Development of a COVID-19 warning system for neighborhood-scale wastewater-based epidemiology in low incidence situations

Authors

Chamteut Oh[1,*], Aijia Zhou[1], Kate O'Brien[2], Yusuf Jamal[3], Joanna L. Shisler[4], Antarpreet Jutla[3], Arthur Schmidt[1], Laura Keefer[5], William M. Brown[6], Thanh H. Nguyen[1,7]

*Corresponding authors: Chamteut Oh (co14@illinois.edu)

1) Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States

2) School of Integrative Biology, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States

3) Department of Environmental Engineering Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, United States

4) Department of Microbiology, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States

5) Illinois State Water Survey, Prairie Research Institute, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States

6) Department of Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States

7) Institute of Genomic Biology, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States

Graphical abstract

Abstract

Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) is an emerging approach for community-wide COVID-19 surveillance. WBE was characterized mostly at large sewersheds such as wastewater treatment plants serving a large population. Although informed public health measures can be implemented in a small population, WBE for neighborhood-scale sewersheds is less studied and not fully understood. This study applied WBE to seven neighborhood-scale sewersheds (average population 1,471) from January to November 2021. Community testing data showed an average of 0.004% incidence rate in these sewersheds (97% of monitoring periods reported two or fewer daily infections). In 92% of sewage samples, SARS-CoV-2 N gene fragments were below the limit of quantification. COVID-19 cases poorly correlated with SARS-CoV-2 N gene concentrations except for one location where frequent COVID-19 testing was required. Thus, we developed a COVID-19 warning system for high COVID-19 risk when the SARS-CoV-2 N gene concentration normalized to pepper mild mottle virus (PMMOV) in wastewater is higher than 10^{-2}. This COVID-19 warning system now identified neighborhood-scale outbreaks (COVID-19 incidence rate higher than 0.5%) with 73% sensitivity and 71% specificity. Importantly, it can discern neighborhood-level outbreaks that would not otherwise be identified by county-scale WBE. We also demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 variant-specific RT-qPCR assays could be combined with the COVID-19 warning system to identify the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 variants into sewersheds with a low COVID-19 incidence. This new COVID-19 warning system will contribute to effective community-wide disease surveillance when COVID-19 incidence may be maintained at a low level.

Keywords: Wastewater-based epidemiology; neighborhood-scale sewersheds; SARS-CoV-2 variant-specific RT-qPCR assays; low COVID-19 incidence; COVID-19 endemic.

Introduction

Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) has been used as a cost effective epidemiological tool for community-wide COVID-19 surveillance worldwide (Hart and Halden, 2020)(Chen et al., 2020; Sherchan et al., 2021; Tiwari et al., 2021). For example, WBE can detect SARS-CoV-2 at an 0.01% incidence (1infection in 10,000 people), when the influent of wastewater treatment plants serving a community of more than 100,000 residents was analyzed (Hata et al., 2021). The viral genome concentrations in sewersheds may be a better proxy for actual disease incidence than the reported COVID-19 infection cases because reported cases are significantly affected by temporal clinical testing capacity (Xiao et al., 2022). Furthermore, WBE affords the use of longitudinal wastewater surveillance to predict disease outbreaks in advance of clinical epidemiology because SARS-CoV-2 genes are shed before symptom onset (Wu et al., 2022b).

Large-scale sewersheds (such as wastewater treatment plants serving hundreds of thousands to millions of people) routinely have been surveilled for COVID-19 since early 2020 (Hata et al., 2021; Xiao et al., 2022). However, the large-sized sewersheds may not provide detailed information for region-specific public health measures. For example, social determinants of COVID-19 risk, such as demographics and socioeconomic status, which are regional characteristics (Abrams and Szefler, 2020; Jamal et al., 2022; Upshaw et al., 2021), may not be reflected in the influent wastewater from large sewersheds. The heterogeneity of these social determinants across the sewersheds is a possible explanation for discrepancies between state-wide and county-specific COVID-19 data (Messner and Payson, 2020). WBE also has been applied to small-scale sewersheds, such as buildings on university campuses (Bivins and Bibby, 2021; Gibas et al., 2021; Karthikeyan et al., 2021). At this smaller scale, viruses are detected in wastewater

when there are COVID-19 infection cases in the buildings. However, building-scale monitoring is

not practical for residential areas that have high numbers of single family homes.

Neighborhood scale monitoring for COVID-19 has been conducted (Barrios et al., 2021;

Spurbeck et al., 2021). While this neighboordhood scale monitoring could allow a more targeted

public health intervention for a specific community, SARS-CoV-2 N gene concentrations

presented lower correlation coefficients with COVID-19 infection cases as the catchment

populations decreased (Bitter et al., 2022; Rusiñol et al., 2021; Sangsanont et al., 2022).

Additionally, the probability of SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater samples at a given COVID-

19 incidence decreases with a decrease in the population size (Fitzgerald et al., 2021; Wu et al.,

2021). Thus, the neighborhood scale monitoring strategies are ripe for improvement.

This study aims to develop a methodology for the neighborhood-scale WBE for

community-wide COVID-19 surveillance. We collected sewage samples from seven

neighborhood-scale sewersheds (average population 1,471 people) across Champaign County, IL,

USA for eleven months. First, we analyzed the SARS-CoV-2 N gene, including the genomes for

the Alpha and Delta variants. Then, we plotted these data onto the human COVID-19 testing data

obtained from the neighborhood-scale sewersheds, allowing us to develop a COVID-19 warning

system. This COVID-19 warning system provides valuable information for county-wide disease

surveillance. For example, our data uncovered local outbreaks that county-scale WBE would not

detect, and also the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concerns to the sewersheds even at

low COVID-19 incidence. Our findings suggest that the COVID-19 warning system will

contribute to COVID-19 surveillance, especially when COVID-19 incidence is maintained at a

low level, such as at a COVID-19 endemic phase.

Materials and Methods

Collection of raw sewage composite samples

We selected seven sampling points of manholes across Champaign County, IL, USA. These seven

sampling points receive sewage discharged from sewersheds whose areas vary from 0.09 to 1.70

km^2. Population in each sewershed ranged from 853 to 2402 in 2020 (**Fig. 1**). We chose an

additional sampling point: collecting wastewater generated from a food processing plant. The

amount of human fecal matter released in this wastewater is much smaller than the processing

water from slaughtering and meatpacking. For this reason, we used this wastewater for process

control, evaluating cross-contamination among the sewage samples during the sample processing.

106
107   **Fig. 1**. Map of sewersheds in (A) Champaign and Urbana cities and (B) Rantoul city in Illinois.
108   Values in parenthesis are population estimates using block-level US Census 2020 data.
109

We installed autosamplers (Teledyne ISCO, USA) programmed to collect a 1 to 2 L composite

sample comprised of samples pumped at 4-hour intervals for four days. First, the composite

samples were transferred to sterile sampling bags (14-955-001, Fisher Scientific, USA) and 20 mL

of 2.5 M MgCl$_2$ was added to the samples (i.e., final MgCl$_2$ concentrations from 25 to 50 mM) to

coagulate solids including virus particles (Ahmed et al., 2020b; Oh et al., 2022a). Samples were

transported on ice to a laboratory at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign within three

hours. All contact material was sanitized with 10% bleach and 70% ethanol to minimize cross-

contamination whenever handling different samples. We monitored the sewersheds from January

2021 to November 2021, during which we collected 254 sewage samples in total. The minimum

recommended meta-information on sewage samples is summarized in **Table S1**.

Sample processing and viral nucleic acid extraction

Upon arrival at the laboratory, supernatants from each composite sample were discarded. The

remaining 35 mL of sewage, in which solid particles were concentrated, were transferred to a 50

mL tube (12-565-271, Fisher Scientific, USA). Next, we added 350 μL of 10^5 gene copies (gc)/μL

of bovine coronavirus (BCoV; 16445-1, Merck Animal Health, USA) to the 35 mL of concentrated

sewage. After a 10 minutes incubation at room temperature, samples were centrifuged at 10,000 g

for 30 minutes (Sorvall™ RC 6 Plus, Thermo Scientific, USA). Supernatants were discarded and

a portion of the concentrated sludge (100 μL) was transferred to a sterile 1.5 mL tube (1415-2600,

USA Scientific, USA). Total RNA and DNA, including SARS-CoV-2 RNA, were extracted from

the sludge with QIAamp Viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, German) following the manufacturer's

procedure with a minor modification. Specifically, the final volume of extracts was 100 μL.

Sewage collection and processing were conducted on the same day, and the RNA samples were

stored at -80 ℃ until downstream analysis.

Analysis of viral genomes

Total RNA was diluted serially 10-fold, and six reverse transcriptase quantitative polymerase chain

reaction (RT-qPCR) assays (**Table S2**) were used to analyze sampels for the presence of SARS-

CoV-2 RNA. We quantified the N gene (i.e., N1 assay with 2019-nCoV RUO kit, Integrated DNA

Technologies, USA) to identify a total SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration. We also analyzed

samples for the presence of the S:A570D and S:P681R mutations present in the Alpha and Delta

variants because these were dominant variants in our study area during the time of our sample

collection (Oh et al., 2022b). For the data quality assurance, we quantified pepper mild mottle

virus (PMMOV), Tulane virus (TV), and BCoV, which were used to normalize SARS-CoV-2 to

human fecal matter, determine the impact of PCR inhibitors, and calculate SARS-CoV-2 recovery

efficiency, respectively.

The details for RT-qPCR assays are summarized in **Table S3,** following MIQE guidelines.

We analyzed five targets (i.e., N gene, Alpha, Delta, PMMOV, and TV) by Taqman-based RT-

qPCR assays. We designed two duplex RT-qPCR assays and one singleplex RT-qPCR to analyze

these five targets. Specifically, we used the first duplex RT-qPCR assay to detect total SARS-

CoV-2 RNA (i.e., N gene) and the Alpha variant. The second one was to analyze the Delta variant

and PMMoV. To validate the two duplex RT-qPCR assays, we compared Cq values of serial

dilutions of synthetic RNA/DNA standard controls determined by duplex RT-qPCR and its

corresponding singleplex RT-qPCR. We used singleplex RT-qPCR to measure TV RNA. The

Taqman-based RT-qPCR started with mixing 5 µL of RNA sample, 5 µL of Taqman Fast Virus 1-

step Master Mix (4444432, Applied Biosystems, USA), 1 µL of primers/probe mixture for each

assay (i.e., final concentrations of 400 nM for primers and 200 nM for probes), and various

volumes of nuclease-free water that fill up the mixture to 20 µL. For example, we added 1 µL of

primer/probe for the N gene, 1 µL of primer/probe for the Alpha variant, and 8 µL of nuclease-

free water to the RT-qPCR cocktail for the first duplex RT-qPCR assay while 1 µL of primer/probe

for TV and 9 µL of nuclease-free water to the RT-qPCR cocktail for the singleplex RT-qPCR assay.

The PCR cocktail was placed in 384-well plates (4309849, Thermofisher Scientific, USA) and

analyzed by QuantStudio 7 Flex (Thermofisher, USA) with a thermal cycle of 5 minutes at 50℃,

20 seconds at 95℃ followed by 45 cycles of 15 seconds at 95℃ and 60 seconds at 60℃. The PCR

standard curves were obtained for every RT-qPCR analysis with 10-fold serial dilutions of

synthetic RNA or DNA controls, and average PCR efficiencies for RT-qPCR were 92% (**Fig. S1**).

Four replicates of nuclease-free water were run for every RT-qPCR analysis to identify the

contamination of reagents or cross-contamination among reactions. These non-template controls

test negative at all RT-qPCR analyses. We also determined the limit of detection (LOD) and limit

of quantification (LOQ) for each assay with 20 replicates of serial dilutions of synthetic controls

(**Table S4**) following a previous study (Oh et al., 2022b).

We analyzed BCoV RNA by a SYBR-based RT-qPCR assay. The RT-qPCR mixture for

SYBR-based RT-qPCR assay included 3 µL of RNA sample, 0.3 µL of 10 µM forward and reverse

primer, 1.275 µL of molecular biology grade water (Corning, NY, USA), 5 µL of 2×iTaq universal

SYBR green reaction mix, 0.125 µL of iScript reverse transcriptase from the iTaq™ Universal

SYBR® Green One-Step Kit (1725151, Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The PCR cocktail was

placed in 96-well plates (4306737, Applied Biosystems, USA) and analyzed by an RT-qPCR

system (QuantStudio 3, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The RT-qPCR reaction was performed

with a thermocycle of 50℃ for 10 minutes and 95℃ for 1 minute, followed by 40 cycles of 95℃

for 10 seconds and 60℃ for 30 seconds. Melting curves were analyzed while the temperature

increased from 60°C to 95°C. Based on the melting curves, the primers were specifically bound to

the target genome. The SYBR signal was normalized to the ROX reference dye. The cycles of

quantification (Cq) were determined by QuantStudio Design & Analysis Software (v1.5.1). The

numbers of technical replicates were 4 for synthetic RNA controls and from 3 to 5 for sewage

samples. The PCR efficiencies for RT-qPCR were higher than 85% (R^2>0.99).

Once RNA concentrations in the final extracts were determined, we calculated RNA

concentrations in sewage samples using Eqs. 1-4.

$C_{sludge} = C_{RNA\ extract} \times \frac{V_{RNA\ extract}}{V_{sludge}}$ (Eq. 1)

$RE = \frac{C_{BCoV\ in\ sludge} \times V_{sludge}}{C_{BCoV\ in\ stock\ solution} \times V_{stock\ solution}}$ (Eq. 2)

$CF = \frac{V_{sludge}}{V_{initial\ sewage}}$ (Eq. 3)

$C_{initial\ sewage} = C_{sludge} \times \frac{CF}{RE}$ (Eq. 4)

Where $C_{RNA\ extract}$, C_{sludge}, and $C_{initial\ sewage}$ indicate RNA concentration in RNA extract, sludge, and

initial sewage, respectively. $V_{RNA\ extract}$, V_{sludge}, and $V_{initial\ solution}$ mean volume of RNA extract,

sludge, and initial sewage, respectively. RE and CF represent recovery efficiency and

concentration factor, respectively.

Testing for PCR inhibitors

We evaluated the impacts of potential remaining PCR inhibitors in the RNA extracts by spiking

RNA extracts with TV RNA. TV is a calicivirus that infects rhesus monkeys, so TV should not be

present in our collected sewage samples. We added 1 µL of 10^6 gc/µL TV RNA to 10 µL RNA

extracts and 10 µL molecular biology-grade water (Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA, USA). We

assumed that if an RNA extract includes PCR inhibitors, a Cq value for TV in the RNA extract

will be higher by 1 Cq value or more than in inhibition-free water.

Presentation of viral genome concentrations

If one of the technical replicates for RT-qPCR is undetermined until 45 PCR cycles, then viral

genome concentrations are not quantitatively reliable (Safford et al., 2022; **Fig. S2A**). Hewitt et al.

(2022) and **Fig. S2B** showed that positivity/negativity (Eq. 5) is a better indicator for the actual

genome concentrations than the Cq values when samples have undetermined RT-qPCR results.

We used standard curves to convert Cq values to concentrations when none of the technical RT-

qPCR replicates showed undetermined. On the other hand, when undetermined data was reported,

we used positivity to estimate viral RNA concentrations (Eq. 6).

$P_S = \dfrac{\textit{The number of positive replicates}}{\textit{Total number of replicates}}$ (Eq. 5)

$C_S = C_L \times P_S$ (Eq. 6)

Where C_S represents the N gene concentration of samples containing undetermined qPCR results

in technical replicates. C_L indicates the lowest N gene concentration from samples that do not have

undetermined qPCR results. In addition, as suggested in previous studies (Simpson et al., 2021;

Wolfe et al., 2021; Zhan et al., 2022), we presented SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations normalized

to PMMOV for comparisons with clinical epidemiology.

Using BCoV spiked experiments to determine sample composite period

We put 35 mL of sewage sample and 350 μL of 10^5 gc/μL BCoV particles in a 50 mL sterile tube. The five tubes of the sewage samples containing BCoV were incubated in a water bath where the temperature was maintained at 25°C. We collected samples every 24 hours for five days. The number of BCoV RNA was determined by the extraction method and RT-qPCR assays mentioned above. We assumed that the RNA decay follows the first-order decay model (Eq. 7).

$$C_t = C_0 e^{-kt} \qquad \text{(Eq. 7)}$$

$$ln C_t = ln C_0 - kt \qquad \text{(Eq. 8)}$$

Where C_t and C_0 are the concentration of BCoV RNA at time t and zero, k is the first-order decay rate constant. The rate constant is equal to the slope of ln C and the time graph (Eq. 8), which was determined by the linear least-squares regression model.

Clinical epidemiology

The Champaign-Urbana Public Health District (CUPHD) provided daily COVID-19 cases with spatiotemporal information, with which we determined the 7-day average and relative infection case. The relative infection case refers to the number of patients who actively contribute to sewage viral load. The relative infection case is determined by multiplying temporal daily COVID-19 cases by a virus shedding model (He et al., 2020; Hewitt et al., 2022). We determined the COVID-19 incidence rate for each sewershed by normalizing the COVID-19 occurrence (cases/day) to the conresponding populations.

$Incidence\ rate\ (\%) = \frac{COVID-19\ occurrence\ (cases/day)}{population\ in\ a\ sewershed\ (people)} \times 100$ (Eq. 9)

We analyzed 138 sequences from the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID),

which were reported from Champaign County in 2021 using an algorithm, PRIMES developed by

Oh et al. (2022b) to determine the temporal prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 variants in Champaign

County.

Statistical analysis

We conducted various statistical analyses to support the credibility of data comparisons.

Specifically, BCoV RNA concentrations in sewage with different incubation times were analyzed

by Mann-Whitney U Test and a linear least-squares regression model (**Fig. S3**). PMMOV and

BCoV RNA concentrations were not normally distributed, so Kruskal Wallis ANOVA was used

to compare these data across the seven sewersheds (**Fig. S4** and **Fig. S5**). The Cq values of serial

dilutions determined by multiplex and singleplex RT-qPCR assays were compared by F-tests (**Fig.**

S7). In addition, N/PMMOV was not normally distributed, so we used Spearman's rank correlation

to compare it to clinical epidemiology (**Table S5**). These statistical analyses were performed using

OriginPro (version 2019b). Furthermore, we analyzed the possibility of detecting the SARS-CoV-

2 N gene at a given COVID-19 incidence by a binary logistic regression model. This statistical

analysis was performed using the *statsmodels* library in Python (version 3.8.8) (Seabold and Josef

Perktold, 2010) and the algorithm is deposited at

https://github.com/Nguyen205/Logistic_regression.

Results and Discussion

Quality assurance and quality control

We first identified what an appropriate sample composite period was to establish an efficient WBE procedure by spiking samples with known amounts of bovine coronavirus (BCoV) RNA and examining its stability over time. **Fig. S3** used controlled laboratory conditions and showed the concentrations of BCoV RNA as the incubation time increases. The reduction in BCoV RNA concentrations followed the first-order decay kinetics (R^2=1.00) with a first-order decay rate constant of 0.22 day^{-1}, which is within the range of previously reported rate constants for SARS-CoV-2 and PMMOV (Roldan-Hernandez et al., 2022). Under these conditions, BCoV RNA concentrations were not significantly different from initial concentrations to four days post-incubation (Mann-Whitney U Test; $p>0.05$). Ahmed et al. (2020a) found similar results when using SARS-CoV-2.The temperatures measured at our sampling sites were lower than 25℃ throughout the monitoring period. Therefore, we were assured that coronavirus RNA genomes would remain stable when we collected 4-day 4-day composite sewage samples.

PMMOV RNA concentrations were quantified for each composite sample as an indicator that fecal material was present in a composite sample (D'Aoust et al., 2021). The PMMOV RNA concentrations for all collected samples across the seven sewersheds are different (Kruskal Wallis ANOVA; $p<0.05$, **Fig. S4)**. This was expected because of the heterogeneity in the sewage composition. Median values of PMMOV RNA concentrations from the seven sewersheds ranged from 6.41 (C2) to 7.05 gc/L (R1), which were similar to those previously reported (Feng et al., 2021). Since PMMOV was detected from all collected sewage samples, our sampling method was indeed successfully collecting human fecal matter from sewer distribution systems.

We used the recovery efficiency for BCoV to evaluate the sample processing procedures

(e.g., sludge concentration and RNA extraction). The mean, median, minimum, and maximum

recovery efficiencies across the seven locations were 3.4%, 1.5%, 0.02%, and 64%, respectively.

The recovery efficiencies were significantly different (Kruskal Wallis ANOVA; $p<0.05$, **Fig. S5**).

A wide range of recovery efficiency was also reported previously (Feng et al., 2021), and the

differences were probably attributed to different sewage characteristics. Pecson et al. (2021)

investigated the reproducibility and sensitivity of 36 virus concentration methods performed by 32

laboratories across the U.S. and suggested a threshold of 0.01% of recovery efficiency for

exclusion of poorly processed samples. Based on this criterion, none of the samples were screened

out due to the low quality of sample processing.

Sewage samples routinely contain PCR inhibitors (Rački et al., 2014). Thus, it is essential

to determine the impact of remaining PCR inhibitors in the final RNA extracts. We conducted

experiments to determine the presence of RNA inhibitors by spiking samples with Tulane Virus

(TV) RNA. Results are shown in **Fig. S6**. We found all ΔCq values were within 1.0, confirming

that PCR inhibitors' impacts in our RNA samples were negligible after 10-fold dilution.

We used multiplex RT-qPCR assays to quantify the SARS-CoV-2 N gene, the S gene for

the Alpha variant, the S gene for the Delta variant, and PMMOV replication gene in the final RNA

extracts to save samples, reagents, and time. **Figure S7** shows that that Cq values from singleplex

and multiplex RT-qPCR were not significantly different for the two sets of multiplex RT-qPCR

assays (F-test; $p>0.05$). Thus, the fluorescence from FAM dye did not affect the HEX channel and

vice versa. As a final negative control, we applied the same procedures, including sample

collection, processing, and analysis, to wastewater discharged from a food processing plant and

sewage samples. We did not detect any SARS-CoV-2 RNA signal (i.e., Cq values were

undetermined by 45 cycles of RT-qPCR) from the food processing wastewater samples throughout

the monitoring period. This finding suggests no cross-contamination among samples from

different sites, so the positive Cq values were true positives.

Comparisons of clinical epidemiology and wastewater-based epidemiology data

WBE has been evaluated based on the goodness of fit to clinical epidemiology determined by

statistical models such as a Pearson correlation coefficient or a Spearman rank correlation

coefficient (Barua et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021), depending on the data normality. In a similar

manner, we compared N/PMMOV ratios to COVID-19 incidence rates determined by three

epidemiological parameters: daily COVID-19 cases (Xiao et al., 2022), 7-day average COVID-19

cases (Hoar et al., 2022), and relative infection COVID-19 cases (Hewitt et al., 2022). Then, we

evaluated the goodness of fit by Spearman rank correlation (**Table S5**) between the N/PMMOV

ratios and these epidemiological parameters. We found that the relative infection cases yielded

higher correlation coefficients than daily cases and 7-day averages at six sewersheds (C1, C2, C3,

C4, R1, and R2). Therefore, we used relative infection cases to determine the COVID-19 incidence

rate.

The correlation coefficient between COVID-19 incidence rate and N/PMMOV ranged

from 0.08 (R3) to 0.72 (C1) across the seven sewersheds (**Table S5**). Overall, the correlation

coefficients showed a considerable variation among the sewersheds. There are several possible

explanations for the lack of uniform correlations. First, the average COVID-19 incidence rate was

0.004% throughout the monitoring period, which is equivalent to only a few daily COVID-19 cases

in neighborhood-scale sewersheds serving populations of around 1,400 people. **Fig. 2A** shows no

COVID-19 patients were reported at the seven sewersheds for 1,544 days out of 2,104 days of

monitoring periods (i.e., 73.4%). One, two, and three or more patients were reported at 18.7, 4.9,

and 3.0%, respectively. Individual patients have significant differences in clinical symptoms, viral

shedding, and access to COVID-19 tests. For example, Ke et al. (2022) found significant person-

to-person variations in viral load and virus shedding duration among COVID-19 patients. A time

lag between symptom onset and testing date also varies because people have different access to

COVID-19 testing. The fact that the different time lags significantly impact the correlation to the

WBE data is demonstrated by the highest correlation coefficient (0.72) at location C1. Most

residents of location C1 were undergraduate students enrolled at the University of Illinois Urbana-

Champaign, which required students to undergo routine COVID-19 testing. For example, graduate

students were required to get tested once a week until August 2021. Undergraduate students were

requird to get tested twice a week until May 2021, and then once a week from May to August 2021.

While the mandatory COVID-19 testing was lifted for fully vaccinated individuals in September

2021, many residents in campus town were still required weekly testing. Therefore, compared to

other sites, the reported COVID-19 cases at C1 were much closer to the actual infection cases. The

individual heterogeneity in clinical symptoms including virus shedding and access to COVID-19

tests will become more significant as the number of COVID-19 cases decreases. Second, SARS-

CoV-2 RNA was present at a low concentration in sewage samples. The SARS-CoV-2 N gene

concentrations are summarized in three groups (**Fig. 2B**); the N gene was not detected; the N gene

was detected in at least one of the qPCR replicates, but the concentrations were below LOQ; the

N gene concentrations were above the LOQ. We found that 92% of sewage samples showed

concentrations below the LOQ. By definition, the concentrations below LOQ are not quantitatively

reliable, and the concentrations may differ from the actual virus concentrations. Therefore, we

concluded that the unclear relationship between the WBE and the clinical epidemiological data

was attributed to a low number of COVID-19 cases in sewersheds and low SARS-CoV-2

concentrations in sewage.

364
365   **Fig 2.** Stacked histograms for (A) COVID-19 daily cases in sewersheds and (B) SARS-CoV-2
366   concentrations in sewage.
367

A COVID-19 warning system for neighborhood-scale sewersheds with low COVID-19

incidences

We developed a COVID-19 warning system for a high COVID-19 incidence by setting a threshold

of N/PMMOV to separate the monitoring periods into high- or low-risk. Specifically, the

sewershed was classified as a high-risk period if N/PMMOV was higher than the threshold from

two consecutive monitoring results. Conversely, this warning was dismissed when the N/PMMOV

values were below the threshold for the two samples in a row. We decided to make decisions with

two samples with the same results to exclude uncertainty in the measurement.

We applied the COVID-19 warning system with a N/PMMOV threshold of $10^{-2.0}$ to the

seven sewersheds. Then, we presented the entire monitoring period classified into low (blue) and

high (pink) risk periods in **Fig. 3**. As a result, there were 26 local outbreaks with a COVID-19

incidence rate higher than 0.5% (**Table S6**), and the COVID-19 warning system alarmed the 19

outbreaks (73% of sensitivity). Also, the warning system assigned 17 high-risk periods for the

seven sewersheds, among which 12 high-risk periods were related to the local outbreaks of

COVID-19 with higher than 0.5% (71% of specificity). These findings suggest that the high-risk

periods determined by the COVID-19 warning system aligned with the local COVID-19 outbreaks

at the neighborhood-scale sewersheds.

386
Fig. 3. Comparisons between clinical epidemiology (COVID-19 incidence rate) and wastewater-
based epidemiology (N/PMMOV). Open symbols represent WBE results (i.e., rectangles for the

N gene, circles for the Alpha variant, and triangles for the Delta variant). We assigned about 10^{-4}
of N/PMMOV to samples tested negatives for the N gene to differentiate these samples from
samples not measured. Black solid curves show the COVID-19 incidence rates. The horizontal
dashed lines show the threshold N/PMMOV of $10^{-2.0}$. The monitoring period is separated either
into the high-risk (pink) or the low-risk (blue).
394

We also found that the sensitivity and specificity of the COVID-19 warning system to the

local outbreaks changed with different thresholds of N/PMMOV. **Fig. 4** showed the high-risk

periods at C1 with different thresholds. We found that several low-risk periods changed to the

high-risk as the threshold decreased. For example, November was a low-risk period with a

N/PMMOV threshold of $10^{-1.8}$ (**Fig. 4A**) but switched to a high-risk period with a threshold of $10^{-2.0}$

$^{2.0}$. Likewise, C1 is classified as a low-risk period in April with a threshold of $10^{-2.0}$, but it changes

to a high-risk period when the threshold decreases to $10^{-2.1}$. As a more extended period is classified

into the high-risk, the sensitivity increases while the specificity decreases. **Fig. S8 and S9** show

the COVID-19 warning systems applied to the seven sewersheds with the thresholds of $10^{-1.9}$ and

$10^{-2.1}$, respectively. We found that the sensitivity of the warning system increased from 54% to 81%

while the specificity decreased from 75% to 61% as the thresholds changed from $10^{-1.9}$ to $10^{-2.1}$.

This finding suggests that we can increase the possibility of detecting local outbreaks by

decreasing the thresholds, although the probability of not including the outbreak in high-risk

periods may increase (i.e., decreases in specificity).

410
411 **Fig. 4**. Application of the COVID-19 warning system to location C1 with different thresholds; (A)
412 $10^{-1.8}$, (B) $10^{-2.0}$, and (C) $10^{-2.1}$ of N/PMMOV. The high-risk and the low-risk periods are presented
413 in red and blue shades, respectively.
414

We also compared WBE data to the county-wide COVID-19 incidence rate. **Fig. 5A** shows

the COVID-19 incidence rate in Champaign County, which includes all seven sewersheds during

the same monitoring period as our WBE program. There were four county-wide outbreaks in

descending order of disease incidence rate in September, November, February, and April (Arrows

in **Fig. 5A** point each peak). According to our COVID-19 warning system, all seven sewersheds

were high-risk periods in September when the disease incidence was the highest during the

monitoring period (the Delta variant outbreak) **(Fig. 3)**. Four sewersheds (C1, C2, R1, and R2)

were high-risk periods in November (the beginning of the 2022 winter outbreak). Also, four

sewersheds (C1, C3, R1, and R2) were high-risk periods in February (the end of the 2021 winter

outbreak). Finally, only one site (C3) was the high-risk period in April when disease incidence was

the lowest among the four county-wide outbreaks. The location C3 showed clear peaks of the

COVID-19 incidence rate from April to June (**Fig. 3C**), supporting that this high-risk period is a

true positive. The finding that the number of sewersheds in high-risk periods is related to the scale

of the county-level outbreaks confirms the credibility of the COVID-19 warning system.

430
431 **Fig. 5.** COVID-19 clinical epidemiology data from Champaign County. (A) COVID-19 incidence
432 rate and (B) the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 variants. The red dashed line in (A) indicates a 0.14%
433 of incidence rate with which viruses in sewage discharged from a county-scale sewershed are
434 expected to be detected with 90% probability. The variant prevalence in (B) was determined based
435 on genome sequences deposited at GISAID (n=138).
436

The high-risk period at C3 from April to June further highlighted the advantage of the

COVID-19 warning system. We determined a sensitivity of our WBE procedure, defined as the

COVID-19 incidence rate at which viruses in sewage are detected at 90% probability. The data

from C1 was used for a binary logistic regression analysis because the COVID-19 incidence rate

is most reliable in C1 due to the mandatory COVID-19 testing. We found that the COVID-19

incidence rate is a reliable predictor to explain the probability of SARS-CoV-2 N gene detection

(McFadden; $p<0.001$). Based on the logistic regression curve in **Fig. 6**, the WBE sensitivity was

0.14% (or one infection case out of 715 residents). Meanwhile, the COVID-19 incidence rate of

the county-wide outbreak in April peaked at 0.15%. In addition, the incidence rate was less than

the WBE sensitivity (0.14%) from February 20 to July 27. If the WBE had been applied to the

entire county, local outbreaks such as the high-risk period at C3 from April to June would have

been overlooked. This finding suggests that wastewater surveillance should be performed at

neighborhood-scale sewersheds to discern local outbreaks when the COVID-19 incidence rate is

low.

452
Fig. 6. Probability of detecting SARS-CoV-2 N gene in sewage samples from location C1. Black
closed-circles present COVID-19 incidence rates on the x-axis. Either 1 or 0 was given to the y-
value when sewage samples tested positive or negative for SARS-CoV-2, respectively. Logistic
regression curves with a 95% confidence interval are presented in a red solid line and shade.
McFadden's Pseudo R-squared of the regression analysis was 0.34 (p<0.001), which is considered
a good fit (McFadden, 2021). The Black dotted line indicates that the 0.14% incidence rate results
in a 90% probability.
460

We also applied RT-qPCR assay data that specifically detected the Alpha and Delta SARS-CoV-

2 variants to the sewage samples (**Fig. 3**). In our sewersheds, the Alpha variant was first detected

on January 27, and it continued to be reported until June 23. Also, the Delta variant was detected

from June 3 to the end of the sampling (Dec. 2, 2021). In general, the periods when the Alpha and

the Delta variant were detected aligned with the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 variants determined

by genome sequencing of clinical samples collected from COVID-19 patients in Champaign

County, IL, USA (**Fig. 5B**). Furthermore, we found that Delta variant's shedding into the

sewersheds might have increased the COVID-19 incidence rates. For example, five sites (C2, C3,

C4, R1, and R2) were classified as the high-risk period as soon as the Delta variant was detected.

In addition, the local outbreak in June at C3, the only high-risk period from the seven sewersheds,

was also associated with the Delta variant. This finding suggests that variant-specific RT-qPCR assay can be combined with the COVID-19 warning system to determine the introduction of emerging or dominant SARS-CoV-2 variants in neighborhood-scale sewersheds even at a low COVID-19 incidence.

Implication

We developed a COVID-19 warning system that is activated when the ratio of N/PMMOV concentrations are higher than $10^{-2.0}$ for two consecutive analyses. The COVID-19 warning system showed 73% sensitivity and 71% specificity to neighborhood-scale outbreaks with an incidence rate higher than 0.5%. Note that these specific sensitivity and specificity values should be interpreted with caution. For example, the sensitivity varies depending on how we define a local outbreak of interest. Although we chose an incidence rate of 0.5% for studies here, a higher COVID-19 incidence rate such as 0.75% may be more appropriate for other regions. When we examine local outbreaks with an incidence rate higher than 0.75% into account (12 outbreaks in total), 10 outbreaks are now included in the high-risk period, and sensitivity increases from 71% to 83%. In addition, it is likely that there were unreported COVID-19 cases in our sewersheds. For example, the CDC estimates actual COVID-19 infections are from 6 to 24 times higher than reported cases (Havers et al., 2020). These unreported COVID cases might have accounted for the false-positive scenarios where the high-risk periods were made without the local outbreaks. If so, the true specificity becomes higher than 71%.

Although the N/PMMOV threshold of $10^{-2.0}$ was used for our seven sewersheds, the COVID-19 warning system can be applied with different thresholds to the other sites considering regional characteristics such as local public health policies and the community's acceptance of the

COVID-19 risk (Dryhurst et al., 2020; Turska-Kawa and Pilch, 2022). Intensive care unit (ICU)

occupancy rate, influenced by vulnerable populations and ICU bed capacity, may be one of the

regional characteristics that should be considered to determine a threshold for the COVID-19

warning system. If some regions had experienced a high ICU occupancy rate during the COVID-

19 pandemic, COVID-19 put enormous stress on the local medical systems. Similar scenarios

could happen again in the future. According to CDC, the maximum ICU occupancy rate

significantly differs from site to site (http://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker). For example, in the

state of Illinois (USA), some counties, such as Cook County and Champaign County, have

managed ICU beds well during the COVID-19 pandemic, showing 35% of maximum ICU bed

occupancy. On the other hand, other counties, including Rock Island County (85%) and Effingham

County (70%), experienced a larger burden on ICU beds during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Therefore, these counties may need to be more vigilant about the COVID-19 outbreak by setting

a lower threshold.

Applying WBE to neighborhood-scale sewersheds may cost more than large size

sewersheds for monitoring the entire administrative area. To efficiently monitor multiple

neighborhood-scale sewersheds, the WBE can be deployed to some representative places of

diverse societal characteristics, such as socioeconomic status, demographics, or land use category,

so that we can minimize the number of monitoring sites while obtaining information about the

COVID-19 incidence representative of the entire area. The COVID-19 pandemic disproportionally

has impacted people depending on demographic and socioeconomic status (Bassett et al., 2020;

Krieger, 2020). Thus, those underserved regions could be chosen for wastewater surveillance. For

example, we chose the six sewersheds (C2, C3, C4, R1, R2, and R3) based on areas that reported

fewer cases of COVID-19 testing than the average testing in the Champaign County to attempt to

supplement clinical testing data, while we selected another sewershed to represent the highly-

tested campus area (location C1) (**Table S7**). In addition, sample collection frequency can be

optimized to operate WBE within a budget. Schoen et al. (2022) found that a sampling frequency

of once every 4 days is not significantly different from daily sampling in estimating clinical

epidemiology data. We collected 4-day composite samples to have a less frequent sampling

frequency, once every 8.2 days.

Our findings on the COVID-19 warning system have significant implications for public

health. COVID-19 might be moving from a pandemic to an endemic phase (Veldhoen and Simas,

2021), which involves a difference in disease surveillance strategy. In an endemic phase, we may

not be able to get as accurate statistics on COVID-19 by the clinical diagnosis as we did in the

pandemic phase because people will be less likely to visit hospitals to get tested or medical support.

This is because the illnesses may be self-limiting (Veldhoen and Simas, 2021). People also may

have less accessibility to testing sites and use rapid COVID-19 test kits instead at home (Kost,

2022). In this context, WBE will play a pivotal role in the COVID-19 surveillance because WBE

provides unbiased information about all residents in the sewershed. However, unlike during the

pandemic, the COVID-19 will be mostly managed at a much lower incidence level in the endemic

phase. At the same time, we may see intermittent COVID-19 outbreaks due to an emerging new

variant or waning immunity as we have seen measles or influenza outbreaks (Katzourakis, 2022).

Lak et al. (2021) and Shi et al. (2021) discovered that local COVID-19 outbreaks can spread

through the surrounding regions resulting in other outbreaks. Rader et al. (2020) explained the fast

virus transmission to the surrounding areas with frequent contact among residents. These studies

highlight the necessity of early detection of local COVID-19 outbreaks (Cariti et al., 2022; Wu et

al., 2022a). This study shows that local outbreaks would not be detected if WBE is applied to a

large-sized sewershed. Therefore, the COVID-19 warning system can be applied to neighborhood-

scale sewersheds to identify covert local outbreaks in advance and the introduction of emerging

SARS-CoV-2 variants, which provides a warning for large-scale outbreaks.

Conclusion

In this study, we applied WBE to seven neighborhood-scale sewersheds (an average catchment

population was 1471) for eleven months. We found that WBE data from neighborhood-scale

sewersheds may be poorly correlated to clinical testing data when COVID-19 incidence is low.

Thus, we developed a COVID-19 warning system for high COVID-19 risk when the SARS-CoV-

2 N gene concentration in wastewater is higher than a threshold, which can be assigned in

collaboration with public health officials. We demonstrated that the COVID-19 warning system

can discern neighborhood-scale COVID-19 outbreaks that a county-scale WBE would not detect

due to the low disease incidence. We also identified that the shedding of a new COVID-19 variant

(i.e., the delta variant) to neighborhood-scale sewersheds even at the low disease incidence, leading

to local COVID-19 outbreaks. Our findings highlight that WBE should be applied to

neighborhood-scale sewersheds when COVID-19 incidence is maintained at a low level.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge the funding from the Grainger College of Engineering and the JUMP-ARCHES

program of OSF Healthcare in conjunction with the University of Illinois. The authors also

acknowledge Hayden Wennerdahl and Kip Stevenson for sampling deployment, and Yuqing Mao,

Matthew Robert Loula, Aashna Patra, Kristin Joy Anderson, Mikayla Diedrick, Hubert Lyu,

Hamza Elmahi Mohamed, Jad R Karajeh, Runsen Ning, Rui Fu, and Kyukyoung Kim for sewage

sampling and processing.

References

Abrams, E.M., Szefler, S.J., 2020. COVID-19 and the impact of social determinants of health.

Lancet Respir. Med. 8, 659–661. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30234-4

Ahmed, W., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Haramoto, E., Hewitt, J., Huygens, F., Gyawali, P., Korajkic,

A., Riddell, S., Sherchan, S.P., Simpson, S.L., Sirikanchana, K., Symonds, E.M., Verhagen,

R., Vasan, S.S., Kitajima, M., Bivins, A., 2020a. Decay of SARS-CoV-2 and surrogate

murine hepatitis virus RNA in untreated wastewater to inform application in wastewater-

based   epidemiology.   Environ.   Res.   191,   110092.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVRES.2020.110092

Ahmed, W., Bertsch, P.M., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Farkas, K., Gathercole, A., Haramoto, E.,

Gyawali, P., Korajkic, A., McMinn, B.R., Mueller, J.F., Simpson, S.L., Smith, W.J.M.,

Symonds, E.M., Thomas, K. V., Verhagen, R., Kitajima, M., 2020b. Comparison of virus

concentration methods for the RT-qPCR-based recovery of murine hepatitis virus, a surrogate

for   SARS-CoV-2   from   untreated   wastewater.   Sci.   Total   Environ.   739,   139960.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2020.139960

Barrios, R.E., Lim, C., Kelley, M.S., Li, X., 2021. SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in a wastewater

collection system indicated potential COVID-19 hotspots at the zip code level. Sci. Total

Environ. 800, 149480. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.149480

Barua, V.B., Juel, M.A.I., Blackwood, A.D., Clerkin, T., Ciesielski, M., Sorinolu, A.J., Holcomb,

D.A., Young, I., Kimble, G., Sypolt, S., Engel, L.S., Noble, R.T., Munir, M., 2022. Tracking

the temporal variation of COVID-19 surges through wastewater-based epidemiology during

the peak of the pandemic: A six-month long study in Charlotte, North Carolina. Sci. Total

Environ. 814, 152503. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.152503

Bassett, M.T., Chen, J.T., Krieger, N., 2020. Variation in racial/ethnic disparities in COVID-19

mortality by age in the United States: A cross-sectional study. PLOS Med. 17, e1003402.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PMED.1003402

Bitter, L.C., Kibbee, R., Jiménez, G.C., Rmeci, B.O., 2022. Wastewater Surveillance of SARS-

CoV-2 at a Canadian University Campus and the Impact of Wastewater Characteristics on

Viral   RNA   Detection.   ACS   ES&T   Water.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00060

Bivins, A., Bibby, K., 2021. Wastewater Surveillance during Mass COVID-19 Vaccination on a

College   Campus.   Environ.   Sci.   Technol.   Lett.   8,   792–798.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.ESTLETT.1C00519/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/EZ1C00519_

0001.JPEG

Cariti, F., Tuñ As Corzon, A., Fernandez-Cassi, X., Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Ort, C., Julian, T.R.,

Kohn, T., 2022. Wastewater Reveals the Spatiotemporal Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the

Canton of Ticino (Switzerland) during the Onset of the COVID-19 Pandemic. ACS ES&T

Water. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00082

Catherine Hoar,   Francoise Chauvin,   Alexander Clare,   Hope McGibbon,   Esmeraldo Castro,

Samantha Patinella,   Dimitrios Katehis,   J. Dennehy, J.,   Monica Trujillo,   S. Smyth, D.,

I. Silverman, A., 2022. Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater during New York City's

second wave of COVID-19: sewershed-level trends and relationships to publicly available

clinical   testing   data.   Environ.   Sci.   Water   Res.   Technol.   8,   1021–1035.

https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EW00747E

Chen, Y., Chen, L., Deng, Q., Zhang, G., Wu, K., Ni, L., Yang, Y., Liu, B., Wang, W., Wei, C.,

Yang, J., Ye, G., Cheng, Z., 2020. The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the feces of

COVID-19 patients. J. Med. Virol. 92, 833–840. https://doi.org/10.1002/JMV.25825

D'Aoust, P.M., Mercier, E., Montpetit, D., Jia, J.J., Alexandrov, I., Neault, N., Baig, A.T., Mayne,

J., Zhang, X., Alain, T., Langlois, M.A., Servos, M.R., MacKenzie, M., Figeys, D.,

MacKenzie, A.E., Graber, T.E., Delatolla, R., 2021. Quantitative analysis of SARS-CoV-2

RNA from wastewater solids in communities with low COVID-19 incidence and prevalence.

Water Res. 188, 116560. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2020.116560

Dryhurst, S., Schneider, C.R., Kerr, J., Freeman, A.L.J., Recchia, G., van der Bles, A.M.,

Spiegelhalter, D., van der Linden, S., 2020. Risk perceptions of COVID-19 around the world.

J. Risk Res. 23, 994–1006.

https://doi.org/10.1080/13669877.2020.1758193/SUPPL_FILE/RJRR_A_1758193_SM797

7.DOCX

Feng, S., Roguet, A., McClary-Gutierrez, J.S., Newton, R.J., Kloczko, N., Meiman, J.G., McLellan,

S.L., 2021. Evaluation of Sampling, Analysis, and Normalization Methods for SARS-CoV-2

Concentrations in Wastewater to Assess COVID-19 Burdens in Wisconsin Communities.

ACS ES&T Water 1, 1955–1965. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.1C00160

Fitzgerald, S.F., Rossi, G., Low, A.S., McAteer, S.P., O'Keefe, B., Findlay, D., Cameron, G.J.,

Pollard, P., Singleton, P.T.R., Ponton, G., Singer, A.C., Farkas, K., Jones, D., Graham, D.W.,

Quintela-Baluja, M., Tait-Burkard, C., Gally, D.L., Kao, R., Corbishley, A., 2021. Site

Specific Relationships between COVID-19 Cases and SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in

Wastewater Treatment Plant Influent. Environ. Sci. Technol. 55, 15276–15286.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.EST.1C05029/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/ES1C05029_0004.J

PEG

Gibas, C., Lambirth, K., Mittal, N., Juel, M.A.I., Barua, V.B., Roppolo Brazell, L., Hinton, K.,

636   Lontai, J., Stark, N., Young, I., Quach, C., Russ, M., Kauer, J., Nicolosi, B., Chen, D., Akella,

637   S., Tang, W., Schlueter, J., Munir, M., 2021. Implementing building-level SARS-CoV-2

638   wastewater surveillance on a university campus. Sci. Total Environ. 782, 146749.

639   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.146749

640 Hart, O.E., Halden, R.U., 2020. Computational analysis of SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 surveillance

641   by wastewater-based epidemiology locally and globally: Feasibility, economy, opportunities

642   and challenges. Sci. Total Environ. 730, 138875.

643   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2020.138875

644 Hata, A., Hara-Yamamura, H., Meuchi, Y., Imai, S., Honda, R., 2021. Detection of SARS-CoV-2

645   in wastewater in Japan during a COVID-19 outbreak. Sci. Total Environ. 758, 143578.

646   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2020.143578

647 Havers, F.P., Reed, C., Lim, T., Montgomery, J.M., Klena, J.D., Hall, A.J., Fry, A.M., Cannon,

648   D.L., Chiang, C.F., Gibbons, A., Krapiunaya, I., Morales-Betoulle, M., Roguski, K., Rasheed,

649   M.A.U., Freeman, B., Lester, S., Mills, L., Carroll, D.S., Owen, S.M., Johnson, J.A.,

650   Semenova, V., Blackmore, C., Blog, D., Chai, S.J., Dunn, A., Hand, J., Jain, S., Lindquist, S.,

651   Lynfield, R., Pritchard, S., Sokol, T., Sosa, L., Turabelidze, G., Watkins, S.M., Wiesman, J.,

652   Williams, R.W., Yendell, S., Schiffer, J., Thornburg, N.J., 2020. Seroprevalence of

653   Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in 10 Sites in the United States, March 23-May 12, 2020. JAMA

654   Intern. Med. 180, 1576–1586. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMAINTERNMED.2020.4130

655 He, X., Lau, E.H.Y., Wu, P., Deng, X., Wang, J., Hao, X., Lau, Y.C., Wong, J.Y., Guan, Y., Tan,

656   X., Mo, X., Chen, Y., Liao, B., Chen, W., Hu, F., Zhang, Q., Zhong, M., Wu, Y., Zhao, L.,

657   Zhang, F., Cowling, B.J., Li, F., Leung, G.M., 2020. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding

658   and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat. Med. 2020 265 26, 672–675.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0869-5

Hewitt, J., Trowsdale, S., Armstrong, B.A., Chapman, J.R., Carter, K.M., Croucher, D.M., Trent,

C.R., Sim, R.E., Gilpin, B.J., 2022. Sensitivity of wastewater-based epidemiology for

detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a low prevalence setting. Water Res. 211, 118032.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2021.118032

Jamal, Y., Gangwar, M., Usmani, M., Adams, A., Wu, C.-Y., Nguyen, T.H., Colwell, R., Jutla, A.,

2022. Identification of thresholds on population density for understanding transmission of

COVID-19. GeoHealth e2021GH000449. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000449

Karthikeyan, S., Ronquillo, N., Belda-Ferre, P., Alvarado, D., Javidi, T., Longhurst, C.A., Knight,

R., 2021. High-Throughput Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 Detection Enables Forecasting of

Community Infection Dynamics in San Diego County. mSystems 6.

https://doi.org/10.1128/MSYSTEMS.00045-21/SUPPL_FILE/MSYSTEMS.00045-21-

ST003.DOCX

Katzourakis, A., 2022. COVID-19: endemic doesn't mean harmless. Nature 601, 485.

https://doi.org/10.1038/D41586-022-00155-X

Ke, R., Martinez, P.P., Smith, R.L., Gibson, L.L., Mirza, A., Conte, M., Gallagher, N., Luo, C.H.,

Jarrett, J., Zhou, R., Conte, A., Liu, T., Farjo, M., Walden, K.K.O., Rendon, G., Fields, C.J.,

Wang, L., Fredrickson, R., Edmonson, D.C., Baughman, M.E., Chiu, K.K., Choi, H., Scardina,

K.R., Bradley, S., Gloss, S.L., Reinhart, C., Yedetore, J., Quicksall, J., Owens, A.N., Broach,

J., Barton, B., Lazar, P., Heetderks, W.J., Robinson, M.L., Mostafa, H.H., Manabe, Y.C.,

Pekosz, A., McManus, D.D., Brooke, C.B., 2022. Daily longitudinal sampling of SARS-

CoV-2 infection reveals substantial heterogeneity in infectiousness. Nat. Microbiol. 2022 75

7, 640–652. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01105-z

Kost, G.J., 2022. Diagnostic Strategies for Endemic Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)Rapid

Antigen Tests, Repeated Testing, and Prevalence Boundaries. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 146,

16–25. https://doi.org/10.5858/ARPA.2021-0386-SA

Krieger, N., 2020. ENOUGH: COVID-19, structural racism, police brutality, plutocracy, climate

change-and time for health justice, democratic governance, and an equitable, sustainable

future. Am. J. Public Health 110, 1620–1623. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305886

Lak, A., Sharifi, A., Badr, S., Zali, A., Maher, A., Mostafavi, E., Khalili, D., 2021. Spatio-temporal

patterns of the COVID-19 pandemic, and place-based influential factors at the neighborhood

scale in Tehran. Sustain. Cities Soc. 72, 103034. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCS.2021.103034

Li, X., Kulandaivelu, J., Zhang, S., Shi, J., Sivakumar, M., Mueller, J., Luby, S., Ahmed, W., Coin,

L., Jiang, G., 2021. Data-driven estimation of COVID-19 community prevalence through

wastewater-based   epidemiology.   Sci.   Total   Environ.   789,   147947.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.147947

M. Pecson, B., Emily Darby, N. Haas, C., M. Amha, Y., Mitchel Bartolo, Richard Danielson,

Yeggie Dearborn, Giovanni, G.D., Christobel Ferguson, Stephanie Fevig, Erica Gaddis,

Donald Gray, George Lukasik, Bonnie Mull, Liana Olivas, Adam Olivieri, Yan Qu,

Consortium, S.-C.-2 I., 2021. Reproducibility and sensitivity of 36 methods to quantify the

SARS-CoV-2 genetic signal in raw wastewater: findings from an interlaboratory methods

evaluation in the U.S. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 7, 504–520.

https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EW00946F

McFadden, D., 2021. Quantitative Methods for Analysing Travel Behaviour of Individuals : Some

Recent   Developments.   Behav.   Travel   Model.   279–318.

https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003156055-18

Messner, W., Payson, S.E., 2020. Variation in COVID-19 outbreaks at the US state and county

levels. Public Health 187, 15–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PUHE.2020.07.035

Oh, C., Kim, K., Araud, E., Wang, L., Shisler, J.L., Nguyen, T.H., 2022a. A novel approach to

concentrate human and animal viruses from wastewater using receptors-conjugated magnetic

beads. Water Res. 212, 118112. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2022.118112

Oh, C., Sashittal, P., Zhou, A., Wang, L., El-Kebir, M., Nguyen, T.H., 2022b. Design of SARS-

CoV-2 Variant-Specific PCR Assays Considering Regional and Temporal Characteristics.

Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 88. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02289-

21/SUPPL_FILE/AEM.02289-21-S0001.PDF

Rački, N., Dreo, T., Gutierrez-Aguirre, I., Blejec, A., Ravnikar, M., 2014. Reverse transcriptase

droplet digital PCR shows high resilience to PCR inhibitors from plant, soil and water

samples. Plant Methods 2014 101 10, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13007-014-0042-6

Rader, B., Scarpino, S. V., Nande, A., Hill, A.L., Adlam, B., Reiner, R.C., Pigott, D.M., Gutierrez,

B., Zarebski, A.E., Shrestha, M., Brownstein, J.S., Castro, M.C., Dye, C., Tian, H., Pybus,

O.G., Kraemer, M.U.G., 2020. Crowding and the shape of COVID-19 epidemics. Nat. Med.

2020 2612 26, 1829–1834. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1104-0

Roldan-Hernandez, L., Graham, K.E., Duong, D., Boehm, A.B., 2022. Persistence of Endogenous

SARS-CoV-2 and Pepper Mild Mottle Virus RNA in Wastewater-Settled Solids. ACS

Environ. Sci. Technol. Water.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00003/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/EW2C0000

3_0002.JPEG

Rusiñol, M., Zammit, I., Itarte, M., Forés, E., Martínez-Puchol, S., Girones, R., Borrego, C.,

Corominas, L., Bofill-Mas, S., 2021. Monitoring waves of the COVID-19 pandemic:

Inferences from WWTPs of different sizes. Sci. Total Environ. 787, 147463.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.147463

Safford, H., Zuniga-Montanez, R.E., Kim, M., Wu, X., Wei, L., Sharpnack, J., Shapiro, K., Bischel,

H., 2022. Wastewater surveillance for COVID-19 response at multiple geographic scales:

Aligning wastewater and clinical results at the census-block level and addressing

pervasiveness of qPCR non-detects. medRxiv 2022.01.28.22269911.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.28.22269911

Sangsanont, J., Rattanakul, S., Kongprajug, A., Chyerochana, N., Sresung, M., Sriporatana, N.,

Wanlapakorn, N., Poovorawan, Y., Mongkolsuk, S., Sirikanchana, K., 2022. SARS-CoV-2

RNA surveillance in large to small centralized wastewater treatment plants preceding the

third COVID-19 resurgence in Bangkok, Thailand. Sci. Total Environ. 809, 151169.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.151169

Schoen, M.E., Wolfe, M.K., Li, L., Duong, D., White, B.J., Hughes, B., Boehm, A.B., 2022.

SARS-CoV-2 RNA Wastewater Settled Solids Surveillance Frequency and Impact on

Predicted COVID-19 Incidence Using a Distributed Lag Model. ACS ES&T Water.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00074

Seabold, S., Josef Perktold, 2010. Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modeling with python,

in: Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference. pp. 92–96.

Sherchan, S.P., Shahin, S., Patel, J., Ward, L.M., Tandukar, S., Uprety, S., Schmitz, B.W., Ahmed,

W., Simpson, S., Gyawali, P., 2021. Occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Six Municipal

Wastewater Treatment Plants at the Early Stage of COVID-19 Pandemic in The United States.

Pathog. 2021, Vol. 10, Page 798 10, 798. https://doi.org/10.3390/PATHOGENS10070798

Shi, J., Gao, X., Xue, S., Li, F., Nie, Q., Lv, Y., Wang, J., Xu, T., Du, G., Li, G., 2021. Spatio-

temporal evolution and influencing mechanism of the COVID-19 epidemic in Shandong

province, China. Sci. Reports 2021 111 11, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86188-

0

Simpson, A., Topol, A., White, B.J., Wolfe, M.K., Wigginton, K.R., Boehm, A.B., 2021. Effect

of storage conditions on SARS- CoV-2 RNA quantification in wastewater solids. PeerJ 9,

e11933. https://doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ.11933/SUPP-5

Spurbeck, R.R., Minard-Smith, A., Catlin, L., 2021. Feasibility of neighborhood and building scale

wastewater-based genomic epidemiology for pathogen surveillance. Sci. Total Environ. 789,

147829. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.147829

Tiwari, A., Phan, N., Tandukar, S., Ashoori, R., Thakali, O., Mousazadesh, M., Dehghani, M.H.,

Sherchan, S.P., 2021. Persistence and occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 in water and wastewater

environments: a review of the current literature. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 1, 1–11.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S11356-021-16919-3/FIGURES/2

Turska-Kawa, A., Pilch, I., 2022. Political beliefs and the acceptance of the SARS-CoV-2

pandemic restrictions. The case of Poland. PLoS One 17, e0264502.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0264502

Upshaw, T.L., Brown, C., Smith, R., Perri, M., Ziegler, C., Pinto, A.D., 2021. Social determinants

of COVID-19 incidence and outcomes: A rapid review. PLoS One 16, e0248336.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0248336

Veldhoen, M., Pedro Simas, J., 2021. Covid-19 will become endemic but with decreased potency

over time, scientists believe. BMJ 372, n494. https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJ.N494

Veldhoen, M., Simas, J.P., 2021. Endemic SARS-CoV-2 will maintain post-pandemic immunity.

Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2021 213 21, 131–132. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-020-00493-9

Wolfe, M.K., Archana, A., Catoe, D., Coffman, M.M., Dorevich, S., Graham, K.E., Kim, S.,

Grijalva, L.M., Roldan-Hernandez, L., Silverman, A.I., Sinnott-Armstrong, N., Vugia, D.J.,

Yu, A.T., Zambrana, W., Wigginton, K.R., Boehm, A.B., 2021. Scaling of SARS-CoV-2

RNA in Settled Solids from Multiple Wastewater Treatment Plants to Compare Incidence

Rates of Laboratory-Confirmed COVID-19 in Their Sewersheds. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.

8, 398–404. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.ESTLETT.1C00184

Wu, F., Lee, W.L., Chen, H., Gu, X., Chandra, F., Armas, F., Xiao, A., Leifels, M., Rhode, S.F.,

Wuertz, S., Thompson, J., Alm, E.J., 2022a. Making waves: Wastewater surveillance of

SARS-CoV-2 in an endemic future. Water Res. 219, 118535.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2022.118535

Wu, F., Xiao, A., Zhang, J., Moniz, K., Endo, N., Armas, F., Bonneau, R., Brown, M.A., Bushman,

M., Chai, P.R., Duvallet, C., Erickson, T.B., Foppe, K., Ghaeli, N., Gu, X., Hanage, W.P.,

Huang, K.H., Lee, W.L., Matus, M., McElroy, K.A., Nagler, J., Rhode, S.F., Santillana, M.,

Tucker, J.A., Wuertz, S., Zhao, S., Thompson, J., Alm, E.J., 2022b. SARS-CoV-2 RNA

concentrations in wastewater foreshadow dynamics and clinical presentation of new COVID-

19 cases. Sci. Total Environ. 805, 150121.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.150121

Wu, F., Xiao, A., Zhang, J., Moniz, K., Endo, N., Armas, F., Bushman, M., Chai, P.R., Duvallet,

C., Erickson, T.B., Foppe, K., Ghaeli, N., Gu, X., Hanage, W.P., Huang, K.H., Lee, W.L.,

McElroy, K.A., Rhode, S.F., Matus, M., Wuertz, S., Thompson, J., Alm, E.J., 2021.

Wastewater surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 across 40 U.S. states from February to June 2020.

Water Res. 202, 117400. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2021.117400

Xiao, A., Wu, F., Bushman, M., Zhang, J., Imakaev, M., Chai, P.R., Duvallet, C., Endo, N.,

Erickson, T.B., Armas, F., Arnold, B., Chen, H., Chandra, F., Ghaeli, N., Gu, X., Hanage,

W.P., Lee, W.L., Matus, M., McElroy, K.A., Moniz, K., Rhode, S.F., Thompson, J., Alm,

E.J., 2022. Metrics to relate COVID-19 wastewater data to clinical testing dynamics. Water

Res. 212, 118070. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2022.118070

Zhan, Q., Babler, K.M., Sharkey, M.E., Amirali, A., Beaver, C.C., Boone, M.M., Comerford, S.,

Cooper, D., Cortizas, E.M., Currall, B.B., Foox, J., Grills, G.S., Kobetz, E., Kumar, N., Laine,

J., Lamar, W.E., Mantero, A.M.A., Mason, C.E., Reding, B.D., Robertson, M., Roca, M.A.,

Ryon, K., Schürer, S.C., Shukla, B.S., Solle, N.S., Stevenson, M., Jr, J.J.T., Thomas, C.,

Thomas, T., Vidović, D., Williams, S.L., Yin, X., Solo-Gabriele, H.M., 2022. Relationships

between SARS-CoV-2 in Wastewater and COVID-19 Clinical Cases and Hospitalizations,

with and without Normalization against Indicators of Human Waste. ACS ES&T Water.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00045