medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Digital media does not harm (nor benefit) brain development in children

Authors: Samson Nivins PhD[1], Bruno Sauce PhD[2], Magnus Liebherr PhD[3], Nicholas Judd PhD[1], Torkel Klingberg PhD[1]

[1] Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

[2] Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[3] Department of General Psychology: Cognition, University Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, Germany

Corresponding author:

Dr. Samson Nivins

Department of Neuroscience (Neuro),C4, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden

Email: samson.nivins@ki.se

Phone: +46 769 794 725

Prof. Torkel Klingberg

Department of Neuroscience (Neuro),C4, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden

Email: torkel.klingberg@ki.se

Phone: +46 (0) 8-524 863 59

Manuscript word count: 2950

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Key points

Question

Does the use of digital media affect brain development in children aged 9-10 years of age?

Findings

In this two-year longitudinal study of 4502 children, we found no effect of playing video games, watching television, or using social media on the development of cortical surface area. playing video games was associated with a larger increase in the volume of cerebellum.

Meaning

This study does not indicate that the use of digital media harms brain development.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Importance Digital media takes an increasingly large part of children's time, but the effect on brain development is unclear.

Objective To investigate the effects of digital media (watching television and videos, using social media, or playing video games respectively) on the development of the cortex, striatum, and cerebellum over two years.

Design, setting, and participants A prospective, multicenter, longitudinal study of children from the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development Study, recruited between 2016-2018. Children underwent magnetic resonance imaging scan at two different time points and completed the Youth Screen Time Survey at first timepoint, answering questions about digital media use. The analysis controlled for differences in socioeconomic status (SES) and polygenic scores for educational attainment.

Exposure Digital media use.

Main outcome measures The primary outcome measure was the changes in the global cortical surface area.

Results 6492 children (age in months, mean [SD] = 118.6 [7.2], i.e., 9.9 years were included at the baseline. Of these, 4502 children (age in months = 142.6 [7.6], i.e., 11.9 years were included at the two years follow-up. The average time spent by children on screen time was 2.2h/day for watching television and videos, 0.4h/day for using social media, and 0.9h/day for playing video games.

Over the two-year observation period, the average cortical surface area increased by approximately 2%, reflecting normal cortical development. The amount of time spent playing video games was weakly but positively correlated to change in global cortical surface area (standardised beta, $\beta = 0.03$; 95% CI [0.001 – 0.06]; P=.06). No global or regional effect on brain development was observed for a time watching television and videos or using social media. However, the regional analysis showed that playing video games was associated with a larger increase in the volume of the cerebellum (β = 0.01 [0.001 – 0.02]; P=.02).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Conclusions and Relevance This study does not suggest that digital media use in children harms brain development in mid-childhood and within a window of two years, but a longer follow-up is necessary.

Keywords Videogames, gaming, MRI, brain, children, polygenic score

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

Children are spending more time with digital media than ever before, but the effects of this on psychological health, cognition, and brain maturation are still unclear. There are growing concerns among parents, caregivers, and policymakers about the negative impact of digital media use on the developing brain of children. In 2016, the American Academy of Paediatrics recommended to limit media use to less than 2h/day for children aged 6-10 years. However, children of this group worldwide, do not meet this recommendation. For example, in the USA, children aged 8-12 years on average spent 4h and 44mins per day on digital media for entertainment purposes,[1] aside from use during school and homework. Therefore, studies focusing on the long-term effect of digital media use on children are warranted.

Behavioural studies have found benefits as well as adverse consequences associated with the amount of time spent on digital media activities amongst school-aged children.[2-5] For example, longer exposure to digital media use was associated with poor mental health, lower academic performance, and worse behavioural problems in children aged 9-10 years.[5]

Cross-sectional neuroimaging studies have associated digital media use mainly with smaller grey matter volumes in various cortical regions.[6-9] However, these studies fail to account for self-selection. A prior study from our group showed that there are associations between digital media use to both intelligence, SES and genetic predisposition at baseline, but these effects disappear or are sometimes reversed when looking longitudinally, suggesting self-selection bias, highlighting the importance of longitudinal studies. Childhood brain development is affected by environmental characteristics such as upbringing, parental education, parental income, and genetics.[10] and these need to be taken into account.

To our knowledge, only one longitudinal study investigated the associations between weekly videogame use and brain changes one-year later in children aged 7-11 years, and found significant

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

changes in functional connectivity between the dorsal putamen and motor cortex,[11] but did not control for SES or genetic effects.

To overcome the above limitations, we investigated the effect of digital media use on brain development over two years in children aged 9-10 years at baseline, accounting for age, sex, handedness (to avoid lateralization of the brain structures associated with handedness), SES, scanner sites, and genetics. We separately analyzed different categories of digital media use: watching television and videos, using social media, or playing video games respectively Our main outcome measure was global cortical surface area, which is known to be the measure most highly correlated to intelligence in both children and young adults.[12,13] It has also been shown to be relevant for other environmental variables such as SES.[14] We also investigated the individual cortical regions and the volumes of striatum and cerebellum which have been implicated in cross-sectional studies of digital media.[11,15]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Methods

Participants

The neuroimaging and behavioural data used in this study were obtained from the ABCD consortium (data release 4.0 https://abcdstudy.org/; http://doi.org/10.15154/1523041). This longitudinal cohort of 11,875 children was enrolled at baseline (9-10 years) and followed-up two years later, at 21 research sites across the United States.[16] Children were excluded if they were born extremely preterm (<28 weeks) or with birth weight (<1200g); were not proficient in English; had any neurological problems; had a history of seizures; or had a contraindication to undergo brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. All children and their parents/guardians provided informed written consent/assent for participation, and the central IRB at the UCSD approved the study protocols.

Neuroimaging

Children underwent a 3Tesla brain MRI scan at two time-points using a T1-weighted MP-RAGE sequence (1mm isotropic) which was processed using FreeSurfer (version 5.3.0)–described in detail elsewhere.[17] All neuroimaging data were quality controlled by the ABCD consortium. In this study, we used the Destrieux atlas (i.e., parcellates each hemisphere into 74 regions of interest).

Children who did not have relevant data on either SES, genetics, or digital media use were excluded. Additionally, the ABCD cohort included twins and siblings, therefore we randomly selected one child per family to eliminate this source of bias.

Socioeconomic status

SES was defined as the first principal component from a probabilistic principal component analysis of total household income, parental education, and neighbourhood quality. Children missing more than

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

one of these SES measures were excluded. Parental education was categorised into middle school or less (1), some high school (2), high school graduate (3), some college/associates degree (4), bachelor's degree (5), a master's degree (6), or professional degree (7). The neighbourhood quality measure was the area deprivation index calculated from the American Community Survey using the address of the primary residency.[18] The SES composite and each subcomponent were normalised (mean=0, sd=1).

Polygenic score for cognition

We used a multitrait polygenic score for cognitive performance derived from 1.1 million individuals using their educational attainment, mathematical ability, and general cognitive ability. For more details see eMethods in the Supplement.

Exposures

Digital media use was measured using the Youth Screen Time Survey at baseline visit (i.e., 9-10 years). Children were asked to report the number of hours spent on a typical weekday and weekend days for the following: (1) watching television or movies, (2) watching videos (e.g., YouTube), (3) playing video games on a computer, console, phone, or another device (e.g., Xbox, PlayStation, iPad), (4) Texting on a cell phone, tablet, or computer (e.g., Google Chat, WhatsApp), (5) Visiting social networking sites (e.g., Facebook, Twitter, Instagram), (6) Using video chat (e.g., Skype, FaceTime). Digital media activities were categorised as (a) watching television and videos (1+2), (b) using social media (4+5+6), and (c) playing video games (3). Children responded to each question using a seven-point scale: none, <30min, 30min, 1h, 2h, 3h, to >4h.

Outcomes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

We prespecified our primary outcome as a global cortical surface area; secondary outcomes as volumes of striatum (combined caudate nucleus, putamen, and accumbens) and cerebellum (both grey and white matter structures), and individual cortical regions as exploratory outcomes.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Data Analysis

A multiple regression model was used to investigate the association between the individual digital media use and brain regions, both cross-sectionally and longitudinally.

Age at baseline MRI scan visit, sex, 20 principal components from the genetic data, a polygenic score for cognition, SES, handedness, and MRI scanner sites were used as covariates for the cross-sectional analyses (Model 1). For the longitudinal analyses, we performed two models. Model 2, where we investigated the longitudinal effect for each type of digital media use separately (i.e., one statistical model for each type of digital media use), adjusting for differences in age of the child (i.e., age at two years of follow-up MRI scan visit – the age at baseline MRI scan visit) as an additional covariate, in addition to all the previously mentioned covariates in the Model 1. In Model 3, we included all three measures of digital media use in the same model, adjusting for all the covariates as included in Model 2. This model thus corrects for the total amount of digital media used and informs about the specific effect of one activity. We report both standardized beta estimates (mean=0, sd=1) and absolute values for surface area (mm^2) and subcortical volumes (mm^3). To limit the number of statistical tests, we computed bilateral estimates by combining both the left and right sides of the regions. For the longitudinal analyses, we used the differences in absolute values of brain measures (i.e., two years follow-up –baseline visit).

Analysis of the primary and secondary outcomes was unadjusted for multiple comparisons, as they were a priori. The exploratory outcomes were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate correction. All analyses were carried out using R (4.2.0) with an alpha level of <.05.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Results

Of the 11,875 children recruited into the ABCD study cohort, 6492 children (age in months, mean [SD] = 118.6 [7.2], i.e., 9.9 years; boys, n (%) = 3382 (52%); right-handed, n (%) = 5176 (80%)) fulfilled our inclusion criteria were included at the baseline (i.e., 9-10 years of age). Of these, 4502 children (age in months = 142.6 [7.6], i.e., 11.9 years; boys = 2427 (54%)) were included at the two years of follow-up. The number of children followed up at two years of follow-up was slightly lower compared to the baseline because the COVID-19 pandemic had significantly affected the data collection.

The average time spent by children on digital media use was 2.2h/day for watching television and videos, 0.4h/day for using social media, and 0.9h/day for playing video games.

Primary outcome

At baseline, children who spent more time watching television and videos had a smaller global cortical surface area (Table 1) (standardised beta, β = -0.03; 95% standardised CI, [-0.06 – -0.01]; *P*=.001). This association was also found for playing video games (β = -0.02 [-0.05 – -0.01]; *P*=.007), but not using social media (β = -0.002[-0.02 – 0.02]; *P*=.84).

At two years of follow-up, the average cortical surface area increased by approximately 2%, reflecting normal cortical development. Children who spent more time playing video games (β=0.03 [0.001 – 0.06]; *P*=.04) had a larger change in the global cortical surface area. Whereas, children who spent more time watching television and videos (β = -0.01 [-0.03 – 0.02]; *P*=.59) or using social media (β = -0.002 [-0.02 – 0.03]; *P*=.92) had no association with the change in global cortical surface area.

Although a small effect was found between playing video games and change in global cortical surface two years later, we were sceptical, as there was a negative association between playing video games and global cortical surface area at baseline, indicating that those who played more had a smaller brain

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

at baseline. This raised two possibilities, whether a significant change in the global cortical surface area two years later is because of normal brain development during this window period, or because of the benefit of playing video games. To check for an unbiased result in our sample (i.e., no association between playing video games and global surface area at baseline), we did a separate subgroup analysis of boys and girls; and children born preterm and at term (Table 2; Figure 1). Previous studies have shown that boys and children born preterm tend to spent more time on digital media.[4,19] Consistent with the previous findings, we found that boys spent more time playing video games compared to girls (boys = 1.25 [1.15]; girls = 0.63 [0.84]; P_{unadj}<.001), this was also the case for children born preterm (preterm = 1.02 [1.09]; full-term = 0.94 [1.05]; P_{unadj}=.02). We also did a separate regression analysis (Model 1 and 2) for subgroups and found a negative association between playing video games and global cortical surface area in boys (β = -0.04 [-0.07 – 0.005]; *P*=.02) and in children born at term (β = -0.03 [-0.05 – 0.007]; P_{unadj}=.01) but not girls (β = -0.02 [-0.06 – 0.007]; P_{unadj}=.13) or children born preterm (β = -0.02 [-0.08 – 0.07]; P_{unadj}=.41) at baseline (eTable 2-5 in the Supplement). During the follow-up, playing video games was positively associated with change in global cortical surface area in boys (β = 0.04 [0.002 – 0.07]; P_{unadj}=.03) and in children born at term (β = 0.03 [0.005 – 0.06]; P_{unadj}=.02) but not girls (β = 0.01 [-0.02 – 0.05]; P_{unadj}=.50) or children born preterm (β = -0.007 [-0.08 – 0.07]; P_{unadj}=.85).

In an additional attempt to correct for the smaller brain at baseline, we built a model (Models 4 and 5), accounting for the baseline brain measure as an additional covariate to the pre-existing covariates for the longitudinal analyses (as described in the methods). Absolute brain measure at two years of follow-up was used as the dependent variable. Here we found that children who spent more time playing video games at 9-10 years had a trend toward larger global cortical surface area two years later, but did not reach the level of statistical significance (β = 0.006 [-0.0001 – 0.01]; *P*=.06) (Model 4; Table 1).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Secondary outcomes

At baseline, children who spent more time watching television and videos (β = -0.03 [-0.06 – -0.01]; *P*=.002), or playing video games (β = -0.03 [-0.05 – -0.01]; *P*=.01) had a smaller volume of the striatum (Table 1). However, there was no association between watching television and videos, or playing video games with the volume of cerebellum.

For the two years of follow-up, Model 4 and Model 5 were used for analysing the secondary outcome regions for longitudinal analyses (as discussed above).

At two years of follow-up, children who spent more time playing video games had a larger change in the volume of cerebellum (β = 0.01 [0.001 – 0.02]; *P*=.02) (Model 4; Table 1). However, there was no association between playing video games and change in the volume of striatum. And there was also no association between watching television and videos or using social media and change in the volumes of striatum and cerebellum. The results from the predefined: Model 2 and Model 3 are presented as supplements (eTable 1 in the Supplement).

When all the digital media use (i.e., watching television and videos, using social media, and playing video games) were included in the same model (Model 5), children who spent more time playing video games at 9-10 years of age were independently associated with a larger change in the global cortical surface area (β = 0.008 [0.001 – 0.01]; *P*=.01) and with a larger change in the volume of cerebellum (β = 0.01 [0.003 – 0.02]; *P*=.01) at two years later (eTable 1 in the Supplement). However, there was no association between any of the digital media use and the volume of striatum.

Exploratory outcomes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

We investigated all three models for effect in each of the individual regions (collapsed across hemispheres). In the regional analyses, there was no association between digital media use and brain regions, after correcting for multiple comparisons (eTable 6-8 in the Supplement).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Discussion

In this large prospective cohort study, we investigated the effects of digital media use on development of cortex, striatum, and cerebellum across two different time points during mid-childhood. Contrary to our hypothesis, the effects of playing video games did not alter the development globally or of any individual cortical region. However, children who spent more time playing video games at 9-10 years had a larger increase in the volume of cerebellum two years later. This association remained significant and stronger when total time spent on digital media use was taken into consideration, suggesting independent effects of playing video games over watching television and videos or using social media in children. Interestingly, we did not find any effect of watching television and videos or using social media on brain development.

In the cross-sectional analysis (Model 1; Table 1), there was a negative association between watching television and videos or playing video games but not using social media with global cortical surface area and striatum volume at 9-10 years of age. This might be evidence of self-selection as reported in our earlier analysis of the same ABCD dataset. There we found a negative association between SES, genetics to the usage of digital media.[4]

A hotly discussed question is whether exposure to digital media among children benefits or harms the brain.[15,20] We did not find any evidence of harmful effects of digital media use. There was a trend for a larger increase in global cortical surface area among heavy gamers. But this could be due to self-selection of playing video games among individuals with smaller, and possibly less mature cortices in combination with prior observations that global cortical surface area during this period of time on average should increase with a peak at approximately at 11 years of age.[21] Further, in our *post-hoc* analysis, we found no association between playing video games and changes in global cortical surface area in either girls or preterm birth, two sub-cohorts where playing video game was not associated with global cortical surface area at baseline. Moreover, the effect of playing video games on global cortical surface area disappeared (Table 1) when we adjusted for the baseline measure (i.e., Model 4)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

in the overall cohort. These analyses all suggest that the trend observed in the global cortical surface area likely reflects accelerated brain development in children with smaller brains, rather than the positive benefit of playing video games.

We found an effect of playing video games on the volume of the cerebellum, a finding which also held up in subsequent analyses (Model 4). Previous cross-sectional and longitudinal studies have also reported associations between gaming and the volume of the cerebellum [11,15,22] The cerebellum is extensively connected to many regions of the cortex and is suggested to play an important role in planning, inhibition, flexibility, working memory, cognition, and hand-eye coordination.[23] Moreover, it is generally involved in cognition, including sequence learning, timing, prediction, and error detection.[24] Playing video games requires extraordinary speed, a high degree of perception, a high level of attention, planning, and hand-eye coordination.

The strengths of using the ABCD cohort are a large number of subjects, harmonisation of acquisition protocol over the different sites, a longitudinal design, and including both SES and genetic factors. Our analysis also highlights the importance of correcting for baseline differences which could arise from self-selection. A limitation is that the ABCD study consortium collected the daily digital media use from children using the Youth Screen Time Survey, which is not a quantitative measurement of screen time. It also fails to capture any information about the genre of video games. It is possible that different types of gaming content can differently alter children's brain development.

Gaming was positively associated with changes in the volume of the cerebellum. No effects were seen for other types of digital media use. These results suggest that digital media use does not affect brain development at least in mid-childhood and in the time frame of two years. Longer follow-ups and other stages of development are necessary, yet our results are promising– digital media use seems not to alter the cortex to any meaningful extent.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Funding/Support

This study was supported by the Swedish Research Council to Torkel Klingberg

Acknowledgements

Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study (https://abcdstudy.org), held in the NIMH Data Archive (NDA).

The ABCD study was supported by the National Institutes of Health and additional federal partners under award numbers U01DA041022, U01DA041025, U01DA041028, U01DA041048, U01DA041089, U01DA041093, U01DA041106, U01DA041117, U01DA041120, U01DA041134, U01DA041148, U01DA041156, U01DA041174, U24DA041123, and U24DA041147. A full list of supporters is available at https://abcdstudy.org/?s=nIH+collaborators. A listing of participating sites and a complete listing of the study investigators can be found at https://abcdstudy.org/principal-investigators.html. The ABCD consortium investigators designed and implemented the study and/or provided data but did not necessarily participate in the analysis or writing of this report. This manuscript reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the opinions or views of the NIH or ABCD consortium investigators.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Reference

1. Media CS. The Common Sense Census: Media Use by Tweens and Teens, 2019. Published 2019. Accessed June, 2022.
2. Lissak G. Adverse physiological and psychological effects of screen time on children and adolescents: Literature review and case study. *Environmental research.* 2018;164:149-157.
3. Kostyrka-Allchorne K, Cooper NR, Simpson A. The relationship between television exposure and children's cognition and behaviour: A systematic review. *Developmental review.* 2017;44:19-58.
4. Sauce B, Liebherr M, Judd N, Klingberg T. The impact of digital media on children's intelligence while controlling for genetic differences in cognition and socioeconomic background. *Scientific reports.* 2022;12(1):7720-7720.
5. Paulich KN, Ross JM, Lessem JM, Hewitt JK. Screen time and early adolescent mental health, academic, and social outcomes in 9- and 10- year old children: Utilizing the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study. *PloS one.* 2021;16(9):e0256591-e0256591.
6. Bailey K, West R. The effects of an action video game on visual and affective information processing. *Brain research.* 2013;1504:35-46.
7. Altbäcker A, Plózer E, Darnai G, et al. Problematic internet use is associated with structural alterations in the brain reward system in females. *Brain imaging and behavior.* 2015;10(4):953-959.
8. Wang H, Jin C, Yuan K, et al. The alteration of gray matter volume and cognitive control in adolescents with internet gaming disorder. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience.* 2015;9:64-64.
9. Paulus MP, Squeglia LM, Bagot K, et al. Screen media activity and brain structure in youth: Evidence for diverse structural correlation networks from the ABCD study. *NeuroImage (Orlando, Fla).* 2019;185:140-153.
10. Fjell AM, Grydeland H, Krogsrud SK, et al. Development and aging of cortical thickness correspond to genetic organization patterns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS.* 2015;112(50):15462-15467.
11. Pujol J, Fenoll R, Forns J, et al. Video gaming in school children: How much is enough? *Annals of neurology.* 2016;80(3):424-433.
12. Schnack HG, Van Haren NEM, Brouwer RM, et al. Changes in Thickness and Surface Area of the Human Cortex and Their Relationship with Intelligence. *Cerebral cortex (New York, NY 1991).* 2015;25(6):1608-1617.
13. Skranes J, Løhaugen GCC, Martinussen M, Håberg A, Brubakk A-M, Dale AM. Cortical surface area and IQ in very-low-birth-weight (VLBW) young adults. *Cortex.* 2013;49(8):2264-2271.
14. Judd N, Sauce B, Wiedenhoeft J, et al. Cognitive and brain development is independently influenced by socioeconomic status and polygenic scores for educational attainment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS.* 2020;117(22):12411-12418.
15. Kühn S, Gallinat J, Mascherek A. Effects of computer gaming on cognition, brain structure, and function: a critical reflection on existing literature. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience.* 2019;21(3):319-330.
16. Casey BJ, Cannonier T, Conley MI, et al. The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* 2018;32:43-54.
17. Cornejo MD, Makowski C, Dick AS, et al. Image processing and analysis methods for the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *NeuroImage (Orlando, Fla).* 2019;202:116091-116091.
18. Kind AJH, Jencks S, Brock J, et al. Neighborhood socioeconomic disadvantage and 30-day rehospitalization: a retrospective cohort study. *Annals of internal medicine.* 2014;161(11):765-774.
19. Vohr BR, McGowan EC, Bann C, Das A, Higgins R, Hintz S. Association of High Screen-Time Use With School-age Cognitive, Executive Function, and Behavior Outcomes in Extremely Preterm Children. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2021;175(10):1025-1034.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

20. Adelantado-Renau M, Moliner-Urdiales D, Cavero-Redondo I, Beltran-Valls MR, Martínez-Vizcaíno V, Álvarez-Bueno C. Association between screen media use and academic performance among children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA pediatrics.* 2019;173(11):1058-1067.
21. Bethlehem RAI, Seidlitz J, White SR, et al. Brain charts for the human lifespan. *Nature.* 2022;604(7906):525-533.
22. Brilliant T D, Nouchi R, Kawashima R. Does Video Gaming Have Impacts on the Brain: Evidence from a Systematic Review. *Brain sciences.* 2019;9(10):251.
23. Miall RC, Imamizu H, Miyauchi S. Activation of the cerebellum in co-ordinated eye and hand tracking movements: an fMRI study. *Exp Brain Res.* 2000;135(1):22-33.
24. Clark SV, Semmel ES, Aleksonis HA, Steinberg SN, King TZ. Cerebellar-subcortical-cortical systems as modulators of cognitive functions. *Neuropsychology Review.* 2021;31(3):422-446.

Table 1 Association between digital media use and brain regions in children at both baseline and two-years later

Brain regions	Baseline [Model 1] (n=6492)			Longitudinal change over two years [Model 4] (n=4502)		
	Watching television and videos	Using social media	Playing video games	Watching television and videos	Using social media	Playing video games
Global cortical surface area (mm^2)	-393.70** [-616.00 – -171.39]	-36.31 [-388.41 – 315.79]	-499.20** [-861.05 – -137.35]	-24.46 [-91.88 – 42.94]	-7.71 [-122.32 – 106.90]	100.73 [-7.98 – 209.45]
Striatum Volume (mm^3)	-42.51** [-68.93 – -16.09]	5.01 [-36.82 – 46.84]	-53.24* [-96.25 – -10.22]	-0.66 [-5.54 – 4.20]	0.60 [-7.68 – 8.90]	2.71 [-5.17 – 10.59]
Cerebellum Volume (mm^3)	-54.69 [188.39 – 79.01]	179.53 [-31.85 – 390.91]	-149.76 [-367.17 – 67.65]	4.78 [-50.63 – 60.20]	-49.38 [-143.61 – 44.84]	99.47* [9.94 – 189.01]

Data are presented as beta coefficients [95% confidence interval]. Model 1, adjusted for the age at baseline MRI scan visit, sex, 20 principal components from the genetic data, polygenic scores, SES, handedness, and MRI scanner sites; Model 4, adjusted for the brain measure at the baseline, age at baseline MRI scan visit, sex, 20 principal components from the genetic data, polygenic scores, SES, handedness, differences in age (age at two years of follow-up – the age at baseline MRI scan visit), and MRI scanner sites. ***P<.001, **P<.01, *P<.05.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2 Digital media use and brain measures separated according to sex and gestational length

Variables	Boys	Girls	P value	Children born preterm (<37 weeks)	Children born at term (>37 weeks)	P value
No. (%) with baseline data	3382 [52]	3110 [47.9]		1005 [15.4]	5487 [84.5]	
No. (%) with follow-up data	2427 [53.9]	2075 [46%]		702 [15.5]	3800 [84.4]	
Video games (h/day)	1.25 [1.15]	0.63 [0.84]	<.001	1.02 [1.09]	0.94 [1.05]	.02
Watching television and videos (h/day)	2.22 [1.73]	2.08 [1.69]	<.001	2.26 [1.72]	2.14 [1.71]	.04
Using social media (h/day)	0.39 [0.93]	0.59 [1.17]	<.001	0.46 [0.98]	0.5 [1.07]	.23
Total CSA baseline (mm^2)	195022 [16869]	178614 [15409]	<.001	185965 [18175]	187380 [18129]	.02
Total CSA after two years (mm^2)	198852 [16707]	181890 [15202]	<.001	189959 [18325]	191208 [18087]	.11
Δ difference (Follow-up at two years – baseline) (mm^2)	3507 [4181]	2898 [4041]	<.001	3659 [3700]	3157 [4188]	.005

Data are presented as mean [standard deviation], unless or otherwise specified

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.01.22277142; this version posted July 5, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 1: Box and whisker plots representing the distributions of global cortical surface area (mm^2) and cerebellum volume (mm3) across the groups (All, overall cohort; G, girls; B, boys; Term, children born > 37weeks' of gestation; Preterm, children born < 37weeks' of gestation). The length of the box represents the interquartile range (IQR), the horizontal line in the box interior represents the median, and the whiskers represent the 1.5 IQR of the 25th quartile or 1.5 IQR of the 75th quartile, and the dots represent outliers.