Epidemiology of adverse events attributed to airway management in pediatric anesthesia: A protocol for a Japan Pediatric Difficult Airway in Anesthesia study (J-PEDIA)

Taiki Kojima[1,2*], Yusuke Yamauchi[1¶], Fumio Watanabe[1¶], Shogo Ichiyanagi[1¶], Yasuma Kobayashi[3&], Yu Kaiho[4&], Shugo Kasuya[5&], Kevin Y. Urayama[6&], Norifumi Kuratani[7&], Yasuyuki Suzuki[5&], on behalf of J-PEDIA study collaborators

[1] Department of Anesthesiology, Aichi Children's Health and Medical Center, Aichi, Japan

[2] Division of Comprehensive Pediatric Medicine, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Aichi, Japan

[3] Children's Heart Center, Saitama Children's Medical Center, Saitama, Japan

[4] Department of Anesthesiology, Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, Japan

[5] Department of Critical Care and Anesthesiology, National Center for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan

[6] Graduate School of Public Health, St. Luke's International University, Tokyo, Japan

20 [7] Department of Anesthesiology, Saitama Children's Medical Center, Saitama, Japan

21

22 *Corresponding author

23 Taiki Kojima

24 E-mail: daiki_kojima@sk00106.achmc.pref.aichi.jp (TK)

25

26 [¶] These authors contributed equally to this work.

27 [&] These authors also contributed equally to this work.

28

29 **Abbreviations**

30 AE: Adverse event

31 NEAR4KIDS: National Emergency Airway Registry for children

32

Abstract

Introduction

Failure to secure an airway during general anesthesia is a major cause of adverse events (AEs) in children. The safety of peddiatric anesthesia may be improved by identifying the incidence of AEs and their attributed risk factors. However, previous large cohort studies have not been appropriately designed to explore such aspects. Thus, this study aims to deternine the incidence of AEs and the risk factors attributed to airway management under general anesthesia in children.

Methods and design

This prospective, multi-center, registry-based, observational study will be conducted in four tertiary care hospitals in Japan from June 2022 to May 2025. Children younger than 18 years of age undergoing surgical and/or diagnostic test procedures under general anesthesia or sedation by anesthesiologists will be enrolled in this study. Data on patient characteristics, discipline of anesthesia providers, and methodology of airway management will be collected through a standardized verification system under monitoring by institutional research leaders among the recruited institutions to minimize the loss of collected data. The primary outcome and exposure are AEs and presence of difficult airway features with potential confounders, which are related to the failure to

secure the airway, and the variability in the anesthesia providers' levels, adjusted using hierarchical multivariable regression models with mixed effects.

Discussion

This study will determine the incidence of AEs and the risk factors related to airway management under general anesthesia in children.

Trial registration

This study is registered as a prospective observational study in the University hospital Medical Information Network (UMIN) (registration number UMIN000047351, April 1, 2022).

Introduction

The incidence of perioperative life-threatening adverse events (AEs) in children is higher than that in adults due to their unique physiological and anatomical characteristics [1]. These AEs are related to hypoxia in children commonly occur following failure to secure the airway since children are less tolerant to apnea [1-4]. Therefore, identifying the incidence of AEs and the risk factors in securing the airway is desired for safe and strategic airway management in pediatric anesthesia.

Epidemiological data on AEs during general anesthesia in children have been reported in previous large prospective cohort studies. The APRICOT study, which was the largest multi-center prospective study conducted in Europe, reported an incidence rate of 5.2% for severe perioperative events in children [5]. In addition, Subramanyam reported prediction models for perioperative respiratory adverse events based on preoperative patient comorbidities [6]. However, the APRICOT study was not designed to explore AEs specifically attributed to airway management during general anesthesia. Therefore, it did not include detailed information regarding the difficult airway features of patients, anesthesia methods, devices utilized for securing the airway, and disciplines of anesthesia providers. The PeDI registry study, a multi-center cohort study conducted in children's hospitals in the US, reported that the incidence rate of intraoperative AEs

was high in children with difficult airway features [2]. However, approximately 80% of the study cohort were patients with difficult airway features; therefore, the results did not represent the entire pediatric population. In addition, these major studies did not adjust for the variability in the techniques and skill level of anesthesia providers (e.g., each anesthesia provider could have a specific methodology for securing the airway and anesthesia). Therefore, the incidence of AEs and the related risk factors in securing the airway under general anesthesia in children remain unclear. In addition, to our knowledge, there is a lack of well-designed pediatric prospective studies using registry-based, real-world data in the Asian region regarding the incidence of AEs and the risk factors attributed to airway management.

This study aims to investigate the incidence of AEs attributed to airway management during general anesthesia in children and to identify their risk factors.

Methods

Study design and setting

This is a prospective, registry-based, real-world, multicenter, observational study that will initiate data registration from June 1, 2022, to May 31, 2025, in five tertiary care hospitals in Japan: Aichi Children's Health and Medical Center (Aichi), National Center

97 for Child Health and Development (Tokyo), Saitama Prefectural Children's Medical
98 Center (Saitama), Tohoku University Hospital (Sendai), and Hokkaido University
99 Hospital (Sapporo).
100

101 # Target population

102 **Inclusion criteria.** We will enroll cases that meet all of the following criteria.
103 - Children younger than 18 years of age who receive advanced airway management
104 under general anesthesia or sedation for surgical and/or test procedures conducted by
105 anesthesiologists or anesthesia providers under the supervision of anesthesiologists.
106 - General anesthesia or sedation is performed in operating suites, catheterization
107 laboratory rooms, rooms for radiological imaging and procedures (e.g., computed
108 tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, radiation therapy), or general ward.
109

110 **Exclusion criteria.** We will exclude cases that meet at least one of the following
111 criteria.
112 - Cases outside operating suites where anesthesiologists are consulted for airway
113 management.
114 - Cases where airway management is performed in the emergency department and

115 intensive care unit.

116 - Duplicate cases during the study period.

117 - Patients or their families who refuse to participate in the study for any reason.

118

119 Data collection

120 This registry-based observational study will prospectively collect data regarding the

121 characteristics of patients and surgery, disciplines of anesthesia providers, practice of

122 airway management, occurrence of AEs, and treatments for AEs.

123 **Data quality control.** Data will be initially collected by anesthesia providers for each

124 attempt to secure the airway with paper-based data collection forms. The collected

125 initial paper-form-based data will be verified by each site-specific research leader

126 through a standardized process among the four recruited institutions. Site-specific

127 research leaders will confirm that the capture rate is ≥95% of cases at each institution.

128 To standardize the definitions of the terms for data collection, they are predetermined

129 and described in the operational research manual created by the primary investigator

130 (TK). The research operational committee will be convened regularly to confirm the

131 definitions of the terms used in the data collection process. Site-specific research leaders

132 instructed the anesthesia providers to increase the accuracy of the collected data at each

133 recruited institution, aiming to minimize misclassification bias (Figure 1).

134

135 **Data storage and process.** The initially collected paper-form-based data will include

136 information that can identify the study participants (i.e., medical record number and

137 name initials). Thus, only anonymous information will be registered in the Research

138 Electronic Data Capture (REDCap®, Tokyo, Japan) [7] system hosted by the National

139 Center for Child Health and Development. Site-specific research leaders will utilize a

140 standardized participant's correspondence file, which will include the participant's

141 initials, medical record number, and REDCap registration number for a potential review

142 (Figure 1).

143

144 **Endpoints.** The primary and secondary endpoints are any AEs attributed to securing

145 the airway and decreased values of peripheral capillary oxygen saturation (SpO_2) from

146 the time of initiation to completion of securing the airway. The criteria for AEs were

147 predetermined [i.e., cardiac arrest (status of dead or alive at 24 hours after the critical

148 event happened), laryngospasm, upper airway obstruction, severe cough, bronchial

149 intubation, esophageal intubation, vomiting, hypotension, hypertension, tooth injury,

150 pneumothorax, mediastinal emphysema, bronchospasm, atelectasis, pulmonary edema,

151 stridor, airway trauma, arrhythmia, agitation, dislodgement of airway securing devices]
152 based on the National Emergency Airway Registry for children (NEAR4KIDS), which
153 is a national registry database to evaluate AEs during emergency tracheal intubation in
154 pediatric intensive care units mostly located in North America [8]. The AEs have been
155 classified as severe and non-severe before data collection. Severe AEs include cardiac
156 arrest, esophageal intubation with a ≥ 5% decrease in SpO_2, vomiting with aspiration,
157 hypotension requiring treatments, laryngospasm, pneumothorax, mediastinal
158 emphysema, bronchospasm, pulmonary edema, and direct airway trauma. Non-severe
159 AEs include bronchial intubation, esophageal intubation with a < 5% decrease in SpO_2,
160 vomiting without aspiration, hypertension requiring treatments, upper airway
161 obstruction, severe cough, tooth injury, atelectasis, stridor, arrhythmia, and
162 dislodgement of airway securing devices.
163
164 **Exposures and potential confounders.** Exposure is defined as the presence of any
165 of the difficult airway features (i.e., preoperative recognition of difficult airway by
166 anesthesiologists, history of difficult airway, restricted cervical flexion, restricted mouth
167 opening, narrow thyromental distance, upper airway obstruction, or anatomical barrier
168 to visualize glottic opening, midfacial hypoplasia, large tongue, micrognathia, and large

169 head circumference) that were predetermined based on previous studies [9]. Potential
170 confounders were selected before initiation of data collection based on a review of
171 previous literature and clinical experience of experienced anesthesiologists among the
172 research team members. The selected potential confounders were age, sex, prematurity,
173 obesity (weight-for-age ≥95 percentile), preoperative comorbidities, families smoking
174 status, provider discipline, location, institution, fiscal year, Cormack-Lehan grade,
175 method of anesthesia induction, status of nothing per os and a full stomach, type of
176 device for securing the airway, and application of external laryngeal manipulation or
177 cricoid pressure [9-14].
178

179 Statistical considerations

180 **Sample size estimation.** The reported incidence rate of critical events occurring
181 during general anesthesia induction in children was 5.2%, including an incidence of
182 1.9% for cardiovascular instability in the general pediatric population who received
183 general anesthesia [5]. Therefore, we estimated the sample size with the assumption of
184 the lowest incidence of critical adverse events during general anesthesia in children as
185 2.0%. The estimated sample size was approximately 16,000 assuming a 99% probability
186 of obtaining a 95% Wilson CI with ± 0.3% of the half-width for the incidence of critical

187 adverse events.

188

189 **Statistical analysis.** For summary statistics, categorical variables will be described as
190 numbers and percentages, whereas normally and non-normally distributed continuous
191 variables will be described as means and standard deviations or medians and
192 interquartile ranges. Univariable and multivariable analyses will be performed to
193 evaluate risk factors associated with the primary outcome. Baseline differences between
194 subjects with and without difficult airway features will be evaluated using the Student's
195 t-test for normally distributed continuous variables, Wilcoxon rank-sum test for
196 continuous variables with skewed distributions, and chi-square test or Fisher's exact test
197 for categorical variables. Multilevel logistic regression models with mixed effects will
198 be used to evaluate the association between the occurrence of adverse events while
199 securing the airway and the presence of difficult airway features [15]. Hierarchical
200 relative risk regression models will be developed using robust error variances or
201 hierarchical logistic regression models for multivariable analysis. Potential confounders
202 for patient, anesthesia, provider characteristics, and institutions will be selected based
203 on clinical relevance and adjusted for fixed effects. The variance contributed by the
204 clustering effects based on the different clinical practices among anesthesia providers

will be adjusted (each subject as level 1, each anesthesia provider as level 2) as random effects [15]. Cases with missing values will be removed from the analysis. Data will be analyzed using STATA 17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA), with a two-sided *p*-value of <0.05, serving as the criterion for assessing the null hypothesis for each analysis.

Ethics statement

This study will be conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board at Aichi Children's Health and Medical Center (approval number 2021051, September 29, 2021) and local ethical approval will be obtained from each recruited institution before initiating data collection. The institutional review board considered that written consent could be waived by performing the opt-out procedure in the current clinical study.

Dissemination

The results of this study will be reported in a peer-reviewed journal and a relevant cademic conference.

Harms

No harmful events will occur during data collection because of the nature of an observational study without interventions.

Discussion

This is a prospective, registry-based, multicenter, observational study that aims to describe real-world data on the incidence of AEs and the risk factors attributed to securing the airway in children under general anesthesia. Investigating real-world data regarding patient characteristics (including the prevalence of difficult airway features) and characteristics of pediatric anesthesia practice can provide anesthesia providers with useful information to improve the safety and effectiveness of airway management.

This study has several important limitations owing to its observational nature of the study. First, unmeasured confounders could remain unadjusted owing to the observational nature of the study. Second, there might be a selection bias due to the sampling method. Third, reporting bias (i.e., misclassification bias) can occur due to incorrect reports during data collection. To address these potential limitations, we carefully designed this study to collect detailed information regarding the exposure

241 (presence of difficult airway features), potential confounders, and institutions based on
242 previous literature and meetings with experienced board-certified anesthesiologists
243 during the development of the study protocol. In addition, we structured a standardized
244 system to confirm the data collected by local research leaders among the recruited
245 institutions. This standard data confirmation system has been used in NEAR4KIDS,
246 which achieved a data capture rate of ≥95% [11]. Our standardized data collection
247 system allows our study to maintain the quality of the collected data and minimize
248 potential information biases (e.g., misclassification). In addition, the REDCap® data
249 registration system does not allow data registration to proceed if there are missing data.
250 We will use this system for data registration to minimize missing data for future
251 analysis.

252 Previous registry-based prospective studies (i.e., APRICOT study, PeDI
253 registry study) were performed mainly in Europe and North America [2,5]. The
254 evidence regarding the incidence of AEs related to securing the airway is insufficient in
255 Asian settings. The incidence and risks of AEs attributed to airway management in the
256 Japanese pediatric population might be different from those in Europe and North
257 America owing to the differences in the characteristics of the patient population and
258 anesthesia practices. This prospective, registry-based, real-world observational study in

Japan can be a stepping stone for further research to identify the specific risk factors for AEs attributed to failure to secure the airway in the Asian region.

Ethics statements

Patient consent for publication

Not required.

Acknowledgments

None.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Taiki Kojima, Yusuke Yamauchi, Fumio Watanabe, Shogo Ichiyanagi, Yasuma Kobayashi, Yu Kaiho, Shugo Kasuya, Norifumi Kuratani and Yasuyuki Suzuki

Data curation: Taiki Kojima, Yusuke Yamauchi

Funding acquisition: Taiki Kojima [Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Kakanhi Grant) #22K09085, April 1, 2022].

Methodology: Taiki Kojima, Yusuke Yamauchi, Fumio Watanabe, Shogo Ichiyanagi

Writing - original draft: Taiki Kojima

Writing – review & editing: Taiki Kojima, Yusuke Yamauchi, Fumio Watanabe, Shogo Ichiyanagi, Yasuma Kobayashi, Yu Kaiho, Shugo Kasuya, Kevin Y. Urayama, Norifumi Kuratani, Yasuyuki Suzuki

References

1. Else SDN, Kovatsis PG. A narrative review of oxygenation During pediatric intubation and airway procedures. Anesth Analg. 2020;130: 831-840. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000004403.

2. Fiadjoe JE, Nishisaki A, Jagannathan N, Hunyady AI, Greenberg RS, Reynolds PI, et al. Airway management complications in children with difficult tracheal intubation from the Pediatric Difficult Intubation (PeDI) registry: A prospective cohort analysis. Lancet Respir Med. 2016;4: 37-48. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(15)00508-1.

3. Schibler A, Hall GL, Businger F, Reinmann B, Wildhaber JH, Cernelc M, et al. Measurement of lung volume and ventilation distribution with an ultrasonic flow meter in healthy infants. Eur Respir J. 2002;20: 912-918. doi: 10.1183/09031936.02.00226002.

4. Humphreys S, Pham TM, Stocker C, Schibler A. The effect of induction of anesthesia and intubation on end-expiratory lung level and regional ventilation distribution in cardiac children. Paediatr Anaesth. 2011;21: 887-893. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9592.2011.03547.x.

5. Habre W, Disma N, Virag K, Becke K, Hansen TG, Jöhr M, et al. Incidence of severe critical events in paediatric anaesthesia (apricot): A prospective multicentre observational study in 261 hospitals in Europe. Lancet Respir Med. 2017;5: 412-425. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30116-9.

6. Subramanyam R, Yeramaneni S, Hossain MM, Anneken AM, Varughese AM. Perioperative respiratory adverse events in pediatric ambulatory anesthesia: Development and validation of a risk prediction tool. Anesth Analg. 2016;122: 1578-1585. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000001216.

7. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap)--A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for

313 providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42: 377-381.
314 doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010.
315
316 8. Branca A, Tellez D, Berkenbosch J, Rehder KJ, Giuliano JS, Gradidge E, et al. The
317 New Trainee Effect in Tracheal Intubation Procedural Safety Across PICUs in North
318 America: A Report From National Emergency Airway Registry for Children. Pediatr Crit
319 Care Med. 2020;21: 1042-1050. doi: 10.1097/PCC.0000000000002480.
320
321 9. Heinrich S, Birkholz T, Ihmsen H, Irouschek A, Ackermann A, Schmidt J. Incidence
322 and predictors of difficult laryngoscopy in 11,219 pediatric anesthesia procedures.
323 Paediatr Anaesth. 2012;22: 729-736. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9592.2012.03813.x.
324
325 10. Valois-Gómez T, Oofuvong M, Auer G, Coffin D, Loetwiriyakul W, Correa JA.
326 Incidence of difficult bag-mask ventilation in children: A prospective observational study.
327 Paediatr Anaesth. 2013;23: 920-926. doi: 10.1111/pan.12144.
328
329 11. Kojima T, Laverriere EK, Owen EB, Harwayne-Gidansky I, Shenoi AN, Napolitano
330 N, et al. Clinical impact of external laryngeal manipulation during laryngoscopy on

331 tracheal intubation success in critically ill children. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2018;19: 106-
332 114. doi: 10.1097/PCC.0000000000001373.
333
334 12. Mirghassemi A, Soltani AE, Abtahi M. Evaluation of laryngoscopic views and related
335 influencing factors in a pediatric population. Paediatr Anaesth. 2011;21: 663-667. doi:
336 10.1111/j.1460-9592.2011.03555.x.
337
338 13. Akpek EA, Mutlu H, Kayhan Z. Difficult intubation in pediatric cardiac anesthesia. J
339 Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2004;18: 610-612. doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2004.07.003.
340
341 14. Graciano AL, Tamburro R, Thompson AE, Fiadjoe J, Nadkarni VM, Nishisaki A.
342 Incidence and associated factors of difficult tracheal intubations in pediatric ICUs: A
343 report from National Emergency Airway Registry for Children: NEAR4KIDS. Intensive
344 Care Med. 2014;40: 1659-1669. doi: 10.1007/s00134-014-3407-4.
345
346 15. Austin PC. A tutorial on multilevel survival analysis: Methods, models and
347 applications. Int Stat Rev. 2017;85: 185-203. doi: 10.1111/insr.12214.



Figure 1. Flow of collection, quality control, and storage of data

Figure1