Health System Resilience for Pandemic Management and Lessons Learned: A Scoping Review Protocol

R. M. Nayani Umesha Rajapaksha[1], Resham Khatri[1], Aklilu Endalamaw[1], Chrishantha Abeysena[2], Millawage Supun Dilara Wijesinghe[3], Toms K. Thomas[4], Gayani De Silva[3], Roshan Rambukwella[3], Mekala Fernando[1], Nadeeka Perera[1], **Yibeltal Alemu Assefa[1]**

[1] School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Australia

[2] Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka

[3] Ministry of Health, Sri Lanka

[4] Department of Public Health, SRM University Sikkim, India

*Co-principal investigators

Correspondence:

1. Dr. R. M. Nayani Umesha Rajapaksha; Senior Registrar in Community Medicine, Post-MD Research Fellow, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Australia; Email: drnayani2020@gmail.com/ r.rajapaksha@uq.edu.au/ m28048@pgim.cmb.ac.lk : T.P. +94778974176 / +61466055563
2. Dr. Yibeltal Alemu Assefa; Senior Lecturer in Health System, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Australia; Email: y.alemu@uq.edu.au; TP: +61431578438

Health System Resilience for Pandemic Management and Lessons Learned: A Scoping Review Protocol

Introduction

Health system resilience is the ability to prepare, manage, and learn from a sudden and unpredictable extreme change which impacts health systems. Health systems globally have recently been affected by a number of catastrophic events, including natural disasters, and infectious disease epidemics. Understanding health system resilience has never been more essential until emerging global pandemics. Therefore, the application of resilience-enhancing strategies with existing frameworks needs to be assessed to identify the management gaps and give valuable recommendations from the lessons learnt from the global pandemic.

Methodology

The scoping review will be reported using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-SCR). Reporting data on health system building blocks and systematic searches on resilience enhancing strategies for the management of Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns (PHEIC) after the establishment of International Health Regulations (IHR) since managing Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns (PHEIC) after the establishment of IHR in 2007 will be included. The search will be conducted in PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and grey literature. A narrative synthesis will be performed to present the review findings.

Discussion

Health system resilience is key to coping with catastrophic events, such as the economic crisis and COVID-19 pandemic. The mapping of available literature towards the application of resilience-enhancing strategies with existing frameworks needs to be assessed to identify the management gaps and give valuable recommendations from the lessons learnt from the global pandemic to improve the level of preparedness and response to similar public health emergencies in the future.

Conclusion

A protocol for a global scoping review of health system resilience for pandemic management is described. This scoping review will add to the body of knowledge about health systems enhancing research and policy formulation.

Keywords: Health system resilience; Pandemic; Scoping review; Public health; Emergencies

Introduction

Health system resilience is defined as 'the ability to prepare, manage and learn from a sudden and unpredictable extreme change which impacts on health systems' (Thomas et. al, 2020). It focuses on the health system preparedness and response to an emergency and coping capacity for changes following a crisis in view of absorbing, adapting, and transforming (Barasa et. al., 2017; Thomas et. al., 2020). However, the focus of the health system resilience was broadened with the improving number of literatures around health systems resilience, which extends to focus on vulnerability, system strains and everyday resilience (Barasa et. al, 2017). Understanding health system resilience has never been more essential until emerging global pandemics. Health systems globally have recently been affected by a number of catastrophic events, including natural disasters, infectious disease epidemics such as Ebola outbreak, novel coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) pandemic. Due to the new emergence and rapid transmission of the COVID-19 since late 2019, global health systems are severely affected. As stated by the WHO, all the organizations, institutions, resources, and people whose primary purpose is to improve health is included in the health system. Moreover, efforts to influence determinants of health and direct health-improvement activities are considered as the component of the health system. A health system delivers preventive, promotive, curative, and rehabilitative interventions combined with public health actions and the pyramid of healthcare facilities that provide personal healthcare by multi-stakeholders (WHO, 2010). A health system needs human resources, health finances, information, supplies, transport, communications, overall guidance, and direction to provide a quality service. Each area of the health system needs to be strengthened to address the key constraints. Therefore, the WHO described six building blocks of the health system framework which include 'health service delivery, health workforce, health information systems, access to essential medicines/vaccines, health financing, leadership, and governance. These building blocks are targeted to achieve impacts, including improved health outcomes, equity, social and financial risk protection, responsiveness, and efficiency, which contribute to strengthening health systems to provide sustainable quality, efficient and effective health services (WHO, 2010). Furthermore, the need for understanding of governing of health systems is highlighted following catastrophic events occurring over the last decade. The majority of low- and middle-income countries were highly affected by the emergence of infectious diseases (e.g., Ebola, COVID-19) and armed conflicts

(Barasa, Mbau & Gilson, 2018; Bozorgmehr et al., 2020; Wilder-Smith & Osman, 2020). Moreover, managing the crises, national responses across the globe were varied, some focus on the transmission of diseases and prevention of deaths. Importantly, the countries having established good health systems also struggled to cope with the exponentially accelerating number of cases (Legido-Quigley et al., 2020). With the exponential increase of pandemics, the International Health Regulations (IHR) was established in 2007 for governing the global health security. The IHR declared Public Health Emergencies of International Concern (PHEIC) Six event of PHEIC were documented between 2007 and 2020, including 'H1N1 influenza pandemic (2009), Ebola (West African outbreak 2013–2015, outbreak in Democratic Republic of Congo 2018–2020), poliomyelitis (2014 to present), Zika (2016) and COVID-19 (2020 to present)'. Among them Poliomyelitis is the longest PHEIC, and Zika was the first PHEIC for arboviral disease. However, even though the public health impact of the event was considered serious and associated with a potential for international spread, several other emerging diseases were not declared as PHEIC (Wilder-Smith & Osman, 2020). Moreover, response measures following a crisis, improving surge capacity, and planning for further action to minimise vulnerability can be identified when assessing the health systems' resilience (Ager, 2013). The purpose of assessing resilience and its interpretation depends on multiple contextual factors that need to be evaluated for better understanding. The well-assessed areas can be utilized as a starting point and encourage policymakers to adopt an appropriate strategy in a particular country. Furthermore, policymakers need to regularly review their health systems to assess their resilience (Formana et. al., 2020). In addition, the application of resilience-enhancing strategies is new. The concept has become relevant and more researched with societal response to health emergencies during the past two decades (Castleden et al., 2011).

Justification

Health system resilience is key to coping with catastrophic events, such as the economic crisis and COVID-19 pandemic. However, there is confusion about the meaning of resilience, strengthening it, and assessing it (Thomas, 2020). Furthermore, health systems resilience research has reached a crucial point; health system interventions have only partly been followed by empirical research and concrete applications (Saulnier, 2021). Therefore, the application of resilience-enhancing strategies with existing frameworks needs to be assessed to identify the management gaps and give

valuable recommendations from the lessons learnt from the global pandemic. Importantly, improving resilience could help the health system respond to a pandemic like COVID-19. However, most of the research has so far remained primarily theoretical. Therefore, applied research towards a cohesive set of goals needs to be identified and promoted to develop and implement strategies to strengthen systems. Furthermore, strengthening health systems resilience can be addressed during the pandemic and future disasters if the issues are correctly addressed during research and interpretation of findings (Saulnier, 2021). Therefore, there is a need to analyze the implementation of resilience-enhancing strategies to draw lessons from health systems that have proved more successful at dealing with the past crisis and offer evidence on best practices for health systems under strain. Moreover, it will invariably help improve the level of preparedness and response to similar public health emergencies in the future.

Objectives

The objectives of this study are;

1. To identify the health system resilience-enhancing strategies for managing Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns (PHEIC) globally.

2. To describe the implementation of health system resilience-enhancing strategies for managing pandemics.

3. To identify opportunities, and challenges, and provide recommendations towards successful management of PHEIC.

Review question

- The following research questions guide this systematic review.

1. What are the health system resilience-enhancing strategies for managing Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns (PHEIC) globally?
2. How did global countries implement 'Health system resilience-enhancing strategies' following the PHEIC?
3. What were the opportunities and challenges in managing PHEIC?

Methodology

Type and method of review

Health system resilience: A scoping review

Study Period

15th of March 2022 to 31st of August 2022

Anticipated or actual start date for the review

15th of June 2022

Anticipated or completion date

31st of August 2022

Source of information and Search strategies

World Health Organization's Six building blocks of Health system (WHO, 2010) were included as the key words. Boolean operators ("AND" or "OR") and asterisk search operators will be applied. The complete search strategies for all databases are presented in a table. The WHO depository will be accessed for Health Systems policy analysis. To avoid duplication and for citation purposes, references will be collected from each database and stored in EndNote desktop version 20. The search will be restricted to literature related to the management of declared Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns (PHEIC) after 2007 according to the International Health Regulations (IHR) for global Health Security (Wilder-Smith & Osman, 2020). Screening, reference checking of detected studies and hand searching of related journals will be conducted from 15th May to 15th June 2022. Electronic databases (PUBMED, EMBASE, SCOPUS, Web of Science, Google scholar), contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources (Eg: Blogs, Conference papers/conference proceedings, E-prints, fact sheets, bulletins, Government documents, Government reports, formal communication, interviews, newsletters, policy statements, policy brief, unpublished research reports, surveys etc.) which were published after declaration of PHEIC will be used to describe the related management strategies and lesson learned. The relevant keywords listed below will be used to create a comprehensive search strategy. The search terms will be filtered by a combination of different key words, Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), Emtree terms, SCOPUS, and WOS search strategies as in the supplementary file 1 (Refer Supplementary file 1).

Table 1. The applicable keywords and/or phrases

Theme 1		Theme 2		Theme 3
“Health system” OR “Service delivery” OR “Health Resource*” OR “Health workforce” OR “Health information system*” OR “Health Product*” OR Vaccine* OR Medicine* Or Diagnostic* OR “Health Financing” OR “Health Leadership” OR “Health Governance”	AND	Resilien* OR Robust OR Anti-fragil* OR Adaptab* OR Transformat* OR Preserv* OR "Burnout, Professional"[Mesh] OR "Work Performance"[Mesh]	AND	“Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns” OR “PHEIC” OR Pandemic OR Outbreak OR Epidemic OR COVID-19 OR Ebola OR H1N1 OR “Influenza Pandemic” OR Zika OR Poliomyelitis

Eligibility criteria:

Inclusion criteria

We intend to include all studies in English with any quantitative or qualitative design and book chapters that adhered to resilience enhancing strategies for the management of Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns (PHEIC) after establishment of IHR since managing Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns (PHEIC) after the establishment of IHR in 2007. Systematic reviews and meta-analysis randomized randomised trails, observational studies, case studies, evaluation studies, review articles and conference proceedings will be included. Moreover, reports published by international organizations, fact sheets, manuscripts, and unpublished papers

will be included. No restrictions on the type of study. Also, reference checking of detected studies and hand searching of related journals will be conducted.

Exclusion criteria

Comment to the editor, commentators, brief communications, and empirical studies that did not mention health system resilience strategies for pandemic/epidemic management will be excluded.

Condition and domain being studies

Global implementation of health system resilience strategic analysis combined with PHEIC management will be studied to provide recommendation for future pandemic preparedness.

Population

Global studies which describe health system resilience strategies will be taken as the study population.

Intervention(s)/ Exposure(s)

Global health system resilience enhancing strategies for the management of pandemics that were declared as PHEIC will be included to identify opportunities and challenges and provide recommendations towards successful management of public health emergencies.

Context

Global Health system resilience-enhancing strategies for the management of Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns (PHEIC) and implementation will be assessed.

Main outcome(s)

Resilience-enhancing strategies for the improvement of managing PHEIC in all six areas of the health system (including Governance/leadership, Healthcare financing, Healthcare service delivery, Heath workforce, Health information system and access to medicine/vaccine) will be identified and implementation of the strategies will be described.

Additional outcome(s)

Opportunities and challenges towards the management of PHEIC will be identified in view of constructing recommendations for the management of future pandemic situations.

Effect measures:

Effect measures will depend on the types of studies in the review.

Data extraction

The initial search will be performed by two reviewers using pre-determined search terms and strategies from chosen databases. All identified papers will be imported into EndNote and will be screened in accordance with search strategies. After the removal of the duplications, the titles, abstracts, and keywords will be screened for relevance and eligibility criteria. The two reviewers will then extract the data from the studies selected for inclusion using a predesignated extraction form. The data extraction form will include details about the first author, publication year, the title of the study, study setting, country, inclusions, objective or aims, study design, sample size, analysis methods, exposure (strategic area of six building blocks), evaluation of implemented strategies for the management, outcome +/- (results), challenges/weaknesses, opportunities, and study limitations.

Risk of bias (Quality) assessment

Two independent reviewers will assess the full text of all included articles will be assessed for reporting quality. The bias assessment will be based on the methodological issues related to selection bias, information bias, confounding, attrition bias/ reporting bias, and any other bias relevant to the study. For randomized control studies Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool will be used. For observational studies, a STROBE statement checklist will be used. For qualitative studies, CASP qualitative checklist will be used. Any discrepancies over-reporting quality assessment between two reviewers will be resolved through discussion with the other two reviewers. A bias assessment tool will be used depending on the study designs. Any discrepancies over-reporting quality assessment between two reviewers will be resolved through discussion with the research team and the collaborators.

Strategies for data synthesis

A narrative synthesis of findings will be conducted from the included studies, structured around the health system resilience strategies for pandemic management. A systematic narrative synthesis will be presented in both text and table forms to present aspects of the included studies, and to enable comparisons.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets

If data is available and identifies differential impacts on subgroups, then these subgroups will be analysed on implementation of health system resilience strategies effective management of different PHEIC including COVID-19, Zika, H1N1, Ebola and Poliomyelitis [declared pandemics by IHR].

Funding sources/sponsors

None

Conflicts of interests

None

Ethics and dissemination

There was no involvement of patients and the public to develop the protocol. Databases that require an institutional license will be accessed through the library at The University of Queensland Australia. The findings will be presented at conferences at The University of Queensland in Australia, postgraduate institute of medicine, University of Colombo Sri Lanka, and other locations around the world. Finally, the review's findings will be published in a peer-reviewed international journal and will be disseminated to global communities.

Discussion

Health system resilience is key to coping with catastrophic events, such as the economic crisis and COVID-19 pandemic. The mapping of available literature towards the application of resilience-enhancing strategies with existing frameworks needs to be assessed to identify the management gaps and give valuable recommendations from the lessons learnt from the global pandemic to improve the level of preparedness and response to similar public health emergencies in the future.

Conclusions

A protocol for a global scoping review of health system resilience for pandemic management is described. This scoping review will add to the body of knowledge about health system enhancing research and policy formulation.

Abbreviations

COVID19-Novel coronavirus disease-19; IHR-International Health Regulations; PHEIC-Public Health Emergencies of International Concerns; WHO-World Health Organization

Language

English

Country

Australia

Stage of review

Review ongoing

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: No fund has received to write the protocol

Acknowledgement

- School of Public health of the University of Queensland
- Postgraduate Institute of Medicine, University of Colombo,
- Ministry of Health, the Government of Sri Lanka

Author contribution

Conceptualization: NUR and YA, Draft the protocol: NUR; Supervision: YA; Review the protocol: YA, RK, AE, MF, NP [Research team of the UQ], CA, MSDW, TT, GS, RR [Collaborators]

References

Ager, A., Annan J., Panter-Brick C. (2013). *Resilience: From Conceptualization to Effective Intervention*. http://www.cpcnetwork.org/resource/resilience-from-conceptualization-to-effective-intervention/

Barasa, E. W., Cloete, K., & Gilson, L. (2017). From bouncing back, to nurturing emergence: reframing the concept of resilience in health systems strengthening. *Health Policy Plan*, *32*(suppl_3), iii91-iii94. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czx118

Bozorgmehr, K., Saint, V., Kaasch, A., Stuckler, D., & Kentikelenis, A. (2020). COVID and the convergence of three crises in Europe. *The Lancet Public Health*, *5*(5), e247-e248. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667(20)30078-5

Castleden M., M. M., Murray V, Leonardi G. (2011). Resilience thinking in health protection. *Journal of Public Health*, *Vol. 33*, (No. 3), pp. 369–377 https://doi.org/0.1093/pubmed/fdr027 |

Formana R., A. R., McKee M., Mossialosad E. (2020). 12 Lessons learned from the management of the coronavirus pandemic. *Health Policy*, *124*, 577-580. (Elsevier)

Legido-Quigley, H., Mateos-García, J. T., Campos, V. R., Gea-Sánchez, M., Muntaner, C., & McKee, M. (2020). The resilience of the Spanish health system against the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Public Health*, *5*(5), e251-e252. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667(20)30060-8

Saulnier, D. D., Blanchet, K., Canila, C., Cobos Munoz, D., Dal Zennaro, L., de Savigny, D., Durski, K. N., Garcia, F., Grimm, P. Y., Kwamie, A., Maceira, D., Marten, R., Peytremann-Bridevaux, I., Poroes, C., Ridde, V., Seematter, L., Stern, B., Suarez, P., Teddy, G., . . . Tediosi, F. (2021). A health systems resilience research agenda: moving

from concept to practice. *BMJ Glob Health*, *6*(8). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-006779

Thomas, S., Sagan, A., Larkin, J., Cylus, J., Figueras, J., & Karanikolos, M. (2020). POLICY BRIEF 36 *Strengthening healthsystems resilience: Key concepts and strategies*. a. World Health Organization 2020.

WHO (2010). MONITORING THE BUILDING BLOCKS OF HEALTH SYSTEMS: A HANDBOOK OF INDICATORS AND THEIR MEASUREMENT STRATEGIES https://doi.org/ISBN 978 92 4 156405 2

Wilder-Smith, A., & Osman, S. (2020). Public health emergencies of international concern: a historic overview. *Journal of Travel Medicine*, *27*(8). https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa227