medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Running Head: Preoperative predictors of disability

Title: Preoperative predictors of instrumental activities of daily living disability in older adults six months after knee arthroplasty

Author names: Keigo Nanjo, P.T, M.S. [a, b, *]; Takashi Ikeda, P.T, Ph.D. [a, c, d]; Naoko Nagashio, P.T., B.S.[b]; Tomoko Sakai, M.D., Ph.D. [a]; and Tetsuya Jinno, M.D., Ph.D. [e]

Affiliations

[a] *Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Tokyo Medical and Dental University Graduate School, Tokyo, Japan*

[b] *Department of Rehabilitation, Shonan Kamakura General Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan*

[c] *School of Nursing and Rehabilitation Sciences, Showa University, Kanagawa, Japan*

[d] *Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Showa University, Kanagawa, Japan*

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[e] *Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Dokkyo Medical University Saitama Medical Center, Saitama, Japan*

***Corresponding author:**

Keigo Nanjo, P.T., M.S.

Tokyo Medical and Dental University Graduate School, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine

1-5-24, Yusima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8510, Japan

E-mail: nanjo.reh@tmd.ac.jp

Tel: +81-03-5803-5648

Acknowledgements: The authors thank PTs. D. Kurihara, K. Imahira, K. Suda and G. Tamura for their cooperation with data collection.

Declaration of Interest: The authors report no actual or perceived conflicts of interest.

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Device Status Statement: The manuscript submitted does not contain information about medical device.

Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, Nanjo, K., upon reasonable request.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Preoperative predictors of instrumental activities of daily living disability in older adults six months after knee arthroplasty

Abstract

Objective: To investigate preoperative predictors of instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) disability in older adults six months after knee arthroplasty (KA).

Design: Prospective cohort study

Setting: General hospital with an orthopedic surgery department

Participants: Two hundred twenty (N = 220) patients $\geq$ 65 years old with total knee arthroplasty (TKA) or unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA)

Interventions: Not applicable

Main Outcome Measure: IADL status was evaluated for six activities. Participants chose "able," "need help," or "unable" according to their capacity of executing these IADL activities. If they chose "need help" or "unable" for one or more items, they were defined as "disabled." Their usual gait speed (UGS), range of motion for the knee, isometric knee extension strength (IKES), pain status, depressive symptoms, pain catastrophizing, and self-efficacy were evaluated as predictors. Baseline and follow-up

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

assessments were conducted one month before and six months after KA, respectively. Logistic regression analyses with IADL status as the dependent variable were performed at follow-up. All models were adjusted using age, sex, severity of the knee deformity, operation type (TKA or UKA), and preoperative IADL status as covariates.

Results: In total, 166 patients completed the follow-up assessment, and 83 (50.0%) reported IADL disability six months after KA. Preoperative UGS, IKES on the non-operated side, and self-efficacy were statistically significantly different between those with a disability at follow-up and those who did not and were therefore included in logistic regression models as independent variables. UGS (odds ratio = 3.22, 95% confidence interval = 1.38–7.56, p = 0.007) was determined as a significant independent variable.

Conclusion: The present study demonstrated the importance of evaluating preoperative gait speed to predict the presence of IADL disability in older adults six months after KA. Patients with poorer preoperative mobility should be provided careful postoperative care and treatment.

Keywords: activities of daily living**,** disability, gait speed, knee arthroplasty, osteoarthritis

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abbreviations:

BADL, basic activities of daily living;

GDS-15, fifteen-item Geriatric Depression Scale;

IADL, instrumental activities of daily living;

IKES, isometric knee extension strength;

KA, knee arthroplasty;

KL-score, Kellgren–Lawllence score;

KOOS-pain, pain subscale of the knee injury and osteoarthritis outcome score;

PCS-6, six-item short form of the pain catastrophizing scale;

PSEQ-4, four-item short form of the pain self-efficacy questionnaire;

ROC curve, receiver characteristic operating curve;

TKA, total knee arthroplasty;

UGS, usual gait speed;

UKA, unicompartmental knee arthroplasty

Knee osteoarthritis, a prevalent chronic disease related to aging, is increasing globally.[1,]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

 Knee arthroplasty (KA), including total knee arthroplasty (TKA) and unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA), is a well-established operative treatment for degenerative knee joint disease in patients suffering from pain and daily life disability. However, 10–20% of patients reportedly face subjective functional limitations after KA.[3-6] Considering the increasing demand of KA, it is necessary to strengthen countermeasures against postoperative disabilities.

For the aging population, independence in performing activities of daily living is crucial. Basic activities of daily living (BADL) are fundamental skills required to independently care for oneself, such as toileting and dressing,[7] whereas, instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) are more complex activities related to the ability to live independently, such as shopping, transportation, and performing household chores.[8] Thus, IADL disability usually precedes that of the BADL.[9] Various cohort studies have shown that disability in IADL can affect all-cause mortality,[10] the rate of cognitive functional decline,[11] and quality of life,[12] having a substantial impact on geriatric mental and physical health.

Various preoperative factors have been reported as outcome predictors after KA.[13-16] Psychological factors such as pain catastrophizing,[13,15,16] depression,[13,15,16] and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

self-efficacy[13] have been identified as predictors of worse outcomes after KA. Preoperative rehabilitation focuses on improving muscle strength, knee range of motion, and mobility to improve postoperative outcomes.[17] However, most studies defined disability as an impairment in both BADL and IADL. Considering the hierarchical relationship between IADL and BADL,[9] determining the predictors of IADL disability in the geriatric population after KA is potentially useful for both preoperative and postoperative management. Multiple meta-analyses regarding postoperative rehabilitation revealed that most intervention periods had been set within six months after KA,[18,19] meaning that disability should be addressed within a limited period. Additionally, it is desirable for patients with an elevated risk for IADL disability to be screened early, preferably before surgery.

Due to limited studies in older persons undergoing KA , the preoperative predictors for disability in IADL are unclear.[20-22] This study investigated the preoperative predictors of IADL disability in older adults six months after KA. We hypothesized that certain motor functions, such as gait speed and psychological factors, might help predict IADL disability.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Methods

Participants

Participants who underwent unilateral TKA or UKA between May 17, 2019, and June 30, 2021, at Shonan Kamakura General Hospital were included in this study upon meeting the following inclusion criteria: (1) being ≥ 65 years of age and (2) diagnosed with knee osteoarthritis based on the guidelines[23]: a radiologic Kellgren–Lawrence score (KL-score) of ≥ 2 (definite osteophytes and narrowed joint space) and knee pain for > 3 months. Patients were excluded by the following exclusion criteria: (1) being diagnosed with post-traumatic osteoarthritis ; (2) rheumatoid arthritis; (3) cognitive decline (mini-mental state examination score < 23); (4) serious pathologies, such as cancer and neurological fallout that could affect the test performance, e.g., muscle paralysis; (5) history of lower extremity operation except for KA; (6) preoperative BADL disability (Barthel index < 100); and (7) intention of having a KA on the other knee before our study's assessment. A total of 321 patients were selected at the beginning of the study. Eighty-six of them were excluded because of: a history of lower extremity operation outside the knee joint region (n = 32), rheumatoid arthritis (n = 19),

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

revision TKA or UKA (n = 18), Barthel index <100 (n = 7), mini-mental state examination score < 23 (n = 6), and post-traumatic knee osteoarthritis (n = 4). Of the 235 patients invited to participate, 15 refused, leaving 220 participants.

Study design

This was a prospective cohort study conducted and reported using the STROBE guidelines.[24] The institutional review board at Tokushukai Group Ethics Committee (No. TGE01198-024) approved the study design, and all participants gave written informed consent. This study was conducted following Declaration of Helsinki. All participants were assessed by physical therapists a month before the operation as a baseline measurement and six months after the operation as a follow-up measurement. One of five physiotherapists (with at least 5 years of experience and trained well before assessments) was randomly selected to conduct all assessments. Patients were permitted full weight bearing on postoperative day one and managed per routine clinical procedure. Patients started gait exercises with a walker cane on postoperative day one and a single cane on a postoperative day two. Ascending and descending stairs were practiced on postoperative day five. Patients who could independently walk with a single cane were discharged by postoperative days 10–16 for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

TKA and 5–12 for UKA. Baseline characteristics such as age, sex, body mass index, bilateral KL-score, Charlson comorbidity index,[25] and intra-operative information were retrieved as potential covariates from clinical records.

Measurements

IADL status

As proposed by Lawton and Brody, the six activity items (shopping, cooking, housekeeping, using transportation, doing laundry, and handling finances) were assessed on the IADL scale.[8] Because handling finances includes commuting to the bank as part of the act, it was also assessed. Using a telephone and taking medications were excluded because knee osteoarthritis would not completely affect these activities. Participants were questioned to score their capability of performing these tasks trichotomously, with either “able,” “need help,” or “unable” as choices for answers. We defined “disabled” participants as those who answered “need help” or “unable” to perform one or more items; they were defined as “non-disabled” otherwise, on the based on the previous studies.[26,27]

Motor functions

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Their usual gait speed (UGS) was measured using a 5 m timed gait test. Participants were instructed to walk straight on an 11 m flat surface at their usual pace. A gait speed of 5 m was measured in the middle of the walkway.[28] A goniometer measured the range of motion for knee extension and flexion,[29] while a handheld dynamometer [a] assessed the isometric knee extension strength (IKES) to quantify knee muscle power.[30] Range of motions and IKES were measured on both the operated and non-operated knees.

Pain status

The pain was evaluated by using the pain subscale of the Japanese Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS-pain).[31] Participants were asked about their pain in various situations one week before the evaluation date. Each question was scored in the range of 0–4. The normalized total score is converted to 100 points, with higher scores indicating less pain. KOOS-pain has satisfactory internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.90) and correlates with the body pain subscale of the 36-item short-form health survey ($r = 0.67$, $p < 0.01$).[31]

Psychological factors

Depressive symptoms, pain catastrophizing, and self-efficacy were evaluated as

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

potential psychological factors based on a previous systematic review.[13,16] The Japanese version of the fifteen-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) [32] was used to assess depressive symptoms. The GDS-15 has satisfactory internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.83) and high detectability of depression (area under the curve of the receiver operating characteristic curve [ROC curve] = 0.96).[32] The participants were questioned with "yes" or "no" answer choices. A total score of 0 to 15 was assigned, with higher scores indicating more depressive symptoms.

The Japanese version of the six-item short form of the Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS-6)[33] was used to assess pain catastrophizing. PCS-6 had satisfactory internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.90)[29] and correlated to pain status ($r = 0.30$, $p < 0.001$).[34] Participants were required to evaluate their experience of six emotions and thoughts during pain. Each item is evaluated on a scale of 0 ("not at all") to 4 ("all the time"). A total score of 0 to 24 was assigned, with higher scores representing higher levels of catastrophe.

The Japanese version of the four-item short form of the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ-4) [35] was used to assess self-efficacy. The PSEQ-4 had

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

satisfactory internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.90) and correlated to pain status (r = -0.35, p < 0.001).[34] The PSEQ-4 comprises of four questions measuring the participant's confidence when conducting specific activities despite the pain. Each item was evaluated on a scale of 0 ("not at all confident") to 6 ("completely confident"). The total score of 0 to 24 was assigned with higher scores representing more self-efficacy.

Statistical analysis

Primary analyses

The participants were divided into two groups according to their IADL status six months after KA: the disabled (answered "need help" or "unable" for one or more items) and non-disabled (answered "able" for all items) groups. All preoperative baseline characteristics and measurements were compared between the two groups using the Mann–Whitney U test, chi-square, or student's t-test. In the disabled group, the disability of each IADL tested at follow-up was recorded. Baseline characteristics were also compared between those who were followed-up and those who dropped out to assess the possibility of a selection bias.

Measurements with $p < 0.05$ in the two comparative groups were represented nominally based on the cutoff value for determining the presence of IADL disability at six

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

postoperative months, calculated from the ROC curve. The ideal cutoff value for balancing the sensitivity and specificity of a test was determined as the point on the curve closest to (0, 1).[36] Thereafter, logistic regression was used to predict the presence of IADL disability after six months of KA (dependent variable). The independent variables were included in each model separately, using nominal scales. In model 1, age, sex, KL-score of both knees, and operation type (TKA or UKA) were used as covariates. In model 2, the presence of IADL disability before the operation was added to model 1. KL-score on the non-operated side for participants with a history of TKA or UKA was treated as score 0.

Subgroup analyses

Additionally, participants were categorized into four subgroups based on pre-and postoperative IADL status: group 1 without IADL disability; group 2 with IADL disability before operation only; group 3 with IADL disability after operation only; and group 4 with IADL disability before and after the operation. UGS and KOOS pain were compared pre- and postoperatively in each group to confirm the treatment effect of KA using the paired *t*-test. The baseline characteristics in the four subgroups were also compared using the chi-square goodness of fit test, Fisher's exact test, one-way analysis

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

of variance followed by Tukey's test, or Kruskal–Wallis test followed by the Steel–Dwass test. All data were analyzed using R version 4.0.3.[b] A p-value < 0.05 was used to indicate statistical significance.

Sample size

In order to decide the sample size for logistic regression analysis, the $number\ of\ cases\ (N)\ =\ 10\ k/p$ are needed; k is the number of independent variables as covariates, and p is defined as a ratio of responders to non-responders at the follow-up points.[37] We predicted that eight factors out of all the variables would be required to avoid overfitting.[38] In a previous report (n = 407), 60.9% of older adults with joint pain had an IADL disability.[26] Based on this study, we hypothesized that the ratio p of non-disabled to disabled was 1:1.5 and calculated the minimum number of participants required for our study as 10 × 8/0.6 = 134.

Results

Study participants' characteristics

Of the 220 participants who joined the study, 166 (75.5%) completed the follow-up

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

assessment after surgery. Fifty-four (24.5%) participants dropped out, namely due to incomplete follow-up (n = 21), reparative surgery on the other knee within six months (n = 14), cancelation of the operation (n = 9), the onset of other diseases not related to surgery (n = 4), periprosthetic joint infection (n = 3), and periprosthetic fracture (n = 3) (Table 1). Baseline characteristics were not significantly different between those who were followed up and dropped out.

Preoperative predictors of postoperative IADL disability

Of the participants who were followed up, 83 (50.0%) had IADL disability six months after surgery. Sixty-one (69.3%) struggled with shopping, and 47 (53.4%) struggled using transportation (Table 2). The non-disabled group was significantly younger ($p = 0.004$), with a larger percentage of women (85.5% vs. 69.9%; $p = 0.03$) than the IADL-disabled group. The percentage of patients showing IADL disability before surgery was 20.5% and 72.3% ($p < 0.001$) in the non-disabled and disabled groups, respectively. In the IADL non-disabled group, the values of UGS, IKES (on the non-operated side), and PSEQ-4 were significantly higher (Table 3). Based on the two groups' comparison, the cutoff values of UGS, IKES (on the non-operated side), and PSEQ-4 were calculated by inspecting the ROC curve to discern the presence of IADL

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

disability at six months after surgery (Figure 1). The optimal cutoff values are shown in Table 4. UGS was a significant independent variable in models 1 and 2, and IKES (on the non-operated side) and PSEQ-4 were significant in model 1 but not in model 2. (Table5)

Comparison of the treatment effect of KA among subgroups

In the baseline characteristics, comparisons among subgroups, significant differences in the age, and number of women were detected. Regarding KL-score (on the non-operated side), there was a cell with 0 frequency, making statistical analysis impossible (Table 6). KOOS-pain values were significantly higher in all subgroups after the operation than before. The values of UGS after the operation were significantly higher than before, the group 3 being the exception (Table 7).

Discussion

This study investigated preoperative predictors of IADL disability in older adults after six months of KA, where half of the participants reported disabilities. The logistic

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

regression analysis adjusted for covariates showed that only gait speed was a valid predictor of IADL disability six months after KA. Thus, the results of this study partially supported our hypothesis.

Preoperative predictors of postoperative IADL disability

Our study suggested that the cutoff value for preoperative UGS was 1.0 m/s. The area under the curve needed to be at least 0.7 to be considered satisfactory.[36] Thus, our cutoff value for preoperative UGS exceeded the minimum criterion. A previous prospective study in older adults showed that participants with UGS below 1.0 m/s had a sharper increase in the 2-year incidence of IADL disability.[39] Other cohort studies involving older adults have also revealed a 1.0 m/s cutoff value for risk prediction of health events.[40,41] Considering the incidence of BADL disability, 0.8 m/s has been suggested as a more sensible cutoff value.[42] Therefore, considering the hierarchical relationship between IADL and BADL,[9] our cutoff value for UGS was valid.

The predictive power of PSEQ-4 and IKES (on the non-operated side) was low in this study. However, previous studies showed that self-efficacy[13] and muscle strength[14] were predictors of decreased functional status after KA. Older adults with lesser self-efficacy

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

consistently limit their involvement in activities,[43] suggesting that self-efficacy influences activities independently of motor functions. Thus, these factors should not be overlooked when considering disability after surgery.

George et al. have shown that the number of older adults with preoperative IADL disability improved after TKA.[20] However, the proportion of older adults in our study who presented with IADL disability before the operation worsened after TKA or UKA. The aforementioned study assessed fewer IADLs and included younger participants than those in our study, which we believe could have contributed to the different results.

Our participants' primary IADL disability items were outdoor activities, such as shopping (69.3%) and using transportation (53.4%), which require gait proficiency. When comparing subgroups, only those presenting with new IADL disability after the operation (group 3) failed to improve UGS after KA. In group 4, UGS significantly improved after KA despite having the lowest baseline and follow-up values of the four groups. As a result, we believe that those with a slow preoperative gait speed, which does not increase to a certain value postoperatively, tend to present IADL disability six months after KA, even if their pain status has improved.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Some studies have shown that preoperative functional limitation based on a total score calculated from subjective difficulty in various activities affects postoperative disability.[44-46] Thus, capacities of individual activities such as gait or stair climbing are unknown. The strength of our findings lies in the predictive power of preoperative gait speed as a modifiable factor. Additionally, the specific value of UGS, which can be measured easily and objectively, was suggested as a promising preoperative predictor. Based on the clinical practice guideline, older patients awaiting KA are recommended preoperative exercise programs to improve strengthening, flexibility, and functions before surgery.[17] However, the specific regimen or goal for exercise needs to be clarified. Our results could be helpful for preoperative planning and intervention. In particular, improving preoperative gait speed may enhance the IADL status, and our cut-off value can be one of the goals for preoperative exercise.

Comparison of treatment effect of KA among subgroups

The systematic review by Sayah et al. revealed that functional limitations, including IADL disability, improved drastically in six months and gradually kept improving 2 years after TKA.[47] Therefore, our study population, especially participants in group 3,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

could potentially improve IADL disability six months postoperatively. However, general rehabilitative intervention periods after KA have been set within six months.[18,19] Additionally, previous cohort studies have shown that the presence of even one IADL disability affected all-cause mortality[10] and the cognitive function decline rate.[11] Therefore, as implications of our study, patients walking slowly before surgery or unable to improve gait speed sufficiently after surgery are at high risk for adverse events and require frequent follow-up even beyond six months postoperatively. Furthermore, a physical therapist should be consulted beyond general rehabilitative intervention periods.

Study limitations

The present study had some limitations. First, we could not determine how preoperative factors affected IADL disability after KA. Second, ours was a single-center analysis; the area distribution where the participants lived was limited. Third, our study population included those with a history of KA, and we could not exclude the effect of past KA on IADL disability. However, the number of those with a history of TKA or UKA was similar between IADL disabled and IADL non-disabled groups.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusion

Our study revealed the importance of evaluating UGS before a KA as a predictor of six-month postoperative IADL disability in older adults, in addition to preoperative IADL status. Those with poor mobility before surgery should be carefully rehabilitated after KA. Future studies investigating IADL disability during long-term follow-up periods must further validate our findings.

Suppliers list:

a: μ-tus F-1, Anima Corporation, 3-65-1, Shimoishihara, Chofu, Tokyo, 182-0034, Japan

b: R version 4.0.3, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Welthandelsplatz 1, 1020 Vienna, Austria

References

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1. Peat G, Thomas MJ. Osteoarthritis year in review 2020: epidemiology & therapy. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2021;29(2):180-9.
2. Collaborators GDaI. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet 2020;396(10258):1204-22.
3. Bourne RB, Chesworth BM, Davis AM, Mahomed NN, Charron KD. Patient satisfaction after total knee arthroplasty: who is satisfied and who is not? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2010;468(1):57-63. doi: 10.1007/s11999-009-1119-9.
4. Noble PC, Conditt MA, Cook KF, Mathis KB. The John Insall Award: Patient expectations affect satisfaction with total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2006;452:35-43. doi: 10.1097/01.blo.0000238825.63648.1e.
5. Von Keudell A, Sodha S, Collins J, Minas T, Fitz W, Gomoll AH. Patient satisfaction after primary total and unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: an age-dependent analysis. Knee 2014;21(1):180-4. doi: 10.1016/j.knee.2013.08.004.
6. Lee M, Huang Y, Chong HC, Ning Y, Lo NN, Yeo SJ. Predicting Satisfaction for Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty Patients in an Asian Population. J Arthroplasty 2016;31(8):1706-10. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.02.005.
7. Kats S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW, Jackson BA, Jafee MW. Studies of illness in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

aged. The index of ADL: A standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function JAMA 1963;185:914-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.1963.03060120024016.

8. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. Gerontologist 1969;9(3):179-86.
9. Edjolo A, Proust-Lima C, Delva F, Dartigues JF, Pérès K. Natural History of Dependency in the Elderly: A 24-Year Population-Based Study Using a Longitudinal Item Response Theory Model. Am J Epidemiol 2016;183(4):277-85. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwv223.
10. Hennessy S, Kurichi JE, Pan Q, Streim JE, Bogner HR, Xie D et al. Disability Stage is an Independent Risk Factor for Mortality in Medicare Beneficiaries Aged 65 Years and Older. PM R 2015;7(12):1215-25. doi: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2015.05.014.
11. Rajan KB, Hebert LE, Scherr PA, Mendes de Leon CF, Evans DA. Disability in basic and instrumental activities of daily living is associated with faster rate of decline in cognitive function of older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2013;68(5):624-30. doi: 10.1093/gerona/gls208.
12. Williams JS, Egede LE. The Association Between Multimorbidity and Quality of Life, Health Status and Functional Disability. Am J Med Sci 2016;352(1):45-52. doi: 10.1016/j.amjms.2016.03.004.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

13. Lungu E, Vendittoli PA, Desmeules F. Preoperative Determinants of Patient-reported Pain and Physical Function Levels Following Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. Open Orthop J 2016;10:213-31. doi: 10.2174/1874325001610010213.
14. Devasenapathy N, Maddison R, Malhotra R, Zodepy S, Sharma S, Belavy DL. Preoperative Quadriceps Muscle Strength and Functional Ability Predict Performance-Based Outcomes 6 Months After Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. Phys Ther 2019;99(1):46-61. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzy118.
15. Bian T, Shao H, Zhou Y, Huang Y, Song Y. Does psychological distress influence postoperative satisfaction and outcomes in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty? A prospective cohort study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2021;22(1):647.
16. Lowry V, Ouellet P, Vendittoli PA, Carlesso LC, Wideman TH, Desmeules F. Determinants of pain, disability, health-related quality of life and physical performance in patients with knee osteoarthritis awaiting total joint arthroplasty. Disabil Rehabil 2018;40(23):2734-44.
17. Jette DU, Hunter SJ, Burkett L, et al. Physical Therapist Management of Total Knee Arthroplasty. Phys Ther 2020;100(9):1603-31. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzaa099.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

18. Dávila Castrodad IM, Recai TM, Abraham MM, et al. Rehabilitation protocols following total knee arthroplasty: a review of study designs and outcome measures. Ann Transl Med 2019;7(Suppl 7):S255. doi: 10.21037/atm.2019.08.15.

19. Li D, Yang Z, Kang P, Xie X. Home-Based Compared with Hospital-Based Rehabilitation Program for Patients Undergoing Total Knee Arthroplasty for Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2017;96(6):440-7. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000000621.

20. George LK, Ruiz D, Sloan FA. The effects of total knee arthroplasty on physical functioning in the older population. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58(10):3166-71. doi: 10.1002/art.23888.

21. Kornilov N, Lindberg MF, Gay C, Saraev A, Kuliaba T, Rosseland LA, et al. Higher physical activity and lower pain levels before surgery predict non-improvement of knee pain 1 year after TKA. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2018;26(6):1698-708.

22. Liang CK, Chu CS, Hsu YH, Chou MY, Wang YC, Lin YT, et al. Effects of modified version of the Hospital Elder Life Program on post-discharge cognitive function and activities of daily living among older adults undergoing total knee

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

arthroplasty. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2021;93:104284.

23. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheum 1986;29(8):1039-49.
24. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol 2008;61(4):344-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008.
25. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987;40(5):373-83. doi: 10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8.
26. Hermsen LA, Leone SS, Smalbrugge M, Dekker J, van der Horst HE. Frequency, severity and determinants of functional limitations in older adults with joint pain and comorbidity: results of a cross-sectional study. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2014;59(1):98-106. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2014.02.006.
27. Lee MC, Hsu CC, Tsai YF, Chen CY, Lin CC, Wang CY. Criterion-Referenced Values of Grip Strength and Usual Gait Speed Using Instrumental Activities of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Daily Living Disability as the Criterion. J Geriatr Phys Ther 2018;41(1):14-9. doi: 10.1519/JPT.0000000000000106.

28. Shinkai S, Watanabe S, Kumagai S, et al. Walking speed as a good predictor for the onset of functional dependence in a Japanese rural community population. Age Ageing 2000;29(5):441-6. doi: 10.1093/ageing/29.5.441.
29. Norkin CC, White DJ. Measurement of joint motion : a guide to goniometry. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: F.A. Davis; 1995.
30. Katoh M, Yamasaki H. Comparison of Reliability of Isometric Leg Muscle Strength Measurements Made Using a Hand-Held Dynamometer with and without a Restraining Belt. J Phys Ther Sci 2009;21(1):37-42.
31. Nakamura N, Takeuchi R, Sawaguchi T, Ishikawa H, Saito T, Goldhahn S. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Japanese Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). J Orthop Sci 2011;16(5):516-23. doi: 10.1007/s00776-011-0112-9.
32. Sugishita K, Sugishita M, Hemmi I, Asada T, Tanigawa T. A Validity and Reliability Study of the Japanese Version of the Geriatric Depression Scale 15 (GDS-15-J). Clin Gerontol 2017;40(4):233-40. doi: 10.1080/07317115.2016.1199452.
33. Nishigami T, Mibu A, Tanaka K, Yamashita Y, Watanabe A, Tanabe A.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Psychometric properties of the Japanese version of short forms of the Pain Catastrophizing Scale in participants with musculoskeletal pain: A cross-sectional study. J Orthop Sci 2017;22(2):351-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jos.2016.11.015.

34. McWilliams LA, Kowal J, Wilson KG. Development and evaluation of short forms of the Pain Catastrophizing Scale and the Pain Self-efficacy Questionnaire. Eur J Pain 2015;19(9):1342-9. doi: 10.1002/ejp.665.

35. Adachi T, Enomoto K, Yamada K, et al. Evaluating the psychometric properties of two-item and four-item short forms of the Japanese Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire: a cross-sectional study. J Anesth 2019;33(1):58-66. doi: 10.1007/s00540-018-2583-8.

36. Akobeng AK. Understanding diagnostic tests 3: Receiver operating characteristic curves. Acta Paediatr 2007;96(5):644-7. doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2006.00178.x.

37. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 1996;49(12):1373-9. doi: 10.1016/s0895-4356(96)00236-3.

38. Vittinghoff E, McCulloch CE. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic and Cox regression. Am J Epidemiol 2007;165(6):710-8. doi:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

10.1093/aje/kwk052.

39. Donoghue OA, Savva GM, Cronin H, Kenny RA, Horgan NF. Using timed up and go and usual gait speed to predict incident disability in daily activities among community-dwelling adults aged 65 and older. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2014;95(10):1954-61. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2014.06.008.
40. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Penninx BW, et al. Prognostic value of usual gait speed in well-functioning older people--results from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53(10):1675-80. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53501. x.
41. Bohannon RW. Comfortable and maximum walking speed of adults aged 20-79 years: reference values and determinants. Age Ageing 1997;26(1):15-9. doi: 10.1093/ageing/26.1.15.
42. Abellan van Kan G, Rolland Y, Andrieu S, et al. Gait speed at usual pace as a predictor of adverse outcomes in community-dwelling older people an International Academy on Nutrition and Aging (IANA) Task Force. J Nutr Health Aging 2009;13(10):881-9. doi: 10.1007/s12603-009-0246-z.
43. Easom LR. Concepts in health promotion. Perceived self-efficacy and barriers in older adults. J Gerontol Nurs 2003;29(5):11-9.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

44. Lingard EA, Katz JN, Wright EA, Sledge CB, Kinemax Outcomes Group. Predicting the outcome of total knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2004;86(10):2179-86. doi: 10.2106/00004623-200410000-00008.

45. Judge A, Arden NK, Cooper C, et al. Predictors of outcomes of total knee replacement surgery. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2012;51(10):1804-13. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kes075.

46. Desmeules F, Dionne CE, Belzile É, Bourbonnais R, Champagne F, Frémont P. Determinants of pain, functional limitations and health-related quality of life six months after total knee arthroplasty: results from a prospective cohort study. BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil 2013;5:2. doi: 10.1186/2052-1847-5-2.

47. Sayah SM, Karunaratne S, Beckenkamp PR, et al. Clinical Course of Pain and Function Following Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Regression. J Arthroplasty 2021;36(12):3993-4002.e37. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2021.06.019.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The ROC-curves of UGS, IKES (on the non-operated side), and PSEQ-4

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population

Variable	All (n=166)
Age; mean±SD	74.9± 6.5
Woman; number (%)	129 (77.7)
Body mass Index (kg/m^2); median (IQR)	24.4 ± 3.4
Family status (living alone); number (%)	24 (17.5)
Charlson comorbidity index; median (IQR)	0 (0-0)
UKA; number (%)	109 (65.7)
KL score on operated side	
□; number (%)	23 (13.9)
□; number (%)	91 (54.8)
□; number (%)/	52 (31.3)
KL score on non-operated side	
History of TKA or UKA on non-operated side; number (%)	35 (21.1)
□; number (%)	51 (30.7)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

□; number (%)	56 (33.7)
□; number (%)	9 (14.5)
IADL disabled before operation; number (%)	63 (46.3)

IQR, inter quartile range

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Content of disability in the IADL-disabled group

Items	IADL disabled 6-months after operation (n=83)*
Preparing food	33 (37.5)
Shopping	61 (69.3)
Housekeeping	40 (45.5)
Doing laundry	6 (6.8)
Using transportation	47 (53.4)
Handling finances	15 (17.0)

* Number (%)

Table 3. Comparison of baseline characteristics and preoperative measurements between the IADL non-disabled and disabled groups

Variable	IADL non-disabled (n=83)	IADL disabled (n=83)	*p*-value	Effect size*
Age; mean±SD	73.4± 6.8	76.3 ± 6.2	0.004	0.22
Woman; number (%)	58 (69.9)	71 (85.5)	0.03	0.17
Body mass Index (kg/m^2); median (IQR)	24.1± 3.0	24.7±3.8	0.2	0.11
Family status (living alone); number (%)	16 (19.2)	13 (15.7)	0.68	0.03
Charlson comorbidity index; median (IQR)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	1	<0.001
UKA; number (%)	57 (68.7)	52 (62.6)	0.51	0.05
KL score on operated side			0.07	0.18
□; number (%)	16 (19.2)	7 (8.4)		
□; number (%)	46 (55.4)	45 (54.2)		

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

□; number (%)/	21 (25.3)	31 (37.3)		
KL score on non-operated side			0.57	0.11
History of TKA or UKA on non-operated side; number (%)	18 (21.7)	17 (20.5)		
□; number (%)	28 (33.7)	23 (27.7)		
□; number (%)	28 (33.7)	28 (33.7)		
□; number (%)	9 (10.8)	15 (18.1)		
IADL disabled before operation; number (%)	17 (20.5)	60 (72.3)	<0.001	0.51
Motor and knee joint function				
UGS (m/s); mean±SD	1.05 ± 0.26	0.86 ± 0.24	<0.001	0.39
IKES on operated side (Nm/kg); median(IQR)	1.03 (0.83–1.28)	0.99 (0.69–1.27)	0.26	0.09

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

IKES on non-operated side (Nm/kg); median (IQR)	1.31 (1.09–1.75)	1.21 (0.82–1.49)	0.01	0.2
Knee flexion ROM on operated side (°); median (IQR)	125 (120–135)	125 (110–135)	0.19	0.1
Knee flexion ROM on non-operated side (°); median (IQR)	135 (125–140)	130 (115–140)	0.06	0.15
Knee extension ROM on operated side (°); median (IQR)	-5 (-10 to -5)	-5 (-10 to -5)	0.14	0.12
Knee extension ROM on non-operated side (°); median (IQR)	-5 (-5 to 0)	-5 (-10 to 0)	0.053	0.15
Pain status				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

KOOS pain; mean±SD	46.7 ± 18.7	44.1 ± 14.8	0.31	0.08
Psychological status				
GDS-15; median (IQR)	3.0 (1.0–6.0)	3.0 (1.0–6.0)	0.16	0.11
PCS-6; median (IQR)	14.0 (7.0-18.0)	15.0 (10.0-19.0)	0.16	0.11
PSEQ-4; median (IQR)	15.0 (12.5-18.5)	13.0 (11.0-17.5)	0.02	0.18

IQR, inter quartile range; ROM, range of motion

* Effect size was estimated using *r* for continuous variables and Cramér 's *V* for categorical variables

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4. Variable characteristics to discriminate those with or without IADL disability six months after KA

Variables	Cut off	AUC (95%CI)	Sensitivity（%）	Specificity (%)	OR (95% CI)
UGS (m/s)	1.0	0.71 (0.63–0.79)	57.8	79.5	5.32 (2.57–10.6)
IKES on the non-operated side (Nm/kg)	1.0	0.61 (0.53–0.70)	84.3	38.6	3.38 (1.61–7.07)
PSEQ–4 (points)	13	0.60 (0.52–0.69))	69.9	54.2	2.49 (1.29–4.81)

AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval**;** OR, odds ratio

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 5. ORs of independent variables in a logistic regression model with IADL disability status at six months after KA as dependent variable

	UGS		IKES non-operated side		PSEQ-4	
	Crude OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	Crude OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	Crude OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)
	5.32 (2.67–10.6)		3.38 (1.61–7.07)		2.49 (1.29–4.81)	
Model 1*		4.96† (2.31–10.7)		2.85‡ (1.24–6.54)		2.28‡ (1.12–4.64)
Model 2§		3.22‖ (1.38–7.56)		1.70 (0.65–4.45)		1.32 (0.58–2.98)

Odds ratios of independent variables that were expressed nominally by cutoff values are shown.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio

* Adjusted for age(years), sex, KL score on both knees and operation type (TKA or UKA)

† p<0.001

‡ p<0.05

§ Adjusted for age(years), sex, KL score on both knees, operation type (TKA or UKA) and IADL disabled before operation or not.

|| p<0.01

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 6. Demographic comparison in four subgroups

Variable	Group1* (n=66)	Group 2† (n=17)	Group 3‡ (n=23)	Group 4§ (n=60)	*p*-value	Multiple comparison
Age; mean±SD	72.8±6.6	75.5±7.3	74.7±6.3	76.9±6.2	0.007	1 < 4
Women; number (%)	45 (68.2)	13 (76.5)	17 (73.9)	54 (90.0)	0.02	
Body mass Index (kg/m^2); median (IQR)	23.6 (22.4–26.1)	23.4 (21.6–25.7)	24.8 (22.2–26.9)	23.9 (22.5–26.7)	0.8	
Family status (living alone); number (%)	15 (22.7)	1 (5.9)	2 (8.7)	11 (18.3)	0.3	
Charlson comorbidity index; median (IQR)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–0.5)	0 (0–0)	0.95	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

UKA; number (%)	46 (76.7)	11 (64.7)	16 (69.6)	36 (60.0)	0.68
KL score on operated side					0.09
□; number (%)	10 (15.2)	6 (35.3)	2 (8.7)	5 (8.3)	
□; number (%)	39 (59.1)	7 (41.2)	11 (47.8)	34 (56.7)	
□; number (%)	17 (25.8)	4 (23.5)	10 (43.5)	21 (35.0)	
KL score on non-operated side					–
History of TKA or UKA on non-operated side; number (%)	15 (22.7)	3 (17.6)	4 (17.4)	13 (21.7)	
□; number (%)	18 (27.3)	10 (58.8)	9 (39.1)	14 (23.3)	
□; number (%)	18 (27.3)	4 (23.5)	7 (30.4)	21 (35.0)	
□; number (%)	18 (27.3)	0 (0.0)	3 (13.0)	12 (20.0)	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

IQR, inter quartile range

* Those who did not have IADL disability before and after operation

† Those who have IADL disability only before operation

‡ Those who have IADL disability only after operation

§ Those who have IADL disability before and after operation.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 7. Comparison of KOOS-pain and UGS between pre- and postoperative subgroups

Variable		Group 1* (n=66)	Group 2† (n=17)	Group 3‡ (n=23)	Group 4§ (n=60)
UGS (m/s)	Baseline‖	1.09±0.23	0.87±0.24	0.95±0.16	0.81±0.26
	Follow-up‖	1.17±0.19	1.11±0.28	1.02±0.26	0.94±0.22
	p-value	0.01	<0.001	0.18	<0.001
	Effect size¶	0.33	0.84	0.29	0.49
KOOS pain (point)	Baseline‖	49.4±18.9	36.6±14.03	48.4±11.1	42.5±15.8
	Follow-up‖	82.2±12.9	81.7±10.7	74.2±12.1	71.3±14.6
	p-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Effect size¶	0.88	0.94	0.88	0.89

* Those who did not have IADL disability before and after operation

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

† Those who have IADL disability only before operation

‡ Those who have IADL disability only after operation

§ Those who have IADL disability before and after operation.

‖ Values of baseline and follow-up are shown on mean±SD.

¶ Effect size was estimated using *r*.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.09.22277442; this version posted December 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .


Sensitivity
Specificity
1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
UGS
IKES on the non-operated side
PSEQ–4