# **Protection of SARS-CoV-2 natural infection against reinfection with the Omicron BA.4 or BA.5 subvariants**

Heba N. Altarawneh, MD,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Hiam Chemaitelly, PhD<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Houssein H. Ayoub, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Mohammad R. Hasan, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Peter Coyle, MD<sup>6,7,8</sup>, Hadi M. Yassine, PhD<sup>7,9</sup>, Hebah A. Al-Khatib, PhD<sup>7,9</sup>, Fatiha M. Benslimane, PhD<sup>7,9</sup>, Zaina Al-Kanaani, PhD<sup>6</sup>, Einas Al-Kuwari, MD<sup>6</sup>, Andrew Jeremijenko, MD<sup>6</sup>, Anvar Hassan Kaleeckal, MSc<sup>6</sup>, Ali Nizar Latif, MD<sup>6</sup>, Riyazuddin Mohammad Shaik, MSc<sup>6</sup>, Hanan F. Abdul-Rahim, PhD<sup>10</sup>, Gheyath K. Nasrallah, PhD<sup>7,9</sup>, Mohamed Ghaith Al-Kuwari, MD<sup>11</sup>, Adeel A. Butt, MBBS MS<sup>3,6,12</sup>, Hamad Eid Al-Romaihi, MD<sup>13</sup>, Mohamed H. Al-Thani, MD<sup>13</sup>, Abdullatif Al-Khal, MD<sup>6</sup>, and Roberto Bertollini, MD MPH<sup>13</sup>, Patrick Tang, MD PhD<sup>4</sup>, and Laith J. Abu-Raddad, PhD<sup>1,2,3,10\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Infectious Disease Epidemiology Group, Weill Cornell Medicine-Qatar, Cornell University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>2</sup>World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Disease Epidemiology Analytics on HIV/AIDS, Sexually Transmitted Infections, and Viral Hepatitis, Weill Cornell Medicine–Qatar, Cornell University, Qatar Foundation – Education City, Doha, Qatar

<sup>3</sup>Department of Population Health Sciences, Weill Cornell Medicine, Cornell University, New York, New York, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pathology, Sidra Medicine, Doha, Qatar

<sup>5</sup>*Mathematics Program, Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Physics, College of Arts and Sciences, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar* 

<sup>6</sup>*Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar* 

<sup>7</sup>Biomedical Research Center, Member of QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>8</sup>Wellcome-Wolfson Institute for Experimental Medicine, Queens University, Belfast, United Kingdom

<sup>9</sup>Department of Biomedical Science, College of Health Sciences, Member of QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>10</sup>Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

<sup> $\tilde{11}</sup>Primary Health Care Corporation, Doha, Qatar</sup>$ 

<sup>12</sup>Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, Cornell University, New York, New York, USA

<sup>13</sup>Ministry of Public Health, Doha, Qatar

For correspondence: Professor Laith J. Abu-Raddad, E-mail: <u>lja2002@qatar-med.cornell.edu</u>.

#### Abstract

This study estimates the effectiveness of previous infection with SARS-CoV-2 in preventing reinfection with Omicron BA.4/BA.5 subvariants using a test-negative, case-control study design. Cases (SARS-CoV-2-positive test results) and controls (SARS-CoV-2-negative test results) were matched according to sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, calendar week of testing, method of testing, and reason for testing. Effectiveness was estimated using the S-gene "target failure" (SGTF) infections between May 7, 2022-July 4, 2022. SGTF status provides a proxy for BA.4/BA.5 infections, considering the negligible incidence of other SGTF variants during the study. Effectiveness was also estimated using all diagnosed infections between June 8, 2022-July 4, 2022, when BA.4/BA.5 dominated incidence. Effectiveness of a previous pre-Omicron infection against symptomatic BA.4/BA.5 reinfection was 15.1% (95% CI: -47.1-50.9%), and against any BA.4/BA.5 reinfection irrespective of symptoms was 28.3% (95% CI: 11.4-41.9%). Effectiveness of a previous Omicron infection against symptomatic BA.4/BA.5 reinfection was 76.1% (95% CI: 54.9-87.3%), and against any BA.4/BA.5 reinfection was 79.7% (95% CI: 74.3-83.9%). Results using all diagnosed infections when BA.4/BA.5 dominated incidence confirmed the same findings. Sensitivity analyses adjusting for vaccination status confirmed study results. Protection of a previous infection against BA.4/BA.5 reinfection was modest when the previous infection involved a pre-Omicron variant, but strong when the previous infection involved the Omicron BA.1 or BA.2 subvariants. Protection of a previous infection against BA.4/BA.5 was lower than that against BA.1/BA.2, consistent with BA.4/BA.5's greater capacity for immune-system evasion than that of BA.1/BA.2.

### Introduction

Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 (B.1.1.529) subvariants of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) have emerged in recent weeks and exhibit substantial capacity to escape from neutralizing antibodies.<sup>1</sup> These subvariants were introduced in Qatar by early May of 2022 (Figure 1), and became the dominant subvariants by June 8, 2022 (Figure 2). We estimated effectiveness of previous infection in preventing reinfection with BA.4/BA.5 using a test-negative, case–control study design.<sup>2</sup>

#### Methods

#### Study population, data sources, and study design

This study was conducted in the resident population of Qatar, applying the test-negative, casecontrol study design<sup>2-4</sup> to investigate the protection afforded by previous SARS-CoV-2 infection in preventing reinfection with SARS-CoV-2 BA.4 or BA.5 Omicron<sup>5</sup> subvariants. Effectiveness of previous infection in preventing reinfection ( $PE_S$ ) was defined as the proportional reduction in susceptibility to infection among those with previous infection versus those without.<sup>2,6</sup> The testnegative methodology was recently developed and validated for the specific derivation of rigorous and robust estimates for SARS-CoV-2  $PE_S$ ,<sup>2</sup> and has been applied in other recent studies for this purpose.<sup>7-9</sup>

The study analyzed the national, federated databases for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) laboratory testing, vaccination, clinical infection data, hospitalization, and death, retrieved from the integrated nationwide digital-health information platform. Databases include all SARS-CoV-2-related data and associated demographic information, with no missing information, since

pandemic onset, documenting all polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing and more recently, rapid antigen (RA) testing conducted at healthcare facilities (from January 5, 2022 onward). Every PCR test (but not every RA test) conducted in Qatar is classified on the basis of symptoms and the reason for testing (clinical symptoms, contact tracing, surveys or random testing campaigns, individual requests, routine healthcare testing, pre-travel, at port of entry, or other). PCR and RA testing in Qatar is done at a mass scale, where about 5% of the population are tested every week.<sup>10</sup> Most infections are diagnosed not because of appearance of symptoms, but because of routine testing.<sup>10</sup> Qatar has unusually young, diverse demographics, in that only 9% of its residents are  $\geq$ 50 years of age, and 89% are expatriates from over 150 countries.<sup>11,12</sup> Qatar launched its COVID-19 vaccination program in December of 2020 using BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines.<sup>13</sup> Further descriptions of the study population and these national databases have been reported previously.<sup>10,12-17</sup>

For estimation of  $PE_s$  against BA.4 or BA.5 infection, we exact-matched cases (SARS-CoV-2positive tests) and controls (SARS-CoV-2-negative tests) in a one-to-five ratio by sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, calendar week of testing, method of testing (PCR or RA), and reason for testing. Matching was done to control for known differences in risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infection in Qatar.<sup>12,18-21</sup> Matching by these factors was previously shown to provide adequate control of differences in risk of SARS-CoV-2 exposure in studies of different designs and that included control groups to test for null effects, including test-negative case-control studies.<sup>10,13,16,22,23</sup>

Effectiveness was estimated using two sets of cases. The first one includes the S-gene "target failure" (SGTF) cases identified using the TaqPath COVID-19 Combo Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA<sup>24</sup>). Routine PCR mass testing was designed to allow capture of SGTF variants

during the study. SGTF status provides a proxy for BA.4 or BA.5 cases, considering the limited incidence of other variants with SGTF in Qatar during the study, between May 7, 2022 and July 4, 2022. To improve on statistical precision, an additional estimate was generated using all cases diagnosed when BA.4 and BA.5 dominated incidence, that is between June 8, 2022 and July 4, 2022. This estimate provides an upper bound for the effectiveness considering that some infections during this time could have been with BA.2, a subvariant associated with less immune evasion compared to BA.4 and BA.5.<sup>1,8</sup>

Only the first SARS-CoV-2-positive test for an individual occurring during the study period was included, while all SARS-CoV-2-negative tests were included. Controls included SARS-CoV-2-negative tests on individuals with no record of a SARS-CoV-2-positive test during the study.

SARS-CoV-2 reinfection is conventionally defined as a documented infection  $\geq$ 90 days after an earlier infection, to avoid misclassification of prolonged PCR positivity as reinfection, if a shorter time interval is used.<sup>8,25</sup> Previous infection was thus defined as a SARS-CoV-2-positive test  $\geq$ 90 days before this study's SARS-CoV-2 test. Cases or controls with SARS-CoV-2-positive tests <90 days before the study's SARS-CoV-2 test were excluded.

Every control that met the inclusion criteria and that could be matched to a case was included in analysis. The above inclusion and exclusion criteria were implemented to minimize different types of potential bias, as informed by previous analyses.<sup>7,8,10</sup> Previous infections were classified as pre-Omicron versus Omicron previous infections based on whether they occurred before or after the Omicron wave that started in Qatar on December 19, 2021.<sup>7,8,17</sup>

#### Laboratory methods

#### Real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction testing

Nasopharyngeal and/or oropharyngeal swabs were collected for PCR testing and placed in Universal Transport Medium (UTM). Aliquots of UTM were: 1) extracted on KingFisher Flex (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), MGISP-960 (MGI, China), or ExiPrep 96 Lite (Bioneer, South Korea) followed by testing with real-time reverse-transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) using TaqPath COVID-19 Combo Kits (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) on an ABI 7500 FAST (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA); 2) tested directly on the Cepheid GeneXpert system using the Xpert Xpress SARS-CoV-2 (Cepheid, USA); or 3) loaded directly into a Roche cobas 6800 system and assayed with the cobas SARS-CoV-2 Test (Roche, Switzerland). The first assay targets the viral S, N, and ORF1ab gene regions. The second targets the viral N and E-gene regions, and the third targets the ORF1ab and E-gene regions.

All PCR testing was conducted at the Hamad Medical Corporation Central Laboratory or Sidra Medicine Laboratory, following standardized protocols.

#### **Rapid antigen testing**

SARS-CoV-2 antigen tests were performed on nasopharyngeal swabs using one of the following lateral flow antigen tests: Panbio COVID-19 Ag Rapid Test Device (Abbott, USA); SARS-CoV-2 Rapid Antigen Test (Roche, Switzerland); Standard Q COVID-19 Antigen Test (SD Biosensor, Korea); or CareStart COVID-19 Antigen Test (Access Bio, USA). All antigen tests were performed point-of-care according to each manufacturer's instructions at public or private hospitals and clinics throughout Qatar with prior authorization and training by the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH). Antigen test results were electronically reported to the MOPH in real

time using the Antigen Test Management System which is integrated with the national COVID-19 database.

#### Viral genome sequencing and classification of infections by variant type

Surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 variants in Qatar is based on viral genome sequencing and multiplex RT-qPCR variant screening<sup>26</sup> of random positive clinical samples,<sup>10,15,16,27-29</sup> complemented by deep sequencing of wastewater samples.<sup>27,30</sup> Further details on the viral genome sequencing and multiplex RT-qPCR variant screening throughout the SARS-CoV-2 waves in Qatar can be found in previous publications.<sup>7,8,10,15-17,27-29,31-33</sup>

A total of 82 random SARS-CoV-2-positive specimens collected between May 28, 2022 and June 10, 2022 were viral whole-genome sequenced on a Nanopore GridION sequencing device. Of these, 1 (1.2%) was confirmed as Omicron BA.1, 38 (46.3%) as BA.2, 9 (11.0%) as BA.4, and 34 (41.5%) as BA.5.

Moreover, between June 5, 2022 and June 25, 2022, whole-genome sequencing of an additional 93 random SARS-CoV-2-positive specimens with PCR cycle threshold (Ct) values  $\leq$ 25 showed 63 (67.7%) were BA.5, 7 (7.5%) were BA.4, 19 (20.4%) were BA.2, and 4 (4.3%) were BA.1. Additionally, between May 1, 2022 and June 15, 2022, S-gene sequencing of 84 other random SARS-CoV-2-positive specimens with SGTF showed 81 (96.4%) were BA.4/BA.5 and 3 (3.6%) were BA.1.

#### **Statistical analysis**

While all records of SARS-CoV-2 testing were examined for selection of cases and controls, only matched samples were analyzed. Cases and controls were described using frequency distributions and measures of central tendency and compared using standardized mean

differences. A standardized mean difference was defined as the difference in the mean of a covariate between groups, divided by the pooled standard deviation, with values <0.1 indicating optimal matching.<sup>34</sup>

 $PE_S$  was derived as one minus the ratio of the odds of previous infection in cases (SARS-CoV-2positive tests), to the odds of previous infection in controls (SARS-CoV-2-negative tests):<sup>2</sup>

 $PE_s = 1 - \text{odds ratio of prior infection among cases versus controls}$ .

Odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were derived using conditional logistic regression, factoring the matching in the study design. This analytical approach, that also factors matching by calendar week of test, minimizes potential bias due to variation in epidemic phase<sup>3,35</sup> and roll-out of vaccination during the study.<sup>3,35</sup> CIs were not adjusted for multiplicity and thus should not be used to infer definitive differences between different groups. Interactions were not investigated.

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the robustness of estimates of  $PE_S$ . This was done by additionally adjusting for vaccination status in the conditional logistic regression. Statistical analyses were conducted in STATA/SE version 17.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

#### Oversight

Hamad Medical Corporation and Weill Cornell Medicine-Qatar Institutional Review Boards approved this retrospective study with a waiver of informed consent. The study was reported following the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines. The STROBE checklist is found in Table 1.

#### Results

Figures 3-4 show selection of the study population and Table 2 shows the population's baseline characteristics. The study population was broadly representative of Qatar's population. Effectiveness of a previous pre-Omicron infection against symptomatic BA.4/BA.5 reinfection was 15.1% (95% CI: -47.1-50.9%), and against any BA.4/BA.5 reinfection irrespective of symptoms was 28.3% (95% CI: 11.4-41.9%) (Table 3). Effectiveness of a previous Omicron infection against symptomatic BA.4/BA.5 reinfection was 76.1% (95% CI: 54.9-87.3%), and against any BA.4/BA.5 reinfection was 79.7% (95% CI: 74.3-83.9%).

Results using all diagnosed infections when BA.4/BA.5 dominated incidence showed higher effectiveness estimates, as expected for an upper bound, but still confirmed the same findings with improved statistical precision (Table 3). Sensitivity analyses adjusting for vaccination status confirmed study results (Table 3).

#### Discussion

Protection of a previous infection against BA.4/BA.5 reinfection was modest when the previous infection involved a pre-Omicron variant, but strong when the previous infection involved the Omicron BA.1 or BA.2 subvariant. Importantly, protection of a previous infection against BA.4/BA.5 was lower than that against BA.1/BA.2,<sup>7,8,36</sup> consistent with BA.4/BA.5's greater capacity for immune-system evasion than that of BA.1/BA.2.

#### Limitations

With the young population of Qatar, our findings may not be generalizable to other countries where elderly citizens constitute a larger proportion of the total population. With the relatively young population of Qatar,<sup>12,37</sup> the lower severity of Omicron,<sup>38-40</sup> and the time lag between infection and severe forms of COVID-19, there were too small number of confirmed severe,<sup>41</sup>

critical,<sup>41</sup> and fatal<sup>42</sup> COVID-19 cases to estimate  $PE_s$  against COVID-19 hospitalization and death due to reinfection. Because also of the relatively small number of infections, it was not possible to generate effectiveness estimates by time since previous infection.

The study is based on SARS-CoV-2 tests done on individuals currently in Qatar. Qatar has a diverse expatriate population, and it is possible that some persons may have had a previous infection diagnosis while traveling abroad to visit family or for vacation, but which would not have been captured in our national databases. However, this is not likely to affect our estimates. It has already been shown that even considerable levels of misclassification of previous infection status had a minimal impact on estimated  $PE_{s_1}^2$  a key strength of the test-negative design.<sup>2</sup> While matching was done for sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, calendar week of testing, method of testing (PCR or RA), and reason for testing, this was not possible for other factors such as geography or occupation, as such data were unavailable. However, Qatar is essentially a city state and infection incidence was broadly distributed across neighborhoods. Nearly 90% of Qatar's population are expatriates from over 150 countries coming here because of employment;<sup>12</sup> most are craft and manual workers working in development projects.<sup>12</sup> Nationality, age, and sex provide a powerful proxy for socio-economic status in this country.<sup>12,18-21</sup> Nationality alone is strongly associated with occupation.<sup>12,18-21</sup> Matching was done to control for factors known to affect infection exposure in Qatar.<sup>12,18-21</sup> The matching prescription had already been investigated in previous studies of different epidemiologic designs, and using control groups to test for null effects.<sup>10,13,16,22,23</sup> These control groups included unvaccinated cohorts versus vaccinated cohorts within two weeks of the first dose,<sup>10,16,22,23</sup> when vaccine protection is negligible,<sup>43,44</sup> and mRNA-1273- versus BNT162b2vaccinated cohorts, also in the first two weeks after the first dose.<sup>13</sup> These studies have shown

that this prescription provides adequate control of the differences in infection exposure.<sup>10,13,16,22,23</sup> The study was implemented on Qatar's total population, perhaps thus minimizing the likelihood of bias.

 $PE_S$  was assessed using an observational, test-negative, case-control study design,<sup>2</sup> rather than a cohort study design where individuals are followed up over time. However, the cohort study design applied in earlier analyses to estimate  $PE_S$  in the same population of Qatar yielded findings similar to those of the test-negative, case-control study design,<sup>2,6,14,45,46</sup> supporting the validity of this design in estimating  $PE_S$ . It even appears that the test-negative study design may be less prone to some forms of bias than the cohort study design.<sup>2</sup>

Nonetheless, one cannot exclude the possibility that in real-world data, bias could arise in unexpected ways, or from unknown sources, such as subtle differences in test-seeking behavior or changes in the pattern of testing. Notwithstanding these limitations, consistent findings were reached in all the different primary and sensitivity analyses.

## References

1. Hachmann NP, Miller J, Collier AY, et al. Neutralization Escape by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Subvariants BA.2.12.1, BA.4, and BA.5. N Engl J Med 2022.

2. Ayoub HH, Tomy M, Chemaitelly H, et al. Estimating protection afforded by prior infection in preventing reinfection: Applying the test-negative study design. medRxiv 2022:2022.01.02.22268622.

3. Jackson ML, Nelson JC. The test-negative design for estimating influenza vaccine effectiveness. Vaccine 2013;31:2165-8.

4. Verani JR, Baqui AH, Broome CV, et al. Case-control vaccine effectiveness studies: Preparation, design, and enrollment of cases and controls. Vaccine 2017;35:3295-302.

5. World Health Organization. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants. Available from: https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/. 2021.

6. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Coyle P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibody-positivity protects against reinfection for at least seven months with 95% efficacy. EClinicalMedicine 2021;35:100861.

7. Altarawneh HN, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. Effects of Previous Infection and Vaccination on Symptomatic Omicron Infections. N Engl J Med 2022.

8. Altarawneh HN, Chemaitelly H, Hasan MR, et al. Protection against the Omicron Variant from Previous SARS-CoV-2 Infection. N Engl J Med 2022.

9. Carazo S, Skowronski DM, Brisson M, et al. Protection against Omicron re-infection conferred by prior heterologous SARS-CoV-2 infection, with and without mRNA vaccination. medRxiv 2022:2022.04.29.22274455.

10. Chemaitelly H, Tang P, Hasan MR, et al. Waning of BNT162b2 Vaccine Protection against SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Qatar. N Engl J Med 2021;385:e83.

11. Planning and Statistics Authority-State of Qatar. Qatar Monthly Statistics. Available from: <u>https://www.psa.gov.qa/en/pages/default.aspx</u>. Accessed on: May 26, 2020. 2020.

12. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. Characterizing the Qatar advanced-phase SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. Sci Rep 2021;11:6233.

13. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Bertollini R, National Study Group for Covid Vaccination. Effectiveness of mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2 Vaccines in Qatar. N Engl J Med 2022.

14. Chemaitelly H, Bertollini R, Abu-Raddad LJ, National Study Group for Covid Epidemiology. Efficacy of Natural Immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Reinfection with the Beta Variant. N Engl J Med 2021;385:2585-6.

15. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Butt AA, National Study Group for Covid Vaccination. Effectiveness of the BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine against the B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 Variants. N Engl J Med 2021;385:187-9.

16. Chemaitelly H, Yassine HM, Benslimane FM, et al. mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness against the B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 variants and severe COVID-19 disease in Qatar. Nat Med 2021;27:1614-21.

17. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. Effect of mRNA Vaccine Boosters against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Infection in Qatar. N Engl J Med 2022.

18. Ayoub HH, Chemaitelly H, Seedat S, et al. Mathematical modeling of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in Qatar and its impact on the national response to COVID-19. J Glob Health 2021;11:05005.

19. Coyle PV, Chemaitelly H, Ben Hadj Kacem MA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in the urban population of Qatar: An analysis of antibody testing on a sample of 112,941 individuals. iScience 2021;24:102646.

20. Al-Thani MH, Farag E, Bertollini R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection Is at Herd Immunity in the Majority Segment of the Population of Qatar. Open Forum Infect Dis 2021;8:ofab221.

21. Jeremijenko A, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. Herd Immunity against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection in 10 Communities, Qatar. Emerg Infect Dis 2021;27:1343-52.

22. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Yassine HM, et al. Pfizer-BioNTech mRNA BNT162b2 Covid-19 vaccine protection against variants of concern after one versus two doses. J Travel Med 2021;28.

23. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Bertollini R, National Study Group for Covid Vaccination. Waning mRNA-1273 Vaccine Effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Qatar. N Engl J Med 2022.

24. Thermo Fisher Scientific. TaqPath<sup>TM</sup> COVID  $\Box$  19 CE  $\Box$  IVD RT  $\Box$  PCR Kit instructions for use. Available from: <u>https://assets.thermofisher.com/TFS-</u>

Assets/LSG/manuals/MAN0019215\_TaqPathCOVID-19\_CE-IVD\_RT-PCR%20Kit\_IFU.pdf. Accessed on December 02, 2020. 2020.

25. Pilz S, Theiler-Schwetz V, Trummer C, Krause R, Ioannidis JPA. SARS-CoV-2 reinfections: Overview of efficacy and duration of natural and hybrid immunity. Environ Res 2022:112911.

26. Multiplexed RT-qPCR to screen for SARS-COV-2 B.1.1.7, B.1.351, and P.1 variants of concern V.3. dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.br9vm966. 2021. (Accessed June 6, 2021, at https://www.protocols.io/view/multiplexed-rt-qpcr-to-screen-for-sars-cov-2-b-1-1-br9vm966.)

27. Qatar viral genome sequencing data. Data on randomly collected samples. https://www.gisaid.org/phylodynamics/global/nextstrain/. 2021. at

https://www.gisaid.org/phylodynamics/global/nextstrain/.)

28. Benslimane FM, Al Khatib HA, Al-Jamal O, et al. One Year of SARS-CoV-2: Genomic Characterization of COVID-19 Outbreak in Qatar. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2021;11:768883.

29. Hasan MR, Kalikiri MKR, Mirza F, et al. Real-Time SARS-CoV-2 Genotyping by High-Throughput Multiplex PCR Reveals the Epidemiology of the Variants of Concern in Qatar. Int J Infect Dis 2021;112:52-4.

30. Saththasivam J, El-Malah SS, Gomez TA, et al. COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) outbreak monitoring using wastewater-based epidemiology in Qatar. Sci Total Environ 2021;774:145608.

31. Tang P, Hasan MR, Chemaitelly H, et al. BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness against the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant in Qatar. Nat Med 2021;27:2136-43.

32. Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, AlMukdad S, et al. Duration of mRNA vaccine protection against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 subvariants in Qatar. Nat Commun 2022;13:3082.

33. Qassim SH, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. Effects of BA.1/BA.2 subvariant, vaccination, and prior infection on infectiousness of SARS-CoV-2 omicron infections. Journal of Travel Medicine 2022.

34. Austin PC. Using the Standardized Difference to Compare the Prevalence of a Binary Variable Between Two Groups in Observational Research. Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation 2009;38:1228-34.

35. Jacoby P, Kelly H. Is it necessary to adjust for calendar time in a test negative design?: Responding to: Jackson ML, Nelson JC. The test negative design for estimating influenza vaccine effectiveness. Vaccine 2013;31(April (17)):2165-8. Vaccine 2014;32:2942.

36. Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, Coyle P, et al. Protection of Omicron sub-lineage infection against reinfection with another Omicron sub-lineage. medRxiv 2022:2022.02.24.22271440.
37. Seedat S, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection hospitalization,

severity, criticality, and fatality rates in Qatar. Sci Rep 2021;11:18182.

38. Wolter N, Jassat W, Walaza S, et al. Early assessment of the clinical severity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in South Africa. medRxiv 2021:2021.12.21.21268116.

39. Butt AA, Dargham SR, Loka S, et al. COVID-19 Disease Severity in Children Infected with the Omicron Variant. Clin Infect Dis 2022.

40. Butt AA, Dargham SR, Tang P, et al. COVID-19 disease severity in persons infected with the Omicron variant compared with the Delta variant in Qatar. J Glob Health 2022;12:05032.

41. World Health Organization. COVID-19 clinical management: living guidance. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-clinical-2021-1</u>. Accessed on: May 31, 2021. 2021.

42. World Health Organization. International guidelines for certification and classification (coding) of COVID-19 as cause of death. Available from:

https://www.who.int/classifications/icd/Guidelines\_Cause\_of\_Death\_COVID-19-20200420-EN.pdf?ua=1. Document Number: WHO/HQ/DDI/DNA/CAT. Accessed on May 31, 2021. 2021.

43. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2603-15.

44. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 2021;384:403-16.

45. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. Introduction and expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 variant and reinfections in Qatar: A nationally representative cohort study. PLoS Med 2021;18:e1003879.

46. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Malek JA, et al. Assessment of the Risk of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Reinfection in an Intense Reexposure Setting. Clin Infect Dis 2021;73:e1830-e40.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the many dedicated individuals at Hamad Medical Corporation, the Ministry of Public Health, the Primary Health Care Corporation, the Qatar Biobank, Sidra Medicine, and Weill Cornell Medicine – Qatar for their diligent efforts and contributions to make this study possible.

The authors are grateful for support from the Biomedical Research Program and the Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Biomathematics Research Core, both at Weill Cornell Medicine-Qatar, as well as for support provided by the Ministry of Public Health, Hamad Medical Corporation, and Sidra Medicine. The authors are also grateful for the Qatar Genome Programme and Qatar University Biomedical Research Center for institutional support for the reagents needed for the viral genome sequencing. Statements made herein are solely the responsibility of the authors. The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the article.

#### **Author contributions**

HNA co-designed the study, performed the main statistical analyses, and co-wrote the first draft of the article. LJA conceived and co-designed the study, led the statistical analyses, and co-wrote the first draft of the article. HC co-designed the study, performed statistical analyses, and cowrote the first draft of the article. PVC designed mass PCR testing to allow routine capture of SGTF variants. PT and MRH conducted the multiplex, RT-qPCR variant screening and viral genome sequencing. HY, HAK, and MKS conducted viral genome sequencing. HA contributed to study design. All authors contributed to data collection and acquisition, database development, discussion and interpretation of the results, and to the writing of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

### **Competing interests**

Dr. Butt has received institutional grant funding from Gilead Sciences unrelated to the work

presented in this paper. Otherwise we declare no competing interests.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Biomedical Research Program and the Biostatistics,

Epidemiology, and Biomathematics Research Core at Weill Cornell Medicine–Qatar; the Qatar

Ministry of Public Health; Hamad Medical Corporation; and Sidra Medicine. The Qatar Genome

Program and Qatar University Biomedical Research Center supported viral genome sequencing.

Figure 1. Proportion of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron infections that are with the BA.4 or BA.5 subvariants versus with the BA.2 subvariant, the only other subvariant of appreciable presence in Qatar between May 1, 2022 and July 3, 2022. BA.4 or BA.5 subvariant status was proxied as an S-gene "target failure" (SGTF) status in the PCR testing conducted using the TaqPath COVID-19 Combo Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA<sup>24</sup>).





Figure 2. Daily number of newly diagnosed SARS-CoV-2 infections between May 1, 2022 and July 3, 2022.

Title and abstract         1         (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract         Abstract           Introduction         Introduction         Introduction         Introduction         Introduction           Background/rati         2         Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being onale         Introduction           Study design         4         Present key elements of study design         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Study design         5         Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Participants         6         (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case and controls         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Variables         7         Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods'), & 'Statistical analysis'). A Table           Bias         9         Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods' & 'Statistical analysis'). A Table           Bias         9         Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	itle and 1 bstract
Introduction         (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was doen and what was found         Abstract           Introduction         Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being onale         Introduction           Background/rati         2         Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being onale         Introduction           Objectives         3         State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses         Introduction           Methods         Study design         4         Present key elements of study design         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Setting         5         Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Participants         6         (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case accretainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of case and controls         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Variables         7         Clearly define all outcomes, exposure, predictors, potential confounders, and the sources and study design there is more than one group         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Bias         9         Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias         Methods ('Study population, data s	itroduction
Introduction         Concernment           Background/rati         2         Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being onale         Introduction           Background/rati         2         Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being onale         Introduction           Objectives         3         State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses         Introduction           Methods         Study design         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Setting         5         Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Participants         6         (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources on methods of case and controls (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Variables         7         Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and there is more than one group         Methods of study design           Data sources/ measurement         8         For each variable of interest, give sources of bias         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Study groupulation, data sources, and study design & 'Study size           Bias	itroduction
Backgroundrati onale         2         Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported         Introduction           Objectives         3         State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses         Introduction           Methods         5         Study design         4         Present key elements of study design         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Setting         5         Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of accertainment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Participants         6         (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case and controls         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Variables         7         Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Data sources/         8         For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of measurement         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Bias         9         Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Variables         10         Explain how the study size was arrived at	and outdetter
Objectives         3         State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses         Introduction           Methods         5         Study design         4         Present key elements of study design         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Setting         5         Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Participants         6         (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case and controls         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods', 'Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods', 'Eaboratory methods', 'Eaboratory methods', 'Statistical analysis')           Variables         7         Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods' & 'Statistical analysis')           Data sources/         8         For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods' & 'Statistical analysis') & Table           Bias         9         Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study desi	ackground/rati 2 nale
Methods         Methods           Study design         4         Present key elements of study design         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Setting         5         Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Participants         6         (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case and controls         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           and controls         (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design           Variables         7         Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and study design 'Laboratory methods' & 'Statistical analysis')           Data sources/         8         For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods' & 'Statistical analysis') & Table           Bias         9         Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias         Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods' & 'Statistical analysis') & Table           Usuatistative         10         Explain how the study size was arrived at         Method	bjectives 3
Study design       4       Present key elements of study design       Methods (Study population, data sources, and study design         Setting       5       Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection       Methods (Study population, data sources, and study design         Participants       6       (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case and controls election. Give the rationale for the choice of cases (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design         Variables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design         bas sources/       8       For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of sasessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group       Statistical analysis') & Table         Bias       9       Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), Table & 'Statistical analysis'), Table & 'Statistical analysis', 'St	lethods
Setting       5       Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design data sources, and study design ('Laboratory methods'), & 'Bit sources', and study design ('Laboratory methods'), & 'Bit sources', and study design ('Laboratory methods'), & 'Statistical analysis')         Variables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and study design 'Laboratory methods', & 'Statistical analysis')         Data sources/       8       For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods', & 'Statistical analysis') & Table         Bias       9       Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'). Table & Figures 3 & 4         Quantitative variables       10       Explain how the study size was arrived at       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'). Table & Figures 3 & 4         Statistical methods       12       (a) Describe any efforts to address were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis') & Table & Figures 3 & 4         Statistical methods       12       (a) Describe angretime was arrived at<	ludy design 4
Participants       6       (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ase certainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods'), & Figures 3 & 4         Variables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods'), & 'Statistical analysis')         Data sources/       8       For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of 'Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods', & Taboratory methods', & 'Statistical analysis')         Bias       9       Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods', & Taboratory methods'	etting 5
(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case       Figures 3 & 4         Variables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods'& 'Statistical analysis')         Data sources/       8       For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods'& 'Statistical analysis') & Table         Bias       9       Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), Table & KFigures 3 & 4         Study size       10       Explain how the study size was arrived at       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis') & Tab & 2         variables       11       Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis') & Tab & 2         Statistical       12       (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis') & Tab & 2         Guantitative       12       (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and in	articipants 6
Variables7Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicableMethods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Statistical analysis')Data sources/ measurement8For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one groupMethods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods'& 'Statistical analysis') & TableBias9Describe any efforts to address potential sources of biasMethods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), & Table & 'Statistical analysis'), Table & 'Study sizeMethods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), at a & 'Statistical analysis'), at a & 'Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), at a & 'Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), at a & 'Statistical analysis'), at a > & 'Statistical analysis'), at a > & 'Statistical analysis', at a > & 'Statistical analysis'), at a > & 'Statistical analysis', at a > > & 'Statistical analysis', at a > > & 'Statistical anal	
Data sources/ measurement       8       For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design 'Laboratory methods'& 'Statistical analysis') & Table         Bias       9       Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), Table & Figures 3 & 4         Study size       10       Explain how the study size was arrived at       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), Table & Figures 3 & 4         Quantitative variables       11       Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis') & Table         2       Statistical methods       12       (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding       Methods ('Statistical analysis') & Table 3         (c) Explain how missing data were addressed       NA, see Methods ('Study population, data sources, and	ariables 7
Bias       9       Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), Table & Figures 3 & 4         Study size       10       Explain how the study size was arrived at       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis'), Table & Figures 3 & 4         Quantitative variables       11       Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis') & Table 2         Statistical methods       12       (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding       Methods ('Statistical analysis')         (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions       Methods ('Statistical analysis')         (c) Explain how missing data were addressed       NA, see Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & Table 3	ata sources/ 8 neasurement
Study size       10       Explain how the study size was arrived at       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & Figures 3 & 4         Quantitative variables       11       Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis') & Tab 2         Statistical methods       12       (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding       Methods ('Statistical analysis') & Tab 2         (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions       Methods ('Statistical analysis' & Table 3         (c) Explain how missing data were addressed       NA, see Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis' & Table 3	ias 9
Quantitative variables       11       Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why       Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design & 'Statistical analysis') & Tab 2         Statistical methods       12       (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding       Methods ('Statistical analysis') (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions       Methods ('Statistical analysis') & Table 3         (c) Explain how missing data were addressed       NA, see Methods ('Study population, data sources, and	tudy size 10
Statistical methods       12       (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding       Methods ('Statistical analysis'         (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions       Methods ('Statistical analysis'         (c) Explain how missing data were addressed       NA, see Methods ('Study population, data sources, and	uantitative 11 ariables
(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions       Methods ('Statistical analysis' & Table 3         (c) Explain how missing data were addressed       NA, see Methods ('Study population, data sources, and pop	tatistical 12 nethods
(c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA, see Methods ('Study population, data sources, and	
(d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Methods ('Study population, data sources and study design)	
(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods ('Statistical analysis' & Table 3	
Results	esults
Participants 13 (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers Figures 3 & 4 potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	articipants 13
(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data     14     (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social)     Table 2	escriptive data 14
(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest NA, see Methods ('Study population, data sources, and study design')	
Outcome data         15         Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure         Results & Table 3	utcome data 15
Main results       16       (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which       Results & Table 3         confounders were adjusted for and why they were included       Confounders were adjusted for and why they were included       Results & Table 3	Iain results 16
(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized Mehtods ('Study population,	

### Table 1. STROBE checklist for case-control studies.

			data sources, and study design') & Table 2
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Results & Table 3
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion, paragraph 1
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Discussion ('Limitations')
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Discussion, paragraph 1
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion ('Limitations')
Other informatio	n		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Funding

NA denotes not applicable.

# Figure 3. Flowchart describing the population selection process for investigating effectiveness of previous infection in preventing reinfection with the BA.4 or BA.5 subvariants using the S-gene "target failure" diagnosed infections, May 7, 2022-July 4, 2022.



PCR denotes polymerase chain reaction, SARS-CoV-2 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, and SGTF S-gene "target failure".

\*Testing for clinical suspicion is defined as a SARS-CoV-2 PCR-positive test conducted because of clinical suspicion due to presence of symptoms compatible with a respiratory tract infection. †Individuals with a PCR-positive SARS-CoV-2 test were exact-matched one-to-five by sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, and calendar week of PCR test to first eligible PCR-negative SARS-CoV-2 test.

<sup>‡</sup>Individuals with a PCR-positive SARS-CoV-2 test were exact-matched one-to-five by sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, calendar week of PCR test, and reason for testing to first eligible PCR-negative SARS-CoV-2 test.

# Figure 4. Flowchart describing the population selection process for investigating effectiveness of previous infection in preventing reinfection with the BA.4 or BA.5 subvariants using all SARS-CoV-2 infections diagnosed when BA.4 and BA.5 dominated incidence, June 8, 2022-July 4, 2022.



PCR denotes polymerase chain reaction, RA rapid antigen, and SARS-CoV-2 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

\*Testing for clinical suspicion is defined as a SARS-CoV-2 PCR-positive or RA-positive test conducted because of clinical suspicion due to presence of symptoms compatible with a respiratory tract infection. †Individuals with a PCR-positive or RA-positive SARS-CoV-2 test were exact-matched one-to-five by sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, calendar week of SARS-CoV-2 test, and method of testing (PCR or RA) to first eligible SARS-CoV-2-negative test.

<sup>‡</sup>Individuals with a PCR-positive or RA-positive SARS-CoV-2 test were exact-matched one-to-five by sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, calendar week of SARS-CoV-2 test, method of testing (PCR or RA), and reason for testing to first eligible SARS-CoV-2-negative test.

Table 2. Characteristics of matched cases (SARS-CoV-2-positive tests) and controls (SARS-CoV-2-negative tests) in the
analysis assessing effectiveness against symptomatic BA.4 or BA.5 reinfection and in the analysis assessing effectiveness
against any BA.4 or BA.5 reinfection regardless of symptoms. The table is generated for the analyses including the S-gene
"target failure" infections diagnosed between May 7, 2022 and July 4, 2022, and the analyses including all SARS-CoV-2
infections diagnosed between June 8, 2022 and July 4, 2022, when BA.4 and BA.5 dominated incidence.

Study period	May 7, 2022-July 4, 2022						June 8, 2022-July 4, 2022						
	Effectiveness against symptomatic				Effectiveness against any BA.4 or BA.5			Effectiveness against symptomatic BA.4			Effectiveness against any BA.4 or BA.5		
	BA.4 or BA.5 infection*			infection			or BA.5 infection*			infection			
Characteristics	Cases	Controls		Cases	Controls		Cases <sup>™</sup>	Controls		Cases	Controls		
	(SGTF infections)	(Negative	SMD <sup>‡</sup>	(SGTF infections)	(Negative	<b>SMD</b> <sup>‡</sup>	(All diagnosed	(Negative	SMD <sup>‡</sup>	(All diagnosed	(Negative	SMD <sup>‡</sup>	
	N- 198	N = 742		N- 1 479	N-6.152		N- 1 232	N-4545		N=6 500	N = 27.051		
Median age (IOR)	11-190	11-7-12	5	11-1,475	11-0,102		11-1,202	11- 4,545		11=0,500	11-27,001	8	
-years	36 (29-51)	35 (29-46)	0.09 <sup>s</sup>	30 (14-41)	29 (13-40)	0.07*	35 (27-44)	34 (27-42)	0.05	33 (24-42)	33 (24-41)	0.03*	
Åge group —n (%)													
<10 years	7 (3.5)	31 (4.2)		223 (15.1)	963 (15.7)		62 (5.0)	220 (4.8)		583 (9.0)	2,437 (9.0)		
10-19 years	7 (3.5)	15 (2.0)		247 (16.7)	1,112 (18.1)		89 (7.2)	266 (5.9)		746 (11.5)	3,070 (11.3)		
20-29 years	39 (19.7)	161 (21.7)		238 (16.1)	1,007 (16.4)	0.10	250 (20.3)	1,007 (22.2)	0.13	1,118 (17.2)	4,821 (17.8)	0.06	
30-39 years	59 (29.8)	264 (35.6)	0.20	342 (23.1)	1,502 (24.4)		408 (33.1)	1,669 (36.7)		2,013 (31.0)	8,752 (32.4)		
40-49 years	30 (15.2)	108 (14.6)	0.20	241 (16.3)	972 (15.8)		229 (18.6)	820 (18.0)		1,247 (19.2)	5,145 (19.0)		
50-59 years	32 (16.2)	90 (12.1)		120 (8.1)	391 (6.4)		134 (10.9)	373 (8.2)		586 (9.0)	2,164 (8.0)		
60-69 years	16 (8.1)	45 (6.1)		50 (3.4)	150 (2.4)		35 (2.8)	101 (2.2)		160 (2.5)	517 (1.9)		
70+ years	8 (4.0)	28 (3.8)		18 (1.2)	55 (0.9)		25 (2.0)	89 (2.0)		47 (0.7)	145 (0.5)		
Sex													
Male	88 (44.4)	326 (43.9)	0.01	635 (42.9)	2,612 (42.5)	0.01	679 (55.1)	2,566 (56.5)	0.02	3,478 (53.5)	14,848 (54.9)	0.02	
Female	110 (55.6)	416 (56.1)	0.01	844 (57.1)	3,540 (57.5)	0.01	553 (44.9)	1,979 (43.5)	0.05	3,022 (46.5)	12,203 (45.1)	0.05	
Nationality <sup>1</sup>													
Bangladeshi	4 (2.0)	15 (2.0)		20 (1.4)	60 (1.0)		29 (2.4)	115 (2.5)		109 (1.7)	466 (1.7)		
Egyptian	1 (0.5)	3 (0.4)		55 (3.7)	228 (3.7)		26 (2.1)	68 (1.5)		190 (2.9)	762 (2.8)		
Filipino	40 (20.2)	179 (24.1)		216 (14.6)	999 (16.2)		185 (15.0)	763 (16.8)	0.20	771 (11.9)	3,463 (12.8)	0.13	
Indian	29 (14.6)	119 (16.0)		246 (16.6)	1,140 (18.5)		257 (20.9)	1,123 (24.7)		1,498 (23.0)	7,049 (26.1)		
Nepalese	7 (3.5)	31 (4.2)	0.14	16(1.1)	66 (1.1)	0.12	23 (1.9)	107 (2.4)		78 (1.2)	346 (1.3)		
Pakistani	7 (3.5)	27 (3.6)		36 (2.4)	144 (2.3)		51 (4.1)	190 (4.2)		185 (2.8)	749 (2.8)		
Qatari	65 (32.8)	217 (29.2)		486 (32.9)	2,122 (34.5)		349 (28.3)	1,365 (30.0)		1,541 (23.7)	6,843 (25.3)		
Sri Lankan	2 (1.0)	10 (1.3)		22 (1.5)	82 (1.3)		22 (1.8)	82 (1.8)		126 (1.9)	531 (2.0)		
Sudanese	2 (1.0)	10 (1.3)		27 (1.8)	103 (1.7)		22 (1.8)	48 (1.1)		107 (1.6)	367 (1.4)		
Other nationalities	41 (20.7)	131 (17.7)		355 (24.0)	1,208 (19.6)		268 (21.8)	684 (15.0)		1,895 (29.2)	6,475 (23.9)		
Comorbid													
condition count													
0	138 (69.7)	577 (77.8)		1,172 (79.2)	5,051 (82.1)		984 (79.9)	3,839 (84.5)		5,454 (83.9)	23,424 (86.6)		
1	20 (10.1)	53 (7.1)	0.20	148 (10.0)	557 (9.1)	0.00	115 (9.3)	341 (7.5)	0.14	563 (8.7)	2,037 (7.5)	0.00	
2	9 (4.5)	16 (2.2)	0.20	60 (4.1)	204 (3.3)	0.08	52 (4.2)	98 (2.2)	0.14	241 (3.7)	748 (2.8)	0.08	
3 or more	31 (15.7)	96 (12.9)		99 (6.7)	340 (5.5)		81 (6.6)	267 (5.9)		242 (3.7)	842 (3.1)		

IQR denotes interquartile range, PCR polymerase chain reaction, RA rapid antigen, SARS-CoV-2 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, and SGTF S-gene "target failure".

\*A symptomatic infection was defined as a SARS-CoV-2 PCR or RA test conducted because of clinical suspicion due to presence of symptoms compatible with a respiratory tract infection.

Cases and controls were exact matched one-to-five by sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, calendar week of test, method of testing (PCR or RA), and reason for testing.

<sup>‡</sup>SMD is the difference in the mean of a covariate between groups divided by the pooled standard deviation. An SMD <0.1 indicates adequate balance in matching.

<sup>§</sup>SMD is for the mean difference between groups divided by the pooled standard deviation.

Nationalities were chosen to represent the most populous groups in Qatar.

# Table 3. Effectiveness of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection in preventing reinfection with the Omicron BA.4 or BA.5 subvariants using A) S-gene "target failure" infections diagnosed between May 7, 2022 and July 4, 2022, and B) all SARS-CoV-2 infections diagnosed between June 8, 2022 and July 4, 2022, when BA.4 and BA.5 dominated incidence.

	Cases <sup>†</sup> (SARS-C	oV-2-positive	tests)	Controls <sup>†</sup> (SARS-C				
Type of analysis	Median interval between previous infection and SARS- CoV-2 test (IQR) in days         Prev infection		No previous infection (n)	Median interval between previous infection and SARS- CoV-2 test (IQR) in days	Previous infection (n)	No previous infection (n)	Effectiveness in % (95% CI) <sup>‡</sup>	
Primary analyses					·		-	
A) Analysis using SGTF status as a proxy for BA.4 or BA.5								
Effectiveness against symptomatic BA.4 or BA.5 infection*				1				
Pre-omicron previous infection	542 (455-713)	21	164	498 (427-699)	77	525	15.1 (-47.1 to 50.9)	
Omicron previous infection	169 (166-175)	13	164	167 (159.5-173)	140	525	76.1 (54.9 to 87.3)	
Effectiveness against any BA.4 or BA.5 infection								
Pre-omicron previous infection	473 (427-628)	125	1,267	460 (410-620)	551	4,273	28.3 (11.4 to 41.9)	
Omicron previous infection	166 (154-173)	87	1,267	163 (150-171)	1,328	4,273	79.7 (74.3 to 83.9)	
B) Analysis using any infection during BA.4 and BA.5 dominanc	e							
Effectiveness against symptomatic BA.4 or BA.5 infection*								
Pre-omicron previous infection	490 (438-685)	107	1,080	476 (430-670.5)	444	3,119	40.0 (23.9 to 52.7)	
Omicron previous infection	167 (161-171)	45	1,080	164 (155-171)	982	3,119	89.6 (85.5 to 92.6)	
Effectiveness against any BA.4 or BA.5 infection								
Pre-omicron previous infection	480 (435-647.5)	528	5,683	470 (429-652)	2,559	19,125	34.1 (26.9 to 40.5)	
Omicron previous infection	167 (159-174)	289	5,683	163 (154-171)	5,367	19,125	83.8 (81.6 to 85.8)	
Sensitivity analyses adjusting for vaccination status in conditional	l logistic regression	*	,	<u>'</u>	*	•	1	
A) Analysis using SGTF as a proxy for BA.4 or BA.5								
Effectiveness against symptomatic BA.4 or BA.5 infection*								
Pre-omicron previous infection	542 (455-713)	21	164	498 (427-699)	77	525	14.9 (-47.5 to 50.9)	
Omicron previous infection	169 (166-175)	13	164	167 (159.5-173)	140	525	76.1 (54.9 to 87.3)	
Effectiveness against any BA.4 or BA.5 infection	· · ·	•			*			
Pre-omicron previous infection	473 (427-628)	125	1,267	460 (410-620)	551	4,273	28.6 (11.8 to 42.2)	
Omicron previous infection	166 (154-173)	87	1,267	163 (150-171)	1,328	4,273	79.7 (74.4 to 84.0)	
B) Analysis using any infection during BA.4 and BA.5 dominanc	e				,		· · · · · ·	
Effectiveness against symptomatic BA.4 or BA.5 infection*								
Pre-omicron previous infection	490 (438-685)	107	1,080	476 (430-670.5)	444	3,119	40.3 (24.3 to 52.9)	
Omicron previous infection	167 (161-171)	45	1,080	164 (155-171)	982	3,119	89.6 (85.5 to 92.6)	
Effectiveness against any BA.4 or BA.5 infection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5	,		-	· · · /	
Pre-omicron previous infection	480 (435-647.5)	528	5,683	470 (429-652)	2,559	19,125	34.9 (27.8 to 41.2)	
Omicron previous infection	167 (159-174)	289	5,683	163 (154-171)	5,367	19,125	84.0 (81.8 to 85.9)	

CI denotes confidence interval, IQR interquartile range, PCR polymerase chain reaction, RA rapid antigen, SARS-CoV-2 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, and SGTF S-gene "target failure".

\*A symptomatic infection was defined as a SARS-CoV-2 PCR or RA test conducted because of clinical suspicion due to presence of symptoms compatible with a respiratory tract infection.

<sup>†</sup>Cases and controls were exact matched one-to-five by sex, 10-year age group, nationality, comorbid condition count, calendar week of test, method of testing (PCR or RA), and reason for testing. <sup>‡</sup>Effectiveness of previous infection in preventing reinfection was estimated using the test-negative, case–control study design.<sup>2</sup>