1   **Poor vastus medialis muscle quality is associated with disrupted cartilage integrity in**
2   **mild knee osteoarthritis**
3

4   Atsushi Hoki, PT[1], *Hirotaka Iijima, PT, PhD[2,3], Tsubasa Iwasaki, PT, MSc[1], Yoshikazu
5   Matsuda, MD[4,5]

[1]Department of Physical Therapy, Matsuda Orthopedic Clinic, Saitama, Japan
[2]Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh,
USA
[3]Department of System Design Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio
University, Yokohama, Japan
[4]Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Matsuda Orthopedic Clinic, Saitama, Japan
[5]Department of Pharmacy, Josai University, Saitama, Japan

*Corresponding author:
Hirotaka Iijima, PT, PhD
Suite 308, Bridgeside Point Building II, 450 Technology Drive, Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone: 412-799-4977
Email: iijimahirotaka@gmail.com
22

ABSTRACT

Objective: Identification of patients at high risk of knee osteoarthritis (KOA) using a noninvasive method at an earlier stage of the disease is a fundamental step in preventing disease progression. Although accumulated adipose tissue (i.e., poor quality) in the quadriceps muscle is a potent predictor of disease development, the relationship between quadriceps muscle quality and structural integrity has not been fully clarified. Using an ultrasound technique for muscle quality assessment, this study aimed to determine whether poor-quality quadriceps muscle is associated with structural abnormalities in the earlier stages of KOA.

Design: This study included 49 patients (age: 44-78 years, 65.3% women) with 52 knees (Kellgren Lawrence grade 1-2). The sample size required was 46 knees. Ultrasound was used to assess the echo intensity (EI) of the vastus medialis (VM) with consideration of ultrasound wave attenuation caused by subcutaneous fat (i.e., corrected EI). Structural abnormalities were assessed using whole-organ magnetic resonance score (WORMS). A generalized linear mixed model was used to assess the relationship between corrected EI and WORMS total score. Sensitivity analysis focusing on the WORMS cartilage sub-score was conducted.

Results: Increased corrected EI in the VM was significantly associated with higher joint structural abnormalities (i.e., WORMS total score) after adjustment for covariates. Sensitivity analysis revealed a similar relationship between muscle quality and WORMS cartilage sub-score.

Conclusions: Patients with poor muscle quality in the VM displayed higher disease severity at an earlier stage of KOA. This study supports the use of ultrasound as a possible tool to identify patients at high risk of disease progression.

Keywords

Knee osteoarthritis, Quadriceps muscle quality, Echo intensity, Cartilage integrity

Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (KOA) limits daily activities owing to the emergence of pain and functional

disability[1]. KOA represented the 15th leading cause of disability worldwide in 2019, and the

number of years lived with KOA-induced disability increased by 39% from 1990 to 2019[2, 3],

suggesting that the impact of KOA on the society is rapidly expanding. Nevertheless, progress

in developing effective interventions for KOA has been slow, with current therapeutic

strategies primarily focusing on symptom management, such as pain reduction and

compensatory approaches to improve physical function[4]. Although total knee replacement is

considered an effective treatment at an advanced stage of KOA, an increase in the number of

surgical operations is associated with substantial health costs and side effects[5]. Therefore, the

development of effective disease-modifying treatments to reduce the high economic burden of

KOA is a critical challenge.

Identification of modifiable risk factors for joint structural damage has accelerated the

development of effective disease-modifying treatments. This is particularly true at an earlier

stage of KOA because proper management before the occurrence of major structural damage

may allow restoration of joint homeostasis[6]. Although limited evidence is available for

modifiable risk factors in earlier stages of KOA, physical activity, obesity, and biomechanical

factors, including weak muscle strength, are well-known factors associated with established

KOA[7]. Notably, a large meta-analysis revealed that weakness of the quadriceps muscle is a

common denominator associated with the development of established KOA[8], which is also

gradually recognized in earlier stages of KOA[9]. An enhanced understanding of muscle

dysfunction at an earlier stage of KOA as a modifiable risk factor would help identify patients

at high risk of disease progression and optimize rehabilitative therapeutics in clinical settings.

Low strength of the quadriceps in patients with KOA is attributed, at least in part, to loss of

muscle quality, conceptualized as the capacity to generate force relative to muscle mass[10]. A

meta-analysis showed that quadriceps intramuscular fat infiltration, a contributor to poor

muscle quality, increased in patients with mild-to-moderate KOA compared to healthy older

adults[11]. Another recent study supports this theory demonstrating that patients with mild KOA

display poor quadriceps muscle quality, especially the vastus medialis (VM), compared with

healthy older adults[12]. Accumulated non-contractile (i.e., adipose) tissue causes a decline in

muscle strength[13] and, therefore, may lead to the initiation and/or development of KOA. Indeed,

a longitudinal study reported that fat content in the VM muscle detected by magnetic resonance

imaging (MRI) was associated with greater cartilage volume loss and bone marrow lesions

after 2 years in patients with mild-to-moderate KOA[14]. However, MRI is not a convenient

assessment tool for muscle quality in clinical settings. For muscle quality to be a potent

therapeutic target in the clinical setting, determining the relationship between muscle quality

assessed by noninvasive methods and structural abnormalities in patients with KOA, especially

in the early stage of the disease, is a fundamental next step.

Therefore, this cross-sectional study tested the hypothesis that poor quadriceps muscle

quality is associated with higher structural abnormalities in patients with early-stage KOA. In

the assessment of quadriceps muscle quality, we used non-invasive, reliable, and validated

ultrasound-based methods and echo intensity (EI) as an accepted index for muscle quality[15].

We then determined whether EI of the quadriceps muscle is associated with structural

abnormalities identified on MRI, a method that is sensitive to monitoring structural changes,

compared to commonly used radiographic assessment[16]. We used a semi-quantitative method

for the assessment of MRI findings and compared these with whole-organ changes and

subcategories. Because ultrasound-based muscle quality assessment is easy to use and is less

influenced by knee pain severity[17], the findings of this study would support the use of

ultrasound as a powerful tool to identify patients at high risk of disease development and

provide a framework for future interventional studies.

Methods

Subjects

Patients with KOA were recruited from the outpatient department of the Matsuda Orthopedic

Clinic in Japan, in 2021. All the recruited participants had a history of pain in one or both knees.

All patients underwent lower extremity radiography and MRI. Presence of KOA was assessed

by an experienced orthopedic surgeon using the Kellgren and Lawrence grading scale (KL

grade)[18]. The eligibility criteria were as follows: (1) mild KOA with KL grade 1-2; (2) no

osteonecrosis of the medial femoral condyle; (3) no history of surgery to the extremities; (4)

ability to walk without an assistive device; (5) no cognitive dysfunction; (6) no neuromuscular

disease; and (7) no trauma, all of which were assessed based on information in medical records.

Because radiography and MRI were available only for painful knee(s), this study could not

consider bilateral knee OA cases separately from unilateral cases. There was no limit for the

time interval between the diagnosis of these disease conditions and study recruitment. This

study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Corporation Nagomi (No.

20210427). All the participants were required to provide signed informed consent.

Measurements

Ultrasound images and MRIs were obtained at the knee joint of the symptomatic side, such as

pain or functional limitations in daily activity. Ultrasound-based muscle quality and

MRI-based structural alterations were evaluated as the outcome variables. Demographic

characteristics, ultrasound-based muscle thickness (MT), and self-reported measures of knee

pain and disability were also assessed as participant characteristics and/or covariates.

Ultrasound imaging of VM muscle

Ultrasound images of the painful knee(s) were acquired using a B-mode imaging device

(SNiBLE, Konica Minolta Ltd., Japan) equipped using an 8-MHz linear-array probe. Owing to

the lack of evidence for the specific ultrasound parameters for the assessment of muscle quality

in VM, ultrasound settings were optimized to visually discriminate the fascia of the VM from

the muscle fiber or other surrounding tissues (frequency: 9 MHz, gain: 25 dB, dynamic range:

60). The ultrasound settings were kept consistent across all participants. The images were taken

in the supine position with the arms and legs relaxed (**Figure 1A**). The VM was determined at

the medial side 30% distal between the great trochanter and lateral epicondyle[19]. The head of

the probe was maintained perpendicular to the muscles examined. A large amount of

water-soluble transmission gel (PROJELLY, Jex Inc., Japan) was applied to the skin to

enhance acoustic coupling. All ultrasound imaging was performed by a well-trained

investigator (AH) with an excellent intraclass correlation coefficient ($ICC_{1,1}$: 0.948, 95%

confidence interval [CI]: 0.912–0.970). The captured ultrasound images were used for the

assessment of EI using ImageJ software (version 1.53, National Institutes of Health, USA)[20].

Regions of interest were selected at depths of 1.0-4.0 cm. The EI of the analysis region was

represented using grayscale values from 0 (black) to 255 (white), with a higher mean pixel

intensity value indicating non-lean tissue and, thus, poor muscle quality. To account for the

effect of subcutaneous fat thickness on echo measurements, a correction factor was applied to

echo measurements (Equation 1)[21] as recommended in several studies[22, 23]. The formula for the

corrected EI was as follows:

$y_2 = y_1 + (x*cf)$ (1)

y_2, corrected echo intensity; y_1, raw echo intensity; x, subcutaneous fat thickness; cf, correction

factor of 40.5278.

Subcutaneous fat thickness was measured from the superior border of the superficial

aponeurosis to the inferior border of the dermis layer, per the established protocol validated by

MRI and computed tomography [21, 24]. A higher EI indicates increased adipose tissue and water

content within the muscle. In addition, as a covariate, MT (cm) was measured from the cortex

of the femur to the superior border of the superficial aponeurosis of the VM muscle[25]. The

image analysis procedure for EI, subcutaneous fat thickness, and MT is illustrated in **Figure**

1B.

MRI for structural abnormalities in the knee joint

Structural abnormalities in the knee joint were identified using MRI (1.5 Tesla

whole-body MR system, Canon Medical Systems, Japan). Images were obtained as sagittal

proton-density-weighted images (3600 msec TR (Repetition Time), 30 msec TE (Echo Time),

256×384 matrix, 3.5 mm slice thickness, 0.3 mm inter-slice gap, 180 mm×180 mm field of

view, TSE fact (Turbo Spin Echo fact): 15, scan time: 3 min, frequency encoding:

anterior-posterior). We used a semi-quantitative scoring method of the whole-organ magnetic

resonance imaging score (WORMS)[26], which includes the following categories: (1) cartilage

signal and morphology, (2) subarticular bone marrow abnormality, (3) subarticular cysts, (4)

subarticular bone attrition, (5) marginal osteophytes, (6) medial and lateral meniscal integrity,

(7) anterior and posterior cruciate ligament integrity, (8) medial and lateral collateral ligament

integrity, (9) synovitis, loose bodies, and (10) periarticular cysts/bursae. The assessment was

performed by the same investigator (AH) who had undergone three weeks of formal training by

an experienced orthopedic surgeon and radiologist before the study. The intraclass correlation

coefficient was good ($ICC_{1,1}$: 0.849, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.752, 0.910).

Participant characteristics and covariates

Data on age, sex, and height were self-reported by participants. Body mass was measured

on a digital scale with the participants dressed but not wearing shoes. Body mass index (BMI)

was calculated by dividing body mass (in kg) by height (in m^2). An image in the

anteroposterior view in the weight-bearing position was obtained for all subjects. We assessed

the femur-tibia angle (FTA) as a measure of the anatomical axis using anteroposterior

radiography in the weight-bearing position (higher values indicate more varus alignment). The

center of the FTA was defined from three points: a point at the base of the tibial spines, at

bisecting the femur and tibia, originating 10 cm from the knee joint surface[27]. In consideration

of sex differences in knee alignment, corrected FTA was used for analysis by the addition of

3.5° for men and 6.4° for men, respectively[27]. All subjects completed the Knee Injury and

Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)[28]. The KOOS has the following subcategories: pain,

symptoms, activities of daily living (ADL), sport and recreation function, and knee-related

quality of life, with higher scores (0-100) representing better function. This study used the

KOOS pain and ADL subscales for descriptive analysis.

 Statistical analysis

The sample size was calculated on the basis of the pilot data of patients with KOA (n = 20)

to detect a significant relationship between WORMS and EI and corrected EI of the VM

muscle in a linear regression analysis using Power and Sample Size Program, version 3.1.6

(Vanderbilt University Medical Center, USA)[29]. Earlier data indicated that the standard

deviations of the WORMS and EI were 9.415 and 17.575, respectively, with a slope estimate of

0.209 obtained when WORMS was regressed against EI. If the true slope of the line obtained

by regressing WORMS against EI is 0.209, then we will need to study 46 subjects to be able to

reject the null hypothesis that this slope equals zero with a probability (power) of 0.8. The Type

I error probability associated with this test of null hypothesis was 0.05. In the case of

calculation for corrected EI, the same approach identified that 38 subjects were needed to

detect the significant relationship between corrected EI and the WORMS. After considering

the potential 10% dropout rate due to the exclusion criteria and missing data, the required

sample size of this study was at least 51 participants.

To account for the similarity between the right and left knees, a generalized linear mixed

model, with WORMS total score as fixed effects and patient ID as random-effect intercept and

slope, was used to assess the relationship between WORMS (continuous variable), EI of VM

(continuous variable), or corrected EI of VM (continuous variable). Age (continuous variable)

and MT (continuous variable) were included as covariates. These covariates were chosen *a*

priori based on clinical judgment and their potential correlation with joint structural

abnormalities and muscle quality[30, 31]. Because the WORMS total score includes structural

abnormalities of all joint tissues, we performed sensitivity analysis focusing on the WORMS

cartilage score. In this sensitivity analysis, we repeated the generalized linear mixed model

described above.

All statistical analyses were performed using EZR, version 1.54 (Saitama Medical Center,

Jichi Medical University, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R, version 4.0.3 (The R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria), a modified version of R commander designed

to add statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics. Statistical significance was set at P <

0.05.

Results

A total of 51 people (54 knees) were recruited; two individuals whose knee was diagnosed

as KL3 were excluded. Thus, 49 individuals (age: 44-78 years, 65.3% women) with 52 knees

were finally included in the analysis. **Table 1** summarizes the participants' characteristics. Of

the 49 participants with mild KOA, 30 (61%) had a normal BMI (BMI <25 kg/m^2)[26]. Of the 52

knees, 39 (75%) had varus alignment (corrected FTA >181°), 12 (23%) had neutral alignment

(178° < corrected FTA <181°), and 1 (1%) had valgus alignment (corrected FTA <178°)[32].

Patients with poor quadriceps muscle quality display disrupted cartilage integrity

Table 2 shows the median WORMS and quartiles for each subcategory. Among the 52

knees, 52 (100%) had cartilage defects, 51 (98%) had meniscal tears, and 21 (40%) had bone

mallow lesions in the WORMS subcategories. Among these subcategories, the cartilage score

in the medial compartment of the tibiofemoral joint was the worst. Ultrasound and MRI

assessments revealed inter-subject variability in quadriceps muscle quality and structural

abnormalities of the cartilage (**Figure 2**). We sought to determine whether patients with poor

quadriceps muscle quality display more severe structural abnormalities. As expected, the

generalized linear mixed model revealed that the poor muscle quality of the VM, assessed by

EI, was associated with higher joint structural abnormalities after adjustment for age and MT

(**Table 3**). Consideration of the effect of subcutaneous fat thickness on EI (i.e., corrected EI)

further strengthened the relationship with higher joint structural abnormalities after adjustment

for age and MT (**Table 3**). A graphical illustration of the positive relationship between

EI/corrected EI and WORMS is shown in **Figure 3**.

Because joint structural abnormalities were predominantly confirmed in the articular

cartilage (**Table 2**), we performed sensitivity analysis focusing on the WORMS cartilage score

in the total joint and medial tibiofemoral joint as an outcome variable. The results revealed that

a higher corrected EI was associated with more cartilage abnormalities (**Table 4**). This

relationship was more significant for the medial tibiofemoral joint (**Table 4**). Collectively,

these findings indicate that patients with poor VM muscle quality demonstrate disrupted

cartilage integrity in the medial compartment of the knee.

Discussion

While studies have shown that patients with KOA display poorer muscle quality compared

with healthy adults[31, 33, 34], the relationship between poor muscle quality and structural integrity

in patients with earlier stages of KOA has not been adequately addressed. Using an ultrasound

technique integrated with MRI-based structural assessment, this study tested the hypothesis

that poor quadriceps muscle quality is associated with higher structural abnormalities in 49

patients with early stages of KOA. As expected, we found that patients with poor VM muscle

quality demonstrated significantly higher structural abnormalities in the knee joint, even after

adjustment for age and MT. Subsequent sensitivity analysis identified the same relationship

when we focused on structural abnormalities in the articular cartilage at the medial

tibiofemoral joint, a lesion commonly observed in medial KOA. **Figure 4** shows a graphical

abstract. Notably, in these analyses, consideration of subcutaneous fat enhanced the predictive

ability for structural integrity. Taken together, poor muscle quality in the VM assessed using

ultrasound may be a denominator of disrupted cartilage integrity in earlier KOA.

Practical implications and future research directions

Consistent with previous reports[35, 36], in this study, structural abnormalities were

identified in a wide range of joint tissues such as cartilage and meniscus. MRI-detected

structural changes (i.e., osteophytes, meniscal damage, bone mallow lesions, and/or synovitis)

may represent early disease and a significant predictor of the onset or development of KOA[37].

Among the MRI-detected structural changes, articular cartilage is the main tissue involved in

the OA process[38]. Cartilage changes occur as early events that are coupled to bone alterations

through mechanical or soluble factors[39]. The Framingham cohort showed that WORMS, as a

semi-quantitative measurement, was sensitive to focal erosive cartilage lesions that might not

be detected using quantitative measurement of cartilage volume and cartilage thickness over

broad areas[40]. These reports, including our study, indicate that the semi-quantitative method

with MRI has an advantage for the assessment of KOA at an early stage compared with

whole-organ changes and subcategories.

A recent meta-analysis and cross-sectional study showed that people with KOA have

poorer muscle quality than healthy control subjects[11, 12]. Our results support these studies and

expand to earlier stages of KOA. In addition, a longitudinal study using MRI showed that VM

fat content is a strong predictor of cartilage volume loss and the occurrence and progression of

bone mallow lesion[14]. In the current study, we adopted echo intensity with ultrasound to

evaluate muscle quality using a noninvasive method; it could lessen the physical burden and

lower the bar to muscle quality assessment for the participants. Ultrasound is more useful and

easily accessible than MRI for many clinical sites. It is possible that evaluating changes in

muscle quality with ultrasound will allow early detection of signs of incident KOA. This study

targeted mild KOA, and muscle quality assessed by ultrasound imaging might be a modifiable

risk factor for KOA at an early stage. Thus, VM muscle quality could be helpful in identifying

patients at risk of KOA progression. To implement effective early interventions for patients

with KOA, comparing the time-dependent changes in muscle quality and cartilage integrity is

an interesting direction for future studies.

Limitations and strengths

Although this study provides a new perspective on the potential modifiable risk factors for

KOA, it has limitations. This study did not consider the physical activity level as a potential

confounder[41, 42]. In addition, the cross-sectional nature of the study design limited our analyses;

therefore, the causal relationship between poor muscle quality and structural integrity is

unclear. Nevertheless, this study has several strengths. First, we applied subcutaneous fat

correction to ultrasound-based muscle quality assessment as recommended[22, 23]. This

correction could overcome the low resolution of deep muscle[43], which allows the evaluation of

the relationship between poor muscle quality and structural integrity. It should be highlighted

that consideration of subcutaneous fat enhanced the predictive ability of ultrasound-based

signal intensity for structural integrity. Second, this study used MRI to assess multiple-tissue

OA pathology, which allowed the assessment of the relationship between quadriceps muscle

quality and structural integrity stratified by tissue type, such as cartilage and subchondral bone.

Finally, this study analyzed patients with symptomatic mild KOA. Focusing on patients with

symptomatic mild KOA provides an enhanced understanding of patients at high risk of the

development of clinically presenting KOA. Our study could be a fundamental step for future

longitudinal studies to clarify the role of muscle quality in early KOA pathogenesis.

Contributors

(1) AH and HI were the main contributors to the conception and design of the study; AH, TI,

and YM were the main contributors to the acquisition of data; AH and HI contributed to the

analysis and interpretation of data.

(2) AH and HI drafted the manuscript, and all authors have been revising it critically for

important intellectual content.

(3) All authors have provided final approval for the version to be submitted.

Competing interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Physical Therapy and Radiology Department of Matsuda

Orthopedic Clinic for extending support in data collection and Editage (www.editage.jp) for

English language editing.

References

1.　Guccione AA, Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Anthony JM, Zhang Y, Wilson PW, et al. The effects
of specific medical conditions on the functional limitations of elders in the Framingham Study.
American journal of public health 1994; 84: 351-358.
2.　Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019
(GBD 2019) results. http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool, [Accessed 15 January 2022].
3.　Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019
(GBD　　2019)　　results.　　Osteoarthritis　　—level　　3　　cause.

http://www.healthdata.org/results/gbd_summaries/2019/osteoarthritis-level-3-cause.

[Accessed 20 January 2022]

4.   McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, Arden NK, Berenbaum F, Bierma-Zeinstra SM,

et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis

Cartilage 2014; 22: 363-388.

5.   Bannuru RR, Osani MC, Vaysbrot EE, Arden NK, Bennell K, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, et al.

OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular

osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2019; 27: 1578-1589.

6.   Bruyere O, Cooper C, Arden N, Branco J, Brandi ML, Herrero-Beaumont G, et al. Can we

identify patients with high risk of osteoarthritis progression who will respond to treatment? A

focus on epidemiology and phenotype of osteoarthritis. Drugs & aging 2015; 32: 179-187.

7.   Georgiev T, Angelov AK. Modifiable risk factors in knee osteoarthritis: treatment

implications. Rheumatol Int 2019; 39: 1145-1157.

8.   Øiestad BE, Juhl CB, Eitzen I, Thorlund JB. Knee extensor muscle weakness is a risk factor

for development of knee osteoarthritis. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoarthritis

Cartilage 2015; 23: 171-177.

9.   Baert IA, Jonkers I, Staes F, Luyten FP, Truijen S, Verschueren SM. Gait characteristics and

lower limb muscle strength in women with early and established knee osteoarthritis. Clin

Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2013; 28: 40-47.

10.   Barbat-Artigas S, Rolland Y, Zamboni M, Aubertin-Leheudre M. How to assess functional

status: a new muscle quality index. J Nutr Health Aging 2012; 16: 67-77.

11.   Pedroso MG, de Almeida AC, Aily JB, de Noronha M, Mattiello SM. Fatty infiltration in the

thigh muscles in knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatology

international 2019; 39: 627-635.

12.   Taniguchi M, Fukumoto Y, Kobayashi M, Kawasaki T, Maegawa S, Ibuki S, et al. Quantity

and Quality of the Lower Extremity Muscles in Women with Knee Osteoarthritis. Ultrasound

Med Biol 2015; 41: 2567-2574.

13.   Goodpaster BH, Carlson CL, Visser M, Kelley DE, Scherzinger A, Harris TB, et al.

Attenuation of skeletal muscle and strength in the elderly: The Health ABC Study. J Appl

Physiol (1985) 2001; 90: 2157-2165.

14.   Raynauld JP, Pelletier JP, Roubille C, Dorais M, Abram F, Li W, et al. Magnetic Resonance

Imaging-Assessed Vastus Medialis Muscle Fat Content and Risk for Knee Osteoarthritis

Progression: Relevance From a Clinical Trial. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2015; 67:

1406-1415.

15.   Valera-Calero JA, Ojedo-Martín C, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Cleland JA, Arias-Buría JL,

Hervás-Pérez JP. Reliability and Validity of Panoramic Ultrasound Imaging for Evaluating

Muscular Quality and Morphology: A Systematic Review. Ultrasound Med Biol 2021; 47:

185-200.

16.   Schiphof D, Oei EH, Hofman A, Waarsing JH, Weinans H, Bierma-Zeinstra SM. Sensitivity

and associations with pain and body weight of an MRI definition of knee osteoarthritis

compared with radiographic Kellgren and Lawrence criteria: a population-based study in
middle-aged females. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014; 22: 440-446.

17.   Henriksen M, Rosager S, Aaboe J, Graven-Nielsen T, Bliddal H. Experimental knee pain
reduces muscle strength. J Pain 2011; 12: 460-467.

18.   Kellgren JH, Lawrence J. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthrosis. Annals of the rheumatic
diseases 1957; 16: 494.

19.   Monjo H, Fukumoto Y, Asai T, Shuntoh H. Muscle Thickness and Echo Intensity of the
Abdominal and Lower Extremity Muscles in Stroke Survivors. J Clin Neurol 2018; 14:
549-554.

20.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an
open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 2012; 9: 676-682.

21.   Young HJ, Jenkins NT, Zhao Q, McCully KK. Measurement of intramuscular fat by muscle
echo intensity. Muscle Nerve 2015; 52: 963-971.

22.   Oranchuk DJ, Stock MS, Nelson AR, Storey AG, Cronin JB. Variability of regional
quadriceps echo intensity in active young men with and without subcutaneous fat correction.
Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2020; 45: 745-752.

23.   Stock MS, Whitson M, Burton AM, Dawson NT, Sobolewski EJ, Thompson BJ. Echo
Intensity Versus Muscle Function Correlations in Older Adults are Influenced by
Subcutaneous Fat Thickness. Ultrasound Med Biol 2018; 44: 1597-1605.

24.   Watanabe Y, Ikenaga M, Yoshimura E, Yamada Y, Kimura M. Association between echo
intensity and attenuation of skeletal muscle in young and older adults: a comparison between
ultrasonography and computed tomography. Clin Interv Aging 2018; 13: 1871-1878.

25.   Pardo E, El Behi H, Boizeau P, Verdonk F, Alberti C, Lescot T. Reliability of ultrasound
measurements of quadriceps muscle thickness in critically ill patients. BMC Anesthesiol
2018; 18: 205.

26.   Peterfy CG, Guermazi A, Zaim S, Tirman PF, Miaux Y, White D, et al. Whole-Organ
Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS) of the knee in osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis
Cartilage 2004; 12: 177-190.

27.   McDaniel G, Mitchell KL, Charles C, Kraus VB. A comparison of five approaches to
measurement of anatomic knee alignment from radiographs. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2010;
18: 273-277.

28.   Roos EM, Lohmander LS. The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): from
joint injury to osteoarthritis. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2003; 1: 64.

29.   Dupont WD, Plummer WD, Jr. Power and sample size calculations for studies involving
linear regression. Control Clin Trials 1998; 19: 589-601.

30.   Hudelmaier M, Glaser C, Hohe J, Englmeier KH, Reiser M, Putz R, et al. Age-related
changes in the morphology and deformational behavior of knee joint cartilage. Arthritis
Rheum 2001; 44: 2556-2561.

31.   Liikavainio T, Lyytinen T, Tyrväinen E, Sipilä S, Arokoski JP. Physical function and
properties of quadriceps femoris muscle in men with knee osteoarthritis. Arch Phys Med
Rehabil 2008; 89: 2185-2194.

32.   Ohi H, Iijima H, Aoyama T, Kaneda E, Ohi K, Abe K. Association of frontal plane knee
alignment with foot posture in patients with medial knee osteoarthritis. BMC Musculoskelet
Disord 2017; 18: 246.

33.   Conroy MB, Kwoh CK, Krishnan E, Nevitt MC, Boudreau R, Carbone LD, et al. Muscle
strength, mass, and quality in older men and women with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care
Res (Hoboken) 2012; 64: 15-21.

34.   Kumar D, Karampinos DC, MacLeod TD, Lin W, Nardo L, Li X, et al. Quadriceps
intramuscular fat fraction rather than muscle size is associated with knee osteoarthritis.
Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014; 22: 226-234.

35.   Yusuf E, Kortekaas MC, Watt I, Huizinga TW, Kloppenburg M. Do knee abnormalities
visualised on MRI explain knee pain in knee osteoarthritis? A systematic review. Ann Rheum
Dis 2011; 70: 60-67.

36.   Culvenor AG, Øiestad BE, Hart HF, Stefanik JJ, Guermazi A, Crossley KM. Prevalence of
knee osteoarthritis features on magnetic resonance imaging in asymptomatic uninjured adults:
a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med 2019; 53: 1268-1278.

37.   Nagai K, Nakamura T, Fu FH. The diagnosis of early osteoarthritis of the knee using
magnetic resonance imaging. Ann. Jt 2018; 3: 1-5.

38.   Hunter DJ, Guermazi A, Lo GH, Grainger AJ, Conaghan PG, Boudreau RM, et al. Evolution
of semi-quantitative whole joint assessment of knee OA: MOAKS (MRI Osteoarthritis Knee
Score). Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2011; 19: 990-1002.

39.   Heinegård D, Saxne T. The role of the cartilage matrix in osteoarthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol
2011; 7: 50-56.

40.   Reichenbach S, Yang M, Eckstein F, Niu J, Hunter DJ, McLennan CE, et al. Does cartilage
volume or thickness distinguish knees with and without mild radiographic osteoarthritis? The
Framingham Study. Ann Rheum Dis 2010; 69: 143-149.

41.   Fukumoto Y, Yamada Y, Ikezoe T, Watanabe Y, Taniguchi M, Sawano S, et al. Association
of physical activity with age-related changes in muscle echo intensity in older adults: a 4-year
longitudinal study. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2018; 125: 1468-1474.

42.   Lin W, Alizai H, Joseph GB, Srikhum W, Nevitt MC, Lynch JA, et al. Physical activity in
relation to knee cartilage T2 progression measured with 3 T MRI over a period of 4 years:
data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2013; 21: 1558-1566.

43.   Pillen S. Skeletal muscle ultrasound. European Journal of Translational Myology 2010; 20:
145-156.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study subjects

Age, years	64.1±8.9
Sex (female/male), no.	32/17
Height, cm	159.8±9.3
Weight, kg	63.2±11.5
BMI, kg/m^2	24.7±3.7
Analgesic medication, no. (%)	21 (43%)
FTA, degree	178.2±1.9
Alignment (varus/neutral/valgus), no. (%)	39 (75%)/12 (23%)/1 (1%)
KL grade (grade 1/grade 2), no.	13/39
KOOS pain, points	39.2±21.8
KOOS ADL, points	32.2±27.9

449   Except where indicated otherwise, values are presented as mean (SD)

450   *Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FTA, femur-tibia angle; KL grade, Kellgren, and*

451   *Lawrence grading scale; KOOS, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; ADL,*

452   *activities of daily living; SD, standard deviation.*

453 Table 2. Whole-organ magnetic resonance score

Variable	MFT	LFT	PF	S	Total
Cartilage	6.0	2.0	0	NA	**10.0**
(0-84 points)	[4,8.63]	[0,3]	[0,1.25]		**[6.75,12.63]**
Marron abnormality	0	0	0	0	**0**
(0-45 points)	[0,2]	[0,2]	[0,0]	[0,0]	**[0,2]**
Bone cysts	0	0	0	0	**0**
(0-45 points)	[0,0]	[0,0]	[0,1]	[0,0]	**[0,1]**
Bone attrition	0	0	0	NA	**0**
(0-42 points)	[0,1]	[0,0]	[0,0]		**[0,2]**
Osteophytes	0	0	0	NA	**0.5**
(0-98 points)	[0,2]	[0,1]	[0,0]		**[0,2.25]**
Compartment total	**8.25**	**3.0**	**1.0**	**0**	**13.75**
	[5.75,13.13]	**[1,5.25]**	**[0,3]**	**[0,0]**	**[9,19]**
Menisci	2.5	0	NA	NA	**3.0**
(0-12 points)	[2,3]	[0,0]			**[2,3]**
Ligaments	0	NA	NA	NA	**0**
(0-3 points)	[0,0]				**[0,0]**
Synovitis	2.0	NA	NA	NA	**2.0**
(0-3 points)	[1,3]				**[1,3]**
Total					**19.25**
					[13.5,24.25]

The left column shows subcategories and maximum scores attainable with whole-organ

magnetic resonance score. Values of each subcategory are expressed as the median

[interquartile range]. Values in bold indicate total and compartment total scores.

Abbreviations: MFT, medial tibiofemoral joint; LFT, lateral tibiofemoral joint; PF,

patellofemoral joint; S, subspinous region; NA, not applicable.

Table 3. Association between WORMS total score and EI/corrected EI

Variables	Estimate	95% CI	Df	t value	Pr(>|t|)
EI vs WORMS (total score)					
(Intercept)	-10.45	-13.407, -7.493	-0.98	47.33	0.33
EI	0.2	0.18, 0.22	29.67	2.74	0.01*
Age	0.32	0.281, 0.359	46.8	2.24	0.03*
MT	-4.12	-4.852, -3.388	46.58	-1.57	0.12
Corr.EI vs WORMS (total score)					
(Intercept)	-16.64	-19.513, -13.767	46	-1.61	0.11
Corr. EI	0.15	0.139, 0.161	47.97	3.77	0.00044***
Age	0.33	0.294, 0.366	44.93	2.52	0.015*
MT	-2.91	-3.592, -2.228	46.39	-1.19	0.24

Significant codes: *** p<0.001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05.

Regression coefficients and 95% CIs of EI (continuous variable) or corrected EI (continuous

variable) were calculated to indicate their predictive ability for the WORMS total score

(continuous variable) while simultaneously including (1-step model) age (continuous variable)

and vastus medialis MT (continuous variable).

Abbreviations:95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Df, degrees of freedom; Pr, p value; EI, echo

intensity; MT, muscle thickness; Corr. EI, corrected echo intensity; WORMS, whole-organ

magnetic resonance imaging score.

Table 4. Sensitivity analysis for the association between the WORMS cartilage score in the

total joint/medial tibiofemoral joint and corrected EI

Corr.EI vs WORMS (cartilage score)					
Variables	Estimate	95% CI	Df	t value	Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)	-9.82	-11.432, -8.208	45.97	-1.70	0.097
Corr. EI	0.063	0.057, 0.069	47.44	2.75	0.0084**
Age	0.17	0.15, 0.19	46.77	2.30	0.026*
MT	0.0044	-0.385, 0.394	47.86	0.003	1.0
Corr.EI vs WORMS (cartilage score in the medial tibiofemoral joint)					
(Intercept)	-6.06	-7.196, -4.924	46.02	-1.49	0.14
Corr. EI	0.071	0.067, 0.075	47.93	4.54	0.000038***
Age	0.021	-0.121, 0.163	45.43	0.41	0.69
MT	0.69	0.42, 0.96	46.60	0.71	0.48

Significant codes: *** p<0.0001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05.

Regression coefficients and 95% CIs of corrected EI (continuous variable) were calculated to

indicate their predictive ability for the total WORMS cartilage score (continuous variable) or

WORMS cartilage score in the medial tibiofemoral joint (continuous variable) while

simultaneously including (1-step model) age (continuous variable) and vastus medialis MT

(continuous variable).

Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Df, degrees of freedom; Pr, p value; EI, echo

intensity; MT, muscle thickness; Corr.EI, corrected echo intensity; WORMS, whole-organ

magnetic resonance imaging score.

Figure 1. Example of the data collection procedure in vastus medialis with ultrasound (**A**).

Example of image analysis for the determination of EI, MT, and subcutaneous fat thickness

(**B**). ROIs for EI (solid line circle) were selected at a depth of 1.0-4.0 cm to avoid the

surrounding tissue. MT (solid line arrow) and subcutaneous fat thickness (dashed line arrow)

were measured using an electric caliper.

Abbreviations: EI, echo intensity; MT, muscle thickness; ROI, region of interest.

Figure 2. Representative ultrasound images showing low corrected EI/low WORMS (**A**) in their 60s with KL2. Representative ultrasound images showing high corrected EI/high WORMS (**B**) in their 70s with KL2.

Abbreviations: Corr.EI, corrected echo intensity; WORMS, whole-organ magnetic resonance imaging score; MTFJ, medial tibiofemoral joint; KL, Kellgren, and Lawrence grading scale.

Figure 3. Scatter plot and regression line between WORMS total score and EI (**A**), and

corrected EI (**B**). Regression line was calculated from total data (KL1 and KL2).

Abbreviations: WORMS, whole-organ magnetic resonance imaging score; EI, echo intensity;

Corr.EI, corrected echo intensity; KL, Kellgren and Lawrence grading scale; p, p value.

Figure 4. Graphical abstract. Patients with mild knee osteoarthritis who had poor vastus

medialis muscle quality, assessed by corrected echo intensity, showed significantly higher

structural abnormalities in the knee joint even after adjustment for age and muscle thickness

($p<0.001$). Sensitivity analysis revealed the same relationship when we focused on articular

cartilage in the total joint ($p<0.01$) and medial tibiofemoral joint ($p<0.0001$).