

PREDICTIVE SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF BACTERIAL MENINGITIS ISOLATES IN NORTHERN GHANA

Enoch Weikem Weyori^{1*}, Nkrumah Bernard², Abass Abdul-Karim³, John Bertson Eleeza⁴, Braimah Baba Abubakari⁵, Hilarius Asiwome Kosi Abiwu⁶, Kuugbee Dogkotenge Eugene⁷, Adadow Yidaana⁸, Shamsu-Deen Ziblim⁹, Benjamin Nuertey¹⁰, Benjamin Asubam Weyori¹¹, Etowi Boye Yakubu¹², Stebleson Azure¹³, Muktar Abdul-Muizz¹⁴, Valentine Koyiri Cheba¹⁵,
¹⁶Adatsi Richard Kojo

*Correspondence:

²yyu4@cdc.gov

³abass.komei@yahoo.com

⁴jeleeza@yahoo.co.uk

⁵braimahbaba@gmail.com

⁶dr.abiwuhak@gmail.com

⁷kuugbee@yahoo.com

⁸adadowy@yahoo.com

⁹zshamsu72@gmail.com

¹⁰ben.nuertey@gmail.com

¹¹benjamin.weyori@uenr.edu.gh

¹²byetoss@gmail.com

¹³azurestebleson@gmail.com

¹⁴amtikuma@gmail.com

¹⁵vkoyiri@gmail.com

¹⁶kujosweat@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Cerebrospinal meningitis (CSM) is a public health burden in Ghana that causes up to 10% mortality of the disease annually. About 20% of those who survive cerebrospinal meningitis suffer permanent sequelae. The study sought to understand the predictive signs and symptoms of bacterial meningitis implicated in its outcomes.

Method: Retrospective data from the Public Health Division, Ghana Health Service on bacterial meningitis from 2015 to 2019 used for this study. A pre-tested data extraction form was used to collect patients' information from case-based forms kept at the Disease Control Unit from 2015 to 2019. Data were transcribed from the case-based forms into a pre-designed Microsoft Excel template. The data was cleaned and imported into SPSS version 26 for analysis.

Results: Between 2015-2019, a total of 2,446 CSM cases were reported. Out of these, 842 were confirmed. Among the confirmed cases, males constituted 55.3%. Children below 14 years of age were most affected (51.4%). The pathogens commonly responsible for bacterial meningitis were *Neisseria meningitidis* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* with their respective strains especially *Nm W135*, *Nm X*, *Spn St. 1*, and *Spn St. 12F/12A/12B/44/4* being responsible for most of the confirmed cases. The most predictive sign and symptom for bacterial meningitis was fever ($X^2=5.738^a$; $p < 0.05$; $AOR=1.303$). Identified signs that were associated but less likely to cause disease were abdominal pain ($X^2=12.038^a$; $p < 0.05$; $AOR=0.597$), neck stiffness ($X^2=6.447^a$; $p < 0.05$; $AOR=0.788$), altered consciousness ($X^2=15.438^a$; $p < 0.05$; $AOR=0.673$), and convulsion ($X^2=15.084^a$; $p < 0.05$; $AOR=0.678$).

Conclusion: The confirmed cases of bacterial meningitis from 2015 to 2019 had fever as the main predictive symptom. It is therefore noticed that abdominal pain, neck stiffness, altered consciousness and convulsion did not associate with CSM within the study period.

Key words: Bacterial Meningitis, Neisseria Meningitis, Streptococcus Pneumoniae, Signs and Symptoms.

Introduction

Meningitis stays one of the most pestilence inclined sickness influencing a huge extent of the total populace [1]. Bacterial meningitis is one of the most serious kinds of meningitis known to influence the focal sensory system in people. It is perceived as one of the best ten reasons for contamination related passing around the world, and around 30-half of the survivors support neurological sequelae [1]. Bacterial meningitis is likewise the commonest sort of meningitis overall and influences around 1.7 million individuals with roughly 170,000 passings yearly [1]. The most well-known specialists of bacterial meningitis are Haemophilus influenzae type b, Neisseria meningitidis, serogroups A, B, C, W135 and Y, and Streptococcus pneumoniae with various serotypes, for example, St1, St14, St19A and a lot more [1].

During the course of disease, microbes enter the subarachnoid space and the mind parenchyma through the circulation system or by direct intrusion through outer obstructions like the ear or sinuses [2]. The intrusion of the microorganisms sets off the arrival of cytokines, which prompt a fountain of provocative responses, bringing about serious irritation of the meninges encompassing the mind and the spinal line, prompting a perilous sickness [2]. Meningitis can likewise happen while liquid encompassing the meninges becomes contaminated [3]. The most well-known reasons for meningitis are viral and bacterial contaminations. Bacterial meningitis (traditionally, 'pyogenic' or polymorphonuclear meningitis) is a substantially more serious sickness than viral meningitis (traditionally 'aseptic' or lymphocytic) and on the off chance that untreated is very nearly 100% lethal [4].

Indeed, even with anti-infection treatment, bacterial meningitis stays a genuine reason for bleakness and mortality on the planet, and is a bacteriological crisis requiring dire finding and treatment [1].

Aside from bacterial meningitis, numerous different reasons for meningitis exist and incorporates viral meningitis, tuberculous meningitis (TBM) and other non-irresistible reasons for aseptic meningitis [5], [6]. Viral meningitis, which is generally less extreme than bacterial meningitis, is a consequence of meningeal contamination by different infections. An infection may just be recognized in half of the cases; the most well-known being enteroviruses [7]. Normal youth diseases, for example, chicken pox and measles have frequently been embroiled in viral meningitis [8]. TBM is brought about by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and is an extremely serious type of spread tuberculosis. Like intense bacterial meningitis, TBM additionally brings about high paces of neurological intricacies and frequently deep rooted sequelae [1]. Without appropriate treatment the death pace of TBM can be exceptionally high [9]. Aseptic meningitis is a term saved for the meningitis for which starting clinical assessment and routine research facility tests (counting Gram staining and CSF culture) neglect to uncover a clear reason [10]. The etiology of aseptic meningitis frequently incorporates viral, contagious or TBM. Non-irresistible reasons for aseptic meningitis like malignancies with cerebrum metastasis or a few prescriptions; remarkably sulphamethoxazole and nonsteroidal mitigating drugs, have additionally been recognized [11], [12].

A key element that adds to this high grimness is the deficient comprehension of the pathogenesis of the illness bringing about it being one of the main sources of mortality on the planet. Overcomers of bacterial meningitis can experience the ill effects of genuine neurological confusions, for example, deafness, visual deficiency, mental and scholarly disability which frequently endure over the lifetime of the person [13]. Regardless, the

African meningitis belt (AMB) is a bunch of 26 nations extending between Senegal toward the west to Ethiopia in the east. These nations are known for having a high yearly rate of bacterial meningitis [14]. It is assessed that, frequency rates during pandemics have reached as high as 100-1000 cases for every 100,000 which are remarkably high rates for an obtrusive bacterial infection. The case casualty rate goes from 6.6 to 10.0% and extremely durable sequelae including hearing misfortune and engine hindrance influencing more than 30% of survivors, if untreated [15].

In Ghana, *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae* stay the most common species found around the meningitis belt during episode circumstances and the non-pandemic circumstances too [16]. Bacterial Meningitis is a general wellbeing trouble in Ghana and can cause mortality up to 10% of the impacted people yearly. Around 20% of the people who endure the infection experience super durable sequelae [17]. The review pointed toward giving quantitative evaluation of the prescient signs and symptoms of bacterial meningitis in Ghana and to give results that is summed up over the entire Ghanaian populace.

Method

Study Design: This was a retrospective, cross-sectional study conducted using a consolidated database from the Disease Control and Surveillance Unit and the Zonal Public Health Laboratory, Tamale. The independent variables were signs and symptoms of the patient

Study Setting: The study data covered all the districts and regions in Ghana. The study was carried out at the Zonal Public Health Laboratory, Tamale (ZPHL). ZPHL is a reference

laboratory for bacterial meningitis in Ghana and West Africa. It serves as the reference public health laboratory for the northern zone of Ghana.

Study Population: All patients who presented with signs and symptoms suggestive of bacterial meningitis per the Ghana Health Service case definition for bacterial meningitis were included in the study. All samples collected across the country are brought to the ZPHL for testing and or confirmation.

Case Definition

Clinical case definition: An illness with sudden onset of fever ($>38.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ rectal or $>38.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ axillary) and one or more of the following: neck stiffness, altered consciousness, another meningeal sign or petechial or purpureal rash (GHS, 2019). In patients less than one (1) year, suspect meningitis when fever accompanied by bulging fontanelle (GHS, 2019).

Laboratory criteria for diagnosis: Positive CSF antigen detection or Positive culture at district or facility level and confirmed by PCR test within 72 hours of disease presentation (GHS, 2019).

Case classification: Suspected case is that which meets the clinical case definition, probable case is a suspected case as defined above and turbid CSF (with or without positive Gram stain) or ongoing epidemic and epidemiological link to a confirmed case, and confirmed case is a suspected or probable case with laboratory confirmation.

Sample Size determination: No sample size was determined as all suspected cases of bacterial meningitis were included in the study from 2015-2019.

Data Collection, Entry and Analysis: Data was extracted from the case reporting forms unto a pre-designed Microsoft excel template. The data was cleaned twice and exported to *SPSS Version 25*, for analysis. Descriptive analysis was performed and presented in graphs and tables. A chi-square analysis was performed for associated signs/symptoms of bacterial meningitis while binary logistics regression model was adopted to determine the clinical signs and symptoms that are predictive of a person likely to be tested positive for bacterial meningitis using the five years retrospective data. The dependent variable remained to be the test outcomes for the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) (*Positive and Negative*).

Inclusion Criteria: All patients that fulfilled the case definition criteria for bacterial meningitis were included to the study as collated by the Disease Control and Surveillance Unit and the ZPHL.

Exclusion Criteria: All patients with inadequately filled case investigation forms, cases that were not having samples accompanying the case investigation forms and cases that had no culture and PCR results.

Ethical Consideration: Ethical clearance for the study was sought from the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology Ethical Review Board with a reference number of CHRPE/AP/469/20. Permission was also sort from the Regional Health Administration and the Zonal Public Health Laboratory with reference number of GHS/ZPHL/0014/20.

Findings and Results

A total of 2446 suspected cases were documented within the study period of which males were predominant (52.7%). The upper west and northern regions recorded the highest cases

within the study period (40.6%) (Table 1). Majority of the study population were within 15-49 (40.1%) years (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the cases recorded over the five years period.

Indicator	Case Count	Percentage
Sex		
Male	1288	52.7
Female	1158	47.3
Total	2446	100
Regions		
Northern	993	40.6
Upper East	460	18.8
Upper West	993	40.6
Total	2446	100
Age Grouping		
Under 5	442	18.1
5 – 14	673	27.5
15 - 44	981	40.1
45 - 59	182	7.4
60 +	168	6.9
Total	2446	100

Regional Distribution of Suspected Bacterial Meningitis cases

The northern and upper west regions recorded the highest and lowest suspected cases of meningitis in 2015 and 2016 respectively (Figure 1). Between 2017-2019 however, the upper west region consistently recorded more suspected cases than the other two regions (Figure 1)




Figure 1: Regional counts of suspected cases across the years under review

Trend of Confirmed Bacterial Meningitis cases from 2015 - 2019

The total case positivity within the study period was 34.4% (842/2446) with 2017 having the highest positivity rate of 35.7% (301/2446) and 2015 the lowest positivity rate of 6.9% (58/2446) (Table 2). Northern region recorded more cases than the other two regions across the study period (Table 2).

Overall, the 0-14 years age category had the highest positivity rate across the study period with the highest rates recorded in 2017 (Table 2)

Table 2: Shows the distribution of cases across the years (Rt-PCR Outcomes)

<i>PCR Outcomes</i>	<i>2015</i>	<i>2016</i>	<i>2017</i>	<i>2018</i>	<i>2019</i>	<i>Total</i>
---------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------

<i>Outcomes of suspected cases</i>						
<i>Negative</i>	155	95	488	385	481	1604
<i>% of N</i>	72.8	38.6	61.9	69.1	75.0	65.6
<i>Positive</i>	58	151	301	172	160	842
<i>% of N</i>	27.2	61.4	38.1	30.9	25.0	34.4
Total	213	246	789	557	641	2446
<i>Regional distribution of confirmed cases</i>						
<i>Northern</i>	49	88	142	95	53	427
<i>% of NOR</i>	84.5	58.3	47.2	55.2	33.1	50.7
<i>Upper East</i>	7	27	61	31	51	177
<i>% of UER</i>	12.1	17.9	20.3	18.0	31.9	21.0
<i>Upper West</i>	2	36	98	46	56	238
<i>% of UWR</i>	3.4	23.8	32.6	26.7	35.0	28.3
Total	58	151	301	172	160	842
<i>Age Category of confirmed cases</i>						
<i>0 – 14</i>	31	76	168	89	67	433
<i>% of 0-14</i>	53.4	50.3	55.8	51.7	41.9	51.4
<i>15 – 44</i>	21	51	97	66	71	306
<i>% of 15-44</i>	36.2	33.8	32.2	38.4	44.4	36.3
<i>45 – 59</i>	4	8	14	10	10	46
<i>% of 45-59</i>	6.9	5.3	4.7	5.7	6.3	5.5
<i>60+</i>	2	4	22	7	12	45
<i>% of 60+</i>	3.4	2.6	7.3	4.1	7.5	5.3
Total	58	151	301	172	160	842

Signs and symptoms associated with bacterial meningitis

Fever (72.9%), neck stiffness (69.3%), and headache (56.6%) were the most reported signs and symptoms. Bulging fontanelle (5.6%), breathing difficulties (6.5%), abdominal pains (8.1%), back pain (7.4%) and waist pains (5.3%) were also reported (Table 3).

Bacterial meningitis was confirmed for majority of the Fever (72.6%), neck stiffness (67.6%), and headache (60.1%) signs and symptoms (Table 3).

Table 3: Shows the Signs and Symptoms presented by patients across the five years period

Signs and Symptoms	Present sign (N=2446)		Presence of BM (N=842)		Test of Association	
	frequency	%	frequency	%	χ^2	Sig.
Fever	1783	72.9	611	72.6	5.738 ^a	.018
Neck stiffness	1695	69.3	569	67.6	6.447 ^a	.011
Headache	1384	56.6	506	60.1	6.450 ^a	.012
Bulging Fontanelle	137	5.6	40	4.8	1.756 ^a	.196
Convulsion	538	22	223	26.5	15.084 ^a	.000
Altered Consciousness	526	21.5	219	26.0	15.438 ^a	.000
Breathing Difficulty	158	6.5	53	6.3	0.058 ^a	.863
Abdominal Pains	197	8.1	90	10.7	12.038 ^a	.001
Diarrhoea	300	12.3	114	13.5	1.938 ^a	.173
Dizziness	264	10.8	84	10.0	0.890 ^a	.373
Vomiting	327	13.4	125	14.8	2.418 ^a	.133
Waist Pains	130	5.3	53	6.3	2.449 ^a	.129
Loss of Appetite	247	10.1	73	8.7	2.885 ^a	.091
Back Pain	181	7.4	78	9.3	6.509 ^a	.012
Cough	254	10.4	90	10.7	.128 ^a	.728
Kennings Signs	272	11.1	113	13.4	6.874 ^a	.010
Photophobia	268	11	83	9.9	1.590 ^a	.220

Signs and symptoms predictive of bacterial meningitis

Fever ($p=0.041$), neck stiffness ($p=0.026$), convulsion ($p=0.007$), abdominal pain ($p=0.043$), and altered consciousness ($p=0.000$) were associated with bacterial meningitis while others such as bulging fontanelle ($p=0.350$), headache ($p=0.098$), breathing difficulty ($p=0.950$) and diarrhoea ($p=0.388$) were not predictive of bacterial meningitis (Table 4).

Table 4: Shows the Binary Logistics Model summary for COR and AOR in the equation

Variables in the Equation	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	COR(B)	AOR(B)	95% C.I. for EXP(B)	
								Lower	Upper

Fever	.193	.099	3.792	1	.041	1.213	1.303	1.009	1.474
Neck Stiffness	-.218	.098	4.928	1	.026	.804	.788	.663	.975
Headache	-.152	.092	2.739	1	.098	.859	.803	.717	1.028
Bulging Fontanelle	.198	.212	.873	1	.350	1.219	1.291	.804	1.848
Convulsion	-.291	.108	7.297	1	.007	.748	.678	.605	.923
Altered Consciousness	-.416	.109	14.618	1	.000	.660	.673	.533	.817
Breathing Difficulty	-.012	.188	.004	1	.950	.988	1.043	.684	1.427
Abdominal Pains	-.339	.168	4.091	1	.043	.713	.597	.513	.990
Diarrhoea	-.122	.141	.747	1	.388	.885	.838	.672	1.167
Dizziness	.170	.154	1.211	1	.271	1.185	1.141	.876	1.604
Vomiting	.023	.134	.029	1	.865	1.023	.826	.787	1.331
Waist Pains	-.333	.195	2.925	1	.087	.717	.751	.489	1.050
Loss of Appetite	.170	.162	1.103	1	.294	1.185	1.282	.863	1.627
Back Pain	-.199	.167	1.417	1	.234	.819	.672	.590	1.137
Cough	.032	.155	.041	1	.839	1.032	.952	.761	1.399
Kenigns Signs	-.186	.143	1.682	1	.195	.830	.710	.627	1.100
Photophobia	.254	.158	2.573	1	.109	1.289	1.192	.945	1.759
Constant	.302	.383	.621	1	.431	1.352	.525		

Source: Field data, 2020.

Discussion

The burden of bacterial meningitis is disproportionately distributed by place, time, and age but nearly equally distributed by sex. Within the study period, a total of two thousand, four hundred and forty-six (2446) cases were recorded and tested by both culture and Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (Rt-PCR) methods for pathogens responsible for bacterial meningitis. The trends of the suspected cases increased over the years with 2015 recording 8.6% of the cases to 25.9% in 2019. The results further revealed that 52.7% of the suspected cases were males. In addition, the Rt-PCR testing confirmed 34.4% of the suspected cases as bacterial meningitis. It further showed that, 55.3% of the confirmed bacterial meningitis cases were males while 44.7% were females. It was worth noting that 2017 had the highest total case positivity rates of 30.7%, while 2015 had the least total case positivity rate of 8.6%. This

finding clearly shows that males in all instances have higher rates compared to females and this could be as a result of population dynamics of the respective regions under the study where males to females ratio is estimated at approximately 93% (Ghana Statistical Service, 2014). Also, it could be as a result of stronger immune system in women than men against viruses and bacteria infections (Chiaroni-Clarke et al., 2016). This finding is discordant with a similar study conducted by Kwambana-Adams et al., (2016) on pneumococcal meningitis outbreak and its associated factors in six districts of Brong Ahafo region, Ghana (Kwambana-Adams et al., 2016). The researchers documented that 55.9% of the confirmed cases were females compared to 44.1% males. This disparity could be due to the study period within which both studies were conducted. Whilst our study span a five-year period (2015-2019), the other was done within a year (2015-2016).

Geographical distribution of confirmed cases across the five-year period denoted a general increase in confirmed cases of bacterial meningitis. The study revealed that over the five-year period, the Northern and Upper West regions reported the highest number of suspected cases (993; 40.6%) respectively while Upper East had the least suspected cases with 466 (18.8%). Further analysis reveals that, out of these suspected cases across the three regions, the northern region had 50.7% of confirmed cases of bacterial meningitis compared to the Upper East region which recorded a positivity rate of 21.0%. The UWR also recorded significant positivity rate of 28.3%. These findings are consistent with a study done by Codjoe & Nabies, (2014). The authors shared that the suspected and confirmed case of bacterial meningitis were highest in Northern region and Upper West regions within the meningitis belt in Ghana (Codjoe, S. N. A., & Nabie, 2014).

Meningitis cases was higher among younger age groups and adults below 44 years. This could be due to the increased likelihood of these groups of people participating in activities within overcrowded places such as schools, markets and other workplaces as well as type of

settlement. The finding is in line with Amadu et al., 2019 who had similar outcomes and trends in the demographic features of the cases (Amidu et al., 2019). The most suspected and confirmed cases of the bacterial meningitis in these regions remains among the 15 – 44 years age group with a total of 981 cases (40.1%). The confirmation of suspected cases by Rt-PCR denoted that child within the 0-14 age bracket had a total of 52.4% positivity rate compared to adults within the 15-60 age group that had a positivity rate of 41.8% (Amidu et al., 2019). These outcomes might be as a result of the vulnerability of children to infectious diseases of which bacterial meningitis is not an exception. This supports the argument that children younger than 15 years of age accounts for majority of all infections across the world (Conen, A., Walti, L. N., Merlo, A., Fluckiger, U., Battegay, M. & Trampuz, 2008; Falade, A. G., Lagunju, I. A., Bakare, R. A., Odekanmi, A. A. & Adegbola, 2009; Franco-Paredes, C., Lammoglia, L., Hernandez, I. & SantosPreciado, 2008; Saez-Llorens, X. & McCracken, 2003; World Health Organization, 2005b). Our finding is also consistent with that of Nyarko (2016) who identified that 77.3% (761/980) of the confirmed meningitis cases were among children below the ages of 30 years in the Upper West region (Nyarko et al., 2016).

In 2016 a total of 61.4% of the suspected cases were positive for bacterial meningitis compared to the other years. The number of confirmed positive cases over the period denoted an increased pattern from 2015 with 6.9% of the total positives to 35.7% in 2018. This pattern dropped sharply in 2019 to 19.0% indicating a significant decline in cases over the one-year period. This patterns and trends seen over the period is in congruent with a study conducted on the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) surveillance data on bacterial meningitis from 1998 to 2003, where there was a significant reduction in the incidence of cases of bacterial meningitis cases (Thigpen, M. C., Whitney, C. G., Messonnier, N. E., Zell, E. R., Lynfield, R., Hadler, J. L. et al., 2011).

The common signs and symptoms, fever (72.9%), neck stiffness (69.3%), and headache (56.6%) were associated with bacterial meningitis. Other significant signs and symptoms identified were convulsion (22.0%), altered consciousness (21.5%), abdominal pain (8.1%) and back pain (7.4%). Binary logistics regression of the signs and symptoms revealed that fever ($p=0.041$; AOR=1.303), neck stiffness ($p=0.026$; AOR=0.788), convulsion ($p=0.007$; AOR=0.678), altered consciousness ($p=0.00$; AOR=0.673), abdominal pain ($p=0.043$; AOR=0.597) and back pain ($p=AOR=0.672$), were associated with bacterial meningitis. This aligns with an earlier publication by the CDC in 2012 that fever, headache, stiff neck, nausea, vomiting, photophobia, altered mental status remain as the major signs and symptoms of bacterial meningitis (CDC, 2012).

This study found that fever is likely to be caused by bacterial meningitis than any other signs and symptoms presented by cases (AOR=1.303; C.I =1.009-1.474). The other associated signs and symptoms were negatively associated with bacterial meningitis. This clearly indicates that fever is the only strong factor associated with bacterial meningitis within the study period and location. This outcome could be as a result of the high temperatures experience by the three northern zones of Ghana which exposes the people to the extreme heat.

Conclusions and Recommendation

Bacterial meningitis continues to be an important cause of morbidity and mortality throughout the world, with differential risk among gender, age and geographic location. There is an increase in the rates of the disease pathogen over the period of the study. Children aged 0 -14 years, males and northern region are the most affected. Fever, neck stiffness, convulsion, altered consciousness abdominal pains, backpain and kennings signs are general

signs associated with bacterial meningitis with fever been the main predictive sign and symptom for bacterial meningitis.

We recommended that peripheral health facilities should be keen in the identification of predictive signs and symptoms with particular attention to fever. This study could also inform Ghana Health Service in the review of protocols stipulated for managing suspicion levels of clinicians in managing cases of bacterial meningitis.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank the leadership of Ghana Health Service, Public Health Division and the Disease Surveillance Unit for their support and guidance. We also want to thank the leadership of the ZPHL and the rest for the immense support given to us through the period of data collection and processing.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors of the research contributed in diverse areas with specific roles and responsible as denoted;

^{1*} Enoch Weikem Weyori, contributed in document management and write up

² Nkrumah Bernard, contributed in document review

³ Abass Abdul-Karim contributed in document review

⁴ John Bertson Eleeza contributed in document review

⁵ Braimah Baba Abubakari contributed in document review

⁶ Hilarius Asiwome Kosi Abiwu contributed in document review

⁷ Kuugbee Dogkotenge Eugene contributed in document review

⁸ Adadow Yidaana contributed in document review

⁹ Shamsu-Deen Ziblim contributed in document review

¹⁰ Benjamin Nuertey contributed in literature review

¹¹ Benjamin Asubam Weyori contributed in data management

¹² Etowi Boye Yakubu contributed in data management and analysis

¹³ Stebleson Azure contributed in laboratory testing and results management

¹⁴ Valentine Koyiri Cheba contributed in laboratory testing and results management

¹⁵Adatsi Richard Kojo contributed in laboratory testing and results management

Authors

- ^{1*}Enoch Weikem Weyori (eweyori@gmail.com) – Corresponding author
²Nkrumah Bernard (vyu4@cdc.gov) – Co-author
³Abass Abdul-Karim (abass.komei@yahoo.com) – Co-author
⁴John Bertson Eleeza (jeleeza@yahoo.co.uk) – Co-author
⁵Braimah Baba Abubakari (braimahbaba@gmail.com) – Co-author
⁶Hilarius Asiwome Kosi Abiwu (dr.abiwuhak@gmail.com) – Co-author
⁷Kuugbee Dogkotenge Eugene (kuugbee@yahoo.com) – Co-author
⁸Adadow Yidaana (adadowy@yahoo.com) – Co-author
⁹Shamsu-Deen Ziblim (zshamsu72@gmail.com) – Co-author
¹⁰Benjamin Nuertey (ben.nuertey@gmail.com) – Co-author
¹¹Benjamin Asubam Weyori (benjamin.weyori@uenr.edu.gh) – Co-author
¹²Etowi Boye Yakubu (byetoss@gmail.com) – Co-author
¹³Stebleson Azure (azurestebleson@gmail.com) – Co-author
¹⁴Valentine Koyiri Cheba (vkoyiri@gmail.com) – Co-author
¹⁵Adatsi Richard Kojo (kujosweat@gmail.com) – Co-author

Author details

Zonal Public Health Laboratory, Ghana Health Service¹, Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Ghana², Zonal Public Health Laboratory, Ghana Health Service³, Northern Regional Health Directorate, Ghana Health Service⁴, Northern Regional Health Directorate, Ghana Health Service⁵, Northern Regional Health Directorate, Ghana Health Service⁶, Department of Clinical Microbiology, University for Development Studies⁷, School Public Health, University for Development Studies⁸, Directorate of International Relations and Advancement, University for Development Studies⁹, Public Health Unit, Tamale Teaching Hospital¹⁰, Department of Computer Science, University of Energy and Natural Resources¹¹, Northern Regional Health Directorate, Ghana Health Service¹², Zonal Public Health Laboratory, Ghana Health Service¹³, Zonal Public Health Laboratory, Ghana Health Service¹⁴

References

- Amidu, N., Antuamwine, B. B., Addai-Mensah, O., Abdul-Karim, A., Stebleson, A., Abubakari, B. B., Abenyeri, J., Opoku, A. S., Nkukah, J. E., & Najibullah, A. S. (2019). Diagnosis of bacterial meningitis in Ghana: Polymerase chain reaction versus latex agglutination methods. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210812>

- Bahr, N. C., Nuwagira, E., Evans, E. E., Cresswell, F. V., Bystrom, P. V., Byamukama, A., Bridge, S. C., Bangdiwala, A. S., Meya, D. B., Denkinge, C. M., Muzoora, C., Boulware, D. R., Williams, D. A., Taseera, K., Nyehangane, D., Ivan, M., Orikiriza, P., Rhein, J., Hullsiek, K. H., ... Rajasingham, R. (2018). Diagnostic accuracy of Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra for tuberculous meningitis in HIV-infected adults: a prospective cohort study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(17\)30474-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30474-7)
- Bianchi, L., Marietti, R., Hansel, K., Tramontana, M., Patruno, C., Napolitano, M., & Stingeni, L. (2020). Drug-induced aseptic meningitis to ibuprofen: The first case confirmed by positive patch test. *Contact Dermatitis*, 82(6), 405–406. <https://doi.org/10.1111/COD.13487>
- CDC. (2012). Epidemiology and Prevention of Vaccine-Preventable Diseases. In *Public Health Foundation: Vol. b*.
- CDC. (2019). *Meningitis* / CDC. <https://www.cdc.gov/meningitis/index.html>
- Chiaroni-Clarke, R. C., Munro, J. E., & Ellis, J. A. (2016). Sex bias in paediatric autoimmune disease - Not just about sex hormones? In *Journal of Autoimmunity* (Vol. 69, pp. 12–23). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2016.02.011>
- Codjoe, S. N. A., & Nabie, V. A. (2014). Climate change and cerebrospinal meningitis in the Ghanaian meningitis belt. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(7), 6923–39. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110706923>
- Conen, A., Walti, L. N., Merlo, A., Fluckiger, U., Battegay, M. & Trampuz, A. (2008). Characteristics and treatment outcome of cerebrospinal fluid shunt-associated infections in adults: a retrospective analysis over an year period. *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, 11-47:73–82.
- Davey, P. (2010). Infections of the central nervous system. *Medicine at a Glance 3rd Ed*, 398–406.
- Falade, A. G., Lagunju, I. A., Bakare, R. A., Odekanmi, A. A. & Adegbola, R. A. (2009).

- Invasive pneumococcal disease in children aged 5 years admitted to 3 urban hospitals in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, 48(Suppl.), S190–S196.
- Franco-Paredes, C., Lammoglia, L., Hernandez, I. & SantosPreciado, J. I. (2008). Epidemiology and outcomes of bacterial meningitis in Mexican children: 10-year experience (1993–2003). *Int. J. Infect. Dis.*, 12:380–386.
- Ghana Statistical Service. (2014). Ghana demographic and health survey, 2014. *Ghana Statistical Service*.
- Gilhus, N. E., Barnes, M. P., and M. B. et al. (2011). Management of community acquired bacterial meningitis. *European Handbook of Neurological Management, Vol. 2*.
- Kwambana-Adams, B. A., Asiedu-Bekoe, F., Sarkodie, B., Afreh, O. K., Kuma, G. K., Owusu-Okyere, G., Foster-Nyarko, E., Ohene, S. A., Okot, C., Worwui, A. K., Okoi, C., Senghore, M., Otu, J. K., Ebruke, C., Bannerman, R., Amponsa-Achiano, K., Opare, D., Kay, G., Letsa, T., ... Antonio, M. (2016). An outbreak of pneumococcal meningitis among older children (≥ 5 years) and adults after the implementation of an infant vaccination programme with the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in Ghana. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-016-1914-3>
- Marx, G. E., and E. D. C. (2011). Tuberculous Meningitis: Diagnosis and Treatment Overview. *Tuberc. Res. Treat.*
- Mustapha, M. M., & Harrison, L. H. (2018). Vaccine prevention of meningococcal disease in Africa: Major advances, remaining challenges. *Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics*, 14(5), 1107–1115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2017.1412020>
- Nyarko, K. M., Sackey, S. O., & Noora, C. (2016). *Supplement article Review of meningitis surveillance data , upper West Region , Ghana 2009-2013. October.* <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.supp.2016.25.1.6180>

- Owusu, M., Nguah, S. B., Boaitey, Y. A., Badu-Boateng, E., Abubakr, A. R., Lartey, R. A., & Adu-Sarkodie, Y. (2012). Aetiological agents of cerebrospinal meningitis: a retrospective study from a teaching hospital in Ghana. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-0711-11-28>
- Rajasingham, R., Rolfes, M., Birkenkamp, K., Meya, D., & Boulware, D. (2012). Cryptococcal meningitis treatment strategies in resource-limited settings: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *PLoS Med.*, 9(9).
- Saez-Llorens, X. & McCracken, J. R. (2003). Bacterial meningitis in children. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 361:2139–2148.
- Tacon, C. L., & Flower, O. (2012). Diagnosis and Management of Bacterial Meningitis in the Paediatric Population: A Review. *Emergency Medicine International*, 2012, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/320309>
- Thigpen, M. C., Whitney, C. G., Messonnier, N. E., Zell, E. R., Lynfield, R., Hadler, J. L., H., L. H., Farley, M. M., Reingold, A., Bennett, N. M., Craig, A. S., Schaffner, W., Thomas, A., & Lewis, M. M., Scallan, E. & Schuchat, A. (2011). *Bacterial Meningitis in the United States, 1998–2007*. New England Journal of Medicine.
- Thwaites, G., Van Toorn, R., & Schoeman, J. (2013). Tuberculous meningitis: more questions, still too few answers. *Lancet Neurol.*, 12(10):999–1010.
- Van de Beek D., De Gans J., Spanjaard L., Weisfelt M., Reitsma J. B., V. M. (2004). Clinical features and prognostic factors in adults with bacterial meningitis. *N Engl J Med*, 351: 1849-59.
- Van De Beek, D., De Gans, J., Tunkel, A. R., & Wijdicks, E. F. M. (2006). Community-acquired bacterial meningitis in adults. In *New England Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra052116>
- Wilkinson, R. J., Rohlwink, U., Misra, U. K., Van Crevel, R., Mai, N. T. H., Dooley, K. E.,

Caws, M., Figaji, A., Savic, R., Solomons, R., Thwaites, G. E., Aarnoutse, R., Van Laarhoven, A., Dian, S., Bahr, N. C., Boulware, D. R., Cronan, M. R., Tobin, D., Dunstan, S., ... Visser, D. H. (2017). Tuberculous meningitis. In *Nature Reviews Neurology*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2017.120>

World Health Organization. (2005a). Monitoring Low Birthweight: An evaluation of international estimates and updated estimation procedure. *Bull World Health Organ*, 83(3):161–240.

World Health Organization. (2005b, September 25). Bacterial Meningitis: Weekly Epidemiology Records. *WHO*, 80:313-320.

Yelehe-Okouma, M., Czmlil-Garon, J., Pape, E., Petitpain, N., & Gillet, P. (2018). Drug-induced aseptic meningitis: a mini-review. *Fundamental & Clinical Pharmacology*, 32(3), 252–260. <https://doi.org/10.1111/FCP.12349>