

1
2 **Genetic risk, parental history, and suicide attempts in a diverse sample of US adolescents**
3

4 Ran Barzilay, MD PhD^{1,2,3}; Elina Visoki, MSc^{1,2}; Laura M Schultz, PhD^{2,4}; Varun Warriar,
5 PhD⁵; Nikolaos P Daskalakis, MD PhD^{6,7}; Laura Almasy, PhD^{2,4,8}.

6
7 ¹ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, Children’s Hospital of
8 Philadelphia (CHOP), Philadelphia, US

9 ² Lifespan Brain Institute of CHOP and Penn Medicine, Philadelphia, US

10 ³ Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,
11 Philadelphia, US

12 ⁴ Department of Biomedical and Health Informatics, Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia
13 (CHOP),
14 Philadelphia, PA, USA

15 ⁵ Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

16 ⁶ Department of Psychiatry, McLean Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Belmont, MA, USA

17 ⁷ Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA,
18 USA

19 ⁸ Department of Genetics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,
20 Philadelphia, PA, USA

21
22 **Keywords:** Suicide attempt; genetics; polygenic risk prediction; family history; adolescents.
23

24 Running Title: PRS, parental history and youth suicide attempts

25 Manuscript word count: 1,276

26 Tables/Figures: 2 Tables; 1 Figure

27 Supplementary data: 2 Tables and 1 Figure
28

29 **Corresponding Author:**

30 Corresponding author: Ran Barzilay MD PhD, 10th floor, Gates Building, Hospital of the
31 University of Pennsylvania, 34th and Spruce Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104

32 Email: ran.barzilay@penmedicine.upenn.edu; barzilayr@chop.edu

33 Tel: +1 (484) 695 7937
34

35 **Abstract**

36

37 **Background:** Adolescent suicide is a major health problem in the US marked by a recent
38 increase in Black/African American youth suicide trends. While genetic factors partly account
39 for familial transmission of suicidal behavior, it is not clear whether polygenic risk scores of
40 suicide attempt have clinical utility in youth suicide risk classification.

41 **Objectives:** To evaluate the contribution of a polygenic risk score for suicide attempt (PRS-SA)
42 in explaining variance in suicide attempt by early adolescence.

43 **Methods:** We studied N=5,214 non-related Black and White youth from the Adolescent Brain
44 Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study (ages 8.9-13.8 years) who were evaluated between 2016
45 and 2021. Regression models tested associations between PRS-SA and parental history of
46 suicide attempt/death with youth-reported suicide attempt. Covariates included age, sex, and
47 race.

48 **Results:** Over three waves of assessments, 182 youth (3.5%) reported a past suicide attempt,
49 with Black youth reporting significantly more suicide attempts than their White counterparts
50 (6.1% vs 2.8%, $P<.001$). PRS-SA was associated with suicide attempt (odds ratio [OR]=1.3,
51 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1-1.5, $P=.001$). Inclusion of PRS-SA explained 2.7% of the
52 variance in suicide attempts, significantly more than the base model including only age, sex and
53 race, which explained 1.9% of the variance ($P=.001$). Parental history of suicide attempt/death
54 was also associated with youth suicide attempt (OR=2.9, 95%CI 1.9-4.4, $P<.001$). Addition of
55 PRS-SA to the model that included parental history significantly increased the variance
56 explained from 3.3% to 4% ($P=.002$).

57 **Conclusions:** Findings suggest that PRS-SA may be useful for suicide risk classification in
58 diverse youth.

59

60 **Contribution to the Field Statement**

61
62 Adolescent suicidal behavior is a major health problem, with suicide being the 2nd leading cause
63 of death in youth. Research that improves our understanding regarding drivers of suicide risk in
64 youth can inform youth suicide prevention strategies. Family history of suicide is an established
65 risk factor for youth suicidal behavior. Current methods in psychiatric genetics allow calculation
66 of polygenic risk scores that represent genetic liability to specific conditions. It is not clear
67 whether polygenic risk score of suicide attempt can assist in risk classification, beyond family
68 history. In this work, we show that in a sample of 5,214 youth ages 9-13, of which 3.5% reported
69 past suicide attempt, polygenic score of suicide attempt was associated with youth suicide
70 attempt. This association additively explained variance over and above parental history of
71 suicide attempt/death. Findings make a case for the potential utility of incorporating polygenic
72 risk scores as part of suicide attempt risk classification in youth, and suggest that polygenic
73 scores may reveal genetic liability that is not captured by family history of suicide.

74
75
76
77

78 **Introduction**

79 Suicide is the second leading cause of death in US adolescents (1). The rising rates of
80 suicide among Black or African American youth is especially concerning (2). Suicide attempt is
81 a complex behavior driven by genetic and environmental factors (3). Clinicians often use
82 parental history of suicide attempt/death to estimate suicide risk (4). The potential of using
83 polygenic scores of psychiatric phenotypes to assess genetic suicide risk is uncertain (5). It is not
84 known whether polygenic score of suicide attempts (PRS-SA) can contribute to suicide risk
85 classification, and whether PRS-SA adds useful information beyond the commonly used risk
86 assessment based on parental history.

87 The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study follows diverse genotyped
88 US youth from ages 9-10 into adolescence (6). The study collects data on parental history of
89 psychiatric conditions (7), including suicide attempt/death. Participants are evaluated annually
90 for history of suicide attempts, and endorsement of suicide attempts in Black participants is
91 significantly higher (8). Here we aimed to evaluate the contribution of PRS-SA in explaining
92 variance in self-reported suicide attempt by early adolescence, and to determine the additive
93 effect of this score over and above parental history of suicide attempt/death.

94 **Methods**

95 **Participants**

96 We included N=5,214 non-related ABCD Study participants of African and European
97 ancestry (based on genetic principal components (9)) that had data on parental history of suicide
98 attempt or death (n=302 missing such data were excluded from analyses). Of the total sample,
99 n=1,086 had African ancestry (of whom 988 [97.1%] parent-reported as Black race and 71
100 [6.6%] parent-reported as Hispanic); and n=4,128 had European ancestry (of whom 4,093
101 [99.2%] parent-reported as White race and 123 [3%] parent-reported as Hispanic). The ABCD
102 Study® protocol was approved by the University of California, San Diego Institutional Review
103 Board (IRB), and was exempted from a full review by University of Pennsylvania IRB.

104 **Variables**

105 **Exposures**

106 *Polygenic risk score of suicide attempt*

107 ABCD Study genotype data was processed as described before (9). Polygenic risk scores
108 were calculated separately for African- and European-ancestry participants using PRSice-2 (10),
109 with summary statistics from a recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) of suicide
110 attempters that included diverse ancestries (11). We tested eight P-value thresholds (Pt): 0.0001,
111 0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, and 1. Ten within-ancestry genetic principal components were
112 regressed out of z-scored polygenic scores.
113

114 *Parental history of suicide attempt*

115 Parental history was evaluated using parent reports on parents' suicide attempt/death
116 (variable: "famhx_ss_momdad_scd_p").
117

118 **Outcome measure**

119 Self-report of suicide attempt in any of the three first ABCD Study assessments.
120 Participants were considered controls if they denied history of suicide attempt in all three
121 assessments.
122
123

124

125 **Statistical Analyses**

126 Analyses were conducted from January-March 2022 using ABCD Study data release 4.0.
127 Data preprocessing and analysis are detailed
128 at https://github.com/barzilab1/ABCD_SA_genetics_FH.

129 Mean (standard deviation [SD]) and frequency (%) were reported for descriptive
130 purposes. Univariate comparisons were made using t-test or chi-square tests, as appropriate. We
131 used two-tailed tests for all statistical models. We imputed age for participants who did not
132 complete the 2-year follow-up assessment (n=21, 0.4%). We used R version 4.1.0. for data
133 analyses.

134 We estimated binary logistic regression models with suicide attempt as the dependent
135 variable and PRS-SA as the independent variable, co-varying for age, sex and parent-reported
136 race. A GWAS P-value threshold of $P=0.05$ was selected for the PRS-SA, to derive the highest
137 Nagelkerke's R^2 and lowest P-value of PRS-SA in association with suicide attempt. We used a
138 permutation test to validate this selection (see figure in the online supplement). Association of
139 PRS-SA with suicide attempt was consistent across multiple P-value threshold tested (see table 1
140 in the online supplement).

141 To test the additive effects of PRS-SA in explaining variance in suicide attempt, we
142 estimated regression models with and without PRS-SA and compared the goodness of fit using
143 the likelihood ratio test.

144 In sensitivity analyses, to address the potential bias of PRS-SA performance in African
145 versus European ancestry, we estimated models stratified by ancestry and then meta-analyzed the
146 results.

147

148 **Results**

149 Among the 5,214 participants, 182 (3.5%) endorsed having made a suicide attempt at
150 least once in the three ABCD Study assessments. History of suicide attempt was more frequent
151 among Black youth (66 of 1,087, 6.1%) than among their White counterparts (116 of 4,127,
152 2.8%, Chi-square $P<.001$). No age or sex associations were observed. Participants who endorsed
153 suicide attempt had more parental history of suicide attempt/death (14.8% versus 5.5%,
154 respectively, Chi-square $P<.001$). **Table 1** includes univariate comparisons between participants
155 with and without history of a suicide attempt.

156 PRS-SA was significantly associated with suicide attempt in the full sample (odds ratio
157 [OR]= 1.3, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1-1.5, $P=.001$, co-varying for demographics: age, sex,
158 parent-reported race, and accounting for ten within-ancestry genetic principal components). This
159 model explained 2.7% of the variance (Nagelkerke's $R^2=0.027$), significantly more than the base
160 model that only included covariates (Nagelkerke's $R^2=0.019$, likelihood ratio Chi-square test,
161 $P=.001$). **Figure 1** illustrates the association between PRS-SA and suicide attempt rate.

162 Parental history of suicide attempt/death was associated with youth's history of suicide
163 attempt (OR=2.9, 95%CI= 1.9-4.4, $P<.001$). Adding PRS-SA to this model increased the
164 variance explained from 3.3% to 4% (likelihood ratio chi-square test, $P=.002$). The improvement
165 in model performance ($\Delta R^2=0.7\%$) obtained when adding SA-PRS was on the order of 50% of
166 the ΔR^2 obtained from adding parental history to the base model ($\Delta R^2=1.4\%$, from 1.9% to
167 3.3%). Statistics for all models are detailed in **Table 2**.

168 Finally, sensitivity analyses estimating the above models stratified by ancestry (African
169 or European) and meta-analyzed yielded similar results in direction and statistical significance
170 (see table 2 in the online supplement).

171

172 **Discussion**

173 We present evidence suggesting clinical utility of a polygenic score explaining suicide
174 attempt in Black and White US youth. Two main strengths of this work are noteworthy. First, the
175 focus on suicide attempt highlights the clinical significance of the findings. Notably, most
176 research in this age range lumps ideation and attempt together (12–14), even though most
177 ideators do not make an attempt (3,15). Second, the inclusion of Black youth in the current work
178 is critical to address racial disparities in psychiatric genetics research (16). This disparity is
179 especially concerning in the field of youth suicide, where Black US youth are particularly
180 vulnerable (2,17). Our findings extend recent ABCD Study results showing associations of
181 depression polygenic risk score with suicide attempt in an analysis limited to European ancestry
182 (18) and schizophrenia polygenic risk score with suicide attempt reported in the baseline ABCD
183 Study assessment in admixed population with substantially fewer suicide attempt participants (64
184 versus 182 in the current analysis) (19).

185 We found that PRS-SA additively explains variance in suicide attempt beyond parental
186 history of suicide attempt/death. *From a clinical perspective*, assessment of family history is
187 common practice for clinicians to help their risk classification. We believe that clinicians can
188 intuitively appreciate the value of PRS-SA when it is compared to this benchmark of clinical
189 good practice. *From a research perspective*, considering skepticism in the field toward
190 incorporating PRS in multivariable predictive algorithms in psychiatry (5), our findings provide
191 support for incorporation of genetic scores, including that of suicide attempt, in suicide risk
192 prediction (20).

193 One key limitation should be noted. The variance explained by addition of PRS-SA to
194 models of parental history is still relatively small. We believe that with growing power/ sample
195 sizes of GWAS in more diverse samples, the added value of PRS will increase, especially in
196 diverse populations.

197

198 **Conclusions**

199 In this cohort of young adolescents, PRS-SA was associated with suicide attempts and
200 significantly improved models explaining variance over and above parental history of suicide
201 attempt/death, which is commonly used in clinical settings to assess suicide risk. Findings
202 suggest that PRS-SA may be useful for suicide risk classification in both Black and White youth.

203

204

205 **Acknowledgement:** Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the
206 Adolescent Brain Cognitive DevelopmentSM (ABCD) Study (<https://abcdstudy.org>), held in the
207 NIMH Data Archive (NDA). This is a multisite, longitudinal study designed to recruit more than
208 10,000 children age 9-10 and follow them over 10 years into early adulthood. The ABCD
209 Study® is supported by the National Institutes of Health and additional federal partners under
210 award numbers U01DA041048, U01DA050989, U01DA051016, U01DA041022,
211 U01DA051018, U01DA051037, U01DA050987, U01DA041174, U01DA041106,
212 U01DA041117, U01DA041028, U01DA041134, U01DA050988, U01DA051039,
213 U01DA041156, U01DA041025, U01DA041120, U01DA051038, U01DA041148,
214 U01DA041093, U01DA041089, U24DA041123, U24DA041147. A full list of supporters is
215 available at <https://abcdstudy.org/federal-partners.html>. A listing of participating sites and a
216 complete listing of the study investigators can be found
217 at https://abcdstudy.org/consortium_members/. ABCD consortium investigators designed and
218 implemented the study and/or provided data but did not necessarily participate in analysis or
219 writing of this report. This manuscript reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the
220 opinions or views of the NIH or ABCD consortium investigators.

221
222 **Funding/Support:** This study was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health grants
223 K23MH120437 (RB), R21MH123916 (RB), and the Lifespan Brain Institute of Children’s
224 Hospital of Philadelphia and Penn Medicine, University of Pennsylvania. The funding
225 organization had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management,
226 analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and
227 decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

228 **Author Contributions Statement:** RB conceptualized the study question and study design,
229 interpreted the findings and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. EV curated and processed the
230 phenotypic data and conducted data analysis. LS processed all genomic data, calculated
231 polygenic risk score and supervised statistical analyses. VW and ND substantially helped in
232 study conceptualization, data interpretation and preparation of the first draft of the manuscript.
233 LA supervised study conceptualization and all statistical analyses and contributed to data
234 interpretation. All authors made substantial contribution to editing and revising the manuscript to
235 its final version.

236
237 **Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Barzilay serves on the scientific board and receives
238 consulting fees from ‘Taliaz Health’ and ‘Zynerba Pharmaceuticals’ and reports stock ownership
239 in ‘Taliaz Health’, with no conflict of interest relevant to this work. Elina Visoki’s spouse is a
240 shareholder and executive in ‘Kidas’, with no conflict of interest relevant to this work. In the past
241 3 years, Dr. Daskalakis has been a consultant for Sunovion Pharmaceuticals and is on the
242 scientific advisory board for Sentio Solutions and Circular Genomics for unrelated work. All
243 other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

244
245

246 References

- 247 1. National Center for Health Statistics, National Vital Statistics System. WISQARS Leading
248 Causes of Death Reports, National and Regional, 1981-2019. *Centers for Disease Control
249 and Prevention* (2020)
- 250 2. Ramchand R, Gordon JA, Pearson JL. Trends in Suicide Rates by Race and Ethnicity in
251 the United States. *JAMA Network Open* (2021) **4**:e2111563. doi:
252 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11563
- 253 3. Turecki G, Brent DA. Suicide and suicidal behaviour. *The Lancet* (2016) **387**:1227–1239.
254 doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00234-2
- 255 4. Geulayov G, Gunnell D, Holmen TL, Metcalfe C. The association of parental fatal and
256 non-fatal suicidal behaviour with offspring suicidal behaviour and depression: a
257 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine* (2012) **42**:1567–1580. doi:
258 10.1017/S0033291711002753
- 259 5. Wray NR, Lin T, Austin J, McGrath JJ, Hickie IB, Murray GK, Visscher PM. From Basic
260 Science to Clinical Application of Polygenic Risk Scores: A Primer. *JAMA Psychiatry*
261 (2021) **78**:101–109. doi: 10.1001/JAMAPSYCHIATRY.2020.3049
- 262 6. Barch DM, Albaugh MD, Avenevoli S, Chang L, Clark DB, Glantz MD, Hudziak JJ,
263 Jernigan TL, Tapert SF, Yurgelun-Todd D, et al. Demographic, physical and mental
264 health assessments in the adolescent brain and cognitive development study: Rationale
265 and description. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* (2018) **32**:55–66. doi:
266 10.1016/J.DCN.2017.10.010
- 267 7. van Dijk MT, Murphy E, Posner JE, Talati A, Weissman MM. Association of
268 Multigenerational Family History of Depression with Lifetime Depressive and Other
269 Psychiatric Disorders in Children: Results from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive
270 Development (ABCD) Study. *JAMA Psychiatry* (2021) doi:
271 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.0350
- 272 8. Argabright ST, Visoki E, Moore TM, Ryan DT, DiDomenico GE, Njoroge WFM, Taylor
273 JH, Guloksuz S, Gur RC, Gur RE, et al. Association Between Discrimination Stress and
274 Suicidality in Preadolescent Children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child &
275 Adolescent Psychiatry* (2021) **0**: doi: 10.1016/J.JAAC.2021.08.011
- 276 9. Schultz LM, Merikangas AK, Ruparel K, Jacquemont S, Glahn DC, Gur RE, Barzilay R,
277 Almasy L. Stability of polygenic scores across discovery genome-wide association
278 studies. *Human Genetics and Genomics Advances* (2022) **3**:100091. doi:
279 10.1016/J.XHGG.2022.100091
- 280 10. Choi SW, O'Reilly PF. PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobank-scale data.
281 *Gigascience* (2019) **8**: doi: 10.1093/GIGASCIENCE/GIZ082
- 282 11. Mullins N, Kang JE, Campos AI, Coleman JRI, Edwards AC, Galfalvy H, Levey DF, Lori
283 A, Shabalín A, Starnawska A, et al. Dissecting the Shared Genetic Architecture of Suicide
284 Attempt, Psychiatric Disorders, and Known Risk Factors. *Biological Psychiatry* (2022)
285 **91**:313–327. doi: 10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2021.05.029
- 286 12. Janiri D, Doucet GE, Pompili M, Sani G, Luna B, Brent DA, Frangou S. Risk and
287 protective factors for childhood suicidality: a US population-based study. *The Lancet
288 Psychiatry* (2020) **7**:317–326. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30049-3
- 289 13. Shoval G, Visoki E, Moore TM, DiDomenico GE, Argabright ST, Huffnagle NJ,
290 Alexander-Bloch AF, Waller R, Keele L, Benton TD, et al. Evaluation of Attention-
291 Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Medications, Externalizing Symptoms, and Suicidality in

- 292 Children. *JAMA Network Open* (2021) **4**:e2111342–e2111342. doi:
293 10.1001/JAMANETWORKOPEN.2021.11342
- 294 14. Daskalakis NP, Schultz LM, Visoki E, Moore TM, Argabright ST, Harnett NG,
295 DiDomenico GE, Warriar V, Almasy L, Barzilay R. Contributions of PTSD polygenic risk
296 and environmental stress to suicidality in preadolescents. *Neurobiology of Stress* (2021)
297 **15**:100411. doi: 10.1016/J.YNSTR.2021.100411
- 298 15. Cha CB, Franz PJ, M. Guzmán E, Glenn CR, Kleiman EM, Nock MK. Annual Research
299 Review: Suicide among youth – epidemiology, (potential) etiology, and treatment.
300 *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* (2018) **59**:460–482. doi:
301 10.1111/JCPP.12831
- 302 16. Martin AR, Kanai M, Kamatani Y, Okada Y, Neale BM, Daly MJ. Clinical use of current
303 polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health disparities. *Nature Genetics* (2019) **51**:584–
304 591. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0379-x
- 305 17. Ring the Alarm: the Crisis of Black Youth Suicide in America | Suicide Prevention
306 Resource Center. [https://www.sprc.org/news/ring-alarm-crisis-black-youth-suicide-](https://www.sprc.org/news/ring-alarm-crisis-black-youth-suicide-america)
307 [america](https://www.sprc.org/news/ring-alarm-crisis-black-youth-suicide-america) [Accessed May 27, 2021]
- 308 18. Lee PH, Doyle AE, Li X, Silberstein M, Jung J-Y, Gollub RL, Nierenberg AA, Liu RT,
309 Kessler RC, Perlis RH, et al. Genetic Association of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity
310 Disorder and Major Depression With Suicidal Ideation and Attempts in Children: The
311 Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Biological Psychiatry* (2021) doi:
312 10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2021.11.026
- 313 19. Joo YY, Moon S-Y, Wang H-H, Kim H, Lee E-J, Kim JH, Posner J, Ahn W-Y, Choi I,
314 Kim J-W, et al. Association of Genome-Wide Polygenic Scores for Multiple Psychiatric
315 and Common Traits in Preadolescent Youths at Risk of Suicide. *JAMA Network Open*
316 (2022) **5**:e2148585–e2148585. doi: 10.1001/JAMANETWORKOPEN.2021.48585
- 317 20. Kirtley OJ, van Mens K, Hoogendoorn M, Kapur N, de Beurs D. Translating promise into
318 practice: a review of machine learning in suicide research and prevention. *The Lancet*
319 *Psychiatry* (2022) **9**:243–252. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00254-6
320
321

322 **Tables and Figure**

323

324 **Table 1- Sample characteristics**

	Total sample N=5 214	Control n=5 032	Suicide attempt n=182	P-value
Age, years, mean (SD)	12.04 (0.65)	12.04 (0.65)	12.09 (0.66)	0.325
Female sex, No. (%)	2 408 (46.2)	2 319 (46.1)	89 (48.9)	0.501
Race Black, No. (%)	1 087 (20.8)	1 021 (20.3)	66 (36.3)	<0.001
Parent suicide attempt/death, No. (%)	306 (5.9)	279 (5.5)	27 (14.8)	<0.001
Suicide attempt PRS^a, mean (SD)	0.00 (0.95)	-0.01 (0.95)	0.21 (0.89)	0.001

325 ^a PRS after standardizing the raw PRS produced at a GWAS P-value threshold of 0.05 and then
 326 regressing out the first ten within-ancestry genetic principal components.
 327 Abbreviations: PRS= polygenic risk score; GWAS= Genome wide association study.
 328
 329

330 **Figure 1- Polygenic risk score for suicide attempt (PRS-SA) and suicide attempt in Black**
 331 **and White youth.**



332 Scatter plots and regression lines show estimated probabilities of suicide attempt in 5 214
 333 adolescents obtained from a binary logistic regression model with PRS-SA, age and sex as
 334 independent variables. X-axis represents PRS-SA score (after standardizing the raw PRS produced
 335 at a GWAS P-value threshold of 0.05 and then regressing out the first ten within-ancestry genetic
 336 ancestry principal components). Y-axis represents predicted probability of suicide attempt.
 337

Table 2- Association of suicide attempt PRS, parental history of suicide attempt/death and suicide attempt.

Predictors	Model 1			Model 2 ^a			Model 3 ^b			Model 4 ^c		
	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P
Age	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	0.225	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	0.201	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	0.237	1.01	0.99 – 1.03	0.202
Female sex	1.12	0.83 – 1.50	0.468	1.11	0.83 – 1.50	0.473	1.13	0.84 – 1.52	0.423	1.13	0.84 – 1.52	0.424
Black race	2.25	1.64 – 3.06	<0.001	2.3	1.67 – 3.12	<0.001	2.23	1.62 – 3.03	<0.001	2.28	1.66 – 3.11	<0.001
Suicide attempt PRS ^d				1.3	1.11 – 1.53	0.001				1.29	1.10 – 1.52	0.002
Parental suicide risk ^e							2.91	1.86 – 4.40	<0.001	2.84	1.81 – 4.29	<0.001
Observations		5,214			5,214			5,214			5,214	
Nagelkerke R ²		0.019			0.027			0.033			0.04	

Binary logistic regression models with age, sex, race, PRS and parental history of suicide attempt/death as independent variables and self-reported suicide attempt as the dependent variable

^a Model 2 significantly explains more variance than Model 1 (likelihood ratio chi-square test, P = 0.001168)

^b Model 3 significantly explains more variance than Model 1 (likelihood ratio chi-square test, P = 1.157e-05)

^c Model 4 significantly explains more variance than Model 3 (likelihood ratio chi-square test, P = 0.001845)

^d PRS after standardizing the raw PRS produced by PRSice-2 at a GWAS P-value threshold of .05 and then regressing out the first ten within-ancestry genetic ancestry principal components

^e Suicide attempt/death

Abbreviations: PRS= polygenic risk score; GWAS= Genome wide association study.