Polygenic risk score analysis identifies deleterious protein-coding variants in novel immune pathway genes *ATP8B4, FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1* that associate with Alzheimer's disease.

Authors: Joseph S. Reddy[1], Xue Wang[1], Mariet Allen[2], Minerva M. Carrasquillo[2], Joanna M. Biernacka[3], Gregory D. Jenkins[3], Brandon J. Coombes[3], Olivia Belbin[4,5], Todd E. Golde[6], Nilüfer Ertekin-Taner[2,7], Steven G. Younkin[2*]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, 32224, USA.

[2] Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, 32224, USA.

[3] Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905, USA.

[4] Memory Unit and Biomedical Research Institute, IIB Sant Pau, c/Sant Quintí 77, 08041, Barcelona, Spain.

[5] Centre of Biomedical Investigation Network for Neurodegenerative Diseases (CIBERNED), Spain.

[6] Departments of Neuroscience and Neurology, McKnight Brain Institute, Center for Translational Research in Neurodegenerative Disease, University of Florida, Gainesville, Fl 32610 (and as of 08/01/2022: Departments of Pharmacology and Chemical Biology and Neurology, Center for Neurodegenerative Disease, Goizueta Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA 30322

[7] Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, 32224, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Steven G Younkin (younkin.steven@mayo.edu), Mayo Clinic Jacksonville, Neuroscience Department, 4500 San Pablo Road, Birdsall Building, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.

Email addresses of authors: Joseph S. Reddy (Reddy.Joseph@mayo.edu), Xue Wang (Wang.Xue@mayo.edu), Mariet Allen (Allen.Mariet@mayo.edu), Minerva M. Carrasquillo (Carrasquillo.Minerva@mayo.edu), Joanna M. Biernacka (Biernacka.Joanna@mayo.edu), Gregory D. Jenkins (Jenkins.Gregory@mayo.edu), Brandon J. Coombes (coombes.brandon@mayo.edu), Olivia Belbin (obelbin@santpau.cat), Todd E. Golde (tgolde@ufl.edu), Nilüfer Ertekin-Taner (Taner.Nilufer@mayo.edu), Steven G. Younkin (younkin.steven@mayo.edu)

Abstract

Background: Alterations in innate immunity are pathologically associated with and genetically implicated in Alzheimer's disease (AD). In the whole exome sequence (WES) dataset generated by the Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP), only the previously identified p.R47H

variant in the innate immunity gene, *TREM2*, shows study-wide association with risk of AD. Using a novel approach, we searched the ADSP WES data to identify additional immune pathway genes with deleterious variants that, like *TREM2*.pR47H, show strong association with AD.

Methods: Using polygenic risk scores (PRS) to analyze association with AD, we evaluated deleterious variants (CADD PHRED-scaled score > 20) with a minor allele count of 20 or more in 228 genes comprising an immune co-expression network containing *TREM2* (CEN_{TREM2}). A significant polygenic component composed of deleterious stop-gain and non-synonymous variants was identified, and false discovery rates were determined for the variants in this component. In genes harboring a significant variant, PRS for all variants in the genes were then analyzed.

Results: The PRS for the 182 deleterious variants in CEN_{TREM2} showed significant association with AD that was driven by 142 deleterious variants (136 non-synonymous, 6 stop-gain). In the 142 variant polygenic component, four variants had significant AD risk association: *TREM2*.pR47H, two deleterious stop-gain variants (*FCGR1A*.pR92X, and *LILRB1*.pY331X) in novel AD genes and 1 non-synonymous variant *(ATP8B4*.pG395S). Remarkably, PRS for the 36 additional variants in these four genes also showed significant association with AD. The PRS for all 40 variants in the 4 genes, showed significant, replicable association with AD and 3 additional variants in this polygenic component had significant false discovery rates: *ATP8B4*.pR1059Q, *LILRB1*.pP7P, and *LILRB1*.pY327Y.

Conclusions: Here, we identify 3 immune pathway genes (*ATP8B4*, *LILRB1*, and *FCGR1A*) with a variant that associates with AD. Like *TREM2*.pR47H, each of the variants has a minor allele frequency less than 1% and is a deleterious, protein altering variant with a strong effect

that increases or decreases (*LILRB1*.pY331X) risk of AD. Additional variants in these genes also alter risk of AD. The variants identified here are ideally suited for studies aimed at understanding how the innate immune system may be modulated to alter risk of AD.

Background

Aggregation and accumulation of the amyloid β protein (Aβ) is thought to trigger a slow insidious and complex neurodegenerative cascade [1, 2]. Yet in humans, amyloid deposition, precedes clinical dementia by many years. Indeed, cognitively normal individuals with cerebral amyloid deposition can be identified by amyloid ligand positron emission tomography [3] or by a low Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio in cerebrospinal fluid or plasma [4-6]. Thus, in principle, the long prodromal period in AD offers a window of therapeutic opportunity wherein therapy to slow or halt progression to dementia might be instituted in cognitively normal subjects with cerebral amyloid deposition. There are still gaps in our understanding about how and when the innate immune system is altered during the progression of AD, but genetic studies clearly demonstrate that altered innate immune function can modulate risk for AD. Experimental and pathological data suggest that the innate immune system may regulate amyloid deposition, toxicity of the amyloid deposits, tau pathology and neurodegeneration [7, 8].

It is well-established that heterozygous missense variants in triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (*TREM2*) strongly increase risk of AD in populations of European [9-16] and African American ancestry [17, 18]. In the human brain, *TREM*2 is selectively expressed in microglial cells [19], and *TREM2* expression is increased both in the brains of AD patients [20-23] and in mouse models of amyloid and tau deposition [24-28]. Recent studies of mouse models indicate that *TREM2* plays an important role in regulating the response of the immune system to

Aβ and tau pathologies [24, 25, 27-29]. Notably, these studies suggest that AD-linked *TREM2* variants are partial loss of function, and that this partial loss of function confers risk for AD. In contrast regulatory variants that increase *TREM2* expression may decrease AD risk [30]. We previously reported weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) of human brains transcriptomes that identified association of a *TREM2*-containing co-expression network (CEN_{TREM2}) with AD [31]. A WGCNA of brain expression measures from amyloid-bearing mice reported that *TREM2* is a hub gene in an AD co-expression network activated by amyloid [19]. In another study, *TYROBP*, the signaling partner of *TREM2*, was found to be a key regulator in a human immune gene regulatory network relevant to AD pathology [32]. Thus, in principle, therapies which effectively target *TREM2* and other genes in its co-expression network might halt or slow progression to dementia in cognitively normal subjects with amyloid deposition by modulating the immune response that occurs when amyloid is deposited. In an effort to identify novel AD genes co-expressed with *TREM2*, we employed a novel approach using PRS to explore 228 genes in a *TREM2*-containing co-expression network (CEN_{TREM2}). The genes forming CEN_{TREM2} were identified using weighted gene co-expression network analysis [33] (WGCNA) of RNAseq data [31, 34] from postmortem temporal cortex of 80 AD and 76 control brains.

Methods

Study Design: This is a cross-sectional case-control study to identify novel immune genes with deleterious variants that show strong association with AD. AD patients and controls were retrospectively selected from non-Hispanic White subjects from the ADSP Umbrella Study NG00067.v7. DNA was sequenced at three large scale sequencing analysis centers; data from the Broad Institute, the largest cohort, were used as a discovery dataset (N=4,174, AD=2668,

Control=1506) to construct PRS, and data from Washington University (N=3299, AD=1703, Control=1596) and/or Baylor University (N=2273, AD=1188, Control=1085) were used as test datasets. Subject demographics are shown in Additional File 1.

WES: Whole exome sequencing (WES) data and corresponding clinical information for 20,503 subjects in the seventh release of the from ADSP Umbrella Study (NG00067.v7) were obtained from the National Institute of Aging Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease Data Storage Service after approval. Using PLINK v2.00a3LM [35], 8,152,281 variants obtained from 10,291 non-Hispanic White subjects were extracted from project level VCF files generated by Variant Calling Pipeline and data management tool [36] developed by the Genome Center for Alzheimer's Disease.

Quality control: Bi-allelic variants passing GATK [37] VQSR filter, having a genotyping rate ≥ 98%, a minor allele count ≥ 20, and a Hardy-Weinberg p-value > $5x10^{-8}$ in controls were retained. Variants in high variability regions of the genome were excluded. Samples with a minimum call rate of 95% and those passing sex check with an inbreeding coefficient of the X-chromosome for males>0.7 and females <0.3 were retained. Participants with a heterozygosity rate beyond 6 standard deviations (sd) from the mean were excluded. Subsequently, samples were evaluated for relatedness using KING [38] implemented via PLINK (v2.00a3LM), and retaining only one sample from each pair or family of 1st, 2nd or 3rd degree relatives. Principal component analysis was performed on samples after resolving relatedness to evaluate population substructure and exclude population outliers using Eigenstrat [39, 40]. Eigenstrat was set to remove outliers up to 6 standard deviations (sd) for the top 10 principal components (PCs) over 6 iterations, while refitting PCs after each iteration of outlier removal. In summary, 35 samples with a call rate less than 95%, 11 with discordant sex, 43 with heterozygosity beyond 6 sd, 151 relateds and 305 population outliers were excluded. A total of 151,571 variants and 9,746

participants passed filters and QC. Variants were annotated using ANNOVAR [41]. Pre-calculated regulome scores, which provide an indication of the functional potential of a variant based on known and predicted regulatory elements, were obtained through https://regulomedb.org/ [42].

Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS): To evaluate the association of individual genetic variants with AD in all qualifying participants (N=9,746), we performed logistic regression in PLINK (v1.9) using an additive model while adjusting for sex, *APOE* ε2 and ε4 dose, sequencing centers and the first three PCs accounting for population substructure. Since the ADSP cohort was enriched for older controls (Additional File 1), we did not include age as a covariate in the regression model as correcting for age when individuals with AD are younger than controls leads to the model incorrectly inferring the age effect on AD risk, resulting in loss of statistical power [43]. Variants in the *APOE* region [44] (chr19: 44,495,939-45,296,742; GRCh38) were excluded from this analysis.

Polygenic Risk Score (PRS) analysis: We estimated the cumulative weighted burden for a set of variants equivalent to the strategy used for polygenic risk scores. However, instead of applying the traditional PRS strategy which estimates risk across the entire genome, we focused on deleterious variants in genes and sets of genes within CEN_{TREM2}. To construct PRS, individual variant beta coefficients were estimated in participants sequenced at the Broad Institute (discovery; N=4,174). These beta coefficients were then utilized to construct PRS for test sets that included participants sequenced at WashU in St. Louis (N=3,299) and/or at Baylor University (N=2,273). Beta estimates for variants in the discovery cohort were calculated using logistic regression in PLINK (v1.9) while adjusting for sex, *APOE* ε2 and ε4 dose and the first three PCs. Using the clump function in PLINK (v1.9), variants were pruned to reduce linkage

disequilibrium ($r^2 < 0.2$). These pruned betas were then used to construct PRS in the test set using R (v4.0.3) and tested for association with AD using a logistic regression, while adjusting for sex, *APOE* ε2 and ε4 dose and the first three PCs. The predictive value of the PRS to discern AD cases from cognitively normal controls was evaluated using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve calculated using the '*pROC*' package in R v4.0.3.

Expression data: Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) was performed using R package WGCNA [33] to identify co-expressed genes in an RNA sequencing (RNAseq) dataset. The cohort, generation of RNAseq data and quality control steps have been described previously [34, 45]. Briefly, RNA was isolated from temporal cortex tissue of neuropathologically diagnosed AD patients and controls. RNA libraries were generated using the TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2000 (101bp PE) multiplexing 3 samples per lane. Raw reads were aligned to GRCh37 and were counted for each gene through the MAP-RSeq pipeline [46]. Gene read counts were normalized using conditional quantile normalization [47]. After QC, 80 AD and 76 control samples were retained for analysis. To account for covariates, expression residuals were obtained using multiple linear regression implemented in R, where gene expression was the dependent variable, and sex, age at death, flow cell and RNA integrity number were the independent variables. As previously described, co-expression analysis was performed for 13,273 TCX RNAseq transcripts (13,211 unique genes), which were expressed above background levels in both this RNAseq dataset and in an independent cohort [34]. Co-expression networks based on residuals were obtained using WGCNA function *blockwiseConsensusModules* (args: networkType="signed", TOMType="signed", power=12). For genes in each co-expression network, enriched gene ontology terms were identified by function *GOenrichmentAnalysis*.

Eigengenes that represent each co-expression network were obtained from function *moduleEigengenes*. One module was identified to contain *TREM2* [31] and thus genes in this module (CEN_{TREM2}) were selected for further study.

Results

Single variant analysis. When the 131,028 autosomal variants which passed quality control (QC) and had a minor allele count of twenty or more were tested for association with AD in the 9,746 participants (Additional File 1) that passed QC (see Methods), only *TREM2*.pR47H reached genome-wide significance (1.28×10^{-8}).

PRS analysis of all CEN_{TREM2} variants. Of the 9,746 post-QC samples, 4,174 (43%) were sequenced at the Broad Institute, 3,299 (34%) at WashU in St. Louis, and 2,273 (23%) at Baylor University (Additional File 1). To evaluate variants in CEN_{TREM2} for association with AD, we performed PRS analysis utilizing Broad participants for discovery and the combined WashU and Baylor set for testing, as fully described in Methods. The PRS for the 1,334 independent variants ($r^2 \leq 0.2$) located in the 228 genes of CEN_{TREM2} showed significant ($p = 0.015$) association with AD (Fig. 1). Q-Q plots of the ADSP p-values for the 1,334 variants in CEN_{TREM2} and for the remaining 85,383 independent variants with a minor allele count of twenty or more are shown in Fig. 2A demonstrating higher than expected number of significant variants for former.

Deleterious CEN_{TREM2} variants show significant association with AD. Reasoning that variants judged to be highly deleterious by CADD PHRED-scaled scores (CPS) were most likely to have critical impacts on gene function and influence risk of AD, we performed a prioritized analysis of variants in CEN_{TREM2} focusing initially on the 182 variants with CPS>20 (Fig. 1). PRS analysis (Table 1) showed that these variants were significantly ($p = 2.53 \times 10^{-3}$) associated with AD, whereas the remaining 1,152 CEN_{TREM2} variants showed only suggestive association (p =

0.15). We then analyzed the 182 variants with CPS>20 after stratification by exonic function (Fig. 1, Table 1). Among the 6 subsets, the PRS for stop-gain ($p = 1.4x10^{-3}$) and non-synonymous ($p = 1.3x10^{-3}$) variants showed significant association with AD. The PRS for 142 combined stop-gain and non-synonymous variants further improved the association with AD ($p = 9.2x10^{-5}$). The other subsets showed no evidence of association (Table 1). Note that none of the synonymous variants in CEN_{TREM2} had a CPS over 20. To adjust for multiple testing of 5 subsets, false discovery rates (FDR) were determined. Both stop-gain ($Q = 3.6x10^{-3}$) and non-synonymous ($Q = 3.6x10^{-3}$) variants had significant FDR-adjusted p-values (Q-values) for PRS associations.

We further restricted the original GWAS to the 142 deleterious, stop-gain and non-synonymous variants identified by our PRS strategy, and using p-values determined from all participants, we calculated the false discovery rate (Q) with respect to this set (Fig. 3). Well annotated results for these 142 variants are shown in Additional File 2. As expected, *TREM2*.pR47H showed highly significant association with AD ($Q = 1.8x10^{-6}$) with an effect size comparable to *APOE* rs429358 (Fig. 3). Deleterious variants in three additional genes (*ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*) also showed significant association, including the non-synonymous variant, *ATP8B4*.pG395S ($Q = 1.3x10^{-3}$), and two stop-gain variants, *FCGR1A*.pR92X ($Q = 9.4x10^{-3}$) and *LILRB1*.Y331X ($Q = 0.02$). Among the 142 deleterious stop-gain and non-synonymous variants, which had p-values ranging from $1.28x10^{-8}$ – 0.995, there were 11 additional non-synonymous variants with nominally significant P-values ($p < 0.05$). These variants had false discovery rates ranging from 0.15 to 0.45, and 10 were in genes other than *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*. Thus, it is highly likely that additional CEN_{TREM2} genes harbor deleterious non-synonymous variants that associate with AD.

PRS for *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1* show significant association with AD. Using Broad dataset for discovery, the PRS for the 4 significant, deleterious variants (Fig. 1) showed highly significant association with AD ($p = 2.60 \times 10^{-11}$) in the test dataset comprising combined WashU plus Baylor participants. Remarkably, the PRS for the 36 remaining variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *ATP8B4* (Fig. 1) also showed significant association ($p=7.87 \times 10^{-4}$).

When all variants in each gene with a significant deleterious variant were analyzed, the PRS for *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *ATP8B4* each showed significant association with AD (Table 2). Q-Q plots of the ADSP p-values for the 40 variants in these 4 genes compared to all other variants in CEN_{TREM2} are shown in Fig. 2B demonstrating higher than expected number of observed significant variants.

When tested in the WashU and Baylor datasets individually, as well as in the combined datasets, the PRS for all 40 variants in the four genes (Table 3) showed significant association in WashU [$p = 5.40 \times 10^{-8}$, β (SE) = 0.53 (0.10)], which replicated in Baylor [$p = 6.87 \times 10^{-5}$, β (SE) = 0.48 (0.12)], and became highly significant in the combined dataset [$p = 8.12 \times 10^{-12}$, β (SE) = 0.52 (0.08)]. We then analyzed the receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curve to determine the improvement in AUC (Δ_{AUC}) for models that included PRS, sex, *APOE* ε2, *APOE* ε4 and the first three principal components as compared to models without PRS. This ROC analysis showed that the PRS for all 40 variants improved the area under the curve in the combined WashU plus Baylor test dataset by 0.75% (Table 3). After adjustment for all 40 variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*, which had ADSP p-values ranging from 1.28×10^{-8} to 0.961, 7 variants had significant false discovery rates ($Q < 0.05$), the four deleterious variants identified above and

three additional variants (Fig. 1, 4). Well annotated results for these 40 variants are shown in Additional File 3.

Importantly, the PRS for all 36 variants in the 3 novel genes, (*ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*; Table 3) also showed significant association in the WashU dataset [$p = 1.45x10^{-5}$, β (SE) = 0.48 (0.11)], which replicated in Baylor [$p = 2.53x10^{-3}$, β (SE) = 0.43 (0.14)] and became highly significant in the combined dataset [$p = 9.89x10^{-8}$, β (SE) = 0.46 (0.09)]. The PRS for these 36 variants accounted for 0.59% of the area under the ROC curve (Table 3) in the combined WashU plus Baylor test participants. These findings demonstrate that CEN_{TREM2} harbors AD risk variants in not only *TREM2* but also three novel immune pathway genes.

Discussion

In this study, we show that the PRS for the 142 deleterious (CPS>20) non-synonymous and stop-gain variants in CEN_{TREM2} is significantly associated with AD ($p = 9.20x10^{-5}$) and that 4 variants in this polygenic component are also individually significant after FDR adjustment. Each of these variants is a deleterious, protein-altering variant with a MAF of 0.1 - 1.0%. All four variants reside in genes that, in the brain, are selectively expressed in microglial cells [48, 49], and have strong effects on risk of AD comparable to those of the well-known *APOE* alleles (Fig. 4). The strong association of *TREM2*.pR47H with AD is well-established [9-16]. One study, posted on medRxiv, assessing gene-based burden of rare damaging variants in exome sequencing data has reported that exonic variants in *ATP8B4* associate with AD, an association that was driven mainly by the same *ATP8B4*.pG395S variant described here [50]. The stop-gain variants *FCGR1A*.pR92X and *LILRB1*pY331X have not previously been reported to associate with AD.

The PRS for all 40 variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1* showed significant, replicable association with AD (Table 3), as did the PRS for all 36 variants in *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*, the 3 novel genes identified here. After adjustment for the 40 variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*, 7 variants had significant association after adjusting for multiple testing (FDR) – the four identified above, one additional variant in *ATP8B4*, and two additional variants in *LILRB1* (Fig. 1, 4). The additional *ATP8B4* variant is a non-synonymous variant, *ATP8B4*.pR1059Q, with a CPS of 14.4 and an OR of 0.42 (0.24, 0.72) showing association with *decreased* risk of AD with an effect size comparable to that of the protective *APOE* ε2 allele (Fig. 4). The additional *LILRB1* variants are synonymous variants, which are associated with increased risk of AD. Many genes have variants in intronic and flanking regions that alter gene expression or splicing. Among the many synonymous exonic variants, some will be linked to flanking or intronic variants with functional effects. Thus, the synonymous variants in *LILRB1* may well show significant association with increased risk of AD through linkage to nearby functional variants.

ATP8B4 encodes a protein that is a component of a P4-ATPase flippase complex, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of ATP coupled to the transport of aminophospholipids from the outer to the inner leaflet of various membranes and ensures the maintenance of asymmetric distribution of phospholipids [51]. Phospholipid translocation seems also to be implicated in vesicle formation and in uptake of lipid signaling molecules [52].

The stop-gain variants in *FCGR1A* and *LILRB1* will almost certainly cause a loss of function. *FCGR1A* is a high affinity receptor for the Fc region of immunoglobulin gamma that functions in both innate and adaptive immunity [53]. Just as signaling downstream of *TREM2* is mediated by *TYROBP* which contains an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM), ligand

binding to *FCGR1A* signals through the ITAM containing *FCGER* protein. This suggests that the biological action of *TREM2* variants and a stop gain variant in *FCGR1A* may be very similar. A previous study identified *FCGR1A*.pR92X in an apparently healthy family of individuals who lacked phagocyte expression of the IgG receptor (CD64) and were unable to support mouse anti-CD3-induced induced T cell mitogenesis. The premature introduction of a stop codon was associated with a decrease in abundance of FcyRIa mRNA in individuals homozygous for the mutation [54]. Thus, it appears that appropriate activation of *FCGR1A* can reduce risk of AD. *LILRB1* belongs to the subfamily B class of LIR receptors. It is expressed on immune cells where it is known to bind to MHC class I molecules on antigen presenting cells and transduces a negative signal though immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibition motif (ITIM) that suppresses immune responses [55, 56]. *LILRB1* on natural killer cells may also have a prominent role in inflammation following an immune response [57]. The stop-gain variant in *LILRB1*, which strongly decreases risk of AD, will likely impair the effect of ligands that modulate the immune system by binding to *LILRB1*. Thus, it appears that activation of *LILRB1* can increase risk of AD. An important implication of this is that well-designed, appropriately targeted *LILRB1* inhibitors might have a strong beneficial effect on AD pathogenesis.

In AD, the concept that neuroinflammation was harmful and that proinflammatory stimuli would increase risk for AD dominated discussion of the role of the innate immune systems in AD for many years and served as the rationale for several clinical trials [58]. However, this concept has been challenged by both genetic and modeling data as well as results from failed clinical studies of anti-inflammatory agents in AD (reviewed in [8]). Notably, the directionality of risk associated with variants in immune genes identified in this study is entirely consistent with risk associated with *TREM2* and *PLCG2* variants [59-62]. Indeed, our current data and previous data

suggest that increased activity (or increased expression) of immune activating proteins will decrease risk for AD as will loss of function (or decreased expression) of immune suppressing proteins, and conversely that increased activity (or increased expression) of immune inhibiting proteins will confer increased risk for AD as will loss of function (or decreased expression) of immune activating proteins. Of course, biology rarely works in completely dichotomous fashion and immune signaling pathways are often tightly regulated with the overall impact of a variant on a given signaling pathway likely to be contextually dependent. Thus, experimental data will be needed to understand how these newly identified variants impact AD-relevant phenotypes and innate immune signaling pathways.

Virtually all genes encode proteins that are pleiotropic. For this reason, virtually every deleterious variant that associates with AD will have multiple effects, only some of which play an important role in altering risk of AD. In this study, we identify a set of variants in *TREM2* and in 3 novel immune pathway genes with powerful effects that both increase and decrease risk of AD. Concerted studies of these powerful variants should be pursued, as they have the potential to identify novel therapeutic targets within the immune system in the same way that study of *APOE* variants and the *APP*, *PSEN1*, and *PSEN2* mutations that cause early onset familial AD identified Aβ, more specifically Aβ42 as a therapeutic target for AD.

The work described here was undertaken as part of a multi-site study aimed at identifying novel therapeutic targets for AD. Because the innate immune system has an important role in AD, our role was to search in innate immune genes for novel genetic variants associated with AD. When we analyzed the deleterious variants in all 19 co-expression modules identified by Allen et al [34], PRS for CEN_{TREM2} was the most significantly associated with AD risk ($p = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$, Q = 0.034). Thus, there was a strong functional and statistical rationale for focusing on deleterious

variants in CEN_{TREM2} genes. This focus enabled us to obtain strong evidence that multiple variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1* show strong association with AD. It is nevertheless important that follow up studies be performed both to confirm the results reported here and to narrow the 95% CIs thereby better defining effect size.

Conclusions: Our study demonstrates the practical utility of combining information on the genes in a co-expression network with genetic risk association data in order to perform a functionally focused analyses of deleterious variants in the genes of a candidate disease pathway. Using this approach, we identify three novel immune pathway genes (*ATP8B4*, *LILRB1*, and *FCGR1A*) with a variant that shows highly significant ($P < 0.001$, $Q < 0.01$) association with AD. Like *TREM2*.pR47H, each of these variants has a minor allele frequency less than 1% and is a deleterious, protein altering variant with a strong effect that increases or decreases (*LILRB1*.pY331X) risk of AD. Additional variants in these genes also alter risk of AD. The variants identified here are ideally suited for studies aimed at understanding how the immune system may be modulated to alter risk of AD.

List of abbreviations

Aβ: amyloid β protein

AD: Alzheimer's disease

ADSP: Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project

ATP8B4: gene encoding probable phospholipid-transporting ATPase IM

AUC: area-under-the-curve

CEN_{TREM2}: co-expression network containing TREM2

CPS: CADD PHRED-scaled score

FCGR1A: gene encoding Fc gamma receptor 1A

LILRB1: gene encoding leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor subfamily B member 1

MAC: minor allele count

MAF: minor allele frequency

PC: principal component

PRS: polygenic risk score

QC: quality control

ROC: receiver operator characteristics

SE: standard error

TREM2: gene encoding triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2

WES: whole exome sequence

WGCNA: weighted gene co-expression network analysis.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Participants or their caregivers provided written informed consent in the original studies. The current study protocol was granted an exemption by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board because the analyses were carried out on de-identified, off-the-shelf data; therefore, additional informed consent was not required.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

Whole exome sequencing (WES) data and corresponding clinical information utilized in this study can be obtained from the National Institute of Aging Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease Data Storage Service here: https://www.niagads.org/adsp/content/home.The R code used during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

This work was supported by National Institute on Aging [U01AG046139 to NET and SGY; RF AG051504; R01AG061796 to NET].

Authors' contributions

JSR and SGY conceived the project and performed all the analyses. NET, XW, MA and MMC contributed RNAseq data and WGCNA analyses. BJC, JMB and GDJ provided input on QC and PRS analyses. JSR, SGY and TEG prepared the first draft of the manuscript. OB assisted with subsequent drafts. All authors contributed to the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients and their families for their participation, without which these studies would not have been possible.

For samples collected through the Sun Health Research Institute Brain and Body Donation Program of Sun City, Arizona and utilized in the brain expression studies: The Brain and Body Donation Program is supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (U24NS072026 National Brain and Tissue Resource for Parkinson's Disease and Related Disorders), the National Institute on Aging (P30 AG19610 Arizona Alzheimer's Disease Core Center), the Arizona Department of Health Services (contract 211002, Arizona Alzheimer's

Research Center), the Arizona Biomedical Research Commission (contracts 4001, 0011, 05-901, and 1001 to the Arizona Parkinson's Disease Consortium), and the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research.

Acknowledgment Statement for the ADSP

The Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP) is comprised of two Alzheimer's Disease (AD) genetics consortia and three National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) funded Large Scale Sequencing and Analysis Centers (LSAC). The two AD genetics consortia are the Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium (ADGC) funded by NIA (U01 AG032984), and the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) funded by NIA (R01 AG033193), the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), other National Institute of Health (NIH) institutes and other foreign governmental and non-governmental organizations. The Discovery Phase analysis of sequence data is supported through UF1AG047133 (to Drs. Schellenberg, Farrer, Pericak-Vance, Mayeux, and Haines); U01AG049505 to Dr. Seshadri; U01AG049506 to Dr. Boerwinkle; U01AG049507 to Dr. Wijsman; and U01AG049508 to Dr. Goate and the Discovery Extension Phase analysis is supported through U01AG052411 to Dr. Goate, U01AG052410 to Dr. Pericak-Vance and U01 AG052409 to Drs. Seshadri and Fornage.

Sequencing for the Follow Up Study (FUS) is supported through U01AG057659 (to Drs. PericakVance, Mayeux, and Vardarajan) and U01AG062943 (to Drs. Pericak-Vance and Mayeux). Data generation and harmonization in the Follow-up Phase is supported by U54AG052427 (to Drs. Schellenberg and Wang). The FUS Phase analysis of sequence data is supported through U01AG058589 (to Drs. Destefano, Boerwinkle, De Jager, Fornage, Seshadri, and Wijsman), U01AG058654 (to Drs. Haines, Bush, Farrer, Martin, and Pericak-Vance), U01AG058635 (to Dr. Goate), RF1AG058066 (to Drs. Haines, Pericak-Vance, and Scott), RF1AG057519 (to Drs. Farrer and Jun), R01AG048927 (to Dr. Farrer), and RF1AG054074 (to Drs. Pericak-Vance and Beecham).

The ADGC cohorts include: Adult Changes in Thought (ACT) (UO1 AG006781, UO1 HG004610, UO1 HG006375, U01 HG008657), the Alzheimer's Disease Centers (ADC) (P30 AG019610, P30 AG013846, P50 AG008702, P50 AG025688, P50 AG047266, P30 AG010133, P50 AG005146, P50 AG005134, P50 AG016574, P50 AG005138, P30 AG008051, P30 AG013854, P30 AG008017, P30 AG010161, P50 AG047366, P30 AG010129, P50 AG016573, P50 AG016570, P50 AG005131, P50 AG023501, P30 AG035982, P30 AG028383, P30 AG010124, P50 AG005133, P50 AG005142, P30 AG012300, P50 AG005136, P50 AG033514, P50 AG005681, and P50 AG047270), the Chicago Health and Aging Project (CHAP) (R01 AG11101, RC4 AG039085, K23 AG030944), Indianapolis Ibadan (R01 AG009956, P30 AG010133), the Memory and Aging Project (MAP) (R01 AG17917), Mayo Clinic (MAYO) (R01 AG032990, U01 AG046139, R01 NS080820, RF1 AG051504, P50 AG016574), Mayo Parkinson's Disease controls (NS039764, NS071674, 5RC2HG005605), University of Miami (R01 AG027944, R01 AG028786, R01 AG019085, IIRG09133827, A2011048), the Multi-Institutional Research in Alzheimer's Genetic Epidemiology Study (MIRAGE) (R01 AG09029, R01 AG025259), the National Cell Repository for Alzheimer's Disease (NCRAD) (U24

AG21886), the National Institute on Aging Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease Family Study (NIA-LOAD) (R01 AG041797), the Religious Orders Study (ROS) (P30 AG10161, R01 AG15819), the Texas Alzheimer's Research and Care Consortium (TARCC) (funded by the Darrell K Royal Texas Alzheimer's Initiative), Vanderbilt University/Case Western Reserve University (VAN/CWRU) (R01 AG019757, R01 AG021547, R01 AG027944, R01 AG028786, P01 NS026630, and Alzheimer's Association), the Washington Heights-Inwood Columbia Aging Project (WHICAP) (RF1 AG054023), the University of Washington Families (VA Research Merit Grant, NIA: P50AG005136, R01AG041797, NINDS: R01NS069719), the Columbia University HispanicEstudio Familiar de Influencia Genetica de Alzheimer (EFIGA) (RF1 AG015473), the University of Toronto (UT) (funded by Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council, Canadian Institutes of Health Research), and Genetic Differences (GD) (R01 AG007584). The CHARGE cohorts are supported in part by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) infrastructure grant HL105756 (Psaty), RC2HL102419 (Boerwinkle) and the neurology working group is supported by the National Institute on Aging (NIA) R01 grant AG033193.

The CHARGE cohorts participating in the ADSP include the following: Austrian Stroke Prevention Study (ASPS), ASPS-Family study, and the Prospective Dementia Registry-Austria (ASPS/PRODEM-Aus), the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study, the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS), the Erasmus Rucphen Family Study (ERF), the Framingham Heart Study (FHS), and the Rotterdam Study (RS). ASPS is funded by the Austrian Science Fond (FWF) grant number P20545-P05 and P13180 and the Medical University of Graz. The ASPS-Fam is funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project I904),the EU Joint Programme - Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND) in frame of the BRIDGET project (Austria, Ministry of Science) and the Medical University of Graz and the Steiermärkische Krankenanstalten Gesellschaft. PRODEM-Austria is supported by the Austrian Research Promotion agency (FFG) (Project No. 827462) and by the Austrian National Bank (Anniversary Fund, project 15435. ARIC research is carried out as a collaborative study supported by NHLBI contracts (HHSN268201100005C, HHSN268201100006C, HHSN268201100007C, HHSN268201100008C, HHSN268201100009C, HHSN268201100010C, HHSN268201100011C, and HHSN268201100012C). Neurocognitive data in ARIC is collected by U01 2U01HL096812, 2U01HL096814, 2U01HL096899, 2U01HL096902, 2U01HL096917 from the NIH (NHLBI, NINDS, NIA and NIDCD), and with previous brain MRI examinations funded by R01-HL70825 from the NHLBI. CHS research was supported by contracts HHSN268201200036C, HHSN268200800007C, N01HC55222, N01HC85079, N01HC85080, N01HC85081, N01HC85082, N01HC85083, N01HC85086, and grants U01HL080295 and U01HL130114 from the NHLBI with additional contribution from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS). Additional support was provided by R01AG023629, R01AG15928, and R01AG20098 from the NIA. FHS research is supported by NHLBI contracts N01-HC-25195 and HHSN268201500001I. This study was also supported by additional grants from the NIA (R01s AG054076, AG049607 and AG033040 and NINDS (R01 NS017950). The ERF study as a part of EUROSPAN (European Special Populations Research Network) was supported by European Commission FP6 STRP grant number 018947 (LSHG-CT-2006-01947) and also received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/grant agreement HEALTH-F4- 2007-201413 by the European Commission under the programme "Quality of Life and Management of the Living Resources" of 5th Framework Programme (no. QLG2-CT-2002- 01254). High-throughput analysis of the ERF data was

supported by a joint grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (NWO-RFBR 047.017.043). The Rotterdam Study is funded by Erasmus Medical Center and Erasmus University, Rotterdam, the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw), the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (RIDE), the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, the Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports, the European Commission (DG XII), and the municipality of Rotterdam. Genetic data sets are also supported by the Netherlands Organization of Scientific Research NWO Investments (175.010.2005.011, 911-03-012), the Genetic Laboratory of the Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (014-93-015; RIDE2), and the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)/Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging (NCHA), project 050-060-810. All studies are grateful to their participants, faculty and staff. The content of these manuscripts is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

The FUS cohorts include: the Alzheimer's Disease Centers (ADC) (P30 AG019610, P30 AG013846, P50 AG008702, P50 AG025688, P50 AG047266, P30 AG010133, P50 AG005146, P50 AG005134, P50 AG016574, P50 AG005138, P30 AG008051, P30 AG013854, P30 AG008017, P30 AG010161, P50 AG047366, P30 AG010129, P50 AG016573, P50 AG016570, P50 AG005131, P50 AG023501, P30 AG035982, P30 AG028383, P30 AG010124, P50 AG005133, P50 AG005142, P30 AG012300, P50 AG005136, P50 AG033514, P50 AG005681, and P50 AG047270), Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (U19AG024904), Amish Protective Variant Study (RF1AG058066), Cache County Study (R01AG11380, R01AG031272, R01AG21136, RF1AG054052), Case Western Reserve University Brain Bank (CWRUBB) (P50AG008012), Case Western Reserve University Rapid Decline (CWRURD) (RF1AG058267, NU38CK000480), CubanAmerican Alzheimer's Disease Initiative (CuAADI) (3U01AG052410), Estudio Familiar de Influencia Genetica en Alzheimer (EFIGA) (5R37AG015473, RF1AG015473, R56AG051876), Genetic and Environmental Risk Factors for Alzheimer Disease Among African Americans Study (GenerAAtions) (2R01AG09029, R01AG025259, 2R01AG048927), Gwangju Alzheimer and Related Dementias Study (GARD) (U01AG062602), Hussman Institute for Human Genomics Brain Bank (HIHGBB) (R01AG027944, Alzheimer's Association "Identification of Rare Variants in Alzheimer Disease"), Ibadan Study of Aging (IBADAN) (5R01AG009956), Mexican Health and Aging Study (MHAS) (R01AG018016), Multi-Institutional Research in Alzheimer's Genetic Epidemiology (MIRAGE) (2R01AG09029, R01AG025259, 2R01AG048927), Northern Manhattan Study (NOMAS) (R01NS29993), Peru Alzheimer's Disease Initiative (PeADI) (RF1AG054074), Puerto Rican 1066 (PR1066) (Wellcome Trust (GR066133/GR080002), European Research Council (340755)), Puerto Rican Alzheimer Disease Initiative (PRADI) (RF1AG054074), Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) (U01NS041588), Research in African American Alzheimer Disease Initiative (REAAADI) (U01AG052410), Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center (ROSMAP) (P30AG10161, R01AG15819, R01AG17919), University of Miami Brain Endowment Bank (MBB), and University of Miami/Case Western/North Carolina A&T African American (UM/CASE/NCAT) (U01AG052410, R01AG028786).

The four LSACs are: the Human Genome Sequencing Center at the Baylor College of Medicine (U54 HG003273), the Broad Institute Genome Center (U54HG003067), The American Genome

Center at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (U01AG057659), and the Washington University Genome Institute (U54HG003079).

Biological samples and associated phenotypic data used in primary data analyses were stored at Study Investigators institutions, and at the National Cell Repository for Alzheimer's Disease (NCRAD, U24AG021886) at Indiana University funded by NIA. Associated Phenotypic Data used in primary and secondary data analyses were provided by Study Investigators, the NIA funded Alzheimer's Disease Centers (ADCs), and the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC, U01AG016976) and the National Institute on Aging Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease Data Storage Site (NIAGADS, U24AG041689) at the University of Pennsylvania, funded by NIA This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of health, National Library of Medicine. Contributors to the Genetic Analysis Data included Study Investigators on projects that were individually funded by NIA, and other NIH institutes, and by private U.S. organizations, or foreign governmental or nongovernmental organizations.

References

1. Hardy J, Selkoe DJ: **The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: progress and problems on the road to therapeutics.** *Science* 2002, **297:**353-356.
2. Golde TE: **Alzheimer's disease - the journey of a healthy brain into organ failure.** *Mol Neurodegener* 2022, **17:**18.
3. Clark CM, Schneider JA, Bedell BJ, Beach TG, Bilker WB, Mintun MA, Pontecorvo MJ, Hefti F, Carpenter AP, Flitter ML, et al: **Use of florbetapir-PET for imaging beta-amyloid pathology.** *JAMA* 2011, **305:**275-283.
4. Hansson O, Seibyl J, Stomrud E, Zetterberg H, Trojanowski JQ, Bittner T, Lifke V, Corradini V, Eichenlaub U, Batrla R, et al: **CSF biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease concord with amyloid-beta PET and predict clinical progression: A study of fully automated immunoassays in BioFINDER and ADNI cohorts.** *Alzheimers Dement* 2018, **14:**1470-1481.
5. Schindler SE, Gray JD, Gordon BA, Xiong C, Batrla-Utermann R, Quan M, Wahl S, Benzinger TLS, Holtzman DM, Morris JC, Fagan AM: **Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers**

measured by Elecsys assays compared to amyloid imaging. *Alzheimers Dement* 2018, **14:**1460-1469.

6. Alcolea D, Pegueroles J, Munoz L, Camacho V, Lopez-Mora D, Fernandez-Leon A, Le Bastard N, Huyck E, Nadal A, Olmedo V, et al: **Agreement of amyloid PET and CSF biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease on Lumipulse.** *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2019, **6:**1815-1824.

7. Heneka MT, Kummer MP, Latz E: **Innate immune activation in neurodegenerative disease.** *Nat Rev Immunol* 2014, **14:**463-477.

8. Golde TE: **Harnessing Immunoproteostasis to Treat Neurodegenerative Disorders.** *Neuron* 2019, **101:**1003-1015.

9. Jonsson T, Stefansson H, Steinberg S, Jonsdottir I, Jonsson PV, Snaedal J, Bjornsson S, Huttenlocher J, Levey AI, Lah JJ, et al: **Variant of TREM2 associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease.** *N Engl J Med* 2013, **368:**107-116.

10. Song W, Hooli B, Mullin K, Jin SC, Cella M, Ulland TK, Wang Y, Tanzi RE, Colonna M: **Alzheimer's disease-associated TREM2 variants exhibit either decreased or increased ligand-dependent activation.** *Alzheimers Dement* 2017, **13:**381-387.

11. Sims R, van der Lee SJ, Naj AC, Bellenguez C, Badarinarayan N, Jakobsdottir J, Kunkle BW, Boland A, Raybould R, Bis JC, et al: **Rare coding variants in PLCG2, ABI3, and TREM2 implicate microglial-mediated innate immunity in Alzheimer's disease.** *Nat Genet* 2017, **49:**1373-1384.

12. Ruiz A, Dols-Icardo O, Bullido MJ, Pastor P, Rodriguez-Rodriguez E, Lopez de Munain A, de Pancorbo MM, Perez-Tur J, Alvarez V, Antonell A, et al: **Assessing the role of the**

TREM2 p.R47H variant as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. *Neurobiol Aging* 2014, **35:**444 e441-444.

13. Cuyvers E, Bettens K, Philtjens S, Van Langenhove T, Gijselinck I, van der Zee J, Engelborghs S, Vandenbulcke M, Van Dongen J, Geerts N, et al: **Investigating the role of rare heterozygous TREM2 variants in Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2014, **35:**726 e711-729.
14. Guerreiro R, Wojtas A, Bras J, Carrasquillo M, Rogaeva E, Majounie E, Cruchaga C, Sassi C, Kauwe JS, Younkin S, et al: **TREM2 variants in Alzheimer's disease.** *N Engl J Med* 2013, **368:**117-127.
15. Benitez BA, Cooper B, Pastor P, Jin SC, Lorenzo E, Cervantes S, Cruchaga C: **TREM2 is associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease in Spanish population.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2013, **34:**1711 e1715-1717.
16. Slattery CF, Beck JA, Harper L, Adamson G, Abdi Z, Uphill J, Campbell T, Druyeh R, Mahoney CJ, Rohrer JD, et al: **R47H TREM2 variant increases risk of typical early-onset Alzheimer's disease but not of prion or frontotemporal dementia.** *Alzheimers Dement* 2014, **10:**602-608 e604.
17. Kunkle BW, Schmidt M, Klein HU, Naj AC, Hamilton-Nelson KL, Larson EB, Evans DA, De Jager PL, Crane PK, Buxbaum JD, et al: **Novel Alzheimer Disease Risk Loci and Pathways in African American Individuals Using the African Genome Resources Panel: A Meta-analysis.** *JAMA Neurol* 2021, **78:**102-113.
18. Jin SC, Carrasquillo MM, Benitez BA, Skorupa T, Carrell D, Patel D, Lincoln S, Krishnan S, Kachadoorian M, Reitz C, et al: **TREM2 is associated with increased risk for Alzheimer's disease in African Americans.** *Mol Neurodegener* 2015, **10:**19.

19. Forabosco P, Ramasamy A, Trabzuni D, Walker R, Smith C, Bras J, Levine AP, Hardy J, Pocock JM, Guerreiro R, et al: **Insights into TREM2 biology by network analysis of human brain gene expression data.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2013, **34:**2699-2714.

20. Lue LF, Schmitz CT, Serrano G, Sue LI, Beach TG, Walker DG: **TREM2 Protein Expression Changes Correlate with Alzheimer's Disease Neurodegenerative Pathologies in Post-Mortem Temporal Cortices.** *Brain Pathol* 2015, **25:**469-480.

21. Celarain N, Sanchez-Ruiz de Gordoa J, Zelaya MV, Roldan M, Larumbe R, Pulido L, Echavarri C, Mendioroz M: **TREM2 upregulation correlates with 5-hydroxymethycytosine enrichment in Alzheimer's disease hippocampus.** *Clin Epigenetics* 2016, **8:**37.

22. Ma L, Allen M, Sakae N, Ertekin-Taner N, Graff-Radford NR, Dickson DW, Younkin SG, Sevlever D: **Expression and processing analyses of wild type and p.R47H TREM2 variant in Alzheimer's disease brains.** *Mol Neurodegener* 2016, **11:**72.

23. Perez SE, Nadeem M, He B, Miguel JC, Malek-Ahmadi MH, Chen K, Mufson EJ: **Neocortical and hippocampal TREM2 protein levels during the progression of Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2017, **54:**133-143.

24. Jay TR, Miller CM, Cheng PJ, Graham LC, Bemiller S, Broihier ML, Xu G, Margevicius D, Karlo JC, Sousa GL, et al: **TREM2 deficiency eliminates TREM2+ inflammatory macrophages and ameliorates pathology in Alzheimer's disease mouse models.** *J Exp Med* 2015, **212:**287-295.

25. Raha AA, Henderson JW, Stott SR, Vuono R, Foscarin S, Friedland RP, Zaman SH, Raha-Chowdhury R: **Neuroprotective Effect of TREM-2 in Aging and Alzheimer's Disease Model.** *J Alzheimers Dis* 2017, **55:**199-217.

26. Matarin M, Salih DA, Yasvoina M, Cummings DM, Guelfi S, Liu W, Nahaboo Solim MA, Moens TG, Paublete RM, Ali SS, et al: **A genome-wide gene-expression analysis and database in transgenic mice during development of amyloid or tau pathology.** *Cell Rep* 2015, **10:**633-644.

27. Savage JC, Jay T, Goduni E, Quigley C, Mariani MM, Malm T, Ransohoff RM, Lamb BT, Landreth GE: **Nuclear receptors license phagocytosis by trem2+ myeloid cells in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease.** *J Neurosci* 2015, **35:**6532-6543.

28. Jiang T, Tan L, Zhu XC, Zhang QQ, Cao L, Tan MS, Gu LZ, Wang HF, Ding ZZ, Zhang YD, Yu JT: **Upregulation of TREM2 ameliorates neuropathology and rescues spatial cognitive impairment in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease.** *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2014, **39:**2949-2962.

29. Lessard CB, Malnik SL, Zhou Y, Ladd TB, Cruz PE, Ran Y, Mahan TE, Chakrabaty P, Holtzman DM, Ulrich JD, et al: **High-affinity interactions and signal transduction between Abeta oligomers and TREM2.** *EMBO Mol Med* 2018, **10**.

30. Carrasquillo MM, Allen M, Burgess JD, Wang X, Strickland SL, Aryal S, Siuda J, Kachadoorian ML, Medway C, Younkin CS, et al: **A candidate regulatory variant at the TREM gene cluster associates with decreased Alzheimer's disease risk and increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain gene expression.** *Alzheimers Dement* 2017, **13:**663-673.

31. Conway OJ, Carrasquillo MM, Wang X, Bredenberg JM, Reddy JS, Strickland SL, Younkin CS, Burgess JD, Allen M, Lincoln SJ, et al: **ABI3 and PLCG2 missense variants as risk factors for neurodegenerative diseases in Caucasians and African Americans.** *Mol Neurodegener* 2018, **13:**53.

32. Zhang B, Gaiteri C, Bodea LG, Wang Z, McElwee J, Podtelezhnikov AA, Zhang C, Xie T, Tran L, Dobrin R, et al: **Integrated systems approach identifies genetic nodes and networks in late-onset Alzheimer's disease.** *Cell* 2013, **153:**707-720.

33. Langfelder P, Horvath S: **WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis.** *BMC Bioinformatics* 2008, **9:**559.

34. Allen M, Wang X, Burgess JD, Watzlawik J, Serie DJ, Younkin CS, Nguyen T, Malphrus KG, Lincoln S, Carrasquillo MM, et al: **Conserved brain myelination networks are altered in Alzheimer's and other neurodegenerative diseases.** *Alzheimers Dement* 2018, **14:**352-366.

35. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ: **Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets.** *Gigascience* 2015, **4:**7.

36. Leung YY, Valladares O, Chou YF, Lin HJ, Kuzma AB, Cantwell L, Qu L, Gangadharan P, Salerno WJ, Schellenberg GD, Wang LS: **VCPA: genomic variant calling pipeline and data management tool for Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project.** *Bioinformatics* 2019, **35:**1768-1770.

37. Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, Poplin R, Del Angel G, Levy-Moonshine A, Jordan T, Shakir K, Roazen D, Thibault J, et al: **From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline.** *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2013, **43:**11 10 11-33.

38. Manichaikul A, Mychaleckyj JC, Rich SS, Daly K, Sale M, Chen WM: **Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies.** *Bioinformatics* 2010, **26:**2867-2873.

39. Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D: **Population structure and eigenanalysis.** *PLoS Genet* 2006, **2:**e190.

40. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D: **Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies.** *Nat Genet* 2006, **38:**904-909.

41. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H: **ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010, **38:**e164.

42. Boyle AP, Hong EL, Hariharan M, Cheng Y, Schaub MA, Kasowski M, Karczewski KJ, Park J, Hitz BC, Weng S, et al: **Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB.** *Genome Res* 2012, **22:**1790-1797.

43. Le Guen Y, Belloy ME, Napolioni V, Eger SJ, Kennedy G, Tao R, He Z, Greicius MD, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I: **A novel age-informed approach for genetic association analysis in Alzheimer's disease.** *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2021, **13:**72.

44. Jun G, Vardarajan BN, Buros J, Yu CE, Hawk MV, Dombroski BA, Crane PK, Larson EB, Alzheimer's Disease Genetics C, Mayeux R, et al: **Comprehensive search for Alzheimer disease susceptibility loci in the APOE region.** *Arch Neurol* 2012, **69:**1270-1279.

45. Allen M, Carrasquillo MM, Funk C, Heavner BD, Zou F, Younkin CS, Burgess JD, Chai HS, Crook J, Eddy JA, et al: **Human whole genome genotype and transcriptome data for Alzheimer's and other neurodegenerative diseases.** *Sci Data* 2016, **3:**160089.

46. Kalari KR, Nair AA, Bhavsar JD, O'Brien DR, Davila JI, Bockol MA, Nie J, Tang X, Baheti S, Doughty JB, et al: **MAP-RSeq: Mayo Analysis Pipeline for RNA sequencing.** *BMC Bioinformatics* 2014, **15:**224.

47. Hansen KD, Irizarry RA, Wu Z: **Removing technical variability in RNA-seq data using conditional quantile normalization.** *Biostatistics* 2012, **13:**204-216.

48. Uhlen M, Fagerberg L, Hallstrom BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, Sivertsson A, Kampf C, Sjostedt E, Asplund A, et al: **Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome.** *Science* 2015, **347:**1260419.

49. Kennedy PG, Lisak RP, Raff MC: **Cell type-specific markers for human glial and neuronal cells in culture.** *Lab Invest* 1980, **43:**342-351.

50. Holstege H, Hulsman M, Charbonnier C, Grenier-Boley B, Quenez O, Grozeva D, van Rooij JGJ, Sims R, Ahmad S, Amin N, et al: **Exome sequencing identifies rare damaging variants in ATP8B4 and ABCA1 as novel risk factors for Alzheimer's Disease.** *medRxiv* 2022**:**2020.2007.2022.20159251.

51. van der Velden LM, Wichers CG, van Breevoort AE, Coleman JA, Molday RS, Berger R, Klomp LW, van de Graaf SF: **Heteromeric interactions required for abundance and subcellular localization of human CDC50 proteins and class 1 P4-ATPases.** *J Biol Chem* 2010, **285:**40088-40096.

52. Sun Y, Drubin DG: **The functions of anionic phospholipids during clathrin-mediated endocytosis site initiation and vesicle formation.** *J Cell Sci* 2012, **125:**6157-6165.

53. van Vugt MJ, Heijnen IA, Capel PJ, Park SY, Ra C, Saito T, Verbeek JS, van de Winkel JG: **FcR gamma-chain is essential for both surface expression and function of human Fc gamma RI (CD64) in vivo.** *Blood* 1996, **87:**3593-3599.

54. van de Winkel JG, de Wit TP, Ernst LK, Capel PJ, Ceuppens JL: **Molecular basis for a familial defect in phagocyte expression of IgG receptor I (CD64).** *J Immunol* 1995, **154:**2896-2903.

55. Cosman D, Fanger N, Borges L, Kubin M, Chin W, Peterson L, Hsu ML: **A novel immunoglobulin superfamily receptor for cellular and viral MHC class I molecules.** *Immunity* 1997, **7:**273-282.

56. Fanger NA, Cosman D, Peterson L, Braddy SC, Maliszewski CR, Borges L: **The MHC class I binding proteins LIR-1 and LIR-2 inhibit Fc receptor-mediated signaling in monocytes.** *Eur J Immunol* 1998, **28:**3423-3434.

57. Leijonhufvud C, Reger R, Segerberg F, Theorell J, Schlums H, Bryceson YT, Childs RW, Carlsten M: **LIR-1 educates expanded human NK cells and defines a unique antitumor NK cell subset with potent antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity.** *Clin Transl Immunology* 2021, **10:**e1346.

58. Akiyama H, Barger S, Barnum S, Bradt B, Bauer J, Cole GM, Cooper NR, Eikelenboom P, Emmerling M, Fiebich BL, et al: **Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2000, **21:**383-421.

59. Takalo M, Wittrahm R, Wefers B, Parhizkar S, Jokivarsi K, Kuulasmaa T, Makinen P, Martiskainen H, Wurst W, Xiang X, et al: **The Alzheimer's disease-associated protective Plcgamma2-P522R variant promotes immune functions.** *Mol Neurodegener* 2020, **15:**52.

60. Ulland TK, Colonna M: **TREM2 - a key player in microglial biology and Alzheimer disease.** *Nat Rev Neurol* 2018, **14:**667-675.

61. Magno L, Lessard CB, Martins M, Lang V, Cruz P, Asi Y, Katan M, Bilsland J, Lashley T, Chakrabarty P, et al: **Alzheimer's disease phospholipase C-gamma-2 (PLCG2) protective variant is a functional hypermorph.** *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2019, **11:**16.

62. Andreone BJ, Przybyla L, Llapashtica C, Rana A, Davis SS, van Lengerich B, Lin K, Shi J, Mei Y, Astarita G, et al: **Alzheimer's-associated PLCgamma2 is a signaling node required for both TREM2 function and the inflammatory response in human microglia.** *Nat Neurosci* 2020, **23:**927-938.

Tables

Table 1. PRS analysis of deleterious variants in CEN$_{TREM2}$.

CPS	ExF	nV	P	Q	β (SE)
All	All	1334	$1.5x10^{-2}$	-	0.04 (0.02)
CPS>20	All	182	$2.5\ x10^{-3}$	-	0.15 (0.05)
Other		1152	$1.5\ x10^{-1}$	-	0.03 (0.02)
CPS>20	INDELs	6	$6.3\ x10^{-1}$	0.63	0.16 (0.34)
	non-exonic	5	$2.4\ x10^{-1}$	0.30	-0.60 (0.52)
	unknown	29	$8.3\ x10^{-2}$	0.14	-0.25 (0.15)
	nonsynonymous SNV	136	$1.3\ x10^{-3}$	$3.6x10^{-3}$	0.18 (0.05)
	stop-gain	6	$1.4\ x10^{-3}$	$3.6x10^{-3}$	0.70 (0.22)
	nsyn + sg	142	$9.2\ x10^{-5}$	-	0.21 (0.05)
	Other	40	$9.8\ x10^{-2}$	-	-0.22 (0.13)

Using Broad subjects for discovery (N=4174), the PRS for CEN$_{TREM2}$ variants stratified by CADD PHRED-scaled scores (CPS) and exonic function (ExF) were tested in combined WashU and Baylor subjects (N=5572). The PRS for the 182 deleterious variants in CEN$_{TREM2}$ with CPS over 20 showed significant association with AD, whereas the remaining 1153 variants showed only suggestive association. Stratified analysis of the 182 deleterious variants by ExF showed that the association was driven by 136 non-synonymous (nsyn) and 6 stop-gain (sg) variants. The number of independent variants (nV; r^2<0.2) in each set along with p-value, false discovery rate (Q), beta and standard errors (se) for association with AD are shown.

Table 2. PRS analysis of variants in individual *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *LILRB1*, and *FCGR1A* genes.

Gene	nV	P	β (SE)
TREM2	4	9.18×10^{-6}	0.69 (0.15)
ATP8B4	18	1.86×10^{-4}	0.48 (0.13)
LILRB1	15	2.44×10^{-3}	0.37 (0.12)
FCGR1A	3	3.15×10^{-3}	1.14 (0.39)

Using Broad subjects for discovery (N=4174), the PRS for variants in each gene were tested in combined WashU and Baylor subjects (N=5572). The number of independent variants (nV; $r^2<0.2$) in each gene along with p-value, beta and standard errors (se) for association with AD are shown.

Table 3. PRS analysis of combined variants from *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *LILRB1*, and *FCGR1A* genes.

Genes	nV	Test Set	P	β (SE)	Δ_{AUC}
TREM2, *ATP8B4*, *LILRB1*, *FCGR1A*	40	WashU	5.40×10^{-8}	0.53 (0.10)	0.84%
		Baylor	6.87×10^{-5}	0.48 (0.12)	0.71%
		Washu+Baylor	8.12×10^{-12}	0.52 (0.08)	0.75%
ATP8B4, *LILRB1*, *FCGR1A*	36	WashU	1.45×10^{-5}	0.48 (0.11)	0.68%
		Baylor	2.35×10^{-3}	0.43 (0.14)	0.58%
		Washu+Baylor	9.89×10^{-8}	0.46 (0.09)	0.59%

Using Broad subjects for discovery (N=4174), the PRS for the combined set of 40 variants from *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *LILRB1*, and *FCGR1A* or the set of 36 variants from the 3 novel AD genes (*ATP8B4*, *LILRB1*, and *FCGR1A*) was tested in WashU (N=3299), Baylor (N=2273), and combined WashU plus Baylor subjects (N=5572). The number of independent variants (nV; $r^2<0.2$) in each set along with p-value, beta, standard errors (se). Improvement in AUC (Δ_{AUC}) is shown for the model that included PRS, sex, *APOE* ε2, *APOE* ε 4 and the first three principal components as compared to the same model without PRS.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Analytic flow diagram.

Variants in the genes of the co-expression network containing *TREM2* (CEN_{TREM2}) were analyzed in prioritized, sequential steps. PRS analysis was performed using Broad (N=4174) for discovery and the combined WashU plus Baylor (N=5572) as test. The PRS for the 1334 independent variants ($r^2 < 0.2$) with a minor allele count of 20 or more in CEN_{TREM} showed significant association with AD. The PRS for the 182 deleterious variants in CEN_{TREM2} (CADD PHRED-scaled scores over 20) showed significant association with AD, whereas the remaining 1152 variants showed only suggestive association. Stratified analysis of the 182 deleterious variants by exonic function showed that association was driven by 136 non-synonymous (nsyn) and 6 stop-gain (sg) variants. False discovery rates (Q) determined after adjustment for 142 deleterious stop-gain and non-synonymous variants showed that *TREM2*.pR47H, *ATP8B4*.pG395S, *FCGR1A*.pR92X, and *LILRB1*.Y331X had significant Q-values. The PRS for these 4 variants showed significant association with AD, as did the 36 remaining variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*. The PRS for entire set of 40 variants in the 4 genes showed highly significant, replicable association (Table 3). After adjustment for all 40 variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*, which had ADSP p-values ranging from 1.3E-08 to 0.96, 7 variants had significant false discovery rates ($Q < 0.05$), the four deleterious variants identified above and three additional variants.

Figure 2. Q-Q plots for ADSP WES variants with minor allele counts (MAC) of 20 or more.

Q-Q plots showing p-values from logistic regression adjusting for appropriate covariates for independent variants ($r^2 \leq 0.2$) with minor allele count 20 or more in the ADSP (N=9746) dataset. The solid red line shows the p-value distribution expected on the null hypothesis of no

association with AD. **A.** ADSP p-values for the 1,334 independent variants located in the 228 genes of CEN_{TREM2} (light blue symbols) and the p-values for all remaining independent variants in the ADSP WES dataset (n=85,383; orange symbols) are shown. **B.** ADSP p-values for the 40 variants in the top 4 genes (*TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, *LILRB1*) of CEN_{TREM2} (blue symbols) and the p-values for all other variants in CEN_{TREM2} (n=1,294; orange symbols) are shown.

Figure 3. Forest plot of deleterious non-synonymous and stop-gain variants in CEN_{TREM2}. Annotated results are shown for the 15 variants with ADSP p-values of 0.05 or less. Using all qualifying participants in the ADSP, p-values were obtained for deleterious variants in CEN_{TREM2} by logistic regression while adjusting for sex, *APOE* ε2, *APOE* ε4 and the first 3 principal components. After adjustment for the 142 deleterious non-synonymous (nsyn) and stop-gain (sg) variants, which had ADSP p-values ranging from 1.3E-08 to 0.99, 4 variants had significant false discovery rates (Q< 0.05).

Figure 4. Forest plot of variants from *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *LILRB1*, and *FCGR1A* genes. Annotated results are shown for the 12 variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1* with ADSP P-values of 0.05 or less. Using all qualifying participants in the ADSP, p-values were obtained for all variants in the four genes by logistic regression while adjusting for sex, *APOE* ε2, *APOE* ε4 and the first 3 principal components. After adjustment for all 40 variants in these 4 genes, which had ADSP P-values ranging from 1.3E-08 to 0.96, 7 variants had significant false discovery rates (Q< 0.05).

Additional files

Additional file 1. Sample demographics by AD cases and cognitively normal controls. Total number of participants, number and percentage of females, age and standard deviation (sd), and the number and percentage of *APOE* ε4 positives is shown for each subset. File Format: .xlsx

Additional File 2. Annotated results are shown for the 142 deleterious non-synonymous and stop-gain variants in CEN_{TREM2}. Using all qualifying participants in the ADSP, p-values were obtained for deleterious variants in CEN_{TREM2} by logistic regression while adjusting for sex, *APOE* ε2, *APOE* ε4 and the first 3 principal components. After adjustment for the 142 variants in this polygenic component, which had ADSP p-values ranging from 1.28×10^{-8} to 0.995, 4 variants had significant false discovery rates (Q< 0.05). File Format: .xlsx

Additional File 3. Annotated results for all independent variants (r^2<0.2) in *TREM2, ATP8B4, FCGR1A*, and *LILRB1*. Using all qualifying participants in the ADSP, p-values were obtained for all variants in the four genes by logistic regression while adjusting for sex, *APOE* ε2, *APOE* ε4 and the first 3 principal components. After adjustment for all 40 variants in these 4 genes, which had ADSP p-values ranging from 1.3×10^{-8} to 0.96, 7 variants had significant false discovery rates (Q< 0.05). File Format: .xlsx



4 variants with FDR < 0.05

Gene	rsID	AAC	ExF	CPS	MAF	P	Q	OR (CI)
TREM2	rs75932628	R47H	nsyn	26.1	0.62%	1.30E-08	1.80E-06	4.80 (2.79, 8.23)
ATP8B4	rs138799625	G395S	nsyn	25.0	0.90%	1.80E-05	1.30E-03	2.15 (1.52, 3.06)
FCGR1A	rs74315310	R92X	sg	27.4	0.69%	2.00E-04	9.40E-03	2.19 (1.45, 3.32)
LILRB1	rs200461258	Y331X	sg	35.0	0.14%	6.60E-04	0.02	0.17 (0.06, 0.47)



7 variants with FDR < 0.05

Gene	rsID	AAC	ExF	CPS	MAF	P	Q	OR (CI)
TREM2	rs75932628	R47H	nsyn	26.1	0.62%	1.28E-08	5.12E-07	4.8 (2.79, 8.23)
ATP8B4	rs138799625	G395S	nsyn	25.0	0.90%	1.83E-05	3.65E-04	2.15 (1.52, 3.06)
FCGR1A	rs74315310	R92X	sg	27.4	0.69%	1.99E-04	2.65E-03	2.20 (1.45, 3.32)
LILRB1	rs200461258	Y331X	sg	35.0	0.14%	6.57E-04	6.57E-03	0.17 (0.06, 0.47)
ATP8B4	rs146911077	R1059Q	nsyn	14.4	0.33%	1.64E-03	0.01	0.42 (0.24, 0.72)
LILRB1	rs1386879329	P7P	syn	3.9	0.25%	5.72E-03	0.04	2.66 (1.33, 5.32)
LILRB1	rs141840935	Y327Y	syn	0.1	2.06%	7.72E-03	0.04	1.34 (1.08, 1.67)


A.
Observed $-\log_{10}(p)$
Expected $-\log_{10}(p)$
0
2
4
6
8
0
1
2
3
4
5
Variants in CEN$_{TREM2}$
All other variants in the ADSP WES
-log10 (0.05)
B.
Observed $-\log_{10}(p)$
Expected $-\log_{10}(p)$
0
2
4
6
8
0
1
2
3
Variants in *TREM2*, *ATP8B4*, *FCGR1A* & *LILRB1*
All other variants in CEN$_{TREM2}$
-log10 (0.05)

Gene	rsID	AAC	ExF	CPS	MAF	P	Q	OR (CI)
APOE	rs429358	C156R	nsyn	16.65	16.4%	2.9e−186		4.52 (4.09, 5.01)
APOE	rs7412	R202C	nsyn	26	7.42%	2.0e−44		0.36 (0.31, 0.42)
TREM2	rs75932628	R47H	nsyn	26.1	0.62%	1.3e−08	1.8e−06	4.8 (2.79, 8.23)
ATP8B4	rs138799625	G395S	nsyn	25	0.90%	1.8e−05	1.3e−03	2.15 (1.52, 3.06)
FCGR1A	rs74315310	R92X	sg	27.4	0.69%	2.0e−04	9.4e−03	2.19 (1.45, 3.32)
LILRB1	rs200461258	Y331X	sg	35	0.14%	6.6e−04	0.02	0.17 (0.06, 0.47)
CSF1R	rs56048668	V32G	nsyn	24	0.65%	5.8e−03	0.15	0.58 (0.4, 0.86)
LAT2	rs144269935	I39M	nsyn	25.3	1.85%	6.3e−03	0.15	1.38 (1.1, 1.74)
C3	rs2230210	S1619R	nsyn	22.1	0.24%	0.01	0.24	0.44 (0.23, 0.83)
RHBDF2	rs140433374	A314T	nsyn	24.1	0.13%	0.01	0.24	0.32 (0.13, 0.79)
PYGL	rs946616	V222I	nsyn	21.7	6.47%	0.03	0.38	0.87 (0.77, 0.99)
TREM2	rs142232675	D87N	nsyn	21.8	0.16%	0.03	0.38	2.64 (1.08, 6.48)
PTGS1	rs5789	L237M	nsyn	22.7	2.74%	0.03	0.38	1.23 (1.02, 1.48)
SLC2A9	rs73225891	D252H	nsyn	22.5	2.71%	0.03	0.38	0.82 (0.68, 0.99)
NCKAP1L	rs2270581	S352L	nsyn	21.6	6.21%	0.03	0.38	0.87 (0.77, 0.99)
STAB1	rs139838594	R1171C	nsyn	23.5	0.35%	0.05	0.45	0.59 (0.35, 0.99)
CPVL	rs147771477	R464Q	nsyn	25.9	0.47%	0.05	0.45	1.59 (1, 2.53)



Gene	rsID	AAC	ExF	CPS	MAF	P	Q	OR (CI)
APOE	rs429358	C156R	nsyn	16.65	16.4%	2.9e−186		4.52 (4.09, 5.01)
APOE	rs7412	R202C	nsyn	26	7.42%	2.0e−44		0.36 (0.31, 0.42)
TREM2	rs75932628	R47H	nsyn	26.1	0.62%	1.3e−08	5.1e−07	4.8 (2.79, 8.23)
ATP8B4	rs138799625	G395S	nsyn	25	0.90%	1.8e−05	3.7e−04	2.15 (1.52, 3.06)
FCGR1A	rs74315310	R92X	sg	27.4	0.69%	2.0e−04	2.7e−03	2.19 (1.45, 3.32)
LILRB1	rs200461258	Y331X	sg	35	0.14%	6.6e−04	6.6e−03	0.17 (0.06, 0.47)
ATP8B4	rs146911077	R1059Q	nsyn	14.35	0.33%	1.6e−03	0.01	0.42 (0.24, 0.72)
LILRB1	rs1386879329	P7P	syn	3.886	0.25%	5.7e−03	0.04	2.66 (1.33, 5.32)
LILRB1	rs141840935	Y327Y	syn	0.104	2.06%	7.7e−03	0.04	1.34 (1.08, 1.67)
TREM2	rs143332484	R62H	nsyn	9.763	1.14%	0.01	0.05	1.48 (1.1, 1.99)
ATP8B4	rs72733094	I598I	syn	0.465	1.05%	0.02	0.08	1.44 (1.06, 1.95)
TREM2	rs142232675	D87N	nsyn	21.8	0.16%	0.03	0.13	2.64 (1.08, 6.48)
LILRB1	rs272423	S347S	syn		32.52%	0.05	0.16	0.94 (0.88, 1)
ATP8B4	rs74012834	C874R	nsyn	8.546	0.45%	0.05	0.16	1.59 (1, 2.52)