Genome-wide analysis of genetic pleiotropy and causal genes across three age-related ocular disorders

Xueming Yao, [1] Hongxi Yang, [2,a] Han Han, [1] Xuejing Kou, [1] Yuhan Jiang, [3] Menghan Luo, [3] Yao Zhou, [3] Jianhua Wang, [3] Xutong Fan, [3] Xiaohong Wang, [2,*] Mulin Jun Li, [2,3,*] Hua Yan[1,*]

[1] Department of Ophthalmology, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, 300052, China.

[2] Department of Pharmacology, Tianjin Key Laboratory of Inflammation Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, 300070, China.

[3] Department of Bioinformatics, The Province and Ministry Co-sponsored Collaborative Innovation Center for Medical Epigenetics, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, 300070, China.

[*]Correspondence: xiaohongwang@tmu.edu.cn (X.W.), mulin@tmu.edu.cn (M.J.L.), zyyyanhua@tmu.edu.cn (H.Y.)

---

[a] X.Y. and H.Y. contributed equally.

Abstract

Age-related macular disorder (AMD), cataract, and glaucoma are leading causes of blindness worldwide. Previous genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have revealed a variety of susceptible loci associated with age-related ocular disorders, yet the genetic pleiotropy and causal genes among these diseases remain poorly understood. By leveraging large-scale genetic and observational data from ocular disease GWASs and UK Biobank (UKBB), we found significant pairwise genetic correlations and epidemiological associations for these ocular disorders. Cross-disease meta-analysis uncovered ten pleiotropic loci, four of which were replicated in an additional cohort. Integration of variants in pleiotropic loci and multiple single-cell omics data identified that Müller cells and astrocytes were likely causal cell types underlying ocular comorbidity. In addition, we comprehensively integrated eye-specific gene expression quantitative loci (eQTLs), epigenomic profiling, and 3D genome data to prioritize causal pleiotropic genes. We found that pleiotropic genes were essential in nerve development and eye pigmentation, and targetable by existing drugs for the treatment of single ocular disorder. These findings will not only facilitate the mechanistic research of ocular comorbidities but also benefit the therapeutic optimization of age-related ocular diseases.

Introduction

Age-related ocular diseases, such as age-related macular degeneration (AMD), cataract, and glaucoma, are among the leading causes of irreversible blindness and vision impairment in adults aged ≥ 50 years worldwide [1]. Previous cross-sectional and case-control studies have reported that cataract and glaucoma commonly co-exist and prevalent glaucoma is associated with neovascular AMD [2; 3]. A clinical study also showed that over 20% of eye samples from the patients listed for cataract surgery have evidence of AMD according to optical coherence tomography imaging [4]. The findings motivate the exploration of the extent and causal factors for potential comorbidity among these age-related ocular diseases.

Recent genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have identified hundreds of genetic signals that contribute to the complex pathogenesis of AMD [5; 6], cataract,[7] and glaucoma [8-10]. These and other genetic studies also suggest a high heritability in susceptibility of AMD (~50%) [11], cataract (35-58%) [12; 13], and glaucoma (35-65%) [14; 15]. Nevertheless, the shared genetic architecture among these age-related ocular diseases is largely unknown. A study investigated the genetic pleiotropy among AMD and several eye-related diseases/traits, and found that patients with AMD demonstrate a genetically reduced risk to develop open-angle glaucoma [16], which is inconsistent with other findings [17; 18]. Moreover, no genetic pleiotropy research has been conducted between cataract and other ocular diseases so far. Given the conflicting results and scant knowledge regarding the shared genetic architecture of these age-related ocular disorders, more systematic investigation based on larger and complementary cohorts is needed.

While different levels of genetic correlations or pleiotropic loci can be faithfully estimated among

ocular diseases/traits, the pleiotropic genes and causal variants have not been rigorously examined.

This is an important issue to clarify, as the shared biological basis of ocular disorders could

represent potential efficient intervention targets for the prevention and treatment of ocular

comorbidities. Emerging tissue/cell type-specific epigenomic profiles, single-cell omics atlas as

well as molecular phenotype quantitative trait loci (QTLs) mapping on certain ocular diseases

revealed many causal variants and genes underlying cell type specificity of disease etiology [19-23].

Thus, integrating large-scale multi-omics and QTL data from eyes with disease pleiotropy

information would provide a promising strategy to decipher the genetic causality of ocular

comorbidities, especially for the majority of causal variants in the non-coding genomic regions.

Here, by leveraging large-scale genetic and observational data from public GWASs and UK

Biobank (UKBB), we systematically investigated the genetic sharing patterns and epidemiological

associations of three age-related ocular diseases including AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. We

found extensive genetic pleiotropy and pairwise connections among these three diseases and

identified ten pleiotropic loci across the genome. To better understand the mechanism underlying

these pleiotropic loci, the integration of eye-specific gene expression (eQTLs), epigenomic

profiling, and 3D genome data were conducted to probe causal pleiotropic genes. Finally, drug

repurposing was conducted by utilizing molecular networks for potential treatment or prevention

of ocular comorbidities.

Material and methods

GWAS summary statistics collection

Full GWAS summary statistics of European ancestry on AMD, cataract, and glaucoma with the largest sample size were obtained after searching eight databases (GWAS Catalog [24], UKBB [25], GeneATLAS [26], GWAS Atlas [27], LD Hub [28], GRASP [29], PhenoScanner [30], and dbGaP [31]). GWAS summary statistics with available signed statistics (beta or odds ratio), effect alleles, and non-effect alleles were retained during the search. We also collected GWAS summary data from FinnGen for replication analysis [32]. More detailed information regarding the selected GWAS summary statistics for AMD, cataract, and glaucoma was shown in **Table S1**.

Estimation of genetic correlation

Using GWAS summary statistics derived from the above datasets with a sample size > 50,000 and the number of cases and controls > 10,000, we conducted LD Score regression (LDSC) [33] for these ocular diseases to estimate pairwise genetic correlations. SNPs were filtered to HapMap3 SNPs to avoid bias due to inconsistent imputation quality. SNPs with INFO ≤ 0.9, minor allele frequency ≤ 0.01, non-SNP variants, strand-ambiguous SNPs, and SNPs with duplicate IDs were removed. Pre-computed linkage disequilibrium (LD) scores and regression weights for European populations of the 1000 Genomes project were downloaded from the LDSC website (http://www.broadinstitute.org/~bulik/eur_ldscores/). We ensured that the LD score regression intercepts for AMD, cataract, and glaucoma were close to 1 during estimations. Moreover, genetic correlations between these diseases were also estimated using another tool SumHer from LDAK [34] under the recommended LDAK-Thin model. A tagging file computed using 2,000 white British individual genetic data files was downloaded from the LDAK website

(https://dougspeed.com/pre-computed-tagging-files/), and the cutoff was set to 0.01 to preclude

large-effect loci that explain at least 1% of phenotypic variance.

Mendelian randomization

Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis between two traits was performed to determine whether

genetic correlations were due to a causal link or confounding factors using the R package

TwoSampleMR [35] which encompasses five MR methods (MR Egger, Weighted median, Inverse

variance weighted, Simple mode, Weighted mode). The instruments for each exposure trait were

significant SNPs (P-value $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$) after clumping, while the instruments for each outcome

trait were all SNPs. Variants without SNP ID were annotated using the dbSNP [36] database;

otherwise, SNPs were removed during subsequent analyses.

Epidemiological analyses based on UK Biobank (UKBB) cohort

The UKBB is a large-scale cohort that recruited half a million UK participants (aged 37–73 years)

between 2006 and 2010, and was followed up to 2021. Among the 502,412 participants, we

excluded those who were pregnant (n = 379), lost to follow-up (n = 1,298), and had a mismatch

between self-reported sex and genetic sex (n = 371), or missing information concerning covariates

at baseline (n = 58,637). Overall, 441,727 participants were included in the study. AMD (ICD10:

H35.3; ICD9: 362.5), cataract (ICD10: H25-H28; ICD9: 366), and glaucoma (ICD10: H40.1,

H40.8, H40.9; ICD9: 365.1, 365.9) were ascertained based on information from self-reports,

primary care records, hospital admissions, and death records. Multivariate Cox regression with

separate models for incident AMD, cataract, and glaucoma was used to evaluate pairwise

associations among them. In subsequent analyses, multi-state models were used to examine the

role of one of the three ocular diseases in the transition between the start of follow-up and the

other two disorders. In all models, we adjusted for sex, age, ethnicity, Townsend deprivation index,

education level, smoking status, drinking status, physical activity, screen time-based sedentary

behavior, diabetes, and other eye problems. This research was conducted using the UKBB

resource under application number 83974.

Determination of pleiotropic regions

We performed pleiotropic region analysis using gwas-pw [37], a tool for jointly analyzing pairs of

GWAS traits. Overlapping SNPs of both traits were split into multiple blocks based on the bed

files available at https://bitbucket.org/nygcresearch/ldetect-data. Separately, gwas-pw reckoned the

posterior probability of the four models that the pleiotropic region 1) only associated with

phenotype 1, 2) only associated with phenotype 2, 3) associated with both phenotypes, and 4)

associated with both phenotypes independently.

Identification of pleiotropic loci

To identify pleiotropic variants and characterize pleiotropy at single-variant level, cross-trait

meta-analyses were carried out with GWAS summary statistics of three ocular diseases using three

different approaches: 1) ASSET [38], 2) METAL [39], and 3) pleioFDR [40]. ASSET explored all

possible subsets of traits and evaluated a fixed-effect meta-analysis for each subset. The default

scheme was selected when executing METAL with the correction of sample overlap. pleioFDR

leveraged overlapping SNP associations of related phenotypes to identify genetic pleiotropic loci.

All significant SNPs involving two or more traits were retained for the following analysis. Once

classified, we conducted variant clumping through PLINK [41] and merged the pleiotropic loci if

they physically overlapped (±250kb). The summary statistics of these diseases were standardized

using a downloaded reference derived from genome files for 22 autosomes of filtered the 1000

Genomes project [42] European ancestry samples.

Causal cell type estimation

We applied MAGMA [43] to evaluate whether specific ocular cell types showed significant

heritability enrichment for traits based on ASSET one-sided summary statistics. MAGMA also

requires gene average expression level across cell types derived from single-cell RNA sequencing

(scRNA-seq) and single-cell multi-omics sequencing data of anterior chamber angle or

retina/choroid [20; 21; 23; 44-46] (**Table S2**). We additionally downloaded the raw data and determined

the average expression values per cell type for each dataset using Cell Ranger [47] and the R

package Seurat [48] unless the information was available. Cell types were assigned with the gene

marker mentioned in the original publications, and the same cell types were manually renamed to

maintain concordance with different scRNA-seq data. Gene names were transferred to Entrez gene

IDs using the R package biomaRt [49]. We appointed the direction of testing to "greater" and

selected the condition-hide modifier for cell-type trait association analysis. We then leveraged the

open chromatin peaks of retinal cell types from single-cell multiome to estimate LD scores for

LDSC and conducted an additional cell-type trait association analysis.

Causal SNP identification at pleiotropic loci

Colocalization analysis between two-eye eQTL data [19; 50] and ASSET one-sided GWAS data was

conducted using the R package COLOC [51]. We selected SNPs with an H4 posterior probability >

0.75, GWAS P-value $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$, and eQTL P-value < 0.05 as pleiotropic loci. By utilizing three

sets of retina sample Assay of Transposase Accessible Chromatin sequencing (ATAC-seq) and

H3K27ac Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) data [52], genomic

enhancer regions of the retina were predicted using the Activity-by-Contact (ABC) model [53] with

default threshold settings. Next, we profiled the causal SNPs through fine-mapping analysis of

pleiotropic loci identified by the above three meta-analysis methods. The one-sided ASSET

meta-analysis results of pleiotropic SNPs located in the $\pm$ 1 Mb region of lead SNPs were

imported into PolyFun [54] for fine-mapping with an embedded statistical method termed Susie [55],

and 95% credible sets were revealed, assuming one causal SNP per locus. SNPs were included in

the credible sets until the sum of the posterior probabilities was > 0.95.

Pleiotropic causal gene prioritization

The causal genes in a pleiotropic locus were confined to the following rules: 1) Does the locus

contain eye eQTL SNPs? If yes, eQTL harboring genes (eGenes) were selected. 2) Is the locus

located in the open chromatin peaks from retina H3K27ac HiChIP data [23]? If yes, causal genes

were anchor-targeted genes. 3) Does the locus have a genomic region overlapping with the ABC

model enhancer regions or a cell type-specific peak? If so, the causal genes were enhancer target

genes or peak-inclusive genes. 4) If the pleiotropic locus contained none of the eQTL SNPs or was

not mapped within any enhancer regions or peaks, the nearest gene with the highest COLOC

posterior probability was identified as a causal gene. Moreover, gene ontology (GO) pathway

enrichment analysis of causal genes with no more than 10 interactors was conducted in STRING

[56] to shed light on pathogenic biological processes, and gene-phenotype association analysis was

conducted using Enrichr [57].

Drug repurposing analysis

We curated approved drugs targeted at pleiotropic causal genes and target genes of such drugs for

AMD, cataract, and glaucoma from Drugbank [58] and DGIdb [59] to verify whether the risk genes

were identical to the drug target genes. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network information was

obtained from STRING and depicted using Cytoscape [60] to evaluate potential relationships

between pleiotropic causal genes and drug target genes.

Results

Genetic sharing among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma

To delineate the shared genetic architecture among the three age-related ocular disorders, we first

collected full GWAS summary statistics of AMD, cataract, and glaucoma from the latest public

resources, incorporating three AMD GWASs, three cataract GWASs and two glaucoma GWASs,

and most of them had sample size over 100,000 individuals (**Table S1**). Considering the signal

overlaps of the three largest ocular GWAS summary statistics (**Figure S1A**), genetic correlations

were measured using LDSC [33] and SumHer LDAK-Thin [34] models. Following careful quality

control, we observed moderate and concordant genome-wide genetic correlations among these

traits (**Figure 1A, 1B, Figure S1B**), in which glaucoma-cataract and AMD-cataract showed

positive genetic correlations, while AMD-glaucoma exhibited negative genetic correlations. This

is consistent with a previous study wherein the negative genetic correlation between AMD and

glaucoma was reported [16]. In addition, multiple bidirectional MR methods were applied to each

pair of diseases to assess whether shared genetic components were coherent with true pleiotropy

or mediated pleiotropy. Presuming that consistent results in three of the five MR methods indicate

a strong causal effect between the two traits, there was no or weak evidence for potentially causal

relationships among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma (**Figure S2**).

Epidemiological associations among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma

To test whether the pattern of genetic sharing for the three age-related ocular disorders is

supported by epidemiological associations, we leveraged 441,727 selected participants from the

UKBB with rich information regarding self-reports, primary care records, hospital admissions, and

death records. In the UKBB cohort, at the end of follow-up, 36,5471 (82.74%) participants had no

AMD, cataract, or glaucoma; 5,611 (1.27 %) had AMD alone; 48,639 (11.01%) had cataract alone;

7,431 (1.68%) had glaucoma alone; 8,251 (1.87%) had both AMD and cataract; 1,329 (0.30%) had

both AMD and glaucoma; 7,015 (1.59%) had both cataract and glaucoma; and 1,010 (0.23%) had

AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Hazard ratios (HRs) estimated by Cox regression were

significantly larger than 1 (P-value < 0.001), which indicated positive correlations among these

traits (**Figure 1A, Figure S3**). These results showed significant pairwise associations between

AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Similar results were observed in further analyses using multi-state

models which also uncovered positive correlations between cataract and other diseases as genetic

correlation analysis showed. The correlation between AMD and glaucoma was inconsistent with

our genetic correlation results which may due to environmental effects or inadequate power.

Additionally, multi-state models distinguished the roles of one of the three prevalent ocular

diseases in the temporal trajectories of the other two. Prevalent glaucoma was inversely associated

with AMD after cataract with HR of 0.74 (95% CI: 0.64-0.86), but not with cataract after AMD

(**Figure 1C**). Multi-state analyses also showed that the associations of prevalent cataracts with the

transition from the start of follow-up to subsequent AMD or subsequent glaucoma were stronger

than those with the transition from AMD to glaucoma or from glaucoma to AMD (**Figure 1D**).

Accounting for prevalent AMD in transitions of cataract and glaucoma, there was no evidence of

stronger associations between prevalent AMD and transitions to cataract after having had

glaucoma, or glaucoma after having had cataract (**Figure 1E**). Taken together, these new findings

based on genetic evidence and epidemiological associations suggested a latent correlation among

age-related ocular traits.

Cross-disorder meta-analysis reveals ten pleiotropic loci

Considering the indication for genetic relationships between AMD-cataract, AMD-glaucoma, and

cataract-glaucoma, 11 likely pleiotropic regions (posterior probability associated with two

phenotypes > 0.6) were identified in the disease pairs using gwas-pw [37], one of which

(chr9:20464018-2220526) turned out to be significant of two disease pairs (**Figure 1F, Table S3**).

Next, we leveraged the largest collected GWAS summary statistics for AMD, cataract, and

glaucoma containing 257,718 cases and 560,421 controls of European ancestry in total (AMD:

14,034 cases and 91,214 controls, cataract: 11,306 cases and 349,888 controls, glaucoma: 232,378

cases and 119,319 controls), and conducted cross-trait meta-analyses using three complementary

methods including ASSET [38], METAL [39] and pleioFDR [40]. Only those SNPs that surpassed

254 genome-wide significance (P-value $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$) and had influences on at least two traits were

255 reserved. For ASSET, two patterns were supported, and both were performed such that the

256 one-sided ASSET meta-analysis maximized the standard fixed-effect meta-analysis test statistics

257 over all possible subsets and guaranteed the identification of pleiotropic loci that have associations

258 in the same direction, while the two-sided ASSET meta-analysis was performed in two directions

259 automatically. Accordingly, we identified 5, 10, 15, and 7 pleiotropic loci using METAL,

260 one-sided ASSET, two-sided ASSET, and pleioFDR, respectively, under the condition that the

261 genomic inflation factor did not considerably deviate from one (**Figure 2A, Figure S4, Figure**

262 **S5**).

264 By guaranteeing that the lead SNPs were slightly significant in all primary GWAS summary

265 statistics, ten loci were conservatively prioritized as candidate pleiotropic loci for which the

266 one-sided ASSET results overlapped with the other three approaches (**Table 1**). Among these

267 pleiotropic loci, most signals were significantly associated with glaucoma, and were even stronger

268 after meta-analysis although they were weaker in other diseases. Notably, four novel loci (lead

269 SNPs: rs3766916, rs17421627, rs11018564, rs146447071) became significant as the sample size

270 increased. Besides, we found that four candidate pleiotropic loci intersected the aforementioned

271 pleiotropic regions identified by gwas-pw (chr5:87390784-88891173, chr9:20464018-2220526,

272 chr11:87430571-89208854, chr15:110336875-113261782) (**Table 1, Figure 1F**), which rendered

273 our results convincing. Furthermore, we collected additional GWAS datasets of AMD, cataract,

274 and glaucoma from FinnGen project [32] and conducted a meta-analysis with ASSET, four loci (lead

275 SNPs: rs12670840, rs944801, rs1619882, and rs1129038) were replicated if the P-value of any

SNPs in the same loci was smaller than 1×10^{-5} in one-sided ASSET or two-sided ASSET

results.

Single-cell multi-omics data integration identifies likely causal cell types of ocular

comorbidity

To understand the causal disease mechanisms underlying these pleiotropic loci, we investigated

which cell types are essential during the development of ocular comorbidity. We obtained six

scRNA-seq datasets and a single-cell multiome dataset derived from 33 human eyes **(Table S2)**

and performed cell-type trait association analysis to explore the most likely causal cell types

whose gene or epigenomic signatures correlate with genetic pleiotropy. In total, more than 40 cell

types across the anterior chamber angle and retina/choroid were used in our study. Consequently,

Müller cells and astrocytes were concordantly estimated to be two causal cell types of ocular

disease susceptibility using both MAGMA and LDSC (**Figure 2B, Figure S6, Table S4, Table**

S5), suggesting that the two cell types could play a critical role in modulating ocular comorbidity.

In addition, vascular endothelium and fibroblasts also displayed significant results in two or more

MAGMA analyses (FDR < 0.05), which is consistent with previous study [20].

Functional prioritization of causal pleiotropic variants and their target genes

To identify the causal genes acting on genetic pleiotropy across the three age-related ocular

disorders,

we first leveraged PolyFun [54] to fine-map the ten pleiotropic loci and identified causal variants in

the 95% credible sets. We conducted colocalization analysis involving the ten pleiotropic loci

based on two retinal *cis*-eQTL datasets [19; 50], yielding a credible variant rs3766916 colocalizing at

several eGenes, including *TRIM46* and *GON4L* (**Figure 2C, Figure S7, Table S6**). *TRIM46*

encodes a protein of the tripartite motif family that controls neuronal polarity and

high-glucose-induced ferroptosis in human retinal capillary endothelial cells [61], which suggests a

potential causal role for retina-associated ocular traits.

Second, we collected retina H3K27ac HiChIP loops [23] to annotate all credible variants with

spatially proximal genes. We found that three credible variants supported by HiChIP loops linked

to different genes, and they were located in the eye enhancer regions or Müller cell/astrocyte open

chromatin peaks according to single-cell multiome profiles. Notably, we found the SNP rs4845712

in high LD with rs3766916 ($r^2 = 0.95$ in European) may be more likely to be the causal SNP at the

corresponding pleiotropic locus. rs4845712 in the intron of *EFNA4* gene received the highest

posterior probability of fine-mapping analysis and overlapped with a retina H3K27ac HiChIP loop

(**Figure 2D**). rs4845712 host gene *EFNA4* has been reported to inhibit axonal regeneration and

regulate axon guidance in the optic nerve [62; 63], and its HiChIP target gene *CKS1B* encodes a

protein that binds to the catalytic subunit of cyclin-dependent kinases, and proliferation and

migration of retinoblastoma cells can be inhibited by suppressing the expression of *CKS1B* [64].

Another top credible SNP rs351973, close to *PTBP1* promoter region, can interact with the

genomic region containing *KISS1R, R3HDM4,* and *ARID3A* genes (**Figure 3A**). Among these

genes, knockdown of *Ptbp1* in mice effectively induces the conversion of Müller glia into retinal

ganglion cells (RGCs), resulting in alleviation of disease symptoms pertinent to RGC loss [65].

Additionally, we applied ABC model [53] on ATAC-seq and H3K27ac ChIP-seq data from three

retinal samples to predict enhancer-promoter interactions (**Table S7**). Based on the predicted

interactions, target genes were achieved for an additional credible variant rs10898526. The SNP

rs10898526 located at a pleiotropic locus prioritized by three meta-analysis methods and obtained

Müller cell/astrocyte-specific open chromatin signatures. Target gene analysis based on ABC

model suggested rs10898526 could interact with *ME3* gene promoter (**Figure 3B**). We found *ME3*

was documented to involve in the oxidative decarboxylation of malate to pyruvate and associated

with macular thickness [66]. Finally, for other credible variants without evidence of active chromatin

marks or chromosome interactions, we annotated the nearest gene as the target gene for the top

credible variant at the pleiotropic locus. The annotated and prioritized causal variants and their

genes were shown in **Table S8**.

Shared biological pathways and drug repurposing opportunities

To assess the pleiotropic causal genes that affect biological pathways relevant to ocular diseases

and explore the potential role of candidate genes in drug repurposing, we conducted several

functional investigations. GO pathway analysis was first conducted in three orthogonal ontologies

to explore the biological mechanisms of causal genes on ocular comorbidity. We detected 47

significant (FDR < 0.05) pathways, including the regulation of nerve development (GO:0021675,

GO:0007409, and GO:0048666) (**Figure 4A, 4B, Table S9**). Multiple protein modification

pathways were also enriched, such as regulation of the protein modification process

(GO:0031399), protein phosphorylation (GO:0006468), and histone h3 acetylation (GO:0043966).

In addition, *EFNA3*, *EFNA4,* and *SHC1* were hub genes in the PPI network of causal genes

(**Figure 4B**). We conducted gene-phenotype relationship analysis and revealed causal gene set was

enriched for skin/hair/eye pigmentation (q-value = 0.0236) and eye color (q-value = 0.0008). We

then linked genes to licensed drugs and found aspirin and zopiclone targeted the causal genes *ME3*

and *GABRA5* separately. By searching the approved drugs and drug targets for AMD, cataract, and

glaucoma in Drugbank [58] and DGIdb [59], we identified 88 drug targets for the ocular diseases and

found 12 (40%) of the 30 causal genes interacting with these drug targets. Noteworthily, *EFNA4*, a

gene identified as being involved in neuronal development in previous pathway analysis,

interacted with aflibercept-targeted gene *VEGFA* and pilocarpine-targeted gene *NTRK1* in the PPI

network of AMD and glaucoma (**Figure 4C, Figure 4D**), indicating the drug repurposing

opportunities for the treatment of ocular comorbidity.

Discussion

In our study, we comprehensively investigated genetic sharing and epidemiological associations

for three age-related ocular disorders. We confirmed the significant relationships among AMD,

cataract, and glaucoma using a large-scale prospective observational study, genetic correlation

analysis, and MR, followed by pleiotropic region analysis to explore regional pleiotropy. Ten

significant pleiotropic loci of AMD, cataract, and glaucoma were identified using complementary

meta-analyses, four of which achieved a marginally significant threshold in replication

meta-analysis. In addition, we identified Müller cells and astrocytes as disease-associated cell

types by single-cell multi-omics analysis. By incorporating statistical fine-mapping, retinal eQTL

colocalization, and 3D genome loop data, we systematically prioritized causal target genes for

pleiotropic variants. The interrogation of network biology was also implemented to identify

disease genes-associated molecules and pathways for potential drug repositioning of ocular

disorders.

To our knowledge, no previous study has investigated the genetic and non-genetic associations

among AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Several observational, clinical, and genetic evidence

strongly suggest a shared biological basis or similar pathophysiological mechanisms among these

age-related ocular disorders [2-4; 16]. In this study, both epidemiological evidence based on

large-scale UKBB data and genetic evidence based on several largest GWASs data supported

pairwise tight associations between AMD, cataract, and glaucoma at different levels. The

mechanisms behind our findings will shed light on the in-depth investigation of gene-environment

interactions and comorbidity mechanisms across AMD, cataract, and glaucoma, which could also

initiate further ocular disease comorbidity studies and emphasize the importance of

comprehensive diagnosis and disease prevention in clinical practice.

By cross-disease meta-analysis at both variant and locus levels, we successfully identified ten

pleiotropic loci. Nevertheless, understanding disease-causal cell types is of great value for the

investigation of the precise mechanism between these loci-influenced genes and disease

pathogenesis. We identified Müller cells and astrocytes as potential casual cell types for ocular

comorbidity using two algorithms. A study claimed that Müller cells play a role in

regulating serine biosynthesis, a critical part of the macular defense against oxidative stress, and

their dysregulation may cause macular lesions [67]. Furthermore, Müller cells and astrocytes have

multiple functions symbiotically associated with RGCs, such as glutamate clearance, neurotrophic

factor release, and neurotransmitter recycling [68], and the abnormality of RGCs will cause the

impairment of visual acuity. Another study reported that the loss of astrocytes, together with

retinal ischemia that occurs in AMD macula, could induce the death of RGCs owing to the

oxidative damage [69]. Despite insufficient evidence in our study, a connection between macular

vascular endothelium and these ocular diseases has been widely discussed [44; 70-73]. As a matrix cell

type, fibroblasts can be activated by matricellular proteins, resulting in abnormal fibrotic processes

in the extracellular matrix and subsequent elevated intraocular pressure [74]. In addition, fibroblasts

may be recruited to neovascular lesions and differentiate into myofibroblasts, a key cell type in

macular fibrosis secondary to neovascular AMD [75].

Leveraging pleiotropic genes we found, we nominated several ocular disease-related pathways

like the regulation of nerve development. The optic nerve is made up of RGC axons and transmits

visual signals from photoreceptor cells to the brain. Diminution of vision will occur once the

transitions were hindered. Glaucoma is a neurodegenerative disorder manifesting selective,

progressive, and irreversible degeneration of the optic nerve, and optic nerve regeneration in

glaucoma treatment was wildly studied [76-78]. The photoreceptor synapses across retinas of patients

with AMD retract into the outer nuclear layer which evokes the subsequent outgrowth and

reformation of synaptic contacts [79]. Besides, optic nerve edema was found weeks to months after

cataract extraction [80]. By conducting gene-phenotype relationship analysis, pleiotropic genes were

related to eye color, which suggested the relevance to these age-related ocular diseases. Darker iris

color is pertinent with increased risks of cataract and intraocular pressure and mitigated risks of

AMD, uveal melanoma, and pigmentary glaucoma [14; 81-84].

There are a few shortcomings in these analyses. First, the relationship between AMD and

glaucoma was not consistent between genetic correlation analysis and epidemiological evidence

which may be due to environmental impact factors. More studies should be conducted to clarify

the relationship between AMD and glaucoma. Second, the number of glaucoma cases was much

larger than those for the other two diseases, and some controls may inevitably overlap in glaucoma

and cataract, which may affect the power of signals and cause systemic errors and wrongly

preferential shared effect in meta-analysis. Third, in the present study, we found several cell types

related to these traits, however, based on limited public annotation data, tissue-level annotation

profiles were leveraged rather than cell type-specific data to identify truly causal genes. Thus,

genetic information regarding the eye needs to be interpreted more precisely and accurately.

Fourth, our fine-mapping only yielded credible sets of variants under the assumption of one causal

variant; therefore, the prioritized causal genes may be underestimated. In addition, although we

found several signs connecting certain drug targets and causal pleiotropic genes, whether these

drugs are beneficial or harmful to the phenotype is still unknown. Further experiments concerning

drug discovery and repurposing need to be conducted in the future.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Numbers

82020108007, 81830026, 32070675) and the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Special Project [Grant

Number 19JCZDJC64300(Z), 20JCZXJC00180].

Author contributions

H.Y. and M.J.L. conceived of the project. X.Y., H.Y., and Y.J.performed the analyses. H.H., X.K.,

and M.L. contributed to the data collection and processing. Y.Z., J.W., and X.F. contributed to

manuscript polishing and provided analysis suggestions. X.Y., M.J.L., and H.Y. wrote the

manuscript. The authors read and approved the final submission.

Data and code availability

The published article includes all datasets analyzed during this study.

Reference

1. (2021). Trends in prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment over 30 years: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. The Lancet Global health 9, e130-e143.

2. Vinod, K., Gedde, S.J., Feuer, W.J., Panarelli, J.F., Chang, T.C., Chen, P.P., and Parrish, R.K., 2nd. (2017). Practice Preferences for Glaucoma Surgery: A Survey of the American Glaucoma Society. Journal of glaucoma 26, 687-693.

3. Hu, C.C., Ho, J.D., Lin, H.C., and Kao, L.T. (2017). Association between open-angle glaucoma and neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a case-control study. Eye (London, England) 31, 872-877.

4. Weill, Y., Hanhart, J., Zadok, D., Smadja, D., Gelman, E., and Abulafia, A. (2021). Patient management modifications in cataract surgery candidates following incorporation of routine preoperative macular optical coherence tomography. J Cataract Refract Surg 47, 78-82.

5. Fritsche, L.G., Igl, W., Bailey, J.N., Grassmann, F., Sengupta, S., Bragg-Gresham, J.L., Burdon, K.P., Hebbring, S.J., Wen, C., Gorski, M., et al. (2016). A large genome-wide association study of age-related macular degeneration highlights contributions of rare and common variants. Nat Genet 48, 134-143.

6. Winkler, T.W., Grassmann, F., Brandl, C., Kiel, C., Gunther, F., Strunz, T., Weidner, L., Zimmermann, M.E., Korb, C.A., Poplawski, A., et al. (2020). Genome-wide association meta-analysis for early age-related macular degeneration highlights novel loci and insights for advanced disease. BMC Med Genomics 13, 120.

463   7. Choquet, H., Melles, R.B., Anand, D., Yin, J., Cuellar-Partida, G., Wang, W., andMe

464   Research, T., Hoffmann, T.J., Nair, K.S., Hysi, P.G., et al. (2021). A large multiethnic

465   GWAS meta-analysis of cataract identifies new risk loci and sex-specific effects. Nat

466   Commun 12, 3595.

467   8. Khawaja, A.P., Cooke Bailey, J.N., Wareham, N.J., Scott, R.A., Simcoe, M., Igo, R.P., Jr.,

468   Song, Y.E., Wojciechowski, R., Cheng, C.Y., Khaw, P.T., et al. (2018). Genome-wide

469   analyses identify 68 new loci associated with intraocular pressure and improve risk

470   prediction for primary open-angle glaucoma. Nat Genet 50, 778-782.

471   9. Gharahkhani, P., Jorgenson, E., Hysi, P., Khawaja, A.P., Pendergrass, S., Han, X., Ong,

472   J.S., Hewitt, A.W., Segre, A.V., Rouhana, J.M., et al. (2021). Genome-wide

473   meta-analysis identifies 127 open-angle glaucoma loci with consistent effect across

474   ancestries. Nat Commun 12, 1258.

475   10. Mackey, D.A., and Hewitt, A.W. (2014). Genome-wide association study success in

476   ophthalmology. Curr Opin Ophthalmol 25, 386-393.

477   11. Seddon, J.M., Cote, J., Page, W.F., Aggen, S.H., and Neale, M.C. (2005). The US twin

478   study of age-related macular degeneration: relative roles of genetic and environmental

479   influences. Arch Ophthalmol 123, 321-327.

480   12. Hammond, C.J., Snieder, H., Spector, T.D., and Gilbert, C.E. (2000). Genetic and

481   environmental factors in age-related nuclear cataracts in monozygotic and dizygotic

482   twins. N Engl J Med 342, 1786-1790.

483   13. Yonova-Doing, E., Forkin, Z.A., Hysi, P.G., Williams, K.M., Spector, T.D., Gilbert, C.E., and

484   Hammond, C.J. (2016). Genetic and Dietary Factors Influencing the Progression of

Nuclear Cataract. Ophthalmology 123, 1237-1244.

14. Simcoe, M.J., Weisschuh, N., Wissinger, B., Hysi, P.G., and Hammond, C.J. (2020).

Genetic Heritability of Pigmentary Glaucoma and Associations With Other Eye

Phenotypes. JAMA ophthalmology 138, 294-299.

15. van Koolwijk, L.M., Despriet, D.D., van Duijn, C.M., Pardo Cortes, L.M., Vingerling, J.R.,

Aulchenko, Y.S., Oostra, B.A., Klaver, C.C., and Lemij, H.G. (2007). Genetic

contributions to glaucoma: heritability of intraocular pressure, retinal nerve fiber layer

thickness, and optic disc morphology. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science 48,

3669-3676.

16. Grassmann, F., Kiel, C., Zimmermann, M.E., Gorski, M., Grassmann, V., Stark, K.,

International, A.M.D.G.C., Heid, I.M., and Weber, B.H. (2017). Genetic pleiotropy

between age-related macular degeneration and 16 complex diseases and traits.

Genome Med 9, 29.

17. Cuellar-Partida, G., Craig, J.E., Burdon, K.P., Wang, J.J., Vote, B.J., Souzeau, E.,

McAllister, I.L., Isaacs, T., Lake, S., Mackey, D.A., et al. (2016). Assessment of

polygenic effects links primary open-angle glaucoma and age-related macular

degeneration. Scientific reports 6, 26885.

18. Xue, Z., Yuan, J., Chen, F., Yao, Y., Xing, S., Yu, X., Li, K., Wang, C., Bao, J., Qu, J., et al.

(2022). Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 88,250 individuals highlights

pleiotropic mechanisms of five ocular diseases in UK Biobank. EBioMedicine 82,

104161.

19. Ratnapriya, R., Sosina, O.A., Starostik, M.R., Kwicklis, M., Kapphahn, R.J., Fritsche, L.G.,

507   Walton, A., Arvanitis, M., Gieser, L., Pietraszkiewicz, A., et al. (2019). Retinal

508   transcriptome and eQTL analyses identify genes associated with age-related macular

509   degeneration. Nature genetics 51, 606-610.

510 20. Orozco, L.D., Chen, H.H., Cox, C., Katschke, K.J., Jr., Arceo, R., Espiritu, C., Caplazi, P.,

511   Nghiem, S.S., Chen, Y.J., Modrusan, Z., et al. (2020). Integration of eQTL and a

512   Single-Cell Atlas in the Human Eye Identifies Causal Genes for Age-Related Macular

513   Degeneration. Cell reports 30, 1246-1259.e1246.

514 21. Menon, M., Mohammadi, S., Davila-Velderrain, J., Goods, B.A., Cadwell, T.D., Xing, Y.,

515   Stemmer-Rachamimov, A., Shalek, A.K., Love, J.C., Kellis, M., et al. (2019).

516   Single-cell transcriptomic atlas of the human retina identifies cell types associated with

517   age-related macular degeneration. Nat Commun 10, 4902.

518 22. Wang, J., Zibetti, C., Shang, P., Sripathi, S.R., Zhang, P., Cano, M., Hoang, T., Xia, S., Ji,

519   H., Merbs, S.L., et al. (2018). ATAC-Seq analysis reveals a widespread decrease of

520   chromatin accessibility in age-related macular degeneration. Nature communications

521   9, 1364.

522 23. Wang, S.K., Nair, S., Li, R., Kraft, K., Pampari, A., Patel, A., Kang, J.B., Luong, C.,

523   Kundaje, A., and Chang, H.Y. (2022). Single-cell multiome of the human retina and

524   deep learning nominate causal variants in complex eye diseases. bioRxiv,

525   2022.2003.2009.483684.

526 24. Buniello, A., MacArthur, J.A.L., Cerezo, M., Harris, L.W., Hayhurst, J., Malangone, C.,

527   McMahon, A., Morales, J., Mountjoy, E., Sollis, E., et al. (2019). The NHGRI-EBI

528   GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and

summary statistics 2019. Nucleic acids research 47, D1005-d1012.

25. Sudlow, C., Gallacher, J., Allen, N., Beral, V., Burton, P., Danesh, J., Downey, P., Elliott, P.,

Green, J., Landray, M., et al. (2015). UK biobank: an open access resource for

identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age.

PLoS medicine 12, e1001779.

26. Canela-Xandri, O., Rawlik, K., and Tenesa, A. (2018). An atlas of genetic associations in

UK Biobank. Nature genetics 50, 1593-1599.

27. Watanabe, K., Stringer, S., Frei, O., Umićević Mirkov, M., de Leeuw, C., Polderman, T.J.C.,

van der Sluis, S., Andreassen, O.A., Neale, B.M., and Posthuma, D. (2019). A global

overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in complex traits. Nature genetics 51,

1339-1348.

28. Zheng, J., Erzurumluoglu, A.M., Elsworth, B.L., Kemp, J.P., Howe, L., Haycock, P.C.,

Hemani, G., Tansey, K., Laurin, C., Pourcain, B.S., et al. (2017). LD Hub: a centralized

database and web interface to perform LD score regression that maximizes the

potential of summary level GWAS data for SNP heritability and genetic correlation

analysis. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 33, 272-279.

29. Leslie, R., O'Donnell, C.J., and Johnson, A.D. (2014). GRASP: analysis of

genotype-phenotype results from 1390 genome-wide association studies and

corresponding open access database. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 30, i185-194.

30. Kamat, M.A., Blackshaw, J.A., Young, R., Surendran, P., Burgess, S., Danesh, J.,

Butterworth, A.S., and Staley, J.R. (2019). PhenoScanner V2: an expanded tool for

searching human genotype-phenotype associations. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)

35, 4851-4853.

31. Mailman, M.D., Feolo, M., Jin, Y., Kimura, M., Tryka, K., Bagoutdinov, R., Hao, L., Kiang,

A., Paschall, J., Phan, L., et al. (2007). The NCBI dbGaP database of genotypes and

phenotypes. Nature genetics 39, 1181-1186.

32. Kurki, M.I., Karjalainen, J., Palta, P., Sipilä, T.P., Kristiansson, K., Donner, K., Reeve, M.P.,

Laivuori, H., Aavikko, M., Kaunisto, M.A., et al. (2022). FinnGen: Unique genetic

insights from combining isolated population and national health register data. medRxiv,

2022.2003.2003.22271360.

33. Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H.K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F.R., Loh, P.R., Duncan, L.,

Perry, J.R., Patterson, N., Robinson, E.B., et al. (2015). An atlas of genetic

correlations across human diseases and traits. Nature genetics 47, 1236-1241.

34. Zhang, Q., Privé, F., Vilhjálmsson, B., and Speed, D. (2021). Improved genetic prediction

of complex traits from individual-level data or summary statistics. Nature

communications 12, 4192.

35. Hemani, G., Zheng, J., Elsworth, B., Wade, K.H., Haberland, V., Baird, D., Laurin, C.,

Burgess, S., Bowden, J., Langdon, R., et al. (2018). The MR-Base platform supports

systematic causal inference across the human phenome. eLife 7.

36. Sherry, S.T., Ward, M.H., Kholodov, M., Baker, J., Phan, L., Smigielski, E.M., and Sirotkin,

K. (2001). dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. Nucleic acids research 29,

308-311.

37. Pickrell, J.K., Berisa, T., Liu, J.Z., Ségurel, L., Tung, J.Y., and Hinds, D.A. (2016).

Detection and interpretation of shared genetic influences on 42 human traits. Nature

genetics 48, 709-717.

38. Bhattacharjee, S., Rajaraman, P., Jacobs, K.B., Wheeler, W.A., Melin, B.S., Hartge, P.,

Yeager, M., Chung, C.C., Chanock, S.J., and Chatterjee, N. (2012). A subset-based

approach improves power and interpretation for the combined analysis of genetic

association studies of heterogeneous traits. American journal of human genetics 90,

821-835.

39. Willer, C.J., Li, Y., and Abecasis, G.R. (2010). METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of

genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 26, 2190-2191.

40. Andreassen, O.A., Thompson, W.K., Schork, A.J., Ripke, S., Mattingsdal, M., Kelsoe, J.R.,

Kendler, K.S., O'Donovan, M.C., Rujescu, D., Werge, T., et al. (2013). Improved

detection of common variants associated with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder

using pleiotropy-informed conditional false discovery rate. PLoS genetics 9,

e1003455.

41. Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A., Bender, D., Maller, J.,

Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I., Daly, M.J., et al. (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome

association and population-based linkage analyses. American journal of human

genetics 81, 559-575.

42. Auton, A., Brooks, L.D., Durbin, R.M., Garrison, E.P., Kang, H.M., Korbel, J.O., Marchini,

J.L., McCarthy, S., McVean, G.A., and Abecasis, G.R. (2015). A global reference for

human genetic variation. Nature 526, 68-74.

43. de Leeuw, C.A., Mooij, J.M., Heskes, T., and Posthuma, D. (2015). MAGMA: generalized

gene-set analysis of GWAS data. PLoS computational biology 11, e1004219.

44. Voigt, A.P., Mulfaul, K., Mullin, N.K., Flamme-Wiese, M.J., Giacalone, J.C., Stone, E.M.,

Tucker, B.A., Scheetz, T.E., and Mullins, R.F. (2019). Single-cell transcriptomics of

the human retinal pigment epithelium and choroid in health and macular degeneration.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 116,

24100-24107.

45. van Zyl, T., Yan, W., McAdams, A., Peng, Y.R., Shekhar, K., Regev, A., Juric, D., and

Sanes, J.R. (2020). Cell atlas of aqueous humor outflow pathways in eyes of humans

and four model species provides insight into glaucoma pathogenesis. Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117, 10339-10349.

46. Patel, G., Fury, W., Yang, H., Gomez-Caraballo, M., Bai, Y., Yang, T., Adler, C., Wei, Y., Ni,

M., Schmitt, H., et al. (2020). Molecular taxonomy of human ocular outflow tissues

defined by single-cell transcriptomics. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences of the United States of America 117, 12856-12867.

47. Zheng, G.X., Terry, J.M., Belgrader, P., Ryvkin, P., Bent, Z.W., Wilson, R., Ziraldo, S.B.,

Wheeler, T.D., McDermott, G.P., Zhu, J., et al. (2017). Massively parallel digital

transcriptional profiling of single cells. Nature communications 8, 14049.

48. Hao, Y., Hao, S., Andersen-Nissen, E., Mauck, W.M., 3rd, Zheng, S., Butler, A., Lee, M.J.,

Wilk, A.J., Darby, C., Zager, M., et al. (2021). Integrated analysis of multimodal

single-cell data. Cell 184, 3573-3587.e3529.

49. Durinck, S., Spellman, P.T., Birney, E., and Huber, W. (2009). Mapping identifiers for the

integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nature

protocols 4, 1184-1191.

50. Strunz, T., Kiel, C., Grassmann, F., Ratnapriya, R., Kwicklis, M., Karlstetter, M., Fauser, S.,

Arend, N., Swaroop, A., Langmann, T., et al. (2020). A mega-analysis of expression

quantitative trait loci in retinal tissue. PLoS genetics 16, e1008934.

51. Giambartolomei, C., Vukcevic, D., Schadt, E.E., Franke, L., Hingorani, A.D., Wallace, C.,

and Plagnol, V. (2014). Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic

association studies using summary statistics. PLoS genetics 10, e1004383.

52. Cherry, T.J., Yang, M.G., Harmin, D.A., Tao, P., Timms, A.E., Bauwens, M., Allikmets, R.,

Jones, E.M., Chen, R., De Baere, E., et al. (2020). Mapping the cis-regulatory

architecture of the human retina reveals noncoding genetic variation in disease.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117,

9001-9012.

53. Fulco, C.P., Nasser, J., Jones, T.R., Munson, G., Bergman, D.T., Subramanian, V.,

Grossman, S.R., Anyoha, R., Doughty, B.R., Patwardhan, T.A., et al. (2019).

Activity-by-contact model of enhancer-promoter regulation from thousands of CRISPR

perturbations. Nature genetics 51, 1664-1669.

54. Weissbrod, O., Hormozdiari, F., Benner, C., Cui, R., Ulirsch, J., Gazal, S., Schoech, A.P.,

van de Geijn, B., Reshef, Y., Márquez-Luna, C., et al. (2020). Functionally informed

fine-mapping and polygenic localization of complex trait heritability. Nature genetics

52, 1355-1363.

55. Wang, G., Sarkar, A., Carbonetto, P., and Stephens, M. (2020). A simple new approach to

variable selection in regression, with application to genetic fine mapping. Journal of

the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology) 82, 1273-1300.

56. Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., Simonovic,

M., Doncheva, N.T., Morris, J.H., Bork, P., et al. (2019). STRING v11: protein-protein

association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in

genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic acids research 47, D607-d613.

57. Xie, Z., Bailey, A., Kuleshov, M.V., Clarke, D.J.B., Evangelista, J.E., Jenkins, S.L.,

Lachmann, A., Wojciechowicz, M.L., Kropiwnicki, E., Jagodnik, K.M., et al. (2021).

Gene Set Knowledge Discovery with Enrichr. Current protocols 1, e90.

58. Wishart, D.S., Knox, C., Guo, A.C., Shrivastava, S., Hassanali, M., Stothard, P., Chang, Z.,

and Woolsey, J. (2006). DrugBank: a comprehensive resource for in silico drug

discovery and exploration. Nucleic acids research 34, D668-672.

59. Freshour, S.L., Kiwala, S., Cotto, K.C., Coffman, A.C., McMichael, J.F., Song, J.J., Griffith,

M., Griffith, O.L., and Wagner, A.H. (2021). Integration of the Drug-Gene Interaction

Database (DGIdb 4.0) with open crowdsource efforts. Nucleic acids research 49,

D1144-d1151.

60. Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N.S., Wang, J.T., Ramage, D., Amin, N.,

Schwikowski, B., and Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for

integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome research 13,

2498-2504.

61. Zhang, J., Qiu, Q., Wang, H., Chen, C., and Luo, D. (2021). TRIM46 contributes to high

glucose-induced ferroptosis and cell growth inhibition in human retinal capillary

endothelial cells by facilitating GPX4 ubiquitination. Experimental cell research 407,

112800.

62. Goldshmit, Y., Galea, M.P., Wise, G., Bartlett, P.F., and Turnley, A.M. (2004). Axonal

regeneration and lack of astrocytic gliosis in EphA4-deficient mice. The Journal of

neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 24, 10064-10073.

63. Kozulin, P., Natoli, R., O'Brien, K.M., Madigan, M.C., and Provis, J.M. (2009). Differential

expression of anti-angiogenic factors and guidance genes in the developing macula.

Molecular vision 15, 45-59.

64. Zeng, Z., Gao, Z.L., Zhang, Z.P., Jiang, H.B., Yang, C.Q., Yang, J., and Xia, X.B. (2019).

Downregulation of CKS1B restrains the proliferation, migration, invasion and

angiogenesis of retinoblastoma cells through the MEK/ERK signaling pathway.

International journal of molecular medicine 44, 103-114.

65. Zhou, H., Su, J., Hu, X., Zhou, C., Li, H., Chen, Z., Xiao, Q., Wang, B., Wu, W., Sun, Y., et

al. (2020). Glia-to-Neuron Conversion by CRISPR-CasRx Alleviates Symptoms of

Neurological Disease in Mice. Cell 181, 590-603.e516.

66. Francis, P.J. (2011). The influence of genetics on response to treatment with ranibizumab

(Lucentis) for age-related macular degeneration: the Lucentis Genotype Study (an

American Ophthalmological Society thesis). Transactions of the American

Ophthalmological Society 109, 115-156.

67. Zhang, T., Zhu, L., Madigan, M.C., Liu, W., Shen, W., Cherepanoff, S., Zhou, F., Zeng, S.,

Du, J., and Gillies, M.C. (2019). Human macular Müller cells rely more on serine

biosynthesis to combat oxidative stress than those from the periphery. eLife 8.

68. García-Bermúdez, M.Y., Freude, K.K., Mouhammad, Z.A., van Wijngaarden, P., Martin,

K.K., and Kolko, M. (2021). Glial Cells in Glaucoma: Friends, Foes, and Potential

Therapeutic Targets. Frontiers in neurology 12, 624983.

69. Ramírez, J.M., Ramírez, A.I., Salazar, J.J., de Hoz, R., and Triviño, A. (2001). Changes of

astrocytes in retinal ageing and age-related macular degeneration. Experimental eye

research 73, 601-615.

70. Fu, D.J., Keenan, T.D., Faes, L., Lim, E., Wagner, S.K., Moraes, G., Huemer, J., Kern, C.,

Patel, P.J., Balaskas, K., et al. (2021). Insights From Survival Analyses During 12

Years of Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Therapy for Neovascular

Age-Related Macular Degeneration. JAMA ophthalmology 139, 57-67.

71. Klein, B.E., Klein, R., Lee, K.E., Knudtson, M.D., and Tsai, M.Y. (2006). Markers of

inflammation, vascular endothelial dysfunction, and age-related cataract. American

journal of ophthalmology 141, 116-122.

72. Bukhari, S.M., Kiu, K.Y., Thambiraja, R., Sulong, S., Rasool, A.H., and Liza-Sharmini, A.T.

(2016). Microvascular endothelial function and severity of primary open angle

glaucoma. Eye (London, England) 30, 1579-1587.

73. Su, W.W., Cheng, S.T., Ho, W.J., Tsay, P.K., Wu, S.C., and Chang, S.H. (2008).

Glaucoma is associated with peripheral vascular endothelial dysfunction.

Ophthalmology 115, 1173-1178.e1171.

74. Wallace, D.M., Murphy-Ullrich, J.E., Downs, J.C., and O'Brien, C.J. (2014). The role of

matricellular proteins in glaucoma. Matrix biology : journal of the International Society

for Matrix Biology 37, 174-182.

75. Little, K., Ma, J.H., Yang, N., Chen, M., and Xu, H. (2018). Myofibroblasts in macular

fibrosis secondary to neovascular age-related macular degeneration - the potential

sources and molecular cues for their recruitment and activation. EBioMedicine 38,

283-291.

76. Yuan, F., Wang, M., Jin, K., and Xiang, M. (2021). Advances in Regeneration of Retinal

Ganglion Cells and Optic Nerves. International journal of molecular sciences 22.

77. You, M., Rong, R., Zeng, Z., Xia, X., and Ji, D. (2021). Transneuronal Degeneration in the

Brain During Glaucoma. Frontiers in aging neuroscience 13, 643685.

78. Williams, P.R., Benowitz, L.I., Goldberg, J.L., and He, Z. (2020). Axon Regeneration in the

Mammalian Optic Nerve. Annual review of vision science 6, 195-213.

79. Sullivan, R.K., Woldemussie, E., and Pow, D.V. (2007). Dendritic and synaptic plasticity of

neurons in the human age-related macular degeneration retina. Investigative

ophthalmology & visual science 48, 2782-2791.

80. McCulley, T.J. (2012). Ischemic optic neuropathy and cataract extraction: What do I need

to know? Oman journal of ophthalmology 5, 141-143.

81. Sun, H.P., Lin, Y., and Pan, C.W. (2014). Iris color and associated pathological ocular

complications: a review of epidemiologic studies. International journal of

ophthalmology 7, 872-878.

82. Mitchell, R., Rochtchina, E., Lee, A., Wang, J.J., and Mitchell, P. (2003). Iris color and

intraocular pressure: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. American journal of

ophthalmology 135, 384-386.

83. McGowan, A., Silvestri, G., Moore, E., Silvestri, V., Patterson, C.C., Maxwell, A.P., and

McKay, G.J. (2014). Retinal vascular caliber, iris color, and age-related macular

degeneration in the Irish Nun Eye Study. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science

56, 382-387.

84. Frank, R.N., Puklin, J.E., Stock, C., and Canter, L.A. (2000). Race, iris color, and

age-related macular degeneration. Transactions of the American Ophthalmological

Society 98, 109-115; discussion 115-107.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Genetic pleiotropy of ocular disorders at the genomic level and regional level.

A. Epidemiological and genetic correlations between AMD, cataract, and glaucoma. Significant

and strongest genetic correlations of disparate methods are shown. Red: hazard ratios estimated by

Cox regression; blue: genetic correlations estimated by LDSC; yellow: genetic correlations

estimated by LDAK. **B.** Genetic correlations of AMD, cataract, and glaucoma estimated by LDSC.

The size and color of circles show the genetic correlations of disease pairs and the black stars

indicate significant results and. **C.** Multi-state models for the role of glaucoma in transitions to

other two diseases. **D.** Multi-state models for the role of cataract in transitions to other two

diseases. **E.** Multi-state models for the role of AMD in transitions to other two diseases. **D.**

Chromosome graphics showing the pleiotropic regions assessed by gwas-pw. A partial enlarged

view shows the pleiotropic region resided in chromosome 9 which overlapped with one locus

identified by meta-analysis. Different color dots represent the posterior probability of trait pairs

(red: AMD-cataract; blue: AMD-glaucoma; green: cataract-glaucoma). The dashed line represents

the predictive threshold of posterior probability > 0.6.

Figure 2. The pleiotropic loci of ocular phenotypes as well as trait-associated cell types.

A. Circular Manhattan plot of the meta-analysis results using one-sided ASSET. The orange

triangles denote the lead SNPs of pleiotropic loci identified by three meta-analysis methods. The

texts beside triangles show the nearest genes of these loci. The arcs in the outer layer represent

chromosome positions and the number of SNPs in each position is depicted with different colors.

Each dot in the inner layer represents a SNP and the red circle indicates the genome-wide

significant threshold (P-value $= 5 \times 10^{-8}$). **B.** The significance of various cell types from

MAGMA and LDSC in the cell-type trait association analysis. The significant cell types after

Benjamini-Hochberg correction (FDR < 0.05) are highlighted. **C.** Locus-compare plots of lead

SNP rs3766916 with macular eQTL gene TRIM46. Different colors of dots represent the linkage

disequilibrium between the lead SNP (colored purple) and corresponding SNP. **D.** Locuszoom plots

of one-sided ASSET associations and posterior probability of causality for variants around risk

SNP rs4845712 which overlaps with *EFNA3* enhancer and retinal H3K27ac HiChIP data. The risk

variant is uniquely highlighted with purple and all other SNPs are highlighted by their r^2. The

overlapped open chromatin peaks of 13 retinal cell types are shown in the middle. Anchor regions

of the retina are highlighted with an orange or blue rectangle and red arcs show the enhance-gene

pairs predicted by Activity-by-Contact model. Genes in red and blue represent the sense and

antisense directions, respectively. The dashed line indicated the interested SNP position.

Figure 3. Integration with retinal eQTLs, epigenomic, and 3D genome data for causal genes

prioritization.

A. Locuszoom plots of one-sided ASSET associations and posterior probability of causality for

variants around risk SNP rs351973 which reside in one of the HiChIP anchors. **B.** Locuszoom

plots of one-sided ASSET associations and posterior probability of causality for variants around

risk SNP rs10898526 which overlaps with *ME3* predicted enhancer. The risk variant is uniquely

highlighted with purple and all other SNPs are highlighted by their r^2. The overlapped open

chromatin peaks of 13 retinal cell types were shown in the middle. Anchor regions of the retina

were highlighted with an orange or blue rectangle and red arcs show the enhance-gene pairs

predicted by Activity-by-Contact model. Genes in red and blue represent the sense and antisense

directions, respectively. The dashed line indicated the interested SNP position.

Figure 4. Functional enrichment of pleiotropic causal genes and drug repositioning for the

treatment of ocular comorbidity.

A. Gene ontology pathway enrichment analysis of pleiotropic causal genes. The size and color of

the circles indicated the number of causal genes and the significance in the corresponding pathway.

B. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of causal genes. The red text with larger nodes

indicated the pleiotropic gene in three diseases while the purple text indicated pleiotropic genes in

two diseases. The thickness of the edges represents the strength of evidence. **C. & D.** PPI

networks using disease-risk genes (red) and drug-targeted genes (purple and light gray). The nodes

in light blue ellipses represent the lateral drug target genes.

Tables

Table 1. The ten pleiotropic loci identified by three meta-analysis approaches

Chr	SNP ID	Position	*P*-value	A1	A2	Diseases	Nearest gene(s)
1	rs3766916	155049402	3.03E-09	T	C	glaucoma, AMD, cataract	*EFNA3*
3	rs35050931	150058352	6.69E-11	T	A	glaucoma, cataract	*TSC22D2*
5	rs17421627	87847586	1.83E-08	T	G	glaucoma, AMD	*LINC00461*
6	rs13191376	45522139	1.66E-09	C	T	glaucoma, cataract	*RUNX2*
7	rs12670840	116125172	7.52E-21	C	T	glaucoma, AMD, cataract	*CAV2*
9	rs944801	22051670	8.00E-42	G	C	glaucoma, cataract	*CDKN2B-AS1*
11	rs1619882	86363742	2.73E-17	C	A	glaucoma, AMD, cataract	*ME3*
11	rs11018564	89035134	2.89E-08	T	C	glaucoma, cataract	*TYR*, *NOX4*
15	rs1129038	28356859	5.26E-13	C	T	glaucoma, AMD, cataract	*HERC2*
19	rs146447071	818751	5.40E-09	T	C	glaucoma, cataract	*LPPR3*