

The Gut Resistome during Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Children

Sarah M. Heston MD¹, Rebecca R. Young MS^{1,2}, Kirsten Jenkins BS¹, Paul L. Martin MD PhD³,
Andre Stokhuyzen, MSN, RN, CPNP-AC³, Doyle V. Ward PhD^{4,5}, Shakti K. Bhattarai MS⁵,
Vanni Bucci PhD⁵, Mehreen Arshad MD⁶, Nelson J. Chao MD MBA⁷, Patrick C. Seed MD PhD⁶,
Matthew S. Kelly MD, MPH¹

¹Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC; ²Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, NC; ³Division of Pediatric Transplant and Cellular Therapy, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC; ⁴Center for Microbiome Research, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA; ⁵Department of Microbiology and Physiological Systems, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA; ⁶Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, IL; ⁷Division of Hematologic Malignancies and Cellular Therapy, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC

Abstract

Background: Children undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HCT) are at high risk of acquiring antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Few prior studies examined antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) within the gut metagenomes of children undergoing HCT.

Methods: We conducted a longitudinal study of children (age <18 years) undergoing HCT at a single institution. We performed shotgun metagenomic sequencing of fecal samples collected between days -30 and +100 relative to HCT. We evaluated the effects of aerobic (cefepime, vancomycin, fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, macrolides, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole) and anaerobic (piperacillin-tazobactam, carbapenems, metronidazole, and clindamycin) antibiotic exposures on the diversity and composition of the gut microbiome and resistome.

Findings: Using metagenomic data from 693 fecal samples collected from 80 children, we identified 350 unique ARGs. The most frequent ARGs identified encode resistance to tetracycline (n=91), beta-lactams (n=80), and fluoroquinolones (n=76). Both aerobic and anaerobic antibiotic exposures were associated with a decrease in the number of bacterial species (aerobic, $\beta=0.72$, 95% CI: 0.66, 0.79; anaerobic, $\beta=0.68$, 95% CI: 0.61, 0.76) and the number of unique ARGs (aerobic, $\beta=0.83$, 95% CI: 0.76, 0.91; anaerobic, $\beta=0.84$, 95% CI: 0.76, 0.93) within the gut metagenome. However, only anaerobic antibiotics were associated with an increase in the number of newly acquired ARGs (29%, 95% CI: 10%, 52%) and the abundance of ARGs (95%, 95% CI: 59%, 138%) in the gut resistome. Specific antibiotic exposures were associated with distinct changes in the number and abundance of resistance genes for individual antibiotic classes.

Interpretation: The gut metagenome and resistome of children are highly dynamic throughout HCT, driven largely by antibiotic exposures. Compared to antibiotics without anaerobic activity,

anaerobic antibiotics were associated with increased microbiome instability and expansion of the gut resistome.

Funding: Antibacterial Resistance Leadership Group, National Institutes of Health, Duke Children's Health & Discovery Initiative, Children's Miracle Network Hospitals

Introduction

Antibiotic resistance is one of the most serious global public health threats. In the United States alone, more than 2.8 million antibiotic-resistant infections occur each year, resulting in an estimated \$4.6 billion in healthcare expenditures and more than 35,000 deaths.^{1,2} Despite the World Health Organization's Global Action Plan to combat antimicrobial resistance, the number of infections and deaths from antimicrobial-resistant organisms continues to rise.³ Currently, 18 antimicrobial-resistant organisms are considered national threats by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and there has been a 50% increase in the number of infections caused by extended-spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae alone since 2013.¹ A number of factors contribute to growing antibiotic resistance, but use of antibiotics is the major driving force, thereby making hospitals a setting for the spread of antibiotic resistance among patients.^{1,4}

Patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HCT) are at high risk of acquiring antibiotic-resistant organisms due to their prolonged hospitalizations, impaired immunity, and frequent receipt of broad-spectrum antibiotics. Colonization by multidrug-resistant bacteria is observed in more than 50% of adult HCT recipients and has been associated with a higher incidence of non-relapse-related mortality.⁵ Moreover, HCT recipients are at high risk for antibiotic-resistant infections arising from the gut because of antibiotic-induced changes in the gut microbiome, impaired host immunity, and mucosal barrier injury from chemotherapy.^{6,7} Overgrowth of potential pathogens that colonize the gut increases the risk of an antibiotic-resistant bloodstream infection.^{7,8} In addition, antibiotics with an anaerobic spectrum of activity are increasingly recognized to disrupt the gut microbiome and are associated with poor outcomes after HCT including a higher risk of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD).⁹ Historically, studies of HCT recipients identified colonization by antibiotic-resistant bacteria using culture- or PCR-based methods. These methods are limited by focusing only on cultivable bacteria or on a small number

of specific resistance genes. With the increasing accessibility of shotgun metagenomic sequencing, we are now able to simultaneously detect the full complement of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) within a sample, collectively referred to as the “resistome.”

In this study, we used shotgun metagenomic sequencing of 693 fecal samples from 80 children and adolescents undergoing HCT. We performed longitudinal analyses to evaluate the impact of aerobic and anaerobic antibiotics on gut microbiome composition and the gut resistome during HCT. In addition, we evaluated associations between specific antibiotic exposures and the number and abundances of resistance genes to specific antibiotic classes to describe the effect of specific antibiotic exposures on the gut resistome.

Methods

Study Design and Population

We conducted a prospective cohort study of children and adolescents (<18 years of age) who underwent their first HCT through the Duke University Pediatric Transplant and Cellular Therapy Program between October 2015 and February 2018. Subjects were prospectively enrolled during the pretransplantation evaluation, and fecal samples were collected from subjects on as many days as possible from enrollment through 100 days after HCT. Daily clinical data, including information on receipt of antibiotics, were collected from the electronic medical record. We obtained informed consent from participants’ legal guardians prior to enrollment, and the study protocol was approved by the Duke University Health System Institutional Review Board.

Transplant Practices

Most children received trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) for *Pneumocystis jirovecii* prophylaxis from the start of the preparatory chemotherapy conditioning regimen through two

days before HCT. Thereafter, children received monthly inhaled or IV pentamidine starting 30 days after HCT. After HCT, broad-spectrum antibiotics were initiated at onset of fever or concern for infection, but routine antibacterial prophylaxis was not used. Cefepime was the first-line antibiotic for subjects experiencing fever and neutropenia; vancomycin or antibiotics with an anaerobic spectrum of activity (e.g., piperacillin-tazobactam) were also frequently used if there was concern for a specific infectious source. Antibiotic selection and duration were at the discretion of the clinical provider.

Metagenomic Sequencing and Data Processing

Approximately weekly fecal samples from each subject underwent shotgun metagenomic sequencing. DNA was extracted from fecal samples using PowerSoil Pro Kits (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). DNA sequencing libraries were constructed using Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kits (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced on NextSeq500 or NovaSeq6000 instruments (Illumina) as 150-bp paired-end reads. We trimmed reads using Trimmomatic (version 0.39) and removed host decontamination using Bowtie2 (version 2.3.5).^{10,11} Host-decontaminated reads were profiled for bacterial species relative abundances using MetaPhlAn2.¹² Using the ShortBRED pipeline (version 0.9.4), we aligned sequencing reads to the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD; version 313).^{13,14} Reads mapped to antibiotic resistance genes were normalized by dividing the proportion of sequencing reads mapped to a gene sequence by the length of the gene sequence to determine the reads per kilobase per million (RPKM). We pruned samples with less than 500,000 quality-filtered metagenomic read-pairs.

Statistical Analyses

We used MaAsLin2 to fit linear mixed effects models with subject as a random effect to evaluate associations between antibiotic exposures and clinical characteristics and the relative abundances of specific bacterial species.¹⁵ For these models, we considered species that had a

minimum mean relative abundance of 1% and a sample prevalence of 5%; the false discovery rate was set to 0.1. We considered cefepime, vancomycin, fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, macrolides, and TMP-SMX to have aerobic spectra of activity. We considered piperacillin-tazobactam, carbapenems, metronidazole, and clindamycin to have spectra of activity that included anaerobes. A participant was considered exposed to an antibiotic if they received at least one dose of the antibiotic since the last sequenced sample. If a subject started antibiotics on the day of fecal sample collection, the antibiotic exposure was assigned to the next sequenced sample.

We assessed for the effects of time during HCT and antibiotic exposures on gut microbiome composition and the gut resistome using mixed effects models with day relative to HCT, aerobic antibiotic exposure, and anaerobic antibiotic exposure as fixed effects and subject as a random effect. Outcome measures for these models included the number of species and ARGs, the number of new species and ARGs acquired since the previous sample, microbiome and resistome instability measured by the Jaccard distance, and the abundance of ARGs.¹⁶ Models were adjusted for subject age, sex, underlying diagnosis, preparatory conditioning regimen, type of HCT (autologous or allogeneic), and sample sequencing depth. Models for the number of species and ARGs and the number of newly acquired species and ARGs were fit using a negative binomial distribution with the *glmmADMB* R package (version 0.8.3.3). Models for the Jaccard distance were fit using linear regression with the *lme4* R package (version 1.1-27.1).^{17,18} We performed similar analyses to evaluate associations between exposures to specific antibiotics and measures of the resistome using adjusted mixed effects models. We correlated measures of the resistome with corresponding measures of microbiome composition using repeated measures correlations implemented using the *rmcorr* R package (version 0.4.4).¹⁹ Finally, we considered the effect of individual antibiotics on the number and abundance of ARGs that confer resistance to specific classes of antibiotics using unadjusted mixed effect negative binomial models with individual

antibiotic exposure as a fixed effect and subject as a random effect. We applied the Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons on the unadjusted models.²⁰ All analyses were conducted using R statistical software (version 4.0.2).

Results

Patient and sample characteristics

Our cohort included 80 children with a median (interquartile range [IQR]) age of 5.1 (2.2, 12.8) years (**Table 1**). The most frequent indication for HCT was hematologic malignancy (42%), and most transplants were allogeneic (88%), with umbilical cord blood being the most common donor source (64%). Cefepime, vancomycin, and TMP-SMX were the most commonly received antibiotics (**Table 2**). With regard to antibiotics with an anaerobic spectrum of activity, 44 (55%) subjects received metronidazole, 26 (33%) received piperacillin-tazobactam, 15 (19%) received a carbapenem, and four (5%) received clindamycin. Analyses included metagenomic sequencing data from 693 fecal samples [median (IQR) of 8 (6, 12) samples per child]. Shotgun metagenomic sequencing generated a total of 5,624,857,872 high-quality, paired-end metagenomic reads with a median (IQR) sequencing depth of 7.45 (3.41, 12.02) million reads per sample.

Composition of the gut microbiome during HCT

We identified a total of 597 bacterial species with a median (IQR) of 28 (15, 50) unique bacterial species per sample. The most highly abundant bacterial species were *Escherichia coli* (mean relative abundance [MRA]=0.067), *Enterococcus faecalis* (MRA=0.060), *Bacteroides vulgatus* (MRA=0.056), *Enterococcus faecium* (MRA=0.039), and *Bacteroides stercoris* (MRA=0.033; **Figure 1**). The composition of the gut microbiome underwent substantial changes during the course of HCT; specifically, the relative abundances of several anaerobic species declined and the relative abundances of potential pathogens, including *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterococcus*

faecalis, and several of the viridans group streptococci, increased during the course of HCT (**Supplementary Table 1**). The number of acquired bacterial species increased by 1% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.7%, 1.1%) per day after HCT (**Supplementary Table 2**). There were no significant changes in the total number of species or the instability of the gut microbiome with increasing time since HCT.

Exposure to aerobic antibiotics was associated with a 28% (95% CI: 21%, 34%) decrease in the number of species and a 0.08 (95% CI: 0.04, 0.12) increase in instability. Anaerobic antibiotic exposures were similarly associated with a 32% (95% CI: 24%, 39%) decrease in the number of species and a 0.09 (0.05, 0.13) increase in instability of the gut microbiome composition (**Supplementary Table 2**). However, while aerobic antibiotics had no effect on the number of acquired species, there was a 19% (95% CI: 2%, 39%) increase in the number of acquired species associated with anaerobic antibiotics (**Supplementary Table 2**). Taken together, both aerobic and anaerobic antibiotic exposures were associated with a decrease in the number of bacterial species in the gut microbiome and an increase in instability of gut microbiome composition, but only anaerobic antibiotic exposures were associated with an increase in the acquisition of new species.

We next sought to evaluate associations between exposures to specific antibiotics and changes in the composition of the gut microbiome (**Figure 2**). Cefepime exposure was associated with decreases in the relative abundances of both anaerobic bacteria from the genera *Bifidobacterium*, *Blautia*, and *Clostridium*, as well as potential pathogens including *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and viridans group streptococci. Piperacillin-tazobactam and metronidazole, both anaerobic antibiotics, were associated with decreases in the relative abundances of several anaerobic bacterial species. Piperacillin-tazobactam was also associated with an increase in the relative abundance of *Enterococcus faecium*, and metronidazole was associated with increases in the relative abundances of *E. faecalis* and *Enterococcus gallinarum*. Notably, fluoroquinolone

exposure tended to be associated with increases in the relative abundances of several bacterial species from the genera *Bacteroides*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Blautia*, and *Clostridium* (**Supplementary Table 1**).

The gut resistome during HCT

We detected a total of 350 unique ARGs with a median (IQR) of 28 (15, 56) ARGs per sample. The most common ARGs detected were ErmB, tetO, tetW, and dfrF, each of which were present in more than 60% of samples and can confer resistance to macrolides and lincosamides (e.g., clindamycin), tetracyclines, and trimethoprim, respectively. The most common ARG classes were tetracyclines, beta-lactams, and fluoroquinolones (**Table 3**).

The gut resistome of children was also highly dynamic during the course of HCT. There was no significant change in the relative abundance of ARGs within the gut. However, there was a 0.2% (95% CI: 0.1%, 0.3%) increase in the number of ARGs and a 0.8% (95% CI: 0.6%, 1.0%) increase in the number of new ARGs with each day after HCT. Interestingly, the instability of the resistome decreased with each day after HCT ($\beta=-0.001$; 95% CI: -0.002, -0.000; **Figure 3; Supplementary Table 2**). While each year of increasing age was associated with a 5% (95% CI: 1%, 8%) decrease in gut resistome abundance and a decrease in resistome instability ($\beta=-0.01$; 95% CI: -0.01, -0.00), other subject and transplant factors were not associated with measures of the resistome (**Supplementary Table 2**). Thus, when age and other clinical factors are held constant, new ARGs are acquired during HCT and the overall stability of the resistome increases with time.

Antibiotic exposures were a main driver of changes in the gut resistome in pediatric HCT recipients. Compared to no aerobic antibiotic exposure, aerobic antibiotics were associated with a 17% (95% CI: 9%, 24%) decrease in the number of ARGs but not with a change in the number of acquired ARGs, the abundance of ARGs, or the instability of ARGs (**Supplementary Table 2; Figure 4**). Conversely, anaerobic antibiotic exposure led to a 16% (95% CI: 7%, 24%) decrease

in the number of ARGs, a 29% (95% CI: 10%, 52%) increase in the number of new ARGs, a 95% (95% CI: 59%, 138%) increase in the abundance of ARGs, and a 0.07 (95% CI: 0.03, 0.12) increase in the instability of ARGs compared to no anaerobic antibiotic exposure (**Figure 4**). When considered individually, there were antibiotic-specific effects on measures of the resistome (**Figure 4; Supplementary Table 3**). Thus, while both aerobic and anaerobic antibiotics led to a decrease in the number of ARGs, only anaerobic antibiotic exposures were associated with more acquired ARGs and higher ARG abundances.

We next evaluated for correlations between measures of gut microbiome composition and the gut resistome using repeated measures correlation. There were moderate correlations between the number of ARGs and bacterial species ($r_{rm}=0.52$; 95% CI: 0.46, 0.58), the number of acquired ARGs and bacterial species ($r_{rm}=0.53$; 95% CI: 0.47, 0.58), and the stability of the gut microbiome and resistome ($r_{rm}=0.61$; 95% CI: 0.56, 0.66; **Figure 3**). Taken together, these data suggest that the richness of the gut microbiome composition is a major driver of the gut resistome, and losses of gut microbial richness – as occurs with antibiotic exposure – in turn reduces the richness of the gut resistome.

Antibiotic Exposures Affect Same and Different ARG Classes

Finally, we determined the effect of specific antibiotic exposures on the number and abundance of ARGs of the same antibiotic class and other ARG classes (**Figure 5; Supplementary Tables 4 and 5**). Cefepime was associated with decreases in the number and abundance of ARGs for multiple ARG classes, including ARGs that confer resistance to beta-lactams, carbapenems, and fluoroquinolones. Piperacillin-tazobactam and metronidazole, both anaerobic antibiotics, led to a decrease in the number of ARGs from many antibiotic classes but with increases in the relative abundances of some classes of ARGs, especially glycopeptide ARGs that confer resistance to vancomycin. Fluoroquinolone exposure tended to be associated with an increased number of ARGs and an increased relative abundance of ARGs for several antibiotic classes.

Discussion

Using shotgun metagenomics, we described the dynamic changes in the gut microbiome and resistome that occur among children undergoing HCT. We described the effects of antibiotics on both the composition of the gut microbiome and resistome, including the distinct effects of aerobic and anaerobic antibiotics on the relative abundances of bacterial species within the gut microbiome and on the number and abundance of specific ARG classes.

Compared to previous culture- and PCR-based methodologies, use of shotgun metagenomics for the characterization of the gut resistome is a novel way to study this complex, dynamic reservoir of ARGs.²¹ Considering ARGs collectively is particularly important because commensal bacteria, including those from the phyla Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes, likely harbor most of the ARGs in the healthy human gut and serve as a potential source for the horizontal transfer of ARGs to common enteric pathogens.^{21,22} Colonization by commensal, anaerobic bacteria with resistance genes may also explain how ARGs are detected even in the absence of antibiotic pressure.²³ For instance, in our cohort, we detected a preponderance of ARGs that confer resistance to tetracyclines, macrolides, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides despite the study population being infrequently exposed to these antibiotic classes. Knowledge of gut colonization by any bacteria with ARGs is especially important in the HCT population, as these patients are at high-risk of antibiotic-resistant bloodstream infections caused by gut-derived bacteria.

Surprisingly little is known about the dynamics of the gut resistome following HCT. A small cohort study of eight children undergoing HCT for high-risk acute leukemia found that the most commonly detected ARGs conferred resistance to tetracyclines, macrolides, beta-lactams, and aminoglycosides, as was seen in our cohort.²⁴ They also concluded that the four children who developed acute GVHD during the study had a different resistome signature, with acquisition of

several new ARGs after HCT, compared to children without GVHD.²⁴ However, this study did not assess the effect of antibiotics on the gut resistome.²⁴ Our findings suggest that antibiotics significantly impact both gut microbiome composition and the gut resistome throughout HCT. Due to the temporal similarities in the microbiome composition and resistome, the correlations between the microbiome and the resistome, and the similar loss of ARGs and species associated with antibiotic exposures, we concluded that changes to the resistome are largely impacted by changes in the microbiome composition. Specifically, antibiotic exposures lead to loss of bacterial species from the gut microbiome and this loss of species and their accompanying ARGs results in contraction of the gut resistome.

While both aerobic and anaerobic antibiotics were associated with a loss of microbiome and resistome richness, we found that aerobic and anaerobic antibiotics affected gut microbiome composition and the gut resistome differently. These findings are notable because anaerobic bacteria in the gut are primarily responsible for colonization resistance – the ability to prevent colonization by exogenous bacterial pathogens – through a variety of microbial and microbe-host interaction mechanisms.²⁵ Thus, loss of anaerobic bacteria after anaerobic antibiotic exposures likely reduces colonization resistance, leading to expansion of existing antibiotic-resistant bacteria or the acquisition of exogenous antibiotic-resistant bacteria.²⁶ Loss of colonization resistance with anaerobic antibiotics likely explains our findings of increased ARG acquisition, ARG abundance, and resistome instability despite the overall loss of ARGs associated with anaerobic antibiotics. When looking at specific anaerobic antibiotics, piperacillin-tazobactam and metronidazole were associated with lower relative abundances of *Bacteroides*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Blautia*, and *Clostridium* species, higher relative abundances of *Enterococcus* species, and an increased abundance of glycopeptide ARGs commonly found in enterococci. While we cannot directly assign ARGs to specific bacteria, these associations support the conclusion that exposure to these antibiotics results in loss of colonization resistance from anaerobes and colonization or

expansion of *Enterococcus*. Taken together, our findings provide further evidence that anaerobic antibiotics should be used judiciously in this high-risk population.⁹

Our data also suggest that exposure to an antibiotic of one class can lead to acquisition of collateral antibiotic resistance to other antibiotic classes. In our cohort, vancomycin, piperacillin-tazobactam, and metronidazole exposures were associated with increases in the relative abundances of several other ARG classes, including fluoroquinolone, macrolide, tetracycline, and aminoglycoside ARGs. This phenomenon has been demonstrated in healthy adults, in which ciprofloxacin led to an increase in class D beta-lactamases and macrolide ARGs, and in premature neonates, in whom meropenem led to higher relative abundances of ARGs that confer resistance to fluoroquinolones, macrolides, tetracyclines, and trimethoprim.^{27,28} This expansion of collateral antibiotic resistance has likely also been observed clinically in the HCT population. In a meta-analysis of adults undergoing HCT, there was increased odds of a carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection not only with carbapenem exposure, but also with aminoglycoside, glycopeptide, and quinolone exposures.²⁹ Similarly, infection with multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was associated with vancomycin exposure in a separate meta-analysis of HCT recipients.³⁰ Our data suggest that antibiotic pressure selects for organisms that are resistant to the exposure agent and also allows for the acquisition of new bacteria and expansion of existing bacteria with collateral ARGs of different antibiotic classes.

The effect of fluoroquinolone exposure on collateral antibiotic resistance is of particular interest, given that levofloxacin is the preferred agent for antibacterial prophylaxis after HCT.³¹ Fluoroquinolone use after HCT has been associated with a lower risk of fever and neutropenia and bloodstream infections.³¹ However, it has more recently been associated with increased risks of colonization and infection by carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.³² In a murine model, ciprofloxacin exposure led to an increase in the abundance of beta-lactamase ARGs.³³ We found increases in both the richness and abundance of several different ARG classes with

fluoroquinolone exposure. However, none of these associations met statistical significance, likely an effect of the lack of statistical power with relatively few fluoroquinolone-exposed samples from our cohort. On the contrary, in a study of 49 children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia, levofloxacin prophylaxis was associated with an increase in the prevalence of fluoroquinolone resistance, while a rise in collateral antibiotic resistance from other ARG classes was not detected.³⁴ As levofloxacin prophylaxis is becoming more common in pediatric centers, further research is needed to determine the effect of levofloxacin on the resistome.

Our study has several notable limitations. First, we are likely underestimating the effect of antibiotics on acquisition of ARGs given that antibiotic-induced changes in the gut microbiome are known to last weeks after cessation of antibiotic exposure.³⁵ Second, as for many shotgun metagenomic sequencing analyses, the sequencing depth of our samples likely affected our ability to detect all ARGs present within a sample. To account for this, we included the sequencing depth in all models for our primary analyses. Unfortunately, analyses of associations between individual antibiotic exposures and ARG classes were not adjusted for sequencing depth because the adjusted models did not converge. Similarly, these models were not adjusted for time relative to HCT. Thus, while TMP-SMX exposure appears to reduce the acquisition of ARGs, this may reflect the fact that TMP-SMX was primarily administered prior to HCT and before the administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics for febrile neutropenia. Finally, with short-read sequencing data, we are unable to assign ARGs to specific bacterial species. Therefore, we can only make associations between gut microbiome composition and the gut resistome and cannot prove a direct link.

In conclusion, this study provides the largest, longitudinal evaluation of the pediatric gut resistome to date. Understanding the complex dynamics of the gut resistome during HCT and its response to antibiotic exposures can impact clinical decisions for antibiotic prophylaxis and treatment. This study provides further evidence for the importance of antimicrobial stewardship efforts within the

HCT community. Antibiotic exposures in this high-risk population should be thoughtful in order to prevent further disruption of the gut microbiome and resistome and to avoid promoting antibiotic resistance.

Funding

Research reported in this publication was supported in part by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number UM1AI104681. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. This work was additionally supported by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health [T32HD094671 to S.M.H.], the National Human Genome Research Institute of the National Institutes of Health [T32 HG008955 to S.M.H.], the Translating Duke Health Ending Disease Where it Begins Working Group [to S.M.H.], the local efforts of the Duke Children's Office of Development and its Children's Miracle Network Hospitals fundraising corporate partnerships and programs [to S.M.H.], and a National Institutes of Health Career Development Award [K23-AI135090 to M.S.K.].

Data Sharing

Data not included in the manuscript will be available by request to the corresponding authors. All metagenomic data will be uploaded to the Sequence Read Archive.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Duke University School of Medicine for the use of the Microbiome Core Facility, which provided DNA extraction, and the Sequencing and Genomic Technologies Shared Resource, which provided library preparation and shotgun metagenomic sequencing. We especially thank all the children and families who participated in this study.

References

- 1 Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2019. Atlanta, Georgia, 2019
DOI:10.15620/cdc:82532.
- 2 Nelson RE, Hatfield KM, Wolford H, *et al.* National estimates of healthcare costs associated with multidrug-resistant bacterial infections among hospitalized patients in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; **72**: S17–26.
- 3 World Health Organization. Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. 2015.
- 4 Chatterjee A, Modarai M, Naylor NR, *et al.* Quantifying drivers of antibiotic resistance in humans: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018; **18**: e368–78.
- 5 Scheich S, Lindner S, Koenig R, *et al.* Clinical impact of colonization with multidrug-resistant organisms on outcome after allogeneic stem cell transplantation in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer* 2018; **124**: 286–96.
- 6 Girmenia C, Bertaina A, Piciocchi A, *et al.* Incidence, Risk Factors and Outcome of Pre-engraftment Gram-Negative Bacteremia After Allogeneic and Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation: An Italian Prospective Multicenter Survey. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; **65**: 1884–96.
- 7 Kelly MS, Ward D V, Severyn CJ, *et al.* Gut Colonization Preceding Mucosal Barrier Injury Bloodstream Infection in Pediatric Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation Recipients. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2019; **25**: 2274–80.
- 8 Taur Y, Xavier JB, Lipuma L, *et al.* Intestinal domination and the risk of bacteremia in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; **55**: 905–14.
- 9 Tanaka JS, Young RR, Heston SM, *et al.* Anaerobic Antibiotics and the Risk of Graft-

- versus-Host Disease after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2020; **26**: 2053–60.
- 10 Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2014; **30**: 2114–20.
- 11 Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 2012; **9**: 357–9.
- 12 Truong DT, Franzosa EA, Tickle TL, *et al.* MetaPhlan2 for enhanced metagenomic taxonomic profiling. *Nat Methods* 2015; **12**: 902–3.
- 13 Kaminski J, Gibson MK, Franzosa EA, Segata N, Dantas G, Huttenhower C. High-Specificity Targeted Functional Profiling in Microbial Communities with ShortBRED. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2015; **11**: e1004557.
- 14 Alcock BP, Raphenya AR, Lau TTY, *et al.* CARD 2020: antibiotic resistance surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2020; **48**: D517–25.
- 15 Mallick H, Rahnavard A, McIver LJ, *et al.* Multivariable association discovery in population-scale meta-omics studies. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2021; **17**: e1009442.
- 16 Jaccard P. Etude comparative de la distribution florale dans une portion des Alpes et du Jura. *Bull la Soci´et´e Vaudoise des Sci Nat* 1901; **37**: 547–79.
- 17 Fournier DA, Skaug HJ, Ancheta J, *et al.* AD Model Builder: using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of highly parameterized complex nonlinear models. *Optim Methods Softw* 2012; **27**: 233–49.
- 18 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *J Stat Softw* 2015; **67**. DOI:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

- 19 Bakdash JZ, Marusich LR. Repeated Measures Correlation. *Front Psychol* 2017; **8**: 456.
- 20 Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B* 1995; **57**: 289–300.
- 21 van Schaik W. The human gut resistome. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2015; **370**: 20140087.
- 22 Sommer MOA, Dantas G, Church GM. Functional characterization of the antibiotic resistance reservoir in the human microflora. *Science (80-)* 2009; **325**: 1128–31.
- 23 Fouhy F, Ogilvie LA, Jones B V, *et al.* Identification of aminoglycoside and β -lactam resistance genes from within an infant gut functional metagenomic library. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e108016.
- 24 D’Amico F, Soverini M, Zama D, *et al.* Gut resistome plasticity in pediatric patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Sci Rep* 2019; **9**: 1–7.
- 25 Buffie CG, Pamer EG. Microbiota-mediated colonization resistance against intestinal pathogens. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2013; **13**: 790–801.
- 26 Boutrot M, Azougagh K, Guinard J, Boulain T. Antibiotics with activity against intestinal anaerobes and the hazard of acquired colonization with ceftriaxone-resistant Gram-negative pathogens in ICU patients: A propensity score-based analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2019; **74**: 3095–103.
- 27 Willmann M, El-Hadidi M, Huson DH, *et al.* Antibiotic Selection Pressure Determination through Sequence-Based Metagenomics. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015; **59**: 7335–45.
- 28 Gibson MK, Wang B, Ahmadi S, *et al.* Developmental dynamics of the preterm infant gut microbiota and antibiotic resistome. *Nat Microbiol* 2016; **1**: 16024.

- 29 Liu P, Li X, Luo M, *et al.* Risk Factors for Carbapenem-Resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Infection: A Meta-Analysis. *Microb Drug Resist* 2018; **24**: 190–8.
- 30 Raman G, Avendano EE, Chan J, Merchant S, Puzniak L. Risk factors for hospitalized patients with resistant or multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2018; **7**: 79.
- 31 Lehrnbecher T, Fisher BT, Phillips B, *et al.* Guideline for antibacterial prophylaxis administration in pediatric cancer and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; **71**: 226–36.
- 32 Predic M, Delano JP, Tremblay E, Iovine N, Brown S, Prins C. Evaluation of patient risk factors for infection with carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Am J Infect Control* 2020; **48**: 1028–31.
- 33 Xu L, Surathu A, Raplee I, *et al.* The effect of antibiotics on the gut microbiome: a metagenomics analysis of microbial shift and gut antibiotic resistance in antibiotic treated mice. *BMC Genomics* 2020; **21**: 263.
- 34 Margolis EB, Hakim H, Dallas RH, *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis and the gastrointestinal resistome in paediatric patients with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a cohort study with metagenomic sequencing analysis. *The Lancet Microbe* 2021; **2**: e159–67.
- 35 Suez J, Zmora N, Zilberman-Schapira G, *et al.* Post-Antibiotic Gut Mucosal Microbiome Reconstitution Is Impaired by Probiotics and Improved by Autologous FMT. *Cell* 2018; **174**: 1406-1423.e16.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population of 80 children and adolescents undergoing HCT

	N	%
Median (IQR) age, years	5.1	(2.2, 12.8)
Female sex	34	43
HCT indication		
Hematologic malignancy	33	42
Immunodeficiency	7	9
Non-malignant heme	14	18
Metabolic disease	18	23
Solid tumor	8	10
HCT type		
Allogeneic	70	88
Bone marrow	18	26
Umbilical cord blood	51	73
Other	1	1
Autologous	10	13
Conditioning regimen		
Myeloablative	77	96
Non-myeloablative/RIC	3	4

IQR, interquartile range; HCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; Non-malignant heme, non-malignant hematologic disorder; RIC, reduced-intensity conditioning

Table 2. Prevalences of antibiotic exposures among the study population and fecal samples collected after antibiotic exposures

Antibiotic	Subject (N=80)		Samples (N=693)	
	N	%	N	%
Cefepime	72	90	258	37
Vancomycin	73	91	176	25
TMP-SMX	71	89	162	23
Metronidazole	44	55	99	14
Pip-Tazo	26	33	66	10
Macrolide	19	24	36	5
Other Beta-Lactam	15	19	58	8
Carbapenem	15	19	27	4
Aminoglycoside	10	13	13	2
Fluoroquinolone	8	10	32	5
Clindamycin	4	5	11	2
Tetracycline	1	1	3	0

TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; Pip-Tazo, piperacillin-tazobactam

Table 3. Antibiotic resistance genes identified in fecal samples that may confer resistance to clinically relevant antibiotics

Resistance Gene Class	Number of Genes Detected	Median (IQR) Number of ARGs per Sample	Median (IQR) ARG Abundance per Sample (RPKM)
Tetracycline ^a	94	9 (5, 15)	328 (159, 623)
Beta-lactam ^b	80	5 (1, 17)	154 (19, 518)
Fluoroquinolone	76	6 (1, 19)	106 (5, 470)
Macrolide	74	6 (4, 12)	247 (107, 623)
Aminoglycoside	67	4 (1, 8)	101 (20, 373)
Phenicol	64	3 (1, 6)	48 (7, 202)
Carbapenem ^c	36	1 (0, 3)	8 (0, 81)
Lincosamide ^d	31	3 (2, 5)	124 (41, 254)
Diaminopyrimidine ^e	30	2 (1, 3)	42 (10, 128)
Glycopeptide ^f	29	0 (0, 1)	0 (0, 5)
Oxazolidinone ^g	11	1 (0, 2)	7 (0, 37)
Sulfonamide	8	0 (0, 1)	1 (0, 2)
Nitromidazole ^h	1	0 (0, 1)	0 (0, 35)

IQR, interquartile range; RPKM, reads per kilobase million mapped reads

^aTetracycline includes tetracycline and glycylicycline (e.g., tigecycline) resistance genes

^bBeta-lactam includes cephalosporin, cephamycin, penam, and monobactam resistance genes

^cCarbapenem includes carbapenem and penem resistance genes

^dLincosamide includes clindamycin resistance genes

^eDiaminopyrimidine includes trimethoprim resistance genes

^fGlycopeptide includes vancomycin resistance genes

^gOxazolidinone includes linezolid resistance genes

^hNitromidazole includes metronidazole resistance genes

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Relative abundances of highly abundant genera over time. Relative abundances of highly abundant genera fecal samples of children by day relative to hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Figure 2. Heatmap depicting associations between antibiotic exposures and other clinical factors and gut microbiome composition. Associations were estimated from linear mixed effects models fit using MaAsLin2. We included bacterial species with a minimum mean relative abundance of 1% and a sample prevalence of 5%; the false discovery rate was set to 0.1. “Other” antibiotics includes exposures to tetracyclines, clindamycin, aminoglycosides, and non-cefepime beta-lactams. Betas indicate effect sizes and asterisks denote significant changes. Pip-Tazo, piperacillin-tazobactam; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; Non-malignant heme, Non-malignant hematologic disorder; Non-myelo/RIC, non-myeloablative and reduced-intensity conditioning.

Figure 3. Changes to the gut resistome and microbiome over time. A. Number of ARGs from the resistome and number of bacterial species from the microbiome in fecal samples by the day relative to HCT; correlation of the number of bacterial species and number of ARGs for each sample. B. Number of new ARGs from the resistome and bacterial species from the microbiome by the day relative to HCT; correlation of the number of new bacterial species and ARGs since the previous sample. C. Jaccard distance in ARGs from the resistome and bacterial species from the microbiome by day relative to HCT; correlation of the Jaccard distances of the microbiome and resistome. The Jaccard distance is a measure of dissimilarity between two samples, with values closer to one representing increased instability.¹⁶ Points represent individual fecal samples; the smoothed line represents the median value over time, and shaded area represents the 95% confidence interval. Resistome is represented in blue, and the microbiome in red. ARG,

antibiotic resistance gene; HCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; r_{rm} , repeated measures correlation coefficient.

Figure 4. Effect of aerobic and anaerobic antibiotics on measures of the resistome. The effect of aerobic and anaerobic antibiotics for each measure of the resistome: the number of ARGs per sample, the number of new ARGs per sample, the abundance of ARGs, and the instability of the ARGs as measured by the Jaccard distance. The Jaccard distance is a measure of dissimilarity between two samples, with values closer to one representing increased instability.¹⁶ Points represent estimates, and error bars denote the 95% confidence interval. ARG, antibiotic resistance gene; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; Pip-Tazo, piperacillin-tazobactam.

Figure 5. Heatmaps of clinically relevant antibiotic exposures' effects on ARGs of the same and different antibiotic classes as the exposure. A. Antibiotic exposure effect on the number of ARGs for the exposure antibiotic class and others. B. Antibiotic exposure effect on the ARG abundance for the exposure antibiotic class and others. Beta indicates the effect size. ARGs, antibiotic resistance genes, FEP, cefepime; TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; CBP, carbapenem; VAN, vancomycin; MTZ, metronidazole; FLQ, fluoroquinolone; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; MAC, macrolide; Other, antibiotic exposure from tetracyclines, non-cefepime beta-lactams, clindamycin, and aminoglycosides. Tetracycline includes tetracycline and glycylyccline resistance genes; Beta-lactam includes cephalosporin, cephamycin, penam, and monobactam resistance genes; Lincosamide confers resistance to clindamycin; Carbapenem includes carbapenem and penem resistance genes; Glycopeptide confers resistance to vancomycin; Diaminopyrimidine confers resistance to trimethoprim; Nitromidazole confers resistance to metronidazole. Asterisks denote significant change. Gray boxes indicate there were not enough data to determine an effect.

Figure 1.




Figure 2.



Figure 3.




Figure 4.





Figure 5.



Supplementary Table 1. Significant associations of antibiotic exposures and clinical characteristics with abundances of bacterial species within the gut microbiome

Covariate		Species	Effect	Standard Error	P Value	Q Value
Antibiotic Exposures						
	Cefepime	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i>	-0.70289	0.169605	3.85E-05	0.001435
	Cefepime	<i>Blautia wexlerae</i>	-0.73426	0.144776	5.11E-07	4.66E-05
	Cefepime	<i>Clostridium spiroforme</i>	-0.4016	0.108145	0.000222	0.004595
	Cefepime	<i>Erysipelatoclostridium ramosum</i>	-0.50683	0.137131	0.000237	0.004804
	Cefepime	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-0.54305	0.162755	0.000897	0.01435
	Cefepime	<i>Eubacterium rectale</i>	-0.49481	0.119551	3.93E-05	0.001435
	Cefepime	<i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i>	-0.53096	0.141479	0.00019	0.004122
	Cefepime	<i>Fusicatenibacter saccharivorans</i>	-0.31159	0.079837	0.000105	0.002764
	Cefepime	<i>Intestinibacter bartlettii</i>	-0.66921	0.137163	1.33E-06	0.000101
	Cefepime	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	-0.34489	0.11425	0.002635	0.030934
	Cefepime	<i>Klebsiella variicola</i>	-0.25474	0.093783	0.006772	0.066412
	Cefepime	<i>Roseburia faecis</i>	-0.40781	0.125707	0.001236	0.018186
	Cefepime	<i>Roseburia intestinalis</i>	-0.29856	0.111907	0.007815	0.0741
	Cefepime	<i>Ruminococcus gnavus</i>	-0.8388	0.170695	1.12E-06	9.30E-05
	Cefepime	<i>Sellimonas intestinalis</i>	-0.39818	0.137267	0.003847	0.042788
	Cefepime	<i>Streptococcus parasanguinis</i>	-0.59281	0.12858	4.81E-06	0.000258
	Cefepime	<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i>	-0.85874	0.141938	2.40E-09	7.30E-07
	Cefepime	<i>Veillonella parvula</i>	-0.50648	0.13119	0.000124	0.002976
	Fluoroquinolone	<i>Bacteroides dorei</i>	0.453463	0.147932	0.002263	0.029067
	Fluoroquinolone	<i>Bacteroides xylanisolvens</i>	0.675191	0.234522	0.004116	0.04523
	Fluoroquinolone	<i>Clostridium spiroforme</i>	-0.65376	0.236653	0.005897	0.059759
	Fluoroquinolone	<i>Eggerthella lenta</i>	-0.88802	0.289256	0.002229	0.029047
	Fluoroquinolone	<i>Intestinibacter bartlettii</i>	-0.8087	0.284765	0.004699	0.049831
	Macrolide	<i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i>	0.774875	0.296927	0.009269	0.084726
	Macrolide	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	0.609262	0.2335	0.00929	0.084726
	Metronidazole	<i>Bacteroides uniformis</i>	-0.71479	0.18733	0.000149	0.003477

Metronidazole	<i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i>	-0.74949	0.189929	8.82E-05	0.002513
Metronidazole	<i>Clostridioides difficile</i>	-0.49859	0.149785	0.000921	0.014477
Metronidazole	<i>Clostridium symbiosum</i>	-0.59051	0.182283	0.001256	0.018186
Metronidazole	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	0.608541	0.163111	0.000207	0.004397
Metronidazole	<i>Enterococcus gallinarum</i>	0.400674	0.119468	0.000843	0.013733
Metronidazole	<i>Flavonifractor plautii</i>	-0.66855	0.162109	4.19E-05	0.00147
Metronidazole	<i>Parabacteroides distasonis</i>	-0.43108	0.142835	0.002646	0.030934
Metronidazole	<i>Parabacteroides merdae</i>	-0.26363	0.098402	0.007573	0.072696
Other Antibiotics	<i>Bacteroides xylanisolvens</i>	0.616855	0.142449	1.72E-05	0.000714
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Alistipes fingoldii</i>	-0.71338	0.182575	0.000103	0.002764
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Bacteroides dorei</i>	-0.54757	0.097055	2.53E-08	3.84E-06
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron</i>	-0.80028	0.178907	9.10E-06	0.000437
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Bacteroides xylanisolvens</i>	-0.47229	0.154772	0.00237	0.029332
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i>	-0.67257	0.249455	0.00719	0.069756
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Blautia coccoides</i>	-0.45593	0.177986	0.010637	0.090662
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Blautia wexlerae</i>	-0.54637	0.212142	0.010222	0.088787
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Clostridioides difficile</i>	-0.58846	0.18396	0.001445	0.020271
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	0.728083	0.193624	0.000185	0.004107
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-0.73623	0.240817	0.002325	0.029332
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Flavonifractor plautii</i>	-0.80926	0.198842	5.26E-05	0.001714
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Parabacteroides distasonis</i>	-0.7219	0.176397	4.81E-05	0.001624
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	<i>Parabacteroides merdae</i>	-0.37998	0.121614	0.001861	0.024602
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	<i>Bacteroides dorei</i>	-0.17998	0.067517	0.007881	0.0741
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	<i>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron</i>	-0.31811	0.124831	0.01106	0.093393
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	<i>Bifidobacterium breve</i>	-0.35553	0.137017	0.009678	0.086378
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	<i>Roseburia intestinalis</i>	0.454249	0.116899	0.000112	0.002764
Vancomycin	<i>Hungatella hathewayi</i>	-0.53434	0.176162	0.002515	0.030181
Vancomycin	<i>Ruminococcus gnavus</i>	-0.47444	0.183048	0.009755	0.086378
Patient and Transplant Factors					
Age	<i>Alistipes putredinis</i>	0.38904	0.127611	0.003196	0.036439

	Age	<i>Fusicatenibacter saccharivorans</i>	0.198225	0.054234	0.000483	0.008637
	Age	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	0.348491	0.099611	0.00082	0.0136
	Allogeneic	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	-1.38503	0.522086	0.009408	0.084948
	Allogeneic	<i>Streptococcus parasanguinis</i>	-1.78528	0.571029	0.00238	0.029332
	Allogeneic	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	-2.00351	0.491195	8.70E-05	0.002513
	Diagnosis					
	Hematologic Non-Malignant Disease	<i>Alistipes finegoldii</i>	1.229898	0.364806	0.001197	0.018186
	Immunodeficiency	<i>Alistipes finegoldii</i>	1.275581	0.469448	0.008264	0.07691
	Immunodeficiency	<i>Alistipes putredinis</i>	1.079794	0.409105	0.010218	0.088787
	Immunodeficiency	<i>Clostridioides difficile</i>	-1.11223	0.358511	0.002856	0.032968
	Metabolic Disease	<i>Alistipes finegoldii</i>	1.059895	0.358774	0.004227	0.045889
	Metabolic Disease	<i>Alistipes putredinis</i>	1.170559	0.312471	0.00036	0.006708
	Metabolic Disease	<i>Bacteroides uniformis</i>	1.431659	0.406666	0.000745	0.012589
	Metabolic Disease	<i>Eubacterium sp CAG 180</i>	0.300057	0.106639	0.006202	0.062154
	Metabolic Disease	<i>Fusicatenibacter saccharivorans</i>	0.387187	0.131621	0.004408	0.047294
	Solid Tumor	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	-1.81347	0.534066	0.001007	0.015561
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Bacteroides dorei</i>	-0.09762	0.030101	0.001246	0.018186
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i>	-0.21622	0.066933	0.0013	0.018521
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Bacteroides ovatus</i>	-0.21666	0.067895	0.001487	0.020308
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron</i>	-0.15406	0.055627	0.005779	0.059216
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i>	-0.22209	0.072952	0.002428	0.029529
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Bacteroides xylanisolvens</i>	-0.18751	0.048127	0.000108	0.002764
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i>	-0.26776	0.078336	0.000669	0.011737
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Blautia coccoides</i>	0.155659	0.055751	0.00539	0.055864
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Clostridium spiroforme</i>	0.156792	0.049921	0.001761	0.023615
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	0.25025	0.062783	7.48E-05	0.002274
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i>	-0.25468	0.065451	0.00011	0.002764
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Intestinibacter bartlettii</i>	0.334974	0.063493	1.79E-07	1.81E-05
	Day Relative to HCT	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	0.168432	0.052809	0.001492	0.020308

Day Relative to HCT	<i>Klebsiella variicola</i>	0.147016	0.04335	0.000736	0.012589
Day Relative to HCT	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	0.146665	0.053851	0.006629	0.065717
Day Relative to HCT	<i>Parabacteroides distasonis</i>	-0.24696	0.054847	7.99E-06	0.000405
Day Relative to HCT	<i>Streptococcus parasanguinis</i>	0.513023	0.059448	4.46E-17	4.07E-14
Day Relative to HCT	<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i>	0.42346	0.065671	2.16E-10	9.85E-08
Day Relative to HCT	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	0.218813	0.059463	0.000252	0.00494
Day Relative to HCT	<i>Veillonella parvula</i>	0.347778	0.060724	1.54E-08	2.81E-06
Sequencing Depth	<i>Bifidobacterium breve</i>	0.161342	0.054632	0.003259	0.036692
Sequencing Depth	<i>Blautia coccoides</i>	0.221883	0.049985	1.06E-05	0.000485
Sequencing Depth	<i>Blautia wexlerae</i>	0.170482	0.060292	0.004831	0.050645
Sequencing Depth	<i>Clostridium clostridioforme</i>	0.134032	0.05218	0.01043	0.089734
Sequencing Depth	<i>Clostridium innocuum</i>	0.244347	0.066433	0.000255	0.00494
Sequencing Depth	<i>Eggerthella lenta</i>	0.239053	0.054746	1.47E-05	0.000638
Sequencing Depth	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	0.310538	0.056266	4.95E-08	6.44E-06
Sequencing Depth	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	0.256872	0.054256	2.70E-06	0.000164
Sequencing Depth	<i>Enterococcus gallinarum</i>	0.198755	0.041131	1.69E-06	0.000119
Sequencing Depth	<i>Intestinibacter bartlettii</i>	0.206377	0.057383	0.000346	0.00658
Sequencing Depth	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	0.22782	0.047488	1.99E-06	0.00013
Sequencing Depth	<i>Klebsiella variicola</i>	0.180127	0.038985	4.61E-06	0.000258
Sequencing Depth	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	0.256089	0.048359	1.62E-07	1.81E-05
Sequencing Depth	<i>Sellimonas intestinalis</i>	0.216197	0.056783	0.000154	0.003508
Sequencing Depth	<i>Streptococcus parasanguinis</i>	0.230343	0.053497	1.92E-05	0.00076
Sequencing Depth	<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i>	0.210984	0.059231	0.000394	0.007191
Sequencing Depth	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i>	0.319996	0.053804	4.38E-09	9.99E-07
Sequencing Depth	<i>Veillonella parvula</i>	0.222749	0.054864	5.49E-05	0.001726

HCT; hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; Other antibiotics includes exposures to tetracyclines, clindamycin, aminoglycosides, and non-cefepime beta-lactams.

Supplementary Table 2. Effect of clinical factors on measures of the resistome and microbiome.

Clinical Factors	Resistome β (95% CI) P value				Microbiome β (95% CI) P value		
	ARG Abundance	Number of ARGs	Number of New ARGs	Jaccard Distance ARGs	Number of Species	Number of New Species	Jaccard Distance Species
Aerobic Antibiotics	1.13 (0.95, 1.35) P=0.16	0.83 (0.76, 0.91) P<0.0001	1.03 (0.88, 1.20) P=0.74	0.02 (-0.03, 0.07) P=0.47	0.72 (0.66, 0.79) P<0.0001	0.95 (0.82, 1.11) P=0.54	0.08 (0.04, 0.12) P=0.0001
Anaerobic Antibiotics	1.95 (1.59, 2.38) P=7.1e-11	0.84 (0.76, 0.93) P=0.0007	1.29 (1.10, 1.52) P=0.002	0.07 (0.03, 0.12) P=0.003	0.68 (0.61, 0.76) P<0.0001	1.19 (1.02, 1.39) P=0.03	0.09 (0.05, 0.13) P<0.0001
Subject Age	0.95 (0.92, 0.99) P=0.02	1.00 (0.99, 1.02) P=0.71	1.00 (0.97, 1.00) P=0.10	-0.01 (-0.01, -0.00) P=0.03	1.03 (1.01, 1.05) P=0.0004	1.00 (0.98, 1.02) P=0.94	-0.007 (-0.01, -0.00) P=0.01
Sex							
Female	Reference				Reference		
Male	1.10 (0.74, 1.64) P=0.63	1.07 (0.89, 1.29) P=0.48	1.07 (0.91, 1.26) P=0.40	-0.01 (-0.07, 0.06) P=0.84	0.99 (0.84, 1.17) P=0.93	1.03 (0.87, 1.21) P=0.76	-0.02 (-0.08, 0.03) P=0.38
Diagnosis							
Hematologic Malignancy	Reference				Reference		
Congenital Immunodeficiency	0.67 (0.33, 1.37) P=0.27	1.21 (0.87, 1.70) P=0.25	1.26 (0.94, 1.68) P=0.12	-0.02 (-0.14, 0.10) P=0.72	1.51 (1.12, 2.05) P=0.01	1.28 (0.95, 1.72) P=0.10	-0.08 (-0.17, 0.01) P=0.11
Non-malignant Heme	1.15 (0.66, 2.00) P=0.63	1.05 (0.81, 1.36) P=0.73	0.97 (0.77, 1.23) P=0.82	-0.07 (-0.16, 0.02) P=0.16	1.29 (1.02, 1.63) P=0.04	1.06 (0.84, 1.34) P=0.62	-0.08 (-0.16, -0.01) P=0.03
Metabolic Disorder	0.80 (0.46, 1.38) P=0.42	0.97 (0.74, 1.26) P=0.80	1.04 (0.82, 1.31) P=0.77	-0.05 (-0.14, 0.05) P=0.36	1.49 (1.17, 1.88) P=0.001	1.14 (0.90, 1.45) P=0.28	-0.08 (-0.15, -0.01) P=0.05
Solid Tumor	0.41 (0.10, 1.64) P=0.21	0.92 (0.48, 1.76) P=0.80	0.75 (0.40, 1.42) P=0.38	-0.01 (-0.26, 0.23) P=0.94	1.13 (0.63, 2.01) P=0.68	0.72 (0.38, 1.35) P=0.30	-0.07 (-0.26, 0.12) P=0.49
Preparatory Regimen							
Myeloablative	Reference				Reference		
Non-myeloablative/RIC	0.77 (0.26, 2.27) P=0.64	0.97 (0.57, 1.63) P=0.89	1.03 (0.60, 1.77) P=0.92	-0.03 (-0.22, 0.17) P=0.79	1.13 (0.70, 1.81) P=0.62	1.03 (0.60, 1.77) P=0.90	-0.06 (-0.21, 0.09) P=0.45
Type of HCT							
Autologous	Reference				Reference		
Allogeneic	0.59 (0.17, 2.07) P=0.41	0.75 (0.42, 1.35) P=0.35	0.76 (0.42, 1.37) P=0.36	0.05 (-0.18, 0.27) P=0.68	0.61 (0.36, 1.03) P=0.06	0.68 (0.38, 1.22) P=0.20	0.03 (-0.14, 0.21) P=0.74
Day Relative to HCT	1.003 (1.000, 1.006) P=0.07	1.002 (1.001, 1.003) P=0.006	1.008 (1.006, 1.010) P<0.0001	-0.001 (-0.002, -0.000) P=0.03	1.001 (0.999, 1.002) P=0.40	1.009 (1.007, 1.011) P<0.0001	-0.000 (-0.001, 0.000) P=0.35
Log of Sequencing Depth	1.00 (0.91, 1.10) P=0.98	1.33 (1.25, 1.40) P<0.0001	1.36 (1.24, 1.50) P<0.0001	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.00) P=0.04	1.35 (1.27, 1.42) P<0.0001	1.38 (1.26, 1.52) P<0.0001	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.02) P<0.0001

CI, confidence interval; ARG, antibiotic resistance gene; Non-malignant Heme, non-malignant hematologic disorder; RIC, reduced intensity conditioning; HCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Supplementary Table 3. Effect of antibiotics on the resistome.

Antibiotic Exposure	Resistome β (95% CI) P value			
	ARG Abundance	Number of ARGs	Number of New ARGs	Jaccard Distance ARGs
Cefepime	1.35 (1.24, 1.47) P<0.0001	0.78 (0.71, 0.86) P<0.0001	1.29 (1.11, 1.50) P=0.001	0.06 (0.02, 0.10) P=0.01
Vancomycin	1.74 (1.62, 1.87) P<0.0001	0.82 (0.74, 0.90) P<0.0001	1.24 (1.06, 1.46) P=0.01	0.06 (0.01, 0.10) P=0.01
Fluoroquinolone	1.36 (0.88, 2.10) P=0.17	1.13 (0.90, 1.42) P=0.29	0.85 (0.60, 1.21) P=0.37	-0.10 (-0.21, 0.00) P=0.07
Aminoglycoside	0.88 (0.50, 1.53) P=0.64	0.88 (0.63, 1.23) P=0.45	1.05 (0.63, 1.74) P=0.86	0.11 (-0.03, 0.25) P=0.11
Macrolide	1.16 (0.98, 1.38) P=0.08	1.00 (0.82, 1.23) P=0.97	1.14 (0.85, 1.54) P=0.38	0.07 (-0.02, 0.16) P=0.14
TMP-SMX	0.72 (0.65, 0.81) P<0.0001	1.03 (0.92, 1.15) P=0.62	0.84 (0.69, 1.03) P=0.10	0.02 (-0.03, 0.08) P=0.44
Pip-Tazo	3.19 (2.88, 3.53) P<0.0001	0.78 (0.66, 0.91) P=0.001	1.51 (1.20, 1.90) P=0.0005	0.11 (0.03, 0.17) P=0.004
Carbapenem	1.53 (1.27, 1.86) P<0.0001	0.97 (0.74, 1.28) P=0.85	1.15 (0.77, 1.72) P=0.50	0.08 (-0.02, 0.19) P=0.14
Metronidazole	1.84 (1.64, 2.06) P<0.0001	0.85 (0.75, 0.97) P=0.01	1.30 (1.08, 1.57) P=0.007	0.09 (0.03, 0.14) P=0.002
Clindamycin	1.39 (0.69, 2.78) P=0.35	0.85 (0.57, 1.24) P=0.39	1.01 (0.57, 1.78) P=0.97	0.02 (-0.15, 0.17) P=0.85

CI, confidence interval; ARG, antibiotic resistance gene; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; Pip-Tazo, piperacillin-tazobactam

Supplementary Table 4. Effect of antibiotic exposure on the number of antibiotic resistance genes by gene class.

Antibiotic Exposure	ARG Class β (95% CI) Adjusted P value									
	Beta-Lactam	Carbapenem	Glycopeptide	Nitroimidazole	Fluoroquinolone	Diaminopyrimidine	Macrolide	Tetracycline	Aminoglycoside	Lincosamide
Cefepime	0.63 (0.55,0.73) P<0.0001	0.62 (0.52, 0.75) P<0.0001	1.02 (0.82, 1.28) P=0.84		0.65 (0.56, 0.75) P<0.0001	0.83 (0.74, 0.94) P=0.005	0.81 (0.74, 0.89) P<0.0001	0.72 (0.65, 0.79) P<0.0001	0.71 (0.63, 0.80) P<0.0001	0.83 (0.76, 0.92) P=0.0003
Pip-Tazo	0.56 (0.44, 0.72) P<0.0001	0.76 (0.56, 1.03) P=0.11	1.23 (0.83, 1.80) P=0.33	0.69 (0.44, 1.07) P=0.12	0.71 (0.56, 0.89) P=0.01	0.93 (0.76, 1.15) P=0.53	0.78 (0.65, 0.92) P=0.01	0.69 (0.58, 0.81) P<0.0001	0.74 (0.60, 0.91) P=0.01	0.85 (0.71, 1.00) P=0.08
Carbapenem	0.77 (0.48, 1.22) P=0.60	0.95 (0.55, 1.64) P=1.00	1.43 (0.78, 2.64) P=0.60		0.94 (0.63, 1.40) P=1.00	1.31 (0.97, 1.77) P=0.37	1.02 (0.77, 1.35) P=1.00	0.81 (0.60, 1.09) P=0.49	0.99 (0.69, 1.42) P=1.00	0.97 (0.73, 1.27) P=1.00
Vancomycin	0.70 (0.60, 0.82) P<0.0001	0.74 (0.61, 0.90) P=0.005	0.93 (0.74, 1.18) P=0.57	0.63 (0.47, 0.85) P=0.005	0.72 (0.61, 0.84) P<0.0001	0.84 (0.74, 0.96) P=0.02	0.80 (0.72, 0.89) P<0.0001	0.72 (0.65, 0.80) P<0.0001	0.72 (0.63, 0.82) P<0.0001	0.79 (0.71, 0.88) P<0.0001
Metronidazole	0.67 (0.53, 0.84) P=0.003	0.83 (0.64, 1.08) P=0.20	1.31 (0.97, 1.78) P=0.16	0.77 (0.53, 1.11) P=0.20	0.86 (0.70, 1.05) P=0.20	0.97 (0.82, 1.14) P=0.69	0.83 (0.72, 0.96) P=0.03	0.73 (0.63, 0.85) P=0.0007	0.82 (0.69, 0.98) P=0.07	0.79 (0.69, 0.91) P=0.005
Fluoroquinolone	1.29 (0.90, 1.86) P=0.28	1.21 (0.77, 1.91) P=0.59	1.33 (0.63, 2.82) P=0.60	0.94 (0.51, 1.75) P=0.85	1.40 (0.97, 2.01) P=0.26	1.34 (0.98, 1.83) P=0.26	1.24 (0.96, 1.59) P=0.27	1.26 (0.99, 1.60) P=0.26	1.24 (0.91, 1.68) P=0.28	1.21 (0.95, 1.54) P=0.28
TMP-SMX	0.92 (0.78, 1.08) P=0.33	0.83 (0.68, 1.02) P=0.10	0.56 (0.42, 0.75) P=0.0005		0.76 (0.64, 0.90) P=0.006	0.85 (0.74, 0.98) P=0.04	0.85 (0.76, 0.96) P=0.02	0.87 (0.78, 0.97) P=0.02	0.87 (0.76, 1.01) P=0.08	0.83 (0.75, 0.93) P=0.004
Macrolide	1.08 (0.77, 1.51) P=0.89	0.95 (0.64, 1.43) P=0.89	2.07 (1.35, 3.16) P=0.01	1.00 (0.58, 1.74) P=0.99	1.07 (0.77, 1.48) P=0.89	1.14 (0.87, 1.48) P=0.57	1.12 (0.90, 1.39) P=0.57	1.03 (0.83, 1.29) P=0.89	1.19 (0.91, 1.55) P=0.44	1.33 (0.10, 1.61) P=0.02
Other°	1.07 (0.85, 1.35) P=0.80	1.27 (0.96, 1.68) P=0.48	1.16 (0.77, 1.75) P=0.80	1.09 (0.74, 1.59) P=0.80	1.03 (0.81, 1.30) P=0.88	1.11 (0.91, 1.34) P=0.67	1.02 (0.86, 1.20) P=0.88	0.99 (0.85, 1.17) P=0.95	1.15 (0.94, 1.40) P=0.48	0.94 (0.70, 1.11) P=0.80

°Other includes clindamycin, tetracycline, non-cefepime beta-lactams, and aminoglycoside exposures.

ARG, antibiotic resistance gene; CI, confidence interval; Pip-Tazo, piperacillin-tazobactam; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

Supplementary Table 5. Effect of antibiotic exposure on the abundance of antibiotic resistance genes by gene class.

Antibiotic Exposure	ARG Class β (95% CI) Adjusted P value									
	Beta-Lactam	Carbapenem	Glycopeptide	Nitroimidazole	Fluoroquinolone	Diaminopyrimidine	Macrolide	Tetracycline	Aminoglycoside	Lincosamide
Cefepime	0.91 (0.77, 1.07) P=0.33	0.58 (0.47, 0.73) P<0.0001	1.13 (0.88, 1.46) P=0.38	0.44 (0.34, 0.58) P<0.0001	0.84 (0.72, 0.99) P=0.06	0.82 (0.70, 0.97) P=0.04	1.36 (1.11, 1.66) P=0.005	0.93 (0.82, 1.05) P=0.33	1.04 (0.89, 1.21) P=0.72	1.14 (0.99, 1.31) P=0.79
Pip-Tazo	0.67 (0.51, 0.87) P=0.01	0.92 (0.66, 1.30) P=0.65	11.80 (8.78, 15.85) P<0.0001	0.62 (0.40, 0.97) P=0.06	1.43 (1.16, 1.77) P=0.003	1.19 (0.88, 1.60) P=0.29	1.32 (1.08, 1.62) P=0.01	3.37 (2.84, 4.01) P<0.0001	2.70 (2.25, 3.25) P<0.0001	1.38 (1.08, 1.76) P=0.02
Carbapenem	1.57 (1.04, 2.36) P=0.20	1.18 (0.63, 2.23) P=1.00	1.12 (0.54, 2.32) P=1.00	0.87 (0.38, 2.00) P=1.00	1.69 (1.16, 2.46) P=0.13	1.41 (0.93, 2.13) P=0.40	1.50 (1.08, 2.09) P=0.16	1.02 (0.70, 1.48) P=1.00	1.20 (0.83, 1.74) P=0.66	1.30 (0.90, 1.87) P=0.49
Vancomycin	1.17 (1.01, 1.36) P=0.047	0.85 (0.67, 1.07) P=0.20	1.08 (0.82, 1.43) P=0.57	0.59 (0.44, 0.80) P=0.001	1.47 (1.02, 2.13) P=0.05	0.79 (0.66, 0.96) P=0.02	1.20 (1.05, 1.37) P=0.01	1.28 (1.13, 1.45) P=0.0002	1.43 (1.24, 1.65) P<0.0001	1.07 (0.92, 1.25) P=0.41
Metronidazole	0.90 (0.72, 1.12) P=0.36	0.86 (0.63, 1.17) P=0.36	1.67 (1.16, 2.40) P=0.02	1.68 (0.76, 3.72) P=0.24	1.26 (0.98, 1.60) P=0.15	1.21 (0.96, 1.52) P=0.17	1.34 (1.11, 1.62) P=0.008	1.16 (0.96, 1.39) P=0.018	1.46 (1.19, 1.81) P=0.003	1.19 (0.97, 1.46) P=0.16
Fluoroquinolone	1.44 (0.96, 2.16) P=0.26	1.17 (0.64, 2.14) P=0.75	0.87 (0.37, 2.02) P=0.79	1.12 (0.56, 2.24) P=0.79	1.25 (0.87, 1.81) P=0.35	1.36 (0.90, 2.07) P=0.28	1.42 (1.02, 1.98) P=0.26	1.10 (0.69, 1.76) P=0.79	0.78 (0.57, 1.08) P=0.28	1.53 (1.08, 2.18) P=0.26
TMP-SMX	0.88 (0.72, 1.08) P=0.24	0.86 (0.67, 1.10) P=0.24	0.61 (0.44, 0.86) P=0.01	0.65 (0.48, 0.89) P=0.02	0.51 (0.42, 0.62) P<0.0001	0.89 (0.74, 1.07) P=0.24	0.77 (0.66, 0.90) P=0.004	0.81 (0.70, 0.95) P=0.002	0.64 (0.53, 0.77) P<0.0001	0.84 (0.71, 0.99) P=0.06
Macrolide	1.08 (0.77, 1.51) P=0.89	1.05 (0.65, 1.68) P=0.89	3.50 (2.11, 5.79) P<0.0001	1.07 (0.61, 1.86) P=0.89	1.31 (0.94, 1.84) P=0.31	1.25 (0.87, 1.80) P=0.44	1.23 (0.93, 1.64) P=0.38	1.30 (0.98, 1.72) P=0.22	1.48 (1.08, 2.04) P=0.08	1.36 (1.00, 1.83) P=0.20
Other°	0.58 (0.46, 0.75) P=0.0004	1.43 (1.01, 2.03) P=0.43	1.09 (0.71, 1.70) P=0.80	1.11 (0.72, 1.70) P=0.80	1.22 (0.69, 2.17) P=0.80	1.24 (0.96, 1.61) P=0.48	1.17 (0.93, 1.47) P=0.48	1.06 (0.85, 1.31) P=0.80	0.82 (0.60, 1.11) P=0.48	1.18 (0.94, 1.49) P=0.48

°Other includes clindamycin, tetracycline, non-cefepime beta-lactams, and aminoglycoside exposures.

ARG, antibiotic resistance gene; CI, confidence interval; Pip-Tazo, piperacillin-tazobactam; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole