Targeting SARS-CoV-2 superspreading infections could dramatically improve the efficiency of epidemic control strategies in resource-limited contexts

MV Evans[1]*, T Ramiadantsoa[1]*, A Garchitorena[1,2], K Kauffman[3,4,5], J Moody[6], C Nunn[3,4], JY Rabezara[7], P Raharimalala[8], TM Randriamoria[9], V Soarimalala[9,10], G Titcomb[5,11,12], B Roche[1]

1. MIVEGEC, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France
2. NGO PIVOT, Ranomafana, Ifanadiana, Madagascar
3. Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA
4. Duke Global Health Institute, Durham, North Carolina, USA
5. Ecology, Evolution, and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara, California, USA
6. Department of Sociology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA
7. Department of Science and Technology, University of Antsiranana, Antsiranana, Madagascar
8. Andapa, Madagascar
9. Association Vahatra, BP 3972, Antananarivo, Madagascar
10. Institut des Sciences et Techniques de l'Environnement, Université de Fianarantsoa, BP 1264, Fianarantsoa 301, Madagascar
11. Marine Science Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, California, USA
12. Department of Fish, Wildlife and Conservation Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, USA

*co-first authors

Corresponding author: MVE (911 Avenue Agropolis Montpellier 34000 France; +33 06 51 21 75 62; mv.evans.phd@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Background: Superspreading infections play an important role in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Superspreading is caused primarily by heterogeneity in social contact rates, and therefore represents an opportunity for targeting surveillance and control via consideration of social network topologies, particularly in resource-limited settings. Yet, it remains unclear how to implement such surveillance and control, espeically when network data is unavailable.

Methods: We evaluated the efficiency of a testing strategy that targeted potential superspreading individuals based on their degree centrality on a social network compared to a random testing strategy in the context of low testing capacity. We simulated SARS-CoV-2 dynamics on two contact networks from rural Madagascar and measured the epidemic duration, infection burden, and tests needed to end the epidemics. In addition, we examined the robustness of this approach when individuals' true degree centralities were unknown and were instead estimated via readily-available socio-demographic variables.

Findings: Targeted testing of potential superspreaders reduced the infection burden by 40-63% at low testing capacities, while requiring between 45-78% fewer tests compared to random testing. Further, targeted testing remained more efficient when the true network topology was unknown and prioritization was based on socio-demographic characteristics.

Interpretation: Incorporating social network topology into epidemic control strategies is an effective public health strategy for health systems suffering from low testing capacity and can be implemented via socio-demographic proxies when social networks are unknown.

Funding: This research was funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, a NIH-SSF-NIFA Ecology and Evolution of Infectious Disease Award (No. 1R01-TW011493-01), and a Duke University Provost’s Collaboratory grant.

INTRODUCTION

Superspreading infections play an important role in the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic; most infections cause no or few secondary infections, while a small proportion of infections cause a disproportionately high number of secondary infections (1,2). Both biological and social mechanisms contribute to this variation, driven by individual differences in host competence, viral transmission efficiency, and contact rates (3,4, 5,6). For SARS-CoV-2, social contact heterogeneity is the primary driver of the distribution pattern in secondary infections (7). Variation in social contacts can be represented by a social network, yet public health authorities commonly lack the data needed for social network-based surveillance. However, some socio-demographic variables predict connectivity on social networks and may therefore be useful proxies for the risk of superspreading.

Because superspreader infections contribute disproportionately to transmission chains, they also provide an opportunity for targeted public health interventions (8,9), particularly in contexts where surveillance and testing resources are limited. As early as April 2020, the Africa Centers for Disease Control and Prevention called attention to the lack of SARS-CoV-2 diagnostics in the region (10). Indeed, serological surveys from multiple countries revealed infection burdens much higher than those predicted based on case-based surveillance, an indication of underdiagnosis of COVID-19 cases by diagnostic systems (11–13). Testing is an integral part of the COVID-19 responses of sub-Saharan African countries (14), and the lack of adequate testing capacity can impede public health efforts. One way to mediate the limitation of low testing capacity is by using prioritized testing schemes, such as schemes that prioritize testing of only symptomatic individuals or testing of close contacts of known cases (15). Testing schemes that take into account social contact heterogeneity on a social network (e.g. network topology) could prioritize testing of potential superspreaders, such as highly connected individuals, and may slow community transmission using fewer tests than non-prioritized schemes. As such, they are a potential pathway to epidemic control in the face of limited testing capacities.

Here, we use epidemic simulations on empirical and simulated social networks to investigate the effectiveness of targeted testing of highly connected (e.g. potentially superspreading) individuals to control an epidemic when testing capacity and social network information is limited. We simulate SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks on two close-contact social networks derived from social and spatial movement data on individuals living in rural communities in the Sambava district of the SAVA region

in northeastern Madagascar (16). We then compare the effectiveness of testing strategies that target superspreaders to those that test randomly using the full knowledge of the social network, evaluating the time needed to control the epidemic, the total infection burden, and number of tests needed. Finally, we repeat the simulations using socio-demographic variables from the study population to identify potential superspreaders, thus investigating whether these commonly available data are suitable proxies for hetereogeneity in transmission.

METHODS

Social contact networks

We modeled SARS-CoV-2 dynamics using a susceptible-exposed-infected-recovered (SEIR) model simulated across undirected networks where each individual was represented explicitly as a node in the network and their contacts by edges. Importantly, we modeled epidemics on an empirical social network, rather than a simulated one, to ensure our results were most relevant to settings with limited testing capacities. Empirical contact networks contain unique network topologies that may be lacking from simulated networks and more accurately represent the true social structure of a population, with important consequences for disease transmission (17). However, half of all empirical social contact networks used in epidemic modeling are derived from European contexts (18), where SARS-CoV-2 testing capacities are relatively high. To ensure our results were most relevant to settings with limited testing capacities, we simulated epidemics on two contact networks obtained from rural communities in a low-income country where testing capacities are currently limited.

The social contact networks were constructed using survey and GPS tracker data of consenting adults (over 18 years of age) living in two villages in the SAVA region of northeastern Madagascar. The first village, Mandena (14°28'36″ S 47°48'50″ E), as described by Kauffman et al. (16), has approximately 2700 people (based on census data from local authorities). The second village, Sarahandrano (14°36’27’’ S 49°38’50’’), is home to approximately 900 people. Data collection occurred over 7 weeks in Mandena and over 3 separate sampling periods, ranging from 5 to 8 weeks each over a 9-month time period, in Sarahandrano. Subjects participated in a social network survey that asked for information on individuals with whom they have regular contact or supportive social relationships. These social surveys were used for recruitment of additional

individuals into the study via snowball sampling (19). Subjects (n = 123 and 321, respectively) were provided with a GPS tracker programmed to record a location every three minutes to wear for at least one week. Participants wore the GPS for at least one week, with a subset of individuals agreeing to wear a new, freshly charged GPS for additional weeks (n = 76; 61.8% and n=154; 48.0% for Mandena and Sarahandrano, respectively). The close-contact networks were then imputed to account for individuals wearing GPS trackers in different weeks of the study. Edges in the network represent the probability a dyad came into close contact and the predicted proportion of 3 minute-periods of close-contact between dyads over the number of possible contacts in one week (details in supplement). We included edges with a weight above a threshold value equal to at least one hour of contact during the week, resulting in final network sizes of 120 and 318 for the Mandena and Sarahandrano networks, respectively. In order to create a time-integrated network, we rescaled the weight so that a value of 1 corresponded to 24 hours in contact over the course of the week.

SEIR Model

At each time step, equivalent to one day, an individual could become susceptible, exposed, infected (symptomatic and asymptomatic), or recovered (Fig. S2.1). A susceptible individual's probability of becoming exposed is a function of how many infected contacts they are connected to on the network and their transmission rates (see Supplementary Materials for full specification). They then moved through exposed and infected compartments following time-dependent transition rates, and remained recovered until the end of the simulation. Time-dependent transition rates more accurately describe SARS-CoV-2 epidemic dynamics than memoryless transition rates, which assume transition rates are independent of the time spent in the compartment (20). Therefore, we relaxed the memoryless assumption of all transition rates, and parameterized the model so that the latent period followed a gamma distribution with a mean of 6.5 days (*sd* 2.6)(21) and the mean recovery period followed a gamma distribution with a mean of 3.2 days (*sd* 4.3) (22) (Fig. S2.2). We assumed thirty percent (30%) of infected individuals were asymptomatic (23), and that asymptomatic individuals had a transmission rate equal to 30% of the transmission rate (β) of symptomatic individuals (24). The transmission rate (β) was parameterized to approximate an epidemic that resulted in an effective reproduction number of 4.54 (Fig. S2.3), and a range of rates are explored in the supplement. Further details on model specification are provided in the supplement.

Each SEIR simulation was initiated by randomly selecting two individuals to be infected. These exposed individuals thus started the simulation on the first day of their latent period. The number of susceptible, exposed, infected (asymptomatic and symptomatic), and recovered individuals were recorded at each time step. Epidemics were simulated until no exposed or infected individuals remained, which we define as the full duration of the epidemic.

Evaluating Control Strategies

We considered two different testing strategies. One strategy targeted potential superspreaders. In this strategy, individuals were tested in order of descending degree centrality (e.g. the number of nodes they are connected to); hence, the most well-connected individuals were tested first. The other strategy tested individuals randomly, without consideration of network topology. We only considered passive surveillance, which tests infected, symptomatic individuals. Testing took place at the end of each simulated time-step (daily), after individuals had gone through transitions at that time step. Infected individuals that were positively identified via testing were quarantined by moving them immediately to the recovered class, where they no longer contributed to transmission. While SARS-CoV-2 re-infection is possible, we did not include this possibility given the short duration of our epidemics relative to immune waning (25). In addition to two testing strategies, we considered low and high testing capacities, corresponding to monthly testing capacities of 25% and 100% of the total population. To account for imperfect surveillance, symptomatic individuals that were identified to be tested had a 0.75 probability of being successfully contacted and tested. Testing began on day 21 of all simulations. All strategies, including a control of no testing, were simulated 1000 times.

We evaluated each strategy and testing capacity combination based on how efficiently it controlled the epidemic, defined as the time and resources spent until no infected or exposed individuals remained. We used three metrics to evaluate the outcomes: the duration of the epidemic, the cumulative number of infected individuals per capita, and the number of tests used to control the epidemic. We assessed each strategy and testing capacity based on its ability to reduce the infection burden and the length of the epidemic while minimizing the tests needed.

Sensitivity Analysis

We assessed the robustness of our results by varying three categories of parameters in our simulations: intervention parameters (start date and imperfect surveillance rate), biological parameters (transmission rate), and network parameters (network size and assortativity). We compared the efficiency of the two different control strategies via the same measures used in the main analysis. Further details on these methods and results are reported in the Supplemental Materials.

Applying Control Strategies to Networks with Unknown Topologies

To examine the applicability of these strategies to real-world scenarios, we compared the effectiveness of the two strategies when applied to a population where the true degree distribution is unknown and identification of potential superspreaders is derived from common socio-demographic variables. First, we fit a statistical model to predict each individual's degree percentile in their respective network using the following socio-demographic variables: age, gender, household size, marital status (single vs. cohabiting/married), and schooling level (none, primary, secondary, higher).The model was fit exploring all potential main effects of socio-demographic variables and interactions with gender. A final model was obtained by model averaging all models within 4 AIC units of the top model (26). The full details of model fitting are described in the Supplemental Materials. Using this model, we predicted an estimated degree percentile for each individual in the two networks. These predicted degree percentiles were then used to rank individuals by their degree centrality and these rankings were used to prioritize testing in the targeted strategy. All other aspects of the simulations (e.g. transmission dynamics, control strategies) remained unchanged. We then compared the efficiency of the two control strategies on known and unknown network topologies, where testing was prioritized based on individuals' true and statistically-estimated degrees, respectively.

Ethical Statement

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Duke University (protocol no. 2019-0560) and Malagasy Ethics Panel (137 MSNP/SG/AGMED/CERBM du 26 août 2020) approved the survey protocols used in this study. Participants in the social surveys and wearing GPS trackers provided written and verbal consent.

Role of the Funding Source

The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study, nor the decision to prepare and submit the manuscript for publication.

RESULTS

Madagascar Social Network Topology

The social networks from rural Madagascar had characteristics of networks where superspreading is likely to occur. The Mandena network contained 120 nodes and 4136 total edges, with a mean node degree of 34.47 and normal degree distribution. However, the distribution of edge weights was strongly left-skewed. The mean edge-weight was 0.18, but less than two percent of edges had a weight above 1 (equal to 24 hours over a week-long period). The majority of edge weights were below 0.05, equivalent to 80 minutes of close contact a week. The Sarahandrano network contained 318 nodes and 16140 total edges, with a mean node degree of 50.7 and a normal degree distribution. Like the Mandena network, the distribution of edge weights was strongly left-skewed, but degree centrality was overall higher than on the Mandena network. The mean edge-weight was 0.31, with 614 edges, or 3.8%, having a weight above 1. Fifty-percent of edge-weights were below 0.08.

Control Efficiency

The targeted strategy had the largest effect on reducing daily incidence the most while using the fewest tests, particularly at a 25% testing capacity (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). An uncontrolled epidemic, with no testing, resulted in a median of 0.71 infections per capita on the Mandena network and 0.91 infections per capita on the Sarahandrano network (Table 1, Fig. 2). In contrast, at a monthly testing capacity of 25%, targeting highly connected individuals resulted in a median of 0.17 and 0.40 new infections per capita on the Mandena and Sarahandrano networks, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 2). The infection burden decreased with increasing testing capacity for both control strategies, but the random testing strategy was most sensitive to an increase in testing capacity, while the targeted strategy used a similar number of tests across all testing capacities (Table 1, Fig. 2).

Testing was more efficient when targeting highly connected individuals on both networks, requiring less than a quarter of the number of tests needed when testing randomly on the Mandena

network and half as many tests on the Sarahandrano network when testing at 25% capacity. This was equivalent to 40 tests when testing randomly and 9 tests with targeted testing on the Mandena network and 127 tests when testing randomly and 69 tests with targeted testing on the Sarahandrano network. Targeted testing only shortened the epidemic length on the Mandena network (Table 1, Fig. 2), where it was able to stop transmission chains earlier in the epidemic than random testing (Fig. 1, Fig. 3). On the Sarahandrano network, random testing flattened the epidemic curve by reducing the number of infections, but this did prolong the epidemic by preventing rapid spread through the population as in the no testing strategy (Fig. 1, Fig. 2)

Visualizing a simulated SEIR epidemic on the Mandena network illustrates how each strategy works at a fine scale (Fig. 3). The strategy of no control allowed for the highest infection burden, including individuals with low centrality who were infected later in the epidemic than in other strategies. Testing randomly did stop some transmission chains, but transmission continued later into the epidemic than targeted testing, with the highest daily incidence of four cases on day 74 of the epidemic. In contrast, targeted testing slowed transmission by halting transmission chains that would result in a high number of secondary infections (Fig. 3); daily incidence never rose above two cases and the last transmission event was on day 40.

Across all three categories of the sensitivity analyses, targeted testing remained the most efficient strategy (Fig. S2.1 - S2.5). This difference was most pronounced at low capacities when testing began earlier in the epidemic and at intermediate to high transmission rates. However, even when testing began on day 40, the targeted strategy reduced the infection burden by over 0.10 infections per capita relative to random testing (Fig. S2.1). The relative efficiency of targeted testing was highest at high transmission rates (Fig. S2.3). Our results were not sensitive to network size or assortativity rates. Further sensitivity analysis results are reported in the Supplementary Materials.

Applying Control Strategies to Networks with Unknown Topologies

A model including socio-demographic variables did a poor job of predicting degree percentile across the two networks ($R^2 = 0.03$). However, the model was able to rank individuals by degree centrality (Mandena Spearman's $\rho = 0.15$ ($p=0.09$); Sarahandrano Spearman's $\rho = 0.18$ ($p=0.002$)). The model was able to distinguish high-degree individuals from low-degree individuals: the top ten individuals in each network had a predicted degree that was on average approximately twice as high

as the bottom ten individuals (Fig. S3.3). Marital status was the only variable included in all models within 4 AIC units of the top model, but all socio-demographic variables were included in the averaged model. Specifically, cohabiting individuals had lower degree centrality than single individuals. Further details are reported in the Supplementary Materials.

Despite the poor predictive capacity of degree percentile, the predictions based on socio-demographic variables performed remarkably well in the targeted testing strategies. Indeed, testing based on socio-demographically predicted centrality was as effective as using centralities obtained from a social network (Fig. 4). The infection burden was slightly higher when using estimated degree centralities to target testing, particularly on the Sarahandrano network, but it remained lower than strategies of no testing and testing randomly. Although socio-demographic characteristics were not strong predictors of absolute degree centrality values, we found that their ability to differentiate between very high and low connected individuals was enough to successfully implement a control strategy that considers network topology.

DISCUSSION

In the face of global diagnostic and vaccine inequity, many countries are tasked with developing novel public health interventions that optimize limited diagnostic capacities to control the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. Given the role of superspreading infections in community transmission of SARS-Cov-2, we explored whether control strategies that consider social network topologies, specifically individuals' degree centrality, could limit disease spread while using fewer resources than current, non-prioritized testing strategies. We found that strategies that target well-connected, infected individuals are the most effective, reducing epidemic duration and overall infection burden, particularly at low testing capacities. In fact, in simulations on empirical social contact networks from rural Madagascar, targeted testing reduced the infection burden and shortened the epidemic even at a testing capacity of only one test per day, equivalent to a monthly testing capacity of 25% of the population. These strategies were robust even when identification of superspreaders was imperfect due to unknown network topologies and based solely on socio-demographic variables. This study demonstrates the benefit of considering social network topology in data-driven epidemic control strategies.

We find that strategies that prioritize testing highly-connected individuals offer the most benefit in contexts with low testing capacities. In our simulations, this is achieved by controlling the epidemic

before it reaches the point at which limited testing capacity cannot contain it. However, even when the start of testing is delayed by 30 days, the targeted strategy reduces the epidemic length by nearly one month and the infection burden by 0.10 infections per capita (Fig. S4.1). Early, aggressive testing has been used to successfully control the epidemic in several countries (South Korea: (27), New Zealand: (28)), and a similar mechanism explains why strategies that target highly connected individuals are so efficient in our simulations. Although delayed testing did result in higher infection burdens for all control strategies, targeted testing remained the most efficient strategy because of its ability to halt the epidemic quickly, even when begun later in the epidemic. At the national or continental scale, it is hypothesized that relatively low connectivity between rural communities may slow the epidemic pace of SARS-CoV-2 in sub-Saharan Africa compared to the US or Europe (29). However, at the community-scale, such as those portrayed in our empirical networks, connectivity between individuals was high. Therefore, at this scale, epidemics may spread rapidly following an initial introduction, and implementing measures quickly are key to limiting infections. Notably, at the beginning of the pandemic in 2020, many African countries implemented control measures more quickly than European countries (30), effectively limiting spread during the first wave of the epidemic. Our simulations show that implementing targeted testing strategies at the beginning of local epidemics similarly reduces disease burdens.

While the concept of developing a disease control strategy configured by a social network is not novel (31–33), this study is one of the few that explicitly considers limited testing capacities on par with those in low-income countries. Madagascar tested 26,425 individuals (less than 0.01% of the total population) between March - September 2020 (34), only a fraction of the testing capacity required by mass-testing campaigns that have been implemented elsewhere (e.g. Slovakia, (35)). This is further complicated by the relative remoteness of some communities, with more than 50% of the population living further than two hours from a hospital (36). In both Mandena and Sarahandrano, for example, no SARS-CoV-2 testing has been available to date. While cost and physical access to testing are significant barriers to disease control in Madagascar (37), our findings suggest that, if and when testing is available to rural communities, targeted testing can mitigate the negative impact of limited testing capacity on epidemic control. For example, antigen-based testing is relatively low-cost, does not require refrigeration, provides instant results, and could be implemented at a local scale via outreach teams of skilled health workers (14). However, in order for prioritized testing schemes such

as this to be possible, the global inequality in public health resources such as testing must first be overcome (38).

Another novel aspect of our study is the consideration of demographic predictors of network centrality to guide targeted testing. In practice, social network data is rarely available and implementing testing based on degree is unfeasible when network topologies are unknown. However, we show that estimated measures of degree centrality based on common socio-demographic variables perform as well as “true” degree centrality when used to prioritize testing schemes. Health authorities can implement superspreader-targeted control strategies by taking into account easy to measure individual characteristics (e.g. age, gender, household size, marital status), many of which are available in healthcare and governmental records, or can be quickly generated through surveys. The exact socio-demographic variables to include will vary depending on local demographics and cultural practices, and will require input from local experts. However, we found that even imperfect models can inform prioritization strategies if they are able to differentiate the most connected from the least connected individuals, demonstrating that integrating social network topologies into control strategies is therefore both effective and feasible.

Our social networks represented realistic, but necessarily simplified versions of true social networks. For example, we assumed social contacts were static and did not change, either as a result of dynamic social behavior (39), or changes in behavior due to the epidemic (e.g. social distancing). However, our simulations were relatively short, lasting less than three months on average, and static networks have been successfully used to model rapid epidemics, such as SARS-CoV-1 (40). Our social networks necessarily only included individuals over 18 years old, who represent less than 50% of the population nationally. Although contact patterns may differ for individuals under 18 years old, our sensitivity analyses found that the targeted strategy was most effective for a variety of network topologies, suggesting these results will hold when applied to the full network of all ages. In addition, the small size of our networks (120 and 318) meant that epidemics were short and highly influenced by stochasticity, which we attempted to control for by simulating 1000 epidemics with different initial conditions. By using empirical social contact networks, we included realistic social network topologies that more accurately represent exposure risk in rural Madagascar than simulated networks or networks based on studies from the Global North, where the majority of social networks originate from. A recent meta-analysis found only four social contact studies, less than 7% of those included in

the meta-analysis, incorporated data from sub-Saharan Africa (18). We included two social networks from rural Madagascar in our study and found that superspreader-targeted testing reduced infection burdens on both networks relative to random testing, suggesting our findings may be generalizable to other rural communities elsewhere. In addition, our sensitivity analyses demonstrated that our results are robust to network size and assortativity, and therefore may apply to a variety of social network topologies. Expanding social network data collection outside of the Global North would allow for more realistic and context-specific estimates of disease dynamics on social networks globally.

Incorporating social network topology into SARS-CoV-2 interventions greatly increases the efficiency of control strategies under limiting testing capacities. Prioritized testing of highly-connected individuals shortens the length of the epidemic while reducing the infection burden. These control strategies are effective even when the true network topology is unknown and potential superspreaders are identified using only common socio-demographic variables. Incorporating a similar strategy could aid countries with limited diagnostic resources to manage the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic within their communities. Importantly, this study focused on testing to control the epidemic at the population level, and assumes that diagnostics are not tied to treatment. SARS-CoV-2 control strategies should be adapted according to a country's public health policy to ensure that vulnerable populations do not lose access to diagnostics and treatment. While our study focused on SARS-CoV-2, testing strategies that consider network topology may be useful for other diseases with similar transmission pathways, particularly when superspreaders drive transmission. This simulation approach could be easily re-parameterized to characterize different diseases of interest. As social contact network data becomes more widely available, considering social network topology is a promising method for allocating limited resources during public health crises.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the communities of Mandena and Sarahandrano for their participation in this study. We would also like to thank Jessica Metcalf for her feedback on earlier drafts of the manuscript.

Data Sharing

All code and data needed to reproduce the SEIR simulations will be freely available via a figshare repository upon publication (private link: URL). Socio-demographic data is protected under an existing data agreement and is only available upon request.

Contributors

MVE, TR, and BR conceptualized the study. All authors contributed to designing the methodologies concerning data collection and analysis. KK, CN, JM, JYR, PR, TMR, VS, and GT collected the data and MVE, TR, KK, and GT conducted analyses. AG, CN, JM, and BR provided project supervision. MVE, KK, and GT wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication. All authors confirm that they had full access to all the data in the study and accept responsibility for submission for publication.

Figures



Figure 1. Testing infected superspreaders reduces daily incidence while requiring fewer tests than other strategies. Cumulative daily incidence (top row) and cumulative tests required (bottom row) for the two testing strategies across two testing capacities on the Mandena and Sarahandrano networks. Testing capacities refer to ability to test a percentage of the total population monthly. The vertical dashed line represents the start of the control strategies at day 21. Lines represent median values from 1000 simulations.



Figure 2. Prioritizing infected superspreaders reduces epidemic duration, total infection burden, and the number of tests needed, even at low testing capacities. Comparison of efficiency of two control strategies at two testing capacities on the Mandena and Sarahandrano

networks. Testing capacities correspond to monthly testing capacities equal to testing 25% and 100% of the total population. The dashed black line represents median values from simulations with no testing. Raw data is represented by points and median values per strategy are represented by bold horizontal lines. The figure displays results from 1000 simulations for each combination of testing capacity and control strategy.



Figure 3. Targeting superspreaders most efficiently reduces disease spread across social networks. Final epidemic spread of one simulation on the Mandena network for three different control strategies. Nodes are represented by points, colored based on day of infection, and sized according to their degree centrality. Nodes that were never infected are white. All control strategies used a monthly testing capacity of 25%.



Figure 4. Prioritizing testing based on individuals' predicted degree centralities performs similarly to prioritizing testing based on individuals' known degree. Comparison of efficiency of two control strategies at two testing capacities on the Mandena and Sarahandrano networks using true degree centralities (circles) or degree centralities predicted from socio-demographic variables (diamonds).Testing capacities correspond to monthly testing capacities equal to testing 25% and 100% of the total population. The dashed black line represents median values from simulations with no testing. Points represent the median and error bars the 95%CI based on 1000 simulations.

TABLES

Table 1. Median and 95% CI of efficiency metrics for two control strategies on twoempirical social contact networks from rural Madagascar. Represents median and confidence intervals from 1000 simulations. Testing capacity corresponds to monthly testing capacity, with 100% equal to the ability to test the full population monthly. Note that efficiency at 0% testing capacity is the same for both strategies because it represents the control strategy of no testing.

		Mandena Network		**Sarahandrano Network**	
Efficiency Metric	**Testing Capacity**	**Test Randomly**	**Target Superspreaders**	**Test Randomly**	**Target Superspreaders**
Epidemic Duration	**0%**	87 (11,136)	87 (11,136)	87 (65.97, 122)	87 (65.97, 122)
	25%	79 (11,146)	42 (11,71)	104 (45.95, 209.02)	69 (27, 121.05)
	100%	60 (11,137)	36 (11,63)	112 (41, 228.05)	63 (27, 210.05)
Infections Per Capita	**0%**	0.71 (0.02,0.83	0.71 (0.02,0.83	0.91 (0.86, 0.94)	0.91 (0.86, 0.94)
	25%	0.47 (0.02,0.67)	0.17 (0.02,0.53)	0.64 (0.08, 0.85)	0.4 (0.02, 0.75)
	100%	0.28 (0.02,0.51)	0.14 (0.02,0.37)	0.51 (0.08, 0.69)	0.32 (0.02, 0.53)
Tests per Capita	**25%**	0.33 (0,0.62)	0.07 (0,0.22)	0.4 (0.06, 0.6)	0.22 (0.01, 0.034)
	100%	0.23 (0,0.58)	0.07 (0,0.19)	0.4 (0.06, 0.63)	0.2 (0.01, 0.32)

REFERENCES

1. Althouse BM, Wenger EA, Miller JC, Scarpino SV, Allard A, Hébert-Dufresne L, et al. Superspreading events in the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2: Opportunities for interventions and control. PLOS Biology. 2020;18(11):e3000897.

2. Wong F, Collins JJ. Evidence that coronavirus superspreading is fat-tailed. PNAS. 2020;117(47):29416–8.

3. Marks M, Millat-Martinez P, Ouchi D, Roberts C h, Alemany A, Corbacho-Monné M, et al. Transmission of COVID-19 in 282 clusters in Catalonia, Spain: a cohort study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2021;21(5):629–36.

4. Jones TC, Biele G, Mühlemann B, Veith T, Schneider J, Beheim-Schwarzbach J, et al. Estimating infectiousness throughout SARS-CoV-2 infection course. Science. 2021;373(6551):eabi5273.

5. Firth JA, Hellewell J, Klepac P, Kissler S, Kucharski AJ, Spurgin LG. Using a real-world network to model localized COVID-19 control strategies. Nat Med. 2020;26(10):1616–22.

6. Majra D, Benson J, Pitts J, Stebbing J. SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) superspreader events. Journal of Infection. 2021 Jan 1;82(1):36–40.

7. Susswein Z, Bansal S. Characterizing superspreading of SARS-CoV-2 : from mechanism to measurement. medRxiv. 2020 Dec 11;2020.12.08.20246082.

8. Lloyd-Smith JO, Schreiber SJ, Kopp PE, Getz WM. Superspreading and the effect of individual variation on disease emergence. Nature. 2005 Nov;438(7066):355–9.

9. Woolhouse MEJ, Dye C, Etard JF, Smith T, Charlwood JD, Garnett GP, et al. Heterogeneities in the transmission of infectious agents: Implications for the design of control programs. PNAS. 1997 Jan 7;94(1):338–42.

10. Nkengasong J. Let Africa into the market for COVID-19 diagnostics. Nature. 2020 Apr 28;580(7805):565–565.

11. Uyoga S, Adetifa IMO, Karanja HK, Nyagwange J, Tuju J, Wanjiku P, et al. Seroprevalence of anti–SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Kenyan blood donors. Science [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1; Available from: https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.abe1916

12. Schoenhals M, Rabenindrina N, Rakotondramanga JM, Dussart P, Randremanana R, Heraud JM, et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibody seroprevalence follow-up in Malagasy blood donors during the 2020 COVID-19 Epidemic. EBioMedicine. 2021 Jun;68:103419.

13. Ahouidi AD, Anderson M, Diédhiou CK, Dia A, Mbow M, Dia Y, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG Antibodies in a Healthcare setting During the First Wave in Senegal. IJID Regions [Internet]. 2021 Dec 24; Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772707621000485

14. Jacobs J, Kühne V, Lunguya O, Affolabi D, Hardy L, Vandenberg O. Implementing COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) Rapid Diagnostic Tests in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Review. Frontiers in Medicine. 2020 Oct 30;7:557797.

15. Botti-Lodovico Y, Rosenberg E, Sabeti PC. Testing in a Pandemic — Improving Access, Coordination, and Prioritization. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021 Jan 21;384(3):197–9.

16. Kauffman K, Werner CS, Titcomb G, Pender M, Rabezara JY, Herrera JP, et al. Comparing transmission potential networks based on social network surveys, close contacts and environmental overlap in rural Madagascar. Journal of The Royal Society Interface. 2021;19(186):20210690.

17. Aleta A, Arruda GF de, Moreno Y. Data-driven contact structures: From homogeneous mixing to multilayer networks. PLOS Computational Biology. 2020 Jul 16;16(7):e1008035.

18. Hoang T, Coletti P, Melegaro A, Wallinga J, Grijalva CG, Edmunds JW, et al. A Systematic Review of Social Contact Surveys to Inform Transmission Models of Close-contact Infections. Epidemiology. 2019 Sep;30(5):723–36.

19. Naderifar M, Goli H, Ghaljaie F. Snowball Sampling: A Purposeful Method of Sampling in Qualitative Research. Strides in Development of Medical Education [Internet]. 2017;14(3). Available from: http://sdme.kmu.ac.ir/article_90598.html

20. Sofonea MT, Reyné B, Elie B, Djidjou-Demasse R, Selinger C, Michalakis Y, et al. Memory is key in capturing COVID-19 epidemiological dynamics. Epidemics. 2021;35:100459.

21. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20–28 January 2020. Eurosurveillance. 2020;25(5):2000062.

22. Davies NG, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, Gimma A, Edmunds WJ, Jombart T, et al. Effects of non-pharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 cases, deaths, and demand for hospital services in the UK: a modelling study. The Lancet Public Health. 2020;5(7):e375–85.

23. Alene M, Yismaw L, Assemie MA, Ketema DB, Mengist B, Kassie B, et al. Magnitude of asymptomatic COVID-19 cases throughout the course of infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS ONE. 2021 Mar 23;16(3):e0249090.

24. Bi Q, Lessler J, Eckerle I, Lauer SA, Kaiser L, Vuilleumier N, et al. Insights into household transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from a population-based serological survey. Nat Commun. 2021 Jun 15;12(1):3643.

25. Breathnach AS, Riley PA, Cotter MP, Houston AC, Habibi MS, Planche TD. Prior COVID-19 significantly reduces the risk of subsequent infection, but reinfections are seen after eight months. Journal of Infection. 2021 Apr 1;82(4):e11–2.

26. Symonds MRE, Moussalli A. A brief guide to model selection, multimodel inference and model averaging in behavioural ecology using Akaike's information criterion. Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 2011 Jan;65(1):13–21.

27. Lee W, Hwang SS, Song I, Park C, Kim H, Song IK, et al. COVID-19 in South Korea: epidemiological and spatiotemporal patterns of the spread and the role of aggressive diagnostic tests in the early phase. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2020 Aug 1;49(4):1106–16.

28. Jefferies S, French N, Gilkison C, Graham G, Hope V, Marshall J, et al. COVID-19 in New Zealand and the impact of the national response: a descriptive epidemiological study. The Lancet Public Health. 2020 Nov 1;5(11):e612–23.

29. Rice BL, Annapragada A, Baker RE, Bruijning M, Dotse-Gborgbortsi W, Mensah K, et al. Variation in SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks across sub-Saharan Africa. Nature Medicine. 2021 Feb 2;1–7.

30. Rosenthal PJ, Breman JG, Djimde AA, John CC, Kamya MR, Leke RGF, et al. COVID-19: Shining the Light on Africa. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2020 Jun 3;102(6):1145–8.

31. Christakis NA, Fowler JH. Social Network Sensors for Early Detection of Contagious Outbreaks. PLOS ONE. 2010 Sep 15;5(9):e12948.

32. Sneppen K, Nielsen BF, Taylor RJ, Simonsen L. Overdispersion in COVID-19 increases the effectiveness of limiting nonrepetitive contacts for transmission control. PNAS [Internet]. 2021 Apr 6 [cited 2021 Nov 4];118(14). Available from: https://www.pnas.org/content/118/14/e2016623118

33. Nielsen BF, Simonsen L, Sneppen K. COVID-19 Superspreading Suggests Mitigation by Social Network Modulation. Phys Rev Lett. 2021 Mar 15;126(11):118301.

34. Randremanana RV, Andriamandimby S, Rakotondramanga JM, Razanajatovo NH, Mangahasimbola RT, Randriambolamanantsoa TH, et al. The COVID 19 epidemic in Madagascar: clinical description and laboratory results of the first wave, march september 2020. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2021 Jul;15(4):457–68.

35. Pavelka M, Van-Zandvoort K, Abbott S, Sherratt K, Majdan M, CMMID COVID-19 working group, et al. The impact of population-wide rapid antigen testing on SARS-CoV-2 prevalence in Slovakia. Science. 2021 May 7;372(6542):635–41.

36. Ouma PO, Maina J, Thuranira PN, Macharia PM, Alegana VA, English M, et al. Access to emergency hospital care provided by the public sector in sub-Saharan Africa in 2015: a geocoded inventory and spatial analysis. The Lancet Global Health. 2018 Mar;6(3):e342–50.

37. Rakotonanahary RJL, Andriambolamanana H, Razafinjato B, Raza-Fanomezanjanahary EM, Ramanandraitsiory V, Ralaivavikoa F, et al. Integrating Health Systems and Science to Respond to COVID-19 in a Model District of Rural Madagascar. Front Public Health. 2021 Jan 1;9:654299.

38. Jensen N, Kelly AH, Avendano M. The COVID-19 pandemic underscores the need for an equity-focused global health agenda. Humanit Soc Sci Commun. 2021 Jan 18;8(1):1–6.

39. Read JM, Eames KTD, Edmunds WJ. Dynamic social networks and the implications for the spread of infectious disease. J R Soc Interface. 2008 Sep 6;5(26):1001–7.

40. Bansal S, Read J, Pourbohloul B, Meyers LA. The dynamic nature of contact networks in infectious disease epidemiology. Journal of Biological Dynamics. 2010 Sep;4(5):478–89.