

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

## Association between mild traumatic brain injury, brain structure, and mental health outcomes in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study

Daniel A. Lopez<sup>a,b</sup>, Zachary P. Christensen<sup>a</sup>, John J. Foxe<sup>a</sup>, Laura R. Ziemer<sup>a</sup>, Paige R. Nicklas<sup>a</sup>, Edward G. Freedman<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The Frederick J. and Marion A. Schindler Cognitive Neurophysiology Laboratory, The Ernest J. Del Monte Institute for Neuroscience, Department of Neuroscience, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York 14642, USA

<sup>b</sup> Division of Epidemiology, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York 14642, USA

**\* Correspondence:**  
Edward G. Freedman  
[Ed\\_Freedman@urmc.rochester.edu](mailto:Ed_Freedman@urmc.rochester.edu)  
Cognitive Neurophysiology Lab  
601 Elmwood Avenue  
Rochester, NY 14642

**Keywords: child, head injury, mild traumatic brain injury, mental health, brain structure, longitudinal**

34 **Abstract**

35 Background: Children that experience a mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) are at an increased risk of  
36 neural alterations that can deteriorate mental health. We test the hypothesis that mTBI is associated  
37 with behavioral and emotional problems and that structural brain metrics (e.g., volume, area)  
38 meaningfully mediate the relation in an adolescent population.

39 Methods: We analyzed behavioral and brain MRI data from 11,876 children who participated in the  
40 Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study. Mixed-effects models were used to examine the  
41 longitudinal association between mTBI and mental health outcomes. Bayesian methods were used to  
42 investigate brain regions that are intermediate between mTBI and symptoms of poor mental health.

43 Results: There were 199 children with mTBI and 527 with possible mTBI across the three ABCD Study  
44 visits. There was a 7% (IRR = 1.07, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.13) and 15% (IRR = 1.16, 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26) increased  
45 risk of emotional or behavioral problems in children that experienced possible mTBI or mTBI,  
46 respectively. Possible mTBI was associated with a 17% (IRR: 1.17, 95% CI: 0.99, 1.40) increased risk of  
47 experiencing distress following a psychotic-like experience. We did not find any brain regions that  
48 meaningfully mediated the relationship between mTBI and mental health outcomes. Analysis of  
49 volumetric measures found that 3 to 5% of the total effect of mTBI on mental health outcomes operated  
50 through total cortical volume. Image intensity measure analyses determined that 2 to 5% of the total  
51 effect was mediated through the left-hemisphere of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex.

52 Conclusion: Results indicate an increased risk of emotional and behavioral problems in children that  
53 experienced possible mTBI or mTBI. Mediation analyses did not elucidate the mechanisms underlying  
54 the association between mTBI and mental health outcomes.

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

## 68 1. Introduction

69 In 2014, there were more than 812,000 cases of pediatric traumatic brain injury (TBI) that required  
70 an emergency department visit in the United States (1). The most common mechanisms of injury for  
71 pediatric TBI included falls, being struck by or against an object, and motor vehicle accidents (2). Mild  
72 TBI (mTBI) accounts for about 75% of all TBIs and typically involves an external force to the head that in  
73 turn alters brain function (2-4). Additional diagnostic criteria include loss of consciousness (LOC) and  
74 post-traumatic amnesia (5). Approximately 14% of children with mTBI experience disability that requires  
75 specialized medical and educational services (6). For most children, mTBI symptoms persist for 1 to 3  
76 months after injury (7). A minority of children experience long-term consequences from mTBI that  
77 include psychiatric illness (e.g., psychosis and emotional problems) (8-10). Whether any structural brain  
78 metrics mediate the association between mTBI and psychiatric sequelae is unclear. Detection of  
79 structural abnormalities can improve our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the relationship.

80 Pathophysiological explanations for the psychological and emotional consequences of mTBI are not  
81 fully understood. Explanations focus on damage to brain regions typically associated with behavioral  
82 functioning (e.g., frontal and temporal lobes) (9). Findings from imaging studies include decreased  
83 volume in the left lateral frontal cortex and left inferior frontal gyrus of participants that developed  
84 major depression following TBI (11). Injury severity can also play a role in structural abnormalities. A  
85 study on the effects of TBI in children (mean age at injury = 6.59) found that more severe injuries led to  
86 a reduction in gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) volumes, while less severe injuries were  
87 associated with increased cerebrospinal fluid volumes and reduced hippocampal volume suggesting that  
88 milder cases may be harder to visualize using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (12). Longitudinal  
89 findings support changes during the first year post-injury that include volume decreases in the anterior  
90 cingulate WM, left cingulate gyrus WM, and right precuneus GM (13).

91 Psychotic-like experiences (PLEs) are a range of hallucination and delusion symptoms that occur in  
92 the general population and can be used to detect preclinical risk of psychosis (14, 15). PLEs have been  
93 found to be associated with various structural changes in the brain, including reduced cortical volumes,  
94 increased amygdalar volume, and increased mean diffusivity in white matter (16). A case-control study  
95 conducted specifically with adolescents found a significant association between reported PLEs and  
96 changes in white matter microstructure (17). A separate case-control study reported similar  
97 abnormalities in white matter microstructure in young adults with psychotic experiences (18). A study  
98 with children aged 11 to 13 found that psychosis risk was associated with GM increases in some gyri,  
99 volume decreases in others, and WM decreases along multiple tracts (19). A cohort study in children  
100 aged 10 to 13 found associations between subclinical psychotic experiences and significant volumetric  
101 increases in the left hippocampus, right caudate, right lateral ventricle, and left pallidum (20).  
102 Importantly, another study using cross-sectional data from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development  
103 (ABCD) Study found neural changes that were associated with PLEs in young children (21). The wide  
104 range of findings of brain associations with psychosis risk and symptoms further demonstrates the need  
105 to understand other risk factors (e.g., history of TBI) that could be involved in the relationship.

106 Behavioral and emotional disturbances are an additional domain in the realm of post-TBI sequelae  
107 (22). Pathophysiological explanations for these disturbances include damage to brain regions involved in  
108 neurocognitive development (e.g., frontal lobes, temporal lobes, cingulate regions) (23, 24). The damage  
109 to neural substrates can manifest into persistent behavioral and emotional deficits (25). A cross-

110 sectional study using a pediatric population aged 12 to 17 found an increased risk of depression in  
111 children that experienced a concussion (OR = 3.3, 95% CI: 2.0, 5.5) (26). Separate studies concluded that  
112 TBI was similarly associated with an increased risk of anxiety disorders (27), secondary ADHD and  
113 aggression (28), and other mental health difficulties (29). Longitudinal findings support a long-lasting  
114 effect of TBI on behavioral and emotional domains. A pediatric study in children aged 6 to 15 reported  
115 that 60% of their sample developed a novel psychiatric disorder following TBI (30). Approximately 75%  
116 of novel cases had persistent psychiatric disorders at least 1-year post-injury (30). Scales of global  
117 psychological impairment also point towards reduced emotional and behavioral functioning post-TBI. A  
118 pediatric study in the United States found that 37% of children with moderate or severe TBI had  
119 borderline/clinical elevations in social and behavioral problems (31). The study used the Child Behavior  
120 Checklist (CBCL) to assess total problems across syndrome scales representing multiple domains of  
121 mental health (31). Altogether, there is evidence that TBI and head injuries are implicated in poor  
122 mental health outcomes.

123 In the current study, we first examined the longitudinal association between mTBI and mental  
124 health outcomes in the ABCD Study. We hypothesized that a history of possible mTBI and mTBI would  
125 result in 1) an increased risk of experiencing distress due to a psychotic-like experience and 2) an  
126 increased risk of experiencing emotional or behavioral problems. We then assessed whether structural  
127 MRI (sMRI) measures mediated the association between mTBI and separate mental health outcomes.  
128 We hypothesized that structural brain metrics (e.g., total cortical volume) would meaningfully mediate  
129 the association between mTBI and mental health outcomes. The current study was conducted using  
130 data from the ABCD 4.0 data release.

## 131 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 132 *2.1. Sample*

133 The ABCD Study is a prospective longitudinal study that enrolled 11,876 children aged 9-10 at 21  
134 research sites throughout the United States. The ABCD Study plans to conduct yearly in-person visits  
135 until participants are at least 19 to 20 years old (32). Exclusion criteria for the ABCD Study are discussed  
136 elsewhere (33). Notably, exclusion criteria for enrollment included a history of severe traumatic brain  
137 injury (i.e., TBI with LOC greater than 30 minutes), Amnesia greater than 24 hours, positive  
138 neuroimaging findings secondary to TBI, a current diagnosis of schizophrenia, or an MRI contraindication  
139 (e.g., claustrophobia).

140 ABCD Study data were accessed using the National Institute of Mental Health Data Archive. The  
141 measures in the current analysis include data collected at the baseline (n=11876), 1-year (n=11225), and  
142 2-year (n=10414) follow-up visits. Results are reported for separate models using complete-case analysis  
143 and multiple imputation for missing data. Parent consent and child assent were approved by the central  
144 Institutional Review Board at the University of California – San Diego. Study procedures were conducted  
145 in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. Code for the replication of study results can be obtained  
146 on Github: [https://github.com/Daniel-Adan-Lopez/ABCD\\_mTBI/blob/main/Rcode](https://github.com/Daniel-Adan-Lopez/ABCD_mTBI/blob/main/Rcode)

### 147 *2.2. Prodromal Psychosis Scale*

148 The ABCD Prodromal Questionnaire – Brief Child Version (PQ-BC) is a measure of psychotic-like  
149 experiences (PLEs) during childhood (33). The PQ-BC was adopted from the PQ-B measure created for

150 adults (34). PLEs are considered a sub-threshold, non-clinical symptom of psychosis (15). The 21-item  
151 PQ-BC has previously been assessed as a valid self-report instrument for children and adolescents (33).  
152 Participants completed the PQ-BC at the annual study visit (i.e., at three time points in the current  
153 analyses). Participants were asked by an interviewer whether they had experienced a PLE (e.g., auditory  
154 hallucinations) in the past month. Endorsement of a PLE resulted in a follow-up question related to  
155 distress caused by the event. Children that experienced distress (i.e., answered yes) then were asked to  
156 describe the event using a 5-point Distress scale (1=Not very bothered, 2=Slightly bothered,  
157 3=Moderately bothered, 4=Very much bothered, 5=Extremely bothered). Distress scores were  
158 calculated as the total number of endorsed questions weighted by level of distress (35). Distress scores  
159 ranged from 0 to 126 (33).

### 160 *2.3. Child Behavior Checklist*

161 The parent-reported Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) is a broad measure of psychological  
162 functioning in children (36). The CBCL has previously been shown to capture five spectra of  
163 psychopathology in the ABCD Study: internalizing, somatoform, detachment, externalizing, and  
164 neurodevelopmental (37). Parents completed the 112-item CBCL at the annual study visit (i.e., at three  
165 time points in the current analyses). Parents answered individual items that describe the child on a 3-  
166 point Likert scale (0=Not True, 1=Somewhat/Sometimes True, 2=Very True/Often True). The analyses  
167 used the CBCL Total Problems raw score. The Total Problems score encompasses the sum of all  
168 responses on the syndrome scales of the CBCL (i.e., Anxious/Depressed, Withdrawn/Depressed, Somatic  
169 Complaints, Social Problems, Thought Problems, Attention Problems, Rule-Breaking Behavior,  
170 Aggressive Behavior) (36). CBCL Total Problems scores ranged from 0 to 224.

### 171 *2.4. Traumatic Brain Injury*

172 The Ohio State Traumatic Brain Injury Screen - Short Modified (OTBI) is a measure of lifetime  
173 exposure to TBI (38). The measure has previously been assessed for reliability and validity in longitudinal  
174 studies (38, 39). The baseline OTBI included four questions directed at the parent or guardian assessing  
175 past head injury and consequent LOC or other complication (e.g., feeling dazed or gap in memory from  
176 the injury). Follow-up ABCD visits included a revised OTBI that assessed injury since the last study visit.  
177 TBI was then determined according to the following OTBI summary indices: Improbable TBI (no TBI or  
178 TBI without LOC or memory loss); Possible mild TBI (TBI without LOC but memory loss); Mild TBI (TBI  
179 with LOC  $\leq$  30 minutes); Moderate TBI (TBI with LOC 30 minutes to 24 hours); Severe TBI (TBI with LOC  $\geq$   
180 24 hours). Cases of moderate (n=9) and severe TBI (n=3) were removed to reduce the influence of small  
181 samples. The longitudinal TBI variable included levels for no TBI (reference), possible mild TBI, and mild  
182 TBI.

### 183 *2.5. Image acquisition*

184 Children enrolled in the ABCD Study underwent a sMRI scan at the baseline and 2-year visit (40).  
185 3d T1-weighted and T2-weighted images were acquired with 3 tesla(T) scanner platforms (Siemens  
186 Prisma and Prisma Fit, GE MR 750, and Philips Achieva dStream and Ingenia) (41). Scanner type varied by  
187 ABCD Study site. Cortical surface reconstruction of MRI data was performed using FreeSurfer v5.3 (40).  
188 A modified FreeSurfer pipeline included quality control measures focused on accuracy of cortical surface  
189 reconstruction (40). An atlas-based, volumetric segmentation procedure was used to label subcortical  
190 structures for the identification of regions of interest (ROIs). The current analyses examined the indirect

191 effect of structural brain metrics and image intensity measures on mental health outcomes. Structural  
192 brain metrics included cortical thickness, area, volume, and sulcal depth. Image intensity measures  
193 included T1w and T2w cortical contrast measures that were calculated using GM and WM values  
194 ( $[\text{white-gray}]/[\text{white+gray}]/2$ ) (40). The gray-white contrast (GWC) is included as a potentially sensitive  
195 indicator of psychopathology (42). Quality control and processing of sMRI data were initially performed  
196 by the ABCD Data Analysis, Informatics and Resource Center and included trained technician review of  
197 the accuracy of cortical surface reconstruction (40).

## 198 *2.6. Statistical analysis*

199 The longitudinal data analyses included a mixed-effects model that accounted for 1) clustered  
200 data (i.e., within-individual correlation across repeated measurements), 2) a nested structure (e.g.,  
201 family units nested within study sites), 3) a skewed outcome. All analyses using the imputed and non-  
202 imputed data were conducted using the R glmmTMB package (43, 44). Study visit was included as both a  
203 fixed and random effect (i.e., random intercept and slope for each study participant). The multilevel  
204 model included a random effect for the family unit nested within the ABCD study site. A negative  
205 binomial model was considered appropriate to account for the discrete count data of the PQ-BC Distress  
206 and CBCL-Total Problems scores. Models were compared using the Akaike's Information Criterion.  
207 Covariates that did not improve the model (i.e., did not decrease the criterion value) were removed to  
208 avoid problems with overfitting (45).

209 The final model included adjustment for the following participant characteristics: age, sex,  
210 race/ethnicity, perceptions of neighborhood safety, perceptions of parental monitoring, and whether a  
211 sibling (e.g., twins) was enrolled in the study. Adjustment for family characteristics included household  
212 income, parental education, parent marital status, and family history of psychosis. Results are expressed  
213 as incidence rate ratios (i.e., the exponentiated beta coefficient) and 95% confidence intervals using a  
214 likelihood profile (44).

### 215 *2.6.1. Mediation Analysis*

216 A Bayesian multilevel mediation analyses was conducted to examine whether certain sMRI  
217 measures were involved in the association between mTBI and mental health outcomes. Scanner type  
218 and motion score were included as covariates in addition to previously mentioned variables. The  
219 mediation analyses included only data from the year-2 visit. The year-1 data was excluded from the  
220 mediation analysis due to the lack of MRI data (i.e., children did not complete an MRI scan). Baseline  
221 data was excluded to reduce the influence of recall bias (e.g., problem recalling a head injury that  
222 potentially occurred a decade ago) and time since head injury. Consequently, the mediation analysis  
223 primarily describes the neural mechanisms involved in recent mTBI cases and mental health outcomes.  
224 The multilevel analyses included a random intercept for study site and group-clustering by family (46).  
225 Brain measures and interview age were normalized before analysis. The indirect effect and the  
226 proportion mediated (i.e., indirect effect divided by total effect) along with the corresponding 95%  
227 credible intervals are reported for separate ROIs and GWCs. Path coefficients are reported for each  
228 mediation model. The multilevel mediation analyses were completed using the brms R package for the  
229 Bayesian regression models and BayestestR for summary of the mediation models (46, 47).

230

## 231 2.6.2. Covariate assessment

232 The child and their parent or guardian completed a series of surveys at each study visit. Parent  
233 or guardian reported measures included child’s biological sex, child’s race/ethnicity, household income,  
234 household educational attainment, parental marital status, and family history of psychosis. The child  
235 was asked about their perceived neighborhood safety and parental monitoring. Perceived neighborhood  
236 safety was measured using the Neighborhood Safety/Crime Survey modified form. Children responded  
237 on a 5-point Likert scale whether they agreed with the statement “My neighborhood is safe from crime”  
238 (1= Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3= Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree). Parental monitoring was  
239 measured using the Parental Monitoring and Supervision form. The five-item measure asked children  
240 about the level of supervision of their parent or guardian using a 5-point Likert scale. Prior research has  
241 found that permissive parenting can predict worse behavioral outcomes post-TBI (23, 48). The analyses  
242 incorporated longitudinal change in repeated measures (e.g., a decrease in household income after  
243 baseline).

## 244 2.6.3. Missing Data

245 The amount of missing household income data at each study visit was 8.6% at baseline, 8.2% at  
246 the year-1 visit, and 8.0% at the year-2 visit. Multilevel multiple imputation of missing data was  
247 conducted using the R mice package (49). First, the missing data mechanisms were examined to  
248 determine whether imputation was necessary. Second, 20 imputed data sets with 20 iterations and k=3  
249 donors were created using a model that included all variables in the analysis model. The model included  
250 study site, the family unit, and visit number as both a fixed and random effect (i.e., a level 2 variable).  
251 Predictive mean matching was used to impute the missing household income values. Predictive mean  
252 matching is considered an accurate method of imputing ordered categorical variables (e.g., household  
253 income) in multilevel models (50). Finally, a pooled analysis was conducted using Rubin’s rule to  
254 estimate the parameters (51, 52).

## 255 3. Results

### 256 3.1. Sample description

257 The demographics of participants at the study visits are shown in Table 1. There were 199 cases  
258 of mild TBI and 527 cases of possible mild TBI reported across the three visits. A total of 30,111  
259 observations were included in the PQ-BC model (10.2% of observations were excluded due to missing  
260 data). There were 30,113 observations included in the CBCL Total Problems model (10.2% of  
261 observations excluded due to missing data).

### 262 3.2. Prodromal Psychosis – Distress Score

263 The mean PQ-BC Distress score was 6.32 (SE = 0.10) at baseline, 4.62 (SD = 0.09) at year 1, and  
264 3.56 (SD = 0.08) at year 2. The maximum observed score was 117. Greater PQ-BC Distress scores were  
265 evident in the following groups across the three time points (Table 2): 1) children from lower income  
266 households, 2) Black and Hispanic children, 3) children that reported low neighborhood safety, 4)  
267 children with a family history of psychosis, 5) children with an unmarried parent. PQ-BC Distress scores  
268 were not meaningfully different in male and female children (mean = 4.90 and 4.88, respectively).

269

### 270 3.3. Child Behavior Checklist – Total Problems Score

271 The mean CBCL Total Problems score was 18.18 (SE = 0.17) at baseline, 17.54 (SE = 0.17) at year  
272 1, and 16.43 (SE = 0.17) at year 2. The maximum observed score was 161. Greater CBCL Total Problems  
273 scores were evident in the following groups across the three time points (Table 2): 1) male children, 2)  
274 children from lower income households, 3) children that reported low neighborhood safety, 4) children  
275 with a family history of psychosis, 5) children with an unmarried parent.

### 276 3.4. Traumatic Brain Injury

277 Males and children with a family history of psychosis were more likely to report experiencing a  
278 mTBI at the three study visits (Table 3). There was no significant difference in age and parental marital  
279 status between the two groups.

### 280 3.5. mTBI and PQ-BC Distress Scores

281 The negative binomial mixed-effects model did not find a strong association between mTBI and  
282 PQ-BC Distress scores (Table 4). Children with a possible mTBI had 1.17 times the rate (i.e., a 17%  
283 increased risk) of experiencing distress as a result of a PLE after adjustment for covariates (95% CI: 0.99,  
284 1.40). There was a non-significant 14% increased risk of experiencing distress as a result of a PLE in  
285 children with mTBI (95% CI: 0.87, 1.49). In the models using imputed data (Table 5), possible mTBI was  
286 associated with a 21% increased risk (95% CI: 1.03, 1.43) of experiencing distress due to a PLE. The  
287 models further found a 22% increased risk of experiencing distress as a result of a PLE in those with a  
288 mTBI (95% CI: 0.95, 1.59).

### 289 3.6. mTBI and CBCL Total Problem Scores

290 The negative binomial mixed-effects model found a strong positive association between mTBI  
291 and CBCL Total Problems scores (Table 4). Children that experienced a mTBI had a 15% increased risk of  
292 an emotional or behavioral problem after adjustment for covariates (95% CI: 1.05, 1.26). Children with a  
293 possible mTBI experienced a 7% increased risk (95% CI: 1.01, 1.13) of an emotional or behavioral  
294 problem. The models using imputed data (Table 5) found an 8% (95% CI: 1.02, 1.14) and a 19% (95% CI:  
295 1.09, 1.30) increased risk of an emotional or behavioral problem in children with a possible mTBI or  
296 mTBI, respectively.

### 297 3.7. Mediation Analyses

298 The mediation models included 7136 participants that attended the year-2 visit, did not have  
299 missing covariate data, and had MRI data that passed quality control. Missing MRI data were more likely  
300 from female participants, children belonging to a minority group, and children with missing household  
301 income (Supplementary Table S1). There was negligible evidence that sMRI metrics had a meaningful  
302 indirect effect between mTBI and behavioral outcomes (Table 6). Total cortical area mediated 1.27% of  
303 the association between mTBI and the PQ-BC Distress score (95% CI: -16.09, 18.63) and 1.29% of the  
304 association between mTBI and the CBCL Total Problems score (95% CI: -4.51, 7.08). Total cortical volume  
305 mediated 4.68% of the association between mTBI and PQ-BC Distress score (95% CI: -33.08, 42.43) and  
306 3.48% of the association between mTBI and CBCL Total Problems score (95% CI: -4.19, 11.15). Mean  
307 cortical thickness mediated 3.81% and 1.30% of the association between mTBI and PQ-BC Distress score  
308 or CBCL Total Problems score, respectively. Total subcortical gray volume mediated 2.68% of the

309 association between mTBI and PQ-BC Distress score (95% CI: -29.09, 34.45). Whole brain volume  
310 mediated 3.15% and 2.37% of the association between mTBI and PQ-BC Distress score or CBCL Total  
311 Problems score, respectively. Indirect effects for all volumetric measures and PQ-BC Distress score  
312 ranged from -0.005 to 0.029. Proportion mediated ranged from -1.61% to 4.68%. Indirect effects for  
313 CBCL Total Problems score ranged from -0.002 to 0.020. Proportion mediated ranged from -0.43% to  
314 3.48%.

315 Cortical contrast measures did not meaningfully mediate the association between mTBI and  
316 mental health outcomes (Table 6). The mean cortical GWC for the whole brain mediated 4.08% of the  
317 association between mTBI and PQ-BC Distress scores (95% CI: -30.09, 39.05) and 2.39% of the  
318 association between mTBI and CBCL Total Problems scores (95% CI: -2.60, 7.38). Indirect effects for all  
319 PQ-BC contrast measures ranged from -0.003 to 0.030. Indirect effects for all CBCL Total Problems  
320 contrast measures ranged from 0.002 to 0.015. Proportion mediated ranged from -0.45% to 4.64% for  
321 PQ-BC Distress scores and 0.28% to 2.55% for CBCL Total Problems scores.

### 322 *3.7.1. Associations Between mTBI and Volumetric Measures*

323 There was evidence of an inverse association between mTBI and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)  
324 volume when examining the path coefficients in the PQ-BC and CBCL mediation models (Table 7). In the  
325 PQ-BC and CBCL models, there was a meaningful decrease in CSF volume in children that had  
326 experienced a mTBI ( $\beta=-0.42$ , 95% CI: -0.83, -0.01). There was no evidence of a strong association for any  
327 other volumetric measure and mTBI.

### 328 *3.7.2. Associations between Brain Measures and Mental Health Outcomes*

329 Multiple brain measures were meaningfully associated with PQ-BC Distress scores and CBCL  
330 Total Problems scores in the mediation models (Table 7). In the PQ-BC models, the strongest association  
331 was found between subcortical gray volume ( $\beta=-0.15$  95% CI: -0.21, -0.09) and PQ-BC Distress scores. In  
332 other words, a decrease in subcortical gray volume was associated with increased PQ-BC Distress scores.  
333 In the CBCL-Total Problems models, the strongest association was found in total cortical volume.  
334 Decreased total cortical volume was strongly associated with an increase in CBCL Total Problems score  
335 ( $\beta=-0.10$ , 95% CI: -0.12, -0.07).

## 336 **4. Discussion**

337 In the current study, we aimed to examine the association between mTBI, mental health  
338 outcomes, and structural brain metrics potentially mediating the relationship. We focused on structural  
339 brain metrics and image intensity measures (i.e., gray-white matter contrast) to examine if children with  
340 mTBI history had brain changes associated with poor mental health outcomes. First, we observed an  
341 increased risk of distress due to a PLE in children that had experienced a possible mTBI only in models  
342 using imputed data. We further found evidence of an increased risk for behavioral and emotional  
343 problems in children with a possible mTBI or mTBI. The associations remained significant after  
344 adjustment for confounding and multiple imputation for missing data. Second, we examined whether  
345 sMRI measures mediated the association between mTBI and mental health outcomes. We found that  
346 volumetric and image intensity measures did not meaningfully mediate the relation. Indirect effects and  
347 proportion mediated estimates were small for all examined measures. The indirect effect and  
348 proportion mediated estimates are consistent with findings from other ABCD studies examining earlier

349 life exposures and poor mental health outcomes (53). Third, path effects found evidence of an inverse  
350 association between mTBI and CSF volume. Taken together, the study shows that experiencing a mTBI is  
351 significantly related to emotional and behavioral problems.

352 The finding that mTBI was significantly associated with poor mental health outcomes was not  
353 unexpected. Prior research has consistently reported increased risk of behavioral and emotional  
354 problems following TBI. A pediatric cohort study comparing children with mTBI and children with  
355 orthopedic injury reported significantly increased CBCL Total Problems scores in the former (OR = 3.00,  
356 95% CI: 1.33, 6.77) (54). The risk was more pronounced in younger children (mean age = 10 years old)  
357 out to 1 year post injury. A systematic review of pediatric TBI and behavioral outcomes concluded that  
358 severity played a role in the persistence of behavioral problems (23). Likewise, we found an association  
359 between increasing severity of mTBI and CBCL Total Problems score. We found that children with  
360 possible mTBI and mTBI were at an 7% and 16% increased risk of developing behavioral and emotional  
361 problems when compared to children with no TBI, respectively. The association between mTBI and PLEs  
362 is a bit less clear. Our findings that a possible mTBI increased risk of distress due to PLEs more than an  
363 mTBI is contrary to intuition. Possible reasons for the unexpected results include misclassification of the  
364 exposure and bias due to missing data. Misclassification of exposure (i.e., TBI severity) can be due to  
365 information bias (e.g., failure to accurately recall a head injury) (55). We believe the misclassification  
366 was nondifferential with respect to the outcome (i.e., parent recall of the injury was not influenced by  
367 child reported distress due to PLEs) and likely attenuated mTBI results. Finally, results of the imputation  
368 models suggest that missing data biased our association downwards. The results are not surprising given  
369 the high PQ-BC Distress scores in children with missing household income (Table 2). The increased risk in  
370 the models with imputed data could be due to omission of a high-risk group with missingness. Future  
371 studies using ABCD data should examine differential patterns of missingness to address whether there is  
372 evidence of selection or missing-data bias.

373 We did not identify any evidence of meaningful mediation. Prior research using the ABCD  
374 dataset has found that brain ROIs accounted for significantly less variance than environmental and  
375 genetic factors (53). In our study, proportion mediated did not exceed 1-2% for any structural brain  
376 metrics except total cortical volume, mean cortical thickness, and subcortical gray volume. Proportion  
377 mediated for the GWC measures were generally greater in the PQ-BC Distress models, although the  
378 credible intervals were relatively wide (i.e., imprecise). The imprecision was likely influenced by the few  
379 numbers of children that endorsed the PQ-BC distress items at the year-2 visit. There are a few  
380 possibilities for the lack of meaningful mediation in our study. First, studies that have found significant  
381 structural MR changes after TBI often use very specific time ranges post injury to conduct the MRI scan,  
382 often occurring within 1 month of the injury (13, 56-58). Even within these studies, changing the time  
383 interval of MRI after injury resulted in different findings of GM and WM differences over time. Previous  
384 research has demonstrated that MR findings post TBI are dynamic rather than consistent, even moving  
385 in different directions depending on the time post-injury (57). The fact that this study does not have a  
386 way to control for time post-injury (i.e., the head injury reported at year-2 occurred at any time since  
387 the year-1 study visit) may be an explanation for the lack of meaningful mediation. Second, another  
388 possibility is the study definition for mTBI. The OTBI may have included a wider range of mild injuries.  
389 This is because the screener does not require a hospital or doctor visit to diagnose the injury, but solely  
390 relies on reported symptoms. In contrast, most studies conducted post-injury are using patients who  
391 report to a hospital or some medical professional shortly after the injury (13, 56-58). With this

392 difference in inclusion criteria, the present study may be collecting a larger portion of mild injuries that  
393 have not been included in prior research and consequently have more mild or reduced brain changes.

394 Findings from the mediation analysis suggest that mTBI is associated with decreased CSF  
395 volume, although caution is warranted when interpreting the results. Abnormalities in CSF dynamics  
396 may be due to neurological injury (e.g., TBI, stroke) (59). Results of studies using samples with moderate  
397 and severe TBI find time-dependent alterations with respect to CSF volume. A prospective cohort study  
398 in an adult population with moderate or severe TBI reported a significant increase in CSF volume only in  
399 the post-acute period (i.e., more than 210 days after injury) (60). The findings emphasize the importance  
400 of incorporating time since injury. There are only a few studies examining CSF volume and mTBI. A  
401 longitudinal study with mild and moderate TBI patients did not detect any significant difference in the  
402 CSF volume of cases and controls (61). Another possibility for our unexpected finding (i.e., decreased  
403 CSF volume in children with an mTBI) is lesion-induced errors in the volumetric results. Prior research  
404 with pediatric populations and TBI have found biases in automated software packages (e.g., Freesurfer  
405 pipelines) that can be attributed to lesions (62). Methods that incorporate a focal pre-processing  
406 approach (e.g., enantiomorphic filling of the damaged area) can reduce errors and improve the  
407 detection of physiologically meaningful differences (62, 63).

408 The primary strength of the study is the longitudinal assessment of mental health outcomes in a  
409 large sample of children. The incorporation of three equally spaced time points allowed for an  
410 examination of mental health outcomes with respect to mTBI occurrence. The collection of a wide  
411 variety of instruments by the study limited the influence of confounding on our measures of association.  
412 Finally, we expect that study findings will be generalizable to a wide population due to the demographic  
413 makeup of the sample.

414 A limitation of our work is that we did not examine the longitudinal association of structural  
415 brain metrics with mTBI and mental health outcomes. First, the absence of MRI data at the year-1 visit  
416 posed a problem with interpretation of results (i.e., there was a gap in data collection that obscured  
417 neural trajectory). Second, the exclusion of baseline data from the mediation analysis was done due to  
418 concerns with recall bias with respect to injury severity and time since injury. Information bias is  
419 especially concerning considering the widely acknowledged underreporting of head injuries in minority  
420 populations (64). In our sample, we observed a disproportionately low number of mTBI in Black children  
421 (Table 3). Finally, the imaging findings potentially reflect a short-term consequence of mTBI rather than  
422 a lasting neurological impairment. The decision to restrict the mediation analysis to a single-time point  
423 (i.e., a cross-sectional analysis) limits claims of causal inference. The ongoing ABCD Study will further  
424 elucidate the longitudinal relation as more imaging data is collected.

## 425 **5. Conclusion**

426 In summary, these findings show that possible mTBI and mTBI is associated with an increased risk of  
427 poor behavioral and mental health outcomes in adolescents. Additional data is needed to identify  
428 meaningful brain mechanisms involved in the development of mental health challenges following mTBI.

429

430

431 **Conflict of Interest:** *The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any*  
432 *commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.*

433

434 **Funding:** Work on the ABCD study at the University of Rochester (UR) site is supported by a grant from  
435 the National Institute on Drug Abuse to EGF and JJF (NIDA - U01 DA050988). Neuroimaging at UR is  
436 supported by the Translational Neuroimaging and Neurophysiology (TNN) core of the UR Intellectual and  
437 Developmental Disabilities Research Center (UR-IDDRC), which is funded by a center grant from the  
438 Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development to JJF (NICHD P50  
439 HD103536). Author EGF serves as Director of the TNN core. ZPC's work on this project was supported by  
440 the University of Rochester CTSA award number TL1 TR002000 from the National Center for Advancing  
441 Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health. Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development  
442 study is a service mark of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The ABCD study is a  
443 registered trademark of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

444

#### 445 **Data and Code Availability Statement**

446 The ABCD data are openly available to qualified researchers for free. Access can be requested at  
447 <https://nda.nih.gov/abcd/>. Code for replication of the analyses conducted in this  
448 manuscript can be retrieved at [https://github.com/Daniel-Adan-Lopez/ABCD\\_mTBI/blob/main/Rcode](https://github.com/Daniel-Adan-Lopez/ABCD_mTBI/blob/main/Rcode).

449

#### 450 **Credit authorship contribution statement**

451 **Daniel A. Lopez:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original  
452 draft, Writing – review & editing. **Zach P. Christensen:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis,  
453 Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **John J. Foxe:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation,  
454 Writing – review & editing. **Laura R. Ziemer:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft,  
455 Writing – review & editing. **Paige R. Nicklas:** Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Edward**  
456 **G. Freedman:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review  
457 & editing.

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468 **Abbreviations**

469 ABCD = Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development

470 ROI = Region of interest

471 GWC = Gray/White Matter Contrast

472 CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist

473 PQ-BC = Prodromal Questionnaire – Brief Child Version

474 PLE = Psychotic-like experience

475 mTBI = mild Traumatic Brain Injury

476 IRR = Incidence Rate Ratio

477 GM = Gray Matter

478 WM = White Matter

479 sMRI = Structural Magnetic Resonance Imaging

480 CSF = Cerebrospinal Fluid

481 LOC = Loss of consciousness

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

- 497 1. Peterson AB, Xu L, Daugherty J, et al. Surveillance report of traumatic brain injury-related  
498 emergency department visits, hospitalizations, and deaths, United States, 2014. 2019.
- 499 2. Prevention CfDCa. Surveillance Report of Traumatic Brain Injury-related Emergency Department  
500 Visits, Hospitalizations, and Deaths - United States, 2014. Centers for Disease Control and  
501 Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Service, 2019.
- 502 3. Mayer AR, Quinn DK, Master CL. The spectrum of mild traumatic brain injury. *Neurology*  
503 2017;89(6):623-32.
- 504 4. Wiebe DJ, Comstock RD, Nance ML. Concussion research: a public health priority. *Injury*  
505 *prevention* 2011;17(1):69-70.
- 506 5. MTBI C. Methodological issues and research recommendations for mild traumatic brain injury:  
507 the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Rehabil Med*  
508 2004;43:113-25.
- 509 6. Rivara FP, Koepsell TD, Wang J, et al. Incidence of disability among children 12 months after  
510 traumatic brain injury. *Am J Public Health* 2012;102(11):2074-9.
- 511 7. Barlow KM, Crawford S, Stevenson A, et al. Epidemiology of postconcussion syndrome in  
512 pediatric mild traumatic brain injury. *Pediatrics* 2010;126(2):e374-e81.
- 513 8. Fried E, Balla U, Catalogna M, et al. Persistent post-concussive syndrome in children after mild  
514 traumatic brain injury is prevalent and vastly underdiagnosed. *Scientific Reports* 2022;12(1).
- 515 9. Silver JM, McAllister TW, Arciniegas DB. Depression and Cognitive Complaints Following Mild  
516 Traumatic Brain Injury. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 2009;166(6):653-61.
- 517 10. Molloy C, Conroy RM, Cotter DR, et al. Is Traumatic Brain Injury A Risk Factor for Schizophrenia?  
518 A Meta-Analysis of Case-Controlled Population-Based Studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*  
519 2011;37(6):1104-10.
- 520 11. Jorge RE, Robinson RG, Moser D, et al. Major Depression Following Traumatic Brain Injury.  
521 *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2004;61(1):42.
- 522 12. Beauchamp MH, Ditchfield M, Maller JJ, et al. Hippocampus, amygdala and global brain changes  
523 10 years after childhood traumatic brain injury. *International Journal of Developmental*  
524 *Neuroscience* 2011;29(2):137-43.
- 525 13. Zhou Y, Kierans A, Kenul D, et al. Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: Longitudinal Regional Brain  
526 Volume Changes. *Radiology* 2013;267(3):880-90.
- 527 14. Remberk B. Clinical significance of psychotic-like experiences in children and adolescents.  
528 *Psychiatr Pol* 2017;51(2):271-82.
- 529 15. Kelleher I, Cannon M. Psychotic-like experiences in the general population: characterizing a  
530 high-risk group for psychosis. *Psychological Medicine* 2011;41(1):1-6.
- 531 16. Schoorl J, Barbu MC, Shen X, et al. Grey and white matter associations of psychotic-like  
532 experiences in a general population sample (UK Biobank). *Translational Psychiatry* 2021;11(1).
- 533 17. O'Hanlon E, Leemans A, Kelleher I, et al. White Matter Differences Among Adolescents  
534 Reporting Psychotic Experiences. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015;72(7):668.
- 535 18. Drakesmith M, Dutt A, Fonville L, et al. Mediation of Developmental Risk Factors for Psychosis by  
536 White Matter Microstructure in Young Adults With Psychotic Experiences. *JAMA Psychiatry*  
537 2016;73(4):396.
- 538 19. Jacobson S, Kelleher I, Harley M, et al. Structural and functional brain correlates of subclinical  
539 psychotic symptoms in 11–13 year old schoolchildren. *NeuroImage* 2010;49(2):1875-85.
- 540 20. Okada N, Yahata N, Koshiyama D, et al. Abnormal asymmetries in subcortical brain volume in  
541 early adolescents with subclinical psychotic experiences. *Translational psychiatry* 2018;8(1):1-  
542 11.

- 543 21. Karcher NR, O'Brien KJ, Kandala S, et al. Resting-State Functional Connectivity and Psychotic-like  
544 Experiences in Childhood: Results From the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study.  
545 *Biological Psychiatry* 2019;86(1):7-15.
- 546 22. Wilmoth K, Tan A, Hague C, et al. Current State of the Literature on Psychological and Social  
547 Sequelae of Sports-Related Concussion in School-Aged Children and Adolescents. *J Exp Neurosci*  
548 2019;13:1179069519830421.
- 549 23. Li L, Liu J. The effect of pediatric traumatic brain injury on behavioral outcomes: a systematic  
550 review. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology* 2013;55(1):37-45.
- 551 24. Levine B, Kovacevic N, Nica EI, et al. The Toronto traumatic brain injury study: Injury severity and  
552 quantified MRI. *Neurology* 2008;70(10):771-8.
- 553 25. Li L, Liu J. The effect of pediatric traumatic brain injury on behavioral outcomes: a systematic  
554 review. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology* 2013;55(1):37-45.
- 555 26. Chrisman SP, Richardson LP. Prevalence of diagnosed depression in adolescents with history of  
556 concussion. *J Adolesc Health* 2014;54(5):582-6.
- 557 27. Albicini M, McKinlay A. Anxiety Disorders in Adults With Childhood Traumatic Brain Injury:  
558 Evidence of Difficulties More Than 10 Years Postinjury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2018;33(3):191-9.
- 559 28. Schachar RJ, Park LS, Dennis M. Mental Health Implications of Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) in  
560 Children and Youth. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2015;24(2):100-8.
- 561 29. Gornall A, Takagi M, Morawakage T, et al. Mental health after paediatric concussion: a  
562 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55(18):1048-58.
- 563 30. Bloom DR, Levin HS, Ewing-Cobbs L, et al. Lifetime and novel psychiatric disorders after pediatric  
564 traumatic brain injury. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*  
565 2001;40(5):572-9.
- 566 31. Wade SL, Kaizar EE, Narad ME, et al. Behavior Problems Following Childhood TBI: The Role of  
567 Sex, Age, and Time Since Injury. *The Journal of head trauma rehabilitation* 2020;35(5):E393-  
568 E404.
- 569 32. Garavan H, Bartsch H, Conway K, et al. Recruiting the ABCD sample: Design considerations and  
570 procedures. *Developmental cognitive neuroscience* 2018;32:16-22.
- 571 33. Karcher NR, Barch DM, Avenevoli S, et al. Assessment of the Prodromal Questionnaire–Brief  
572 Child Version for Measurement of Self-reported Psychoticlike Experiences in Childhood. *JAMA*  
573 *Psychiatry* 2018;75(8):853-61.
- 574 34. Loewy RL, Pearson R, Vinogradov S, et al. Psychosis risk screening with the Prodromal  
575 Questionnaire—brief version (PQ-B). *Schizophrenia research* 2011;129(1):42-6.
- 576 35. Karcher NR, Schiffman J, Barch DM. Environmental Risk Factors and Psychotic-like Experiences in  
577 Children Aged 9–10. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*  
578 2021;60(4):490-500.
- 579 36. Achenbach TM, Edelbrock C. Child behavior checklist. *Burlington (Vt)* 1991;7:371-92.
- 580 37. Michelini G, Barch DM, Tian Y, et al. Delineating and validating higher-order dimensions of  
581 psychopathology in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study. *Translational*  
582 *psychiatry* 2019;9(1):1-15.
- 583 38. Corrigan JD, Bogner J. Initial Reliability and Validity of the Ohio State University TBI Identification  
584 Method. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 2007;22(6).
- 585 39. Bogner JA, Whiteneck GG, MacDonald J, et al. Test-retest reliability of traumatic brain injury  
586 outcome measures: a traumatic brain injury model systems study. *Journal of head trauma*  
587 *rehabilitation* 2017;32(5):E1-E16.
- 588 40. Hagler Jr DJ, Hatton S, Cornejo MD, et al. Image processing and analysis methods for the  
589 Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Neuroimage* 2019;202:116091.

- 590 41. Casey BJ, Cannonier T, Conley MI, et al. The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD)  
591 study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* 2018;32:43-  
592 54.
- 593 42. Bezgin G, Lewis JD, Evans AC. Developmental changes of cortical white–gray contrast as  
594 predictors of autism diagnosis and severity. *Translational psychiatry* 2018;8(1):1-12.
- 595 43. Brooks ME, Kristensen K, Van Benthem KJ, et al. glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among  
596 packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed modeling. *The R Journal* 2017;9(2):378-400.
- 597 44. Magnusson A, Skaug H, Nielsen A, et al. Package ‘glmmTMB’. *R Package Version 02 0* 2017.
- 598 45. Gałęcki A, Burzykowski T. Linear mixed-effects model. *Linear mixed-effects models using R*:  
599 Springer, 2013:245-73.
- 600 46. Bürkner P-C. Advanced Bayesian multilevel modeling with the R package brms. *arXiv preprint*  
601 *arXiv:170511123* 2017.
- 602 47. Makowski D, Ben-Shachar MS, Lüdtke D. bayestestR: Describing effects and their uncertainty,  
603 existence and significance within the Bayesian framework. *Journal of Open Source Software*  
604 2019;4(40):1541.
- 605 48. Chapman LA, Wade SL, Walz NC, et al. Clinically significant behavior problems during the initial  
606 18 months following early childhood traumatic brain injury. *Rehabilitation Psychology*  
607 2010;55(1):48-57.
- 608 49. Buuren Sv, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R.  
609 *Journal of statistical software* 2010:1-68.
- 610 50. Vink G, Lazendic G, van Buuren S. Partitioned predictive mean matching as a large data multilevel  
611 imputation technique. *Psychological Test and Assessment Modeling* 2015;57(4):577-94.
- 612 51. Little RJ, Rubin DB. *Statistical analysis with missing data*. John Wiley & Sons; 2019.
- 613 52. Kleinke K, Reinecke J, Salfrán D, et al. *Applied multiple imputation: Advantages, pitfalls, new*  
614 *developments and applications in R*. Springer Nature; 2020.
- 615 53. Bustamante D, Amstadter AB, Pritikin JN, et al. Associations Between Traumatic Stress, Brain  
616 Volumes and Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms in Children: Data from the ABCD Study.  
617 *Behavior genetics* 2022;52(2):75-91.
- 618 54. Taylor HG, Orchinik LJ, Minich N, et al. Symptoms of Persistent Behavior Problems in Children  
619 With Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 2015;30(5):302-10.
- 620 55. Lash TL, VanderWeele TJ, Haneause S, et al. *Modern epidemiology*. Lippincott Williams &  
621 Wilkins; 2020.
- 622 56. Sibilía F, Custer RM, Irimia A, et al. Life after mild traumatic brain injury: Widespread structural  
623 brain changes associated with psychological distress revealed with multimodal magnetic  
624 resonance imaging. *Biological Psychiatry Global Open Science* 2022.
- 625 57. Toth A, Kovacs N, Perlaki G, et al. Multi-modal magnetic resonance imaging in the acute and  
626 sub-acute phase of mild traumatic brain injury: can we see the difference? *Journal of*  
627 *neurotrauma* 2013;30(1):2-10.
- 628 58. Ling JM, Klimaj S, Toulouse T, et al. A prospective study of gray matter abnormalities in mild  
629 traumatic brain injury. *Neurology* 2013;81(24):2121-7.
- 630 59. Bothwell SW, Janigro D, Patabendige A. Cerebrospinal fluid dynamics and intracranial pressure  
631 elevation in neurological diseases. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* 2019;16(1):1-18.
- 632 60. Blatter DD, Bigler ED, Gale SD, et al. MR-based brain and cerebrospinal fluid measurement after  
633 traumatic brain injury: correlation with neuropsychological outcome. *American Journal of*  
634 *Neuroradiology* 1997;18(1):1-10.
- 635 61. MacKenzie JD, Siddiqi F, Babb JS, et al. Brain Atrophy in Mild or Moderate Traumatic Brain  
636 Injury: A Longitudinal Quantitative Analysis. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*  
637 2002;23(9):1509.

- 638 62. King DJ, Novak J, Shephard AJ, et al. Lesion Induced Error on Automated Measures of Brain  
639 Volume: Data From a Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury Cohort. *Frontiers in neuroscience*  
640 2020:1234.
- 641 63. Foulon C, Cerliani L, Kinkingnéhun S, et al. Advanced lesion symptom mapping analyses and  
642 implementation as BCBtoolkit. *GigaScience* 2018;7(3):giy004.
- 643 64. Wallace J, Affagato R, Brooke M, et al. Racial disparities in parent knowledge of concussion and  
644 recognition of signs and symptoms. *Journal of Safety Research* 2020;75:166-72.

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

**Table 1.** Participant Demographics at ABCD Study Visits

	<b>Baseline (n=11,876)</b>	<b>Year 1 (n=11,225)</b>	<b>Year 2 (n=10,414)</b>
<b>Traumatic Brain Injury</b>			
No	11415 (96.2)	11072 (98.8)	10217 (98.6)
Possible mild TBI	322 (2.7)	98 (0.9)	107 (1.0)
Mild TBI	128 (1.1)	37 (0.3)	34 (0.3)
<b>Child gender</b>			
Female	5680 (47.8)	5353 (47.7)	4962 (47.6)
Male	6196 (52.2)	5872 (52.3)	5452 (52.4)
<b>Age of child (months (SD))</b>	118.98 (7.50)	131.09 (7.72)	144.04 (7.95)
<b>Race/ethnicity of child</b>			
Asian	252 (2.1)	241 (2.1)	216 (2.1)
Black	1784 (15.0)	1597 (14.2)	1422 (13.7)
Hispanic	2411 (20.3)	2222 (19.8)	2086 (20.0)
White	6180 (52.0)	5989 (53.4)	5602 (53.8)
Other	1247 (10.5)	1174 (10.5)	1088 (10.4)
<b>Household Income (\$)</b>			
<50,000	3223 (29.7)	2933 (28.5)	2687 (28.0)
50,000 to < 100,000	3071 (28.3)	2941 (28.5)	2769 (28.9)
≥ 100,000	4564 (42.0)	4434 (43.0)	4129 (43.1)
Missing	1018 (8.6)	917 (8.2)	829 (8.0)
<b>Household Educational Attainment</b>			
< HS Diploma	593 (5.0)	526 (4.7)	463 (4.5)
HS Diploma/GED	1132 (9.5)	1009 (9.0)	910 (8.7)
Some College	3079 (26.0)	2849 (25.4)	2620 (25.2)
Bachelor	3015 (25.4)	2896 (25.8)	2742 (26.4)
Post Graduate Degree	4043 (34.1)	3933 (35.1)	3667 (35.3)
<b>Parent Marital Status</b>			
Married	7990 (67.8)	7676(68.9)	7185 (69.4)
Not Married	3790 (32.3)	3472(31.1)	3163 (30.6)
<b>PQ-BC Distress Score (mean (SE))</b>	6.32 (0.10)	4.62 (0.09)	3.56 (0.08)
<b>CBCL Total Problems Score (mean (SE))</b>	18.18 (0.17)	17.54 (0.17)	16.43 (0.17)

Note: Distributions are represented as n (%) unless otherwise noted.

SD = Standard Deviation

SE = Standard Error

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

**Table 2.** Mean PQ-BC and CBCL scores across participant characteristics\*

	PQ-BC Distress (SE)	CBCL Total Problems (SE)
<b>Child gender</b>		
Female	4.88 (0.08)	15.7 (0.13)
Male	4.90 (0.07)	19.0 (0.14)
<b>Race/ethnicity of child</b>		
Asian	2.90 (0.22)	11.0 (0.46)
Black	7.67 (0.17)	17.4 (0.28)
Hispanic	6.09 (0.13)	17.6 (0.22)
White	3.70 (0.06)	17.1 (0.13)
Other	5.24 (0.17)	20.1 (0.33)
<b>Household Income (\$)</b>		
≤50,000	6.80 (0.12)	20.8 (0.22)
50,000 to <100,000	4.91 (0.10)	17.6 (0.18)
≥100,000	3.32 (0.06)	14.8 (0.13)
Missing	6.17 (0.21)	18.3 (0.37)
<b>Household educational attainment</b>		
< HS Diploma	7.30 (0.28)	18.0 (0.50)
HS Diploma/GED	6.78 (0.20)	17.9 (0.35)
Some College	6.39 (0.12)	20.3 (0.22)
Bachelor	4.41 (0.10)	17.1 (0.18)
Post Graduate Degree	3.33 (0.07)	15.2 (0.14)
<b>Parent marital status</b>		
Married	4.09 (0.06)	16.0 (0.11)
Not Married	6.60 (0.11)	20.5 (0.20)
<b>Family history - psychosis</b>		
No	4.69 (0.05)	16.5 (0.10)
Yes	6.21 (0.20)	22.6 (0.36)
<b>Neighborhood safety - youth</b>		
Strongly Disagree	11.1 (0.46)	24.8 (0.77)
Disagree	8.9 (0.31)	21.5 (0.48)
Neutral	6.6 (0.14)	19.9 (0.26)
Agree	4.2 (0.08)	16.5 (0.17)
Strongly Agree	3.9 (0.07)	16.1 (0.13)

\*Mean distribution for the first three study visits  
PQ-BC = Prodromal Questionnaire - Brief Child Version  
CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist  
SE = standard error

676

677

678

679

**Table 3.** Characteristics of Participants with a Mild TBI at ABCD Study Visits

	Mild Traumatic Brain Injury					
	visit 1		visit 2		visit 3	
	Yes (n=128)	No (n=11415)	Yes (n=37)	No (n=11072)	Yes (n=34)	No (n=10217)
<b>Sex</b>						
Female	52 (40.6)	5500 (48.2)	15 (40.5)	5289 (47.8)	13 (38.2)	4885 (47.8)
Male	76 (59.4)	5915 (51.8)	22 (59.5)	5783 (52.2)	21 (61.8)	5332 (52.2)
<b>Age (months (SD))</b>	119 (7.90)	119 (7.49)	132 (7.52)	131 (7.71)	146 (8.53)	144 (7.95)
<b>Race/ethnicity (%)</b>						
Asian	0 (0.0)	248 (2.2)	0 (0.0)	237 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	214 (2.1)
Black	8 (6.2)	1742 (15.3)	3 (8.1)	1581 (14.3)	3 (8.8)	1407 (13.8)
Hispanic	30 (23.4)	2337(20.5)	5 (13.5)	2206 (19.9)	11 (32.4)	2049 (20.1)
White	77 (60.2)	5891 (51.6)	21 (56.8)	5896 (53.3)	15 (44.1)	5487 (53.7)
Other	13 (10.2)	1195 (10.5)	8 (21.6)	1150 (10.4)	5 (14.7)	1060 (10.4)
<b>Household Income (\$)</b>						
≤50,000	30 (23.4)	3133 (27.4)	7 (18.9)	2903 (26.2)	8 (23.5)	2648 (25.9)
50,000 to <100,000	31 (24.2)	2950 (25.8)	15 (40.5)	2899 (26.2)	10 (29.4)	2709 (26.5)
≥ 100,000	59 (46.1)	4352 (38.1)	13 (35.1)	4362 (39.4)	13 (38.2)	4042 (39.6)
Missing	8 (6.2)	980 (8.6)	2 (5.4)	908 (8.2)	3 (8.8)	818 (8.0)
<b>Household educational attainment</b>						
< HS Diploma	5 (3.9)	577 (5.1)	2 (5.4)	520 (4.7)	0 (0.0)	459 (4.5)
HS Diploma/GED	7 (5.5)	1108 (9.7)	2 (5.4)	1006 (9.1)	2 (5.9)	905 (8.9)
Some College	32 (25.0)	2968 (26.0)	8 (21.6)	2814 (25.4)	9 (26.5)	2569 (25.2)
Bachelor	39 (30.5)	2886 (25.3)	14 (37.8)	2856 (25.8)	12 (35.3)	2689 (26.3)
Post Graduate Degree	45 (35.2)	3862 (33.9)	11 (29.7)	3865 (34.9)	11 (32.4)	3583 (35.1)
<b>Marital Status</b>						
Married	87 (68.0)	7673 (67.2)	28 (75.7)	7574 (68.0)	24 (70.6)	7036 (67.7)
Not Married	41 (32.0)	3648 (32.0)	8 (21.6)	3422 (31.4)	10 (29.4)	3115 (31.6)
<b>Family history - Psychosis</b>						
No	100 (78.7)	9838 (87.2)	32 (86.5)	9522 (87.0)	26 (76.5)	8784 (87.0)
Yes	24 (18.9)	1147 (10.2)	4 (10.8)	1130 (10.3)	8 (23.5)	1046 (10.4)
<b>PQ-BC Distress score (mean(se))</b>	8.34 (1.24)	6.29 (0.10)	3.86 (1.42)	4.62 (0.09)	4.09 (1.05)	3.55 (0.08)
<b>CBCL Total Problems score (mean(se))</b>	28.2 (2.38)	17.9 (0.17)	19.9 (3.07)	17.5 (0.17)	28.2 (4.01)	16.4 (0.17)

PQ-BC = Prodromal Questionnaire - Brief Child Version

CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist

SE = standard error

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

**Table 4.** The Association Between Mild Traumatic Brain Injury and Scores on the PQ-BC and CBCL

	PQ-BC Distress		CBCL Total Problems	
	IRR (95% CI)	p value	IRR (95% CI)	p value
<b>Traumatic Brain Injury</b>				
No	Reference		Reference	
Possible mild	1.17 (0.99, 1.40)	0.0711	1.07 (1.01, 1.13)	0.0175
Mild	1.14 (0.87, 1.49)	0.3520	1.15 (1.05, 1.26)	0.0023

Note: Mixed-effects models adjusted for child age, gender, race/ethnicity, household educational attainment, household income, family history of psychosis, neighborhood safety, parent marital status, parental monitoring, family relationship.

IRR = Incidence Rate Ratio

CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist

PQ-BC = Prodromal Questionnaire – Brief Child Version

**Table 5.** Pooled parameter estimates for models using imputed data

	<b>PQ-BC Distress</b>		<b>CBCL Total Problems</b>	
	IRR (95% CI)	p value	IRR (95% CI)	p value
<b>Traumatic Brain Injury</b>				
No	Reference		Reference	
Possible mild	1.21 (1.03, 1.43)	0.0218	1.08 (1.02, 1.14)	0.0060
Mild	1.22 (0.95, 1.59)	0.1239	1.19 (1.09, 1.30)	0.0003

Note: Negative binomial mixed-effects models adjusted for child age, gender, race/ethnicity, household educational attainment, household income, family history of psychosis, neighborhood safety, parent marital status, parental monitoring, family relationship.

IRR = Incidence Rate Ratio

CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist

PQ-BC = Prodromal Questionnaire – Brief Child Version

**Table 6.** Results of Mediation Analyses for Mild TBI

	PQ-BC Distress Score*		CBCL Total Problems Score	
	Indirect Effect (95% CI)	Proportion (%) Mediated	Indirect Effect (95% CI)	Proportion (%) Mediated
<b>Volumetric Measures</b>				
Total cortical area	0.008 (-0.021, 0.044)	1.27 (-16.09, 18.63)	0.007 (-0.017, 0.033)	1.29 (-4.51, 7.08)
Total cortical volume	0.029 (-0.011, 0.080)	4.68 (-33.08, 42.43)	0.020 (-0.010, 0.054)	3.48 (-4.19, 11.15)
Mean cortical thickness	0.024 (-0.009, 0.069)	3.81 (-30.51, 38.13)	0.007 (-0.003, 0.024)	1.30 (-1.87, 4.46)
Mean cortical sulcal depth	-0.003 (-0.028, 0.013)	-0.45 (-10.48, 9.57)	-0.002 (-0.017, 0.008)	-0.43 (-3.16, 2.29)
Intracranial volume	0.008 (-0.020, 0.045)	1.31 (-16.70, 19.32)	0.006 (-0.016, 0.029)	1.06 (-4.13, 6.26)
Subcortical gray volume	0.016 (-0.031, 0.068)	2.68 (-29.09, 34.45)	0.009 (-0.018, 0.038)	1.52 (-4.50, 7.53)
White matter volume - right cerebral	0.004 (-0.027, 0.038)	0.61 (-15.73, 16.94)	0.003 (-0.023, 0.027)	0.44 (-5.26, 6.14)
White matter volume - left cerebral	0.009 (-0.020, 0.048)	1.51 (-19.41, 22.42)	0.007 (-0.016, 0.033)	1.32 (-4.43, 7.06)
Whole brain volume	0.019 (-0.021, 0.067)	3.15 (-29.93, 36.24)	0.014 (-0.015, 0.046)	2.37 (-4.44, 9.17)
Cerebrospinal fluid volume	0.008 (-0.011, 0.041)	1.23 (-15.24, 17.70)	0.003 (-0.007, 0.016)	0.55 (-2.01, 3.10)
Total ventricular volume	-0.004 (-0.028, 0.009)	-0.60 (-10.06, 8.85)	0.0002 (-0.006, 0.008)	0.04 (-1.51, 1.59)
Ventricular volume - LH	-0.005 (-0.031, 0.008)	-0.82 (-12.23, 10.58)	-0.0004 (-0.010, 0.006)	-0.06 (-1.82, 1.69)
Ventricular volume - RH	-0.0009 (-0.022, 0.016)	-0.14 (-8.74, 8.47)	0.00010 (-0.005, 0.007)	0.02 (-1.23, 1.26)
3rd ventricle volume	-0.010 (-0.045, 0.005)	-1.61 (-19.11, 15.88)	0.0010 (-0.007, 0.012)	0.17 (-1.83, 2.18)
4th ventricle volume	0.0005 (-0.016, 0.019)	0.07 (-6.60, 6.75)	0.005 (-0.003, 0.020)	0.84 (-1.76, 3.44)
Inferior lateral ventricle volume - LH	-0.0004 (-0.014, 0.010)	-0.06 (-4.99, 4.88)	0.0002 (-0.006, 0.008)	0.03 (-1.31, 1.37)
Inferior lateral ventricle volume - RH	0.0006 (-0.012, 0.018)	0.10 (-6.03, 6.23)	0.0004 (-0.006, 0.010)	0.06 (-1.66, 1.78)
<b>Gray/White Matter Contrast</b>				
Mean whole brain	0.026 (-0.007, 0.072)	4.08 (-30.90, 39.05)	0.014 (-0.004, 0.035)	2.39 (-2.60, 7.38)
Mean LH	0.027 (-0.006, 0.074)	4.36 (-31.47, 40.18)	0.014 (-0.003, 0.035)	2.51 (-2.54, 7.57)
Mean RH	0.025 (-0.008, 0.068)	3.84 (-25.45, 33.14)	0.013 (-0.005, 0.035)	2.28 (-2.78, 7.35)
Posterior - RH	0.023 (-0.007, 0.064)	3.56 (-24.36, 31.47)	0.013 (-0.005, 0.034)	2.22 (-2.88, 7.33)
Posterior - LH	0.024 (-0.005, 0.067)	3.81 (-28.48, 36.11)	0.014 (-0.003, 0.035)	2.52 (-2.22, 7.26)
Frontal - RH	0.021 (-0.012, 0.066)	3.31 (-24.35, 30.98)	0.011 (-0.006, 0.031)	1.90 (-2.63, 6.43)
Frontal - LH	0.022 (-0.012, 0.066)	3.30 (-22.41, 29.01)	0.011 (-0.004, 0.030)	1.90 (-2.22, 6.02)
Dorsolateralprefrontal - RH	0.020 (-0.020, 0.069)	3.08 (-25.13, 31.29)	0.010 (-0.008, 0.031)	1.78 (-2.66, 6.22)
Dorsolateralprefrontal - LH	0.030 (-0.005, 0.075)	4.64 (-35.55, 44.83)	0.015 (-0.002, 0.036)	2.55 (-2.06, 7.16)
Cerebrospinal fluid	-0.003 (-0.022, 0.008)	-0.45 (-8.08, 7.18)	0.002 (-0.003, 0.011)	0.28 (-1.19, 1.75)

\*Models include group-level term for family, random intercept for study site, and adjustment for visit, age, sex, race/ethnicity, household income, household educational attainment, parental monitoring, neighborhood safety, scanner type, motion score

Note: image intensity measures are the cortical contrast of the gray and white matter values

Note: RH = right hemisphere LH = left hemisphere

**Table 7.** Path Effects for Mild TBI Mediation Analyses

	PQ-BC Distress Score**		CBCL Total Problems Score	
	Association between mild TBI and ROI	Association between ROI and PQ-BC	Association between mild TBI and ROI	Association between ROI and CBCL
<b>Morphometric Measures</b>				
Total cortical area	-0.09 (-0.41, 0.21)	-0.02 (-0.07, 0.03)	-0.10 (-0.40, 0.22)	-0.08 (-0.10, -0.05)
Total cortical volume	-0.22 (-0.52, 0.08)	-0.14 (-0.20, -0.08)	-0.21 (-0.53, 0.11)	-0.10 (-0.12, -0.07)
Mean cortical thickness	-0.23 (-0.55, 0.08)	-0.11 (-0.17, -0.05)	-0.23 (-0.54, 0.09)	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.01)
Mean cortical sulcal depth	0.09 (-0.24, 0.41)	-0.05 (-0.11, 0.01)	0.09 (-0.23, 0.43)	-0.03 (-0.06, -0.01)
Intracranial volume	-0.10 (-0.42, 0.21)	-0.09 (-0.16, -0.03)	-0.09 (-0.40, 0.22)	-0.07 (-0.10, -0.04)
Subcortical gray volume	-0.12 (-0.44, 0.22)	-0.15 (-0.21, -0.09)	-0.11 (-0.44, 0.22)	-0.08 (-0.11, -0.06)
White matter volume - right cerebral	-0.05 (-0.37, 0.29)	-0.09 (-0.15, -0.03)	-0.04 (-0.37, 0.31)	-0.07 (-0.10, -0.04)
White matter volume - left cerebral	-0.12 (-0.47, 0.22)	-0.09 (-0.15, -0.03)	-0.11 (-0.45, 0.22)	-0.07 (-0.10, -0.04)
Whole brain volume	-0.15 (-0.46, 0.16)	-0.13 (-0.20, -0.07)	-0.15 (-0.45, 0.16)	-0.10 (-0.12, -0.07)
Cerebrospinal fluid volume	-0.42 (-0.83, -0.01)	-0.02 (-0.07, 0.03)	-0.42 (-0.81, -0.04)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)
Total ventricular volume	-0.17 (-0.56, 0.21)	0.03 (-0.01, 0.08)	-0.17 (-0.58, 0.22)	-0.00 (-0.03, 0.02)
Ventricular volume - LH	-0.24 (-0.63, 0.15)	-0.00 (-0.23, 0.22)	-0.24 (-0.62, 0.14)	0.00 (-0.02, 0.03)
Ventricular volume - RH	-0.05 (-0.44, 0.33)	0.04 (-0.01, 0.09)	-0.05 (-0.43, 0.33)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.02)
3rd ventricle volume	-0.34 (-0.74, 0.05)	0.04 (-0.01, 0.09)	-0.33 (-0.70, 0.04)	-0.00 (-0.03, 0.02)
4th ventricle volume	-0.27 (-0.66, 0.12)	-0.00 (-0.05, 0.05)	-0.26 (-0.66, 0.13)	-0.02 (-0.05, 0.00)
Inferior lateral ventricle volume - LH	-0.05 (-0.44, 0.34)	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)	-0.05 (-0.44, 0.34)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)
Inferior lateral ventricle volume - RH	0.06 (-0.33, 0.45)	0.03 (-0.02, 0.07)	0.06 (-0.34, 0.45)	0.01 (-0.01, 0.04)
<b>Gray/White Matter Contrast</b>				
Mean whole brain	-0.17 (-0.40, 0.05)	-0.16 (-0.24, -0.08)	-0.18 (-0.40, 0.04)	-0.08 (-0.12, -0.05)
Mean LH	-0.19 (-0.42, 0.05)	-0.15 (-0.23, -0.07)	-0.19 (-0.42, 0.03)	-0.08 (-0.11, -0.05)
Mean RH	-0.16 (-0.37, 0.05)	-0.16 (-0.25, -0.08)	-0.16 (-0.12, 0.11)	-0.08 (-0.12, -0.05)
Posterior - RH	-0.15 (-0.36, 0.05)	-0.15 (-0.24, -0.07)	-0.16 (-0.37, 0.06)	-0.08 (-0.12, -0.05)
Posterior - LH	-0.18 (-0.41, 0.03)	-0.14 (-0.22, -0.06)	-0.18 (-0.40, 0.03)	-0.08 (-0.11, -0.05)
Frontal - RH	-0.14 (-0.36, 0.08)	-0.16 (-0.25, -0.08)	-0.14 (-0.36, 0.08)	-0.08 (-0.12, -0.05)
Frontal - LH	-0.15 (-0.37, 0.08)	-0.16 (-0.24, -0.08)	-0.15 (-0.34, 0.05)	-0.08 (-0.11, -0.05)
Dorsolateralprefrontal RH	-0.13 (-0.39, 0.12)	-0.17 (-0.24, -0.10)	-0.13 (-0.37, 0.10)	-0.08 (-0.11, -0.05)

Dorsolateralprefrontal LH	-0.20 (-0.43, 0.03)	-0.16 (-0.23, -0.08)	-0.20 (-0.43, 0.03)	-0.08 (-0.11, -0.05)
Cerebrospinal fluid	0.13 (-0.08, 0.34)	-0.04 (-0.11, 0.04)	0.13 (-0.09, 0.34)	0.02 (-0.01, 0.05)

\*Models include group-level term for family, random intercept for study site, and adjustment for visit, age, sex, race/ethnicity, household income, household educational attainment, parental monitoring, neighborhood safety, scanner type, motion score

Note: image intensity measures are the cortical contrast of the gray and white matter values

Note: RH = right hemisphere LH = left hemisphere

**Table S1.** Characteristics of Participants Missing MRI at Year-2 Visit

	Missing MRI	
	Yes (n=2543)	No (n=7845)
<b>Child gender</b>		
Female	1331 (52.3)	3620 (46.1)
Male	1212 (47.7)	4225 (53.9)
<b>Race/ethnicity of child</b>		
Asian	67 (2.6)	149 (1.9)
Black	369 (14.5)	1046 (13.3)
Hispanic	561 (22.1)	1519 (19.4)
White	1276 (50.2)	4315 (55.0)
Other	270 (10.6)	816 (10.4)
<b>Household Income (\$)</b>		
≤50k	574 (22.6)	1770 (22.6)
50k to <100k	540 (21.2)	2033 (25.9)
100k to <200k	777 (30.6)	2449 (31.2)
≥200	379 (14.9)	983 (12.5)
Missing	273 (10.7)	610 (7.8)
<b>Parent marital status</b>		
Married	1662 (66.3)	5341 (68.2)
Not Married	828 (33.0)	2443 (31.2)
<b>Family history - psychosis</b>		
No	2200 (87.9)	6725 (86.6)
Yes	233 (9.3)	835 (10.8)
<b>Neighborhood safety - youth</b>		
Strongly Disagree	50 (2.0)	189 (2.4)
Disagree	105 (4.1)	378 (4.8)
Neutral	394 (15.6)	1449 (18.5)
Agree	846 (33.4)	2534 (32.3)
Strongly Agree	1138 (44.9)	3288 (41.9)
<b>PQ-BC Distress (mean (SD))</b>	3.47 (7.76)	3.59 (7.71)
<b>CBCL Total Problems (mean (SD))</b>	15.57 (16.84)	16.71 (17.37)