

## The associations of chronotype and shift work with rheumatoid arthritis

Butler TD\*<sup>1</sup>, Maidstone RJ<sup>4\*</sup>, Rutter MK<sup>1,2</sup>, McLaughlin J<sup>1,3</sup>, Ray DW<sup>4†</sup> and Gibbs JE<sup>1†</sup>

\*Both authors contributed equally

†Corresponding authors

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Biological Timing, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, University of Manchester, UK

<sup>2</sup> Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

<sup>3</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK

<sup>4</sup> NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK OX3 9DU and Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7LE, UK.

**Funding:** DWR is funded via MRC programme grant MR/P023576/1; Wellcome Trust (107849/Z/15/Z); TDB is an MRC Clinical Research Training Fellow (MR/S02199X/1); JEG is a Versus Arthritis Senior Research Fellow (22625) and work in her lab is funded by Versus Arthritis and the MRC (MR/S002715/1 and MR/P023576/1). RJM is funded via MRC programme grant (MR/P023576/1) and Wellcome Trust grant (107849/Z/15/Z).

**Conflicts of interests:** None declared

**Corresponding authors:** All correspondence to be sent to Julie Gibbs, [julie.gibbs@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:julie.gibbs@manchester.ac.uk) OR David Ray, [david.ray@ocdem.ox.ac.uk](mailto:david.ray@ocdem.ox.ac.uk)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.  
**Word count:** 2,021

## **ABSTRACT**

### **Introduction:**

The circadian clock regulates multiple aspects of human physiology including immunity. People have a circadian preference termed chronotype. Those with an evening preference may be better suited to shift work, but also carry higher risk of adverse health. Shift work leads to misalignment of circadian rhythms and is associated with increased risk of inflammatory disease such as asthma and cancer. Here, we investigate the association between chronotype, shift work and rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

### **Methods:**

The associations between exposures of shift work and chronotype on risk of RA were studied in up to 444,210 UK Biobank participants. Multivariate logistic regression models were adjusted for covariates including age, sex, alcohol intake, smoking history and BMI.

### **Results:**

After adjusting for covariates, individuals with a morning chronotype had lower odds of having RA (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.88 to 0.99) when compared to intermediate chronotypes. The association between morning chronotype and lower odds of RA persisted with a more stringent RA case definition (Covariate-adjusted OR 0.89, 95% CI 0.81 to 0.97). Shift workers had a higher odds of RA (OR 1.22, 95% CI 1.1 – 1.36), that attenuated to the null after covariate adjustment.

### **Conclusions:**

These data implicate circadian rhythms in RA pathogenesis. Further studies are required to determine the mechanisms underlying this association and understand the potential impact of shift work on chronic inflammatory disease and its mediating factors.

**Keywords:** Circadian; shift work; rheumatoid arthritis; chronotype

## KEY MESSAGES

### What is already known about this subject?

- Chronotype impacts a person's ability to adapt to shift work, which contributes to circadian misalignment and is associated with adverse health outcomes including asthma, diabetes and cancer

### What does this study add?

- People with morning chronotype have a lower likelihood of RA, compared to intermediate chronotypes
- Shift workers have higher likelihood of RA, compared to day workers, but this relationship may be mediated or confounded by factors such as smoking and BMI

### How might this impact on clinical practice or future developments?

- Chronotype is easy to assess and could be combined with other factors to determine an individual's risk of developing RA and influence decisions about undertaking shift work
- Growing evidence that common chronic inflammatory disorders are affected by circadian misalignment generates further need to investigate the impact of chronotype and shift work on immune function

## INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an increasingly prevalent autoimmune chronic inflammatory disease primarily affecting the joints and significantly impacting morbidity and premature mortality (1, 2). There is diurnal variation in symptoms of RA, with exacerbations of joint pain and stiffness in the morning, aligned to rhythmic expression of pro-inflammatory serum cytokines such as IL-6 (3).

Shift work is becoming increasingly common worldwide; with 10-20% of workers in most countries undertaking night shifts (4). Circadian misalignment as a result of night shift work impacts on circadian processes supporting healthy immune function (5, 6) and population data shows that shift workers have a higher likelihood of conditions with inflammatory components such as asthma (7) and Covid-19 (8).

24-hour circadian clocks allow humans to distribute physiological processes across the day, using a molecular clock mechanism to keep time and environmental stimuli such as light:dark cycles to set the time. Individuals have their own circadian phase preference, termed chronotype, with an evening chronotype being associated with lower levels of health and performance (9).

There are limited data linking circadian misalignment with RA and therefore we aimed to assess how strongly shift work and chronotype are related to the presence of RA in UK Biobank participants. As incidence of shift work and RA increases (1), these data could have important public health implications.

## METHODS

Between 2007 and 2010, the UK Biobank recruited 502,540 National Health Service-registered participants aged 40-69 years old (10). Baseline assessments conducted at 22 UK study centres collected data on lifestyle, medical history, occupation and work patterns.

### Exposures

a) *Chronotype*: Self-reported chronotype data were collected at baseline in UK biobank participants (n=444,210) by asking the following question that had four answer options: 'Do you consider yourself to be...' a) "definitely a 'morning' person?", which we defined as 'morning chronotypes'; b) "definitely an 'evening' person?", which we defined as 'evening chronotypes'. An 'Intermediate chronotype' group was formed by combining responses: c) "more a 'morning' than 'evening' person?"; and d) "more an 'evening' than 'morning' person?". Individuals answering "do not know" were excluded from analysis (n=4,032).

b) *Shift work*: Shift work analysis was restricted to participants in paid employment or self-employment at baseline (n=286,825, age range 37-72 years). Shift workers were sub-categorised as working permanent night shifts or working irregular shifts, which included shift workers who never, rarely or sometimes worked night shifts.

*Outcome*: Cases of prevalent RA included patients with self-reported, doctor-diagnosed RA (code 20002) or patients with International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9/ICD-10 codes for RA diagnosis (ICD-10 codes: M05, M06 and ICD-9 code: 7140), which represent diagnoses recorded during secondary care stays prior to the UK Biobank baseline assessment. This

identified 5,659 cases (1.3% of all UK Biobank participants), of which 3,537 were included in the chronotype analysis and 2,201 were included in the shift work analysis. Sub-group analysis to increase RA case specificity included patients with self-reported, doctor-diagnosed RA who, in addition reported either a prescribed medication to treat RA (supplementary table 1) or had an ICD code for RA.

### **Statistical analysis**

Descriptive data are presented as mean (standard deviations (SD)) or percentages. Multivariable-adjusted logistic regression models generated ORs and 95% asymptotic confidence intervals (CI) describing the strength of relationships between shift work and chronotype with prevalent RA. Models were serially adjusted for the following covariates: Model 1: age, sex, ethnicity and Townsend deprivation index; model 2: model 1 covariates plus sleep duration, alcohol intake, smoking status and length of working week; Model 3: model 2 covariates plus BMI (11). Analysis was performed using statistical programming software R.  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## **RESULTS**

### **Chronotype**

The characteristics of participants providing chronotype data are detailed in Table 1. When compared to intermediate chronotypes, morning chronotypes were less likely to be smokers and consumed less alcohol, but had similar BMI. Compared to intermediate chronotypes, evening chronotypes were younger, more likely to be male, smokers, with increased alcohol consumption and a diagnosis of depression.

UK Biobank participants with an extreme morning chronotype did not have lower odds of RA in model 1 (OR 0.96, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.02), but on further covariate adjustment, demonstrated lower odds of RA in model 2 (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.88 to 0.99) and model 3 (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.88 to 0.99), compared to intermediate chronotypes (Figure 1).

In the sub-group with self-reported or doctor-diagnosed RA who, in addition were either prescribed RA medication or had an RA ICD code, significant associations between morning chronotype and a lower likelihood of RA were observed in all three models: model 1 (OR 0.91, 95% CI 0.84 to 0.99), model 2 (OR 0.89, 95% CI 0.81 to 0.97) and model 3 (OR 0.89, 95% CI 0.81 to 0.97) when compared to intermediate chronotypes (Supplementary figure 1).

Extreme evening chronotypes had a higher odds of RA (OR 1.17, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.28) when compared to intermediate chronotypes in the minimally adjusted model (model 1). However, the association became non-significant in model 2 (OR 1.08, 95% CI 0.99 to 1.28) and model 3 (OR 1.05, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.15) (Figure 1).

In the sub-group analysis, associations between evening chronotype and RA were significant in model 1 (OR 1.15, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.30), but not in model 2 (OR 1.05, 95% CI 0.92 to 1.19) or model 3 (OR 1.04, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.18) (Supplementary figure 1).

### **Shift work**

In participants in paid employment or self-employment, 83% were day-only workers and the remaining 17% worked shifts, of which 51% included night shifts. Compared to day workers, shift workers were more likely to be male, smokers, work longer weeks and drink less alcohol

(Table 2). Night shift workers were more likely to be evening chronotypes and work in technical occupations.

In model 1, people undertaking any shift work had higher odds of having RA (OR 1.22, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.36) (Figure 2). Further covariate adjustment in model 2 attenuated this association (OR 1.12, 95% CI 1.0 to 1.25),  $p < 0.0001$ , with attenuation to the null in model 3 (OR 1.1, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.22).

Irregular shift patterns (OR 1.21, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.36) and permanent night shifts (OR 1.31, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.69), were both linked to a higher likelihood of RA, when compared to day workers in model 1 (Figure 2). However, for irregular shift workers, further adjustment for covariates in model 2 (OR 1.12, 95% CI 1.0 to 1.26) and model 3 (OR 1.1, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.23) attenuated the association. Similarly, the odds of having RA in permanent night shift workers was attenuated to the null in model 2 (OR 1.1, 95% CI 0.85 to 1.43) and 3 (OR 1.09, 95% CI 0.84 to 1.41).

In the sub-group analyses, irregular shift work (143 cases) and permanent night shift work (25 cases) were not significantly related to prevalent RA (Supplementary table 2).

### **Interaction between shift work and chronotype**

To understand the influence of chronotype on the association between shift work and rheumatoid arthritis, permanent night shift workers were stratified by chronotype. There were no significant associations between permanent shift workers and rheumatoid arthritis,



stratified by chronotype and no significant interaction by chronotype status ( $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.16$ ) (Supplementary table 3).

## DISCUSSION

This study analysed data from over 440,000 UK Biobank participants and demonstrates lower adjusted odds of RA in individuals with a morning chronotype, which persists after covariate adjustment when a more stringent RA case selection is used. In addition, this study demonstrates an association between shift work and prevalent RA which is attenuated on covariate adjustment.

Our results are contrary to a recent paper, where authors used the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire to report an earlier mid-point of sleep in 121 RA cases from the Netherlands, compared to healthy controls (12). Our study involved a much larger sample size and adjustment for multiple covariates, but our case definition specificity may be lower due to reliance on a combination of doctor-diagnosed RA and ICD codes, rather than rheumatologist-diagnosed RA. In our analysis, morning, compared to intermediate chronotype is linked to risk factors associated with higher RA prevalence such as lower daily alcohol intake, shorter sleep duration and shorter working week, and therefore when these factors are accounted for in model 2, the odds of RA associated with morning chronotype is lowered and the association becomes statistically significant. This pattern persists in model 3. Further work is required to understand whether patients with RA have a chronotype shaped by rhythmicity in symptoms, against a morning preference when joints are at their stiffest.

Our study demonstrated higher adjusted odds of RA in shift workers, including those who never or rarely work night shifts and permanent shift workers, when compared to day workers. However, this association attenuated to the null in models 2 and 3. These data would be in keeping with sleep duration, smoking and/or BMI being confounders and/or mediators of the relationship between shift work and RA. Further work will be required to elucidate these potential mechanistic links. Shift workers constantly challenge entrainment of their circadian rhythms and the systemic consequences of desynchrony between central and peripheral circadian oscillators designates shift work a risk factor for chronic diseases such as asthma, diabetes and cancer (7, 13, 14). Our data provides evidence that RA should be considered in this list.

This study builds on two previous smaller studies analysing shift work and RA. In a Finnish population of public sector workers, women working night shifts had a higher risk of incident RA when compared to day workers (15). In a Swedish case-control study, rotating shift work was associated with a higher risk of incident seropositive RA, whereas permanent night shift work conferred a protective effect (16).

A strength of our study is the large population of RA cases from the UK Biobank, which also contains data to enable robust adjustment for confounders that impact chronotype, such as age, sex and ethnicity. (17) The protective association between morningness and RA persisted through these models. In patients with RA, inflammatory cytokines peak in the morning (3). Taken in context of our findings, this raises the interesting possibility that biological clocks in morning types are better aligned to regulate and control inflammatory processes that drive RA pathogenesis, thus avoiding RA development. Further profiling of morning types, including

circadian-driven meal timing, activity profiles and sleep hygiene may identify protective factors that could help reduce RA risk in non-morning types and highlight potential chronotherapeutic avenues such as chronotype-driven drug timing. In our study, the trend towards higher odds of RA in evening types did not reach statistical significance. Evening chronotype has been associated with increased severity of chronic inflammatory disease (18, 19) and whilst it was not possible to risk stratify RA cases by severity in this study, further work should explore the association of chronotype and RA severity.

The UK Biobank has inherent limitations, for example the participation rate was 5% and skewed towards more healthy individuals. Over half of RA cases had no recorded medication for RA, which raises the possibility of misdiagnosis. To investigate this, sub-group analysis aimed to increase specificity of RA case definition by cross-referencing self-reported RA cases with the presence of either an ICD-10 code for RA or presence of RA medication. Whilst this decreased case numbers by 2,832, the lower odds of RA in extreme morning chronotypes persisted. This gives support to the main case definition and the main findings. In addition, RA case numbers in shift work analyses were low, with only 62 permanent night shift workers identified, impacting the power of results. This may be due to the high levels of withdrawal from paid employment seen in RA patients (20).

## **CONCLUSION**

This study reports an association between morning chronotype and lower risk of RA as well as an increased adjusted odds of RA in shift workers. This adds to the mounting evidence that circadian rhythms are a key factor in RA and adds strength to the idea that misalignment of internal clock phase with the external 24h environment may be important in RA development.

Further studies are required to explore the mechanistic links between circadian misalignment and development, or propagation of inflammation seen in RA.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Safiri *et al.*, Global, regional and national burden of rheumatoid arthritis 1990-2017: a systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease study 2017. *Ann Rheum Dis* **78**, 1463-1471 (2019).
2. M. N. Lassere, J. Rappo, I. J. Portek, A. Sturgess, J. P. Edmonds, How many life years are lost in patients with rheumatoid arthritis? Secular cause-specific and all-cause mortality in rheumatoid arthritis, and their predictors in a long-term Australian cohort study. *Intern Med J* **43**, 66-72 (2013).
3. J. E. Gibbs, D. W. Ray, The role of the circadian clock in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Research & Therapy* **15**, 205 (2013).
4. Eurofound and International Labour Organization, "Working conditions in a global perspective," (Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg and International Labour Organization, Geneva, 2019).
5. C. M. Depner, E. L. Melanson, A. W. McHill, K. P. Wright, Mistimed food intake and sleep alters 24-hour time-of-day patterns of the human plasma proteome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, E5390-E5399 (2018).
6. L. Kervezee, M. Cuesta, N. Cermakian, D. B. Boivin, Simulated night shift work induces circadian misalignment of the human peripheral blood mononuclear cell transcriptome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 5540-5545 (2018).
7. R. J. Maidstone *et al.*, Night shift work is associated with an increased risk of asthma. *Thorax* **76**, 53 (2021).

8. R. Maidstone *et al.*, Shift work is associated with positive COVID-19 status in hospitalised patients. *Thorax* **76**, 601-606 (2021).
9. E. R. Facer-Childs, B. Middleton, D. J. Skene, A. P. Bagshaw, Resetting the late timing of 'night owls' has a positive impact on mental health and performance. *Sleep Med* **60**, 236-247 (2019).
10. C. Sudlow *et al.*, UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLOS Medicine* **12**, e1001779 (2015).
11. S. Siebert *et al.*, Characteristics of rheumatoid arthritis and its association with major comorbid conditions: cross-sectional study of 502 649 UK Biobank participants. *RMD Open* **2**, e000267 (2016).
12. G. E. A. Habers *et al.*, Earlier chronotype in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clinical Rheumatology* **40**, 2185-2192 (2021).
13. T. L. Sletten *et al.*, Health consequences of circadian disruption. *Sleep* **43**, (2020).
14. C. Vetter *et al.*, Night Shift Work, Genetic Risk, and Type 2 Diabetes in the UK Biobank. *Diabetes Care* **41**, 762-769 (2018).
15. S. Puttonen *et al.*, Is shift work a risk factor for rheumatoid arthritis? The Finnish Public Sector study. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* **69**, 679 (2010).
16. A. K. Hedström, T. Åkerstedt, L. Klareskog, L. Alfredsson, Relationship between shift work and the onset of rheumatoid arthritis. *RMD Open* **3**, e000475 (2017).
17. T. Roenneberg, L. K. Pilz, G. Zerbini, E. C. Winnebeck, Chronotype and Social Jetlag: A (Self-) Critical Review. *Biology* **8**, 54 (2019).
18. P. S. Chakradeo *et al.*, Chronotype, social jet lag, sleep debt and food timing in inflammatory bowel disease. *Sleep Medicine* **52**, 188-195 (2018).

19. T. Kantermann, A. Theadom, T. Roenneberg, M. Croy, Fibromyalgia Syndrome and Chronotype: Late Chronotypes Are More Affected. *Journal of Biological Rhythms* **27**, 176-179 (2012).
20. C. L. Backman, Employment and work disability in rheumatoid arthritis. *Current Opinion in Rheumatology* **16**, 148-152 (2004).

**Table 1**

	Chronotype		
	Definitely a morning person	Intermediate chronotype	Definitely an evening person
N	119344	281161	39673
Age (years)	57.94 (7.8)	56.9 (8.1)	55.61 (8.3)
Sex (% male)	43.5	44.3	46.6
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	27.6 (4.9)	27.3 (4.7)	28.0 (5.2)
Smoker (%)			
Never	56.9	54.4	45.5
Previous	33.8	35.0	35.4
Current	8.9	10.3	18.8
Smoking pack-years (years)	6.8 (15.2)	6.9 (14.6)	10.6 (18.2)
Drink alcohol daily (%)	18.4	20.7	22.8
Monthly units of alcohol consumed *	46.0 (71.3)	54.9 (73.0)	61.6 (90.6)
Sleep duration (hours)	7.04 (1.27)	7.16 (1.2)	7.06 (1.42)
Ethnicity (%)			
White British	87.1	89.6	85.0
White Other	6.0	5.7	8.6
Mixed	0.6	0.5	0.9
Asian	2.8	1.5	1.8
Black	2.0	1.3	1.7
Chinese	0.3	0.3	0.5
Other	1.2	1.1	1.5
Weekly work (hours)	19.5 (20.2)	20.2 (19.8)	21.1 (20.2)
Single Occupancy (%)	18.5	17.8	24.6
Urban area (%)	86.1	85.6	87.7
Townsend Deprivation Index	-2.1 (-3.6 to 0.7)	-2.3 (-3.7 to 0.3)	-1.7 (-3.4 to 1.2)
High Cholesterol (%)	13.2	12.0	12.1
Type 1 Diabetes (%)	0.1	0.1	0.1
Type 2 Diabetes (%)	0.7	0.6	0.8
Hypertension (%)	28.6	26.2	26.6
Depression (%)	4.6	5.8	9.0
Cardiovascular Disease (%)	6.9	6.3	6.7



**Table 1. Participant characteristics by chronotype (N = 440,178)**

Data are mean (SD) or percentages. Townsend Deprivation Index is a measure of socioeconomic deprivation, where higher scores correlate with greater deprivation. Doctor-diagnosed comorbidities including type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, depression and cardiovascular disease were recorded by participants on touchscreen questionnaire at UK Biobank enrolment.


**Table 2**

	Current work schedule			
	Day workers	Shift work, but never or rarely night shifts	Irregular shift work including nights	Permanent night shift work
N	236897	24560	18226	7142
Age (years)	52.9 (7.1)	52.5 (7.1)	51.1 (6.9)	51.5 (6.9)
Sex (% male)	46.6	47.5	62.4	61.4
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	27.1 (4.7)	27.8 (5.0)	28.2 (4.9)	28.5 (4.9)
Smoker (%)				
Never	58.1	53.7	52.8	52.0
Previous	31.9	32.1	30.5	30.0
Current	9.8	13.9	16.2	17.7
Smoking pack-years	20.1 (16.1)	22.9 (17.5)	24.3 (17.8)	25.7 (18.4)
Daily alcohol intake (%)	20.5	16.9	16.0	10.2
Sleep Duration (h)	7.1 (1.0)	7.0 (1.2)	6.9 (1.3)	6.7 (1.5)
Morning Chronotype (%)	23.3	25.5	22.9	19.2
Evening Chronotype (%)	8.0	7.9	9.8	16.9
Ethnicity				
White British	88.5	83.3	79.9	81.0
White Other	6.5	7.1	7.0	6.0
Mixed	0.7	0.9	1.0	0.9
Asian	1.7	3.6	3.8	3.4
Black	1.4	2.7	4.9	5.5
Chinese	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.7
Other	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Weekly work hours	34.2 (13.2)	35.0 (13.2)	39.3 (14.6)	39.6 (13.7)
Single Occupancy (%)	15.6	18.8	18.7	18.4
Urban area (%)	86.0	89.6	89.3	91.0
Townsend Index	-2.2 (-3.7 to 0.2)	-1.3 (-3.2 to 1.6)	-1.2 (-3.2 to 1.8)	-1.0 (-3.0 to 2.1)
High Cholesterol (%)	7.9	8.6	8.5	9.3
All Diabetes (%)	3.2	4.3	4.6	4.7
Type I Diabetes (%)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Type II Diabetes (%)	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6
Hypertension (%)	20.3	22.2	22.1	23.3
Depression (%)	4.6	5.5	4.5	4.8

**Table 2. Participant characteristics by current night shift work exposure (N = 286,825)**

Data are mean (SD) or percentages.

**Figure 1**



**Figure 1. Adjusted odds of rheumatoid arthritis by chronotype (n=440,178)**

Forest plots of adjusted OR and 95% CIs for RA by chronotype, with intermediate chronotypes as a referent population. Three multivariate logistic regression models were used. Model 1 (circle): age, sex, ethnicity and Townsend Deprivation index; Model 2 (triangle): sleep duration, alcohol intake, smoking status and length of working week, plus model 1 covariates; Model 3 (square): BMI in addition to model 2's covariates.

**Figure 2**



**Figure 2. Adjusted odds of rheumatoid arthritis in shift workers (n=286,825)**

Forest plots of adjusted OR and 95% CIs for RA in all shift workers and shift workers stratified by current shift pattern, with day workers as a referent population. Three multivariate logistic regression models were used. Model 1 (circle): age, sex, ethnicity and Townsend Deprivation index; Model 2 (triangle): sleep duration, alcohol intake, smoking status and length of working week, plus model 1 covariates; Model 3 (square): BMI in addition to model 2's covariates.

## Supplementary table 1

<b>Steroids</b>
- Corticosteroids
- Depomedrone
- Triamcinolone
- Methylprednisolone
- Prednisolone
- prednisone (UK Biobank: Deltacortril enteric; Deltastab; Precortisyl; Prednesola)
<b>Disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs)</b>
- Auranofin (UK Biobank: Ridaura)
- Azathioprine (UK Biobank: Imuran)
- hydroxychloroquine (UK Biobank: Plaquenil)
- leflunomide (UK Biobank: Arava)
- methotrexate
- methotrexate injections
- myocrisin
- penicillamine
- sulfasalazine (UK Biobank: Sulazine; salazopyrin; sulphasalazine)
<b>Biologics (monoclonal antibodies)</b>
- abatacept
- adalimumab (UK Biobank: Humira injection solution)
- certolizumab
- etanercept
- golimumab
- infliximab
- rituximab
- tocilizumab

Supplementary table 1. Medications for RA recorded by UK Biobank participants

## Supplementary table 2

	Current work schedule		
	Day workers	Irregular shift work	Always
<b>Total cases (% of total sample size)</b>	892 (0.38%)	143 (0.34%)	25 (0.35%)
<b>Total sample size</b>	233,423	41,826	7,054
<b>Model 1</b>	1	0.99 (0.83-1.18)	1.11 (0.75-1.66)
<b>Model 2</b>	1	0.91 (0.76-1.09)	0.94 (0.63-1.4)
<b>Model 3</b>	1	0.91 (0.76-1.09)	0.94 (0.63-1.41)

**Supplementary table 2. Subgroup analysis of adjusted odds (95% CI) of rheumatoid arthritis (self-reported with either medication or ICD code) in shift workers by shift work schedule (N = 282,303).**


Model 1: age, sex, ethnicity and Townsend Deprivation index; Model 2: sleep duration, alcohol intake, smoking status and length of working week, plus model 1 covariates; Model 3: BMI in addition to model 2's covariates.

**Supplementary table 3**

Current work schedule	Mean (standard deviation)	P <sub>interaction</sub>
<b>Definite morning chronotype (N= 65,586)</b>		0.16
Day workers	1	
Permanent night shift work	1.22 (0.98-1.52)	
<b>Intermediate chronotype (N= 162,461)</b>		
Day workers	1	
Permanent night shift work	1.13 (0.97-1.31)	
<b>Definite evening chronotype (N= 23,323)</b>		
Day workers	1	
Permanent night shift work	1.02 (0.72-1.46)	

**Supplementary Table 3. Adjusted odds (95% CI) of rheumatoid arthritis (ICD code or self-reported) by shift work schedule, stratified by chronotype**

## Supplementary figure 1



### Supplementary Figure 1. Subgroup analysis of adjusted odds of rheumatoid arthritis (self-reported with either medication or ICD code) by chronotype (n=440,178)

Forest plots of adjusted OR and 95% CIs for RA by chronotype, with intermediate chronotypes as a referent population. Three multivariate logistic regression models were used. Model 1 (circle): age, sex, ethnicity and Townsend Deprivation index; Model 2 (triangle): sleep duration, alcohol intake, smoking status and length of working week, plus model 1 covariates; Model 3 (square): BMI in addition to model 2's covariates.