The impact of residency training in family medicine on hospital 1 admissions due to Ambulatory-care Sensitive Conditions in Rio de 2 Janeiro 3 4 5 6 7 Authors: Adelson Guaraci Jantsch¹, Bo Burström², Gunnar Nilsson³, Antônio Ponce de Leon^{1,2} 8 9 10 ¹Instituto de Medicina Social, Rio de Janeiro State University, Bloco D e E, R. São Francisco Xavier, 524 – 7th floor - Maracanã, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, 20550-900 11 12 ²Department of Global Public Health at the Karolinska Institute ³Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society at the Karolinska Institute 13 14 15 16 17 **Corresponding author:**

18 Gunnar Nilsson. Email: gunnar.nilsson@ki.se

2

20 ABSTRACT

21

BACKGROUND - Lack of skilled human resources in primary care remains a major concern for policymakers in low- and middle-income countries. There is little evidence supporting the impact of residency training in family medicine in the quality of care, and it perpetuates misconceptions among policymakers that the provision of primary care can be easily done by any physician without special training.

27 METHODS - This article compares the risk of patients being hospitalized due to Ambulatory 28 care sensitive conditions and the odds of having follow-up visits in primary care after 29 hospital discharge, according to the type of their medical provider: (1) Generalists 30 (reference), (2) Family physicians; and, (3) patients with no consultations prior to the event. 31 Multilevel multivariate binomial regression models estimated the relative risks of a patient 32 being hospitalized in a given month and the relative risks for the occurrence of a follow-up 33 visit in primary care in a retrospective cohort of 636.640 patients between January 2013 and 34 July 2018 in Rio de Janeiro.

FINDINGS - For all 14 conditions, there was a higher risk of hospitalization when patients had no consultation in primary care prior to the event. Except for Ear, Nose and Throat infections, patients seen by family physicians had a lower risk of being hospitalized, compared to patients seen by Generalists. Follow-up visits were more likely to happen among patients treated by family physicians for almost every condition analyzed.

40 CONCLUSION - With two years of training in family medicine, Family physicians can reduce
41 the risk of their patients being hospitalized and increase the likelihood of those patients
42 having a follow-up consultation in primary care. Investments in residency training in family

- 43 medicine should be made to fix the shortage of skilled physicians in primary care, reduce
- 44 hospitalizations and improve quality and continuity of care.

45

46 **Keywords:** Primary Health Care, Family Practice, Health Workforce, Developing Countries

4

47 INTRODUCTION

48

Hospital admissions have been used over the last 30 years as a valuable indicator of the effectiveness of Primary Health Care (PHC).¹ Health conditions for which good quality, timely and effective primary care can prevent hospitalization are known as ambulatory-care sensitive conditions (ACSC). Good quality PHC services can change the course of these health conditions, making a hospital admission less likely to happen.^{2,3} High rates of hospitalizations due to ACSC would represent the provision of suboptimal PHC to the community.

55 This concept has been used worldwide to measure the impact of PHC initiatives, policies, and services,⁴⁻⁷ and in Brazil to show the impact of the Family Health Strategy⁸ 56 (FHS), a federal policy that organizes the public PHC system, providing the structure and 57 58 financial resources for Family Health Teams (FHTs). The FHS today – with nearly 43 000 FHTs in place – is responsible for the provision of PHC for 64% of the Brazilian population.^{9,10} Over 59 60 its 25 years of implementation, many achievements have been reported, such as the reduction of infant, neonatal,¹¹⁻¹³ and cardiovascular deaths,^{14,15} and for the reduction of 61 hospital admissions due to ACSC,¹⁶ and among older adults.^{17,18} 62

63 However, the lack of trained human resources in PHC remains a major concern for 64 policymakers in low- and middle-income countries. Recent policies - the More Doctors and the Doctors for Brazil programs - have tried to promote provision and fixation of physicians 65 in underserved areas.^{19,20} Nonetheless, the vast majority of doctors working at the FHS have 66 no training in family medicine (FM).²¹ Despite the recent incentives to boost residency 67 68 training in family medicine (RTFM) in the country, with less than 4% of the total number of vacancies for residency training dedicated to FM,²² this picture is far away from being 69 changed. Unfortunately, there is little of evidence showing the impact of RTFM on the 70

5

quality of care, and it perpetuates misconceptions among policymakers that the provision of
primary care can be easily done by any physician without RTFM.

73 This study tests the hypothesis that RTFM can make doctors more capable of 74 providing good quality primary care and prevent the occurrence of hospitalizations due to 75 ACSC. The rationale behind this argument is that during two years of RTFM, FPs would 76 develop the skills and competencies needed to better manage a wider set of conditions in 77 primary care, thus preventing unnecessary hospital admissions. This article aims to (1) 78 compare the risk of patients being hospitalized due to ACSC and (2) the odds of a patient 79 having follow-up visits in primary care after hospital discharge, according to the type of 80 training of their medical health care provider. Finally, it (3) estimates the population 81 attributable fraction and the absolute change in hospital admissions per year in the sample 82 that would happen if all medical consultations were performed by specialists in family 83 medicine.

84

85

86 METHODS

87

88 STUDY DESIGN AND DATA SOURCE

89

A retrospective longitudinal analysis of medical consultations in primary care and hospital admissions in the Rio de Janeiro municipality was performed using patients' information from electronic health records and from the National Hospital Admissions System. Deterministic linkage combining patient's name, date of birth, mother's name, gender and patient's address was performed and false-positive matches between the

6

95 datasets were excluded after manual review. Patients' consent was not necessary since only 96 anonymized information was used during the study and the Rio de Janeiro Municipal Health 97 Department, the actual caretaker of this information, gave the consent to use this dataset 98 for this research. The study was approved by the Rio de Janeiro Municipal Health 99 ethics board Department research and it is registered under the number 100 03795118.0.0000.5279. It was conducted in accordance with the 466/12 resolution from the 101 Brazilian National Health Council and the Declaration of Helsinki.

102

103

104

105 EXPOSURE AND INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

106

Physicians were categorized according to their type of formal training to work in PHC: (1) Generalists, i.e. doctors without RTFM, were considered as the reference category; and (2) Family physicians (FPs) – graduated FPs, FM preceptors and residents enrolled in the FM residency programs. Patients that were hospitalized but had no consultations in primary care prior to the event were categorized as (3) "No consultation prior".

Residents and preceptors in FM share responsibilities for the same patients at the same FHT for two years of training and the decisions the residents make are usually supervised by their preceptor, hence they were both considered as FPs. Residents work 48 hours per week under the full supervision of FM preceptors in a community-based primary care clinic. They have learning sessions every week addressing topics of FM and PHC and clinical content about the most prevalent health conditions in primary care. All learning activities the residents must take during the course are designed in line with the National

7

119 Committee for Medical Residencies and with the Brazilian Society of Family and Community 120 Medicine (SBMFC).²³ Information about other forms of post-graduate training or 121 specialization was not available and were not taken into account, nor the number of years in 122 practice for any doctor.

123 Patients contributed to the models with information about (1) age (continuous), (2) sex, and (3) the Charlson Comorbidity Index.²⁴ Each patient contribute only from the date of 124 subscription at the clinic until the last day available at the dataset, or the day the patient was 125 126 unsubscribed or the date of death. The Social Development Index (SDI) was used to bring 127 information about the context where the patient lives. The SDI is a linear scale combining 128 information about sanitation, schooling, income, and housing conditions from every 129 household in the FHT catchment area, representing the grade of social development of a 130 neighborhood. Hence, patients registered in the same FHT have the same SDI. It varies from 131 0 (least developed) to 1 (most developed).

All clinics and FHT in this sample have the same physical structure, offices equipped with computer, printer, medical equipment, room for small surgical procedures, the same arsenal of laboratory tests and medicines in the pharmacy, and the same type of human resources available: nurses, technicians, dentists, pharmacists, and managers. The distribution of doctors among different clinics and FHT didn't follow any criteria that is known to interfere and generate confounding in the relationship between the medical categories, the population assisted, and the study outcomes.

139

140

141 OUTCOMES

8

143 Two outcomes were considered in this study: (1) The occurrence of hospital 144 admissions, and (2) the occurrence of a follow-up visit in primary care in the following two, 145 four, and six months after patient's discharge from the hospital.

146 Fourteen health conditions from the Brazilian list of Ambulatory-care Sensitive 147 Conditions (ICSAP-Brazil) were analyzed. ICD-10 codes and clinical criteria for each condition 148 were used to identify both population-at-risk and the event, i.e. the hospital admissions -149 table 1. Both hospital admissions and follow up visits were considered as binary events, i.e. 150 being or not being hospitalized in a given month among those at risk and having or not 151 having one medical consultation within 60 days, 120 days, or 180 days after being discharged 152 from the hospital. For the follow-up visits, patients that were hospitalized and have not died 153 during hospitalization were considered as the population-at-risk.

By studying both phenomena here we aim to capture both sides of coordination of care between primary and secondary/tertiary care. If RTFM can make doctors more competent to work in primary care, it will make hospital admissions less likely to occur and, at the same time, for those patients that have been hospitalized, it will make a follow-up visit more likely to occur.

159

160

161 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

162

Multilevel multivariate binomial regression models estimated the relative risks (RR) of a patient being hospitalized in a given month and the RR for the occurrence of a follow-up visit, according to the type of doctor taking care of the patient, i.e. (1) Generalists (reference), (2) FPs, and, for those with no consultations prior to the event, (3) "No

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277166; this version posted July 2, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

9

167	consultation prior". A two levels hierarchical data structures was created clustering months
168	within patients. This nested design was used in order to regard the data dependence.
169	For follow-up visits in primary care, only patients that were hospitalized and did not
170	die during hospitalization were considered as population-at-risk. Time effects were regarded
171	using dummy variables for months and years. All models were adjusted for patient
172	information (sex, age, and Charlson comorbidity index) and contextual information (SDI and
173	time). For both acute and chronic conditions, patients were considered "at risk" during the
174	whole period they were subscribed at the clinic. For Pregnancy related hospital-admissions,
175	only pregnant women and women during the puerperium were considered as patients-at-
176	risk.
177	Finally, Population Attributable Fraction (PAF) for each outcome was calculated using
178	the RR from the regression models to estimate the absolute change In terms of number of
179	hospital admissions per year in the sample that would happen if all medical consultations
180	were performed by trained FPs. Data processing and statistical analysis were performed
181	using R version 3.6.2 and Ime4 package.
182	
183	
184	
185	RESULTS
186	
187	From the original list of 636.640 patients (376.204 women and 261.432 men),
188	457.533 (184.505 men and 273.662 women) were subscribed in 195 FHTs and 30 different
189	PHC clinics. From the patients assigned to one FHT, 406.271 patients were responsible for

190 2.433.924 medical consultations between January 2013 and December 2018, and for 80.314

10

hospital admissions between January 2013 and July 2018 that occurred among 59.106different patients.

For all 14 domains studied and for both hierarchical models, there was a higher risk of hospitalization when patients had no consultation in primary care prior to the event. This effect was higher when the cause of hospitalization was due to Stroke, Gastroenteritis and Pelvic inflammatory disease, and had its smallest value in cases of Asthma and Epilepsy.

Except for Ear, Nose and Throat infections, patients seen by FPs tended to have a lower risk of being hospitalized, compared to patients seen by Generalists. This effect was greater in hospital admissions due to Asthma, Pneumonias in adults, Gastroenteritis, Heart failure and Pneumonia in children.

In absolute numbers, Heart failure, Angina pectoris, Pneumonias in adults would express the biggest decrease in the total number of hospital admissions, with 68, 61 and 59 fewer hospitalizations every year, if all doctors working in FHTs were trained in FM residency programs (table 3). In this scenario, there could be a 47% reduction in hospital admissions for Asthma, a 50% reduction in Pneumonia in adults and a 31% reduction in Pelvic inflammatory diseases.

For follow-up visits (table 4), patients that had no prior visit at the clinic before hospitalization were less likely than those seen by Generalists to have a follow-up consultation in primary care after hospital discharge. This effect is almost the same for consutations within two, four and six months. Oppositely, follow-up visits were more likely to happen among patients treated in FHTs with FPs for almost all conditions analyzed. Patients treated by FPs that were hospitalized for Heart failure and Angina pectoris had a consistent higher odds of having follow-up consultations. The exceptions were hospital

11

admissions due to Pregnancy-related and Gastroenteritis, where the odds of a follow-up
consultation happening were the same for patients seen by Generalists and FPs.

218

217

219 **DISCUSSION**

220

By way of clarification, the comparisons made here between Generalists and FPs are not judgmental and we believe that all doctors in this sample were doing their best to help their patients. We aimed solely to test the hypothesis that the addition of two years of training in family medicine, on top of the current six years of medical school in Brazil, modifies the risk of patients being hospitalized.

226

227 First aim – impact of RTFM on the risk of hospital admissions due to ACSC

228

229 With two years of training in family medicine, Family physicians working in primary care can reduce the risk of their patients being hospitalized for all fourteen health conditions 230 231 from the Brazilian list of Ambulatory-care Sensitive Conditions (ICSAP-Brazil), except for Ear, 232 nose and throat infections. Moreover, patients subscribed to a FHT that had no 233 consultations at the clinic were at a higher risk of been hospitalized for all conditions, 234 compared to patients treated by Generalists or Family physicians. These findings add 235 important information to the well-established notion that the increased coverage of FHS in Brazilian municipalities leads to lower hospital admissions,^{5,16} lower mortality from heart 236 and cerebrovascular diseases,¹⁴ and lower mortality from ameanable conditions.¹⁵ These 237

12

238 previous ecological studies, however, focused mainly on the number of FHTs available to the 239 population, which translates to "the proportion of the population covered or not by a FHT in 240 the municipality". In other words, the higher the proportion of the population covered by 241 the FHS in a municipality, the larger will be the impact on health outcomes. For the patients, 242 being covered by a FHT - i.e., having one doctor, one nurse, one nurse-technician and 243 community-health agents as a team of healthcare providers - makes the risk of a 244 comprehensive list of events decrease, such as hospital admissions. What is new from our 245 study is that the already known beneficial effect of being covered by a FHT increases if the 246 doctor in charge is a Family physician. It also expands the evidence raised in previous studies 247 showing that specialists in family medicine are more capable of detecting chronic health 248 conditions, requesting fewer laboratory tests and fewer referrals to secondary care and 249 providing more follow-up consultations to their patients in primary care.^{25,26}

250

Exploring the specific causes – infectious diseases

252

253 Exploring the specific causes for hospital admissions, with the exception of Ear, nose 254 & throat infections and - to a lesser extent - Skin infections, all infectious disesases had their 255 risk decreased when a Family physician was present at the FHT. Pelvic inflammatory disease, 256 Gastroenteritis, Pneumonia (children and adults) and Gastroenteritis were strongly affected 257 by the presence of a FP at the FHT and in the case of Pneumonias in adults more than 50% of 258 all cases could be avoided if all FHT in this samples had trained family physicians as their 259 healthcare provider. In all these acute conditions, with the exception of Gastroenteritis, it is 260 possible that the treatment will require the use of antibiotics, which is a matter of concern in 261 a scenario where there is no shortage of these drugs and health professionals can freely

13

prescribe them. The prescription of antibiotics in ambulatory care is the subject of campaigns aiming to protect patients from unnecessary treatments and procedures. Choosing wisely is one of them and the rational use of antibiotics is a key competence that residents in FM need to develop.²⁷ Future studies will need to explore the previous use of antibiotics for these patients-at-risk. Even if there is no difference in the risk of Hospital admission, like in Ear, nose & throat infections, if a lower prescription of antibiotics is found, it can represent a gain in terms of good practice.

269 Hospital admissions due to Gastroenteritis (diarrhea and dehydration) would also be 270 influenced by RTFM, with a lower risk (RR 0.49 – 95%Cl 0.26; 0.90) favoring patients treated 271 by FPs. This lower risk is very unexpected, since this is an acute condition easily treated by a 272 cheap and widely available intervention (oral rehydration salts). Moreover, Gastroenteritis is 273 not a condition that challenges doctors to identify and treat, like heart failure, HIV, or 274 dementia. Initiatives like the Integrated Management of Childhood Illness initiative - AIDIPI 275 in Brazil – have been tackling this issue for many years by task shifting a simple procedure 276 that, most of the time, does not require medical care. Nevertheless, biomedical content 277 learned during RTFM cannot be entirely responsible for the lower risk of hospital admissions 278 due to Gastroenteritis. Developing skills and attitudes that are beyond biomedical content 279 represents a paradigm shift for medical education and a key element present in many competency-based curriculum in family medicine around the world.^{23, 28, 29} 280

One can speculate whether the development of relational, communication and time management skills could increase patients' trust in their doctors and change the way parents seek medical care for their sick children. The lower risk of Hospital admissions due to Asthma and Epilepsy for Family physicians can maybe be the consequence of the combination of these skills – clinical skills and competencies of family physicians. If patients with Asthma or

14

Epilepsy in the case of an acute event have access to a competent physician able to manage the acute situation, most of the time it will be solved in the primary care setting. Looking for an answer to this question would require studies designed to explore the interaction of these skills and competencies and their effect on healthcare. This is not a simple research question to be pursued but it could help to highlight the pathways in which RTFM makes this happen.

292

293 Exploring the specific causes – chronic conditions

294

295 Hypertension and Diabetes mellitus – Chronic cardiovascular conditions that play a 296 major role as risk factors for cardiovascular outcomes - seemed to be less influenced by the 297 presence of a FP in the FHT, when compared to Angina pectoris and Heart failure -298 outcomes that usually have Hypertension and Diabetes mellitus as their main causes. To a 299 lesser degree, hospital admissions due to Stroke also seemed to be affected by the presence 300 of FP in the FHT. There is a contradiction in these findings and it is reasonable to supose that 301 treating Hypertension and Diabetes mellitus would decrease in a higher extent the risk for hospital admissions due to these conditions, and not so much the risk for their final 302 303 consequences.

Lowering diabetic patients' blood glucose reduces the risk of major cardiovascular events, especially for those patients with cardiovascular disease at baseline.³⁰ Reducing systolic blood pressure significantly reduces coronary heart disease, stroke, and heart failure, with the effect increasing in that order.³¹ However, these measures would affect primarily Hypertension and Diabetes melittus, and a larger effect in the reduction of hospital admissions should be expected. However, different population-at-risk were considered for

15

310 each outcome. For Hypertension, all patients with blood pressure higher than 140/90 mmHg 311 were included as patients-at-risk of being hospitalized - the same procedure was 312 undertaken for every condition. Hence, the population-at-risk for Diabetes mellitus and 313 Hypertension ended up including patients with different health statuses - from mild cases 314 that require no more than lifestyle changes and one diuretic pill, to more severe cases with 315 multimorbidity and polypharmacy involved, with an extensive list of medicines, insulin 316 regimens, and a higher morbidity burden. On the other hand, all patients-at-risk for Angina 317 pectoris, Stroke and Heart failure had been diagnosed (at the hospital or at the primary care 318 clinic) for these conditions, making all of them high-risk patients. The differences in terms of 319 their health status are smaller, hence the population-at-risk for these conditions are more 320 homogenous than that for Hypertension and Diabetes mellitus.

321

322 Second aim – risk of patients having follow-up consultations in primary care after hospital 323 discharge

324

325 Information on follow-ups also highlights an important aspect for the study of PHC 326 and hospital admissions, which is continuity of care. Not only the risk of hospitalization was 327 lower among patients treated by Family physicians but, for most conditions in our list, RTFM 328 makes follow-up visits after hospital discharge more likely to happen. Since health care do 329 not cease after hospital discharge, it seems that RTFM is also important in promoting 330 continuity and coordination of care, making medical consultations more likely to happen 331 after hospitalization. When compared to patients that had no consultation prior to the 332 hospital admission (covered but not using the FHS), patients using their FHT as healthcare 333 providers were more likely to have follow-up consultations. It is hard to tell what are the

16

reasons for these patients to have fewer follow-up visits at the PHC clinic after hospital discharge. The same can be said about the reasons for these patients not to use their FHT before the hospital admission. Personal preferences for another healthcare service (private out-of-pocket or private health insurance), poor selfcare, and barriers to access the public primary care clinic are some possible reasons that should be explored in future studies.

339

340 Third aim – absolute reduction in hospital admissions

341

342 Reporting the Population attributable fraction and the total number of hospital 343 admissions avoided in a year can better translate the information reported as relative risks 344 into meaningful information for policymakers and healthcare managers. In table 4 the 345 relative risks are expressed in terms of the absolute number of hospital admissions that 346 would happen if all FHTs had a family medicine specialist as medical provider. Some 347 conditions would experience a big relative change with a small absolute reduction in the 348 number of hospital admissions. This is the case for Asthma and Pelvic inflammatory disease. 349 Even with 47% and 31 % fewer hospital admissions every year, the total number of events 350 avoided would be only 26 and 13, respectively. Meanwhile, Angina pectoris and Heart failure 351 - conditions with a larger number of hospital admissions per year - would experience a large 352 absolute reduction of events (61 and 68, respectively), even with a lower relative risk 353 reduction between family physicians and generalists.

Every avoided hospitalization due to ambulatory care sensitive conditions represents healtcare resources that are saved to better use with patients in need. Moreover, health care conditions will be differently affected by RTFM (relative risks) but will also result in different number of events, with different costs and different time number of days in the

17

hospital. This dimension of the impact that RTFM can make in patient care was not
measured in our study but would be an interesting focus for future research exploring
duration of stay, quality of life and outcomes related to the very hospital admission.

361

362

363 Methodological considerations and implications for future research

364

365 Exploring in detail the ways that Family physicians interact with their patients to 366 change the life course of these conditions was not the aim of this study. Future research 367 describing more precisely these populations-at-risk would be extremely valuable to explore 368 the actions taken by family physicians and their patients during their health care trajectory 369 that are responsible for lowering the risk for hospital admissions. Furthermore, each health 370 condition in each patient might have a different time lapse to change under the effect of 371 having a Family physician as his/her medical professional. Prenatal care issues and other 372 acute conditions are more likely to be affected in a short term. A urinary tract infection in a 373 pregnant woman develops over the period of one month (from the first symptoms to its 374 resolution). On the contrary, chronic conditions resulting from years of exposure to risk 375 factors will lead patients to a series of appointments, blood tests, follow-ups, changes in 376 drug treatments.

In the case of Pregnancy related conditions, the lower risk of a women being hospitalized when a Family physician is responsible for her prenatal care is not followed by a change in the risk of having follow-up visits after hospital discharge. This information shows us that, in this sample, there were doctors available in the FHT to see these women after

18

hospital discharge. Probably there was a doctor in that FHT before a hospital admission hasoccurred as well.

Hospital admissions avoided and follow-up visits after hospital discharge are only the tip of the iceberg. FPs can prevent these hospital admissions on top of changing a series of events that happen every day, such as the detection of health conditions, follow up consultations, procedures, prescriptions, and referrals to secondary care.

The ICSAP-Brazil creates a comprehensive list of conditions to explore and measure the effectiveness of PHC services, but it keeps out of its framework conditions that are widely prevalent in the community, such as Mental health conditions, HIV/AIDS, and Cancer. Those are some examples of prevalent conditions that might have the risk of hospitalization affected by strong PHC services and, moreover, by skilled Family physicians. Expanding the scope of health care conditions would be necessary to further explore in which extent RTFM affects the occurrence of hospital admissions.

394

395 Implications for healthcare

396

The World Health Organization in 2020 published the Operational Framework for Primary Health Care³² describing the health care workforce as one of the 14 strategic levers to enable the development of PHC. Since the inauguration of the FHS in 1994,⁸ some of the 14 levers were prioritized: an organized model of care was established for the whole country, strengthening governance and social participation, and creating policy frameworks organizing the way PHC should be funded, delivered and how resources should be allocated.

403 As mentioned before, the More Doctors and the Doctors for Brazil programs are good 404 examples of policies that have tried to move the Healthcare workforce lever up, promoting

19

405 provision and fixation of physicians in underserved areas. This is specially important when 406 we look at the relative risks for Hospital admissions between patients with no consultation 407 prior compared to those seen a Generalist. In a city with one of the highest density of doctors in the country,²² having a Family physician as a medical healthcare provider is 408 409 beneficial to decrease the number of Hospital admissions but having consultations with a 410 physician and using the FHT is crucial. For the rest of the country and especially in remotes 411 areas, it is essential that the federal government fixes this inequality by providing physicians 412 for places that can hardly get any.

413 Nevertheless, one more step must be taken by the federal government to leverage 414 this lever, which is to fix the unequal distribution of vacancies for residency training in the 415 country by increasing the number of seats for family medicine and redistributing these seats 416 accross the country. The political structure for these programs is already in place and the 417 financial resources are available. In other words, the country already has the foundation to 418 build a national program for provision, fixation and training of physicians in primary care 419 aligned with the established family medicine residency programs.

Only with investments in RTFM it will be possible to fix the shortage of skilled physicians we see today in Brazilian PHC.^{21,22} To make it happen, we need to correct common misconceptions among policymakers that doctors do not need residency training to work in primary care.

- 424
- 425
- 426

427 STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

20

429 The main limitation of our study is the lack of information regarding the usage of 430 emergency care services in the city to fully cover all paths between primary care and hospital 431 care. These services play an important role in managing every domain at the ICSAP-Brazil list, 432 especially acute conditions and exacerbations of chronic diseases. Certainly, these services 433 can avoid hospitalizations by providing short term treatment, and discharging patients to 434 complete their treatment at home. Another limitation is the lack of information about 435 private health care services in the community. Having the complete information would help 436 to clarify if patients were sent to the hospital by the public primary care clinic, by a private 437 clinic or by the emergency service, providing better information to clarify if there was a lack 438 of access to the FHTs.

Relative risks, most of the time, cannot reach a broad audience. By reporting the population attributable fraction, we tried to translate relative risks into absolute numbers to provide more palatable information for decision makers and politicians get better understanding of the impact of RTFM. The total number of hospital admissions that would be added or taken if all FHTs had trained Family physicians as their medical provider can more easily reach those responsible for deciding to implement an educational initiative for capacity building of human resources for primary care.

Finaly, using real-world data to analyze an actual intervention in a middle-income country may reverberate better to other countries that are also trying to expand and improve their PHC systems.

449

450

451 **CONCLUSION**

21

453 The Brazilian Family Health Strategy has been responsible for an impressive impact 454 on public health for the last 25 years, decreasing health inequalities by strengthening 455 governance and providing structure and financial support for FHTs in the whole country. 456 Only recently, capacity building of human resources for primary care – especially residency 457 training in family medicine - has been considered as an important piece for the success of 458 this national policy. In this study, we presented evidence that public investments in capacity 459 building of human resources for primary care can prevent the occurrence of hospital 460 admissions. 461 It will take a lot of effort from all stakeholders and political will to allocate financial resources today in educational initiatives that will take some years to pay back in health 462

463 outcomes the investment made. In the case of the medical workforce for primary care –
464 especially in LMIC – this will not be achieved without investments in residency training in

465 family medicine.

467

Table 1: List of health conditions according to their ICD10 codes and clinical criteria and respective population-at-risk used in the regression models.

Domain/condition	ICD10 codes, clinical criteria or procedure	Population-at-risk
	CSAP-Brazil	
Hypertension	10, 11	I10, I11, and at least one SBP >= 140 mmHg or DBP >= 90 mmHg
Diabetes mellitus	E10, E11, E12, E13, E14	E10-E14, and at least one glycemia > 126 mg/dl or A1C hemoglobin > 6.5%
Stroke	63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69, G45, G46	Patients with Diabetes, Hypertension, Ischemic heart disease, Stroke or Heart failure
Angina pectoris	120	Older than 40 years old
Heart failure	150, J81	Younger than 12 years old
Epilepsy	G40, G41, R56	Older than 40 years old
Asthma	J45, J46	Younger than 12 years old
Pregnancy related	O23, A50, P350	Women during pregnancy or puerperium
Gastroenteritis	E86, A00, A01, A02, A03, A04, A05, A06, A07, A08, A09	Younger than 12 years old
Pneumonias - children	J13, J14, J153, J154, J158, J159, J181	Younger than 12 years old
Pneumonias - adults	J13, J14, J153, J154, J158, J159, J181	Older than 40 years old
Skin infection	A46, L01, L02, L03, L04, L08	Younger than 12 years old
Ear, nose & throat	H66, J00, J01, J02, J03, J06, J31	Younger than 12 years old
Pelvic inflammatory disease	N70, N71, N72, N73, N75, N76	Women (14 -50 years old)

Table 2: Number of medical consultations and patients' characteristics according to each medical category in the study sample. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2015 – 2018.								
Medical category	Number of doctors –	Consultations – N (%)	SDI -mean (SD)	Ра	tients' age	e (%)	Patients accor N (9	ding to sex – %)
	N (%)			< 18	> 18 & < 45	>45	Women	men
Generalists	633 (75.6)	1.629.235 (67.5)	0.573 (0.03)	21.3	31.8	46.9	1.067.212 (65.6)	562.023 (34.4)
Family physicians	204 (24.4)	785.273 (32.5)	0.585 (0.03)	18.5	34.5	47.0	517.813 (65.9)	267.460 (34.1)

472

Table 3: Relative risks for hospital admissions from January 2013 to July 2018 according to the proportion of consultations performed by Family physicians or Generalists in a Family Health Team in Primary Care. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. 2013-2018.

	Family physicians	No consultation prior
Hypertension	0.83 (0.61; 1.15)	2.63 (2.04; 3.40)
Diabetes mellitus	0.76 (0.56; 1.04)	2.75 (2.11; 3.60)
Stroke	0.74 (0.58; 0.95)	5.47 (4.50; 6.65)
Angina pectoris	0.62 (0.51; 0.75)	2.58 (2.19; 3.03)
Heart failure	0.52 (0.40; 0.67)	2.78 (2.19; 3.53)
Epilepsy	0.79 (0.57; 1.11)	1.40 (1.07; 1.83)
Asthma	0.35 (0.21; 0.57)	1.46 (1.04; 2.05)
Pregnancy related	0.78 (0.60; 1.01)	2.89 (2.30; 3.63)
Gastroenteritis	0.45 (0.25; 0.79)	3.17 (2.19; 4.59)
Pneumonias - children	0.57 (0.42; 0.77)	2.19 (1.74; 2.76)
Pneumonias - adults	0.36 (0.24; 0.56)	2.90 (2.13; 3.95)
Skin infection	0.82 (0.69; 0.97)	2.61 (2.23; 3.00)
Ear, nose & throat	1.06 (0.64; 1.77)	1.78 (1.13; 2.83)
Pelvic inflammatory disease	0.59 (0.38; 0.93)	3.35 (2.34; 4.81)

Multilevel multivariate binomial regression models adjusted for age, sex, time, and the Social development index of the area covered by the FHT.

474

Table 4: Population attributable fraction and the absolute change in the number of hospital admissions in 12 months in a scenario where all Family Health Teams in the sample would have trained Family physicians as medical professionals. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2013-2018.

neulea professionais. No de saneno, brazil, 2015 2010.				
Conditions	Population at risk	HA* per year	Population attributable fraction PAF (%)	Number of HA added or taken
Hypertension	91958	128	-3.5 (-25.1; 20.9)	-4 (-32; +27)
Diabetes mellitus	38784	160	-12.2 (-31.2; 9.7)	-17 (-43; +13)
Stroke	4807	244	-13 (-28.6; 4.7)	-32 (-70; +11)
Angina pectoris	4277	271	-22.6 (-10; -33.9)	-61 (-92; -27)
Heart failure	2617	230	-29.4 (-13; -43.4)	-68 (-100; -30)
Epilepsy	449	86	-15.3 (-33.9; 7.2)	-13 (-29; 6)
Asthma	5514	54	-47.4 (-67.1; 20.9)	-26 (-36; 11)
Pregnancy related	45445	136	-16.1 (-32.1; 1.4)	-22 (-44; 2)
Gastroenteritis	5858	45	-41.5 (-66; -7)	-19 (-30; -3)
Pneumonias - children	2319	114	-28.6 (-45.4; -9.2)	-33 (-52; -10)
Pneumonias - adults	2777	116	-50.5 (-67.1; -27.7)	-59 (-78; -32)
Skin infection	33126	331	-5.6 (-18.5; 7.2)	-19 (-61; 24)
Ear, nose & throat	78059	28	0 (-39.9; 33.0)	0 (-11; 9)
Pelvic inflammatory disease	4044	41	-31.2 (-52.6; -2.8)	-13 (-22; -1)

* Hospital admissions

Population attributable fraction estimated using relative risks from two level multilevel multivariate binomial regression models, using a initial proportion of 30% of family physicians.

476

Table 5: Relative risks for a patient having a follow-up consultation in primary care after one, three, and six months after hospital discharge according to the proportion of medical consultations performed by Family physicians or Generalists in a Family Health Team in the previous twelve months. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

	2 months	4 months	6 months
	Family physicians		
Hypertension	1.19 (0.55-2.55)	1.11 (0.49-2.48)	1.22 (0.5-2.93)
Diabetes mellitus	1.30 (0.66-2.54)	1.26 (0.58-2.72)	1.54 (0.69-3.45)
Stroke	1.43 (0.84-2.43)	2.2 (1.21-3.99)	1.8 (0.97-3.32)
Angina pectoris	2.1 (1.33-3.31)	1.78 (1.11-2.87)	1.94 (1.15-3.28)
Heart failure	3.09 (1.63; 5.88)	3.50 (1.80; 6.82)	3.33 (1.67; 6.62)
Epilepsy	1.81 (0.74; 4.41)	2.05 (0.83; 5.09)	1.69 (0.68; 4.21)
Asthma	1.07 (0.30; 3.81)	1.30 (0.41; 4.10)	0.95 (0.29; 3.12)
Pregnancy related	1.17 (0.66; 2.07)	0.90 (0.48; 1.70)	0.87 (0.44; 1.72)
Gastroenteritis	1.08 (0.24; 4.93)	1.56 (0.37; 6.50)	0.96 (0.23; 4.10)
Pneumonias - children	1.84 (0.95; 3.56)	2.92 (1.40; 6.07)	3.18 (1.49; 6.78)
Pneumonias - adults	3.93 (1.32; 11.68)	2.60 (0.84; 8.01)	2.08 (0.66; 6.57)
Skin infection	1.62 (1.12; 2.36)	1.39 (0.95; 2.02)	1.30 (0.88; 1.93)
Ear, nose & throat	1.72 (0.42; 7.02)	2.24 (0.55; 9.15)	1.28 (0.34; 4.79)
Pelvic inflammatory disease	1.12 (0.36-3.51)	2.10 (0.70-6.27)	1.17 (0.38-3.57)
	No consultation prior	r	
Hypertension	0.09 (0.04-0.22)	0.09 (0.04-0.19)	0.13 (0.06-0.29)
Diabetes mellitus	0.27 (0.14-0.52)	0.21 (0.11-0.43)	0.22 (0.11-0.43)
Stroke	0.23 (0.15-0.34)	0.30 (0.20-0.44)	0.27 (0.18-0.40)
Angina pectoris	0.23 (0.16-0.34)	0.17 (0.12-0.25)	0.17 (0.12-0.24)
Heart failure	0.18 (0.10; 0.33)	0.28 (0.16; 0.47)	0.27 (0.16; 0.46)
Epilepsy	0.15 (0.06; 0.36)	0.12 (0.05; 0.28)	0.12 (0.05; 0.27)
Asthma	0.21 (0.06; 0.71)	0.26 (0.09; 0.75)	0.20 (0.07; 0.57)
Pregnancy related	0.39 (0.21; 0.75)	0.32 (0.17; 0.60)	0.39 (0.20; 0.78)
Gastroenteritis	0.52 (0.17; 1.61)	0.56 (0.21; 1.49)	0.59 (0.23; 1.54)
Pneumonias - children	0.51 (0.28; 0.92)	0.44 (0.25; 0.79)	0.54 (0.31; 0.95)
Pneumonias - adults	0.45 (0.20; 1.04)	0.17 (0.07; 0.39)	0.22 (0.10; 0.48)
Skin infection	0.50 (0.36; 0.70)	0.45 (0.33; 0.62)	0.39 (0.29; 0.53)
Ear, nose & throat	0.14 (0.02; 0.80)	0.39 (0.11; 1.42)	0.33 (0.10; 1.08)
Pelvic inflammatory disease	0.15 (0.05-0.49)	0.11 (0.04-0.33)	0.10 (0.04-0.27)

All models were adjusted for age, sex, time, and the Social development index of the area covered by the FHT.

478	REFERENCES
-----	------------

479		
480	1.	Homar JC, Matutano CC. La evaluación de la atención primaria y las hospitalizaciones
481		por ambulatory care sensitive conditions. Marco conceptual. Atención primaria.
482		2003;31(1):61-65. doi:10.1016/S0212-6567(03)70662-3
483	2.	Starfield B, Shi L, Macinko J. Contribution of Primary Care to Health Systems and
484		Health. <i>Milbank Q</i> . 2005;83(3):457–502. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0009.2005.00409.x
485	3.	Billings J, Zeitel L, Lukomnik J, Carey TS, Blank AE, Newman L. Impact Of
486		Socioeconomic Status On Hospital Use In New York City. <i>Health Aff</i> . 1993:12(1):162-
487		173. https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/pdf/10.1377/hlthaff.12.1.162.
488	4.	Niti M. Ng T. Avoidable hospitalisation rates in Singapore. 1991–1998: assessing
489		trends and inequities of quality in primary care. <i>Public Heal Policy Pract</i> .
490		2003:57(1):17-22. doi:10.1136/iech.57.1.17
491	5	Dourado L Oliveira VB. Aquino R. et al. Trends in Primary Health Care-sensitive
492	5.	Conditions in Brazil Med Care 2011:49(6):577-584
493		doi:10.1097/MIR.0b013e31820fc39f
494	6	Afonso MPD Shimizu HE Merchan-Hamann E Ramalho WM Afonso T Association
495	0.	between hospitalisation for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions and primary health
496		care physician specialisation: A cross-sectional ecological study in Curitiba (Brazil)
497		BMI Open 2017 doi:10.1136/hmiopen-2016-015322
498	7	Goncalves MR Hauser I. Prestes IV. Schmidt MI. Duncan BR. Harzheim F. Primary
770 700	<i>.</i>	health care quality and hospitalizations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions in the
500		nublic health system in Porto Alegro, Brazil Fam Pract, 2016:33(3):238-242
501		dai:10.1002/fampra/cmv051
502	0	Maginka L Harris ML Brazil's Family Health Strategy — Delivering Community Based
502	ο.	Drimary Care in a Universal Health System N Engl / Med. 2015;272(22):2177.2181
505		Primary Care in a Universal Health System. <i>IN Engl J Web</i> . 2015;572(25):2177-2161.
504	0	dol:10.1056/NEJWp1501140
505	9.	Barreto ML, Rasella D, Machado DB, et al. Monitoring and Evaluating Progress
500		towards Universal Health Coverage in Brazil. PLos Med. 2014;11(9):9-11.
507	10	dol:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001692
508	10.	Neves RG, Flores TR, Manjourany SSD, Nunes BP, Tomasi E. Time trend of Family
509		Health Strategy coverage in Brazil , its Regions and Federative Units , 2006-2016.
510		Epiaemioi Serv Sauae. 2016;27(34):1-8. doi:10.5123/51679-49742018000300008
511	11.	Rasella D, Aquino R, Barreto ML. Reducing Childhood Mortality From Diarrhea and
512		Lower Respiratory Tract Infections in Brazil. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2010;126(3).
513		dor:10.1542/peds.2009-3197
514	12.	Aquino R, Oliveira NF De, Barreto ML. Impact of the Family Health Program on Infant
515		Mortality in Brazilian Municipalities. <i>Am J Public Health</i> . 2009;99(1):87-93.
516		doi:10.2105/AJPH.2007.127480
517	13.	Venancio SI, Etsuko T, Teresa M, Sanches C. Effectiveness of Family Health Strategy on
518		child's health indicators in São Paulo State. <i>Rev Bras Saúde Matern Infant</i> .
519		2016;16(3):271-281. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-93042016000300004
520	14.	Rasella D, Harhay MO, Pamponet ML, Aquino R, Barreto ML. Impact of primary health
521		care on mortality from heart and cerebrovascular diseases in Brazil: A nationwide
522		analysis of longitudinal data. <i>BMJ</i> . 2014. doi:10.1136/bmj.g4014
523	15.	Hone T, Rasella D, Barreto M, Atun R, Majeed A. Large Reductions In Amenable
524		Mortality Associated With Brazil's Primary Care Expansion And Strong Health

525		Covernance Health Aff 2017,26/11,140 158 doi:10.1277/hithaff 2016 0066
525	4.0	
526	16.	Mendonça CS, Harzheim E, Duncan BB, Nunes LN, Leyn W. Trends in nospitalizations
527		for primary care sensitive conditions following the implementation of Family Health
528		Teams in Belo Horizonte, Brazil. <i>Health Policy Plan</i> . 2012;27(4):348-355.
529		doi:10.1093/heapol/czr043
530	17.	Marques AP, Elena D, Montilla R. Hospitalization of older adults due to ambulatory
531		care sensitive conditions. Rev Saude Publica. 2014;48(5):817-826. doi:10.1590/S0034-
532		8910.2014048005133
533	18.	Macinko BJ. Dourado I. Aguino R. et al. Maior Expansion Of Primary Care In Brazil
534		Linked To Decline In Unnecessary Hospitalization Health Aff 2010;29(12);2149-2160
535		https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/ndf/10.1377/hlthaff.2010.0251
536	10	Ministório do Soudo - Programa Mais Médicos - 2017, doi:10.1590/1807
530	19.	
531	20	
538	20.	Wollmann L, D'Avila OP, Harzheim E. Programa Medicos pelo Brasil: merito e
539		equidade. <i>Rev Bras Med Família e Comunidade</i> . 2019;15(42):1-6.
540	21.	Augusto DK, David L, Oliveira DOPS de, Trindade TG da, Junior NL, Poli Neto P.
541		Quantos médicos de família e comunidade temos no Brasil? Rev Bras Med Família e
542		<i>Comunidade</i> . 2018;13(40):1-4. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5712/rbmfc13(40)1695
543	22.	Mário Scheffer. DEMOGRAFIA MÉDICA NO BRASIL 2018. São Paulo; 2018.
544	23.	SBMFC. Currículo Baseado em Competências para Medicina de Família e Comunidade.
545		Currículo Baseado em Competências para Medicina de Família e Comunidade.
546		http://www.sbmfc.org.br/wp-content/uploads/media/Curriculo Baseado em
547		Competencias(1) ndf Published 2015 Accessed February 27 2020
5/18	24	Charlson M. Szatrowski TP. Paterson I. Gold I. Validation of a combined comorbidity
540	27.	index 1 Clin Enidemial 1994 doi:10.1016/0805.4256/04/90129.5
550	25	Index. J Chill Epidemion. 1994. doi:10.1010/0895-4550(94)50129-5
550	25.	Jantsch AG, Burstrom B, Misson GH, Leon AP De. Residency training in family medicine
551		and its impact on coordination and continuity of care: an analysis of referrals to
552		secondary care in Rio de Janeiro. BMJ Open. 2022;12:1-9. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-
553		051515
554	26.	Jantsch AG, Burström B, Nilsson G, Leon AP De. Detection and follow-up of chronic
555		health conditions in Rio de Janeiro – the impact of residency training in family
556		medicine. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> . 2021;22(223):1-11. doi:10.1186/s12875-021-01542-5
557	27.	Levinson W, Kallewaard M, Bhatia RS, Wolfson D, Shortt S, Kerr EA. 'Choosing Wisely':
558		a growing international campaign. BMJ. 2014;(December):1-9. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-
559		2014-003821
560	28.	Shaws E. Oandasan I. Fowler N. CanMEDS-FM 2017: A competency framework for
561		family physicians across the continuum. The College of Family Physicians of Canada -
562		Le Collège des Médecins de Famille du Canada
563		https://www.cfnc.co/uploadedEiles/Resources/Resource_Items/Health_Professionals
564		/CanMEDS Eamily Modicine 2017 ENG ndf Dubliched 2017 Accessed Entryany 27
504		2020 / Accessed February 27,
303	20	
566	29.	EURACI COUNCIL THE EUROPEAN DEFINITION OF GENERAL PRACTICE/FAMILY
567		MEDICINE. https://www.woncaeurope.org/sites/default/files/documents/Definition
568		EURACTshort version revised 2011.pdf. Published 2011. Accessed January 1, 2020.
569	30.	Giugliano D, Maiorino MI, Bellastella G, Chiodini P, Esposito K. Glycemic Control,
570		
570		Preexisting Cardiovascular Disease, and Risk of Major Cardiovascular Events in

572		Cardiovascular Outcome Trials and Intensive Glucose Control Trials. J Am Heart Assoc.
573		2019;8. doi:10.1161/JAHA.119.012356
574	31.	Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, et al. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of
575		cardiovascular disease and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Lancet</i> .
576		2016;387(10022):957-967.doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01225-8
577	32.	World Health Organization and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF).
578		Operational Framework for Primary Health Care: Transforming Vision into Action.
579		Geneva; 2020. https://www.who.int/rehabilitation/CallForAction2.pdf?ua=1.

- 579
- 580 581