medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Title: Nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir for early COVID-19 and hospitalization in a large US health system

Authors:

Scott Dryden-Peterson, MD, MSc

Andy Kim, BS

Arthur Y. Kim, MD

Ellen C. Caniglia, ScD

Inga Lennes, MD, MPH, MBA

Rajesh Patel, MD, MPH

Lindsay Gainer, RN

Lisa Dutton, RN

Elizabeth Donahue, RN, MSN, NP-C

Rajesh T. Gandhi, MD

Lindsey R. Baden, MD

Ann E. Woolley, MD, MPH

Author Affiliations:

Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts (Dryden-Peterson, Kim, Baden, Dutton, Donahue, Woolley);

Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health (Dryden-Peterson);

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Botswana Harvard AIDS Institute (Dryden-Peterson);

Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts (Kim, Lennes, Gandhi);

Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Caniglia)

Mass General Brigham Integrated Care (Gainer);

Beth Israel Lahey Health, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Patel)

Corresponding Author: Scott Dryden-Peterson, MD, MSc, Division of Infectious Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis Street, Boston, MA 02115. Work: (617) 732-8881. sldrydenpeterson@bwh.harvard.edu

Acknowledgement: This work was made possible with help from the Harvard University Center for AIDS Research (CFAR), a funded program of the National Institutes of Health (P30 AI060354) and the National Cancer Institute (R01 CA236546). The contents of this manuscript are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated. The funding source had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Drs. Dryden-Peterson and Woolley had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract:

Background: In the EPIC-HR trial, nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir led to an 88% reduction in hospitalization or death among unvaccinated outpatients with early COVID-19. Clinical impact of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir among vaccinated populations is uncertain.

Objective: To assess whether nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir reduces risk of hospitalization among outpatients with early COVID-19 in the setting of prevalent SARS-CoV-2 immunity and immune evasive SARS-CoV-2 lineages.

Design: Population-based cohort study analyzed to emulate a clinical trial utilizing two-stage, inverse-probability weighted models to account for anticipated bias in testing and treatment.

Setting: A large healthcare system providing care for 1.5 million patients in Massachusetts and New Hampshire during Omicron wave (January 1 to May 15, 2022) with staged access and capacity to prescribe nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir.

Patients: 30,322 non-hospitalized adults (87.2% vaccinated) aged 50 and older with COVID-19 and without contraindications to nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir.

Measurement: Primary outcome was hospitalization within 14 days of COVID-19 diagnosis.

Results: During the study period, 6036 (19.9%) patients were prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir and 24,286 (80.1%) patients were not. Patients prescribed nirmatrelvir were more likely to be older, have more comorbidities, and be unvaccinated. Hospitalization occurred in 40 (0.66%) and 232 (0.96%) patients prescribed and not prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir, respectively. The adjusted risk ratio was 0.55 (95%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

confidence interval 0.38 to 0.80, p = 0.002). Observed risk reduction was greater among unvaccinated patients and obese patients.

Limitations: Potential for residual confounding due to differential access and uptake of COVID-19 vaccines, diagnostics, and treatment.

Conclusions: The overall risk of hospitalization was already low (<1%) following an outpatient diagnosis of COVID-19, but this risk was 45% lower among patients prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir.

Funding: National Institutes of Health (P30 AI060354 and R01 CA236546).

Introduction:

The oral SARS-CoV-2 protease inhibitor nirmatrelvir, co-administered with the pharmacokinetic booster ritonavir, decreased the risk of progression to severe COVID-19 by 88% among unvaccinated high-risk patients with mild to moderate disease who enrolled when Delta (B.1.617.2) was the predominant circulating variant.[1] Nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir was granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) in the United States in December 2021 for early COVID-19 and the national strategy encouraged broad utilization in increased risk individuals, regardless of vaccination status, to prevent hospital crowding.[2,3] The World Health Organization recommended nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir in April 2022, but only for the highest risk individuals (>10% probability of hospitalization) and advised against use in most vaccinated and other lower risk individuals.[4] Improved understanding of the clinical effectiveness of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir is needed to inform individual and public health decisions, particularly among

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

vaccinated individuals infected by Omicron (B.1.1.529, BA.1, BA.2, and other immune evasive sub-lineages).

Methods:

Setting and Data

We utilized data from Mass General Brigham, a large non-profit, integrated healthcare system (1.5 million annual patients) that includes two large academic hospitals, seven community hospitals, and a network of ambulatory clinics and community health centers throughout Massachusetts and southern New Hampshire. During this period, Omicron lineages BA.1.1, BA.2, and BA.2.12.1 were predominant in the region.[5] Nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir became available for prescription by Mass General Brigham providers for the highest risk individuals on January 21, 2022 and for all EUA-eligible patients on February 22, 2022. The study was approved by the Mass General Brigham Human Research Committee institutional review board and informed consent was waived.

Mass General Brigham hospitals and clinics utilize a shared electronic health record (EHR) (Epic Systems, Verona, WI). Dates of positive SARS-CoV-2 testing (including both polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests as well as recorded home antigen tests that were documented in the EHR), inpatient admissions at one of the nine Mass General Brigham hospitals, patient demographics, comorbidities, concomitant home medications, COVID-19 treatments, COVID-19 vaccination status, and any deaths were obtained from an integrated COVID-19 data repository. Data was validated by manual review of medical records by two physicians. Similar to all other

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

prescriptions, outpatient orders for nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir were required to be electronically transmitted to pharmacies per regulations in Massachusetts and New Hampshire (verbal and paper prescriptions were only permitted during system failures). Patients electronically prescribed courses of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir were categorized as being in the treatment group.

Patients

Individuals aged 50 years and older with a new diagnosis of COVID-19 (no prior positive molecular testing in preceding 90 days) between January 1 and May 15, 2022 and residing in Massachusetts or New Hampshire were included. Patient medical conditions, vaccination history, medications used at time of diagnosis, height and weight, self-reported race and ethnicity, and home zip code were obtained from the EHR. Recorded medical conditions and age were used to calculate the monoclonal antibody screening score (MASS), a comorbidity index that is predictive of COVID-19 hospitalization risk,[6,7] for each patient. Patients were considered immunocompromised if they were receiving immunosuppressive medications (eg, prednisone, TNF inhibitors, calcineurin inhibitors, mTOR inhibitors, anti-CD20 antibodies), had an active malignancy, received a stem cell or solid organ transplant, or HIV infection irrespective of CD4 cell count.

Patients who received other approved treatments for early COVID-19— anti-SARS CoV-2 monoclonal antibodies (bebtelovimab or sotrovimab), molnupiravir, and outpatient remdesivir— were excluded. Patients with estimated glomerular filtration (eGFR) less than 30

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

mL/min or taking common medications where coadministration with nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir is not advised (i.e., cyclosporine, tacrolimus, everolimus, sirolimus, clopidogrel, rivaroxaban, amiodarone, carbamazepine, phenytoin, and ranolazine) were also excluded.

Effectiveness

The primary objective was to assess the effectiveness of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir in reducing the risk of hospitalization in the 14 days following an outpatient COVID-19 diagnosis among individuals 50 years and older (hospitalizations through May 29, 2022). While nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir became preferred therapy at Mass General Brigham in January 2022, limitations in supply, pharmacy locations, patient acceptance, provider awareness and clinical capacity to prescribe resulted in staged patient access. We compared patients prescribed versus patients not prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir to estimate the effectiveness of the treatment. After initial review of data, deaths occurring within 28 days of COVID-19 diagnosis were added as a secondary assessment of effectiveness.

The study included recorded COVID-19 infections occurring between January 1 and May 15, 2022 and any subsequent hospitalizations (through May 29, 2022) or deaths (through June 12, 2022).

Statistical analysis

We sought to emulate a clinical trial utilizing observational data where nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir was assigned randomly among EUA-eligible individuals with early COVID-19 in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

context of high prevalence of prior immunity and the current circulating variants. Date of COVID-19 diagnosis was used as start of period of risk in both study arms. In accordance with EUA requirements, patients receiving nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir started within first five days of symptoms. We anticipated bias related to vaccination, medical history, healthcare access, and other factors both at the stage of COVID-19 diagnosis (outpatient diagnosis versus diagnosis at time of admission) and at the stage of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir prescription. To better achieve exchangeability between patients prescribed and not prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir, we utilized a two-stage, inverse-probability weighted design. Testing and treatment weights were separately generated using logistic models including *a priori* determined factors of age (50 to 64, 65 to 79, or 80 years and older), comorbidity score (MASS 1 to 3, 4 and 5, or 6 and higher), vaccination status (complete vaccination or incomplete/no vaccination), recency of last vaccine dose (less than 20 weeks or longer than 20 weeks per observed decreased protection against severe disease[8]), self-reported race and ethnicity (Hispanic or Latinx, White non-Hispanic or Latinx, Black non-Hispanic or Latinx, Asian non-Hispanic or Latinx, or Other/Unavailable), and socioeconomic vulnerability of residential address (75th percentile and higher or lower than 75th percentile of Massachusetts-specific Social Vulnerability Index[9] averaged over zip code geography). The product of the testing and treatment weights were used to generate a pseudo-population[10] to estimate the effect of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir if all patients diagnosed with COVID-19 received their diagnosis as an outpatient and prescribing was not biased based on the included factors. A modified Poisson model using robust error variance[11] and general estimating equations[12,13] was used to estimate relative risk reduction with nirmatrelvir plus

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

ritonavir compared with no treatment in the pseudo-population generated by the product of the testing and treatment weights.

Results:

Study population and nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir prescription

Between January 1 and May 15, 2022, a total of 78,474 Mass General Brigham patients were diagnosed with COVID-19 of which 31,460 were 50 years and older and were eligible for this study. Among these patients, 1138 were first diagnosed at the time of hospital admission or death and were only included in the development of testing weights. The remaining 30,322 patients were diagnosed as outpatients and eligible for nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir (Figure 1).

The study period included an improving winter SARS-CoV-2 wave (January and February 2022) during which access to nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir was severely limited and an intensifying spring wave (April and May 2022) with greater access and provider willingness to prescribe nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir. Cases, hospitalizations, and prescriptions of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir throughout the study period are shown in Figure 2.

The baseline characteristics of the analysis population are shown in Table 1. Nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir was prescribed to 6036 patients and was more likely to be prescribed to patients with older age, higher comorbidity scores, and completed vaccination. As evidenced by findings from the multivariable logistic model used to calculate treatment weights, patients residing in socioeconomically vulnerable zip codes (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 0.68, 95% confidence

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

interval [CI] 0.60 to 0.76, p<0.001) and patients reporting Hispanic or Latinx ethnicity (aOR 0.45, 95% CI 0.38 to 0.53, p<0.001), or Black race (aOR 0.43, 95% CI 0.34 to 0.52, p<0.001) were less likely to be prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir compared with higher income regions and patients reporting White race.

Hospitalizations and deaths

The primary endpoint of hospitalization within 14 days of incident COVID-19 infection occurred in 40 (0.66%) patients prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir and 232 (0.96%) who did not receive nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir. None of the hospitalizations among nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir recipients were attributable to the described rebound syndrome.[14] The adjusted risk ratio was 0.55 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.38 to 0.80, p = 0.002). The observed reduction in risk was similar across age, socioeconomic vulnerability, and comorbidities. However, nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir was associated with increased protective activity among patients with BMI 30 kg/m^2 and higher compared with non-obese patients (p = 0.007). While difference did not reach statistical significance, nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir appeared to have greater activity among incompletely vaccinated individuals (p = 0.052), Figure 3.

A total of 39 deaths within 28 days of COVID-19 diagnosis were observed among the 30,322 study patients diagnosed as outpatients. All deaths occurred among patients who were not prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir. Thirteen of the patients died while hospitalized after COVID-19 diagnosis and 28 (74%) of the deaths occurred in vaccinated patients.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion:

We emulated a clinical trial using observational data to evaluate the effectiveness of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir among patients 50 years and older in preventing hospitalization in the context of high vaccination prevalence and an intense Omicron epidemic. The observed rate of hospitalization was low (less than 1%) among patients diagnosed with COVID-19 as outpatients, but we found that nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir was associated with a further 45% reduction in the risk of hospitalization.

In both the randomized trial and our analysis of observational data, there was a reduction in the rate of COVID-19 related hospitalization and death in the nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir arm. However, there are some important differences in study contexts that may account for a lower magnitude of risk reduction compared to the randomized controlled trial. The EPIC-HR study[1] enrolled only unvaccinated individuals (median age 46) and had a 7% hospitalization rate in the placebo arm compared to our study which included mainly vaccinated patients (median age 62) and had an overall 1% hospitalization rate. It is possible that hospitalization risk cannot be reduced much further particularly among vulnerable patients. However, the 84% risk reduction seen in the subgroup analysis of unvaccinated individuals in our study was similar to the risk reduction observed in EPIC-HR of unvaccinated individuals. In addition, EPIC-HR excluded patients likely to require hospitalization within two days of randomization and less than 1% were admitted in this interval, however, 35% of the hospitalizations in the nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir arm of our study occurred within two days of prescription.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

The results of our study also support the findings of two analyses of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir in the same health system in Israel, though the methodology, inclusion criteria, and statistical analysis plans differ. These studies do not attempt to account for bias in early diagnosis or prescription, but rather balance the risk of hospitalization through standard Cox multivariable regression. Utilizing data from January and February of 2022, Najjar-Debbiny et al. similarly excluded patients diagnosed at time of admission and estimated a 46% reduction in hospitalization.[15] In a more recent analysis, Arbel *et al*.[16]estimated a 77% reduction in hospitalization risk among patients 65 and older but greater risk reduction likely due to inclusion of patients diagnosed during admission and an immortal time bias.[16,17]

One of the strengths of our study is the large sample size which allowed us to estimate the risk reduction of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir for specific subpopulations of interest. Overall, we observed a relatively consistent protective effect of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir despite greatly varying hospitalization rates across groups. While unvaccinated patients appear to have experienced greater proportional reduction in risk (non-significant finding), vaccinated patients also had risk reduction. Similarly, there was a trend towards increased protection among those who received a vaccine dose more that 20 weeks prior. While not statistically significant the estimated risk reduction appeared to decrease with increasing age in contrast with results from study from Israel.[15] Our use of a binary endpoint does not capture any effect of delaying admission among older patients at high risk that may have been detected in a time-to-event approach used by Najjar-Debbiny *et al*. Additionally, procedures in place in some skilled nursing facilities or elder home care programs encourage admission in context of COVID-19 pre-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

emptively or for infection control. While hospitalization risk is higher among obese individuals,[18] the significant finding of enhanced risk reduction by nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir was unexpected and should be further explored.

The findings of this study should be interpreted in the context of several limitations. This was an observational retrospective study with residual confounding and selection bias. We attempted to minimize some of the selection bias by excluding patients whose initial diagnosis of COVID-19 coincided with their admission date as those patients were more likely to have had a delay in diagnosis and therefore more advanced disease at the time of diagnosis. This led to a lower hospitalization rate for the analyzed cohort than what was seen in the overall Mass General Brigham population during this time period. While the majority of hospitalizations for the study population would have been captured in the EHR given Mass General Brigham's large healthcare system incorporating nine hospitals, hospitalizations outside our system were not included. Positive home antigen tests are incompletely captured in the EHR. While bias introduced was mitigated by two-stage design, residual bias was likely. Our analysis included an intention to treat approach but adherence to nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir may have been lower in our real-world study and some patients may not have even taken the prescribed treatment. potentially underestimating of the efficacy of this treatment.

The majority of COVID-19 admissions during the study period—1138 of 1410 hospitalizations—occurred among patients diagnosed at time of admission. Patients residing in socioeconomically vulnerable regions and patients identifying as Black or as Hispanic or Latinx were more than

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

twice as likely to be diagnosed only during hospital admission. Similarly, among patients successfully diagnosed with COVID-19 as outpatients, these same groups experienced much lower rates of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir prescription. To realize the public health potential of outpatient COVID-19 therapy we must address this gap and these disparities.

This study estimates a moderate effectiveness of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir for preventing hospitalizations in a noncontrolled setting for a largely vaccinated population of individuals aged 50 or older. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the estimated benefit likely increases for individuals who are further out from their last vaccine dose, which is one of the key findings from this study with public health implications. Though its clinical impact may be reduced in vaccinated individuals, these results strengthen the expectation that nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir is an effective oral antiviral treatment in preventing individuals at increased risk for illness progression from requiring hospitalization due to COVID-19. It will be important to continue to assess the clinical efficacy of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir in context of future variants and in relation to other therapeutic options.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Hammond J, Leister-Tebbe H, Gardner A, et al. Oral Nirmatrelvir for High-Risk, Nonhospitalized Adults with Covid-19. *New Engl J Medicine*. 2022;386(15):NEJMoa2118542. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2118542

2. House TW. National COVID-19 Preparedness Plan. Published online March 1, 2022. Accessed May 27, 2022. https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/NAT-COVID-19-PREPAREDNESS-PLAN.pdf

3. House TW. COVID Plan. Accessed May 27, 2022. https://www.whitehouse.gov/covidplan/

4. WHO. Therapeutics and COVID-19: living guideline. Published online April 22, 2022. Accessed May 27, 2022. https://files.magicapp.org/guideline/29b7d717-7bfd-415e-b642-cc70bf70ec1e/published_guideline_6141-10_0.pdf

5. Lambrou AS, Shirk P, Steele MK, et al. Genomic Surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 Variants: Predominance of the Delta (B.1.617.2) and Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variants - United States, June 2021-January 2022. *Mmwr Morbidity Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2022;71(6):206-211. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7106a4

6. Ganesh R, Philpot LM, Bierle DM, et al. Real-World Clinical Outcomes of Bamlanivimab and Casirivimab-Imdevimab among High-Risk Patients with Mild to Moderate Coronavirus Disease 2019. *J Infect Dis*. 2021;224(8):jiab377. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiab377

7. O'Horo JC, Challener DW, Speicher L, et al. Effectiveness of Monoclonal Antibodies in Preventing Severe COVID-19 With Emergence of the Delta Variant. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2022;97(2):327-332. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.12.002

8. Bar-On YM, Goldberg Y, Mandel M, et al. Protection of BNT162b2 Vaccine Booster against Covid-19 in Israel. *New Engl J Medicine*. 2021;385(15):NEJMoa2114255. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2114255

9. Registry CDC Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease. CDC Social Vulnerability Index 2018 Database. Published online 2020. https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/placeandhealth/svi/data_documentation_download.html

10. Cole SR, Hernan MA. Constructing inverse probability weights for marginal structural models. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2008;168(6):656-664. doi:10.1093/aje/kwn164

11. Zou G. A Modified Poisson Regression Approach to Prospective Studies with Binary Data. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2004;159(7):702-706. doi:10.1093/aje/kwh090

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

12. Halekoh U, Højsgaard S, Yan J. The R Package geepack for Generalized Estimating Equations. *J Stat Softw*. 2006;15(2). doi:10.18637/jss.v015.i02

13. Liang KY, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika*. 1986;73(1):13-22.

14. Boucau J, Uddin R, Marino C, et al. Virologic characterization of symptom rebound following nirmatrelvir-ritonavir treatment for COVID-19. *Medrxiv*. Published online 2022:2022.05.24.22275326. doi:10.1101/2022.05.24.22275326

15. Najjar-Debbiny R, Gronich N, Weber G, et al. Effectiveness of Paxlovid in Reducing Severe COVID-19 and Mortality in High Risk Patients. *Clin Infect Dis*. Published online 2022:ciac443-. doi:10.1093/cid/ciac443

16. Arbel R, Sagy YW, Hoshen M, et al. Oral Nirmatrelvir and Severe Covid-19 Outcomes During the Omicron Surge. Published online 2022. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-1705061/v1

17. Lévesque LE, Hanley JA, Kezouh A, Suissa S. Problem of immortal time bias in cohort studies: example using statins for preventing progression of diabetes. *Bmj*. 2010;340(mar12 1):b5087. doi:10.1136/bmj.b5087

18. Hamer M, Gale CR, Kivimäki M, Batty GD. Overweight, obesity, and risk of hospitalization for COVID-19: A community-based cohort study of adults in the United Kingdom. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa*. 2020;117(35):21011-21013. doi:10.1073/pnas.2011086117

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Study cohort.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2.



Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 infection, treatment with nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir, and hospitalization among study patients. Infections and treatment initiation included from January 1 to May 15, 2022. Hospitalizations included through May 29, 2022.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of COVID-19 cases aged 50 and older (Jan 1 to May 15, 2022)

Characteristic	Prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir	Not prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir	p
No.	6036	24286	
Age (%)			<0.001
50 to 64	2745 (45.5)	15084 (62.1)	
65 to 79	2693 (44.6)	7391 (30.4)	
80 and older	598 (9.9)	1811 (7.5)	
Male sex (%)	2505 (41.5)	9851 (40.6)	0.189
Race and ethnicity (%)			<0.001
Asian	171 (2.8)	665 (2.7)	
Black	103 (1.7)	1124 (4.6)	
Hispanic or Latinx	177 (2.9)	1738 (7.2)	
Other or unavailable	206 (3.4)	1416 (5.8)	
White	5379 (89.1)	19343 (79.6)	
High zip code SES vulnerability (%)	422 (7.0)	3020 (12.4)	<0.001
Vaccination status (%)	365 (6.0)	3508 (14.4)	<0.001
Last vaccine dose more than 20 weeks prior (%)	4821 (79.9)	14990 (61.7)	<0.001
Comorbidity score (%)			<0.001
MASS 3 or less	2821 (46.7)	14940 (61.5)	
MASS 4 and 5	1089 (18.0)	3611 (14.9)	
MASS 6 or greater	2126 (35.2)	5735 (23.6)	
Body mass index BMI (%)			<0.001
BMI less than 25 or unavailable	1851 (30.7)	8880 (36.6)	
BMI 25 to 30	2154 (35.7)	7643 (31.5)	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of COVID-19 cases aged 50 and older (Jan 1 to May 15, 2022)

Characteristic	Prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir	Not prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir	p
BMI 30 to 35	1250 (20.7)	4753 (19.6)	
BMI greater than 35	781 (12.9)	3010 (12.4)	
Immunocompromise (%)	2836 (47.0)	8749 (36.0)	<0.001
Diabetes (%)	1254 (20.8)	4218 (17.4)	<0.001
Heart disease or stroke (%)	1020 (16.9)	3054 (12.6)	<0.001
Pulmonary disease (%)	564 (9.3)	1719 (7.1)	<0.001
Bipolar, schizophrenia, and other disorders (%)	128 (2.1)	727 (3.0)	<0.001
Depression and anxiety (%)	1466 (24.3)	4667 (19.2)	<0.001
Hematologic malignancy (%)	289 (4.8)	694 (2.9)	<0.001
Solid tumor malignancy (%)	2224 (36.8)	6538 (26.9)	<0.001
Rheumatologic or inflammatory bowel disease (%)	851 (14.1)	2318 (9.5)	<0.001

Numbers are No. (%) unless otherwise noted. Immunocompromise includes patients with history of malignancy and patients on immunosuppressive medications

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.14.22276393; this version posted June 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 3

Subgroup	Nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir	No nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir	Hospitalization rate among untreated	RR (95% CI)	Eff. mod. p−value
All	6,036	24,286	0.96%	0.55 (0.38 to 0.80)	
Age					0.214
50 to 64	2,745	15,084	0.59%	0.33 (0.15 to 0.74)	
65 to 79	2,693	7,391	1.4%	0.60 (0.35 to 1.02)	
80 and older	598	1,811	2.37%	0.85 (0.43 to 1.68)	
Socioeconomic vulnerabilty of zip code					0.253
High	422	3,020	1.62%	0.32 (0.11 to 0.93)	
Low or moderate	5,614	21,266	0.87%	0.62 (0.41 to 0.92)	
Race and ethnicity					0.574
Asian, other or unavailable	377	2,081	0.73%	0.87 (0.26 to 2.86)	
Black or Hispanic/Latinx	280	2,862	1.38%	0.31 (0.07 to 1.42)	
White	5,379	19,343	0.93%	0.57 (0.38 to 0.86)	
Vaccination status					0.052
Not fully vaccinated	365	3,508	2.04%	0.16 (0.04 to 0.69)	
Vaccinated	5,671	20,778	0.79%	0.72 (0.49 to 1.06)	
Vaccination timing					0.302
Last vaccine < 20 weeks prior	1,215	9,296	0.86%	0.72 (0.37 to 1.42)	
Last vaccine > 20 weeks prior	4,821	14,990	1.03%	0.48 (0.31 to 0.73)	
Comorbidity score					0.286
MASS 3 or less	2,821	14,940	0.45%	0.32 (0.12 to 0.83)	
MASS 4 and 5	1,089	3,611	0.92%	0.88 (0.39 to 1.96)	
MASS 6 or greater	2,126	5,735	2.36%	0.58 (0.36 to 0.92)	
Immunocompromise status					0.443
Immunocompromise	2,836	8,749	1.62%	0.60 (0.38 to 0.94)	
No immunocompromise	3,200	15,537	0.65%	0.44 (0.23 to 0.85)	
Body mass index (BMI)					0.006
BMI less than 30 or unavailable	4,005	16,523	0.84%	0.80 (0.53 to 1.21)	
BMI 30 or greater	2,031	7,763	1.24%	0.21 (0.09 to 0.50)	



Figure 3. Subgroup analysis of the risk ratio of hospitalization comparing patients prescribed and not prescribed nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir. Estimate and confidence interval calculated from an inverse-probability weighted model performed within in strata. Effect modification p-value calculated from nested models.