

1 **Impact of the Pandemic: Screening for Social Risk Factors in the Intensive Care Unit**

2

3 Derek Ge, B.S.,¹ Alec M. Weber, M.D.,^{1,2} Jayanth Vatson, M.D.,¹ Tracy Andrews, M.S.,³ Natalia

4 Levytska, M.D.,¹ Carol Shu, M.S.,¹ and Sabiha Hussain, M.D., M.P.H.^{1*}

5

6 ¹ Department of Medicine, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, New

7 Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA.

8 ² Department of Medicine, Westchester Medical Center, Valhalla, NY 10595, USA.

9 ³ Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Rutgers University,

10 Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA.

11

12 * Corresponding author:

13 **Dr. Sabiha Hussain**, Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care

14 Medicine, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey,

15 1 Robert Wood Johnson Place, MEB 564, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA; Email:

16 hussaisa@rwjms.rutgers.edu

17

18 **Author Contributions:** D.G.: literature search, data analysis, data interpretation, drafting of

19 manuscript, and preparation of tables and figures; A.M.W. and J.V.: manuscript review; T.A.:

20 data analysis; N.L. and C.S.: data collection; S.H.: study design, funding, and manuscript review.

21

22 **Manuscript Word Count:** 2424

23 **ABSTRACT**

24

25 Due to limitations in data collected through electronic health records, the social risk factors
26 (SRF) that predate severe illness and restrict access to critical care services are poorly
27 understood. This study explored the feasibility and utility of directly eliciting SRF in the ICU by
28 implementing a screening program. 566 critically ill patients at the medical ICU of Robert Wood
29 Johnson University Hospital from July 1, 2019, to September 31, 2021, were screened for seven
30 SRF. We compared characteristics between those with and without each SRF through Chi-
31 squared tests and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests. Overall, 39.58% of critically ill patients reported at
32 least one SRF. Age, socioeconomic status, insurance type, and severity score differed
33 significantly depending on the SRF. Most notably, the prevalence of SRF, overall and
34 individually, changed after March 2020 which represented the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.
35 Our findings indicate that SRF can induce low-risk severe illnesses and restrict access to critical
36 care services.

37

38 **Abstract Word Count:** 156

39

40 INTRODUCTION

41 Social determinants of health (SDOH) are defined by the World Health Organization as “the
42 conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, and age.”¹ When SDOH are responsible
43 for premature morbidity and mortality, they are referred to as social risk factors (SRF).²
44 Modifiable SDOH – subject to culture, policy, and institutions – have been found to outperform
45 measures of access and quality of clinical care in predicting longevity and well-being.³ However,
46 a minority of hospitals and physician practices alike identify and address SRF.⁴ The reluctance to
47 consider SDOH beyond basic sociodemographic data (e.g., age, race, and gender) and reliance
48 on physician notes to record SRF reflects a lack of standardized assessment tools and the limited
49 scope of modern electronic health records (EHR).⁵⁻⁷

50 The intensive care unit (ICU) often admits patients with critical illness potentiated by
51 SRF, most commonly due to sepsis, but the extent to which critical illness can be attributed to
52 SRF has not yet been satisfactorily demonstrated.^{8,9} Since the burden of SRF falls inequitable
53 upon blacks in the United States, many studies have explored whether racial disparities exist in
54 the ICU.¹⁰ However, associations between race and mortality were strongly attenuated by
55 socioeconomic status (SES), insinuating that race alone cannot fully capture the complex
56 relationship between SDOH and health.¹¹ While SES may more accurately reflect the
57 distribution of SRF, most literature in critical care medicine represent SES using abstracted
58 indexes from census data.¹²⁻¹⁶ Problematically, this assumes that all residents of a neighborhood
59 share the same SRF and reinforces the stereotype that only low-income families experience
60 SRF.¹⁷

61 Rather than approximating SRF from basic sociodemographic data or SES, we
62 implemented a screening program to directly discuss multiple domains of SDOH with our

63 patients. The pertinence of our goal became more apparent in the wake of the social impact
64 inflicted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Economic hardships, often brought by loss of
65 employment, left families struggling to pay for rent and food.¹⁸ Certain communities, in color or
66 creed, were disproportionately affected by the virus from reasons including the inability to enforce
67 physical distancing and a greater burden of comorbidities.¹⁹ Patients in these communities were
68 more likely to become hospitalized because of COVID-19 and later admitted to the ICU or
69 die.^{20,21} Given the role the ICU serves in treating the most severe cases of community-acquired
70 illnesses, changes in the proportions of patients with SRF could reflect reverberations from
71 catastrophes and policies at the national and local level.

72 Importantly, we sought to determine the feasibility and utility of eliciting SRF from
73 critically ill patients. The primary objectives of this single center prospective observational
74 cohort study were to 1) quantify the prevalence of SRF in the ICU, 2) determine what
75 characteristics are associated with SRF, and 3) contextualize our findings regarding policy
76 responses to COVID-19.

77

78 **METHODS**

79 **Study Design and Participants**

80 From July 1, 2019, to September 30, 2021, all patients admitted to the medical ICU at Robert
81 Wood Johnson University Hospital were eligible for this prospective observational cohort study.
82 Surgical patients are monitored in a separate department and were not considered for our study.
83 For incapacitated patients, our team interviewed visiting family members. We excluded patients
84 who were without family or refused participation. Because our study intended to connect New
85 Jersey (NJ) residents to local resources, we only included patients with addresses within NJ.

86 Finally, screening was only conducted when a social worker was available, excluding patients
87 who were only available for screening at night or during the weekend.

88 Our study includes patients treated prior to and during the pandemic. We marked the
89 onset of the pandemic as March 30, 2020, when patients were first admitted to the ICU with
90 COVID-19 related illness. Due to the influx of these admissions in the beginning of the
91 pandemic, we temporarily halted data collection from March 30, 2020, to June 30, 2020.

92 Participants were asked questions based off an adapted version of the American Academy
93 of Family Physician’s Social Needs Screening Tool borrowed from our healthcare system’s
94 family-oriented community clinic, the Eric B. Chandler Health Center (Exhibit 1). This
95 comprehensive screening tool was selected to capitalize on existing partnerships with community
96 organizations that offered support for disadvantaged patients. Multiple domains of SDOH were
97 covered by the screening tool: food, housing, utilities, medication, transportation, access to
98 healthcare, and caregiver support.

99 To successfully incorporate screening into the ICU workflow, we contacted IT staff and
100 administration for support in embedding the screening tool into our EHR. Prior to engagement,
101 we held teaching sessions to promote teamwork and reduce communication errors between
102 residents, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, case managers, and students. Following a script,
103 an attending physician, critical care fellow, or nurse interviewed each patient and provided the
104 opportunity to consult with social services. For patients who did not prefer to use English during
105 the clinical interaction, we consulted interpreters through LanguageLine Solutions.

106

107 **Data**

108 Sociodemographic data (age, race, gender, and insurance type) were extracted from electronic
109 medical records. In the EMR, racial identity was often assumed rather than solicited, limiting our
110 ability to make detailed comparisons across race and ethnicity. Unfortunately, this is a pervasive
111 aspect of studies like ours that rely on staff observations instead of self-report for determining
112 racial identity.²² As such, we used a dichotomous race measure, denoting if a person was Black
113 or African American. Public data from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Study
114 was used to match zip codes with median income per household (ZMHI). ZMHI was divided
115 into four quartiles with Q1 representing the poorest areas serviced by our healthcare system. To
116 describe the clinical presentation of each patient, we used the Acute Physiology and Chronic
117 Health Evaluation III (APACHE III) scoring system for its superior discriminatory ability.^{23,24}
118 Dichotomous variables were created to indicate which SRFs were endorsed by individuals.

119

120 **Statistical Analysis**

121 Univariate statistics including frequency (%) and median (IQR) were calculated for all variables;
122 normality was assessed using Shapiro-Wilks test ($p < 0.05$). Comparisons across SRF groups
123 were performed using Chi-squared tests for categorical data and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests for
124 continuous data. Pearson correlation matrices were used to evaluate relationships among SRF
125 groups and personal characteristics. To determine shifts in the prevalence of SRF prior to and
126 during the pandemic, we compared the proportion of patients in each period who screened
127 positively for SRF. All analyses were performed in R Studio (4.0.3) and used two-tailed tests
128 with an alpha of 0.05. To note, our results differ from an earlier analysis published in an abstract
129 due to refinement of our exclusion criteria and timepoint definition.²⁵

130

131 **RESULTS**

132 **Study Population Characteristics**

133 Out of 1319 ICU admissions, 566 (42.91%) NJ residents underwent screening during our study
134 period. Most of those screened arrived after the onset of the pandemic (443, 78.27%) and a
135 sizable minority reported at least one SRF (224, 39.58%). Exhibit 1 displays the seven SRF
136 elicited by our screening tool along with the corresponding questions. The percentage of patients
137 attributed to each SRF was lowest for utility debt (42, 7.42%) and highest for inadequate
138 caregiver support (91, 16.08%).

139 In Exhibit 2, we highlight demographic and clinical differences between patients with
140 and without SRF. Patients who reported SRF were, on average, younger except for those with the
141 inability to afford healthcare, who were significantly older (Exhibit 2A). Except for patients
142 reporting inadequate caregiver support, those with SRF were disproportionally represented in the
143 poorest two ZMHI quartiles (Exhibit 2B) and were more inclined to use Medicaid or out-of-
144 pocket sources of healthcare coverage (Exhibit 2C). The median severity score was lower for
145 patients with SRF compared to those without (Exhibit 2D). Gender did not significantly differ
146 between patients with or without SRF. The proportion of black patients only diverged in one
147 domain of SDOH, healthcare access, in which it was considerably lower (5.62% vs. 15.51%).
148 Conversely, the percentage of white patients with the inability to afford healthcare was
149 disproportionally high (70.79% vs. 61.01%).

150

151 **Correlation Matrix**

152 SRF were weakly to moderately intercorrelated (Exhibit 3). Food insecurity, utility debt, housing
153 instability, cost-related nonadherence, and transportation limitation were positively interrelated

154 with strengths ranging from 0.27 to 0.57. Inability to afford healthcare was not related to three
155 other SRF and inadequate caregiver support was weakly correlated with other SRF except for
156 transportation limitation ($r < 0.20$). Patients with SRF trended towards reporting either
157 inadequate caregiver support, inability to afford healthcare, or a combination of the remaining
158 SRF targeted by our screening program.

159

160 **The Impact of the Pandemic**

161 The social impact of the COVID-19 pandemic influenced access or demand for critical care
162 services, as shown in Exhibit 4. The prevalence of SRF in our ICU fell from 54.47% to overall
163 35.44% and decreased across all categories except for inability to afford healthcare, which
164 increased from 7.32% to 18.06%.

165

166 **DISCUSSION**

167 Relying on primary care services for screening assumes access to healthcare, which alienates
168 those who may benefit the most from being connected to social services. Discussing SDOH with
169 critically ill patients and their families defined norms on both sides of the clinical interaction. As
170 such, our study required months of preparation to embed a screening tool into our EHR and
171 educate all members of our clinical team. However, by contextualizing ICU admissions with
172 SRF, we enabled our healthcare system and community partners to respond accordingly. Our
173 study is novel for 1) introducing a screening program for multiple SRF into the ICU and 2)
174 exploring the characteristics and prevalence of critically ill patients with SRF during an ongoing
175 pandemic.

176 The fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates how the ICU can function as a
177 barometer of social change. From March 2020 to April 2020, NJ witnessed a record high
178 unemployment rate and unprecedented numbers of unemployment insurance claims, leading to
179 large losses in employer-based health coverage.²⁶ During the same time, the State Department of
180 Health, under the leadership of Governor Philip Murphy, released triage guidelines prioritizing
181 younger patients with lower severity scores.²⁷ These conditions may have produced an
182 overwhelming amount of newly uninsured patients who were prioritized for ICU admission
183 given their favorable prognosis, producing a shift in our patient population. Meanwhile, the
184 overall prevalence of SRF reported by critically ill patients fell by about 20% since the
185 pandemic. Optimistically, this may reflect the work of organizations, energized by investments
186 from the New Jersey Pandemic Relief Fund and American Rescue Plan, that launched programs
187 for food distribution, legal aid enforcing rent moratoriums, and assistance with affording
188 medications.^{28,29} Conversely, this trend could indicate exacerbated inequity in healthcare access.
189 During shortages in ICU bed supply, Kanter et al. identified a large gap in access by income,
190 despite COVID-19 disparately harming low-income households who were more likely to report
191 SRF.^{30,31} By studying SRF in an ICU setting, we continue the narrative that allocation criteria
192 failed to prioritize distributing vaccines and critical care services to disadvantaged individuals
193 and groups.³²

194 Notably, critically ill patients with SRF in our cohort were disproportionately assigned a
195 low severity score upon admission. While the dearth of studies on SRF in the ICU prevents a
196 conclusive explanation, this finding may be an artifact of a distinct etiology. Perhaps the youth of
197 patients with SRF, along with differences in their profile of comorbidities, diminished the odds
198 of mortality.^{33,34} Interestingly, Bein et al. concluded that critically ill patients with a low SES, as

199 determined by a questionnaire, had increased risk of a high severity score.³⁵ We attribute our
200 contradictory findings to our decision to conduct our study in the medical ICU, which receives
201 patients with community-acquired disease rather than surgical complications. Furthermore, we
202 directly elicited SRF instead of making assumptions by SES. Although healthier patients require
203 fewer diagnostic tests and equipment, these patients still accumulate high levels of fixed
204 overhead costs that constitute the bulk of ICU spending.^{36,37} Critical care medicine accounts for
205 13.21% of total hospital care expenditure as costs per day in the ICU equals six to seven times
206 those in a general ward.^{38,39} Many institutions have concluded that treating low-risk patients in
207 the ICU is not cost-effective, instead opting for an intermediate or step-down unit.^{40,41} Thus for
208 healthcare systems, there exists a financial imperative to address SRF that discourages proper
209 health management, ultimately leading to possibly preventable ICU admissions.

210 In an era of precision medicine and growing recognition of SDOH, the contemporary
211 clinical workflow in the ICU should include a standardized process to detect SRF. Our study
212 demonstrates that screening for SRF vastly augments the relevance of basic sociodemographic
213 data and SES. For example, inadequate caregiver support may predominantly affect elderly
214 Medicare beneficiaries with multiple chronic conditions that can be exacerbated without proper
215 monitoring and support.⁴² Patients with this SRF were older and not defined by a low SES. On
216 the other hand, those with the inability to afford healthcare were younger and disproportionately
217 represented in the lowest two ZMHI quartiles. We found that most patients with the inability to
218 afford healthcare were white; however, we hypothesize this number could include many
219 Hispanics, who are disproportionately uninsured or disqualified due to immigration status.^{43,44} We
220 felt comfortable in this assumption given that the largest ethnic group within our zip code are
221 Hispanics.⁴⁵ That SRF could represent the unmet needs of divergent subgroups in a heterogenous

222 critically ill patient population led us to explore intercorrelations between SRF. Surprisingly, we
223 found that certain SRF – but not all – shared modest correlations and were often reported
224 together. This implies that policy addressing SRF should carefully consider the domains of
225 SDOH relevant to the target population.⁴⁶

226

227 **Limitations**

228 As a single-center investigation, immediate generalization of the presented findings to other
229 institutions has not yet been validated. However, we share the results of our study to encourage
230 other ICUs to identify SRF in their patient population. Further, our definition of racial and ethnic
231 identity is limited due to incomplete data collection, especially because our failure to qualify
232 Hispanic versus non-Hispanic. However, this reflects oversight by our healthcare system and
233 others.⁴⁷ That race and ethnicity are assumed by the admitting clerk in most hospitals calls into
234 question the validity of conclusions based on EHR data on race alone.²²

235

236 **Conclusion**

237 Neglecting to acknowledge SDOH in the ICU may perpetuate ignorance of SRF that predispose
238 disadvantaged patients towards developing low-risk severe illnesses, incurring high medical
239 costs, and requiring critical care services. In our prospective observational cohort study, we
240 successfully introduced a standardized procedure for discussing SDOH in our ICU workflow by
241 implementing a screening program at our medical ICU endorsed by administration, IT staff, and
242 our clinical team. Importantly, we found that while nearly four in ten critically ill patients
243 reported at least one SRF, the overall prevalence of SRF changed from structural forces set in
244 motion by the COVID-19 pandemic.

245 **REFERENCES**

- 246 1. Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Closing the gap in a generation: health
247 equity through action on the social determinants of health. World Health Organization.
248 Accessed May 23, 2022.
249 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/43943/9789241563703_eng.pdf;jsessionid=365271ACE2052888542881700EEDCA8B?sequence=1.
250
- 251 2. Alderwick H, Gottlieb LM. Meanings and Misunderstandings: A Social Determinants of
252 Health Lexicon for Health Care Systems. *Milbank Q.* 2019;97(2):407-419.
253 Doi:10.1111/1468-0009.12390
- 254 3. Hood CM, Gennuso KP, Swain GR, Catlin BB. County Health Rankings: Relationships
255 Between Determinant Factors and Health Outcomes. *Am J Prev Med.* 2016;50(2):129-
256 135. Doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.08.024
- 257 4. Frazee TK, Brewster AL, Lewis VA, Beidler LB, Murray GF, Colla CH. Prevalence of
258 Screening for Food Insecurity, Housing Instability, Utility Needs, Transportation Needs,
259 and Interpersonal Violence by US Physician Practices and Hospitals. *JAMA Netw Open.*
260 2019;2(9):e1911514. Published 2019 Sep 4. Doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.11514
- 261 5. Braveman P, Gottlieb L. The social determinants of health: it's time to consider the
262 causes of the causes. *Public Health Rep.* 2014;129 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):19-31.
263 Doi:10.1177/00333549141291S206
- 264 6. Chen M, Tan X, Padman R. Social determinants of health in electronic health records and
265 their impact on analysis and risk prediction: A systematic review. *J Am Med Inform*
266 *Assoc.* 2020;27(11):1764-1773. Doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa143

- 267 7. Patra BG, Sharma MM, Vekaria V, et al. Extracting social determinants of health from
268 electronic health records using natural language processing: a systematic review. *J Am*
269 *Med Inform Assoc.* 2021;28(12):2716-2727. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocab170
- 270 8. DiMeglio M, Dubensky J, Schadt S, Potdar R, Laudanski K. Factors Underlying Racial
271 Disparities in Sepsis Management. *Healthcare (Basel).* 2018;6(4):133. Published 2018
272 Nov 19. Doi:10.3390/healthcare6040133
- 273 9. Estenssoro E, Loudet CI, Edul VSK, et al. Health inequities in the diagnosis and outcome
274 of sepsis in Argentina: a prospective cohort study. *Crit Care.* 2019;23(1):250. Published
275 2019 Jul 9. Doi:10.1186/s13054-019-2522-6
- 276 10. Cogburn CD. Culture, Race, and Health: Implications for Racial Inequities and
277 Population Health. *Milbank Q.* 2019;97(3):736-761. Doi:10.1111/1468-0009.12411
- 278 11. McGowan SK, Sarigiannis KA, Fox SC, Gottlieb MA, Chen E. Racial Disparities in ICU
279 Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Crit Care Med.* 2022;50(1):1-20.
280 Doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000005269
- 281 12. Barwise A, Wi CI, Frank R, et al. An Innovative Individual-Level Socioeconomic
282 Measure Predicts Critical Care Outcomes in Older Adults: A Population-Based Study. *J*
283 *Intensive Care Med.* 2021;36(7):828-837. Doi:10.1177/0885066620931020
- 284 13. Welch CA, Harrison DA, Hutchings A, Rowan K. The association between deprivation
285 and hospital mortality for admissions to critical care units in England. *J Crit Care.*
286 2010;25(3):382-390. Doi:10.1016/j.jcrc.2009.11.003
- 287 14. Ho KM, Dobb GJ, Knuiman M, Finn J, Webb SA. The effect of socioeconomic status on
288 outcomes for seriously ill patients: a linked data cohort study. *Med J Aust.*
289 2008;189(1):26-30. Doi:10.5694/j.1326-5377.2008.tb01890.x

- 290 15. Hutchings A, Raine R, Brady A, Wildman M, Rowan K. Socioeconomic status and
291 outcome from intensive care in England and Wales. *Med Care*. 2004;42(10):943-951.
292 Doi:10.1097/00005650-200410000-00002
- 293 16. Erickson SE, Vasilevskis EE, Kuzniewicz MW, et al. The effect of race and ethnicity on
294 outcomes among patients in the intensive care unit: a comprehensive study involving
295 socioeconomic status and resuscitation preferences. *Crit Care Med*. 2011;39(3):429-435.
296 Doi:10.1097/CCM.0b013e318206b3af
- 297 17. Gottlieb LM, Francis DE, Beck AF. Uses and Misuses of Patient- and Neighborhood-
298 level Social Determinants of Health Data. *Perm J*. 2018;22:18-078. Doi:10.7812/TPP/18-
299 078
- 300 18. Drake P, Rudowitz R. Tracking Social Determinants of Health During the COVID-19
301 Pandemic. Kaiser Family Foundation. April 21, 2022. Accessed May 23, 2022.
302 [https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/tracking-social-determinants-of-](https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/tracking-social-determinants-of-health-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/)
303 [health-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/](https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/tracking-social-determinants-of-health-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/)
- 304 19. Abrams EM, Szeffler SJ. COVID-19 and the impact of social determinants of health.
305 *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8(7):659-661. Doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30234-4
- 306 20. Acosta AM, Garg S, Pham H, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Rates of COVID-19-
307 Associated Hospitalization, Intensive Care Unit Admission, and In-Hospital Death in the
308 United States From March 2020 to February 2021. *JAMA Netw Open*.
309 2021;4(10):e2130479. Published 2021 Oct 1. Doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.30479
- 310 21. Mageesh S, John D, Li WT, et al. Disparities in COVID-19 Outcomes by Race, Ethnicity,
311 and Socioeconomic Status: A Systematic-Review and Meta-analysis [published
312 correction appears in *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021 Dec 1;4(12):e2144237] [published

- 313 correction appears in JAMA Netw Open. 2022 Feb 1;5(2):e222170]. JAMA Netw Open.
314 2021;4(11):e2134147. Published 2021 Nov 1.
315 Doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34147
- 316 22. Pittman MA, Pierce D, Hasnain-Wynia R. Who, When, and How: The Current State of
317 Race, Ethnicity, and Primary Language Data Collection in Hospitals. The
318 Commonwealth Fund. May 1, 2004. Accessed May 23, 2022.
319 [https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2004/may/who-when-](https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2004/may/who-when-and-how-current-state-race-ethnicity-and-primary)
320 [and-how-current-state-race-ethnicity-and-primary](https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2004/may/who-when-and-how-current-state-race-ethnicity-and-primary)
- 321 23. Bein T, Fröhlich D, Frey A, Metz C, Taeger K. Vergleich von APACHE-II und
322 APACHE-III zur Einschätzung der Erkrankungsschwere von Intensivpatienten
323 [Comparison of APACHE-II AND APACHE-III for classification of disease severity of
324 intensive care patients]. *Anaesthesist*. 1995;44(1):37-42. Doi:10.1007/s001010050130
- 325 24. Zimmerman JE, Wagner DP, Draper EA, Wright L, Alzola C, Knaus WA. Evaluation of
326 acute physiology and chronic health evaluation III predictions of hospital mortality in an
327 independent database. *Crit Care Med*. 1998;26(8):1317-1326. Doi:10.1097/00003246-
328 199808000-00012
- 329 25. Ge D, Watson JS, Andrews T, Regunathan A, Nesbitt D, Hussain S. Impact of the
330 Pandemic: Screening for Social Determinants of Health in the ICU Setting [abstract].
331 ATS International Conference. 2022.
- 332 26. Rodriguez N. COVID-19 Unemployment Claims Will Soon Surpass Total Claims from
333 the Great Recession. *New Jersey Policy Perspective*. May 1, 2020. Accessed May 23,
334 2022. [https://www.njpp.org/publications/blog-category/covid-19-unemployment-claims-](https://www.njpp.org/publications/blog-category/covid-19-unemployment-claims-will-soon-surpass-total-claims-from-the-great-recession/#_edn1)
335 [will-soon-surpass-total-claims-from-the-great-recession/#_edn1](https://www.njpp.org/publications/blog-category/covid-19-unemployment-claims-will-soon-surpass-total-claims-from-the-great-recession/#_edn1)

- 336 27. Murphy PD, Oliver SY, Persichilli JM. Allocation of Critical Care Resources During a
337 Public Health Emergency (Adapted from the University of Pittsburgh Model Policy*).
338 State of New Jersey Department of Health. Updated December 16, 2020. Accessed May
339 23, 2022. <https://www.state.nj.us/health/legal/covid19/AllocationMemoRevised.pdf>
- 340 28. Community Foundation of New Jersey. New Jersey Pandemic Relief. Accessed May 23,
341 2022. <https://cfnj.org/njprf/>
- 342 29. Office of Congressman Tom Malinowski. American Rescue Plan. Accessed May 23,
343 2022. <https://malinowski.house.gov/american-rescue-plan>
- 344 30. Kanter GP, Segal AG, Groeneveld PW. Income Disparities In Access To Critical Care
345 Services. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2020;39(8):1362-1367. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00581
- 346 31. Benton A, Meade E, Vandenberg A. The Impact of the First Year of the COVID-19
347 Pandemic and Recession on Families with Low Incomes. Office of the Assistant
348 Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. September 20, 2021. Accessed May 23, 2022.
349 [https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/covid-19-impact-low-income-](https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/covid-19-impact-low-income-families#:~:text=The%20COVID-19%20crisis%20has,povertry%20or%20near-poverty%20conditions)
350 [families#:~:text=The%20COVID-19%20crisis%20has,povertry%20or%20near-](https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/covid-19-impact-low-income-families#:~:text=The%20COVID-19%20crisis%20has,povertry%20or%20near-poverty%20conditions)
351 [poverty%20conditions](https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/covid-19-impact-low-income-families#:~:text=The%20COVID-19%20crisis%20has,povertry%20or%20near-poverty%20conditions)
- 352 32. World Health Organization. COVID-19 and the social determinants of health and health
353 equity: evidence brief. ISBN: 9789240038387.
- 354 33. Simpson A, Puxty K, McLoone P, Quasim T, Sloan B, Morrison DS. Comorbidity and
355 survival after admission to the intensive care unit: A population-based study of 41,230
356 patients. *J Intensive Care Soc*. 2021;22(2):143-151. doi:10.1177/1751143720914229
- 357 34. Pantell MS, Prather AA, Downing JM, Gordon NP, Adler NE. Association of Social and
358 Behavioral Risk Factors With Earlier Onset of Adult Hypertension and Diabetes. *JAMA*

- 359 Netw Open. 2019;2(5):e193933. Published 2019 May 3.
- 360 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.3933
- 361 35. Bein T, Hackner K, Zou T, et al. Socioeconomic status, severity of disease and level of
- 362 family members' care in adult surgical intensive care patients: the prospective
- 363 ECSSTASI study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2012;38(4):612-619. Doi:10.1007/s00134-012-
- 364 2463-x
- 365 36. Rosenthal GE, Sirio CA, Shepardson LB, Harper DL, Rotondi AJ, Cooper GS. Use of
- 366 intensive care units for patients with low severity of illness. *Arch Intern Med.*
- 367 1998;158(10):1144-1151. Doi:10.1001/archinte.158.10.1144
- 368 37. Roberts RR, Frutos PW, Ciavarella GG, et al. Distribution of variable vs fixed costs of
- 369 hospital care. *JAMA.* 1999;281(7):644-649. Doi:10.1001/jama.281.7.644
- 370 38. Halpern NA, Goldman DA, Tan KS, Pastores SM. Trends in Critical Care Beds and Use
- 371 Among Population Groups and Medicare and Medicaid Beneficiaries in the United
- 372 States: 2000-2010. *Crit Care Med.* 2016;44(8):1490-1499.
- 373 Doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000001722
- 374 39. Norris C, Jacobs P, Rapoport J, Hamilton S. ICU and non-ICU cost per day. *Can J*
- 375 *Anaesth.* 1995;42(3):192-196. Doi:10.1007/BF03010674
- 376 40. Gaspoz JM, Lee TH, Weinstein MC, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a new short-stay unit to
- 377 “rule out” acute myocardial infarction in low risk patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol.*
- 378 1994;24(5):1249-1259. Doi:10.1016/0735-1097(94)90106-6
- 379 41. Capuzzo M, Volta C, Tassinati T, et al. Hospital mortality of adults admitted to Intensive
- 380 Care Units in hospitals with and without Intermediate Care Units: a multicentre European

- 381 cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2014;18(5):551. Published 2014 Oct 9. Doi:10.1186/s13054-014-
382 0551-8
- 383 42. Lochner KA, Goodman RA, Posner S, Parekh A. Multiple chronic conditions among
384 Medicare beneficiaries: state-level variations in prevalence, utilization, and cost, 2011.
385 *Medicare Medicaid Res Rev*. 2013;3(3):mmrr.003.03.b02. Published 2013 Jul 23.
386 Doi:10.5600/mmrr.003.03.b02
- 387 43. Collins SR, Gunja MZ, Doty MM, Beutel S. Who Are the Remaining Uninsured and
388 Why Haven't They Signed Up for Coverage?. *Issue Brief (Commonw Fund)*. 2016;24:1-
389 20.
- 390 44. Noe-Bustamante L, Gonzalez-Barrera A, Edwards K, Mora L, Lopez MH. Tracking
391 Social Determinants of Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Pew Research Center.
392 November 4, 2021. Accessed May 23, 2022.
393 [https://www.pewresearch.org/hispanic/2021/11/04/majority-of-latinos-say-skin-color-](https://www.pewresearch.org/hispanic/2021/11/04/majority-of-latinos-say-skin-color-impacts-opportunity-in-america-and-shapes-daily-life/)
394 [impacts-opportunity-in-america-and-shapes-daily-life/](https://www.pewresearch.org/hispanic/2021/11/04/majority-of-latinos-say-skin-color-impacts-opportunity-in-america-and-shapes-daily-life/)
- 395 45. U.S. Census Bureau. QuickFacts New Brunswick city, New Jersey. Accessed May 23,
396 2022. <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/newbrunswickcitynewjersey>
- 397 46. Fuchs VR. Social Determinants of Health: Caveats and Nuances. *JAMA*.
398 2017;317(1):25-26. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.17335
- 399 47. Hasnain-Wynia R, Baker DW. Obtaining data on patient race, ethnicity, and primary
400 language in health care organizations: current challenges and proposed solutions. *Health*
401 *Serv Res*. 2006;41(4 Pt 1):1501-1518. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6773.2006.00552.
- 402

Exhibit 1


Caption: Screening Tool for Social Risk Factors

Social Risk Factor	Screening Question	Prevalence, N (%)
Food Insecurity	In the last 12 months, did you ever eat less than you felt you should because there wasn't enough money for food?	47 (8.30%)
Utility Debt	In the last 12 months did you have trouble paying your utility bills?	42 (7.42%)
Housing Instability	Are you worried that you may lose your housing or be evicted on the next 2 months?	63 (11.13%)
Cost-Related Nonadherence	In the last 12 months, have you had difficulty purchasing or taking medications as prescribed by a doctor?	52 (9.19%)
Transportation Limitation	In the last 12 months have you missed a doctor or medical appointment because of a transportation related issue?	66 (11.66%)
Inadequate Caregiver Support	Do you have childcare or caregiver issues/concerns that make it difficult for you to work or study?	91 (16.08%)
Inability to Afford Healthcare	In the last 12 months have you missed a doctor or medical appointment because of cost?	89 (15.72%)


Exhibit 2

Caption: Characteristics of Patients with Social Risk Factors


A



B



C



D




Exhibit 3
Caption: Correlation Matrix of Social Risk Factors

Utility Debt	0.57				
Housing Instabilty	0.54	0.48			
Cost-Related Nonadherence	0.44	0.42	0.35		
Transportation Limitation	0.27	0.40	0.38	0.30	
Inadequate Caregiver Support	0.16	0.11	0.17	0.14	0.28
Inability to Afford Healthcare	0.17			0.23	
	Food Insecurity	Utility Debt	Housing Instability	Cost-Related Nonadherence	Transportation Limitation

Exhibit 4
 Caption: Prevalence of Social Risk Factors Before and During the Pandemic

