



23 **ABSTRACT**

24 **Objective:** Identification of patients at high risk of knee osteoarthritis (KOA) using a  
25 noninvasive method at an earlier stage of the disease is a fundamental step in preventing  
26 disease progression. Although accumulated adipose tissue (i.e., poor quality) in the quadriceps  
27 muscle is a potent predictor of disease development, the relationship between quadriceps  
28 muscle quality and structural integrity has not been fully clarified. Using an ultrasound  
29 technique for muscle quality assessment, this study aimed to determine whether poor-quality  
30 quadriceps muscle is associated with structural abnormalities in the earlier stages of KOA.

31 **Design:** This study included 49 patients (age: 44-78 years, 65.3% women) with 52 knees  
32 (Kellgren Lawrence grade 1-2). The sample size required was 46 knees. Ultrasound was used  
33 to assess the echo intensity (EI) of the vastus medialis (VM) with consideration of ultrasound  
34 wave attenuation caused by subcutaneous fat (i.e., corrected EI). Structural abnormalities were  
35 assessed using whole-organ magnetic resonance score (WORMS). A generalized linear mixed  
36 model was used to assess the relationship between corrected EI and WORMS total score.  
37 Sensitivity analysis focusing on the WORMS cartilage sub-score was conducted.

38 **Results:** Increased corrected EI in the VM was significantly associated with higher joint  
39 structural abnormalities (i.e., WORMS total score) after adjustment for covariates. Sensitivity  
40 analysis revealed a similar relationship between muscle quality and WORMS cartilage  
41 sub-score.

42 **Conclusions:** Patients with poor muscle quality in the VM displayed higher disease severity at  
43 an earlier stage of KOA. This study supports the use of ultrasound as a possible tool to identify  
44 patients at high risk of disease progression.

45

46 **Keywords**

47 Knee osteoarthritis, Quadriceps muscle quality, Echo intensity, Cartilage integrity

48

49

## 50 **Introduction**

51 Knee osteoarthritis (KOA) limits daily activities owing to the emergence of pain and functional  
52 disability<sup>1</sup>. KOA represented the 15th leading cause of disability worldwide in 2019, and the  
53 number of years lived with KOA-induced disability increased by 39% from 1990 to 2019<sup>2,3</sup>,  
54 suggesting that the impact of KOA on the society is rapidly expanding. Nevertheless, progress  
55 in developing effective interventions for KOA has been slow, with current therapeutic  
56 strategies primarily focusing on symptom management, such as pain reduction and  
57 compensatory approaches to improve physical function<sup>4</sup>. Although total knee replacement is  
58 considered an effective treatment at an advanced stage of KOA, an increase in the number of  
59 surgical operations is associated with substantial health costs and side effects<sup>5</sup>. Therefore, the  
60 development of effective disease-modifying treatments to reduce the high economic burden of  
61 KOA is a critical challenge.

62 Identification of modifiable risk factors for joint structural damage has accelerated the  
63 development of effective disease-modifying treatments. This is particularly true at an earlier  
64 stage of KOA because proper management before the occurrence of major structural damage  
65 may allow restoration of joint homeostasis<sup>6</sup>. Although limited evidence is available for  
66 modifiable risk factors in earlier stages of KOA, physical activity, obesity, and biomechanical  
67 factors, including weak muscle strength, are well-known factors associated with established  
68 KOA<sup>7</sup>. Notably, a large meta-analysis revealed that weakness of the quadriceps muscle is a  
69 common denominator associated with the development of established KOA<sup>8</sup>, which is also  
70 gradually recognized in earlier stages of KOA<sup>9</sup>. An enhanced understanding of muscle  
71 dysfunction at an earlier stage of KOA as a modifiable risk factor would help identify patients  
72 at high risk of disease progression and optimize rehabilitative therapeutics in clinical settings.

73 Low strength of the quadriceps in patients with KOA is attributed, at least in part, to loss of  
74 muscle quality, conceptualized as the capacity to generate force relative to muscle mass<sup>10</sup>. A  
75 meta-analysis showed that quadriceps intramuscular fat infiltration, a contributor to poor  
76 muscle quality, increased in patients with mild-to-moderate KOA compared to healthy older  
77 adults<sup>11</sup>. Another recent study supports this theory demonstrating that patients with mild KOA  
78 display poor quadriceps muscle quality, especially the vastus medialis (VM), compared with  
79 healthy older adults<sup>12</sup>. Accumulated non-contractile (i.e., adipose) tissue causes a decline in  
80 muscle strength<sup>13</sup> and, therefore, may lead to the initiation and/or development of KOA. Indeed,  
81 a longitudinal study reported that fat content in the VM muscle detected by magnetic resonance  
82 imaging (MRI) was associated with greater cartilage volume loss and bone marrow lesions  
83 after 2 years in patients with mild-to-moderate KOA<sup>14</sup>. However, MRI is not a convenient  
84 assessment tool for muscle quality in clinical settings. For muscle quality to be a potent  
85 therapeutic target in the clinical setting, determining the relationship between muscle quality  
86 assessed by noninvasive methods and structural abnormalities in patients with KOA, especially  
87 in the early stage of the disease, is a fundamental next step.

88 Therefore, this cross-sectional study tested the hypothesis that poor quadriceps muscle  
89 quality is associated with higher structural abnormalities in patients with early-stage KOA. In  
90 the assessment of quadriceps muscle quality, we used non-invasive, reliable, and validated  
91 ultrasound-based methods and echo intensity (EI) as an accepted index for muscle quality<sup>15</sup>.  
92 We then determined whether EI of the quadriceps muscle is associated with structural  
93 abnormalities identified on MRI, a method that is sensitive to monitoring structural changes,  
94 compared to commonly used radiographic assessment<sup>16</sup>. We used a semi-quantitative method  
95 for the assessment of MRI findings and compared these with whole-organ changes and  
96 subcategories. Because ultrasound-based muscle quality assessment is easy to use and is less

97 influenced by knee pain severity<sup>17</sup>, the findings of this study would support the use of  
98 ultrasound as a powerful tool to identify patients at high risk of disease development and  
99 provide a framework for future interventional studies.

100

## 101 **Methods**

### 102 *Subjects*

103 Patients with KOA were recruited from the outpatient department of the Matsuda Orthopedic  
104 Clinic in Japan, in 2021. All the recruited participants had a history of pain in one or both knees.  
105 All patients underwent lower extremity radiography and MRI. Presence of KOA was assessed  
106 by an experienced orthopedic surgeon using the Kellgren and Lawrence grading scale (KL  
107 grade)<sup>18</sup>. The eligibility criteria were as follows: (1) mild KOA with KL grade 1-2; (2) no  
108 osteonecrosis of the medial femoral condyle; (3) no history of surgery to the extremities; (4)  
109 ability to walk without an assistive device; (5) no cognitive dysfunction; (6) no neuromuscular  
110 disease; and (7) no trauma, all of which were assessed based on information in medical records.  
111 Because radiography and MRI were available only for painful knee(s), this study could not  
112 consider bilateral knee OA cases separately from unilateral cases. There was no limit for the  
113 time interval between the diagnosis of these disease conditions and study recruitment. This  
114 study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Corporation Nagomi (No.  
115 20210427). All the participants were required to provide signed informed consent.

116

### 117 *Measurements*

118 Ultrasound images and MRIs were obtained at the knee joint of the symptomatic side, such as  
119 pain or functional limitations in daily activity. Ultrasound-based muscle quality and  
120 MRI-based structural alterations were evaluated as the outcome variables. Demographic  
121 characteristics, ultrasound-based muscle thickness (MT), and self-reported measures of knee  
122 pain and disability were also assessed as participant characteristics and/or covariates.

123

#### 124 *Ultrasound imaging of VM muscle*

125 Ultrasound images of the painful knee(s) were acquired using a B-mode imaging device  
126 (SNiBLE, Konica Minolta Ltd., Japan) equipped using an 8-MHz linear-array probe. Owing to  
127 the lack of evidence for the specific ultrasound parameters for the assessment of muscle quality  
128 in VM, ultrasound settings were optimized to visually discriminate the fascia of the VM from  
129 the muscle fiber or other surrounding tissues (frequency: 9 MHz, gain: 25 dB, dynamic range:  
130 60). The ultrasound settings were kept consistent across all participants. The images were taken  
131 in the supine position with the arms and legs relaxed (**Figure 1A**). The VM was determined at  
132 the medial side 30% distal between the great trochanter and lateral epicondyle<sup>19</sup>. The head of  
133 the probe was maintained perpendicular to the muscles examined. A large amount of  
134 water-soluble transmission gel (PROJELLY, Jex Inc., Japan) was applied to the skin to  
135 enhance acoustic coupling. All ultrasound imaging was performed by a well-trained  
136 investigator (AH) with an excellent intraclass correlation coefficient ( $ICC_{1,1}$ : 0.948, 95%  
137 confidence interval [CI]: 0.912–0.970). The captured ultrasound images were used for the  
138 assessment of EI using ImageJ software (version 1.53, National Institutes of Health, USA)<sup>20</sup>.  
139 Regions of interest were selected at depths of 1.0-4.0 cm. The EI of the analysis region was  
140 represented using grayscale values from 0 (black) to 255 (white), with a higher mean pixel  
141 intensity value indicating non-lean tissue and, thus, poor muscle quality. To account for the

142 effect of subcutaneous fat thickness on echo measurements, a correction factor was applied to  
143 echo measurements (Equation 1)<sup>21</sup> as recommended in several studies<sup>22,23</sup>. The formula for the  
144 corrected EI was as follows:

$$145 \quad y_2 = y_1 + (x * cf) \quad (1)$$

146  $y_2$ , corrected echo intensity;  $y_1$ , raw echo intensity;  $x$ , subcutaneous fat thickness;  $cf$ , correction  
147 factor of 40.5278.

148 Subcutaneous fat thickness was measured from the superior border of the superficial  
149 aponeurosis to the inferior border of the dermis layer, per the established protocol validated by  
150 MRI and computed tomography<sup>21,24</sup>. A higher EI indicates increased adipose tissue and water  
151 content within the muscle. In addition, as a covariate, MT (cm) was measured from the cortex  
152 of the femur to the superior border of the superficial aponeurosis of the VM muscle<sup>25</sup>. The  
153 image analysis procedure for EI, subcutaneous fat thickness, and MT is illustrated in **Figure**  
154 **1B**.

155

### 156 *MRI for structural abnormalities in the knee joint*

157 Structural abnormalities in the knee joint were identified using MRI (1.5 Tesla  
158 whole-body MR system, Canon Medical Systems, Japan). Images were obtained as sagittal  
159 proton-density-weighted images (3600 msec TR (Repetition Time), 30 msec TE (Echo Time),  
160 256×384 matrix, 3.5 mm slice thickness, 0.3 mm inter-slice gap, 180 mm×180 mm field of  
161 view, TSE fact (Turbo Spin Echo fact): 15, scan time: 3 min, frequency encoding:  
162 anterior-posterior). We used a semi-quantitative scoring method of the whole-organ magnetic  
163 resonance imaging score (WORMS)<sup>26</sup>, which includes the following categories: (1) cartilage  
164 signal and morphology, (2) subarticular bone marrow abnormality, (3) subarticular cysts, (4)

165 subarticular bone attrition, (5) marginal osteophytes, (6) medial and lateral meniscal integrity,  
166 (7) anterior and posterior cruciate ligament integrity, (8) medial and lateral collateral ligament  
167 integrity, (9) synovitis, loose bodies, and (10) periarticular cysts/bursae. The assessment was  
168 performed by the same investigator (AH) who had undergone three weeks of formal training by  
169 an experienced orthopedic surgeon and radiologist before the study. The intraclass correlation  
170 coefficient was good (ICC<sub>1,1</sub>: 0.849, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.752, 0.910).

171

### 172 *Participant characteristics and covariates*

173 Data on age, sex, and height were self-reported by participants. Body mass was measured  
174 on a digital scale with the participants dressed but not wearing shoes. Body mass index (BMI)  
175 was calculated by dividing body mass (in kg) by height (in m<sup>2</sup>). An image in the  
176 anteroposterior view in the weight-bearing position was obtained for all subjects. We assessed  
177 the femur-tibia angle (FTA) as a measure of the anatomical axis using anteroposterior  
178 radiography in the weight-bearing position (higher values indicate more varus alignment). The  
179 center of the FTA was defined from three points: a point at the base of the tibial spines, at  
180 bisecting the femur and tibia, originating 10 cm from the knee joint surface<sup>27</sup>. In consideration  
181 of sex differences in knee alignment, corrected FTA was used for analysis by the addition of  
182 3.5° for men and 6.4° for men, respectively<sup>27</sup>. All subjects completed the Knee Injury and  
183 Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)<sup>28</sup>. The KOOS has the following subcategories: pain,  
184 symptoms, activities of daily living (ADL), sport and recreation function, and knee-related  
185 quality of life, with higher scores (0-100) representing better function. This study used the  
186 KOOS pain and ADL subscales for descriptive analysis.

187

188 *Statistical analysis*

189 The sample size was calculated on the basis of the pilot data of patients with KOA (n = 20)  
190 to detect a significant relationship between WORMS and EI and corrected EI of the VM  
191 muscle in a linear regression analysis using Power and Sample Size Program, version 3.1.6  
192 (Vanderbilt University Medical Center, USA)<sup>29</sup>. Earlier data indicated that the standard  
193 deviations of the WORMS and EI were 9.415 and 17.575, respectively, with a slope estimate of  
194 0.209 obtained when WORMS was regressed against EI. If the true slope of the line obtained  
195 by regressing WORMS against EI is 0.209, then we will need to study 46 subjects to be able to  
196 reject the null hypothesis that this slope equals zero with a probability (power) of 0.8. The Type  
197 I error probability associated with this test of null hypothesis was 0.05. In the case of  
198 calculation for corrected EI, the same approach identified that 38 subjects were needed to  
199 detect the significant relationship between corrected EI and the WORMS. After considering  
200 the potential 10% dropout rate due to the exclusion criteria and missing data, the required  
201 sample size of this study was at least 51 participants.

202 To account for the similarity between the right and left knees, a generalized linear mixed  
203 model, with WORMS total score as fixed effects and patient ID as random-effect intercept and  
204 slope, was used to assess the relationship between WORMS (continuous variable), EI of VM  
205 (continuous variable), or corrected EI of VM (continuous variable). Age (continuous variable)  
206 and MT (continuous variable) were included as covariates. These covariates were chosen *a*  
207 *priori* based on clinical judgment and their potential correlation with joint structural  
208 abnormalities and muscle quality<sup>30, 31</sup>. Because the WORMS total score includes structural  
209 abnormalities of all joint tissues, we performed sensitivity analysis focusing on the WORMS  
210 cartilage score. In this sensitivity analysis, we repeated the generalized linear mixed model  
211 described above.

212 All statistical analyses were performed using EZR, version 1.54 (Saitama Medical Center,  
213 Jichi Medical University, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R, version 4.0.3 (The R  
214 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria), a modified version of R commander designed  
215 to add statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics. Statistical significance was set at  $P <$   
216 0.05.

217

## 218 **Results**

219 A total of 51 people (54 knees) were recruited; two individuals whose knee was diagnosed  
220 as KL3 were excluded. Thus, 49 individuals (age: 44-78 years, 65.3% women) with 52 knees  
221 were finally included in the analysis. **Table 1** summarizes the participants' characteristics. Of  
222 the 49 participants with mild KOA, 30 (61%) had a normal BMI ( $\text{BMI} < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ )<sup>26</sup>. Of the 52  
223 knees, 39 (75%) had varus alignment (corrected FTA  $> 181^\circ$ ), 12 (23%) had neutral alignment  
224 ( $178^\circ < \text{corrected FTA} < 181^\circ$ ), and 1 (1%) had valgus alignment (corrected FTA  $< 178^\circ$ )<sup>32</sup>.

225

### 226 *Patients with poor quadriceps muscle quality display disrupted cartilage integrity*

227 **Table 2** shows the median WORMS and quartiles for each subcategory. Among the 52  
228 knees, 52 (100%) had cartilage defects, 51 (98%) had meniscal tears, and 21 (40%) had bone  
229 mallow lesions in the WORMS subcategories. Among these subcategories, the cartilage score  
230 in the medial compartment of the tibiofemoral joint was the worst. Ultrasound and MRI  
231 assessments revealed inter-subject variability in quadriceps muscle quality and structural  
232 abnormalities of the cartilage (**Figure 2**). We sought to determine whether patients with poor  
233 quadriceps muscle quality display more severe structural abnormalities. As expected, the

234 generalized linear mixed model revealed that the poor muscle quality of the VM, assessed by  
235 EI, was associated with higher joint structural abnormalities after adjustment for age and MT  
236 (**Table 3**). Consideration of the effect of subcutaneous fat thickness on EI (i.e., corrected EI)  
237 further strengthened the relationship with higher joint structural abnormalities after adjustment  
238 for age and MT (**Table 3**). A graphical illustration of the positive relationship between  
239 EI/corrected EI and WORMS is shown in **Figure 3**.

240 Because joint structural abnormalities were predominantly confirmed in the articular  
241 cartilage (**Table 2**), we performed sensitivity analysis focusing on the WORMS cartilage score  
242 in the total joint and medial tibiofemoral joint as an outcome variable. The results revealed that  
243 a higher corrected EI was associated with more cartilage abnormalities (**Table 4**). This  
244 relationship was more significant for the medial tibiofemoral joint (**Table 4**). Collectively,  
245 these findings indicate that patients with poor VM muscle quality demonstrate disrupted  
246 cartilage integrity in the medial compartment of the knee.

247

## 248 **Discussion**

249 While studies have shown that patients with KOA display poorer muscle quality compared  
250 with healthy adults<sup>31, 33, 34</sup>, the relationship between poor muscle quality and structural integrity  
251 in patients with earlier stages of KOA has not been adequately addressed. Using an ultrasound  
252 technique integrated with MRI-based structural assessment, this study tested the hypothesis  
253 that poor quadriceps muscle quality is associated with higher structural abnormalities in 49  
254 patients with early stages of KOA. As expected, we found that patients with poor VM muscle  
255 quality demonstrated significantly higher structural abnormalities in the knee joint, even after  
256 adjustment for age and MT. Subsequent sensitivity analysis identified the same relationship  
257 when we focused on structural abnormalities in the articular cartilage at the medial

258 tibiofemoral joint, a lesion commonly observed in medial KOA. **Figure 4** shows a graphical  
259 abstract. Notably, in these analyses, consideration of subcutaneous fat enhanced the predictive  
260 ability for structural integrity. Taken together, poor muscle quality in the VM assessed using  
261 ultrasound may be a denominator of disrupted cartilage integrity in earlier KOA.

262

### 263 *Practical implications and future research directions*

264 Consistent with previous reports<sup>35, 36</sup>, in this study, structural abnormalities were  
265 identified in a wide range of joint tissues such as cartilage and meniscus. MRI-detected  
266 structural changes (i.e., osteophytes, meniscal damage, bone marrow lesions, and/or synovitis)  
267 may represent early disease and a significant predictor of the onset or development of KOA<sup>37</sup>.  
268 Among the MRI-detected structural changes, articular cartilage is the main tissue involved in  
269 the OA process<sup>38</sup>. Cartilage changes occur as early events that are coupled to bone alterations  
270 through mechanical or soluble factors<sup>39</sup>. The Framingham cohort showed that WORMS, as a  
271 semi-quantitative measurement, was sensitive to focal erosive cartilage lesions that might not  
272 be detected using quantitative measurement of cartilage volume and cartilage thickness over  
273 broad areas<sup>40</sup>. These reports, including our study, indicate that the semi-quantitative method  
274 with MRI has an advantage for the assessment of KOA at an early stage compared with  
275 whole-organ changes and subcategories.

276 A recent meta-analysis and cross-sectional study showed that people with KOA have  
277 poorer muscle quality than healthy control subjects<sup>11, 12</sup>. Our results support these studies and  
278 expand to earlier stages of KOA. In addition, a longitudinal study using MRI showed that VM  
279 fat content is a strong predictor of cartilage volume loss and the occurrence and progression of  
280 bone marrow lesion<sup>14</sup>. In the current study, we adopted echo intensity with ultrasound to  
281 evaluate muscle quality using a noninvasive method; it could lessen the physical burden and

282 lower the bar to muscle quality assessment for the participants. Ultrasound is more useful and  
283 easily accessible than MRI for many clinical sites. It is possible that evaluating changes in  
284 muscle quality with ultrasound will allow early detection of signs of incident KOA. This study  
285 targeted mild KOA, and muscle quality assessed by ultrasound imaging might be a modifiable  
286 risk factor for KOA at an early stage. Thus, VM muscle quality could be helpful in identifying  
287 patients at risk of KOA progression. To implement effective early interventions for patients  
288 with KOA, comparing the time-dependent changes in muscle quality and cartilage integrity is  
289 an interesting direction for future studies.

290

### 291 *Limitations and strengths*

292 Although this study provides a new perspective on the potential modifiable risk factors for  
293 KOA, it has limitations. This study did not consider the physical activity level as a potential  
294 confounder<sup>41, 42</sup>. In addition, the cross-sectional nature of the study design limited our analyses;  
295 therefore, the causal relationship between poor muscle quality and structural integrity is  
296 unclear. Nevertheless, this study has several strengths. First, we applied subcutaneous fat  
297 correction to ultrasound-based muscle quality assessment as recommended<sup>22, 23</sup>. This  
298 correction could overcome the low resolution of deep muscle<sup>43</sup>, which allows the evaluation of  
299 the relationship between poor muscle quality and structural integrity. It should be highlighted  
300 that consideration of subcutaneous fat enhanced the predictive ability of ultrasound-based  
301 signal intensity for structural integrity. Second, this study used MRI to assess multiple-tissue  
302 OA pathology, which allowed the assessment of the relationship between quadriceps muscle  
303 quality and structural integrity stratified by tissue type, such as cartilage and subchondral bone.  
304 Finally, this study analyzed patients with symptomatic mild KOA. Focusing on patients with  
305 symptomatic mild KOA provides an enhanced understanding of patients at high risk of the

306 development of clinically presenting KOA. Our study could be a fundamental step for future  
307 longitudinal studies to clarify the role of muscle quality in early KOA pathogenesis.

308

### 309 **Contributors**

310 (1) AH and HI were the main contributors to the conception and design of the study; AH, TI,  
311 and YM were the main contributors to the acquisition of data; AH and HI contributed to the  
312 analysis and interpretation of data.

313 (2) AH and HI drafted the manuscript, and all authors have been revising it critically for  
314 important intellectual content.

315 (3) All authors have provided final approval for the version to be submitted.

316

### 317 **Competing interests**

318 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

319

### 320 **Acknowledgments**

321 We thank the members of the Physical Therapy and Radiology Department of Matsuda  
322 Orthopedic Clinic for extending support in data collection and Editage ([www.editage.jp](http://www.editage.jp)) for  
323 English language editing.

324

### 325 **References**

- 326 1. Guccione AA, Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Anthony JM, Zhang Y, Wilson PW, et al. The effects  
327 of specific medical conditions on the functional limitations of elders in the Framingham Study.  
328 American journal of public health 1994; 84: 351-358.
- 329 2. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019  
330 (GBD 2019) results. <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool>, [Accessed 15 January 2022 ].
- 331 3. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019  
332 (GBD 2019) results. Osteoarthritis —level 3 cause.

- 333 [http://www.healthdata.org/results/gbd\\_summaries/2019/osteoarthritis-level-3-cause](http://www.healthdata.org/results/gbd_summaries/2019/osteoarthritis-level-3-cause).  
334 [Accessed 20 January 2022 ]
- 335 4. McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, Arden NK, Berenbaum F, Bierma-Zeinstra SM,  
336 et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis*  
337 *Cartilage* 2014; 22: 363-388.
- 338 5. Bannuru RR, Osani MC, Vaysbrot EE, Arden NK, Bennell K, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, et al.  
339 OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular  
340 osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2019; 27: 1578-1589.
- 341 6. Bruyere O, Cooper C, Arden N, Branco J, Brandi ML, Herrero-Beaumont G, et al. Can we  
342 identify patients with high risk of osteoarthritis progression who will respond to treatment? A  
343 focus on epidemiology and phenotype of osteoarthritis. *Drugs & aging* 2015; 32: 179-187.
- 344 7. Georgiev T, Angelov AK. Modifiable risk factors in knee osteoarthritis: treatment  
345 implications. *Rheumatol Int* 2019; 39: 1145-1157.
- 346 8. Øiestad BE, Juhl CB, Eitzen I, Thorlund JB. Knee extensor muscle weakness is a risk factor  
347 for development of knee osteoarthritis. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis*  
348 *Cartilage* 2015; 23: 171-177.
- 349 9. Baert IA, Jonkers I, Staes F, Luyten FP, Truijten S, Verschueren SM. Gait characteristics and  
350 lower limb muscle strength in women with early and established knee osteoarthritis. *Clin*  
351 *Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2013; 28: 40-47.
- 352 10. Barbat-Artigas S, Rolland Y, Zamboni M, Aubertin-Leheudre M. How to assess functional  
353 status: a new muscle quality index. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2012; 16: 67-77.
- 354 11. Pedroso MG, de Almeida AC, Aily JB, de Noronha M, Mattiello SM. Fatty infiltration in the  
355 thigh muscles in knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatology*  
356 *international* 2019; 39: 627-635.
- 357 12. Taniguchi M, Fukumoto Y, Kobayashi M, Kawasaki T, Maegawa S, Ibuki S, et al. Quantity  
358 and Quality of the Lower Extremity Muscles in Women with Knee Osteoarthritis. *Ultrasound*  
359 *Med Biol* 2015; 41: 2567-2574.
- 360 13. Goodpaster BH, Carlson CL, Visser M, Kelley DE, Scherzinger A, Harris TB, et al.  
361 Attenuation of skeletal muscle and strength in the elderly: The Health ABC Study. *J Appl*  
362 *Physiol (1985)* 2001; 90: 2157-2165.
- 363 14. Raynauld JP, Pelletier JP, Roubille C, Dorais M, Abram F, Li W, et al. Magnetic Resonance  
364 Imaging-Assessed Vastus Medialis Muscle Fat Content and Risk for Knee Osteoarthritis  
365 Progression: Relevance From a Clinical Trial. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2015; 67:  
366 1406-1415.
- 367 15. Valera-Calero JA, Ojedo-Martín C, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Cleland JA, Arias-Buría JL,  
368 Hervás-Pérez JP. Reliability and Validity of Panoramic Ultrasound Imaging for Evaluating  
369 Muscular Quality and Morphology: A Systematic Review. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2021; 47:  
370 185-200.
- 371 16. Schiphof D, Oei EH, Hofman A, Waarsing JH, Weinans H, Bierma-Zeinstra SM. Sensitivity  
372 and associations with pain and body weight of an MRI definition of knee osteoarthritis

- 373 compared with radiographic Kellgren and Lawrence criteria: a population-based study in  
374 middle-aged females. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2014; 22: 440-446.
- 375 17. Henriksen M, Rosager S, Aaboe J, Graven-Nielsen T, Bliddal H. Experimental knee pain  
376 reduces muscle strength. *J Pain* 2011; 12: 460-467.
- 377 18. Kellgren JH, Lawrence J. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthrosis. *Annals of the rheumatic  
378 diseases* 1957; 16: 494.
- 379 19. Monjo H, Fukumoto Y, Asai T, Shuntoh H. Muscle Thickness and Echo Intensity of the  
380 Abdominal and Lower Extremity Muscles in Stroke Survivors. *J Clin Neurol* 2018; 14:  
381 549-554.
- 382 20. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an  
383 open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 2012; 9: 676-682.
- 384 21. Young HJ, Jenkins NT, Zhao Q, McCully KK. Measurement of intramuscular fat by muscle  
385 echo intensity. *Muscle Nerve* 2015; 52: 963-971.
- 386 22. Oranchuk DJ, Stock MS, Nelson AR, Storey AG, Cronin JB. Variability of regional  
387 quadriceps echo intensity in active young men with and without subcutaneous fat correction.  
388 *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2020; 45: 745-752.
- 389 23. Stock MS, Whitson M, Burton AM, Dawson NT, Sobolewski EJ, Thompson BJ. Echo  
390 Intensity Versus Muscle Function Correlations in Older Adults are Influenced by  
391 Subcutaneous Fat Thickness. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2018; 44: 1597-1605.
- 392 24. Watanabe Y, Ikenaga M, Yoshimura E, Yamada Y, Kimura M. Association between echo  
393 intensity and attenuation of skeletal muscle in young and older adults: a comparison between  
394 ultrasonography and computed tomography. *Clin Interv Aging* 2018; 13: 1871-1878.
- 395 25. Pardo E, El Behi H, Boizeau P, Verdonk F, Alberti C, Lescot T. Reliability of ultrasound  
396 measurements of quadriceps muscle thickness in critically ill patients. *BMC Anesthesiol*  
397 2018; 18: 205.
- 398 26. Peterfy CG, Guermazi A, Zaim S, Tirman PF, Miaux Y, White D, et al. Whole-Organ  
399 Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS) of the knee in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis  
400 Cartilage* 2004; 12: 177-190.
- 401 27. McDaniel G, Mitchell KL, Charles C, Kraus VB. A comparison of five approaches to  
402 measurement of anatomic knee alignment from radiographs. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2010;  
403 18: 273-277.
- 404 28. Roos EM, Lohmander LS. The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): from  
405 joint injury to osteoarthritis. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003; 1: 64.
- 406 29. Dupont WD, Plummer WD, Jr. Power and sample size calculations for studies involving  
407 linear regression. *Control Clin Trials* 1998; 19: 589-601.
- 408 30. Hudelmaier M, Glaser C, Hohe J, Englmeier KH, Reiser M, Putz R, et al. Age-related  
409 changes in the morphology and deformational behavior of knee joint cartilage. *Arthritis  
410 Rheum* 2001; 44: 2556-2561.

- 411 31. Liikavainio T, Lyytinen T, Tyrväinen E, Sipilä S, Arokoski JP. Physical function and  
412 properties of quadriceps femoris muscle in men with knee osteoarthritis. *Arch Phys Med*  
413 *Rehabil* 2008; 89: 2185-2194.
- 414 32. Ohi H, Iijima H, Aoyama T, Kaneda E, Ohi K, Abe K. Association of frontal plane knee  
415 alignment with foot posture in patients with medial knee osteoarthritis. *BMC Musculoskelet*  
416 *Disord* 2017; 18: 246.
- 417 33. Conroy MB, Kwok CK, Krishnan E, Nevitt MC, Boudreau R, Carbone LD, et al. Muscle  
418 strength, mass, and quality in older men and women with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care*  
419 *Res (Hoboken)* 2012; 64: 15-21.
- 420 34. Kumar D, Karampinos DC, MacLeod TD, Lin W, Nardo L, Li X, et al. Quadriceps  
421 intramuscular fat fraction rather than muscle size is associated with knee osteoarthritis.  
422 *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2014; 22: 226-234.
- 423 35. Yusuf E, Kortekaas MC, Watt I, Huizinga TW, Kloppenburg M. Do knee abnormalities  
424 visualised on MRI explain knee pain in knee osteoarthritis? A systematic review. *Ann Rheum*  
425 *Dis* 2011; 70: 60-67.
- 426 36. Culvenor AG, Øiestad BE, Hart HF, Stefanik JJ, Guermazi A, Crossley KM. Prevalence of  
427 knee osteoarthritis features on magnetic resonance imaging in asymptomatic uninjured adults:  
428 a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2019; 53: 1268-1278.
- 429 37. Nagai K, Nakamura T, Fu FH. The diagnosis of early osteoarthritis of the knee using  
430 magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann. Jt* 2018; 3: 1-5.
- 431 38. Hunter DJ, Guermazi A, Lo GH, Grainger AJ, Conaghan PG, Boudreau RM, et al. Evolution  
432 of semi-quantitative whole joint assessment of knee OA: MOAKS (MRI Osteoarthritis Knee  
433 Score). *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2011; 19: 990-1002.
- 434 39. Heinegård D, Saxne T. The role of the cartilage matrix in osteoarthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*  
435 2011; 7: 50-56.
- 436 40. Reichenbach S, Yang M, Eckstein F, Niu J, Hunter DJ, McLennan CE, et al. Does cartilage  
437 volume or thickness distinguish knees with and without mild radiographic osteoarthritis? The  
438 Framingham Study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010; 69: 143-149.
- 439 41. Fukumoto Y, Yamada Y, Ikezoe T, Watanabe Y, Taniguchi M, Sawano S, et al. Association  
440 of physical activity with age-related changes in muscle echo intensity in older adults: a 4-year  
441 longitudinal study. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2018; 125: 1468-1474.
- 442 42. Lin W, Alizai H, Joseph GB, Srikkum W, Nevitt MC, Lynch JA, et al. Physical activity in  
443 relation to knee cartilage T2 progression measured with 3 T MRI over a period of 4 years:  
444 data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2013; 21: 1558-1566.
- 445 43. Pillen S. Skeletal muscle ultrasound. *European Journal of Translational Myology* 2010; 20:  
446 145-156.

447

448 Table 1. Characteristics of the study subjects

Age, years	64.1±8.9
Sex (female/male), no.	32/17
Height, cm	159.8±9.3
Weight, kg	63.2±11.5
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24.7±3.7
Analgesic medication, no. (%)	21 (43%)
FTA, degree	178.2±1.9
Alignment (varus/neutral/valgus), no. (%)	39 (75%)/12 (23%)/1 (1%)
KL grade (grade 1/grade 2), no.	13/39
KOOS pain, points	39.2±21.8
KOOS ADL, points	32.2±27.9

449 Except where indicated otherwise, values are presented as mean (SD)

450 *Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FTA, femur-tibia angle; KL grade, Kellgren, and*

451 *Lawrence grading scale; KOOS, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; ADL,*

452 *activities of daily living; SD, standard deviation.*

453 Table 2. Whole-organ magnetic resonance score

Variable	MFT	LFT	PF	S	Total
Cartilage (0-84 points)	6.0 [4,8.63]	2.0 [0,3]	0 [0,1.25]	NA	<b>10.0</b> <b>[6.75,12.63]</b>
Marron abnormality (0-45 points)	0 [0,2]	0 [0,2]	0 [0,0]	0 [0,0]	<b>0</b> <b>[0,2]</b>
Bone cysts (0-45 points)	0 [0,0]	0 [0,0]	0 [0,1]	0 [0,0]	<b>0</b> <b>[0,1]</b>
Bone attrition (0-42 points)	0 [0,1]	0 [0,0]	0 [0,0]	NA	<b>0</b> <b>[0,2]</b>
Osteophytes (0-98 points)	0 [0,2]	0 [0,1]	0 [0,0]	NA	<b>0.5</b> <b>[0,2.25]</b>
Compartment total	<b>8.25</b> <b>[5.75,13.13]</b>	<b>3.0</b> <b>[1,5.25]</b>	<b>1.0</b> <b>[0,3]</b>	<b>0</b> <b>[0,0]</b>	<b>13.75</b> <b>[9,19]</b>
Menisci (0-12 points)	2.5 [2,3]	0 [0,0]	NA	NA	<b>3.0</b> <b>[2,3]</b>
Ligaments (0-3 points)	0 [0,0]	NA	NA	NA	<b>0</b> <b>[0,0]</b>
Synovitis (0-3 points)	2.0 [1,3]	NA	NA	NA	<b>2.0</b> <b>[1,3]</b>
Total					<b>19.25</b> <b>[13.5,24.25]</b>

454 The left column shows subcategories and maximum scores attainable with whole-organ  
 455 magnetic resonance score. Values of each subcategory are expressed as the median  
 456 [interquartile range]. Values in bold indicate total and compartment total scores.

457 *Abbreviations: MFT, medial tibiofemoral joint; LFT, lateral tibiofemoral joint; PF,*  
 458 *patellofemoral joint; S, subspinous region; NA, not applicable.*

459 Table 3. Association between WORMS total score and EI/corrected EI

EI vs WORMS (total score)					
Variables	Estimate	95% CI	Df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-10.45	-13.407, -7.493	-0.98	47.33	0.33
EI	0.2	0.18, 0.22	29.67	2.74	0.01*
Age	0.32	0.281, 0.359	46.8	2.24	0.03*
MT	-4.12	-4.852, -3.388	46.58	-1.57	0.12
Corr.EI vs WORMS (total score)					
(Intercept)	-16.64	-19.513, -13.767	46	-1.61	0.11
Corr. EI	0.15	0.139, 0.161	47.97	3.77	0.0004***
Age	0.33	0.294, 0.366	44.93	2.52	0.015*
MT	-2.91	-3.592, -2.228	46.39	-1.19	0.24

460 Significant codes: \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05.

461 Regression coefficients and 95% CIs of EI (continuous variable) or corrected EI (continuous  
 462 variable) were calculated to indicate their predictive ability for the WORMS total score  
 463 (continuous variable) while simultaneously including (1-step model) age (continuous variable)  
 464 and vastus medialis MT (continuous variable).

465 *Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Df, degrees of freedom; Pr, p value; EI, echo*  
 466 *intensity; MT, muscle thickness; Corr. EI, corrected echo intensity; WORMS, whole-organ*  
 467 *magnetic resonance imaging score.*

468 Table 4. Sensitivity analysis for the association between the WORMS cartilage score in the  
469 total joint/medial tibiofemoral joint and corrected EI

Corr.EI vs WORMS (cartilage score)					
Variables	Estimate	95% CI	Df	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-9.82	-11.432, -8.208	45.97	-1.70	0.097
Corr. EI	0.063	0.057, 0.069	47.44	2.75	0.0084**
Age	0.17	0.15, 0.19	46.77	2.30	0.026*
MT	0.0044	-0.385, 0.394	47.86	0.003	1.0
Corr.EI vs WORMS (cartilage score in the medial tibiofemoral joint)					
(Intercept)	-6.06	-7.196, -4.924	46.02	-1.49	0.14
Corr. EI	0.071	0.067, 0.075	47.93	4.54	0.000038***
Age	0.021	-0.121, 0.163	45.43	0.41	0.69
MT	0.69	0.42, 0.96	46.60	0.71	0.48

470 Significant codes: \*\*\* p<0.0001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05.

471 Regression coefficients and 95% CIs of corrected EI (continuous variable) were calculated to  
472 indicate their predictive ability for the total WORMS cartilage score (continuous variable) or  
473 WORMS cartilage score in the medial tibiofemoral joint (continuous variable) while  
474 simultaneously including (1-step model) age (continuous variable) and vastus medialis MT  
475 (continuous variable).

476 *Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Df, degrees of freedom; Pr, p value; EI, echo*  
477 *intensity; MT, muscle thickness; Corr.EI, corrected echo intensity; WORMS, whole-organ*  
478 *magnetic resonance imaging score.*

479

480 **Figure 1.** Example of the data collection procedure in vastus medialis with ultrasound (**A**).

481 Example of image analysis for the determination of EI, MT, and subcutaneous fat thickness

482 (**B**). ROIs for EI (solid line circle) were selected at a depth of 1.0-4.0 cm to avoid the

483 surrounding tissue. MT (solid line arrow) and subcutaneous fat thickness (dashed line arrow)

484 were measured using an electric caliper.

485 *Abbreviations: EI, echo intensity; MT, muscle thickness; ROI, region of interest.*

486

487 **Figure 2.** Representative ultrasound images showing low corrected EI/low WORMS (**A**) in  
488 their 60s with KL2. Representative ultrasound images showing high corrected EI/high  
489 WORMS (**B**) in their 70s with KL2.

490 *Abbreviations: Corr.EI, corrected echo intensity; WORMS, whole-organ magnetic resonance*  
491 *imaging score; MTFJ, medial tibiofemoral joint; KL, Kellgren, and Lawrence grading scale.*

492

493 **Figure 3.** Scatter plot and regression line between WORMS total score and EI (**A**), and

494 corrected EI (**B**). Regression line was calculated from total data (KL1 and KL2).


495 *Abbreviations: WORMS, whole-organ magnetic resonance imaging score; EI, echo intensity;*



496 *Corr.EI, corrected echo intensity; KL, Kellgren and Lawrence grading scale; p, p value.*



497

498 **Figure 4.** Graphical abstract. Patients with mild knee osteoarthritis who had poor vastus  
499 medialis muscle quality, assessed by corrected echo intensity, showed significantly higher  
500 structural abnormalities in the knee joint even after adjustment for age and muscle thickness  
501 ( $p<0.001$ ). Sensitivity analysis revealed the same relationship when we focused on articular  
502 cartilage in the total joint ( $p<0.01$ ) and medial tibiofemoral joint ( $p<0.0001$ ).

503

**A****B**

**A****B**

**A****B**

## [Mild knee osteoarthritis]

Whole-knee joint  
structural abnormalities

Disrupted cartilage integrity  
in medial tibiofemoral joint

Poor vastus medialis  
muscle quality (echo intensity)

