medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

TITLE

What matters to families about the healthcare of preterm or low birth weight infants: A qualitative evidence synthesis

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Dr Lisa Hurt

Address: Division of Population Medicine, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Neuadd Meirionnydd, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4YS, UK

Email: hurtl@cardiff.ac.uk

AUTHORS

Lisa Hurt[1] (ORCID 0000-0002-2741-5383)

David Odd[1] (ORCID 0000-0002-6416-4966)

Mala Mann[2] (ORCID 0000-0002-2554-9265)

Hannah Beetham[3] (ORCID 0000-0001-6166-807X)

Emma Dorgeat[3] (ORCID 0000-0002-9404-0040)

Thomas CW Isaac[4] (ORCID 0000-0001-7181-2231)

Annie Ashman[5] (ORCID 0000-0002-3181-4168)

Fiona Wood[1, 6] (ORCID 0000-0001-7397-4074)

INSTITUTIONS

[1] Division of Population Medicine, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Neuadd Meirionnydd, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4YS, UK

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

[2] Specialist Unit for Review Evidence, Neuadd Meirionnydd, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4YS, UK

[3] Cardiff University School of Medicine, Neuadd Meirionnydd, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4YS, UK

[4] Yeovil District Hospital, Higher Kingston, Yeovil, BA21 4AT

[5] Public Health Wales, 2 Capital Quarter, Tyndall Street, Cardiff, CF10 4BZ, UK

[6] PRIME Centre Wales, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Neuadd Meirionnydd, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4YS

WORD COUNT

4957

KEYWORDS

Preterm; Low birth weight; Family; Qualitative; Views; Healthcare; Synthesis

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

ABSTRACT

Background: Preterm and low birth weight (LBW) infants have complex healthcare needs. In this qualitative evidence synthesis, we aimed to understand what matters to families about the healthcare provided from birth to preterm or LBW infants, to inform appropriate planning and delivery of care.

Methods: We searched nine databases and the reference lists of included studies to identify eligible studies that used qualitative methods to examine the views of families relating to healthcare for preterm or LBW infants. Studies in all countries (low, middle, and high-income) and healthcare settings (home, community, primary, secondary and tertiary care) were eligible for inclusion. Study quality was assessed using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme checklist for qualitative studies. The GRADE-CERQual approach was used to assess confidence in each review finding. Thematic synthesis techniques were used for analysis.

Results: 203 studies (in 208 papers) were eligible for inclusion. 49 studies (in 51 papers) from 25 countries were sampled for the analysis, based on pre-specified criteria (methodological quality; data richness; and ensuring representation across continents and from settings with varying resources). Eight analytical themes were identified. What mattered to carers was: a positive outcome for the child; active involvement in care; support to cope at home after discharge; emotional support for the family; the healthcare environment; information needs were met; logistical support was available; and positive relationships with staff. Confidence in most results was high to moderate.

Conclusion: We found high consistency in what matters to families regardless of location or resources, even though carers reported a variety of experiences in the care of their preterm or LBW infant. Families views on care in neonatal units have been extensively studied.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Further research is needed on what matters to fathers and other family members, and to families receiving community-based care especially in low and middle-income countries.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

What is already known on this topic

- Preterm and low birthweight births are a major cause of poor health globally.
- Despite advances in neonatal care, preterm and low birthweight infants often require complex and prolonged medical intervention, with survivors at increased risk of lifelong disability and poor quality of life.

What this study adds

- Carers have strong views about what matters in relation to the healthcare provided to preterm and low birthweight infants, and these are remarkably consistent across different countries and healthcare settings.
- What matters to carers is a positive outcome for the child; active involvement in care; support to cope at home after discharge; emotional support for the family; the healthcare environment; information needs are met; logistical support is available; and positive relationships with staff.

How this study might affect research, practice or policy

- It is vital that international and local organisations working to ensure high-quality and equitable care for small and sick newborns worldwide understand what matters to families.
- Further research is needed on the views of fathers and other family members, and on families receiving community-based care especially in low and middle-income countries.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

INTRODUCTION

Infants born preterm and/or with low birth weight (less than 2500g (LBW)) are at high risk of mortality and morbidity.[1-3] Their survival has been substantially improved by recent advances in neonatal care.[4 5] However, they often require complex and prolonged medical intervention, with survivors at increased risk of lifelong disability and poor quality of life. [6 7] While risks can be reduced through interventions provided before or during pregnancy and after birth,[8] these births represent a major cause of poor health globally,[1] with the highest burden in low and middle-income countries.[9-11]

As a result, there has recently been renewed focus on ensuring high-quality and equitable care for small and sick neonates worldwide.[11] Family-centred care, where family members work with healthcare professionals (HCPs) to play an active role in providing emotional, social, and developmental support to the vulnerable infant, has demonstrable benefits[12] but is not available in all settings. The impact of a preterm or LBW birth on families is also increasingly understood, with consequences for attachment and bonding and parental health and wellbeing immediately after birth and beyond.[13 14]

An understanding of families' views and values is needed, to ensure that healthcare meets the needs of infants and carers and to identify improvements required to existing care.[15 16] The aim of this qualitative evidence synthesis (QES) was to systematically review literature that aimed to understand the perspectives of families about the care provided by health services for preterm or LBW infants in hospitals and in the community.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

METHODS

The protocol for this QES was registered with PROSPERO on 6 July 2021 (CRD42021261934). The review is reported in accordance with PRISMA guidelines (see Supplementary Table 1 for PRISMA checklist) and the Enhancing Transparency in Reporting the synthesis of Qualitative research (ENTREQ) statement.[17]

Eligibility criteria: Topic of interest

We used the PEO (Population, Exposure, Outcomes) framework to set out the inclusion criteria for the QES (Table 1).

Table 1. Review Population, Exposure, Outcomes

Population and their problems	Included populations were: - Mothers, fathers, parents, families, carers, or other family members with first-hand experience of healthcare for a preterm or low birth weight infant; - In all study settings (low, middle and high income); - In all healthcare settings (home, community, primary, secondary and tertiary care).
Exposure	Healthcare delivered from birth to 24 months of age for: - Infants born preterm (<37 weeks or sub-categories); - Infants born with low birth weight (<2500g or sub-categories).
Outcomes or themes	Qualitative or mixed methods studies examining views or values, including: - What matters to, or is important to, or is valued by families; - What they find acceptable and not acceptable in the healthcare of their infant.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

We aimed to synthesise the views of family members including mothers, fathers, parents, carers, grandparents, siblings, or other family members. The views of healthcare workers (paid or unpaid) were outside the remit of the review. Studies in all countries (low, middle, and high-income) and healthcare settings (home, community, primary, secondary and tertiary care) were eligible for inclusion. A preterm infant was defined as an infant born alive before 37 completed weeks of gestation,[18] with further sub-divisions possible into extremely preterm (less than 28 weeks), very preterm (28 to 31 weeks), and moderate to late preterm (32 to 36 weeks). Low birth weight was defined as weight at birth of less than 2500 g.[19] This could be further categorized into very low birth weight (<1500 g), and extremely low birth weight (<1000 g).

We excluded studies that only described families' experiences (for example, how long the infant was in hospital, where the parents stayed during the admission, and so on). We did not exclude studies that explored parents' views in the context of asking about a specific intervention (for example, kangaroo mother care or continuous positive airway pressure). However, the information we extracted from those studies related to how the intervention contributed to parents' views of healthcare in general, rather than their views about the specific intervention.

In our protocol, we specified that data collected from birth until the infant was 24 months of age would be included. However, as we screened studies, we found several high-quality studies that had collected information when the children were older. We therefore changed our criteria to include studies collecting data later in childhood, on the condition that the data collection was clearly referring to the care received before the infant was 24

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

months of age. We used uncorrected chronological age at the time of the data collection where possible, but studies that used corrected age were eligible for inclusion if this was the only measure reported.

Eligibility criteria: Types of studies

Primary studies that used qualitative study designs such as ethnography, phenomenology, case studies, grounded theory studies and qualitative process evaluations were eligible for inclusion. We included studies that used both qualitative methods for data collection (for example, focus group discussions, individual interviews, observation, diaries, document analysis, open-ended survey questions) and qualitative methods for data analysis (for example, thematic analysis, framework analysis, grounded theory). We excluded studies that collected data using qualitative methods but did not analyse these data using qualitative analysis methods (for example, open-ended survey questions where the response data are analysed using descriptive statistics only). Mixed methods studies were eligible if it was possible to extract the data that were collected and analysed using qualitative methods.

To ensure that the data reflected the views of a contemporary cohort of parents, studies published in or after 2000 were eligible. We excluded studies that had only been published as conference abstracts and unpublished PhD or Masters theses. Studies had to be published in English (the language spoken by the review team) to ensure that themes were appropriately identified, understood, and represented.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Reflexive note

We are a multi-disciplinary team including a neonatologist, epidemiologist with expertise in child health, qualitative methodologist, information specialist, medical students, and specialty registrars in Public Health and Paediatrics. None of the team had personal experience of being a parent of a preterm or low birthweight infant. We maintained a reflexive stance throughout the different review stages. Our varied backgrounds and seniority, and different levels of topic expertise helped us to remain mindful of our presuppositions, as we encouraged each other to consciously acknowledge our own professional assumptions and how these skewed our interpretation of our findings. The senior author kept a reflexive journal throughout the review process to document and reflect on progress and decisions made.

Information sources

We searched African Journals Online, ASSIA, CINAHL, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, LILACS, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Sociological Abstracts, and Web of Science for primary studies published between 1 January 2000 and 14 June 2021. We also reviewed the reference lists of all included studies and relevant systematic reviews.

Search strategy

Key words and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms for the three main topic areas (qualitative research and values; preterm and low birth weight; and healthcare) were identified and combined using OR. The three groups were combined using “AND”, and limited to papers published in or after 2000. A full list of search terms used and the results of a scoping search conducted in MEDLINE are presented in Web Appendix 1. The MEDLINE

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

search strategy was adapted for the other databases, with searches in some (such as African Journals Online and LILACS) limited to key words as these databases do not include MeSH terms. No language restrictions were placed on the searches. Instead, we identified English language papers during the screening process.

Study screening

Searches from individual databases were downloaded into Endnote 20 and duplicates removed. Titles and abstracts were screened independently by two reviewers against our established eligibility criteria. Full-text versions were obtained for the papers potentially meeting the inclusion criteria and were screened independently by two reviewers. Disagreements were resolved through discussion and in consultation with others in the review group.

Data extraction

The data extraction form collected details on the methods of included studies (including the population studied, healthcare setting, inclusion and exclusion criteria, recruitment method, and data collection and analysis methods); the results (including the themes identified, and any relevant quantitative data); and the quality assessment items from the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist (see Web Appendix 2). The form was piloted using two different studies before data extraction began. Data from each paper were extracted by two reviewers. Multiple publications from the same study were linked and compared for completeness and contradictions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Quality assessment

We used the CASP checklist for qualitative studies to assess the quality of all eligible studies.[20] Each paper was classified as high, moderate, or low quality, based on a qualitative assessment of all elements of the checklist. Two reviewers completed the assessment independently for each paper. A third reviewer evaluated all discrepancies, and these were resolved in consultation with the review group.

Study sampling

Qualitative evidence synthesis aims to identify variation in concepts rather than an exhaustive sample of papers. When large numbers of eligible studies are identified, the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) Qualitative Evidence Syntheses guidance recommends sampling studies because too much data can impair analysis quality.[21] We limited our sample to 49 studies (51 papers) from the total eligible as this allowed for data saturation. The sampling criteria were pre-specified, to: include studies from different countries with varying resources; prioritise the inclusion of studies that scored three or higher on a data richness scale (see Web Appendix 3)[21]; and select studies identified as good or average quality according to the CASP classification.

Synthesis methods

Thematic synthesis was used,[22] drawing on concepts used for thematic analysis in qualitative research in primary studies to identify and develop themes across the included studies. Included papers were imported into NVivo12,[23] and information from all sections was used in the data coding. Data were coded inductively using a line-by-line method according to meaning and content (descriptive coding). Codes could be structured in tree

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

form or in free form without hierarchical structure. New codes were created as necessary as we progressed through the papers and similar, or related, codes were grouped. Finally, coded data were synthesised into analytical themes which brought together groupings of the descriptive themes. The initial analysis was conducted by the senior author, and revised in discussion with the author group.

Certainty assessment

We used the GRADE-CERQual (Confidence in the Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative research) approach to assess our confidence in each finding.[24] The GRADE-CERQual assessment is based on four components: 1) methodological limitations of included studies; 2) coherence of the review finding; 3) adequacy of the data contributing to a review finding; and 4) relevance of the included studies to the review finding.[25-28] The author group discussed and made a judgement (based on a consensus view) about the overall confidence in the evidence supporting each finding (high, moderate, low, or very low). All findings started as high confidence and were downgraded if there were concerns regarding any of the GRADE-CERQual components.

RESULTS

Included studies

7302 studies were identified by database screening once duplicates were removed (see Figure 1). 6550 studies were excluded by title and abstract screening. 750 full text papers were assessed for eligibility (2 reports could not be retrieved). Of these, 203 studies (reported in 208 papers) were eligible for inclusion. We selected 49 studies, reported in 51

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

papers, from 25 countries for inclusion in the analysis using the pre-specified criteria.[29-79] The studies that were eligible but not included are listed in Web Appendices 4 and 5.

Study and participant characteristics

Table 2 (next page) shows the characteristics of the included studies. 45 of the 51 papers were published in 2012 or later. Most (34 of 49 studies) were conducted in high-income settings, with nine studies from countries classified by the World Bank as upper-middle income, four in lower-middle income countries, one in a low-income country (Malawi), and one from Taiwan (which is not included in the World Bank list). Interviews were used for data collection in 38 of 49 studies. Three studies used focus groups, four used a combination of participant observation and interviews, one analysed free-text responses from a questionnaire, two used data collected via a voice-recording App, and one asked participants to keep a daily journal.

34 studies focused on in-hospital care in a neonatal unit, although the level of care provided at these units varied by setting. Four studies examined views of community care, and eleven studies collected data on more than one setting or aspect of care (including transition from hospital to community care, or transfers between hospitals). The selected studies scored highly on data richness (38 scored four or five) and quality (46 assessed as "good"). All three studies assessed as being of "average" quality scored four for data richness.

Table 2. Characteristics of the sampled studies (n=49)

Author / Date	Aim of study (as reported in the papers)	Country (income)*	Data collection dates	Methods	Healthcare setting of interest	Recruitment method	Data richness score	CASP rating
Abeasi 2020	To explore challenges of mothers with preterm infants during hospitalisation in a tertiary institution in Nigeria	Nigeria (lower-middle)	2019	Qualitative interviews (location not reported) Content analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposive sampling from SCBU at a teaching hospital	4	Good
Adama 2017	To explore Ghanaian fathers' experiences of caring for preterm infants in the neonatal unit after discharge	Ghana (lower-middle)	Feb-June 2015	Qualitative interviews (conducted in family home) Thematic analysis, using a narrative space framework	Neonatal unit & community	Recruited from four government hospitals as part of a larger study; no further details reported	4	Average
Amorim 2019	To explore needs of parents of very preterm infants hospitalised in neonatal intensive care units according to their socioeconomic position, obstetric history and infant's characteristics	Portugal (high)	Nov 2013-April 2014	Mixed methods (qualitative element = interviews; conducted in the family home (n=19), at a university (n=6) or in hospital (n=1)) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Recruited from all seven public level III NICU in the Northern Health Region Purposive sampling from those who had completed quantitative questionnaires	4	Good
Arnold 2013	To assess parents' first experiences of their very preterm infants and the neonatal intensive care unit	UK (high)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital or at the family home) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Recruited from three NICU in tertiary care hospitals in South East England All eligible parents invited by letter and sent reminder letter by research nurse	3	Good
Aydon 2018	To explore the experiences of parents with infants born between 28-32 weeks' gestation during transition through the neonatal intensive care unit and discharge	Australia (high)	Oct 2014-Feb 2015	Qualitative interviews (pre- and post-discharge; location not reported) and an online survey Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit & transition post-discharge	Recruited at a tertiary maternity hospital Parents invited by neonatal nurse researchers not directly involved in infant care	4	Good
Blomqvist 2012	To describe fathers' experiences of providing kangaroo mother care to their preterm infants	Sweden (high)	2009	Qualitative interviews (conducted at family home) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Recruited from NICUs in two hospitals Questionnaire given to all fathers on NICU with option to be invited for interview; all who agreed approached by mail and phone call	4	Good

Brødsgaard 2015	An evaluation of an Early Discharge Programme model for preterm infants based on family-centred care, to describe its impact on the infants and families.	Denmark (high)	Not reported	Mixed methods (qualitative element = focus groups, conducted in hospital after discharge) Deductive theory-driven and directed content analysis	Neonatal unit & community	Purposive sampling of parents who had been enrolled in an Early Discharge Programme for preterm infants; parents contacted by telephone after discharge	4	Good
Chang Lee 2009	To explore Taiwanese mothers' parenting experiences when their preterm infants were in NICUs	Taiwan (not in World Bank list)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews and participant observation (both in NICU) Grounded theory analysis	Neonatal unit	Mothers recruited from major neonatal care centre; no further details reported	4	Average
Dorner 2020	To determine where, and how, neonatal intensive care unit parents want to receive early neurodevelopmental screening information about their child's future risk of cerebral palsy and other disabilities	USA (high)	March 2018-June 2018	Qualitative interviews (location not reported) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Study conducted at a level IV NICU and an associated level III NICU; parents approached at bedside by researcher to explain the study and obtain consent	4	Good
dos Santos 2014	To understand the meaning of home visits by neonatal nurses for mothers of premature infants	Brazil (upper-middle)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted at follow-up clinic) Thematic content analysis	Community (with a focus on home visits)	Participants were part of another project; mothers were approached by female graduate students when they attended a follow-up clinic	4	Good
Feeley 2013	To explore what fathers perceive to be facilitators or barriers to their involvement with their infants	Canada (high)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Participants from two NICUs in a major Canadian urban centre Approached by clinical staff members to obtain permission for researcher to contact them	3	Good
Fernandez Medina 2021	To explore and describe the experiences of parents of technology-dependent extremely preterm infants of socio-family support after hospital discharge	Spain (high)	Oct 2019-Dec 2019	Qualitative interviews (conducted by phone after discharge) Analysis using philosophical hermeneutics	Community & outpatient care	Purposeful sampling from four Spanish organisations that support families with preterm infants; organisations received a letter and identified participants, who then contacted main author	3	Good

Finlayson 2014	To explore mothers' perceptions of family centred care in neonatal intensive care units in England	UK (high)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic networks analysis	Neonatal unit	Convenience sample identified by the admissions officer at three NICUs in north west England	5	Good
Franck 2017	To discover parents' views, experiences, concerns, and recommendations about the care provided to them and their babies throughout the perinatal and neonatal healthcare journey	UK (high)	Not reported	Focus groups (conducted in "local setting") Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit & post-discharge care	Parents of infants who received care in 1 of the 7 NICUs in Northern Ireland were invited to participate via a notice posted on the TinyLife Facebook page.	4	Good
Gallegos-Martinez 2013	To identify and analyse the significance of participation for patients in a Neonatal Unit of a maternity hospital in San Luis Potosí	Mexico (upper-middle)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (location not reported) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Recruited from level II neonatal unit in a public maternity hospital; no further details provided	4	Average
Glazer 2021	To understand how a racially and ethnically diverse sample of mothers experienced high-risk obstetric and neonatal care, and whether or not there were differences in these experiences by race and ethnicity that may suggest reasons for variation in quality of care and outcomes	USA (high)	Not reported	Focus groups (location not reported) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposive, convenience sample from deliveries in a New York medical centre; accessed through medical records then contacted by telephone and via flyers in hospital-affiliated clinics	4	Good
Granrud 2014	To describe how the parents of premature infants experience the transportation of their infant from the NICU at a university hospital to a unit at a local hospital	Norway (high)	April -June 2011	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital or at family home) Inductive content analysis	Neonatal unit & transfers between hospitals	Consecutive selection from two NICUs at two hospitals and retrospective recruitment of additional participants	4	Good
Guillaume 2013	To explore, through parents' accounts, how an early bond with their very premature child is established and to identify their experiences of caregivers, and the concrete things that helped and hindered them	France (high)	Nov 2009-March 2012	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Discourse analysis	Neonatal unit	Recruited from three tertiary care centres in Paris; identified and approached by nurses participating in the research	4	Good
Hägi-Petersen 2021	To gain in-depth knowledge of mothers' and fathers' experiences of the whole trajectory of an early in-	Denmark (high)	Sept 2018-Jan 2020	Qualitative interviews (conducted at the family home)	Neonatal unit & community	Convenience sample of parents recruited from two neonatal wards that offered	4	Good

	home care programme supported by video consultations with a neonatal nurse			Inductive content analysis	(focus was in-home care)	early in-home care programmes; approached by neonatal nurse on ward		
Hendriks 2017	To explore parental attitudes and values in the end-of-life decision-making process of extremely preterm infants (gestational age < 28 weeks)	Switzerland (high)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted in location selected by parents) Thematic content analysis using elements of grounded theory	Neonatal unit	Purposive sample recruited using letters from attending neonatologist and former director of neonatology.	4	Good
Ignell Modé 2014	To explore fathers' perception of information received during their infants' care at a NICU	Sweden (high)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Strategic sampling to obtain varied father-infant pairs from two NICUs; no further details reported	4	Good
Jantsch 2021	To analyse the Health Care Network (dis)articulation of late and moderate premature infants in the first year of life	Brazil (upper-middle)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted at family home) Thematic content analysis	Community	Convenience sample recruited from the Obstetric Center with potential participants identified from the birth registration book	4	Good
Kim 2020	To assess mothers' perspectives on their NICU experiences and their unmet needs within the South Korean cultural context	South Korea (high)	Nov 2017-Jan 2018	Free text comments in a questionnaire (delivered online) Thematic content analysis, guided by critical incident technique (CIT) method	Neonatal unit	Survey conducted using participants recruited via online postings on three community portals exclusively by parents of preterm infants. Mothers with preterm infants in four hospitals also recruited in person by author at NICU discharge. All mothers provided with $4 gift certificate incentive.	4	Good
Klawetter 2019	To contribute an in-depth understanding of maternal engagement and the NICU experience from the perspective of mothers of preterm infants in the NICU	USA (high)	June-Dec 2017	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposive sampling from two NICUs; $20 gift card incentive given to participants	4	Good

Leonard 2008	To explore parents' lived experience of providing kangaroo care to their preterm infants in a tertiary hospital in Cape Town	South Africa (upper-middle)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposefully sampled parents from neonatal nursery and kangaroo care ward at a tertiary maternity hospital	4	Good
Lian 2020	To explore coping strategies of fathers of very low birth weight infants in NICU	Singapore (high)	Feb -Nov 2016	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Convenience sampling in level III NICU; fathers approached after 72+ hours stay by member of clinical staff, then contacted by research team	3	Good
Liu 2019	To explore support for mothers and fathers in single-family rooms of a NICU	Canada (high)	July 2017-May 2018	Qualitative data collected through diary voice app (for 48 hour period) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Convenience sampling from a level III NICU; identified by NICU nurses who assisted with recruitment	4	Good
Lomotey 2020	To describe the lived experiences of mothers with preterm infants at a Mother and Baby Unit of a tertiary hospital	Ghana (lower-middle)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposive sampling on the preterm unit; mothers recruited by researchers	4	Good
Lorié 2021	To explore parents' needs and perceived gaps concerning communication with healthcare professionals during their preterm infants' admission to NICU	The Netherlands (high)	April – May 2020	Qualitative interviews (conducted using online video conferencing tool) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Assisted by 'Kleine Kanjers' support network through online announcement; parents consecutively recruited based on order of registration	4	Good
Lundqvist 2019	To present parents' lived experience of having a preterm infant cared for at the neonatal unit until discharge from hospital-based neonatal home care	Sweden (high)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted at the family home) Phenomenological analysis	Neonatal unit & community	Recruited by three nurses in a level IIb NICU; 19 couples interviewed as part of a broader study, and the 6 couples providing the richest narrative included in this analysis	3	Good
Mihae 2021	To clarify and define the concept of nursing support as perceived by mothers of preterm infants	South Korea (high)	Nov 2017-March 2018	Qualitative interviews (location not reported) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	First participant enrolled by nurse at NICU, then snowball sampling	4	Good
Ncube 2016	To explore and describe the lived experiences of mothers with regard to the care of their hospitalised preterm	Botswana (upper-middle)	Dec 2010-Jan 2011	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted)	Neonatal unit	Purposive sampling from a NICU in a referral hospital;	4	Good

	infants, in a NICU where mothers had restricted interaction with their preterm infants			Thematic analysis		recruited by duty nurse who informed mothers of study		
Neu 2020	To compare mothers' experiences in NICUs where family-centred care is the standard of care and to compare these with the experiences of mothers two decades ago	USA (high)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposive sampling from two NICUs in teaching hospitals; no further details reported	4	Good
Norén 2018	To describe mothers' experiences of providing their preterm infants with Kangaroo Mother Care	Sweden (high)	2009	Qualitative interviews (conducted at the family home) Content analysis	Neonatal unit & community	Consecutively recruited from two level 3 NICUs; no further details reported	4	Good
Nyondo-Mipando 2020	To explore the experiences of caregivers in the implementation of Kangaroo Mother Care	Malawi (low)	April-June 2019	Qualitative interviews and participant observation (in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic analysis	KMC ward	Purposive sampling, recruited by researchers supported by nursing officers in four hospitals (one tertiary, three secondary)	3	Good
Olsson 2017	To describe fathers' experiences of skin-to-skin contact with their premature infant	Sweden (high)	Jan 2014-June 2015	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital [n=19], or family home [n=1]) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposeful sample by designated nurses in the two neonatal units (one county, one university) to achieve maximum variation in demographics	4	Good
Orapiriyakul 2007	To explore how mothers in Thailand develop maternal attachment to infants born preterm and requiring NICU hospitalisation	Thailand (upper-middle)	June 2005-Aug 2006	Qualitative interviews (location not reported) and participant observation (in NICU) Constant comparative analysis	Neonatal unit	Three participants purposively sampled in two NICUs (one public university, one provincial hospital), then subsequent theoretical sampling	3	Good
Petty 2018	To gain insight into the post-discharge experiences of parents in relation to the adequacy of preparation for caring for extremely premature infants at home	UK (high)	Sept-Nov 2017	Qualitative interviews (conducted at the family home) Constant comparative analysis	Discharge from NICU & community	Purposive sampling via the coordinator of an NHS trust-based parent support group	4	Good

Petty 2019b (2 papers)	To explore the narratives of parents to enable practitioners to understand what it is like to live through a period of neonatal care with their premature infant	UK (high)	Not reported	Qualitative interviews (conducted at the family home or a private location) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit, discharge from NICU & community	Purposive sampling; volunteers were requested through a key gatekeeper linked to a UK parent support charity who disseminated the recruitment call nationally using their established email contact database.	4	Good
Premji 2017	To explore mothers' experiences of caring for their late preterm infants in the community	Canada (high)	April 2013-June 2014	Qualitative interviews (conducted at the family home or a private location) Interpretative thematic analysis	Community	Multistage purposeful sampling to achieve rich narratives and diversity from four hospitals in Calgary; $50 grocery gift card incentive	3	Good
Rossman 2011	To describe the experiences of mothers of VLBW infants who received lactation care from certified breastfeeding peer counsellors with special preparation for NICU care	USA (high)	Oct 2008-Mar 2009	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital whilst infant was admitted) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Convenience sampling, approached by NICU practitioner at a tertiary care NICU	3	Good
Russell 2014 (2 papers; also Sawyer 2013)	To explore parents' views and experiences of the care for their very premature infant on NICU (Russell 2014) To explore parents' experiences and satisfaction with care during very preterm birth and to identify domains associated with positive and negative experiences of care (Sawyer 2013)	UK (high)	June – Nov 2011	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital or in the family home) Inductive thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Recruited from three tertiary care centres in England using posters in NICU or posted/personally-given letters; parents returned a card if they wanted to participate	4	Good
Skene 2012	To explore how parents interact with their infants and nurses regarding the provision of comfort care in a NICU	UK (high)	Jan – Nov 2008	Focussed ethnography, with participant observations and qualitative interviews (in NICU) Inductive thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Approached by researcher in a regional NICU	5	Good
Treherne 2017	To discover parents' perceptions of closeness to and separation from their preterm infants in the NICU	Canada (high)	Feb 2015 – Jan 2016	Qualitative data collected through diary voice app (for 24 hours) Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposive sampling in an urban level III NICU, with parents approached by NICU staff	4	Good

Unsworth 2021	To explore caregiver experiences and healthcare provider perspectives of accessing healthcare for low birth weight infants in rural Kenya	Kenya (lower-middle)	June 2019	Qualitative interviews (conducted within the research area of the hospital) Thematic analysis	Community	Convenience sampling from neonatal and postnatal ward registers at a county hospital or those known to community health volunteers; contacted by telephone or home visits	4	Good
Veronez 2017	To describe the maternal care process mediated by nurses during the period of hospitalisation and discharge of premature infants	Brazil (upper-middle)	Oct-Dec 2011	Daily journal written by mothers Thematic content analysis	Neonatal unit	Recruited from neonatal units by researcher who was a member of nursing staff	3	Good
Villeneuve 2018	To identify outcomes that were important to families of children requiring neonatal care	UK (high)	2016-2017	Qualitative interviews (conducted at a university, in the family home, or in a children's centre) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Participants purposively sampled from: lists held by neonatal support groups (BLISS, SNUG); conference on neonatal services; families known to NICU at Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Trust	5	Good
Wernet 2015	To analyse the maternal experience in a neonatal intensive care unit, focusing on relations of recognition	Brazil (upper-middle)	May – August 2013	Qualitative interviews (location not reported) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Mothers recruited if preterm neonates had left the regional NICU less than one month prior to the interview; no further detail given on recruitment	4	Good
Yu 2020	To explore Chinese parents' experiences and expectations of having preterm infants in a Chinese NICU	China (upper-middle)	Jan – May 2018	Qualitative interviews (conducted in hospital) Thematic analysis	Neonatal unit	Purposively sampled by first author from a NICU in a tertiary hospital	3	Good

* According to the World Bank's income classification 2021-2022 (https://datatopics.worldbank.org/world-development-indicators/the-world-by-income-and-region.html)

Abbreviations: CASP = Critical Appraisal Skills Programme; NICU = Neonatal Intensive Care Unit; NHS = National Health Service; SCBU = Special Care Baby Unit; VLBW = very low birthweight

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3 (next page) shows the characteristics of the study participants. Our analysis is based on the views of 1,143 caregivers from the primary studies. 18 studies included mothers only, six recruited fathers only, 23 included parents, and two included grandparents. The patient population was preterm infants in 29 studies, preterm and/or low birth weight in 19 studies, and low birth weight infants in one study. Studies used different gestational age limits, although all were births under 37 weeks gestation. Ten included only very preterm (<32 weeks) infants, and three included only extremely preterm (<28 weeks) infants. Specified birthweight limits, observed birthweight ranges, the ages of the infants/children at the time of the study, and characteristics of the carers were not consistently reported. Four of the included studies collected some of their data when the children were older than 24 months, with the oldest children being 6 years old.

Table 3. Characteristics of the study populations in sampled studies (n=49)

Author / Date	Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Sample size	Patient population	Gestational age range at birth	Birthweight range	Age of child at data collection	Other information
Abeasi 2020	**Included:** Mothers who had delivered an infant at< 37 weeks; infant had been in the hospital for >5 days; infant's condition had improved if the infant had been very ill; and mother was not too anxious for an interview	12	Preterm and/or LBW	30-35 weeks	1800g-2200g	Not reported	Women aged 20-36; all had completed secondary (n=7) or tertiary (n=5) education
Adama 2017	**Included:** Fathers who were aged 18+; their preterm infants had no disabilities	9 (interviewed 3 times)	Preterm	26-36 weeks	Not reported	Not reported	Men aged 20-38; all in full time employment
Amorim 2019	**Included:** Parents whose very preterm infants survived; who were present in NICU during the hospitalisation period; able to speak and write in Portuguese; infant discharged and alive	52 (26 couples)	Preterm and/or LBW	<28 weeks	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Arnold 2013	**Included:** Parents who could speak fluent English and had a preterm baby born prior to 32 weeks gestation in a 6-month period (Jan–June 2011)	39 (32 mothers, 7 fathers)	Preterm	24-31 weeks	Not reported	44 – 344 days	Parents aged 25-44; 29 of 39 were White European; 37 were married or cohabiting
Aydon 2018	**Included:** Parents with babies admitted to the neonatal clinical care unit whose gestation was between 28-32 weeks **Excluded:** Parents with babies born with anomalies and/or not expected to survive; non-English speaking; or potentially difficult to follow-up due to involvement with the child and family protection services	40 (20 couples, interviewed separately)	Preterm	28-32 weeks	Not reported	4-6 weeks old and 4-6 weeks post-discharge	Mothers aged 21-42; fathers aged 21-43; 33 of 40 were first-time parents; 17 of 20 couples were married
Blomqvist 2012	**Included:** Fathers of an infant born at 28- 33 weeks; infants did not have a life-threatening condition	7	Preterm and/or LBW	29-33 weeks	1315-2500g	4 months +/- 2 weeks (corrected age)	Fathers aged 25-36; all first-time fathers; all married or co-habiting with mother
Brødsgaard 2015	**Included:** Parents who had participated in the Early Discharge Programme; infant born Jan - June 2012; gestational age 25-36 weeks; singleton or twins, first-time and experienced parents; able to understand and speak Danish well enough to participate actively in group discussions	15 (2 focus grops)	Preterm	28-34 weeks	1230-2800g	Approximately 6 months old	Mothers aged 29-39; fathers aged 34-42
Chang Lee 2009	**Included:** Mothers of infants whose birth weight was < 1500g, and who were Taiwanese	26	Preterm and/or LBW	25-34 weeks	530-1490g	Not reported	Mothers aged 22-36

	Excluded: Single mothers; teenage mothers (aged < 20); foreign mothers; mothers not physically or mental fit to be interviewed; multiple births; and infants with life-limiting illness or congenital abnormalities						
Dorner 2020	**Included:** English-speaking parents of infants born preterm who, at the time of interview: (1) were between 28 and 34 weeks' corrected age, and (2) had not yet been screened with General Movements Assessment examinations	19 (15 mothers, 4 fathers)	Preterm	Median 29.6 (IQR 25.7 – 31.2)	Median 1175g (IQR 740-1735g)	Not reported, although may be ~ 4 weeks after birth	Median age of parents 32 (IQR 27-38); 14 of 19 had been in higher education; 11 of 19 first-time parents
dos Santos 2014	**Included:** Mothers of preterm infants, born at < 32 weeks and/or weighing < 1,500g; admitted to the NICU of the University Hospital of Londrina; who participated in the project "A support network for the premature infant's family"	21	Preterm and/or LBW	Range not reported	Range not reported	Up to 6 months (chronological age)	Mothers aged 14-42; 50% married; 60% had other children and < 8 years of education
Feeley 2013	**Included**: Infant's biological father; lived with infant's mother; infant had been hospitalised for 7+ days; infant's medical condition was stable; could communicate in English or French **Excluded:** Fathers with a previous experience of NICU; infants with grade 3-4 intraventricular haemorrhage or major congenital anomaly	18	Preterm	Mean 28^{+6} weeks	Mean 1173g	Mean age 55 days	Mean age of fathers 37.7; 8 of 18 has university education; all were currently employed
Fernandez Medina 2021	**Included:** Parents > 18 years old; with an extremely preterm infant who had been discharged from NICU with some type of technological dependency during the last 24 months **Excluded:** Parents of infants with a congenital disease	17 (12 mothers, 5 fathers)	Preterm	24-27 weeks	Not reported	Not reported; infants discharged in last 24 months	Mean age of parents 34.2; 12 of 17 were married;
Finlayson 2014	**Included:** English-speaking mothers; > 16 years; infant treated on the unit for 7 days or more Excluded: Mothers whose infants were receiving intensive care at the time of interview	12	Preterm and/or LBW	25-31 weeks	595-1517g	Not reported	Mothers aged 21-40; 11 of 12 identified as White-British; 6 of 12 university educated; all had partners
Franck 2017	**Included:** Parents whose babies had received NICU care within the past 3 years	40 (33 mothers, 7 fathers)	Preterm	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported; NICU care was received in past 3 years	47% of participants were aged >35 & 45% were aged 26-35; 85% were married; 90% were employed
Gallegos-Martinez 2013	**Included:** Parents with a preterm baby hospitalized in the neonatal unit	31 (9 mothers, 11 couples)	Preterm	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	70% "nuclear" families; 89% of mothers "homemakers"; 82% of fathers were labourers; high school completion <35%

Glazer 2021	**Included:** Mothers with a live birth between June 2016-June 2018; very preterm or very low birthweight (<1,500g) delivery with a minimum five-day NICU stay	20	Preterm and/or LBW	"Very preterm"	"Very low birthweight"	Not reported	40% Black, 40% White or Asian, 20% Latina; 8 of 12 Black or Latina participants has Medicaid cover, all White or Asian participants privately insured
Granrud 2014	**Included:** Parents of a premature baby born at a university hospital and transferred to a local hospital; able to speak Norwegian **Excluded:** prior experiences of preterm delivery	20 (2 mothers, 9 couples)	Preterm	26-32 weeks	Not reported	Not reported	Mothers aged 22-40, fathers aged 23-40
Guillaume 2013	**Included:** Parents who spoke French; whose child was born before 32 weeks of gestation; was 15 to 30 days old at inclusion; and had no recent severe clinical aggravation	60 (30 mothers, 30 fathers)	Preterm	Mean 27^{+2} weeks Maximum 31^{+6} weeks	Mean 956g	15-30 days old	Mothers mean age 30.7, fathers mean age 33.5; 92% employed; 8% single parents
Hägi-Petersen 2021	**Included:** Parents from two neonatal wards offering early in-home care programmes with nurse-supported video consultations (programme had inclusion criteria not specified in the paper)	11 (1 mother, 5 couples)	Preterm	27-35 weeks	Not reported	Not reported; 14-30 days after discharge from in-home care programme	Mothers aged 21-41, fathers aged 24-38
Hendriks 2017	**Included:** Parents of infants born alive at <28 weeks and died in the delivery room or in the NICU from 2013 through 2015 **Excluded:** Participants who gave birth to two or more infants; or if infants were stillborn	20 (5 mothers, 1 father, 7 couples)	Preterm and/or LBW	22-27 weeks	340-1100g	1-2 years after the infant's death	14 of 20 aged 35+; 18 of 20 Christian
Ignell Modé 2014	**Included**: Fathers of infants treated at one of two Swedish NICUs; able to speak Swedish. Infant inclusion criteria were absence of an acute life-threatening condition and a stay of at least 1 week in NICU	8	Preterm	23-36 weeks	Not reported	Not reported	Fathers aged 20-24 years
Jantsch 2021	**Included:** Mothers of preterm infants born May 2016 - May 2018; who lived in the city of Santa Maria; who developed chronic and acute health conditions	15	Preterm	32-36 weeks	Not reported	3-9 months	11 of 15 in socio-economic classes C or D; 6 of 15 infants had chronic health problems
Kim 2020	**Included:** Mothers of infants born <37 weeks or LBW <2500g; if admission lasted at least seven days; and if infants did not have congenital anomalies and did not require life-prolonging treatment	232	Preterm and/or LBW	Mean 30^{+3} weeks	Mean 1523g	Up to 18 months	Mothers mean age 34.19; 99% married; 99% with high school education or above

Klawetter 2019	**Included:** English-speaking mothers of infants born at <32 weeks; hospitalised in NICU 2+ weeks; 33-34 weeks at the time of interview **Excluded:** Mothers <18 years; with a diagnosed psychiatric disorder and/or recorded or stated illicit substance use	14	Preterm	Maximum 31^{+6} weeks	Not reported	33-34 weeks corrected gestational age	9 of 14 mothers were White, 3 African-American; 7 of 14 had Medicaid cover; 13 educated to high-school level or higher; 11 were married
Leonard 2008	**Included:** Parents of preterm infants receiving kangaroo care at the hospital at the time of the interview; able to speak English; singleton births; >7 days old; weight > 1000g; not receiving critical care (intubation and/or life support)	6 (4 mothers, 2 fathers)	Preterm	Not reported	Minimum 1000g; maximum not reported	Not reported	5 married or co-habiting, 1 single mother
Lian 2020	**Included:** Fathers with full custody of their infants **Excluded:** Fathers whose infants died during the NICU stay; were unable to give informed consent; or unable to comprehend interview questions in English	15	Preterm and/or LBW	25-34 weeks	580-1474g	Not reported	9 of 15 aged 31-40; all married; 10 of 15 educated at degree level; 13 of 15 employed full-time
Liu 2019	**Included:** Parents visiting their infant; able to speak and read English or French; aged > 18; infants hospitalised for 48+ hours **Excluded:** Infants with grade 4 intraventricular haemorrhage, trisomy 21 or other chromosomal abnormalities, in palliative care, and/or was being placed in foster care	15 (9 mothers, 6 fathers)	Preterm	24-35 weeks	620-3605g	5-108 days	Mothers mean age 34.6; fathers mean age 35; all married or cohabiting; 14 of 15 had college or degree education; 4 of 14 unemployed
Lomotey 2020	**Included:** Mothers with babies born <37 weeks **Excluded:** Mothers whose preterm babies were critically ill or had congenital anomalies	10	Preterm	26-36 weeks	Not reported	Not reported	Mothers aged 17-38 years; all educated to junior high school level; 9 were Christian; all married or cohabiting
Lorié 2021	**Included:** Parents of preterm infants (born < 37 weeks' gestation); admitted to a Dutch level 2-4 NICU for 1+ week; able to speak Dutch	20 (19 mothers, 1 father)	Preterm	24-35 weeks	Not reported	1-5 years	Parents aged 28-38 years; living across the Netherlands; used 12 different NICUs
Lundqvist 2019	**Included:** Parents of infants born <37 weeks; who had ended the care period in hospital-based neonatal home care; able to communicate in Swedish or English	12 (6 couples)	Preterm	26-36 weeks	655-3200g	Not reported	Mothers aged 30-40, fathers aged 32-43; 3 couples from urban and 3 from rural area
Mihae 2021	**Included:** Mothers who understood the purpose of the study and agreed to participate; with infants born <37 weeks;	10	Preterm and/or LBW	24-34 weeks	655-2000g	1-10 months	Mothers aged 22-43; all married; 7 of 10 first-time mothers

	without congenital deformities and hereditary diseases; and within a year of childbirth						
Ncube 2016	**Included:** Mothers of singleton infants born <37 weeks; hospitalised for 5+ days but with stable or improving health; able to speak Setswana or English **Excluded:** Mothers of infants acutely ill at the time of data collection; or whose infant had a congenital abnormality	8	Preterm	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Mothers aged 23-30
Neu 2020	**Included**: Mothers of infants born <32 weeks; at least 33 weeks postconceptional age; hospitalized for 2+ weeks **Excluded:** Mothers diagnosed with a psychiatric disorder such as bipolar disorder or schizophrenia and/or recorded or stated illicit substance use	14	Preterm	<32 weeks	Not reported	At least 33 weeks corrected age	Mothers mean age 28; 9 of 14 White, 3 of 14 Black or African American; 10 had college or degree level education; 11 married; 10 working full-time
Norén 20108	**Included:** Mothers of singleton infants born at 28-33 weeks; whose condition was not life-threatening; able to speak Swedish	13	Preterm and/or LBW	29-33 weeks	1175-2500g	4 months (+/- 2 weeks) corrected age	Mothers aged 25-42; all married or cohabiting with father; 8 of 13 first-time mothers
Nyondo-Mipando 2020	**Included:** Caregivers of preterm or LBW infants who were in a stable condition; were providing KMC; and had been in the KMC ward for 5+ hours	24 (14 mothers, 6 fathers, 3 grand-mothers, 1 grand-father)	Preterm and/or LBW	Not reported	<2500g	Not reported	Not reported
Olsson 2017	**Included:** Fathers of preterm infants; who had provided skin-to-skin care to the infant at least once	20	Preterm	25-35 weeks	Not reported	2-74 days old	Fathers aged 23-45
Orapiriyakul 2007	**Included:** Thai mothers; living with partners/ husbands; with preterm infants <37 weeks by Ballard scores assessment; birth weight <1500g; no congenital anomaly; requiring mechanical ventilation and hospitalized in NICU	15	Preterm and/or LBW	26-33 weeks	740-1400g	2-33 days	Mothers aged 16-41; 12 of 15 were Buddhists; 5 of 15 educated to diploma or degree level; 12 reported low family income
Petty 2018	**Included:** Parents whose infants were born at <=30 weeks; who had been discharge home within the previous one to six years	15 (12 mothers, 1 father, 1 couple)	Preterm and/or LBW	24-30 weeks	615-1600g	1-6 years	Parent characteristics not reported

Petty 2019b (2 papers)	**Included:** Parents whose infants were born at <37 weeks; had spent more than a week receiving neonatal care; and who had been discharged home in the preceding ten years	23 (16 mothers, 1 father, 3 couples)	Preterm and/or LBW	24-32 weeks	500-1500g	1-10 years	Parent characteristics not reported
Premji 2017	**Included:** Mothers of late preterm infants regardless of mode of delivery and admission status (newborn nursery, secondary hospital or NICU, or length of stay) **Excluded:** Mothers unable to read/write English; or could not be contacted in time for them to complete the maternal confidence in care survey	11	Preterm	34-36 weeks	1822-3630g	Not reported	Mothers mean age 31.1; all married; 6 of 10 had completed higher education; 8 of 10 born in Canada; 6 of 10 White
Rossman 2011	**Included:** Mothers of a VLBW infant in the NICU who was expected to survive; maternal age 18+ years; able to speak and understand English; 3+ interactions with a breastfeeding peer counsellor	21	Preterm and/or LBW	24-31 weeks	511-1460g	12-80 days	Mothers mean age 29.3; 15 of 21 African-American; 11 of 21 married; 17 of 21 had some college education; 12 employed full-time
Russell 2014; Sawyer 2013	**Included:** Parents whose infants were born at <32 weeks (also birth <6 months previously in Sawyer); had been on neonatal unit for 2+ weeks; spoke English well; at least one member of the couple wanted to participate or they were single; included parents of babies who had died	39 (32 mothers, 7 fathers)	Preterm	$24\text{-}31^{+6}$ weeks	Not reported	44-344 days (Russell); <6 months (Sawyer); 2 babies had died	Parents aged 25-44; 37 of 39 married or co-habiting; 29 White European; 33 employed
Skene 2012	**Included:** Parents >16 years; considered as suitable for inclusion by the nurse in charge	19 (2 mothers, 1 father, 8 couples)	Preterm and/or LBW	23-32 weeks	520-1615g	5-31 days at recruitment	Majority of parents were White British
Treherne 2017	**Included:** Parents whose infants were born at <37 weeks; hospitalised in the NICU; infant was stable; able to read English or French; able to provide informed consent	20 (13 mothers, 7 fathers)	Preterm	24-33 weeks	615-3030g	8-94 days	Mothers mean age 32.2; fathers mean age 37.3; 10 of 20 had university degrees; all in employment
Unsworth 2021	**Included:** Caregivers of LBW infants < 24 months of age	11 (all female, roles not specified)	Low birth weight	Not reported	Not reported	<24 months	9 of 11 caregivers aged >25
Veronez 2017	**Included:** Mothers of infants born at <37 weeks; birth weight more than 1500g; hospitalisation time >=72 hours; and	7	Preterm and/or LBW	31-36 weeks	1560-2460g	Not reported	Mothers aged 16-31

	residents of the municipality of Maringá or in the 15th health region						
Villeneuve 2018	**Included:** Parents who had used a neonatal service and been discharge from the service within the last 6 months to 5 years	12 (8 mothers, 2 couples)	Preterm	24-34 weeks	Not reported	6 months to 5 years post-discharge	For "half of the families", this was their first child
Wernet 2015	**Included:** Mothers of infants born at <=34 weeks; without any congenital syndromes; who stayed in the NICU for at least one week **Excluded:** Mothers who had another preterm child; those with any mental health problems	10	Preterm	26-34 weeks	Not reported	Roughly aged up to 5 months	6 of 10 mothers aged 18-25, 3 aged 25-30, 1 over 40 years; 5 of 10 were first-time mothers; 7 of 10 lived with partners and 3 were single mothers
Yu 2020	**Included:** Parents of a preterm infant; admitted to the NICU for 7+ days; infant's condition was stable; parents were aged 18+; and were the primary caregiver **Excluded:** History of mental illness; infants were abandoned or deceased	15 (10 mothers, 5 fathers)	Preterm	27-36 weeks	1010-2850g	Not reported	Mean age of parents 31.1 years; 11 of 15 were first-time parents; 8 of 15 had completed high school, 4 of 14 had completed a degree

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Analysis findings

We identified 31 descriptive themes, 21 of which we graded with high confidence, and nine with moderate confidence. Only one theme was graded with low confidence. We grouped these descriptive themes into eight overarching analytical themes. Table 4 (next page) shows the framework of themes, associated CERQual gradings and supporting data. What mattered to carers was a positive outcome for the child; active involvement in care; support to cope at home after discharge; emotional support for the family; the healthcare environment; information needs were met; logistical support was available; and positive relationships with staff. The full GRADE-CERQual evidence profiles for each descriptive theme are shown in Supplementary Tables 2-9. Additional evidence from the primary studies is presented in Supplementary Tables 10-17.

Table 4. Framework of themes emerging from the data

	Descriptive theme (review finding)	Studies contributing to review finding	CERQual grading	Supporting data
Analytical theme: A positive outcome for the child	**A positive outcome for the child:** Carers expressed a desire for a successful clinical and social outcome for their baby as they grow (as distinct from the process or interventions themselves).	20 studies [32 36 37 43 45 48 49 53 54 56 58 60 61 63 65 68 70 73 76 77]	High confidence	"*When I had a preterm child... I changed...it changed everything that I used to think was important...I used to think my baby should be beautiful.. .with big eyes, but now I only want my baby to grow healthily...*" (country = Taiwan, study setting = hospital)[36] "*I am just happy having this baby regardless of how he is. Babies die daily on this unit so I'm happy whenever I go and meet my baby alive…. some people even have babies with abnormalities yet they are happy. How much more me? Once I see him alive, I become happy and I pray he continues to live.*" (Ghana, hospital)[56]
Analytical theme: Active involvement in care	**Descriptive theme (review finding)**	**Studies contributing to review finding**	**CERQual grading**	**Supporting data**
	Delivering care: Carers want to be taught, be involved in, and have confidence in their ability to deliver some of the essential care (such as nappy changes, pain management, supporting nutrition) to their vulnerable infant	27 studies [29 31 34 36 39 41 43 47 53-56 58-62 65 69-71 73-77 79]	High confidence	"*What I appreciate about the nurses here [is that they] make you [become] autonomous [in] the good kind of way. [. . .] They don't force you into it. First, they show you how to do it, and if you're comfortable doing it, they'll supervise you, but then after a while, they'll let you go and fly on your own.*" (Canada, hospital)[55] "*I was afraid of him … not knowing how I am going to handle him…. There is a nurse who told me not to be afraid of him because it is me who is going to take care of him while they show us how to take care of them.*" (Botswana, hospital)[60]
	Fathers involved: Fathers want support to be directly involved in the routine care of their infant, alongside support and encouragement to do this	11 studies [30 34 39 43 46 53 54 58 63 64 72]	Moderate confidence	"*I went to the unit every evening after work to spend time with my child and wife but anytime I went there, I could not see and hold my baby for long. I felt like I was not welcome but I kept on asking questions. All the attention was given to the mother and I was left out*." (father, Ghana, hospital)[30]
	Opportunities for parenting: Carers want to have support with activities to encourage bonding (including touching and cuddling), and social family activity which falls outside the need for essential care.	25 studies [32 34 36 39 41 44-46 51-57 59-62 64 65 73 74 76 77]	High confidence	"*I asked the nurse if it was ok to have a cuddle and she said, "you should have been having at least one a day", but at the start I didn't realise you could ask them*." (UK, hospital)[41]

				"As I was performing kangaroo care, I felt like I was acting as a mother, and I felt proud that I was helping my baby and doing something for her." (South Korea, hospital)[59]
	Shared decision making and consent: Carers want support and processes to help them engage and take an active part in deciding what, and when, investigations, treatments, interventions and discharge occurs.	10 studies [31 41 42 48 55 57 60 67 74 77]	Moderate confidence	*"…care plans would be modified without any explanation."* (Canada, hospital)[55] *"Our voice is important... our views must be taken on board."* (UK, hospital and community)[67]
Analytical theme: Coping at home	**Descriptive theme (review finding)**	**Studies contributing to review finding**	**CERQual grading**	**Supporting data**
	Accessing support in a crisis: Carers want mechanism to find help and advice urgently after discharge home when the primary care is transferred to the family	7 studies [30 35 38 42 47 61 75]	High confidence	*"I think the biggest thing is just that worry of is everything going to be okay? Here he's on the monitor all of the time so you've got that safety blanket that if something goes wrong, a) you'll know about it and b) there's people here that jump right in and help with it… So it's like when I'm home and don't have that am I going to miss something?"* (USA, hospital)[61] *"Sometimes it is raining and when the baby is unwell, there is no transport to get there very fast to get help. It is very difficult and sometimes if the baby was to be helped there is no means of getting there faster."* (Kenya, community)[75]
	Autonomy: Carers want to take over the responsibility as the primary, and often only caregiver and decision maker for the infant after discharge.	6 studies [35 47 53 58 68 69]	Moderate confidence	*"I felt divided [in NICU], torn into two pieces, which were only assembled once I got home."* (Denmark, hospital and community)[35] *"At home I didn't have spectators … I felt at peace and I could hold her and put her on me and it was beautiful."* (South Africa, hospital)[53]
	Extended family support/ community resources: Carers want support in obtaining advice and care from the wider community, rather than the just from the health sector.	7 studies [31 35 40 42 47 75 77]	High confidence	*"We were very lucky because we have a great family. My oldest daughter was 21 months old, I couldn't attend to her needs, and my mother-in-law had to step into a mother's role with her, but there are many parents who are alone…"* (Spain, community and out-patients)[40]
	Healthcare professional (HCP) expertise in the community: Carers want experienced, knowledgeable, and competent HCPs in the community to take over the health support for the ex-preterm and low birth weight infant.	7 studies [40 42 47 50 68 69 75]	High confidence	*"We've mixed a little bit of the two things we've been recommended... we've taken 50% from the neonatal ward and 50% from the municipal health visitor, and then we made our own mix of what we think fits."* (Denmark, community)[47]

				"*I would like to see better parent support from GPs, from consultants, from health visitors, a better understanding*." (UK, hospital and community)[68]
	Preparation for discharge: Carers want to be practicably prepared, with education and confidence in their increased delivery of care, alongside emotionally support, for the discharge from a healthcare setting to the home, often after a long stay in a medical environment.	15 studies [30 35 38 42 47 51 57 58 65 68 69 75 76 78 79]	High confidence	"*We learned everything we needed and knew what we had to do, I was quite comfortable when we went home*." (Denmark, hospital and community)[35] "*I wish to receive education and training on the rehabilitation of preemies … Also, I'd like to learn how to deal with expected situations about my baby after leaving the NICU*." (South Korea, hospital)[51]
	Transition arrangements: Carers want adequate and safe transfer of health care responsibilities to other community organisations and professionals as part of the discharge home. This includes the delivery of information, pathways of care, and home visits, in order to delivery safe continuity of care.	5 studies [38 40 42 58 62]	Moderate confidence	"*My son came home with a nasogastric tube, there is a nurse in the hospital who is in charge of teaching you how the tube and the feeding pump work, but when you are at home the responsibility is entirely yours, the moment when the nasogastric tube goes outside is very complicated, and you can't be thinking as a parent whether you have put the tube in correctly or not, and if his lung is going to fill up with food… therefore, counting on a professional companion is very important*." (Spain, hospital and community)[40]
Analytical theme: Emotional support for families	**Descriptive theme (review finding)**	**Studies contributing to review finding**	**CERQual grading**	**Supporting data**
	Support for and from the wider family: Carers want emotional support from, and for the wider family (including grandparents and siblings)	9 studies [36 39 43 53 54 63 65 69 77]	High confidence	'*I have my in-laws…they are always there, whether it be for moral or practical support.'* (Canada, hospital)[39] "*I have a lot of responsibilities. I think the first one is to help the mother is doing the kangaroo mother care. When she wants to rest, the baby is put on me and I do exactly what she does except breastfeeding. The second thing is to see her doing everything accordingly as told by the doctors… Also, I think it is my responsibility to encourage her that things are going to be ok.*" (Grandmother, Malawi, hospital)[63]
	Support for parents: Parents want to have emotional support from any source (often healthcare workers); including reassurance and encouragement, to enhance their interactions and journey after the birth of a preterm or low birth weight infant.	21 studies [31 37 40 42 45 46 49 51 53 55 57 59 60 65 68 70-73 76 79]	High confidence	"*She then comforted me and told me to focus on the now and forget about the past. She said we should focus on the positive side and hope that the baby will be well. I felt better after talking to that nurse*." (Botswana, hospital)[60]

				"I went into a bit of a depression… it was not postnatal depression as such, more the effect of everything… they were really supportive, got me some counselling, so that was good." (UK, hospital and community)[68]
	Support for fathers: As above, but regarding emotional support specifically delivered to support the father of the infant.	7 studies [32 39 42 53 54 58 64]	Moderate confidence	*"I needed to be strong for her, because I knew that she was going through a hell of a lot. I was too … but … I think to balance all … the emotions … that I had to suppress it."* (father, South Africa, hospital)[53]
	Support from other parents in similar situation: Carers wanted support from other parents of preterm or sick infants; to develop their interactions and support the journey after a preterm or low birthweight birth.	17 studies [31 36 40 42 47 52-54 56 60-62 68 70 75 77 79]	High confidence	*"The fact that they did walk in my shoes and they've been through some of the exact things that I was going through . . . made your experience of going through this a little easier to bear."* (USA, hospital)[70] *"…there were also many mothers who had small babies, I was not alone. We were around five and we encouraged each other so that we could be strong for our babies that they may live and that whatever the people were saying could not happen."* (Kenya, community)[75]
Analytical theme: Healthcare environment	**Descriptive theme (review finding)**	**Studies contributing to review finding**	**CERQual grading**	**Supporting data**
	Access to babies: Carers want mechanisms, or initiatives, to help them to visit and interact with their baby (including specific issues with the co-location of twins)	15 studies [29 32 36 39 43-45 51 53 56 58 62 65 71 79]	High confidence	*"You cannot just touch your baby when you want to, you have to be given the go ahead from the staff."* (Nigeria, hospital)[29] *"I saw him just a moment, how small he was. After delivering he was moved to the other room… Then I did not see him until next morning… I was anxious and worried about him."* (Thailand, hospital)[65]
	Orientation and familiarity with NICU: Carers want to learn about the healthcare setting (e.g. the noises, leads/equipment, and processes) in which they need to live and care for their infant (including the ability to tour the NICU before the birth if practical).	19 studies [29 32 36 39 41 44 46 49 52 53 59-62 64 65 74 76 77]	High confidence	*"I'm glad they [showed me around], because it's quite daunting going into intensive care, NICU. I've never been in. All the, you know, computers, mechanical wombs basically for the premature babies. I'd never seen a premature baby previously. So it gave me an insight of what... it would freak me out if I'd just gone up there after having the babies. At least I knew where they were going."* (UK, hospital)[32] *"When I walked into this big room with all the incubators and all the other critically-ill little babies, I couldn't focus on just mine. There were so many machines sending out loud beeps. As I walked closer to the corner where they kept my baby, I nearly collapsed. He had so many lines and tubes attached to his tiny body...it was terrible...I just wanted to run away..."* (Taiwan, hospital)[36]

	Privacy vs monitoring: Carers want privacy for them and their families for breastfeeding or everyday family activities (e.g. reading stories) in neonatal units. However, they also understand the need for medical observation and monitoring, and therefore struggle with the potential conflict between desire for privacy and desire for monitoring. This theme also included parental views on the structure and design of neonatal units (e.g. large wards versus individual rooms).	6 studies [39 52 55 61 73 74]	Low confidence	"*Even when we pumped milk, we didn't need a curtain to hide behind, there aren't many people, we are alone, and we are free to pump milk without embarrassment, without people seeing us.*" (Canada, hospital)[55] "*I like the open pod because I can see other mothers. It is easy to meet them and talk. The nurses can see the babies all the time.*" (USA, hospital)[61]
	Staffing and equipment levels: Carers are concerned about real, or perceived, issues with staffing levels, equipment availability, and seeing to the needs of the infant.	10 studies [31 32 36 42 45 51 55 60 71 75]	High confidence	"*I often feel there is a shortage of medical staff working in the NICU. It may be or must be too hard for one nurse to care for several babies properly at once.*" (South Korea, hospital)[51] "*What made me sad is ... you will find your baby there ... not taken care of ... If you happen not to go to the unit because you were not feeling well, by the time you go there to check on her, you will find her in the same sheets and the nappy not changed.*" (Botswana, hospital)[60]
Analytical theme: Information needs met	**Descriptive theme (review finding)**	**Studies contributing to review finding**	**CERQual grading**	**Supporting data**
	Information about the baby: Carers want information relating to the baby's condition, prognosis, investigations/procedures performed or daily events. However, they also can feel overloaded and there is often a conflict between wanting to be told about all possible information and outcomes, and only wanting to know what they need to know at that particular point in time.	17 studies [31 35 37 42 43 45 46 48 49 56 59 60 65 71 76 77 79]	Moderate confidence	''*Mother: One day, I arrived at NICU and I saw the incubator empty... I was in shock. I didn't ask any question, I just started crying. When a nurse saw me [crying], she ran to tell me that my daughter was moved to be closer to her twin. She should have been more careful and call me (...) or to the father. This could seem the most insignificant thing in the world but it's not, it's very important [for us].*" (Portugal, hospital)[31] "*Another thing was that some doctors tended to [exaggerate]…the baby's disease and it really scared us. They would…inform us about all the possible complications. I felt unsure about those issues. I did not know how to describe the feelings, especially when they [complications] did not happen to my baby.*" (China, hospital)[79]
	Frequent updates: Carers want frequent and regular updates from the clinical team, rather	7 studies [34 49 53 61 71 76 77]	Moderate confidence	"*They do inform you every day ... they keep you up to date. ... inform you of the progress of the infant, if there is something wrong with him or*

	than just meetings when sentinel events occur, alongside open channels of communication.			*things like that, the sisters are quite clued up so they keep you clued up as well.*" (South Africa, hospital)[53] "*They ask you if you want to be in for the rounds every time and I'm like, 'of course I want to be in for the rounds.' They'll just open up the door and let you sit in.*" (USA, hospital)[61]
	How information is given: Carers want HCPs to have good communication skills and to use a variety of information-giving methods. This relates to a need for immediacy, an appropriate pace and timing of information giving, and the opportunity for follow-up discussions. However, what constitutes good communication may vary by parent, culture and situation.	9 studies [29 31 42 46 48 57 76 77 79]	High confidence	"*I try to read online, but most often because I am not a medical person, I do not understand and would have wished to get more information from the staff, especially the nurses. I believe the staff can explain things to me at my level by excluding all those big words.*" (Nigeria, hospital)[29] "*I mean, I knew it was busy but they still do not have the right to drop heavy news just like that and then say 'oh, by the way, I don't have any time right now to talk about it but we will do so tomorrow'. Well, you just cannot do that and, for me, that was really like . . . I don't want to talk to you anymore.*" (Netherlands, hospital)[57]
	Matching needs with information. Carers want their informational needs and expectations to be met, and to feel confident in obtaining that information (e.g. by being comfortable or enabled to ask question). They also want to supplement this information via other sources (e.g. leaflets, books and the internet).	18 studies [29 35 36 41 42 44 46 48 49 51 52 54-57 71 77 79]	High confidence	"*And they said, 'you don't need to concern yourself with that, we need to concern ourselves with that'. And I was like, actually I don't agree, I think I need to know that as well cos I'm his mum.*" (UK, hospital)[41] "*I think it has been very clear . . . and good. There is a lot of information all the time, but not so much that I need to ask more questions afterwards. Most of what I am wondering about is covered.*" (Sweden, hospital)[49]
Analytical theme: Logistical support	**Descriptive theme (review finding)**	**Studies contributing to review finding**	**CERQual grading**	**Supporting data**
	Accommodation (comfort and facilities). Carers need practical support in travelling to see their infants, and support to sleep and live near them during their initial inpatient stay.	13 studies [31 34 42 44 45 52 53 55 56 61 62 71 77]	High confidence	"*Those beds were anything but what you would call a bed, perhaps you know what they look like. They are like sofa beds they are hideous. I don't think I have ever had such a pain in my back after sleeping in a bed before, but, all you could do was to endure*." (Sweden, hospital)[34] "*I've found even if you have money to get up here, the food is really expensive and you only have $5 left. The [bus] fare is $5 minimum, so it's like should I eat or have money to get home? So, you're like okay I need to get home, so you don't end up eating and you're starving.*"(USA, hospital)[52]

	Broader family support and impact: Carers want support for the wider family (such as creche facilities for siblings) to allow the carers to engage in their 'normal' parenting and caring roles, before, and after, discharge	13 studies [39 43 47 52 53 58 60 61 63 64 75-77]	High confidence	"*Trying to manage everything together has an impact. Work, come home, do laundry, clean the house, take care of my son, go to the internet, work, wake up. It's just a continuous cycle.*" (father, Canada, hospital)[39] "*Every day I have more hope that he'll leave soon. His little sister is at home, she's anxious to meet the brother who was born, but has still not come home.*" (Brazil, hospital)[76]
	Costs of treatment: Cares want additional support, or recognition, of the direct and indirect costs (e.g. travel) needed while the infant is an inpatient, and then afterwards for additional appointments and lost earnings because of the preterm birth.	12 studies [29 31 40 42 43 52 56 59 63 68 75 77]	High confidence	"*The doctors said my child has another condition, so I buy a lot of drugs which are very expensive. I have seen people lose their babies after all the money spent, I just pray mine gets well.*" (Nigeria, hospital)[29] "*I had to leave my job to take care of him, I couldn't miss work an average of twice a week for medical appointments. We had to tighten our belts a lot, if you don't have savings or family who can help you financially it is extremely complicated. We had to move to my parents' house because we had no money.*" (Spain, hospital and community)[40]
	Parental leave: Parents want formal, protected leave to allow them to visit the baby whilst in hospital, and to support them to provide care for a prolonged period after birth.	7 studies [31 40 52 58 63 64 77]	Moderate confidence	''*Mother: I should have the right to have a bigger parental leave [100% instead of 65% of the salary], at least during the hospitalisation period. Mother stays there [NICU] for a lot of hours alone in a very difficult situation. At least during the hospitalisation in NICU, the father and the mother should have the right to stay both with a [full parental] leave (...).*" (Portugal, hospital)[31] "*They gave me only seven days as a holiday and I am worried they may terminate my contract should I stay here longer than expected*." (Malawi, hospital)[63]
Analytical theme: Positive relationships with staff	**Descriptive theme (review finding)**	**Studies contributing to review finding**	**CERQual grading**	**Supporting data**
	Compassion and sensitivity. Carers desire to develop a caring and sensitive relationship with HCPs caring for their baby.	19 studies [31 42 44 46 48 51 54-59 63 67 69 71 76-78]	High confidence	"*One of the nurses even wrote me an e-mail in the middle of the night to tell me that my baby was sleeping well. That touched me so because that was my first night at home. I found that amazing. What a calling, such a job.*" (Switzerland, hospital)[48] "*You know they are dedicated professional individuals that care deeply about what they are doing and very compassionate….(that) allows you to focus on yourself, your daughter, and your wife.*" (Singapore, hospital)[54]

	Consistency in care/communication: Carers want consistent rules, advice, therapeutic plans and predictions of outcome, from, and between, different HCP.	13 studies [34 39 41 42 44 45 48 49 51 55 61 69 71]	Moderate confidence	"*To me it's just about consistency and every nurse does things differently. One nurse will tell you to do one thing and the next nurse will come in and criticise you 'cos they wind or feed a baby differently and it makes you feel like crap.*" (UK, hospital)[41] "*in contact with five different public health nurses and heard five different sets of advice that were really contradictory; I had a really hard time trusting what I was being told.*" (Canada, community)[69]
	HCP expertise/care: Carers want well-trained, competent, staff able to provide the specialist care needed by the infant.	8 studies [41 51 56 69 72 75-77]	High confidence	"*Absolute confidence in the staff. I didn't feel like I needed to know every step of the way. I was able to just step back, realise that control was not mine. The control was where it should be, with professionals, and they would take good care of them [the babies].*" (UK, hospital)[72] "*I was attended to by trainees, so I did not know who was doing the right thing and (and who was doing) the wrong (thing). They (baby) were not handled in the right way.*" Unsworth 2021 (Kenya, community)[75]
	Respect, collaboration and trust: Carers desire the development of collaborative relationships with the HCP; where the parent is identified as an important part of the care-team with unique skills and knowledge of the baby, and is heard and understood.	14 studies [31 41 42 44 52 55 57 60 67 71 73 74 77 78]	Moderate confidence	"*She [healthcare professional] just did not understand us, and she did not listen, and she was actually just working against us. So, we requested to have her near us as little as possible.*" (Netherlands, hospital)[57] "*Doctor [name removed] was very good and talked to my husband . . . until his questions finished and he had resolutions or some kind of answers . . . the fact that he had been heard was really, really important. You know, you can't always give an answer or solve the problem but at least he'd been heard.*" (UK, hospital)[77]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

We now discuss each of the eight analytical themes in more detail. They are listed in alphabetical order to avoid undue emphasis being placed on one over another because of the team's biases and prior beliefs.

A positive outcome for the child

20 studies contributed data to this analytical theme, with high confidence in the findings and consistency across different geographic and resource settings. Carers expressed a clear desire for positive clinical and social outcomes for their infant. This was often reflected as a reframing of their priorities about what mattered, particularly in relation to their expectations for the infant. The main outcome hoped for was the infant's survival, but families also hoped for good clinical outcomes such as weight gain. They also discussed their hopes for the child coming home and being a member of the family or community. Hopes for their child beyond childhood were rarely mentioned. Instead, parents focussed on short term outcomes, perhaps reflecting their need to stay focussed on the present.

Active involvement in care

This analytical theme included four descriptive themes. The first related to how carers wanted to be taught, be involved in, and have confidence in their ability to deliver essential care (such as nappy changes, pain management, supporting nutrition) to their vulnerable infant. In addition, carers wanted to be supported to be involved in activities other than essential care which encourage opportunities for parenting, including bonding (for example, touching and cuddling), and social family activities (for example, singing or reading). Parents discussed the importance of kangaroo mother care, or skin to skin care, as

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

both an opportunity to deliver care and as an opportunity for parenting. These themes were ubiquitous across all settings (with high confidence).

We had moderate confidence in the other descriptive themes in this group. Fathers wanted to be directly involved in the routine care of their infant, and to be supported to do this. However, they sometimes felt that they were not encouraged and not as welcome in the neonatal unit. It was not clear whether fathers had been asked for their views, or were willing and able to express these, in different locations and across different cultural groups. Carers also wanted support and processes to help them engage and take an active part in deciding what, and when, investigations, treatments, interventions and discharge occurs, although findings varied between settings with different cultural expectations and legal responsibilities.

Coping at home

Carers wanted to be able to access support and advice urgently should they need it once the infant was discharged. Sometimes carers felt torn between the desire for freedom from continual observation and input from HCPs, and the pressure resulting from this autonomy as they became the primary carer for their child. Because of this conflict, and because this was not discussed in any low or middle-income country study, we had moderate confidence in this finding. The importance of wider family and community support (for practical arrangements and advice) was clear. Expertise from HCPs in the community was also valued, although professional support in the community was described as inconsistent.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Carers also wanted to be prepared for their infant's discharge home. This included being taught how to look after their infant and gradually developing their experience in delivering practical care. Carers also discussed the value of preparation for discharge in emotional terms, such as the need to build their confidence after a long stay in a medical environment. Although fewer studies contributed to these descriptive themes, with less variability in geography and resources than with previous themes, we had high confidence in these findings due to high consistency between studies.

Lastly, many parents valued transition arrangements which they felt helped facilitate the safe transfer of care. This included the delivery of information, planned care pathways, and home visits, to ensure continuity of care. We had moderate confidence in this finding because the complexity and duration of transitional arrangements varied greatly between settings, and there were no studies from low or middle-income countries that contributed to this finding.

Emotional support for families

In addition to practical support, emotional support from family members, particularly grandparents and siblings, was highly valued by parents and seen as essential both whilst the infant was in hospital and after discharge. Carers also wanted emotional support from HCPs, including reassurance and encouragement. Specialist counsellors were valued for their ability to provide emotional support, particularly when parents were faced with bereavement or a poor prognosis. Carers also valued support from other parents of preterm or sick infants who were considered helpful in providing information about the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

healthcare environment or specific morbidities, emotional support, and the provision of hope and comfort.

Fathers also valued emotional support, but often felt that they had to be emotionally strong to support the infant's mother. We had moderate confidence in this finding, because there were only a few studies (especially from low and middle-income countries) that had explored the emotional support needs of fathers.

Healthcare environment

Parents expressed strong views about the environments in which their infants were cared for, especially neonatal units. Carers wanted easy access to their infants. This included mechanisms or initiatives to help them to visit and interact with their infant and, where possible, the co-location of twins. Carers wanted to learn about the complex and sometimes frightening setting (in terms of noises, leads/equipment, and processes) in which they needed to live and care for their infant. They valued the ability to tour the NICU before the birth (where practical, for example, if a preterm or LBW birth was suspected in pregnancy). Carers were also concerned about real, or perceived, issues with staffing levels, equipment availability, and capability of the healthcare system to meet the needs of their infant.

Carers wanted privacy for breastfeeding or everyday family activities or interactions (for example, reading stories) in neonatal units. However, they also understood the need for medical observation, and therefore struggled with the conflict between their desire for seclusion and for close monitoring by staff. This was the descriptive theme for which we

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

identified the most conflicting views, and it was not mentioned in any studies from low or middle-income countries. We therefore have low confidence in this finding.

Information needs met

Carers described wanting a great deal of information relating to the infant's condition, prognosis, investigations and procedures performed, as well as routine information about the infant's daily events. However, they also felt overloaded. There was a tension between wanting to be told about all possible eventualities, and only wanting to know what they needed to know at any particular point in time. Due to this conflict, we had moderate confidence in this finding. Carers also wanted frequent and regular updates from the clinical team (rather than just meetings when sentinel events occur) and open channels of communication. We had moderate confidence in this finding due to a lack of evidence from low and middle-income countries.

Carers wanted HCPs to have good communication skills and to use a variety of information-giving methods. This related to a need for immediacy, an appropriate pace and timing of information-giving, and the opportunity for follow-up discussions. However, what constitutes good communication may vary by parent, culture, and situation. Although we had minor concerns that there was variability in describing what constituted good communication skills in different settings, we graded this finding with high confidence because all carers agreed that they wanted clear and appropriate communication from HCPs. Carers also wanted to feel confident in obtaining information from multiple sources including from HCPs (for example, by being comfortable or enabled to ask questions) and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

supplementing this information via other trusted sources such as leaflets, books and the internet.

Logistical support

Carers wanted a range of practical and logistical support during the first two years of their infant's life. Perhaps most immediately, they needed practical support to travel to see their infant, and support to sleep and live near them during their inpatient stay. In addition, they wanted support for the wider family (for example, crèche facilities for siblings) to allow them to engage in their 'normal' parenting and caring roles, before, and after, discharge. In all settings, carers wanted additional support and recognition of the direct (for example, medical costs) and indirect (for example, travel or lost earnings) financial burdens, both while the infant was an inpatient and afterwards. Finally, parents wanted formal, protected leave to allow them to visit the infant whilst in hospital, and to support them to provide care for a prolonged period after birth. Given the large variation in employment practices and laws across settings, this finding was graded with moderate confidence.

Positive relationships with staff

Carers wanted to develop caring and sensitive relationships with the HCPs caring for their infant. They also wanted consistency in care and communication, so that they could better understand rules, advice, therapeutic plans and predictions of outcome, from and between, different HCPs. We have moderate confidence in this finding, as this was not discussed in studies from low and middle-income countries. Carers wanted HCPs to be well-trained, competent, and able to provide the specialist care needed by the infant. However, carers also desired the development of respectful, collaborative relationships with the HCP,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

where the parent was identified as an important part of the care-team with unique skills and knowledge of the infant, and that they were therefore heard and understood.

DISCUSSION

Main Findings

This systematic qualitative evidence synthesis of a contemporary evidence-base identified eight analytic themes and 31 descriptive themes. Nearly all of our descriptive themes were graded with high or moderate confidence. Families of preterm and LBW infants experience an extremely difficult time in the post-natal period. Whilst the ultimate desire for the majority of parents is a good outcome for their child, we found many other issues of importance to families related to processes within the health care environment. Unwelcoming hospital environments, poor logistical support, poor emotional support, non-collaborative relationships with staff, and lack of information all resulted in a limited ability for parents to engage in activities that matter most to them: to be actively involved in delivering care to, and parenting, their infant. The data also indicated that the attitudes and behaviours of HCPs are very important to families. This includes good communication and social skills and clinical competence as well as consistency between practitioners.

Previous research in this field has highlighted the state of liminality that parents of infants in NICU find themselves in, where parents feel like life is on hold, feel alienated, and struggle with their identity, sometimes not feeling like a real parent.[80 81] This can often lead parents to engaging in a deferential attitude towards the HCP 'experts' as they do not wish to destabilise the status quo for fear of damaging relationships. The results of this qualitative evidence synthesis enhance this picture, revealing that parents want support to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

be able to attend to the care of their infants and require positive relationships with staff from whom they feel fully informed about their infant's progress.

Strengths and weaknesses

We identified more than 200 studies where the views of carers of preterm, or LBW, infants had been investigated, across a range of healthcare and resource settings. Our sampling strategy allowed us to select 49 of these studies that represented a good geographical coverage, whilst retaining the studies with the highest methodological quality and with data that were rich and relevant to the research question.

Agreement in the views of parents appeared coherent across most of the evidence reviewed, but much of the work was performed when the infant was still receiving neonatal care, or about to be transferred to a community setting; little evidence of what mattered to carers in community-based care settings or in later years was identified. In addition, where there was less certainty in what was important to parents (including the ability to be involved in decisions about their infant's care and the need for privacy), our lower confidence in these descriptive themes reflects the variation in how parents discussed these aspects of care, rather than the fact that the issues were not discussed at all.

Although we aimed to focus on what matters to families in the care of their preterm or LBW infant, we acknowledge that families' views were sometimes influenced by their perception of the quality of the care that they had experienced. In addition, although we ensured our search included databases in which studies conducted in low resource countries might be listed (LILACS, African Journals Online) we elected to focus on papers

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

published in English because this was the language of the study team. We did not translate studies from other languages because we were concerned about a loss of meaning and inaccuracies in our representation of the views of parents. However, we do acknowledge that this is a limitation, because papers published in other languages may have described what matters to parents differently.

Implications for Research, Policy and Practice

Enabling a positive post-natal period for families of preterm and LBW infants is challenging. The focus of HCPs and the healthcare system is naturally on the medical needs and well-being of the infant and the parents' practical and emotional needs are secondary to this. However, this review identifies a number of approaches that health care services and professionals can implement to improve the experience of parents. Many of these are consistent with the Family Centred Care model of healthcare which acknowledges the role of family members in supporting the well-being of the hospitalised infant, recognising the mutually beneficial partnerships among HCPs, parents and families.[12]

In this work, we have attempted to sample from a broad range of countries with varying resources, and from different healthcare settings. Given this, it is perhaps surprising how coherent the wishes of carers were across studies. However, practical barriers to implementation of care do affect families in different ways, and support needs to be bespoke between, and even within, different communities. Local services are therefore likely to be best placed to identify what support (for example, financial or logistic) might be most needed in working towards better support for families in this vulnerable period. One example of this may be the involvement of fathers in neonatal care, which is influenced by

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

different cultural, social and legal barriers across different communities. Our conclusions in relation to fathers are graded with moderate, rather than high, confidence and further research is needed in low and middle-income countries to understand how best to involve and support fathers in those settings. We also found limited evidence on the views of extended family members. However, we hope that these data provide a framework for making decision that allows for the consideration of the views and needs of the wider family, especially as they were identified as important sources of support by parents.

Where we graded our findings with moderate or low confidence, this was often because the issues had not been reported in studies from low and middle-income countries. Preterm and LBW infants require high-quality inpatient care in dedicated facilities staffed by specialized HCPs, and this provision is known to be inadequate in many settings.[82] In the absence of appropriate infrastructure and expertise, it is not surprising that families are not able to participate in shared decision-making or express expectations about consistency of care, communication, and their need for privacy. Our data therefore supports calls to "*strengthen and invest*" in care for these infants, "*improve the quality of maternal and newborn care*" and "*harness the power of parents, families and communities*" in these settings.[11]

CONCLUSION

We found high consistency in what matters to families, even though parents and family members reported a variety of experiences in the care of preterm or LBW infants. Local solutions may be best placed to address the needs of families. Most studies to date have been based in, or around, neonatal units. Further research is needed on what matters

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

to parents who require community-based care at birth or after discharge and on the views and needs of fathers and extended family members, especially in low and middle-income countries.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

CONTRIBUTORSHIP STATEMENT

LH, DO and FW conceived and designed the study. MM designed the search strategy (in consultation with other review authors) and performed the database searches. LH, DO, HB, ED, TI and AA screened, selected and reviewed eligible reports. LH, DO, HB, ED and FW extracted data. LH, DO and FW completed the first draft of the paper. All authors commented on and revised the paper, and approved the final version. LH is the guarantor for the paper, and accepts full responsibility for the finished work and/or the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Clive Gregory, Research Manager, for his support during the set-up of this project, and to Delyth Morris, Cardiff University Subject Librarian, and other Cardiff University library staff for their support in accessing the publications included in this review.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the World Health Organization (grant number 2021/1113382). WHO commissioned the review for the Guideline Development Group meeting for the development of WHO recommendations on care of the preterm or LBW infant. They assisted in formulating the research question and provided inputs on the synthesis of the results and manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval was not required for this qualitative evidence synthesis because no primary data were collected.

DATA AVAILABILITY

No primary data were collected for this qualitative evidence synthesis. All data used are available in the original articles, manuscript, supporting information, and appendices.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

REFERENCES

1. Murray C, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2197–223. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61689-4.

2. Marlow N, Bennett C, Draper E, et al. Perinatal outcomes for extremely preterm babies in relation to place of birth in England: the EPICure 2 study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2014;99:181-8. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2013-305555.

3. Lawn J, Blencowe H, Oza S, et al. Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. *Lancet* 2014;384(9938):189-205. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60496-7.

4. Santhakumaran S, Statnikov Y, Gray D, et al. Survival of very preterm infants admitted to neonatal care in England 2008–2014: time trends and regional variation. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2018;103(3):F208-F15. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2017-312748.

5. Younge N, Goldstein R, Bann C, et al. Survival and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes among Periviable Infants. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376(7):617–28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1605566.

6. Lagerstrom M, Bremme K, Eneroth P, et al. Long-term development for girls and boys at age 16-18 as related to birth weight and gestational age. *Int J Psychophysiol* 1994;17:175–80. doi: 10.1016/0167-8760(94)90033-7.

7. Johnson S, Hennessy E, Smith R, et al. Academic attainment and special educational needs in extremely preterm children at 11 years of age: the EPICure study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2009;94(4):F283-9. doi: 10.1136/adc.2008.152793.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

8. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on interventions to improve preterm birth outcomes. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/maternal_perinatal_health/preterm-birth-guideline/en/ (accessed May 28 2021).

9. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard M, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of preterm birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected countries: a systematic analysis and implications. *Lancet* 2012;379(9832):2162-72. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60820-4.

10. Blencowe H, Krasevec J, De Onis M, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of low birthweight in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2019;7(7):e849-e60. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30565-5.

11. World Health Organization & UNICEF. Survive and Thrive. Transforming care for every small and sick newborn Geneva: WHO; 2019 https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241515887 (accessed March 14 2022).

12. Gooding J, Cooper L, Blaine A, et al. Family support and family-centered care in the neonatal intensive care unit: origins, advances, impact. *Semin Perinatol* 2011;35(1):20-28. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2010.10.004.

13. Henderson J, Carson C, Redshaw M. Impact of preterm birth on maternal well-being and women's perceptions of their baby: a population-based survey. *BMJ Open* 2016;6(10):e012676. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012676.

14. Lakshmanan A, Agni M, Lieu T, et al. The impact of preterm birth< 37 weeks on parents and families: a cross-sectional study in the 2 years after discharge from the neonatal

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

intensive care unit. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2017;15:38. doi: 10.1186/s12955-017-0602-3.

15. Webbe J, Duffy J, Afonso E, et al. Core outcomes in neonatology: development of a core outcome set for neonatal research. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2020;105(4):425-31. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2019-317501.
16. Janvier A, Farlow B, Baardsnes J, et al. Measuring and communicating meaningful outcomes in neonatology: a family perspective. *Semin Perinatol* 2016;40(8):571-77. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2016.09.009.
17. Tong A, Flemming K, McInnes E, et al. Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2012;12(1):1-8. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-12-181.
18. World Health Organization. Preterm birth. Geneva: WHO; 2018 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth (accessed May 28 2021).
19. World Health Organization. UNICEF-WHO. Low birthweight estimates. Levels and trends 2000–2015. Geneva: WHO; 2019 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/324783/WHO-NMH-NHD-19.21-eng.pdf?ua=1 (accessed May 28 2021).
20. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. CASP Qualitative Checklist. 2018 https://casp-uk.b-cdn.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/CASP-Qualitative-Checklist-2018_fillable_form.pdf (accessed May 28 2021).
21. EPOC. EPOC Qualitative Evidence Syntheses guidance on when to sampleand how to develop a purposive sampling frame. EPOC Resources for review authors. 2017

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

http://epoc.cochrane.org/resources/epoc-resources-review-authors (accessed June 9 2021).

22. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2008;8:45. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-8-45.

23. QSR International Pty Ltd. NVivo (Version 12). 2018 https://www.qsrinternational.com/nvivo-qualitative-data-analysis-software/home (accessed August 8 2021).

24. Lewin S, Booth A, Glenton C, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings: introduction to the series. *Implement Sci* 2018;13(Suppl 1):2. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0688-3.

25. Munthe-Kaas H, Bohren M, Glenton C, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings - paper 3: how to assess methodological limitations. *Implement Sci* 2018;13(Suppl 1):9. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0690-9.

26. Colvin C, Garside R, Wainwright M, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings - paper 4: how to assess coherence. *Implement Sci* 2018;13(Suppl 1):13. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0691-8.

27. Glenton C, Carlsen B, Lewin S, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings - paper 5: how to assess adequacy of data. *Implement Sci* 2018;13(Suppl 1):14. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0692-7.

28. Noyes J, Booth A, Lewin S, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 6: how to assess relevance of the data. *Implement Sci* 2018;13(Suppl 1):4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0693-6.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

29. Abeasi DA, Emelife B. What mothers go through when the unexpected happens: A look at challenges of mothers with preterm babies during hospitalization in a tertiary institution in Nigeria. *J Nurs Midwifery Sci* 2020;7(1):22-29. doi: 10.4103/JNMS.JNMS_39_19.

30. Adama EA, Sundin D, Bayes S. Ghanaian fathers' experiences of caring for preterm infants; a journey of exclusion. *J Neonatal Nurs* 2017;23(6):275-81. doi: 10.1016/j.jnn.2017.05.003.

31. Amorim M, Alves E, Kelly-Irving M, et al. Needs of parents of very preterm infants in Neonatal Intensive Care Units: A mixed methods study. *Intens Crit Care Nurs* 2019;54:88-95. doi: 10.1016/j.iccn.2019.05.003.

32. Arnold L, Sawyer A, Rabe H, et al. Parents' first moments with their very preterm babies: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open* 2013;3(4):e002487. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-002487.

33. Aydon L, Hauck Y, Murdoch J, et al. Transition from hospital to home: Parents' perception of their preparation and readiness for discharge with their preterm infant. *J Clin Nurs* 2018;27(1-2):269-77. doi: 10.1111/jocn.13883.

34. Blomqvist YT, Rubertsson C, Kylberg E, et al. Kangaroo Mother Care helps fathers of preterm infants gain confidence in the paternal role. *J Adv Nurs* 2012;68(9):1988-96. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2011.05886.x.

35. Brodsgaard A, Zimmermann R, Petersen M. A preterm lifeline: Early discharge programme based on family-centred care. *J Spec Pediatr Nurs* 2015;20(4):232-43. doi: 10.1111/jspn.12120.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

36. Chang Lee S, Long A, Boore J. Taiwanese women's experiences of becoming a mother to a very-low-birth-weight preterm infant: a grounded theory study. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2009;46(3):326-36. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2008.10.004.

37. Dorner RA, Boss RD, Burton VJ, et al. Parent preferences for neurodevelopmental screening in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2020;62(4):500-05. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14457.

38. dos Santos LC, Balaminut T, Deggau Hegeto de Souza SN, et al. Perception of premature infants' mothers on home visits before and after hospital discharge. *Invest Educ Enferm* 2014;32(3):393-400. doi: 10.17533/udea.iee.v32n3a04.

39. Feeley N, Waitzer E, Sherrard K, et al. Fathers' perceptions of the barriers and facilitators to their involvement with their newborn hospitalised in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Clin Nurs* 2013;22(3-4):521-30. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2012.04231.x.

40. Fernandez-Medina IM, Granero-Molina J, Hernandez-Padilla JM, et al. Socio-family support for parents of technology-dependent extremely preterm infants after hospital discharge. *J Child Health Care* 2021:1367493521996490. doi: 10.1177/1367493521996490.

41. Finlayson K, Dixon A, Smith C, et al. Mothers' perceptions of family centred care in neonatal intensive care units. *Sex Reprod Healthc* 2014;5(3):119-24. doi: 10.1016/j.srhc.2014.06.003.

42. Franck LS, McNulty A, Alderdice F. The Perinatal-Neonatal Care Journey for Parents of Preterm Infants What Is Working and What Can Be Improved. *J Perinat Neonat Nur* 2017;31(3):244-55. doi: 10.1097/jpn.0000000000000273.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

43. Gallegos-Martinez J, Reyes-Hernandez J, Scochi CG. The hospitalized preterm newborn: the significance of parents' participation in the Neonatal Unit. *Rev Lat-Am Enferm* 2013;21(6):1360-6. doi: 10.1590/0104-1169.2970.2375.

44. Glazer KB, Sofaer S, Balbierz A, et al. Perinatal care experiences among racially and ethnically diverse mothers whose infants required a NICU stay. *J Perinatol* 2021;41(3):413-21. doi: 10.1038/s41372-020-0721-2.

45. Granrud MD, Ludvigsen E, Andershed B. Parents' Experiences of Their Premature Infants' Transportation From a University Hospital NICU to the NICU at Two Local Hospitals. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2014;29(4):e11-8. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2014.01.014.

46. Guillaume S, Michelin N, Amrani E, et al. Parents' expectations of staff in the early bonding process with their premature babies in the intensive care setting: a qualitative multicenter study with 60 parents. *BMC Pediatr* 2013;13:18. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-13-18.

47. Hägi-Pedersen M-B, Kronborg H, Norlyk A. Knowledge of mothers and fathers' experiences of the early in-home care of premature infants supported by video consultations with a neonatal nurse. *BMC Nurs* 2021;20(1):1-10. doi: 10.1186/s12912-021-00572-9.

48. Hendriks MJ, Abraham A. End-of-Life Decision Making for Parents of Extremely Preterm Infants. *JOGNN - J Obst Gyn Neo* 2017;46(5):727-36. doi: 10.1016/j.jogn.2017.06.006.

49. Ignell Mode R, Mard E, Nyqvist KH, et al. Fathers' perception of information received during their infants' stay at a neonatal intensive care unit. *Sex Reprod Healthc* 2014;5(3):131-6. doi: 10.1016/j.srhc.2014.05.001.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

50. Jantsch LB, Alves TF, Arrue AM, et al. Health care network (dis)articulation in late and moderate prematurity. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2021;74(5):e20200524. doi: 10.1590/0034-7167-2020-0524.

51. Kim AR. Addressing the Needs of Mothers with Infants in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: A Qualitative Secondary Analysis. *Asian Nurs Res* 2020;14(5):327-37. doi: 10.1016/j.anr.2020.09.004.

52. Klawetter S, Neu M, Roybal KL, et al. Mothering in the NICU: A qualitative exploration of maternal engagement. *Soc Work Health Care* 2019;58(8):746-63. doi: 10.1080/00981389.2019.1629152.

53. Leonard A, Mayers P. Parents' lived experience of providing kangaroo care to their preterm infants. *Health SA Gesondheid* 2008;13(4):16-28. doi: 10.4102/hsag.v13i4.401.

54. Lian BX, Amin Z, Sensaki S, et al. An active pursuit of reassurance-coping strategies of fathers with infants in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *J Perinatol* 2020;10:10. doi: 10.1038/s41372-020-00853-2.

55. Liu LX, Mozafarinia M, Axelin A, et al. Parents' Experiences of Support in NICU Single-Family Rooms. *Neonatal Netw* 2019;38(2):88-97. doi: 10.1891/0730-0832.38.2.88.

56. Lomotey AY, Bam V, Diji AK, et al. Experiences of mothers with preterm babies at a Mother and Baby Unit of a tertiary hospital: A descriptive phenomenological study. *Nurs Open* 2020;7(1):150-59. doi: 10.1002/nop2.373.

57. Lorie ES, Wreesmann WW, van Veenendaal NR, et al. Parents' needs and perceived gaps in communication with healthcare professionals in the neonatal (intensive) care unit: A qualitative interview study. *Patient Educ Couns* 2021;104(7):1518-25. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2020.12.007.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

58. Lundqvist P, Weis J, Sivberg B. Parents' journey caring for a preterm infant until discharge from hospital-based neonatal home care-A challenging process to cope with. *J Clin Nurs* 2019;28(15-16):2966-78. doi: 10.1111/jocn.14891.

59. Mihae I, Jina O. Nursing support perceived by mothers of preterm infants in a neonatal intensive care unit in South Korea. *Child Health Nurs Res* 2021;27(2):146-59. doi: 10.4094/chnr.2021.27.2.146.

60. Ncube RK, Barlow H, Mayers PM. A life uncertain - My baby's vulnerability: Mothers' lived experience of connection with their preterm infants in a Botswana neonatal intensive care unit. *Curationis* 2016;39(1):e1-9. doi: 10.4102/curationis.v39i1.1575.

61. Neu M, Klawetter S, Greenfield JC, et al. Mothers' Experiences in the NICU Before Family-Centered Care and in NICUs Where It Is the Standard of Care. *Adv Neonatal Care* 2020;20(1):68-79. doi: 10.1097/anc.0000000000000671.

62. Noren J, Nyqvist KH, Rubertsson C, et al. Becoming a mother - Mothers' experience of Kangaroo Mother Care. *Sex Reprod Healthc* 2018;16:181-85. doi: 10.1016/j.srhc.2018.04.005.

63. Nyondo-Mipando AL, Kinshella MW, Salimu S, et al. "It brought hope and peace in my heart:" Caregivers perceptions on kangaroo mother care services in Malawi. *BMC Pediatr* 2020;20(1):541. doi: 10.1186/s12887-020-02443-9.

64. Olsson E, Eriksson M, Anderzen-Carlsson A. Skin-to-Skin Contact Facilitates More Equal Parenthood - A Qualitative Study From Fathers' Perspective. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2017;34:e2-e9. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2017.03.004.

65. Orapiriyakul R, Jirapaet V, Rodcumdee B. Struggling to get connected: the process of maternal attachment to the preterm infant in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Thai J Nurs Res* 2007;11(4):251-63. doi: Not Found.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

66. Petty J, Jarvis J, Thomas R. Understanding parents' emotional experiences for neonatal education: A narrative, interpretive approach. *J Clin Nurs* 2019;28(9/10):1911-24. doi: 10.1111/jocn.14807.

67. Petty J, Jarvis J, Thomas R. Listening to the parent voice to inform person-centred neonatal care. *J Neonatal Nurs* 2019;25(3):121-26. doi: 10.1016/j.jnn.2019.01.005.

68. Petty J, Whiting L, Green J, et al. Parents' views on preparation to care for extremely premature infants at home. *Nurs Child Young People* 2018;05:05. doi: 10.7748/ncyp.2018.e1084.

69. Premji SS, Currie G, Reilly S, et al. A qualitative study: Mothers of late preterm infants relate their experiences of community-based care. *PLoS One* 2017;12(3):e0174419. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174419.

70. Rossman B, Engstrom JL, Meier PP, et al. "They've Walked in My Shoes": Mothers of Very Low Birth Weight Infants and Their Experiences With Breastfeeding Peer Counselors in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *J Hum Lact* 2011;27(1):14-24. doi: 10.1177/0890334410390046.

71. Russell G, Sawyer A, Rabe H, et al. Parents' views on care of their very premature babies in neonatal intensive care units: a qualitative study. *BMC Pediatr* 2014;14:230. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-230.

72. Sawyer A, Rabe H, Abbott J, et al. Parents' experiences and satisfaction with care during the birth of their very preterm baby: a qualitative study. *BJOG - Int J Obstet Gy* 2013;120(5):637-43. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.12104.

73. Skene C, Franck L, Curtis P, et al. Parental involvement in neonatal comfort care. *JOGNN - J Obst Gyn Neo* 2012;41(6):786-97. doi: 10.1111/j.1552-6909.2012.01393.x.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

74. Treherne SC, Feeley N, Charbonneau L, et al. Parents' Perspectives of Closeness and Separation With Their Preterm Infants in the NICU. *JOGNN - J Obst Gyn Neo* 2017;46(5):737-47. doi: 10.1016/j.jogn.2017.07.005.

75. Unsworth S, Barsosio HC, Achieng F, et al. Caregiver experiences and health care worker perspectives of accessing health care for low birth weight infants in rural Kenya. *Paediatr Int Child Health* 2021:1-9. doi: 10.1080/20469047.2021.1881269.

76. Veronez M, Borghesan NAB, Correa DAM, et al. Experience of mothers of premature babies from birth to discharge: notes of field journals. *Rev Gaucha Enferm* 2017;38(2):e60911. doi: 10.1590/1983-1447.2017.02.60911.

77. Villeneuve E, Landa P, Allen M, et al. A framework to address key issues of neonatal service configuration in England: the NeoNet multimethods study. NIHR Journals Library Health Services and Delivery Research, 2018:159. doi: 10.3310/hsdr06350.

78. Wernet M, de Carvalho Mesquita Ayres JR, Silveira Viera C, et al. Mother recognition in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2015;68(2):203-09. doi: 10.1590/0034-7167.2015680207i.

79. Yu XY, Zhang J, Yuan L. Chinese Parents' Lived Experiences of having Preterm Infants in NICU: A Qualitative Study. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2020;50:E48-E54. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2019.11.002.

80. Flacking R, Ewald U, Starrin B. “I wanted to do a good job”: experiences of ‘becoming a mother’and breastfeeding in mothers of very preterm infants after discharge from a neonatal unit. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64(12):2405-16. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.03.008.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

81. Jackson K, Ternestedt B, Schollin J. From alienation to familiarity: experiences of mothers and fathers of preterm infants. *J Adv Nurs* 2003;43(2):120-29. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2648.2003.02686.x.

82. Moxon S, Lawn J, Dickson K, et al. Inpatient care of small and sick newborns: a multi-country analysis of health system bottlenecks and potential solutions. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2015;15:S7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-15-S2-S7

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.22.22276770; this version posted June 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1. Prisma flow diagram



* Studies could be excluded for more than one reason; only one reason per paper noted here