

1 **Title**

2 Research Note: COVID-19 is not an Independent Cause of Death

3

4 **Authors**

5 Marcia C Castro*, Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard TH Chan School of
6 Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA. mcastro@hsph.harvard.edu

7 Susie Gurzenda, Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard TH Chan School of
8 Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA. Susie_Gurzenda@unc.edu

9 Cassio M Turra, Demography Department, Cedeplar, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais,
10 Belo Horizonte, MG 31270-901, Brazil. cmturra@gmail.com

11 Sun Kim, Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard TH Chan School of Public
12 Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA. sunkim1@hsph.harvard.edu

13 Theresa Andrasfay, Leonard Davis School of Gerontology, University of Southern California,
14 Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA. andrasfa@usc.edu

15 Noreen Goldman, Office of Population Research and Princeton School of Public and
16 International Affairs, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA.
17 ngoldman@princeton.edu

18 *Corresponding author

19

20 **Running head**

21 Research Note: COVID-19 is not an Independent Cause of Death

22

23 **Word count**

24 1,876

25

26 **Acknowledgment**

27 TA acknowledges funding support from the National Institute on Aging under Award Number
28 T32AG000037. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily
29 represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

30

31 **Author contributions**

32 MCC and NG conceived the research. MCC, NG, CMT, and TA defined the methodology. TA,
33 SG, and SK wrote the codes for analysis. MCC, NG, TA, and CMT interpreted the data. MCC,
34 TA, and SG conducted data curation. MCC and SK produced all visualization. NG and MCC

35 wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of results and
36 manuscript editing.

37

38 **Data availability**

39 The data and code required to reproduce the results presented in this manuscript are available at
40 https://github.com/mcastr0lab/Covid-19_competing_risk.

41

42 **Abstract**

43 The COVID-19 pandemic has had overwhelming global impacts with deleterious social,
44 economic, and health consequences. To assess the COVID-19 death toll researchers have
45 estimated declines in 2020 life expectancy at birth. Because data are often available only for
46 COVID-19 deaths, the risks of dying from COVID-19 are assumed to be independent of those
47 from other causes. We explore the soundness of this assumption based on data from the US and
48 Brazil, the countries with the largest number of reported COVID-19 deaths. We use three
49 methods. One estimates the difference between 2019 and 2020 life tables and therefore does not
50 require the assumption of independence. The other two assume independence to simulate
51 scenarios in which COVID-19 mortality is added to 2019 death rates or is eliminated from 2020
52 rates. Our results reveal that COVID-19 is not independent of other causes of death. The
53 assumption of independence can lead to either an overestimate (Brazil) or an underestimate (US)
54 of the decline in e_0 , depending on how the number of other reported causes of death changed in
55 2020.

56

57 **Keywords**

58 Competing risks; Life expectancy at birth; COVID-19 mortality

59

60 **Introduction**

61 Over 6.2 million deaths were attributed to COVID-19 worldwide by April 2022, a number that is
62 likely underestimated. The two countries with the largest number of reported COVID-19 deaths
63 are Brazil and the US, with more than 666 thousand and a million deaths reported respectively as
64 of May 2022. The impact of COVID-19 on life expectancy at birth (e_0) in these countries has
65 received widespread attention from the media: a decline of 1.3-years in Brazil and 1.8-years in
66 the US for 2020 (Castro et al. 2021; Murphy et al. 2021). Declines are even larger in 2021
67 (Andrasfay and Goldman 2022; Castro et al. 2021).

68 To examine the impact of COVID-19, researchers have used numbers of deaths and population
69 by age to calculate declines in e_0 (the metric reported here) during a specified period of the
70 pandemic (e.g., 2020 relative to 2019). Life expectancy has numerous advantages over other
71 mortality measures, including its interpretability, comparability over time and place, and the fact
72 that, unlike the death rate, it is unaffected by the age distribution. Estimates of e_0 during the
73 pandemic for both the US and Brazil have varied across studies primarily because the National
74 Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) in the US and the Ministry of Health (MoH) in Brazil have
75 periodically released updated and corrected information. However, as we demonstrate below,
76 another important source of variation stems from differences in the methods used to calculate e_0 ,
77 often driven by limited data availability.

78 Government agencies in the US and Brazil generally release mortality data after a substantial lag
79 to ensure completeness and quality. However, during the pandemic, both the NCHS and MoH
80 released information on COVID-19 – but not other – deaths shortly after receipt, permitting
81 researchers to calculate the impact of the pandemic on e_0 without data from all death records.
82 From mid-2020 until November 2021, preliminary data for all causes of death (CoD) started to

83 be released every two weeks in Brazil. Estimates of the impact of COVID-19 on e_0 and years of
84 life lost (YLL) based on these limited data required the assumption that the risks of dying from
85 COVID-19 were independent of the risks of dying from other causes (the presence of COVID-19
86 did not alter the risks of dying from any other cause) (Chan, Cheng and Martin 2021). Although
87 unrealistic, this assumption pervades the literature – both before the pandemic and currently –
88 primarily because there has been no direct way to assess dependence among causes. Previous
89 applications of the assumption of independence among CoD typically involved determining the
90 consequences of the hypothetical elimination or deletion of a long-standing cause, frequently a
91 chronic disease such as cancer (Beltrán-Sánchez, Preston and Canudas-Romo 2008; Ho 2013;
92 Yashin, Manton and Stallard 1986). In contrast, the sudden arrival of COVID-19 provides a
93 natural experiment that permits a direct assessment of the plausibility of the independence
94 assumption, in this case between COVID-19 and other CoD, in the context of adding rather than
95 eliminating a cause. We explore the consequences of this assumption using data for the US and
96 Brazil.

97 **Methods**

98 Deaths from all causes by month, age, sex, and CoD for Brazil were extracted from the Mortality
99 Information System, MoH, for 2018-2020, and population projections by age and sex from the
100 Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (Castro et al. 2021). For the US, corresponding
101 data were obtained from CDC Wonder (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and National
102 Center for Health Statistics 2021).

103 The methods used to calculate the decline in e_0 are described elsewhere (Castro et al. 2021).
104 Briefly, we used three approaches. First, we constructed period life tables (LT) for 2019 and

105 2020 that considered all CoD by sex and age group and calculated the difference between e_0 in
106 2019 and 2020 (LT19-LT20). This method does not make assumptions about independence
107 among causes or depend on the accurate classification of causes; however, the estimate would
108 include reductions and increases in numbers of deaths not related to the COVID-19 pandemic.
109 The other approaches simulate hypothetical scenarios in which COVID-19 mortality is added to
110 2019 death rates (DT19) or is eliminated from 2020 rates (DT20); both assume independence
111 between the risks of dying from COVID-19 and those from other causes (Chiang 1968). We
112 estimated reductions in e_0 due to COVID-19 from the difference between the 2020 period LT
113 and the DT20 estimates, and between the 2019 period LT and the DT19 estimates for 2020.
114 We performed all analyses in R v.4.0.0 (R core team, 2020). We created data visualizations in R
115 and Adobe Illustrator CS6.

116 **Results**

117 Based on LT19-LT20, the US lost 1.56 years compared to 1.41 in Brazil. Estimates of the change
118 in e_0 derived from DT19 and DT20 under the assumption of independence are larger than LT19-
119 LT20 for Brazil but smaller than LT19-LT20 in the US (**Table 1**).

120 Between 2019 and 2020, age-specific mortality rates from all causes combined excluding
121 COVID-19 increased for most age groups in the US, with substantial rises in the young adult and
122 middle age groups (**Figure 1a**). In Brazil, however, rates increased only modestly in the young
123 adult and middle age groups and declined at younger and older ages. The decline at younger ages
124 in Brazil was mostly driven by a reduction in deaths due to influenza, pneumonia, and chronic
125 and other lower respiratory diseases, likely a result of physical distancing and school closure.

126 Both the US and Brazil experienced large increases in diabetes mortality between 2019 and
127 2020, considerably more than between 2018 and 2019 (**Figure 1b**). Both countries, especially
128 Brazil, experienced declines between 2019 and 2020 in cancer mortality. In contrast, Brazil
129 generally faced declines in the following causes between 2019 and 2020 whereas the US
130 generally saw increases: heart and cerebrovascular diseases; influenza, pneumonia, and other
131 respiratory diseases; and external causes. Not all of these changes were necessarily a result of the
132 pandemic (e.g., a potential secular decline in mortality), but most were likely due directly or
133 indirectly to COVID-19.

134 Results show that DT19 and DT20 underestimated the decline in e_0 for the US and
135 overestimated the decline for Brazil relative to LT19-LT20. As shown in **Figure 1**, COVID-19 is
136 not independent of other CoD. Since mortality rates from other causes generally increased
137 between 2019 and 2020 in the US, the assumption of independence underestimates the overall
138 change in mortality, whereas the opposite occurs in Brazil.

139 **Discussion**

140 There are many potential reasons for the dependence between risks of dying from COVID-19
141 and those from other causes. Because COVID-19 fatality increases in the presence of co-
142 morbidities (e.g., cancer, heart disease, diabetes), rates from these chronic ailments might have
143 decreased if patients succumbed to COVID-19, a phenomenon related to “harvesting” (Schwartz
144 2000). Death rates from some external causes, such as violence and travel-related accidents, may
145 have declined because of reduced social and work activities. In contrast, mortality rates from
146 non-COVID-19 causes may have increased because of worsening of co-morbidities due to the
147 effects of COVID-19; delays in primary/preventative care and reduced disease management for
148 non-COVID-19 conditions; inadequate care in clinics and hospitals due to shortages of

149 equipment, staff, and space; increased mortality from other causes due to long COVID-19;
150 higher rates of smoking, drinking, drug use, and poor nutrition; reduced exercise; and potential
151 health consequences from job loss, financial difficulties, and reduced social ties (Dey and
152 Davidson 2021; Griffin 2021).

153 Our results are likely affected by the quality of reported death information. Misidentification or
154 miscoding of CoD – particularly between COVID-19 and other respiratory conditions before
155 widespread testing for the virus – could have inflated or reduced numbers of COVID-19 deaths.
156 Unclassified deaths could have biased the estimates, although there has been little change in the
157 relative size of this category between 2019 and 2020 (5.8% in Brazil for both years, and 1.1%
158 and 1% in the US in 2019 and 2020, respectively). Underreporting of deaths, potentially
159 selective by CoD and age, could have affected the age-specific pattern of both COVID-19 and
160 non-COVID-19 mortality. Underreporting was estimated at 1.39% in 2019 for Brazil (IBGE
161 2019) and less than 1% in 2015-2018 for the US (Karlinsky 2021), but could have increased
162 during the pandemic.

163 **Conclusions**

164 Our results underscore that, despite the continued use of multiple decrement methods, they have
165 serious drawbacks for determining the overall effect of the pandemic on e_0 . Especially troubling
166 is that our findings suggest that the assumption of independence between COVID-19 and other
167 causes could either underestimate or overestimate the overall loss in e_0 . Analyses that estimate
168 declines in e_0 due to COVID-19 when all CoD data are not available need to explicitly
169 acknowledge this limitation.


170

171 **References**

- 172 Andrasfay, T. and N. Goldman. 2022. "Reductions in US life expectancy from COVID-19 by
173 Race and Ethnicity: Is 2021 a repetition of 2020?" *medRxiv*:2021.2010.2017.21265117.
- 174 Arias, E., T.-V. Betzaida, F. Ahmad, and K. Kochanek. 2021. "Provisional Life Expectancy
175 Estimates for 2020." National Center for Health Statistics (U.S.)
176 <https://doi.org/10.15620/cdc:107201>. September 14, 2021.
- 177 Beltrán-Sánchez, H., S.H. Preston, and V. Canudas-Romo. 2008. "An integrated approach to
178 cause-of-death analysis: cause-deleted life tables and decompositions of life expectancy."
179 *Demographic research* 19:1323-1323.
- 180 Castro, M.C., S. Gurzenda, C.M. Turra, S. Kim, T. Andrasfay, and N. Goldman. 2021.
181 "Reduction in life expectancy in Brazil after COVID-19." *Nature Medicine*.
- 182 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and National Center for Health Statistics. 2021.
183 "Underlying cause of death 2018-2020 on CDC WONDER Online Database, released in 2021.
184 Data are from the multiple cause of death files, 2018-2020. Accessed at
185 <http://wonder.cdc.gov/ucd-icd10-expanded.html>."
- 186 Chan, E.Y.S., D. Cheng, and J. Martin. 2021. "Impact of COVID-19 on excess mortality, life
187 expectancy, and years of life lost in the United States." *PLOS ONE* 16(9):e0256835.
- 188 Chiang, C.L. 1968. *Introduction to stochastic processes in biostatistics*. New York: Wiley.
- 189 Dey, S. and J. Davidson. 2021. "The determinants of non-COVID-19 excess deaths during the
190 COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-country panel study." *Studies in Microeconomics* 9(2):196-226.
- 191 Griffin, S. 2021. "Covid-19: High level of non-covid deaths may reflect health system
192 pressures." *BMJ* 372:n44.

- 193 Ho, J.Y. 2013. "Mortality Under Age 50 Accounts For Much Of The Fact That US Life
194 Expectancy Lags That Of Other High-Income Countries." *Health Affairs* 32(3):459-467.
- 195 IBGE. 2019. "Pareamento das Estatísticas do Registro Civil e dos Sistemas de Informações sobre
196 Nascidos Vivos e Mortalidade (SINASC e SIM). Aplicação da técnica de captura-recaptura para
197 estimativa dos totais de nascidos vivos e óbitos, 2019. Nota metodológica n. 01." Rio de Janeiro:
198 Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística - IBGE. Accessed at
199 https://biblioteca.ibge.gov.br/visualizacao/periodicos/3098/rc_sev_pe_2015_2016_2017.pdf.
- 200 Karlinsky, A. 2021. "International completeness of death registration 2015-2019."
201 *medRxiv*:2021.2008.2012.21261978.
- 202 Murphy, S.L., K.D. Kochanek, J. Xu, and E. Arias. 2021. "Mortality in the United States, 2020."
203 *NCHS Data Brief* 427.
- 204 Schwartz, J. 2000. "Harvesting and long term exposure effects in the relation between air
205 pollution and mortality." *Am J Epidemiol* 151(5):440-448.
- 206 Yashin, A.I., K.G. Manton, and E. Stallard. 1986. "Dependent competing risks: a stochastic
207 process model." *J Math Biol* 24(2):119-140.
- 208

209 **Fig. 1 Percent change in age-specific death rates. (a)** Between 2019 and 2020 for all non-
 210 COVID-19 causes combined, US and Brazil, by sex. **(b)** Heat map of the percent change in age-
 211 specific death rates between 2018-2019 and 2019-2020, for major categories of cause of death,
 212 US and Brazil, by sex, ages 45+.



213

214 **Table 1** Estimated decline in e_0 between 2019 and 2020 based on three methods, US and Brazil,
215 by sex.

216

Country	Sex	Method		
		LT19-LT20	DT19	DT20
US	Total	1.56 ^a	1.21	1.39
	Female	1.21	1.08	1.26
	Male	1.83	1.30	1.47
Brazil ^b	Total	1.41	1.71	1.95
	Female	1.04	1.49	1.82
	Male	1.68	1.85	2.00

217 ^a Based on the published 2020 life table (Arias et al. 2021). Updated mortality data (Murphy et
218 al. 2021) show a larger number of deaths and a 1.8 year loss in e_0 , but the corresponding life
219 table had not been updated at the time of writing.

220 ^b Based on 2020 mortality data updated in January 2022, thus results differ from Castro et al
221 (2021).

222