medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Exercise-induced hypoalgesia following proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation and resistance training among individuals with shoulder myofascial pain: a pilot study

Xu, Zi-Han[1]
[1]School of Sport Medicine and Rehabilitation, Beijing Sport University
48 Xinxi Road, Haidian District, Beijing, China
2290259311@qq.com
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2090-3528

An, Nan[1]
[1]School of Sport Medicine and Rehabilitation, Beijing Sport University
48 Xinxi Road, Haidian District, Beijing, China
616455768@qq.com

Wang, Zi-Ru[1]
[1]School of Sport Medicine and Rehabilitation, Beijing Sport University
48 Xinxi Road, Haidian District, Beijing, China
rujennifer0808@outlook.com

Xu, Z.H., An, N. and Wang, Z.R. contributed equally to this manuscript.

Corresponding author: Xu, Z.H. email: 2290259311@qq.com

Disclosures:
The authors declare no actual or potential conflicts of interest that could influence this work.

Registration:
This study has been registered in Chinese Clinical Trial Registry.
Registration number: ChiCTR2100052841
Full trial protocol can be accessed in http://www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=135425

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Objective: The present study estimated the effect of proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) and resistance training on exercise-induced hypoalgesia (EIH) and conditioned pain modulation (CPM) among patients with myofascial pain syndrome (MPS).

Methods: A total of 76 female MPS patients (aged from 18-30) with a visual analog scale (VAS) score greater than 30/100 mm were enrolled in the study. Participants were randomly assigned into 3 intervention groups, including isometric (n=18), isotonic (n=19) and PNF (n=20) exercises, and 1 control group (n=19) with no intervention. Pressure pain threshold (PPT) and the CPM responses at myofascial trigger point, arm and leg sites were assessed before and after exercise session.

Results: There was an increase in PPT and CPM responses at trigger point, arm and leg sites in participants performed PNF and isotonic exercise, while the isometric exercise only increased PPT at leg sites. Compared with control group, both isotonic and PNF group showed greater EIH responses at the trigger points. However, only the PNF exercise significantly improved PPT and CPM responses at arm and leg sites compared to the control group.

Conclusions: PNF, isotonic and isometric exercises could lead to local and global EIH effect. The increase in CPM response after PNF and isotonic exercises indicated that the EIH mechanisms of different resistance exercises may be attributed to the enhancement of the central descending pain inhibition.

Key words: exercise induced hypoalgesia, proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation, resistance exercise, conditioned pain modulation, myofascial pain syndrome

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Exercise therapy has been recommended in many chronic pain treatments and managements[1], regarding its advantages in efficiency, cost, safety and the exercise-induced hypoalgesia effect (EIH)[2] in either healthy or individuals suffering pain. Both aerobic and resistance exercises may attenuate pain perception globally by enhancing endogenous analgesia[3] via descending pain modulation. However, patients with myofascial pain syndromes (MPS) always demonstrate dysfunction in descending pain modulation[4], which could excite and disrupt pain perception, even lead to attenuation of the hypoalgesia effect induced by maximal and submaximal aerobic[5] or resistance exercises[6].

In terms of the chronic MPS, preliminary evidence suggested that EIH is impaired with both submaximal/moderate aerobic[7] and resistance[8] exercises. The altered central pain processing via descending pain modulation and motor-sensory interaction may be the reason for impaired EIH for MPS patients, for which showed both proprioception deficit and global pain hypersensitivity. descending pain modulation regulates the submission of nociception signals in dorsal horn through the release of endogenous opioids, cannabinoid and 5-HT from the rostral ventromedial medulla (RVM)[9] is mainly modulated by periaqueductal gray (PAG)[10], thalamus nucleus[11],dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC)[12], primary motor cortex (M1)[13] and other pain-related brain regions. The difference between motor and proprioception stimulus from exercises therefore plays a critical role in EIH responses related to descending pain modulation.

Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) exercise[14], which can enhance and regulate cortex activity[15] and proprioception[16]through modulation of direction and strength of resistance stimulation, may have additional positive effects on EIH in people with chronic pain, while the preliminary therapeutic effect of PNF on pain of MPS patients was also demonstrated. Meanwhile, the type of resistance exercise may be a factor that influences EIH in chronic pain. Specifically, isometric exercise could attenuate pain sensitivity in shoulder pain but not in fibromyalgia[17], while the isotonic exercise performed in no-painful limbs could reduce pain perception in chronic pain of knee[18]. However, few research compared the effects of various resistance exercises on EIH in MPS, and the relationship between exercise type and endogenous analgesia features regarding EIH in MPS is also needed further investigation.

In addition, conditioned pain modulation (CPM)[19]has been applied to evaluate the descending pain modulation in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain. And the deficit in endogenous analgesia of MPS[20] was demonstrated by the attenuation of CPM responses. CPM has been shown to predict EIH in patients with knee osteoarthritis receiving bicycling and isometric exercise[21], while EIH has similar effects compared to CPM in healthy individuals[22], but the relationship between descending pain modulation and EIH has not been investigated so far in MPS patients intervened by PNF and other resistance exercises.

Therefore, this pilot study aims to compare short-term EIH responses following PNF, isotonic and isometric resistance exercises, and to investigate the relationship between EIH and descending

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

pain modulation determined by CPM in patients with MPS. It was hypothesized that the PNF and all resistance exercise would have a higher EIH response compared to blank control in individuals with MPS. The EIH and CPM response at baseline would be relatively lower in MPS patients with impaired descending pain modulation, but would increase or restore following PNF and resistance exercise intervention performed on the affected areas.

Methods

This study has been approved by Sports Science Experimental Ethics Committee of Beijing Sport University (ethics approval number: 2021153H), and registered in Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (registration number: ChiCTR2100052841).

Participants

A total of 76 female students (aged from 18 to 30) from Beijing Sport University with shoulder MPS were enrolled in this study. The present study selected female for the reason that EIH is potentially sex-related and is more consistently observed in women[23]. The following inclusion criteria[24] were used to choose the participants: (1) reported MPS persisting for at least 4 weeks up to 3 months. (2) had at least 1 latent trigger point on any side of the upper trapezius. The diagnosis of MPS followed the following standard: (1) palpation of a taut band, (2) identification of an exquisitely tender nodule, i.e., the myofascial trigger points in the taut band, (3) reproduction of the patient's symptomatic pain with sustained pressure and (4) the local twitch response (LTR). The threshold value of the visual analog scale (VAS) for the MPS is set at 30mm/100mm. If multiple trigger points were detected in a participant, the trigger point with the lowest threshold of the pressure pain would be selected.

Individuals were excluded if they met the following standards: (1) confirmed or suspected spinal/shoulder injury, dislocation, fracture or inflammatory or infective diseases. (2) had a history of spinal/shoulder surgery within 12-months or other physical treatment within 1-month. (3) presentation of psychosis, depression, cognitive impairment or taking drugs for anti-depressant or anti-convulsant treatments, (4) workout with isometric, isotonic and PNF training during the experiments.

All participants were randomly allocated into 4 groups: group A (isometric exercise), group B (isotonic exercise), group C (PNF exercise) and group D (control), the randomized sequences were generated by computer. The screeners of the participants were AN and XZH.

Procedures

This study is designed as a randomized controlled trial. Participants who were included in this study were invited to perform exercise interventions of either isometric (group A), isotonic (group B) or PNF (group C) exercises, while the participants in control group D would keep rest for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

15min during the intervention session. Each exercise consists 2 scapula movements and 1 shoulder movement. The CPM and EIH responses (measured by PPT of trigger point and other remote limbs) were assessed before and after exercise session as outcome measurements. The VAS, height, weight and duration of shoulder pain were also collected before the intervention. After the outcome measure and statistical analysis, all of the participants received compensatory exercise and manual treatments. (Figure 1)

Interventions

Isometric exercise

Participants in group A performed a modified isometric exercise program[25] including 2 scapula movements of scapula retraction (arm row at neutral position) and scapula elevation (dumbbell shrug at neutral position), and 1 shoulder abduction, where the dumbbells with adjustable weights were used. Scapula retraction and elevation was performed at 60% maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) or pain-free load for 10s with 15 sets at neutral position. The shoulder isometric abduction was performed at 90° and the elbow was flexed at 90°, with 60% MVC for 10s of holding / 15 sets, and 30s of rest was given between sets.

The MVCs of each movement were measured by a tension dynamometer with an LCD screen providing real-time statistics, where the participants were asked to perform a set of maximum voluntary contraction at neutral position, and adjust the contraction intensities by themselves during the exercise sessions. At 1 week before the intervention, this procedure was performed three times with a 30s interval, and the mean value was identified as MVC.

Isotonic exercise

Participants in group B performed a modified isotonic exercise program[26,27] including 2 scapula movements of dumbbell shrug and arm row, and 1 shoulder lateral raise, where the dumbbells with adjustable weights were used. All of the isotonic exercises were performed at moderate intensity[8] of 60% MVC or pain-free load for 10 repetitions/5 sets, where 1 min of rest was given between sets.

PNF exercise

Participants in group C performed a modified PNF exercise program[28,29] including the Intergration of Agonist Reversals (AR), Combination of Isotonic Contraction (CI), and Rhythmic Stabilization (RS) technique with the scapular pattern and upper extremity pattern. The upper extremity pattern D2 (flexion, abduction, and external rotation) was carried out using CI; the AR followed by RS was assigned to the scapular pattern D2 (anterior descending and posterior evaluation). All of the PNF exercise sessions performed 10 repetitions/5 sets at approximately 60% MVC or pain-free load, with 1 min of rest between sets.

Outcome measures

PPTs of Trigger points

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

PPT were measured by a quantitative sensory testing protocol[30] via handheld pressure algometer (Baseline Dolorimeter, Fabrication Enterprises, USA) with a 1 cm^2 metal probe and applied at a rate of 0.5kg/s. PPT was measured in the trigger point located in the upper trapezius, which was labeled by sterile marker. Participants were instructed to report as soon as they perceived a pain intensity by VAS score 40 out of 100 (Pain40) during pressure application, then that threshold was recorded as PPT. This test performed 1 min before and after intervention, while the difference of PPTs during the exercise session was recorded as the local EIH responses.

PPTs of remote sites

The PPTs were measured at the extensor carpus radialis (test point of arms) and the peroneus longus (test point of legs) ipsilateral to the exercise limbs, and performed 1 min before and after each exercise session. The difference of PPTs during the exercise session was recorded as the remote EIH responses.

CPM

The CPM response was measured by quantitative sensory testing protocol[31], with the test stimulation applied by pressure and conditioned stimulation applied by cold water immerse[32]. Participants first receive pressure stimulation at the ipsilateral extensor carpus radialis and report a PPT at Pain40 as a test stimulus. Then participants were instructed to immerse the contralateral hand into cold water at 8□ for 1min, and report the PPT at Pain40 when the pressure applied again at the threshold after 30s of immersing. The difference between the two PPTs was recorded as the response of CPM.

VAS

VAS was measured using a scale printed with a line ranging from 0mm (no pain) to 100mm (worst pain), and participants were asked to locate a point on the line to rate the current pain intensity.

Data analysis

The main outcome of this study was the PPT of trigger point, while the secondary outcomes were the PPT of remote site and CPM responses. Normality of all data was assessed by means of the 1-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Difference of baseline data (height, weight, duration of pain and VAS) between groups was verified by the 1-way ANOVA test.

The 1-way ANCOVA was used to examine whether there was a significant difference within 4 groups considering PPT, EIH and CPM at post-exercise, while the pre-exercise measurements were set as covariates. Bonferroni method was applied in the post-hoc multiple comparison. The paired t-test was used for the comparison within groups. All data were processed using SPSS Version 21.0, and the statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$ for all tests.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

Baseline characteristics

Of the 76 participants with MPS, 18 of them in group A completed isometric exercise, 19 of them in group B completed isotonic exercise, 20 of group C completed PNF exercise and 19 of group D finished blank session. The average duration of shoulder MPS among participants was 7.56, 6.53, 6.85 and 7.53 weeks in group A, B, C, and D, respectively. Majority of participants (63.1%) with MPS presented the duration greater than 6 weeks, and 32.9% of them indicated over 8 weeks. Prior to the first exercise intervention, over half of the participants (57.9%) were suffering moderate to severe pain syndrome, with a VAS score higher than 40mm/100mm. All baseline participant characteristics, including age (p=0.954), height (p=0.610), weight (p=0.878), duration of pain (p=0.538) and VAS (p = 0.184), did not present significant differences between the groups (Table 1).

The EIH and CPM following exercises

There was a significant increase in PPT at trigger point and arm site after isotonic (p<0.000) and PNF exercises (p<0.000) while the isometric exercise and control group showed no difference compared to the baseline. The PPT at the arm sites significantly improved following PNF(p<0.000) and isotonic exercises(p<0.000), and the PPT at the leg sites also changed after isometric (p=0.025), isotonic(p=0.030) and PNF (p<0.000) exercises.

A single session of isotonic (p=0.011) and PNF (p=0.001) exercises significantly improved the CPM responses, while the isometric exercise and control group showed no difference compared to the baseline (Table 2).

The effect of exercise type on EIH and CPM

For the PPT of trigger point site, both the PNF and isotonic exercises showed significantly higher increasement compared to control group. Meanwhile, the effect of PNF exercise was greater than isotonic and isometric exercises, and the isotonic was higher than the isometric exercises. (Table 3)

And for the PPT of remote sites, only the PNF exercises increased significantly higher thresholds compared to the control group and the isometric exercises at both arm and leg sites. However, the isotonic exercise also had a greater improvement than the isometric exercise, but not with the control group. And for the CPM, only PNF exercise attained a significantly greater responses compared to both control group and isometric exercise (Table 3).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

This pilot study investigated the local and remote responses of EIH and CPM after PNF, isotonic, and isometric resistance exercises for patients with MPS. Our findings mostly met what we previously hypothesized, where was an increase in PPT at trigger point, arm and leg sites with the CPM responses demonstrated in participants performed PNF and isotonic exercise, while the isometric exercise only increased PPT at leg sites. Compared with control group, both isotonic and PNF group showed significant greater EIH responses at the trigger points. However, only the PNF exercise significantly improved PPT at remote sites and CPM responds compared to the control group.

MPS, generally regarded as a typical chronic musculoskeletal pain[33], is mainly characterized by the presence of trigger points[34], which is a hypersensitive area that can be palpated in a muscle taut band. The trigger point is possibly induced by a continuous nociceptive stimulus from the local energy crisis of overused fibers[35], followed by pain sensitization[36] which means the impairment of descending pain modulation. Thus, chronic MPS could attenuate both the CPM and the EIH effect, Vaegter et al[37] reported an altered effect of EIH in patients with chronic low back pain, where the acute 6-min walk failed to induce EIH in patients with greater pain sensitivity. Chretien et al[5] also found that the deficits of EIH were related to the reduced CPM among adolescent girls with chronic pain.

In this study, the CPM responses of MPS patients were significantly increased following both PNF and isotonic exercise in this study, indicating the enhancement of descending pain modulation. It's believed that exercise with optimal intensity and type could affect central pain modulation, mediate neurotransmitters and cytokines. Activation of the endocannabinoids, endogenous opioids, and 5-HT system after exercises with various resistances may enhance the descending inhibition and reduce pain. Crombie et al[38] investigated that the serum endocannabinoids increased alongside attenuation of pain sensitivity after resistance exercises in healthy individuals, while Bobinski et al[39] also found an increase of 5-HT in RVM through low-intensity exercise. Meanwhile, the activation of pain-related cortex regions following exercises may also mediate pain processing of the thalamus and PAG. Cummiford et al[40] found that the pain perception and facilitation of the thalamus was confined by M1 stimulation, while Ellingson et al[41] reported that the DLPFC function improved and was correlated with pain reduction in patients with fibromyalgia after cycling exercise. Interestingly, Lial et al[15] found that PNF exercise significantly improved DLPFC activation, which may have potential effects on the central pain modulation, and still need further investigation.

In this study, EIH was demonstrated at the trigger point, arm and leg sites for MPS patients following PNF and isotonic exercises, which is consistent with prior research under various painful conditions. First, the global analgesic effects induced by PNF and resistance exercises were also verified by Burrows et al[18], that the isotonic shoulder exercises of non-painful limbs in patients with knee osteoarthritis successfully reduced pain, while Kuppens et al[42] confirmed EIH responses at leg sites after moderate intensity shoulder extension exercises. Koltyn er al[43] also demonstrated the EIH responses elicited by contralateral isometric contraction in healthy

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

individuals. Second, the isotonic and PNF exercises elicited greater EIH than isometric exercises, which is also found by Chung et al[44] that the isotonic exercises have better EIH responses in patients with chronic neck pain than isometric exercises. Lastly, only isometric exercise failed to attenuate the pain perception of trigger point, which is also consistent with a previous systematic review by Bonello et al[45] indicating that there is no consistent evidence for EIH following isometric exercises in chronic pain patients, and Staud et al[46] also found that isometric exercise increased pain intensity in patients with fibromyalgia.

Neuromuscular exercises such as PNF have a significant therapeutic effect on many musculoskeletal pain conditions. A Meta-analysis by Gao et al[14] showed that PNF have more beneficial effects in pain relief and waist function improvement in patients with chronic LBP compared with other exercise intervention. Regarding chronic neck pain, Lytras et al[47]evaluated neuromuscular inhibition therapy combined with exercise intervention, the results showed that the combined program successfully reduced pain rating and improved neck function, while PNF also has a significant effect on knee osteoarthritis[48] and patellofemoral pain syndrome[49]. In this study, PNF exercise had a greater analgesic effect on MPS after intervention compared to control group and other exercises, which may result from the enhanced proprioception stimulus and motor-sensory interaction. During the PNF exercise, the direction, speed and intensity of muscle contraction were changing continuously along with each training pattern, participants need to react and control the muscle contraction in response to the modulated resistance provided by the therapist. Therefore, the motor direction of the cortex must be adjusted with reference to the proception inputs of muscle movements, which may enhance the interactions[50] between M1, the somatosensory cortex, the thalamus, and the DLPFC modulating pain processing, and thus having positive impacts on the pain-related region and thus improving the descending pain inhibition and the responses of EIH.

It's concerned that only the isotonic exercise showed a greater change of PPT compared to control group at the trigger point besides from the PNF exercise, which suggested that the onset hypoalgesia affect from the CPM test might contributed these changes during the test. The CPM test applied in this study which executed cold stimulus can activate the C-fiber afferent and the descending inhibition, which may have the overlap effect with the EIH responds. Thus, the relationship between the CPM test and the exercise still needs further investigation.

This study has several limitations. First, the indicators of the pain tests were limited, for instance, the PPT combined with heat pain thresholds might better reflect the real neurophysiological characteristics of musculoskeletal pain. Second, individuals with MPS were diversified by pain duration and intensity, which may affect the consistency of the results. Third, all of the participants were female, so the possible gender difference of descending pain processing should be considered in future studies. Lastly, the EIH efficiency of moderate intensity resistance exercise in this work was insufficient investigated and thus still needs to be evaluated comprehensively by increasing the variety of exercise types and duration length of the interventions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusions

In summary, PNF, isotonic and isometric exercises could exert significant local and global EIH effects for MPS patients, which could be affected by the proprioception stimulus under the exercise types. The significant increases in CPM response after PNF and isotonic exercises indicated that the EIH mechanisms of these moderate intensity exercises may involve the enhancement of the central descending inhibitory function. The findings of this study can provide theoretical references to further studies focusing on central mechanisms of EIH, which could optimize the effect of exercise interventions for chronic pain in future clinical practices.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank He-Jing Xu, Yang-Zhi Wu, Xi-Min Wu, Zi-Hang Gao, Wei Liu, Mao-Li Li, Li-Hui Qin, Zhen-Yan Chen, Cheng-Huan Hao, Bai-Hong Meng and all the researchers who provided help and advice in our experiments.

References

1. Sitges C, Velasco-Roldan O, Crespi J, et al. Acute Effects of a Brief Physical Exercise Intervention on Somatosensory Perception, Lumbar Strength, and Flexibility in Patients with Nonspecific Chronic Low-Back Pain. *J Pain Res.* 2021;14:487-500.
2. Wewege MA, Jones MD. Exercise-Induced Hypoalgesia in Healthy Individuals and People With Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Pain.* 2021;22(1):21-31.
3. Holmes SA, Kim A, Borsook D. The brain and behavioral correlates of motor-related analgesia (MRA). *Neurobiol Dis.* 2021;148:105158.
4. Shah JP, Thaker N, Heimur J, Aredo JV, Sikdar S, Gerber L. Myofascial Trigger Points Then and Now: A Historical and Scientific Perspective. *PM R.* 2015;7(7):746-761.
5. Chretien R, Lavoie S, Chalaye P, et al. Reduced endogenous pain inhibition in adolescent girls with chronic pain. *Scand J Pain.* 2018;18(4):711-717.
6. Kuithan P, Heneghan NR, Rushton A, Sanderson A, Falla D. Lack of Exercise-Induced Hypoalgesia to Repetitive Back Movement in People with Chronic Low Back Pain. *Pain Pract.* 2019;19(7):740-750.
7. Meeus M, Roussel NA, Truijen S, Nijs J. Reduced pressure pain thresholds in response to exercise in chronic fatigue syndrome but not in chronic low back pain: an experimental study. *J Rehabil Med*. 2010;42(9):884-890.
8. da Cunha Ribeiro RP, Franco TC, Pinto AJ, et al. Prescribed Versus Preferred Intensity Resistance Exercise in Fibromyalgia Pain. *Front Physiol.* 2018;9:1097.
9. Sagalajev B, Viisanen H, Wei H, Pertovaara A. Descending antinociception induced by secondary somatosensory cortex stimulation in experimental neuropathy: role of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medullospinal serotonergic pathway. *J Neurophysiol*. 2017;117(3):1200-1214.

10. Lei J, Sun T, Lumb BM, You HJ. Roles of the periaqueductal gray in descending facilitatory and inhibitory controls of intramuscular hypertonic saline induced muscle nociception. *Exp Neurol*. 2014;257:88-94.
11. You HJ, Lei J, Niu N, et al. Specific thalamic nuclei function as novel 'nociceptive discriminators' in the endogenous control of nociception in rats. *Neuroscience*. 2013;232:53-63.
12. Ayache SS, Palm U, Chalah MA, et al. Prefrontal tDCS Decreases Pain in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis. *Front Neurosci*. 2016;10:147.
13. Borovskis J, Cavaleri R, Blackstock F, Summers SJ. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Accelerates The Onset of Exercise-Induced Hypoalgesia: A Randomized Controlled Study. *J Pain*. 2021;22(3):263-274.
14. Gao P, Tang F, Liu W, Mo Y. The effects of proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation in treating chronic low back pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*. 2021.
15. Lial L, Moreira R, Correia L, et al. Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation increases alpha absolute power in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and superior parietal cortex. *Somatosens Mot Res*. 2017;34(3):204-212.
16. Areeudomwong P, Buttagat V. Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation training improves pain-related and balance outcomes in working-age patients with chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2019;23(5):428-436.
17. Lannersten L, Kosek E. Dysfunction of endogenous pain inhibition during exercise with painful muscles in patients with shoulder myalgia and fibromyalgia. *Pain*. 2010;151(1):77-86.
18. Burrows NJ, Booth J, Sturnieks DL, Barry BK. Acute resistance exercise and pressure pain sensitivity in knee osteoarthritis: a randomised crossover trial. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2014;22(3):407-414.
19. Ramaswamy S, Wodehouse T. Conditioned pain modulation-A comprehensive review. *Neurophysiol Clin*. 2021;51(3):197-208.
20. Vidor LP, Torres IL, Medeiros LF, et al. Association of anxiety with intracortical inhibition and descending pain modulation in chronic myofascial pain syndrome. *BMC Neurosci*. 2014;15:42.
21. Fingleton C, Smart KM, Doody CM. Exercise-induced Hypoalgesia in People With Knee Osteoarthritis With Normal and Abnormal Conditioned Pain Modulation. *Clin J Pain*. 2017;33(5):395-404.
22. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Graven-Nielsen T. Similarities between exercise-induced hypoalgesia and conditioned pain modulation in humans. *Pain*. 2014;155(1):158-167.
23. Naugle KM, Naugle KE, Fillingim RB, Riley JL, 3rd. Isometric exercise as a test of pain modulation: effects of experimental pain test, psychological variables, and sex. *Pain Med*. 2014;15(4):692-701.
24. Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Dommerholt J. International Consensus on Diagnostic Criteria and Clinical Considerations of Myofascial Trigger Points: A Delphi Study. *Pain Med*. 2018;19(1):142-150.
25. Price J, Rushton A, Tyros I, Tyros V, Heneghan NR. Effectiveness and optimal dosage of exercise training for chronic non-specific neck pain: A systematic review with a narrative

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

synthesis. *PLoS One.* 2020;15(6):e0234511.

26. Dube MO, Desmeules F, Lewis J, Roy JS. Rotator cuff-related shoulder pain: does the type of exercise influence the outcomes? Protocol of a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10(11):e039976.
27. Andersen LL, Kjaer M, Sogaard K, Hansen L, Kryger AI, Sjogaard G. Effect of two contrasting types of physical exercise on chronic neck muscle pain. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;59(1):84-91.
28. Oh DG, Yoo KT. The effects of therapeutic exercise using PNF on the size of calcium deposits, pain self-awareness, and shoulder joint function in a calcific tendinitis patient: a case study. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2017;29(1):163-167.
29. Lee JH, Park SJ, Na SS. The effect of proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation therapy on pain and function. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2013;25(6):713-716.
30. Wytrazek M, Huber J, Lipiec J, Kulczyk A. Evaluation of palpation, pressure algometry, and electromyography for monitoring trigger points in young participants. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2015;38(3):232-243.
31. Mertens MG, Hermans L, Crombez G, et al. Comparison of five conditioned pain modulation paradigms and influencing personal factors in healthy adults. *Eur J Pain.* 2021;25(1):243-256.
32. Coulombe-Leveque A, Tousignant-Laflamme Y, Leonard G, Marchand S. The effect of conditioning stimulus intensity on conditioned pain modulation (CPM) hypoalgesia. *Can J Pain*. 2021;5(1):22-29.
33. Saxena A, Chansoria M, Tomar G, Kumar A. Myofascial pain syndrome: an overview. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother.* 2015;29(1):16-21.
34. Gerwin RD. Myofascial Trigger Point Pain Syndromes. *Semin Neurol.* 2016;36(5):469-473.
35. Simons DG. New views of myofascial trigger points: etiology and diagnosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008;89(1):157-159.
36. Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: implications for the diagnosis and treatment of pain. *Pain.* 2011;152(3 Suppl):S2-15.
37. Vaegter HB, Petersen KK, Sjodsholm LV, Schou P, Andersen MB, Graven-Nielsen T. Impaired exercise-induced hypoalgesia in individuals reporting an increase in low back pain during acute exercise. *Eur J Pain.* 2021;25(5):1053-1063.
38. Crombie KM, Brellenthin AG, Hillard CJ, Koltyn KF. Endocannabinoid and Opioid System Interactions in Exercise-Induced Hypoalgesia. *Pain Med.* 2018;19(1):118-123.
39. Bobinski F, Ferreira TAA, Cordova MM, et al. Role of brainstem serotonin in analgesia produced by low-intensity exercise on neuropathic pain after sciatic nerve injury in mice. *Pain*. 2015;156(12):2595-2606.
40. Cummiford CM, Nascimento TD, Foerster BR, et al. Changes in resting state functional connectivity after repetitive transcranial direct current stimulation applied to motor cortex in fibromyalgia patients. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2016;18:40.
41. Ellingson LD, Stegner AJ, Schwabacher IJ, Koltyn KF, Cook DB. Exercise Strengthens Central Nervous System Modulation of Pain in Fibromyalgia. *Brain Sci*. 2016;6(1).
42. Kuppens K, Struyf F, Nijs J, et al. Exercise- and Stress-Induced Hypoalgesia in Musicians with and without Shoulder Pain: A Randomized Controlled Crossover Study. *Pain Physician.* 2016;19(2):59-68.
43. Koltyn KF, Umeda M. Contralateral attenuation of pain after short-duration submaximal

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

isometric exercise. *J Pain*. 2007;8(11):887-892.

44. Chung S, Jeong YG. Effects of the craniocervical flexion and isometric neck exercise compared in patients with chronic neck pain: A randomized controlled trial. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 2018;34(12):916-925.
45. Bonello C, Girdwood M, De Souza K, et al. Does isometric exercise result in exercise induced hypoalgesia in people with local musculoskeletal pain? A systematic review. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2020;49:51-61.
46. Staud R, Robinson ME, Price DD. Isometric exercise has opposite effects on central pain mechanisms in fibromyalgia patients compared to normal controls. *Pain.* 2005;118(1-2):176-184.
47. Lytras DE, Sykaras EI, Christoulas KI, Myrogiannis IS, Kellis E. Effects of Exercise and an Integrated Neuromuscular Inhibition Technique Program in the Management of Chronic Mechanical Neck Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2020;43(2):100-113.
48. Song Q, Shen P, Mao M, Sun W, Zhang C, Li L. Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation improves pain and descending mechanics among elderly with knee osteoarthritis. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2020;30(9):1655-1663.
49. Motealleh A, Mohamadi M, Moghadam MB, Nejati N, Arjang N, Ebrahimi N. Effects of Core Neuromuscular Training on Pain, Balance, and Functional Performance in Women With Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome: A Clinical Trial. *J Chiropr Med.* 2019;18(1):9-18.
50. Umeda T, Isa T, Nishimura Y. The somatosensory cortex receives information about motor output. *Sci Adv.* 2019;5(7):eaaw5388.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Tables and figures

Table 1. Baseline characteristic (M±SD) *

Measurements	A (n=18)	B(n=19)	C(n=20)	D(n=19)	P
Age (year)	21.38±2.50	20.84±1.92	21.00±1.81	21.21±2.41	0.954
Height (cm)	164.83±3.75	166.00±4.53	164.55±4.21	166.21±4.44	0.610
Weight (kg)	55.03±7.27	57.32±9.31	54.75±5.37	59.57±8.17	0.878
Duration of pain (week)	7.56±2.83	6.53±2.95	6.85±2.52	7.53±3.01	0.538
VAS (mm)	45.08±12.80	43.76±15.89	43.67±13.78	42.63±8.06	0.184

*: 1-way ANOVA, significant difference was set by $p<0.05$.

Table 2. Intervention results within groups (M±SD) *

Outcome measures	Groups	Pre-exercise	Post-exercise	P
PPT- Trigger point (kg/cm^2)	A	2.72±0.51	2.80±0.50	0.412
	B	2.49±0.35	2.78±0.28	0.000
	C	2.38±0.41	3.19±0.47	0.000
	D	2.43±0.35	2.46±0.37	0.424
PPT-Arm (kg/cm^2)	A	2.64±0.71	2.72±0.56	0.127
	B	2.42±0.37	2.68±0.34	0.000
	C	2.52±0.44	3.02±0.47	0.000
	D	2.41±0.39	2.49±0.40	0.149
PPT-Leg (kg/cm^2)	A	3.91±0.70	4.15±0.76	0.025
	B	3.81±0.61	4.12±0.70	0.030
	C	3.74±0.55	4.63±0.80	0.000
	D	3.80±0.50	3.78±0.49	0.775
CPM (kg/cm^2)	A	0.16±0.30	0.18±0.26	0.720
	B	0.17±0.28	0.33±0.25	0.011
	C	0.14±0.19	0.38±0.27	0.001
	D	0.18±0.15	0.18±0.13	0.909

*: Paired t-test, significant difference was set by $p<0.05$.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3. Between-group comparison results of the intervention*

Outcome Measures	Groups		Mean Difference	Std. Error	Sig.	95% CI	
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
PPT-Trigger point (kg/cm^2)	A	B	-0.144	0.093	0.764	-0.397	0.109
		C	-0.638*	0.094	0.000	-0.894	-0.383
		D	0.130	0.094	1.000	-0.126	0.385
	B	C	-0.495*	0.090	0.000	-0.734	-0.243
		D	0.274*	0.090	0.021	0.028	0.519
	C	D	0.768*	0.089	0.000	0.526	1.010
PPT-Arm (kg/cm^2)	A	B	-0.123	0.086	0.953	-0.351	0.111
		C	-0.389*	0.085	0.000	-0.618	-0.160
		D	0.060	0.086	1.000	-0.174	0.294
	B	C	-0.266*	0.083	0.012	-0.492	-0.040
		D	0.183	0.084	0.197	-0.045	0.411
	C	D	0.449*	0.083	0.000	0.223	0.675
PPT-Leg (kg/cm^2)	A	B	-0.053	0.165	1.000	-0.500	0.395
		C	-0.623*	0.163	0.002	-1.067	-0.180
		D	0.285	0.165	0.529	-0.162	0.733
	B	C	-0.570*	0.160	0.004	-1.006	-0.135
		D	0.338	0.162	0.246	-0.103	0.779
	C	D	0.908*	0.160	0.000	0.473	1.344
CPM (kg/cm^2)	A	B	-0.152	0.069	0.186	-0.339	0.034
		C	-0.212*	0.068	0.016	-0.397	-0.027
		D	0.007	0.069	1.000	-0.181	0.194
	B	C	-0.061	0.067	1.000	-0.243	0.122
		D	0.159	0.068	0.135	-0.026	0.344
	C	D	0.219*	0.067	0.011	0.036	0.402

*: 1-way ANCOVA, adjusted by Bonferroni. significant difference was set by $p<0.05$.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Fig.1 Flowchart of intervention



*=significant changes (p<0.05); **=very significant changes (p<0.01)

Fig.2 Results of PPT, EIH and CPM following exercise interventions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.28.22276990; this version posted June 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint
(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .




PPT-Trigger point
PPT(kg/cm2)
3.5
3.0
2.5
2.0
**
**
Pre
Post
Time
Isometric exercise
Isotonic exercise
PNF exercise
Control


PPT-Arm
PPT(kg/cm2)
3.5
3.0
2.5
2.0
**
**
Pre
Post
Time
Isometric exercise
Isotonic exercise
PNF exercise
Control


PPT-Leg
PPT(kg/cm2)
5
4
3
*
*
**
Pre
Post
Time
Isometric exercise
Isotonic exercise
PNF exercise
Control


CPM
ΔPPT(kg/cm2)
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
*
**
Pre
Post
Time
Isometric exercise
Isotonic exercise
PNF exercise
Control