, Rajaraman P, Jacobs KB, et al. A subset-based approach improves

power and interpretation for the combined analysis of genetic association studies of

heterogeneous traits. *American journal of human genetics* 2012;90:821-835.

39.　Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide

association scans. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 2010;26:2190-2191.

40.　Andreassen OA, Thompson WK, Schork AJ, et al. Improved detection of common

variants associated with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder using pleiotropy-informed

conditional false discovery rate. *PLoS genetics* 2013;9:e1003455.

41.　Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association

and population-based linkage analyses. *American journal of human genetics*

2007;81:559-575.

42. Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation.

Nature 2015;526:68-74.

43. de Leeuw CA, Mooij JM, Heskes T, Posthuma D. MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis

of GWAS data. *PLoS computational biology* 2015;11:e1004219.

44. Voigt AP, Mulfaul K, Mullin NK, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics of the human retinal

pigment epithelium and choroid in health and macular degeneration. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2019;116:24100-24107.

45. van Zyl T, Yan W, McAdams A, et al. Cell atlas of aqueous humor outflow pathways in

eyes of humans and four model species provides insight into glaucoma pathogenesis.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

2020;117:10339-10349.

46. Patel G, Fury W, Yang H, et al. Molecular taxonomy of human ocular outflow tissues

defined by single-cell transcriptomics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*

United States of America 2020;117:12856-12867.

47. Zheng GX, Terry JM, Belgrader P, et al. Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling

of single cells. *Nature communications* 2017;8:14049.

48. Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, et al. Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell

data. *Cell* 2021;184:3573-3587.e3529.

49. Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, Huber W. Mapping identifiers for the integration of

genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nature protocols*

2009;4:1184-1191.

50. Strunz T, Kiel C, Grassmann F, et al. A mega-analysis of expression quantitative trait loci

in retinal tissue. *PLoS genetics* 2020;16:e1008934.

51. Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, Schadt EE, et al. Bayesian test for colocalisation between

pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. *PLoS genetics*

2014;10:e1004383.

52. Cherry TJ, Yang MG, Harmin DA, et al. Mapping the cis-regulatory architecture of the

human retina reveals noncoding genetic variation in disease. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2020;117:9001-9012.

53. Fulco CP, Nasser J, Jones TR, et al. Activity-by-contact model of enhancer-promoter

regulation from thousands of CRISPR perturbations. *Nature genetics* 2019;51:1664-1669.

54. Weissbrod O, Hormozdiari F, Benner C, et al. Functionally informed fine-mapping and

polygenic localization of complex trait heritability. *Nature genetics* 2020;52:1355-1363.

55. Wang G, Sarkar A, Carbonetto P, Stephens M. A simple new approach to variable

selection in regression, with application to genetic fine mapping. *Journal of the Royal*

Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology) 2020;82:1273-1300.

56. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, et al. STRING v11: protein-protein association networks

with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental

datasets. *Nucleic acids research* 2019;47:D607-d613.

57. Xie Z, Bailey A, Kuleshov MV, et al. Gene Set Knowledge Discovery with Enrichr. *Current*

protocols 2021;1:e90.

58. Dai Y, Hu R, Liu A, et al. WebCSEA: web-based cell-type-specific enrichment analysis of

genes. *Nucleic acids research* 2022.

59. Wishart DS, Knox C, Guo AC, et al. DrugBank: a comprehensive resource for in silico

drug discovery and exploration. *Nucleic acids research* 2006;34:D668-672.

60. Freshour SL, Kiwala S, Cotto KC, et al. Integration of the Drug-Gene Interaction

Database (DGIdb 4.0) with open crowdsource efforts. *Nucleic acids research*

2021;49:D1144-d1151.

61. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated

models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome research* 2003;13:2498-2504.

62. Zhang J, Qiu Q, Wang H, Chen C, Luo D. TRIM46 contributes to high glucose-induced

ferroptosis and cell growth inhibition in human retinal capillary endothelial cells by facilitating

GPX4 ubiquitination. *Experimental cell research* 2021;407:112800.

63. Goldshmit Y, Galea MP, Wise G, Bartlett PF, Turnley AM. Axonal regeneration and lack

of astrocytic gliosis in EphA4-deficient mice. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal*

of the Society for Neuroscience 2004;24:10064-10073.

64. Kozulin P, Natoli R, O'Brien KM, Madigan MC, Provis JM. Differential expression of

anti-angiogenic factors and guidance genes in the developing macula. *Molecular vision*

2009;15:45-59.

65. Zeng Z, Gao ZL, Zhang ZP, et al. Downregulation of CKS1B restrains the proliferation,

migration, invasion and angiogenesis of retinoblastoma cells through the MEK/ERK signaling

pathway. *International journal of molecular medicine* 2019;44:103-114.

66. Choquet H, Melles RB, Anand D, et al. A large multiethnic GWAS meta-analysis of

cataract identifies new risk loci and sex-specific effects. *Nature communications*

2021;12:3595.

67. Singh M, Tyagi SC. Genes and genetics in eye diseases: a genomic medicine approach

for investigating hereditary and inflammatory ocular disorders. *International journal of*

ophthalmology 2018;11:117-134.

68. Alipanahi B, Hormozdiari F, Behsaz B, et al. Large-scale machine-learning-based

phenotyping significantly improves genomic discovery for optic nerve head morphology.

American journal of human genetics 2021;108:1217-1230.

69. Allingham RR, Wiggs JL, Hauser ER, et al. Early adult-onset POAG linked to 15q11-13

using ordered subset analysis. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*

2005;46:2002-2005.

70. Delahanty RJ, Zhang Y, Bichell TJ, et al. Beyond Epilepsy and Autism: Disruption of

GABRB3 Causes Ocular Hypopigmentation. *Cell reports* 2016;17:3115-3124.

71. Gao XR, Huang H, Kim H. Genome-wide association analyses identify 139 loci

associated with macular thickness in the UK Biobank cohort. *Human molecular genetics*

2019;28:1162-1172.

72. Bonelli R, Jackson VE, Prasad A, et al. Identification of genetic factors influencing

metabolic dysregulation and retinal support for MacTel, a retinal disorder. *Communications*

biology 2021;4:274.

73. Han X, Gharahkhani P, Mitchell P, Liew G, Hewitt AW, MacGregor S. Genome-wide

meta-analysis identifies novel loci associated with age-related macular degeneration. *Journal*

of human genetics 2020;65:657-665.

74. Zhang T, Zhu L, Madigan MC, et al. Human macular Müller cells rely more on serine

biosynthesis to combat oxidative stress than those from the periphery. *eLife* 2019;8.

75. García-Bermúdez MY, Freude KK, Mouhammad ZA, van Wijngaarden P, Martin KK,

Kolko M. Glial Cells in Glaucoma: Friends, Foes, and Potential Therapeutic Targets. *Frontiers*

in neurology 2021;12:624983.

76.   Ramírez JM, Ramírez AI, Salazar JJ, de Hoz R, Triviño A. Changes of astrocytes in

retinal ageing and age-related macular degeneration. *Experimental eye research*

2001;73:601-615.

77.   Fu DJ, Keenan TD, Faes L, et al. Insights From Survival Analyses During 12 Years of

Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Therapy for Neovascular Age-Related Macular

Degeneration. *JAMA ophthalmology* 2021;139:57-67.

78.   Klein BE, Klein R, Lee KE, Knudtson MD, Tsai MY. Markers of inflammation, vascular

endothelial dysfunction, and age-related cataract. *American journal of ophthalmology*

2006;141:116-122.

79.   Bukhari SM, Kiu KY, Thambiraja R, Sulong S, Rasool AH, Liza-Sharmini AT.

Microvascular endothelial function and severity of primary open angle glaucoma. *Eye (London,*

England) 2016;30:1579-1587.

80.   Su WW, Cheng ST, Ho WJ, Tsay PK, Wu SC, Chang SH. Glaucoma is associated with

peripheral vascular endothelial dysfunction. *Ophthalmology* 2008;115:1173-1178.e1171.

81.   Yuan F, Wang M, Jin K, Xiang M. Advances in Regeneration of Retinal Ganglion Cells

and Optic Nerves. *International journal of molecular sciences* 2021;22.

82.   You M, Rong R, Zeng Z, Xia X, Ji D. Transneuronal Degeneration in the Brain During

Glaucoma. *Frontiers in aging neuroscience* 2021;13:643685.

83.   Williams PR, Benowitz LI, Goldberg JL, He Z. Axon Regeneration in the Mammalian Optic

Nerve. *Annual review of vision science* 2020;6:195-213.

84.   Sullivan RK, Woldemussie E, Pow DV. Dendritic and synaptic plasticity of neurons in the

human age-related macular degeneration retina. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*

2007;48:2782-2791.

85.   McCulley TJ. Ischemic optic neuropathy and cataract extraction: What do I need to know?

Oman journal of ophthalmology 2012;5:141-143.

86.   Sun HP, Lin Y, Pan CW. Iris color and associated pathological ocular complications: a

review of epidemiologic studies. *International journal of ophthalmology* 2014;7:872-878.

87.   Mitchell R, Rochtchina E, Lee A, Wang JJ, Mitchell P. Iris color and intraocular pressure:

the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *American journal of ophthalmology* 2003;135:384-386.

88.   McGowan A, Silvestri G, Moore E, et al. Retinal vascular caliber, iris color, and

age-related macular degeneration in the Irish Nun Eye Study. *Investigative ophthalmology &*

visual science 2014;56:382-387.

89.   Frank RN, Puklin JE, Stock C, Canter LA. Race, iris color, and age-related macular

degeneration. *Transactions of the American Ophthalmological Society* 2000;98:109-115;

discussion 115-107.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Genetic pleiotropy and epidemiological relationship of the three age-related ocular

disorders.

A. Epidemiological and genetic correlations between AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Significant

and strongest genetic correlations of disparate methods are shown. Red: hazard ratios estimated by

Cox regression; blue: genetic correlations estimated by LDSC; yellow: genetic correlations

estimated by LDAK. **B.** Genetic correlations of AMD, cataract, and glaucoma estimated by LDSC.

The size and color of circles show the genetic correlations of disease pairs and the black stars

indicate significant results. **C.** Multi-state models for the role of glaucoma in transitions to other

two diseases. **D.** Multi-state models for the role of cataract in transitions to other two diseases. **E.**

Multi-state models for the role of AMD in transitions to other two diseases. **F.** Chromosome

graphics showing the pleiotropic regions assessed by gwas-pw. A partial enlarged view shows the

pleiotropic region resided in chromosome 9 which overlapped with one locus identified by

meta-analysis. Different color dots represent the posterior probability of trait pairs (red:

AMD-cataract; blue: AMD-glaucoma; green: cataract-glaucoma). The dashed line represents the

predictive threshold of posterior probability > 0.6.

Figure 2. The pleiotropic loci of ocular phenotypes as well as trait-associated cell types.

A. Circular Manhattan plot of the meta-analysis results using one-sided ASSET. The orange

triangles denote the lead SNPs of pleiotropic loci identified by three meta-analysis methods. The

texts beside the triangles show the nearest genes of these loci. The arcs in the outer layer represent

chromosome positions and the number of SNPs in each position is depicted with different colors.

Each dot in the inner layer represents a SNP. The red and blue circles indicate the genome-wide

significant threshold (P-value $=$ 5 × 10^{-8}) and nominal significant threshold (P-value $=$ 1 ×

10^{-5}). **B.** The significance of various cell types from MAGMA and LDSC in the cell-type trait

association analysis. The significant cell types after Benjamini-Hochberg correction (FDR < 0.05)

are highlighted. The dashed line indicates the threshold of P-value = 0.05. **C.** Locus-compare plots

of lead SNP rs3766916 with macular eQTL gene *EFNA4*. Different colors of dots represent the

linkage disequilibrium between the lead SNP (colored purple) and corresponding SNP. **D.**

Locuszoom plots of the posterior probability of causality for variants around risk SNP rs4845712

which overlaps with *EFNA3* enhancer and retinal H3K27ac HiChIP data. The risk variant is

uniquely highlighted with purple and all other SNPs are highlighted by their r^2. The overlapped

open chromatin peaks of 13 retinal cell types are shown in the middle. Anchor regions of the retina

are highlighted with an orange or blue rectangle and red arcs show the enhance-gene pairs

predicted by Activity-by-Contact model. Genes in red and blue represent the sense and antisense

directions, respectively. The dashed line indicated the interested SNP position.

Figure 3. Integration with retinal eQTLs, epigenomic, and 3D genome data for causal genes

prioritization.

A. Locuszoom plots of one-sided ASSET associations and posterior probability of causality for

variants around risk SNP rs1129038 which reside in one of the HiChIP anchors. **B.** Locuszoom

plots of one-sided ASSET associations and posterior probability of causality for variants around

risk SNP rs17421627 which overlaps with Müller cell/astrocyte-specific open chromatin peaks.

The risk variant is uniquely highlighted with purple and all other SNPs are highlighted by their r^2.

The overlapped open chromatin peaks of 13 retinal cell types were shown in the middle. Anchor

regions of the retina were highlighted with a blue rectangle. Genes in red and blue represent the

sense and antisense directions, respectively. The dashed line indicated the interested SNP position.

Figure 4. Functional enrichment of pleiotropic causal genes and drug repositioning for the

treatment of ocular comorbidity.

A. Gene ontology pathway enrichment analysis of pleiotropic causal genes. The size and color of

the circles indicated the number of causal genes and the significance in the corresponding pathway.

B. & C. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks using disease-risk genes (red) and

drug-targeted genes (purple and light gray). The nodes in light blue ellipses represent the lateral

drug target genes. The thickness of the edges represents the strength of the evidence.

Tables

Table 1. The seven pleiotropic loci identified by cross-disease meta-analysis approaches

Chr	SNP ID	Position	*P*-value (ASSET)	A1	A2	Diseases	Nearest gene(s)
1	rs3766916	155049402	5.78E-10	T	C	AMD, cataract, glaucoma	*EFNA3*
3	rs35050931	150058352	5.22E-10	T	A	cataract, glaucoma	*TSC22D2*
5	rs17421627	87847586	2.46E-09	T	G	AMD, glaucoma	*LINC00461*
9	rs4451405	22071750	6.03E-25	C	T	cataract, glaucoma	*CDKN2B-AS1*
11	rs10501626	86350791	2.04E-10	G	T	AMD, cataract, glaucoma	*ME3*
15	rs1129038	28356859	1.84E-12	C	T	AMD, cataract, glaucoma	*HERC2*
19	rs146447071	818751	2.52E-08	T	C	cataract, glaucoma	*LPPR3*

Figures

Figure 1. Genetic pleiotropy and epidemiological relationship of the three

age-related ocular disorders.

Figure 2. The pleiotropic loci of ocular phenotypes as well as

trait-associated cell types.

742   **Figure 3. Integration with retinal eQTLs, epigenomic, and 3D genome data for causal genes**

743   **prioritization.**

Figure 4. Functional enrichment of pleiotropic causal genes and drug

repositioning for the treatment of ocular comorbidity.