

1 **Hand washing at critical times and associated factors among mothers/caregivers of under-**
2 **five children in Nefas Silk Lafto Sub-City, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019: Facility based**
3 **cross-sectional study**

4 Ermias Wabeto Wana^{¶1} Nardos Anbesse Mengesha ^{¶2}

5 ¹Public Health Department, Jinka University, Jinka, Ethiopia; ermiaswabeto@gmail.com

6 ²Nefas Silk Lafto Sub-City, Maternal and Child health Program officer, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

7 nardosanbesse@gmail.com

8 Corresponding author: ermiaswabeto@gmail.com

9

10 [¶]These authors contributed equally to this work

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 **Abstract**

21 **Background:** Hand washing is the simplest, most affordable and effective means of limiting
22 spread of infections. It has especial importance for children because they are more susceptible to
23 infections acquired from unwashed hands. Despite increasing efforts to improve hand washing at
24 critical times, mothers/caregivers of under-five children fail to practice; but, the reason is
25 unclear. Thus, this study was aimed to identify the magnitude and factors associated with hand
26 washing at critical times among mothers/caregivers of under-five children.

27 **Methods:** An institution based cross-sectional study was conducted in Nefas Silk Lafto Sub-City
28 by April 2019, and 312 mothers/caregivers were participated. The data were collected by
29 interviewing mothers, entered and analyzed with statistical package for social science 20 (SPSS-
30 20). The findings were presented with crude odds ratios (COR) and Adjusted Odds Ratios
31 (AOR) with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CI). A P-value less than 0.05 was used
32 statistical significance level.

33 **Results:** 232 (74.4%; 95% CI [69.6%-79.2%]) mothers/caregivers washed their hands at critical
34 times. Illiterate mothers had 66% reduced (AOR= 0.34; 95%CI [0.17-0.69]) odds of washing
35 hands at critical times than literate mothers. Mothers who did not own tap water in their back
36 yard had 62% (AOR= 0.38; 95%CI [0.18-0.80]) reduced odds of hand washing at critical times
37 than their counterparts. As compared to the mothers from the poorest households, those from
38 middle, richer and the richest households had 4.56 (AOR= 4.56; 95%CI [1.84-11.33]), 5.61
39 (AOR= 5.61; 95%CI [2.11-15.30]) and 6.14 (AOR= 6.14; 95%CI [2.24-16.72]) times increased
40 likelihood of washing hands at critical times.

41 **Conclusion:** Three fourth of mothers practiced hand washing at critical times, and improving
42 maternal literacy, household economy and availability of water source in backyard are needed to
43 maintain and enhance the practice.

44 **Keywords:** critical times, hand washing, factors, Nefas Silk, Addis Ababa

45 **Background**

46 Hand washing is an easy and do yourself and affordable task for every community. It is an
47 effective means of stopping or limiting the spread of infections via feces, body fluids, and
48 inanimate objects (1). Hand washing with a soap and water is one of the most effective
49 measures against infectious diseases like diarrhea (2, 3). It is an easy to do, safe, cheap and not
50 time consuming, and it can be effectively adopted by any socio-economic class and any
51 community (4, 5). It's also culture-sensitive and generally acceptable across many population
52 groups (3). Consistent practice of proper hand washing with soap and water has great potential of
53 keeping a family, especially mothers and children away from germs and hence ill health.
54 Mothers/caregivers engage in different activities like cleaning child's bottom, clean the home
55 compound and environment, have contact with domestic animals and visit toilet that interacts
56 hands with micro-organism; thus, they are expected to properly wash their hands at critical
57 moments (4).

58 Globally, about 1.7 billion cases and 525,000 deaths of under-five children occur per year due to
59 diarrhea secondary to poor hygiene (6). In developing countries, 80% of the diseases burden is
60 associated with poor domestic and personal hygiene (7). More than 2 million people, mostly
61 children die yearly due to diarrhea; the same numbers of children also die from acute respiratory
62 infections (8). In Ethiopia, more than 250,000 children death per year and 60% to 80% of all

63 deaths were attributed to poor sanitation and hygiene, and 70,000 under-five deaths per year in
64 2018 were due to diarrhea only (9-11).

65 Five moments are considered as critical times to wash hands; after defecation, after handling
66 child/adult feces or cleaning child's bottom, after cleaning the environment, before preparing
67 food and before eating food (4, 12-14).

68 Evidences also show that hand washing at critical times reduces rates of diarrheal diseases like
69 cholera and dysentery by 41%(15) . It also has better reward; for example 3.35 dollar investment
70 in hand washing gives backward benefit of 11.0 dollar investment in latrine construction, 200
71 dollar investment in water supply and millions of dollars investment in immunization; but,
72 proper hand washing with water and soap at critical times is remained at lower proportion, zero
73 to 34% (16). According to the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations
74 Children's Fund (UNICEF) joint monitoring program estimate on household drinking water,
75 sanitation and hygiene, only 8% of Ethiopians had basic hand washing practice (with water and
76 soap or substitutes) and the richest and urban residents practiced better hand washing than their
77 counterparts (17).

78 A study conducted in debark town, Amhara regional state in 2018 showed that 52.2% of study
79 participants practiced hand washing with water and soap/substitutes at critical times and the
80 practice was enhanced by desirable attitude, presence of water for washing hands and a good
81 knowledge of hand washing and its benefits (18).

82 A study conducted in Wondogenet woreda, Oromia region showed that 87% of mothers of under
83 five children practiced hand washing at critical times and their practice was enhanced by
84 maternal literacy (19). Another study carried out in Bechi-Maji Zone, in SNNPR showed that

85 34.6% of the participants practiced hand washing at critical times and literate mothers had 90%
86 reduced odds of practicing hand washing at critical times (20).

87 Studies in Ethiopia used insignificant moments (after food preparation) or practically
88 inconvenient moments like washing hands after sneezing, coughing and touching clothes as
89 critical times and others used four or five moments and revealed inconsistent findings on level
90 and factors associated with hand washing at critical times among mothers of under-five children.
91 Besides to this, a little is known about the practice among mothers of under-five children in
92 Nefas Silk Lafto Sub-City (NSLSC), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Therefore, the current study aimed
93 at assessing hand washing practice at critical times (after visiting toilet, after cleaning child's
94 bottom, before preparing food, before eating/feeding and cleaning compound) and associated
95 factors among mothers of under-children in NSLSC, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

96 **Methods**

97 **Study Area:** NSLSC is one of the 10 Sub Cities in Addis Ababa city, the capital of Ethiopia. It
98 borders with the Kolfe Keranio, Lideta, Kirkos, Bole and Akaki-Kaliti sub-cities. The Sub City is
99 located between coordinates 8°56'57" latitude and 38°43'58" longitude. It is divided into thirteen
100 woredas and has total area coverage of 68.30 km² (26.37 square miles) hectares of land. The
101 total population of the sub-city was estimated to be 366,006 with male to female ratio of 1to1 in
102 2021. A population is being served by ten health centers and two public hospitals, thirty four
103 private clinics and health coverage of the sub-city is 100% (21). Regarding the water supply,
104 about 95% of household get water from an improved source (piped water sources) and estimated
105 domestic per capita water consumption was 52 l/c/day. An improved sanitation coverage was below ten
106 percent (8%) in the sub-city and a hygiene index was medium (53%) (22), and there were no sustainably
107 functioning public hand washing facilities in the sub-city.

108 **Study design and period:** Institution based Cross sectional study was carried out in December,
109 2019.

110 **Source and study populations:** A Source population is all mothers/caregivers of under-five
111 children living in NSLSC; while study populations were who visited health facility during the
112 survey period.

113 **Sample size determination and sampling technique:** The sample size was calculated manually
114 using the single population proportion formula with the following assumptions; the expected
115 proportion of hand washing practice at critical times 89.6% (19), 95% significance level, 5%
116 margin of error, 2 design effect and 10% expected non response rate gave us sample size of 315.

117 **Sampling procedures:** NSLSC was selected from ten sub-cities in the city (Addis Ababa) due to its
118 convenience to investigators. Four woredas (woreda one, two, four and twelve) were randomly selected
119 by lottery method. The total sample required from each woreda was allocated proportionally to the

120 size of mothers/caregivers of the under-five children in each woreda. Data were collected from
121 mothers/caregivers visiting one randomly selected health center in each woreda (one, two, four
122 and twelve). Mothers/caregivers visiting immunization clinics and visiting health center for
123 seeking medical service for their children were selected at interval of three via systematic
124 random sampling technique.

125 **Study variables:** hand washing at critical time was the dependent variable whereas maternal
126 literacy, paternal literacy, availability of tap water in the back yard, access to health education by
127 mothers/caregivers on hand washing, maternal knowledge on purpose of hand washing at critical
128 times, average family size, number of living children the mother gave birth to and household
129 wealth status were explanatory variables.

130 **Data collection:** Data were collected by pre-oriented certified nurses with diploma in academic
131 qualification with a strong supervision and follow up by supervisor and the investigators. A
132 pretested structured questionnaire was used to collect the data and interview was administered to
133 the respondents in an Amharic language. One day orientation was given to the data collectors
134 and a supervisor on objective of the study, how to approach and interview the participants and
135 keep the quality of the data. Before collecting the actual data, 5% of the sample size was
136 pretested on other health facilities to validate the tool and the necessary correction was made on
137 data collection material. Data on socio-demographic/economic, information/knowledge related to
138 hand washing and hand washing practice of mother/caregiver were collected on a daily basis
139 during the month December 2019.

140

141

142

143 **Operational definitions:**

144 Hand washing at critical times: women had proper hand washing at critical times if she washed
145 hand with water and soap or substitutes after using the toilet, cleaning a child's bottom, before
146 preparing and eating food and after cleaning compounds [(4, 13, 14)].

147 Mother/caregiver: we considered biological mothers and others responsible for caring a child
148 whose biological mother was died or can no longer care for a child due to any reason as
149 mother/caregiver.

150 Under-five Children: children birth to 59 months old were called as under-five children

151 Wealth Status of the household: wealth status created by Principal component analysis and
152 household belonged to first, second, third, fourth and fifth quintile were categorized as poorest,
153 poor, middle, rich and the richest, respectively.

154 Maternal and paternal literacy: Mothers or fathers who have achieved education from simply
155 reading and writing to higher degree were considered as literate; while those who at least cannot
156 read and write were considered as illiterate.

157 **Data analysis:** The educational status of mothers/caregivers was categorized into illiterate and
158 literate because some of the expected cells violated chi-square assumption (contained value less
159 than five) when the highest grade point achieved was assumed. The wealth index (indicator of
160 living standard of house hold) was constructed through principal component analysis (PCA)
161 from household assets. We ranked the extracted component into quintiles (five tiles); each
162 quintile holding 20% of households, and the household that belongs to the first quintile was
163 categorized as the poorest and the households that belong to the second, third, fourth and fifth
164 quintiles were categorized as poor, middle, rich and the richest, respectively. The value/score
165 one was assigned for a moment when a mother/caregiver had washed her hands with water and

166 soap/substitutes and zero was assigned if a mother/caregiver did not. The scores were summed
167 up to 5 and a mother/caregiver who had a total score of 5 was considered as washed her hands at
168 critical times. If the sum of scores is less than five, a mother/caregiver was treated as did not
169 wash her hands at critical moments. Descriptive statistics had been used to describe the study
170 variables and logistic regression was undertaken to identify the factors associated with hand
171 washing practices.

172 A multi-collinearity test was carried out to check interrelationship between an independent
173 variables with variable inflation factor less than ten and a chi-square test was conducted to check
174 the expected cells adequacy. Findings of descriptive analysis were presented by frequency and
175 percentage, mean or median and the regression out puts were presented by crude and adjusted
176 odds ratios with their 95% confidence intervals. A p-value of less than 0.05 in multivariable
177 analyses was taken as the cut-off point for statistical significance.

178 **Quality assurance:** Before a collection of the actual data, 5% of total sample were pretested and
179 necessary corrections were made accordingly. Translation and back translation of questionnaire
180 from English to Amharic and back to English by different individuals to check consistency was
181 undertaken. At the end of each data collection day, data were checked for completeness and
182 consistency, and discussion with the research assistants was carried out. Unique identification
183 number was assigned to each questionnaire after editing, checking completeness and
184 consistency. After entering the data into SPSS 20, 10% sample was checked for correct entry
185 and cleaning was also continued up to the end of descriptive analysis.

186

187

188 **Results**

189 Three hundred and twelve mothers/care givers of children were participated in the study and this
190 gave the response rate of 99%. The median age of the respondents was 28 (IQR=4) years and
191 minimum and maximum ages were 18 and 50 years in that order. Regarding educational
192 attainment of respondents, 94 (30.1%), 137 (43.9%) and 12(3.9%) attained primary (grade 1-8);
193 high school and certificate and above, respectively (Table1).

194 Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents in NSLSC, 2019

Characteristics		Frequency (n=312)	Percentage
Maternal literacy	Illiterate	69	22.1
	Literate	273	77.9
Marital status	Single	49	15.7
	Married	238	76.3
	Divorced	20	6.4
	Widowed	5	1.6
	Merchant	149	47.8
Maternal occupation	Private employed	13	4.2
	Daily laborer	24	7.7
	Government Employed	102	32.7
	House wife	17	5.4
	Other	7	2.2

Table 1 continued

	Orthodox	172	55.1
	Muslim	75	24
Religion	Protestant	57	18.3
	Other	8	2.6
	Primipara	110	35.3
Parity	Multipara	202	64.7
Family Size	<5	102	32.7
	>=5	210	67.3

195

196 Concerning the Economic status as indicated by house hold wealth index, 63 (20.2%) fall under
 197 poorest category, 90(28.8%) poor, 59(18.9%) middle, 47 (15.1%) rich and 53 (17.0%) fall in the
 198 richest economic group. More than three fourth (77.9%) of the fathers were at least able to write
 199 and read.

200 **Information and knowledge regarding Hand washing**

201 More than three fourth (84.3%) of the respondents had ever heard of hand washing and 164 (52.6%) of
 202 them got information on hand washing at the critical times in the last one year. Information sources were
 203 health workers; 150 (56.9%), schools; 47 (17.9%), TV/Radio; 28 (10.5%), religious leaders or church; 22
 204 (8.2%), neighbors/friends; 6(2.2%) and other sources; 10 (3.2%). More than half of respondents, 215
 205 (69%) were washing hands to prevent illness and or infestation by germs. Thirty four (10.8%) of the study
 206 participants thought that hand washing is trustworthy to prevent disease for the entire community and
 207 14(4.5%) mentioned that washing hands gives sense of cleanliness and confidence. Respondents pointed
 208 out, disease occurrences can be prevented by hand washing; 92 (29.5%), 195(51.0%) and six (1.9%) of

209 them mentioned that typhoid, diarrhea and respiratory problem, respectively can be prevented by proper
210 hand washing.

211 **Hand washing practice**

212 Three hundred and twelve of the respondents (100%) reported that they used to wash their hands
213 as needed (Table 2).

214 Table 2: Hand washing practices of mothers of children in NSLSC, Addis Ababa, 2019

Characteristics	Frequency (n=312)	%
Wash hands only with water	6	1.9
Wash hands with soap and water	306	98.1
Wash hands after toilet	298	95.5
Wash hand before preparing food	285	91.3
Wash after cleaning compound	232	74.4
Wash hands before eating	310	99
Hand washing after cleaning child's bottom	312	100

215 Among mothers of children who participated in the study, 232 (74.4%; 95% CI [69.6%-79.2%])
216 practiced hand washing at critical times during study period. Regarding water sources for
217 household domestic purposes, majority of participants, 278 (89.1%) were using tap water for
218 their domestic purpose. The remaining 14 (4.5%), 11 (3.5%) and nine (2.9%) of households
219 mentioned that they were using rain water, spring and dug well, respectively. Mothers/caregivers
220 in the study area reported that they had faced problems related with hand washing practice at
221 critical times with water and soap; 114 (36.5%) faced inadequate water supply, 165 (52.9%)

222 could not find soap when needed, 27 (8.7%) had inadequate time to wash hands at critical times
223 and six (1.9%) had faced other challenges.

224 **Factors associated with washing hands at critical times**

225 Eight variables (maternal literacy, paternal literacy, availability of water in the back yard, access
226 to health education by mothers/caregivers on hand washing, maternal knowledge on purpose of
227 hand washing at critical times, average family size, number of living children the mother gave
228 birth to and household wealth status) were included in bi-variable binary logistic regression and
229 six variables (maternal literacy, paternal literacy, availability of water source in the back yard,
230 access to health education by mothers/caregivers on hand washing at critical times, maternal
231 knowledge on purpose of hand washing at critical times and household wealth status) had p-
232 value below 0.25 and became candidate for multivariable binary logistic regression model.
233 Ultimately, three variables (maternal literacy status, availability of tap in the backyard of the
234 household and household's economic status as measured by wealth index) were found to be
235 statistically significant determinants of hand washing at critical times among mothers/caregivers
236 of under-five children in the study area. Illiterate mothers/caregivers had 66% reduced (AOR=
237 0.34; 95%CI [0.17-0.69]) odds of washing hands at critical times than literate mothers.
238 Mothers/caregivers who did not own water supply in their back yard had 62% (AOR= 0.38;
239 95%CI [0.18-0.80]) reduced odds of hand washing at critical times than their counterparts. As
240 compared to the mothers from the poorest households, those from middle, richer and the richest
241 households had 4.56 (AOR= 4.56; 95%CI [1.84-11.33]), 5.61 (AOR= 5.61; 95%CI [2.11-15.30])
242 and 6.14 (AOR= 6.14; 95%CI [2.24-16.72]) times increased likelihood of washing hands at
243 critical times (Table 3).

244 Table 3: Factors associated with hand washing at critical times among mothers in NSLSC, Addis
 245 Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019

Variable		Wash hands at critical		COR with 95% CI	AOR with 95% CI
		Times			
		Yes =232	No =80		
Maternal literacy	Illiterate	43	26	0.47(0.26-0.84)	0.34(0.17-0.69)**
	Literate	189	54	1	1
Paternal Literacy	Illiterate	48	18	0.89(0.48-1.66)	1.07(0.51-2.27)
	Literate	184	62	1	1
Availability of tap water in the back yard	No	39	21	0.56(0.31-1.04)	0.38(0.18-0.80)**
	Yes	193	59	1	1
Mother Knows a benefit of hand washing at critical times	No	18	3	2.16(0.62-7.53)	2.00(0.50-7.89)
	Yes	214	77	1	1
Mother Got Health education on hand washing during health facility visit	No	106	40	0.84(0.51-1.40)	0.73(0.41-1.32)
	Yes	126	40	1	1
Average Family size	≥5	73	29	0.81(0.47-1.37)	0.78(0.19-3.14)
	<5	159	51	1	1
Parity	Primi- para	80	24	1.20(0.67-2.03)	1.05(0.65-2.66)
	Multi- Para	152	56	1	1

Table 3 continued

	Poorest	36	27	1	1
	Poor	62	29	2.16(1.14-4.10)	1.27(0.63-2.60)
Wealth index	Middle	50	9	5.70(2.45-13.29)	4.56(1.84-11.33)**
	Rich	39	8	20.00(4.50-89.21)	5.61(2.1-15.30)**
	Richest	45	7	15.79(4.49-55.29)	6.14(2.24-16.72)**

246 ** *Variables statistically significantly associated with hand washing at critical times*

247 **Discussion**

248 The current study carried out on magnitude and factors associated with hand washing practice at
 249 critical times among mothers/caregivers of under-five children in NSLSC, Addis Ababa,
 250 Ethiopia. The study witnessed that about three fourth (74.4%) of respondents were practicing
 251 hand washing at critical times and their practice was affected by maternal literacy, availability of
 252 taped water and household economic status as indicated by a wealth index.

253 Out of three hundred and twelve participants involved in the study, 232 (74.4%) were washing
 254 their hands at critical times. The finding is lower than what was shown by a study conducted in
 255 Wondogent Woreda in Oromia Region which showed 87% (19). This may be due to less
 256 knowledge of respondents on diarrhea can be prevented by hand washing in a current case (51%)
 257 than 100% in Wondogent Woreda. Other possibility might be small area coverage (single kebele)
 258 by study carried out in Wondogenet woreda than the current case; where several kebeles or
 259 woredas participated and lower prevalence in some kebeles or woredas might have masked
 260 higher prevalence of hand washing at critical times among other kebeles/woredas in a current
 261 study area and this might have given a lower proportion of hand washing at critical times.

262 The finding shown by the current study also higher than finding witnessed by a study conducted
263 in Benchmaji in May to August 2017 (20). The setting difference might affect the practice where
264 the level of health intervention like health education and promotion and health related
265 information and services dissemination are more easily accessed in the current setting than
266 Benchmaji. Other reason might be the time interval between two studies during which attention
267 is being given to hygiene as hygiene and sanitation related health problems account top position.

268 The current finding is higher than the finding (52.2%) shown by study conducted in Debark
269 town, Amhara Regional state, Ethiopia (18) and the difference may be due to a study in Debark
270 town used several moments (some such as after sneezing, touching clothes are inconvenient for
271 hand) in addition five moments used in the current study. Other possibilities may be better access
272 to basic services like water, soap and information regarding washing hands in the current setting
273 than Debark town.

274 The current finding is higher than the finding showed by a study carried out in Nigeria in 2015;
275 30%(23). This difference may be justified by difference in proportion of household that own
276 water supply (source) in their home; 53.9% of households own water supply in their home while
277 majority of households in a current study (89.1%) had water source in their home or backyard.
278 Other possibilities may be the time interval between the studies when different health
279 interventions concerning hygiene and sanitation had been carried out and the setting difference;
280 rural and urban residents were participated in the study in Nigeria, but, participants in the current
281 study were solely urban residents. The finding is also higher than another study carried out in
282 Nigeria in 2016 that revealed that only 32% of caregivers were observed to have good hand
283 washing (24). The difference may be due to the presence of one extra parameter (washing hands

284 before administering a drug to the child) and self-reporting in our case might result in
285 exaggerated value while structured observations in the latter study might reveal a real practice.

286 The current finding showed a higher proportion of hand washing at critical times as compared to
287 finding (40%) revealed by a study carried out in Pakistan (25). The inconsistency may be due to
288 the difference in residence of respondents, 37.5% respondents were living rural in the latter
289 study. The other possibility may be difference in a literacy rate among study participants
290 (relatively a higher literacy rate in our case; 78% to 70%. The difference in access to protected
291 water supply may also be another possibility; in a current study about 90% of the respondents
292 were accessing water from tap while 74.5% of respondents in Pakistan had access to protected
293 water source in general.

294 The current study witnessed that maternal literacy enhances hand washing practice at critical
295 times. This is consistent with a studies conducted in Kirkos sub-city, Addis Ababa (26), Gondar
296 comprehensive specialized hospital, Gondar town, North West Ethiopia (27), Wondogenet
297 Woreda in 2014 (19) and WHO and UNICEF joint estimate on progress of household drinking
298 water, hygiene and sanitation (17). But, the finding contrasts a study carried out in Benchmaji
299 Zone Mizan town in 2017 where literate mothers had 90% reduced odds than their counterparts
300 (20). The difference may be due to difference in the study settings; the current study was done in
301 place where health related information can be easily disseminated to and accessed by the
302 residents, and the current setting has a better access to water and other supplies required for hand
303 washing.

304 The current study also showed that hand washing practice among mothers of the children is
305 positively associated with the household's economic status. The wealthier the household, the
306 better hand washing practices at critical times and this agrees with WHO and UNICEF joint

307 monitoring program estimate for 2000-2020 on progress of household drinking water, sanitation
308 and hygiene that stated that wealthier and those live in urban setting had better basic hygiene
309 than their counter parts (17). This finding also agrees with evidence showed by a study carried
310 out in Holy family hospital, Rawalpindi, Pakistan (25). Wealthier households can afford
311 purchasing of soap and can avail water at households even by buying.

312 Our study showed that availability of taped water at home or in backyard was directly related to
313 hand washing at critical times and agrees with findings from Debark town which reported that
314 availability of water affects good hand washing at critical times(18). This finding is also
315 consistent with the information revealed by a study carried out in Nigeria (23) and (18).

316 **Study strength and limitations**

317 **Strength:** We have deployed the experienced enumerators to collect valid data, and close
318 supervision was carried out by supervisor and investigators.

319 **Limitation:** Since the proportion of hand washing at critical times reported under this study was
320 self-reported, mothers/caregivers might have over reported their practice, and social desirability
321 bias might have been introduced; thus, needs attention. The wider confidence intervals may be
322 due to smaller sample size and indicates less stable power of the model, thus, precaution
323 required. A cross-sectional study design captures snapshot and cannot show seasonal variation
324 and temporal relationship; therefore, attention should be paid during generalization of the
325 findings.

326

327

328 **Conclusion and Recommendations**

329 About three quarter of mothers/caregivers practiced hand washing at critical times in the study
330 area. The practice among mothers/caregivers was determined by maternal literacy, availability of
331 tap water in the backyard or at home and economic status of the household. Thus, to maintain
332 and enhance hand washing at critical times among mothers, the following actions should be
333 implemented by respective bodies.

- 334 • NSLSC educational office should work hard to increase maternal literacy and educational
335 attainment of mothers and girls for future.
- 336 • The water office of NSLSC is expected to improve access to tap to households
- 337 • The government as whole, NSLSC and other responsible bodies need to strive to improve
338 economic status (wealth status) of economically disadvantaged households through
339 income generating activities and social supports.
- 340 • We call on researchers to carry out similar study with larger sample size.

341 **Abbreviations**

342	AURTI	Acute upper respiratory tract infection
343	MCH	Maternal and child health
344	NSLSC	Nefas Silk Lafto Sub-City
345	UNICEF	United Nation Children’s Fund
346	WASH	Water, sanitation and hygiene
347	WHO	World Health Organization

348 **Acknowledgements**

349 We are very grateful towards Addis Ababa medical and Business College for allowing us to
350 conduct this research work. Again our gratitude goes to study participants, data collectors and
351 supervisors.

352 **Authors' contribution**

353 **EWV:** conceived and designed the study, supervised data collection, managed and analyzed the
354 data, interpreted the findings and approved the final manuscript.

355 **NAM:** conceived and designed the study, supervised data collection, managed and analyzed the
356 data, interpreted the findings and approved the final manuscript.

357 **Funding**

358 No fund received for conducting analysis, interpretation and publication process

359 **Availability of data and materials**

360 Data set used for analysis of the current study can be accessed from the corresponding author.

361 **Ethical Issues**

362 Ethical approval was obtained from an institutional review board (IRB) of Addis Ababa medical
363 and business college with reference number IRB/022/2011 according to the standardized
364 principles and procedures in line with national and international guidelines. Written permission
365 letter was received from NSLSC and respective randomly selected woredas. Oral consent was
366 obtained from all the respondents after explaining the purpose of the study, risk/discomfort, and
367 confidentiality of response, right to refuse and terminate participation in the study at any time.
368 Address of contact person was disclosed for the participants to use when they have any doubt.

369 Data was collected using codes and no name and personal private identifier had been used and
370 the confidentiality of collected data was maintained.

371 **Consent for publication**

372 Not applicable (No identifying images and personal details in the manuscript).

373 **Competing interests**

374 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

375 **References**

- 376 1. CDC. Center for Disease Control and Prevention: Department of Human Services. 2009.
- 377 2. Le Texier R. Infection Control today .<http://www.infectioncontroltoday.com/articles/> (accessed
378 on 12 May 2015).
- 379 3. Allison E. Aiello, Rebecca M. Coulborn, Vanessa Perez, Elaine L. Larson. Effect of hand hygiene on
380 infectious disease risk in the community setting: a meta-analysis. American Journal of Public Health.
381 2008;98(8), 1372–1381.
- 382 4. Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council. Global hand washing day.
383 <http://www.wsscc.org/wash-advocacy/campaigns-events/global-handwashing-day> (accessed on 8 May
384 2015).
- 385 5. Curtis V, Cairncross, S. (2003),. Effect of washing hands with soap on diarrhoea risk in the
386 community: A systematic review. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 3(5), 275-281.
- 387 6. WHO. “Diarrhoeal disease,” 2017, <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs330/en/>.
388 Goggle scholar. 2017.
- 389 7. WHO. Burden of disease and cost effectiveness. 2006.
- 390 8. Scott, Curtis V, Rabie T, Garbrah-Aidoo N. Health in our hands, but not in our heads:
391 understanding hygiene motivation Health Policy Plan. 22: 225-233. 2007.
- 392 9. Ministry of Eeducation. Education Sector Development Program III (2005/06- 2010/11): Program
393 Action Plan. Addis Ababa. 2005.
- 394 10. Habtamu A. Effectiveness of School Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Program: In the case
395 of assossa Woreda Primary Schools, BGRS,Addis Abeba, Ethiopia: Addis AbebaUniversty. 2010.
- 396 11. UNICEF. <https://www.unicef.org/ethiopia/water-sanitation-and-hygiene-wash> Water, sanitation
397 and hygiene (WASH). Goggle scholar.
- 398 12. Dejene Mosisa, Mecha Aboma, Teka Girma and, Abera Shibru. Determinants of diarrheal
399 diseases among under fve children in Jimma Geneti District, Oromia region, Ethiopia, 2020: a case
400 control study. BMC Pediatrics 2021;(2021) 21:532.
- 401 13. Kleinau E, Pyle DF. Assessing hygiene improvement. Guidelines for household and community
402 levels. Washington (D.C.): . Environmental Health Project. 2004.
- 403 14. Iyer P, Sara J, Curtis V, Scott B, Cardosi J. The handwashing handbook. A guide for developing a
404 hygiene promotion program to increase handwashing with soap. Washington (D.C.): World Bank. 2005.

- 405 15. Ephrem Tefera Solomon, Sirak Robele Gari, Helmut Kloos and Bezatu Mengistie Alemu.
406 Handwashing effect on diarrheal incidence in children under 5 years old in rural eastern Ethiopia: a
407 cluster randomized controlled trial. *Tropical Medicine and Health*.(2021) 49:26
408 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-021-00315-1>.
- 409 16. Rukunga. G MD. WELL FACT SHEET Regional Annex for East Africa: School sanitation and Hygiene
410 Education. Available at <http://www.lboro.ac.uk/well/resources/fact-sheets> http/ Accessed on 15th
411 December, 2013].
- 412 17. FDRE Ministry of health. Health Sector Development Program IV 2010/11 – 2014/15 in, Vol,
413 2010.
- 414 18. Henok Dagne, Laekemariam Bogale, Muluneh Borchia, Anley Tesfaye and, Baye Dagnaw. Hand
415 washing practice at critical times and its associated factors among mothers of under five children in
416 Debark town, northwest Ethiopia. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics* 2018;45:120
417 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13052-019-0713-z>.
- 418 19. Assefa Demissie, Dibba Daniel, Addisu Tefera, Habitamu Kindu, Sisay Abebe and Habtamu
419 Sanbata. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) of Hand washing among Mothers of Under Five
420 Children in Gotu Kebele Wondogenet Woreda Oromia Ethiopia. *International Journal of Environmental
421 Sciences*. 2017;Vol. 6 No. 4. 2017. Pp. 146-153.
- 422 20. Asrat Meleko, Asrat Elias. Assessment of magnitude of hand washing practices and its
423 determinant factors among mothers/caregivers in Aman subcity, Bench Maji Zone, South West Ethiopia.
424 *Global Journal of Reproductive Med*2018. 2017;3(4):555617.
- 425 21. Addis Ababa City. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia Metro area population 1950-2022/Macrotrends. Goggle
426 scholar2022 [cited May 3, 2022].
- 427 22. Yonas T. Assefa, Mukand S. Babel, Janez Sušnik and Victor R. Shinde. Development of a Generic
428 Domestic Water Security Index, and Its Application in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *MDPI*. 2019, ;11, 37;
429 doi:10.3390/w11010037.
- 430 23. Ekanem AM, Johnson OE. Hand washing practices at critical times among mothers in selected
431 health facilities Ibom *Medical Journal* 2015;Vol.8 No.1
- 432 24. Olusola Olugbenga Odu, Eytayo Ebenezer Emmanuel, Eytape Oluseyi Amu, Samson Deji,
433 Samuel Ayokunle Dada, Oluwadare Marcus. Practice of Effective Hand Washing and Associated Factors
434 among Caregivers of Infants Attending Infant Welfare Clinics in Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria. *British
435 Journal of Medicine & Medical Research*. 2017;19(11): 1-8, 2017; Article no.BJMMR.31836.
- 436 25. Shahzaib Maqbool, Maryam Haider, Ather Iqbal, Arham Ihtesham, Waleed, Inayat Mohamed, et
437 al. Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude, Handwashing Practices and its associated factors
438 Among Mothers of Children Presenting in Paediatric Department of a Tertiary Care
439 Hospital of a Developing Country. *International Journal of Human and Health Sciences*. 2021;05 No. 04
440 October'21.
- 441 26. Iyasu A, Ayele M, Abdissa B.' (2017) Hand Hygiene Knowledge, Perception and practice among
442 Women of 'Kirkos' Locality in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Health Science Journal*.Vol. 11 No. 6: 537.
- 443 27. Asefa Adimasu Taddese, Baye Dagnaw, Henok Dagne, Zewudu Andualem. Mother's
444 Handwashing Practices and Health Outcomes of Under-Five Children in Northwest Ethiopia. *Pediatric
445 Health, Medicine and Therapeutics*. 2020;11:101-8.
- 446
- 447