1	Sex differences in the change in cardiorespiratory fitness and additional physical and
2	mental health outcomes after exercise training in adults with atrial fibrillation: a
3	systematic review protocol
4	Sol Vidal-Almela, ^{a,b} Isabela R. Marçal, ^{a,b} Tasuku Terada, ^a Carley D. O'Neill, ^a Jennifer L.
5	Reed ^{a,b,c}
6	^a Exercise Physiology and Cardiovascular Health Lab, Division of Cardiac Prevention and
7	Rehabilitation, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa
8	^b School of Human Kinetics, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Ottawa
9	^c School of Epidemiology and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa
10	Sol Vidal-Almela, MSc, Exercise Physiology and Cardiovascular Health Lab, Division of
11	Cardiac Prevention and Rehabilitation, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, 40 Ruskin
12	Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K1Y 4W7, Canada, e-mail: SVidalalmela@ottawaheart.ca
13	Isabela R. Marçal, MSc, Exercise Physiology and Cardiovascular Health Lab, Division of
14	Cardiac Prevention and Rehabilitation, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, 40 Ruskin
15	Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K1Y 4W7, Canada, e-mail: IRoque@ottawaheart.ca
16	Tasuku Terada, PhD, Exercise Physiology and Cardiovascular Health Lab, Division of
17	Cardiac Prevention and Rehabilitation, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, 40 Ruskin
18	Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K1Y 4W7, Canada, e-mail: TTerada@ottawaheart.ca
19	Carley D. O'Neill, PhD, Exercise Physiology and Cardiovascular Health Lab, Division of
20	Cardiac Prevention and Rehabilitation, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, 40 Ruskin
21	Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K1Y 4W7, Canada, e-mail: CONeill@ottawaheart.ca
22	Jennifer L. Reed, PhD (corresponding author), Exercise Physiology and Cardiovascular
23	Health Lab, Division of Cardiac Prevention and Rehabilitation, University of Ottawa Heart

- 1 Institute, 40 Ruskin Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K1Y 4W7, Canada, e-mail:
- 2 JReed@ottawaheart.ca

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Background:** Patients with atrial fibrillation (AF), the most common sustained cardiac 3 arrhythmia, often have a low cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and poor physical and mental 4 health due to disabling AF symptoms. This is more pronounced in females, who also report 5 worse AF symptoms and quality of life (QoL) than males. Improving CRF through exercise 6 training is an important AF management target associated with lower hospitalization and 7 mortality rates. Emerging research suggests smaller CRF improvements in females than 8 males following the same exercise training program. Yet, this has not been systematically 9 reviewed in the AF population. The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare 10 changes in CRF following exercise training between females and males with AF. Secondary 11 aims will compare changes in AF symptoms, QoL and additional physical and mental health 12 outcomes between sexes. Methods: We will adhere to the reporting guidelines of the 13 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement. 14 Five electronic bibliographic databases are being searched to identify studies with 15 prospective cohort and experimental designs, implementing exercise training of any form 16 (e.g. aerobic, strength) for at least 4 weeks, in adults (≥ 18 years old) with an AF diagnosis. 17 Eligible studies must report a baseline and follow-up measure of at least one primary or 18 secondary outcome. CRF (primary outcome) can be estimated or directly measured as peak 19 oxygen consumption (VO₂peak). When eligible results are not segregated by sex, authors will 20 be contacted to obtain sex-specific data. Study quality and risk of bias will be assessed using 21 the Tool for the assEssment of Study qualiTy and reporting in EXercise (TESTEX) scale and 22 the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) 23 approach. Meta-analyses will be conducted to synthesize the measures of effect in studies 24 with sufficient homogeneity. Discussion: This review will address the lack of sex-based 25 analyses in exercise studies in the AF population. By using a sex lens, we will provide

- 1 evidence on the physical and mental health effects of exercise training in females and males
- 2 with AF. Our findings will be of value to patients with AF, researchers and healthcare
- 3 providers involved in AF management.
- 4 Systematic review registration: PROSPERO #CRD42022302310
- 5 **Keywords:** aerobic capacity, atrial fibrillation, cardiac arrhythmias, cardiac rehabilitation,
- 6 exercise training, meta-analysis, physical activity, sex characteristics, sex-specific

1 BACKGROUND

2	Atrial Fibrillation (AF) is characterized by an irregular cardiac rhythm and rapid heart rate.
3	There are currently more than 37 million patients with AF globally (1,2), and a 60% increase
4	in AF prevalence is expected by 2050 (2). AF is typically a progressive disorder (paroxysmal
5	to persistent and finally permanent) due to the accumulation of modifiable AF substrates (e.g.
6	hypertension, obesity) (3,4), yet participation in exercise-based cardiovascular rehabilitation
7	has been shown to lower the likelihood of AF progression (5).
8	Several research trials implementing exercise training have reported improvements in
9	cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) in patients with AF (6-16). CRF independently predicts AF
10	recurrence (17) and prognosis (18,19); every 1 metabolic equivalent (MET; i.e. 3.5 mL
11	$O_2 \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$) increase in CRF reduces AF recurrence by 9% (17). Patients with AF have a
12	3.3 to 5.0 mL $O_2 \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$ lower CRF than other cardiovascular disease populations (11,16)
13	and are bothered by a low exercise tolerance (20). An elevated left ventricular filling pressure
14	and diminished chronotropic response contribute to the reduced CRF in AF (21). In these
15	patients, improving CRF with exercise-based cardiovascular rehabilitation is associated with
16	a lower risk for cardiovascular disease hospitalization or all-cause mortality (hazards ratio
17	0.83, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.69 to 0.98, p=0.04) (22).
18	Females with AF have a substantially lower CRF than males (23). Females' lower CRF and
19	physical activity levels (24) may be associated with their reporting of more frequent and
20	severe AF symptoms (e.g. dyspnea, fatigue, palpitations, dizziness, anxiety) (25-27); poorer
21	quality of life (QoL) (28,29); and greater risk of mortality when compared to males (2).
22	Improving CRF through exercise training represents, thus, an important AF management
23	target which may be particularly beneficial for females.

1	Some studies in healthy and cardiovascular disease populations suggest that females may
2	improve CRF to a lesser extent than males following the same exercise program (30-34). In
3	the CopenHeartRFA trial (n=59 females, 151 males), females with paroxysmal or persistent
4	AF experienced a smaller increase in CRF than males (+1.7 vs. +2.9 mL·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹)
5	following 12-weeks of combined aerobic and strength training (23). Similarly, a 12-month
6	walking intervention in patients with permanent AF (n=7 females, 13 males) led to an
7	increase in CRF of 7% in females and 18% in males (11). Since only two studies in the AF
8	population have reported CRF segregated by sex, additional comparisons of CRF changes
9	following exercise training between females and males are warranted by pooling sex-specific
10	data during meta-analyses (35).
11	Exercise training has also been shown to improve AF symptoms (10,36) and QoL
12	(8,10,12,14,36) in patients with AF. The CopenHeartRFA trial reported significant increases
13	in AF-specific QoL as measured by the atrial fibrillation effect on quality-of-life (AFEQT)
14	scale in females (global score: +34.4±16.9 points; treatment concern score: +17.0±23.5
15	points) but not males, when compared to usual care (23). Exercise may narrow pre-existing
16	differences in QoL between sexes.
17	There are sex-based differences in additional modifiable risk factors for AF. The prevalence
18	of hypertension (27,28,37), obesity (24) and depression (24) is higher in females than males
19	with AF. Females also experience faster heart rates during AF (38), which may accelerate the
20	electrical and structural remodeling in the atria (39). Such risk factors contribute to AF
21	pathogenesis (39), but irrefutable evidence has shown the benefits of exercise training in
22	improving physical and mental health (40,41). In patients with AF, whilst exercise alone may
23	not improve blood pressure (6,12,16,42,43), it has been shown to reduce body mass (10) and
24	fat mass (11,12), anxiety and depressive symptoms (8,42), and resting heart rate
25	(7,10,12,13,16). Less is known about the sex-based differences in these outcomes following

1	exercise training in patients with AF (23). In other cardiovascular disease populations,
2	findings have been inconsistent (32–34,44,45). A recent meta-analysis in patients with
3	coronary artery disease revealed no sex-based differences in blood pressure reductions (n=6
4	studies, systolic: between sexes mean difference [MD] -2.51, 95% CI -6.57 to 1.55 mmHg,
5	p=0.23; diastolic: MD -0.91, 95% CI -4.98 to 3.15 mmHg, p=0.66) and lower improvements
6	in body mass index (BMI) (n=10 studies, MD -0.25, 95% CI -0.03 to -0.47 kg/m ² , p=0.02) in
7	females than males following high-intensity interval training (45).
8	Exercise training is now part of AF care (4,46,47), yet research gaps remain regarding sex-
9	based differences in the response to exercise training in the AF population (48). A systematic
10	evaluation and synthesis using a sex lens is needed as a first step to provide evidence-based
11	exercise recommendations for patients with AF applicable to both sexes.
12	
	Objective
12 13 14	Objective The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare changes in CRF following
13 14	
13	The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare changes in CRF following
13 14 15	The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare changes in CRF following exercise training between females and males with AF. We hypothesize that across available
13 14 15 16	The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare changes in CRF following exercise training between females and males with AF. We hypothesize that across available studies, smaller improvements in CRF will be reported following exercise training for
13 14 15 16 17	The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare changes in CRF following exercise training between females and males with AF. We hypothesize that across available studies, smaller improvements in CRF will be reported following exercise training for females with AF than males. The secondary aim is to examine the impact of sex on changes
13 14 15 16 17 18	The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare changes in CRF following exercise training between females and males with AF. We hypothesize that across available studies, smaller improvements in CRF will be reported following exercise training for females with AF than males. The secondary aim is to examine the impact of sex on changes in AF symptoms, general and AF-specific QoL, and additional physical and mental health
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare changes in CRF following exercise training between females and males with AF. We hypothesize that across available studies, smaller improvements in CRF will be reported following exercise training for females with AF than males. The secondary aim is to examine the impact of sex on changes in AF symptoms, general and AF-specific QoL, and additional physical and mental health outcomes (e.g. resting blood pressure and heart rate, BMI, body composition, functional
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The primary purpose of this systematic review is to compare changes in CRF following exercise training between females and males with AF. We hypothesize that across available studies, smaller improvements in CRF will be reported following exercise training for females with AF than males. The secondary aim is to examine the impact of sex on changes in AF symptoms, general and AF-specific QoL, and additional physical and mental health outcomes (e.g. resting blood pressure and heart rate, BMI, body composition, functional capacity, physical activity levels, anxiety and depressive symptoms) following exercise

- 23 METHODS
- 24 Study design

1	This systematic review	v protocol wa	s designed in a	accordance wi	ith the Preferi	red Reporting

- 2 Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)-P checklist (49) (see Additional
- 3 File 1). The systematic review will adhere to the most updated reporting guidelines of the
- 4 PRISMA statement (50) and will follow the criteria outlined in the checklist A Measurement
- 5 Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews (<u>AMSTAR</u>) (51).

6 Study registration

- 7 This systematic review protocol was registered with the International Prospective Register of
- 8 Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) in February 2022 (registration # CRD42022302310). In
- 9 the event of important protocol amendments, the date of each amendment accompanied by a
- 10 description of the change and the rationale will be reported in PROSPERO.

11 Eligibility criteria

12 Participants

- 13 Studies will be included if the sample is comprised of adults (≥18 years old) with an AF
- 14 diagnosis and where data from adults with AF can be extracted (i.e. $\geq 10\%$ of the sample has
- 15 AF). There will be no restrictions in co-morbidities.

16 Study designs

- 17 Prospective experimental designs (randomized controlled trials [RCTs], quasi-experimental,
- 18 pre-post, and case-control studies) will be eligible.

19 Interventions

- 20 Eligible studies must contain an intervention component incorporating exercise training of
- any form with a duration of at least 4 weeks (52). We will use the well-established definition
- 22 by Caspersen et al, where exercise is defined as a structured, planned and repetitive subset of
- 23 physical activity with the aim to maintain or improve physical fitness and health (53). The
- exercise interventions may include, but are not limited to, aerobic training (e.g. moderate-

1	intensity continuous training, high-intensity interval training, circuit training), strength
2	training (e.g. body-weight or machine-based resistance training, isometric exercises) and
3	Yoga. The interventions may be multi-component, but they must include exercise. There will
4	be no restrictions on the mode of delivery (e.g. in person, virtual, hybrid) or setting (e.g. in
5	hospital, in the community, individual or as a group) of the intervention.
6	Outcomes
7	The primary outcome of interest will be CRF. In clinical populations, CRF is often measured
8	as the highest value of oxygen consumption (VO2peak) attained during an exercise test
9	designed to bring the participant to volitional fatigue (54), or estimated from a submaximal
10	exercise test (55). Thus, CRF will be considered as directly measured or estimated VO ₂ peak
11	(in mL·min ^{-1} ·kg ^{-1} , L·min ^{-1} or METs). Self-reported measures of VO ₂ peak using a
12	questionnaire (e.g. Duke Activity Status Index) will be excluded.
13	Eligible studies must report a baseline and follow-up measure of at least one primary or
14	secondary outcome. Secondary outcomes will consist of:
14	secondary outcome. Secondary outcomes will consist of.
14	<u>AF symptom frequency and/or severity</u> (patient-reported outcome) measured with self-report
15	AF symptom frequency and/or severity (patient-reported outcome) measured with self-report
15 16	<u>AF symptom frequency and/or severity</u> (patient-reported outcome) measured with self-report questionnaires which may include, but are not limited to, the University of Toronto Atrial
15 16 17	<u>AF symptom frequency and/or severity</u> (patient-reported outcome) measured with self-report questionnaires which may include, but are not limited to, the University of Toronto Atrial Fibrillation Severity Scale (AFSS), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) AF
15 16 17 18	<u>AF symptom frequency and/or severity</u> (patient-reported outcome) measured with self-report questionnaires which may include, but are not limited to, the University of Toronto Atrial Fibrillation Severity Scale (AFSS), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) AF symptom scale, Arrhythmia Symptom Checklist Frequency and Severity (SCL), Canadian
15 16 17 18 19	<u>AF symptom frequency and/or severity</u> (patient-reported outcome) measured with self-report questionnaires which may include, but are not limited to, the University of Toronto Atrial Fibrillation Severity Scale (AFSS), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) AF symptom scale, Arrhythmia Symptom Checklist Frequency and Severity (SCL), Canadian Cardiovascular Society Severity in Atrial Fibrillation Scale (CCS-SAF), Mayo Atrial
15 16 17 18 19 20	<u>AF symptom frequency and/or severity</u> (patient-reported outcome) measured with self-report questionnaires which may include, but are not limited to, the University of Toronto Atrial Fibrillation Severity Scale (AFSS), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) AF symptom scale, Arrhythmia Symptom Checklist Frequency and Severity (SCL), Canadian Cardiovascular Society Severity in Atrial Fibrillation Scale (CCS-SAF), Mayo Atrial Fibrillation Symptom Inventory (MAFSI), and Arrhythmia-Specific Questionnaire in
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<u>AF symptom frequency and/or severity</u> (patient-reported outcome) measured with self-report questionnaires which may include, but are not limited to, the University of Toronto Atrial Fibrillation Severity Scale (AFSS), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) AF symptom scale, Arrhythmia Symptom Checklist Frequency and Severity (SCL), Canadian Cardiovascular Society Severity in Atrial Fibrillation Scale (CCS-SAF), Mayo Atrial Fibrillation Symptom Inventory (MAFSI), and Arrhythmia-Specific Questionnaire in Tachycardia and Arrhythmia (ASTA) (56,57).

1	<u>AF-specific QoL</u> measured with self-report questionnaires such as the AFEQT, Atrial
2	Fibrillation Quality of Life (AF-QoL), Quality of Life in Atrial Fibrillation (QLAF) and
3	Atrial Fibrillation Quality of Life Questionnaire (AFQLQ) (56).
4	Additional physical health outcomes (i.e. resting blood pressure and heart rate, BMI, and
5	body composition) measured by research or clinical staff. Functional capacity measured with
6	a 6-minute walking test. Physical activity levels measured by a self-report questionnaire or a
7	device such as an accelerometer.
8	Mental health outcomes (patient-reported outcome) including anxiety and depression levels,
9	measured with self-report questionnaires which may include, but are not limited to, Hospital
10	Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7), Beck
11	Anxiety Inventory (BAI), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), Patient Health
12	Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), and Cardiac Depression Scale
13	(CDS).
14	Additional outcomes will be compared between sexes including: (a) adherence as defined by
15	the mean number of sessions attended by participants, (b) number of dropouts and loss-to-
16	follow-up; and (c) number of adverse events during the study period.
17	Publication status and language
18	Published peer-reviewed journal articles and non-peer reviewed grey literature including
19	published theses and dissertations (e.g. ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global, GreyLit.org,
20	Open Grey), conference abstracts, presentations and proceedings; and unpublished data will
21	be examined. No language restrictions will be imposed on the search, but only papers written
22	in English, French, Japanese, Portuguese or Spanish will be included.
23	Search strategy

1	A comprehensive search strategy from an existing systematic review by the authors (58) was
2	re-used to identify relevant studies. This search was previously designed and translated
3	according to the indexing systems of several databases in collaboration with a medical
4	research librarian. The search was previously peer reviewed by a second research librarian.
5	Medical subject headings (MeSH) and key words related to "atrial fibrillation", "exercise
6	training" and "cardiac rehabilitation" were used. A draft of the search strategy used for
7	MEDLINE is provided in Table 1. No date restrictions were imposed. Five electronic
8	bibliographic databases were searched: MEDLINE (OvidSP); CINAHL (EBSCOhost);
9	Embase (OvidSP); PycINFO (Ovid) and Cochrane Library (Wiley). The reference lists of
10	studies selected for the review and those of previous reviews will be examined. Registries
11	such as <u>Clinical Trials</u> and <u>PROSPERO</u> will be searched for recently completed trials and
12	systematic reviews, respectively; and conference proceedings of the two previous years
13	related to the AF and exercise field will be hand searched to identify further studies.

14 Data management and selection of eligible studies

15 The results from the search strategy have been uploaded into Covidence Systematic Review 16 Software (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia), an electronic program 17 that facilitates collaboration among reviewers during the study selection process. Duplicate 18 articles were removed using the "duplicate" function and remaining duplicates will be 19 excluded manually by two reviewers during the screening process (SVA, IRM). If several 20 articles are published using the same data source, the articles with the largest sample size for 21 CRF, with additional secondary outcomes, or reporting sex-specific results may be retained. 22 Labels with specific reasons for exclusion have been created in Covidence to facilitate the 23 screening process and the creation of a flow chart. Two independent reviewers (SVA, IRM) 24 are screening the titles and abstracts of all articles yielded by the search against the inclusion 25 criteria. Prior to formally beginning screening, a calibration exercise was conducted. Full

texts of each potentially relevant article will be uploaded on Covidence and screened by two independent reviewers (SVA, IRM) to determine eligibility. Any disagreements between the reviewers or any uncertainty will be resolved by discussion with a third reviewer (JLR). The review authors will not be blinded to the study authors or journal titles when screening.

5 **Data extraction**

6 A data extraction form has been created using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Canada Inc.

7 Mississauga, ON, Canada) (see Additional File 2) and will be adapted following feedback

8 from co-authors to improve its usability. Data extracted from each eligible study, when

9 available, will include: publication details (authors, country and year of publication),

10 participant characteristics by sex (sample size, AF type, mean age, sociodemographic and

11 gender-related variables [e.g. gender identity, ethnicity, marital status, educational level,

12 employment status, income], prescribed medications, medical conditions and procedures),

13 study design, characteristics of the assessment of VO₂peak (test protocol, modality, method

14 of measurement), details of exercise interventions (frequency, intensity, time, type, [FITT],

volume and progression), participant adherence with the exercise intervention (number of

sessions attended), number of adverse events during the study period, dropout and loss to

17 follow-up, and description of the control group, if any. In addition, primary and secondary

18 outcomes measured at baseline and following the exercise intervention will be extracted

19 (mean and a measure of variance such as standard deviation [SD], standard error, 95% CI)

20 including CRF, AF symptom frequency and severity, general and AF-specific QoL, resting

21 blood pressure and heart rate, BMI, body composition, functional capacity, physical activity

22 levels and anxiety and depression severity. Whenever possible, results from an intention to

- treat analysis will be used. Data will be extracted by a reviewer and verified by a second
- 24 reviewer. Any disagreements between the reviewers will be resolved by consensus and/or
- 25 discussion with a third reviewer (JLR). Study authors will be contacted to resolve any

1 uncertainties and for missing information. If studies include both sexes but fail to report 2 outcomes by sex, the corresponding authors will be contacted to obtain sex-specific data. The 3 approach to contact authors will involve up to 3 emails or 3 phone calls (59). Receptive 4 authors will be provided a pre-specified data extraction form and will receive up to 3 followup emails or phone calls as reminders, after which they will be considered unwilling or 5 6 unable to share their data. Authors of studies which report on collecting a measure of interest 7 but do not report the outcome on the manuscript will be contacted to solicit the unpublished 8 data using the same approach. 9 Methodological quality and risk of bias within studies 10 For the assessment of study quality and risk of bias, standardized data forms will be 11 completed by a reviewer (SVA or IRM) and verified by a second (SVA or IRM). Any 12 disagreements will be resolved by consensus and/or discussion with a third reviewer (JLR). 13 Reviewers will not be blinded to the authors or journals. 14 The TESTEX scale will be used to examine the quality and risk of bias of each individual 15 study as it is a valid and reliable tool to assess the quality and reporting of exercise training 16 trials (60). The TESTEX scale uses 12 criteria (some of which can score more than one point) 17 for a maximum score of 15 points, 5 pertain to study quality: (1) eligibility criteria specified, 18 (2) randomization specified, (3) allocation concealment, (4) groups similar at baseline and (5) 19 blinding of assessor for the primary outcome; and 10 pertain to study reporting: (1) outcome 20 measures assessed in 85% of patients, (2) intention-to-treat analysis, (3) between-group 21 statistical comparisons reported, (4) point measures and measures of variability for all 22 reported outcome measures, (5) activity monitoring in control groups, (6) relative exercise 23 intensity remained constant and (7) exercise volume and energy expenditure. 24 Assessment of overall quality of the evidence

1 The quality of the evidence for the included studies will be evaluated using the Grading of 2 Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) (61) approach. 3 GRADE classifies the evidence as high, moderate, low or very low depending on: (1) risk of 4 bias within individual studies, (2) inconsistency of results between studies, (3) indirectness of 5 evidence, (4) imprecision and (5) publication bias. RCT evidence represents the highest 6 quality rating. Quantitative data synthesis and planned analyses 7 8 Measures of treatment effect 9 If studies are sufficiently homogeneous regarding population, interventions, outcomes and 10 study design, and contain a number of samples that would provide meaningful summary measures, meta-analyses will be performed. Continuous outcomes will be analysed using 11 12 MDs, or standardized mean differences (SMD) if the measurement methods (e.g. scales) for 13 the same outcome vary between studies. Categorical data will be presented descriptively. The 14 MD will be determined by calculating the difference in the mean outcome between sexes and 15 dividing by the SD of the outcome among participants. 16 Pooled estimates of the effect of exercise training on CRF for females when compared to 17 males (i.e. the MD or SMD between the CRF changes in females vs. males following 18 exercise training) will be obtained using a random-effects model, since effect sizes are not 19 expected to be identical across all studies. The same approach will be followed for the 20 secondary outcomes. Where possible, pooled estimates will be calculated for the effect of 21 exercise training vs. usual care on CRF in each sex separately. A quantitative synthesis of the 22 measures of effect and 95% CI will be presented in the form of forest plots, which will be 23 created using the Cochrane RevMan 5.4.1 or Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software. If 24 quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, a narrative synthesis will be presented following the 25 Synthesis Without Meta-analysis (SWiM) guidelines (62).

1 Pre-specified additional analyses

2	Several sub-analyses including sensitivity and meta-regression approaches will be performed,
3	if sufficient data are available, to elicit whether specific driving forces could influence
4	different effect sizes. Such sub-analyses may elucidate factors and mechanisms influencing
5	sex-based differences in the response to exercise and whether a specific exercise prescription
6	maximizes the health benefits in females or males with AF. For instance, we plan to examine
7	whether the:
8	(1) Exercise program characteristics (FITT) influence the change in CRF in females or
9	males with AF;
10	(2) Participant baseline characteristics (e.g. sociodemographic and gender-related factors,
11	physical- and mental-health) influence the change in CRF in females or males with AF;
12	(3) Method of CRF measurement (estimated vs. measured) influences the difference in
13	change in CRF between females and males with AF;
14	(4) Sex-based differences in adherence influence sex differences in the change in CRF.
15	Assessment of heterogeneity and publication bias
16	The degree of inconsistency across studies will be quantified using the I^2 statistic, which
17	describes the percentage of total variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather
18	than chance. I^2 values of 25%, 50% and 75% have been classified as low, moderate and high
19	heterogeneity (63). Where meta-analyses are performed, funnel plots will be prepared to
20	assess the effect of publication bias on our systematic review. Visual inspection will be used
21	to determine whether bias is absent (plots resemble a symmetrical inverted funnel) or present
22	(skewed and asymmetrical shape). Further, an Egger's test p<0.10 will indicate the presence
23	of a significant publication bias (64).
24	We will take into consideration the methodological quality, risk of bias, heterogeneity and

25 overall quality of the evidence when interpreting the treatment effect reported in our

systematic review as they can influence the true effect of the exercise intervention and the
clinical relevance of our findings.

3

4 CLINICAL RELEVANCE

The lack of outcome reporting by sex in exercise studies may lead to suboptimal exercise volumes and health benefits in females and males with AF. This systematic review will address the lack of sex-based analyses in previously conducted exercise studies; and, provide evidence applicable to both sexes of the physical and mental health effects of exercise training in the AF population. Whether females and males with AF respond differently to exercise is unknown, but the notable clinical sex-based differences in AF warrant sex consideration when developing exercise recommendations. Our findings will be of value to

12 patients with AF, health researchers and healthcare providers involved in AF management.

13

14 **DISSEMINATION**

15 The findings from this systematic review will be disseminated through peer-reviewed

16 publications and conference presentations/proceedings. Further, the authors will invite health

17 researchers, patients with AF and healthcare providers involved in AF management to

18 educational webinars focused on our findings. These webinars are expected to have global

reach and equip patients and exercise specialists with information on exercise participationand prescription.

21

22 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

23 AF: Atrial Fibrillation

24 AFEQT: Atrial Fibrillation Effect on Quality-of-Life Scale

25 AFQLQ: Atrial Fibrillation Quality of Life Questionnaire

- 1 AF-QoL: Atrial Fibrillation Quality of Life
- 2 AFSS: University of Toronto Atrial Fibrillation Severity Scale
- 3 AMSTASR: Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews
- 4 ASTA: Arrhythmia-Specific Questionnaire in Tachycardia and Arrhythmia
- 5 BAI: Beck anxiety inventory
- 6 BDI: Beck Depression Inventory
- 7 BMI: Body Mass Index
- 8 CCS-SAF: Canadian Cardiovascular Society Severity in Atrial Fibrillation Scale
- 9 CDS: Cardiac Depression Scale
- 10 CRF: Cardiorespiratory Fitness
- 11 EHRA: European Heart Rhythm Association
- 12 EQ-5D: EuroQoL
- 13 FITT: Exercise program characteristics (frequency, intensity, time, type)
- 14 GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Disorder
- 15 GRADE: Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation
- 16 HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale
- 17 MAFSI: Mayo Atrial Fibrillation Symptom Inventory
- 18 MD: Mean Difference
- 19 METs: Metabolic Equivalents
- 20 PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire-9
- 21 PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses
- 22 PROSPERO: International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews
- 23 QLAF: Quality of Life in Atrial Fibrillation
- 24 QoL: Quality of Life
- 25 RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial
- 26 SCL: Arrhythmia Symptom Checklist Frequency and Severity
- 27 SD: Standard Deviation
- 28 SF-12/36: Medical Outcome Study Short-form Health Survey
- 29 SMD: Standardized Mean Difference

- 1 STAI: State-trait anxiety inventory
- 2 VO2peak: Peak Oxygen Consumption
- 3

4 **DECLARATIONS**

5 Availability of data and materials

- 6 Upon completion of this systematic review, the datasets used and/or analysed will be made
- 7 available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

8 Competing interests

9 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

10 Funding

- 11 This systematic review will be partly sponsored by the Health and Behavior International
- 12 Collaborative Research Award and the International Behavioural Trials Network organization
- 13 (IRM). SVA received a University of Ottawa Heart Institute Research Scholarship.

14 Authors' contributions

- 15 SVA and JLR were responsible for the design of the systematic review protocol. SVA drafted
- 16 the manuscript. IRM drafted the abstract. All authors critically revised the manuscript and
- 17 gave final approval.
- 18

1 References

2	1.	Dai H, Zhang Q, Much AA, Maor E, Segev A, Beinart R, et al. Global, regional, and
3		national prevalence, incidence, mortality, and risk factors for atrial fibrillation, 1990-
4		2017: results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Eur Heart J Qual Care
5		Clin Outcomes. 2021;7(6):574-582. doi: 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcaa061.
6	2.	Lippi G, Sanchis-Gomar F, Cervellin G. Global epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: An
7		increasing epidemic and public health challenge. International Journal of Stroke.
8		2021;16(2):217–21.
9	3.	Padfield GJ, Steinberg C, Swampillai J, Qian H, Connolly SJ, Dorian P, et al.
10		Progression of paroxysmal to persistent atrial fibrillation: 10-year follow-up in the
11		Canadian Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. Heart Rhythm. 2017;14(6):801–7.
12	4.	Andrade JG, Aguilar M, Atzema C, Bell A, Cairns JA, Cheung CC, et al. The 2020
13		Canadian Cardiovascular Society/Canadian Heart Rhythm Society Comprehensive
14		Guidelines for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation. Canadian Journal of Cardiology.
15		2020.36(12):1847–948.
16	5.	Buckley BJR, Harrison SL, Fazio-Eynullayeva E, Underhill P, Lane DA, Thijssen DHJ,
17		et al. Association of Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation with Progression of
18		Paroxysmal to Sustained Atrial Fibrillation. JCM. 2021;10(3):435.
19	6.	Borland M, Bergfeldt L, Nordeman L, Bollano E, Andersson L, Rosenkvist A, et al.
20		Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation improves physical fitness in patients with
21		permanent atrial fibrillation – a randomised controlled study. Translational Sports
22		Medicine. 2020;3:415-425. doi: 10.1002/tsm2.166.

1	7.	Hegbom F, Sire S, Heldal M, Orning OM, Stavem K, Gjesdal K. Short-term exercise
2		training in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation: effects on exercise capacity, AV
3		conduction, and quality of life. J Cardiopulm Rehabil. 2006;26(1):24–9.
4	8.	Joensen AM, Dinesen PT, Svendsen LT, Hoejbjerg TK, Fjerbaek A, Andreasen J, et al.
5		Effect of patient education and physical training on quality of life and physical exercise
6		capacity in patients with paroxysmal or persistent atrial fibrillation: A randomized
7		study. J Rehabil Med. 2019;51(6):442-450. doi: 10.2340/16501977-2551.
8	9.	Kato M, Ogano M, Mori Y, Kochi K, Morimoto D, Kito K, et al. Exercise-based cardiac
9		rehabilitation for patients with catheter ablation for persistent atrial fibrillation: A
10		randomized controlled clinical trial. Eur J Prev Cardiolog. 2019;2047487319859974.
11	10.	Malmo V, Nes BM, Amundsen BH, Tjonna A-E, Stoylen A, Rossvoll O, et al. Aerobic
12		Interval Training Reduces the Burden of Atrial Fibrillation in the Short Term: A
13		Randomized Trial. Circulation. 2016;133(5):466–73.
14	11.	Mertens DJ, Kavanagh T. Exercise Training for Patients With Chronic Atrial
15		Fibrillation. Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention. 1996;16(3):193.
16	12.	Osbak PS, Mourier M, Kjaer A, Henriksen JH, Kofoed KF, Jensen GB. A randomized
17		study of the effects of exercise training on patients with atrial fibrillation. American
18		Heart Journal. 2011;162(6):1080–7.
19	13.	Plisiene J, Blumberg A, Haager G, Knackstedt C, Latsch J, Norra C, et al. Moderate
20		physical exercise: a simplified approach for ventricular rate control in older patients
21		with atrial fibrillation. Clin Res Cardiol. 2008;97(11):820.

1	14.	Risom SS, Zwisler A-D, Rasmussen TB, Sibilitz KL, Madsen TLS, Svendsen JH, et al.
2		Cardiac rehabilitation versus usual care for patients treated with catheter ablation for
3		atrial fibrillation: Results of the randomized CopenHeartRFA trial. American Heart
4		Journal. 2016;181:120–9.
5	15.	Skielboe AK, Bandholm TQ, Hakmann S, Mourier M, Kallemose T, Dixen U.
6		Cardiovascular exercise and burden of arrhythmia in patients with atrial fibrillation - A
7		randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2017;12(2).
8	16.	Vanhees L, Schepers D, Defoor J, Brusselle S, Tchursh N, Fagard R. Exercise
9		performance and training in cardiac patients with atrial fibrillation. J Cardiopulm
10		Rehabil. 2000;20(6):346–52.
11	17.	Pathak RK, Elliott A, Middeldorp ME, Meredith M, Mehta AB, Mahajan R, et al.
12		Impact of CARDIOrespiratory FITness on Arrhythmia Recurrence in Obese Individuals
13		With Atrial Fibrillation: The CARDIO-FIT Study. Journal of the American College of
14		Cardiology. 2015;66(9):985–96.
15	18.	Keteyian SJ, Brawner CA, Savage PD, Ehrman JK, Schairer J, Divine G, et al. Peak
16		aerobic capacity predicts prognosis in patients with coronary heart disease. American
17		Heart Journal. 2008;156(2):292–300.
18	19.	Tsuneoka H, Koike A, Nagayama O, Sakurada K, Kato J, Sato A, et al. Prognostic
19		Value of Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Cardiac Patients With Atrial Fibrillation.
20		Int Heart J. 2012;53(2):102–7.
21	20.	Ariansena I, Gjesdala K, Abdelnoorb M, Edvardsenc E, Engerd S, Tveitd A. Quality of
22		Life, Exercise Capacity and Comorbidity in Old Patients with Permanent Atrial
23		Fibrillation. J Atr Fibrillation. 2008;1(4).

1	21.	Elliott AD, Verdicchio CV, Gallagher C, Linz D, Mahajan R, Mishima R, et al. Factors
2		Contributing to Exercise Intolerance in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. Heart, Lung
3		and Circulation. 2021;30(7):947–54.
4	22.	Younis A, Shaviv E, Nof E, Israel A, Berkovitch A, Goldenberg I, et al. The role and
5		outcome of cardiac rehabilitation program in patients with atrial fibrillation. Clin
6		Cardiol. 2018;41(9):1170–6.
7	23.	Wagner MK, Zwisler A-DO, Risom SS, Svendsen JH, Christensen AV, Berg SK. Sex
8		differences in health status and rehabilitation outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation
9		treated with ablation: Results from the CopenHeartRFA trial. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.
10		2018;17(2):123–35.
11	24.	Ball J, Carrington MJ, Wood KA, Stewart S, Investigators the S. Women Versus Men
12		with Chronic Atrial Fibrillation: Insights from the Standard Versus Atrial Fibrillation
13		spEcific managemenT studY (SAFETY). PLOS ONE. 2013;8(5):e65795.
14	25.	Schnabel RB, Pecen L, Ojeda FM, Lucerna M, Rzayeva N, Blankenberg S, et al. Gender
15		differences in clinical presentation and 1-year outcomes in atrial fibrillation. Heart.
16		2017;103(13):1024–30.
17	26.	Blum S, Muff C, Aeschbacher S, Ammann P, Erne P, Moschovitis G, et al. Prospective
18		Assessment of Sex I Related Differences in Symptom Status and Health Perception
19		Among Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6(7).
20	27.	Lip GYH, Laroche C, Boriani G, Cimaglia P, Dan G-A, Santini M, et al. Sex-related
21		differences in presentation, treatment, and outcome of patients with atrial fibrillation in
22		Europe: a report from the Euro Observational Research Programme Pilot survey on
23		Atrial Fibrillation. Europace. 2015;17(1):24–31.

1	28.	Piccini JP, Simon DN, Steinberg BA, Thomas L, Allen LA, Fonarow GC, et al.
2		Differences in Clinical and Functional Outcomes of Atrial Fibrillation in Women and
3		Men: Two-Year Results From the ORBIT-AF Registry. JAMA Cardiol. 2016;1(3):282–
4		91.
5	29.	Strømnes LA, Ree H, Gjesdal K, Ariansen I. Sex Differences in Quality of Life in
6		Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Systematic Review. J Am Heart Assoc.
7		2019;8(8):e010992.
8	30.	Diaz-Canestro C, Montero D. Sex Dimorphism of VO2max Trainability: A Systematic
9		Review and Meta-analysis. Sports Med. 2019;49, 1949–1956.
10		https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01180-z
11	31.	Parker BA, Kalasky MJ, Proctor DN. Evidence for sex differences in cardiovascular
12		aging and adaptive responses to physical activity. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2010;110(2):235-
13		46.
14	32.	Witvrouwen I, Van Craenenbroeck EM, Abreu A, Moholdt T, Kränkel N. Exercise
15		training in women with cardiovascular disease: Differential response and barriers -
16		review and perspective. Eur J Prev Cardiolog. 2019;2047487319838221.
17	33.	Sandercock G, Hurtado V, Cardoso F. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness in cardiac
18		rehabilitation patients: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Cardiology.
19		2013;167(3):894–902.
20	34.	Rengo JL, Khadanga S, Savage PD, Ades PA. Response to Exercise Training During
21		Cardiac Rehabilitation Differs by Sex. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev. 2020;40(5):319-324.
22		doi: 10.1097/HCR.000000000000536.

1	35.	Tannenbaum C, Norris CM, McMurtry MS. Sex-Specific Considerations in Guidelines
2		Generation and Application. Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2019;35(5):598-605.
3	36.	Hegbom F, Stavem K, Sire S, Heldal M, Orning OM, Gjesdal K. Effects of short-term
4		exercise training on symptoms and quality of life in patients with chronic atrial
5		fibrillation. International Journal of Cardiology. 2007;116(1):86–92.
6	37.	Rienstra M, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Hagens VE, Ranchor AV, Veeger NJGM, Crijns
7		HJGM, et al. Gender-Related Differences in Rhythm Control Treatment in Persistent
8		Atrial Fibrillation: Data of the Rate Control Versus Electrical Cardioversion (RACE)
9		Study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2005;46(7):1298–306.
10	38.	Humphries Karin H., Kerr Charles R., Connolly Stuart J., Klein George, Boone John A.,
11		Green Martin, et al. New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation. Circulation. 2001;103(19):2365–70.
12	39.	Lau Dennis H., Nattel Stanley, Kalman Jonathan M, Sanders Prashanthan. Modifiable
13		Risk Factors and Atrial Fibrillation. Circulation. 2017;136(6):583–96.
14	40.	Penedo FJ, Dahn JR. Exercise and well-being: a review of mental and physical health
15		benefits associated with physical activity. Current Opinion in Psychiatry.
16		2005;18(2):189.
17	41.	Risom SS, Zwisler A-D, Johansen PP, Sibilitz KL, Lindschou J, Gluud C, et al.
18		Exercise Dased cardiac rehabilitation for adults with atrial fibrillation. Cochrane
19		Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017;2(2):CD011197. doi:
20		10.1002/14651858.CD011197.pub2

1	42.	Mills M, Johnson E, Zafar H, Horwood A, Lax N, Charlesworth S, et al. An exercise-
2		based cardiac rehabilitation programme for AF patients in the NHS: a feasibility study.
3		The British Journal of Cardiology. 2020;27:67–70. doi:10.5837/bjc.2020.020.
4	43.	Reed JL, Clarke AE, Faraz AM, Birnie DH, Tulloch HE, Reid RD, et al. The Impact of
5		Cardiac Rehabilitation on Mental and Physical Health in Patients With Atrial
6		Fibrillation: A Matched Case-Control Study. Canadian Journal of Cardiology.
7		2018;34(11):1512–21.
8	44.	Trachsel LD, Boidin M, Henri C, Fortier A, Lalongé J, Juneau M, et al. Women and
9		men with coronary heart disease respond similarly to different aerobic exercise training
10		modalities: a pooled analysis of prospective randomized trials. Appl Physiol Nutr
11		Metab. 2021;46(5):417-425. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2020-0650.
12	45.	Way KL, Vidal-Almela S, Moholdt T, Currie KD, Aksetøy I-LA, Boidin M, et al. Sex
13		Differences in Cardiometabolic Health Indicators after HIIT in Patients with Coronary
14		Artery Disease. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2021;53(7):1345–55.
15	46.	Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, Arbelo E, Bax JJ, Blomström-Lundqvist C, et al.
16		2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed
17		in collaboration with the European Association of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery
18		(EACTS)The Task Force for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation of the
19		European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the
20		European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J. 2021;42(5):373-
21		498. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa612.

1	47.	Chung MK, Eckhardt LL, Chen LY, Ahmed HM, Gopinathannair R, Joglar JA, et al.
2		Lifestyle and Risk Factor Modification for Reduction of Atrial Fibrillation: A Scientific
3		Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2020;141(16).
4	48.	Reed JL, Terada T, Way KL, Vidal-Almela S, Pipe AL, Risom SS. Exercise Targets in
5		the 2020 CCS Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation.
6		Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2021;37(10):1678-1679. doi:
7		10.1016/j.cjca.2021.04.019
8	49.	Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred
9		reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015:
10		elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015;349:g7647.
11	50.	Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The
12		PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ.
13		2021;372:n71.
14	51.	Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, et al. AMSTAR 2: a
15		critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised
16		studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ. 2017;j4008.
17	52.	Hannan AL, Hing W, Simas V, Climstein M, Coombes JS, Jayasinghe R, et al. High-
18		intensity interval training versus moderate-intensity continuous training within cardiac
19		rehabilitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Open Access J Sports Med.
20		2018;9:1–17.
21	53.	Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical
22		fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. Public Health Rep.
23		1985;100(2):126–31.

1	54.	American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and
2		Prescription, 11th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2020.
3	55.	Reed JL, Cotie LM, Cole CA, Harris J, Moran B, Scott K, et al. Submaximal Exercise
4		Testing in Cardiovascular Rehabilitation Settings (BEST Study). Front Physiol.
5		2020;10:1517.
6	56.	Aliot E, Botto GL, Crijns HJ, Kirchhof P. Quality of life in patients with atrial
7		fibrillation: how to assess it and how to improve it. Europace. 2014;16(6):787-96.
8	57.	Steinberg BA, Dorian P, Anstrom KJ, Hess R, Mark DB, Noseworthy PA, et al. Patient-
9		Reported Outcomes in Atrial Fibrillation Research: Results of a Clinicaltrials.gov
10		Analysis. JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology. 2019;5(5):599-605.
11	58.	Reed JL, Terada T, Chirico D, Prince SA, Pipe AL. The Effects of Cardiac
12		Rehabilitation in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Systematic Review. Canadian
13		Journal of Cardiology 2018;34(10 Suppl 2):S284-S295. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2018.07.014.
14	59.	Young T, Hopewell S. Methods for obtaining unpublished data. Cochrane Database of
15		Systematic Reviews. 2011(11):MR000027. doi: 10.1002/14651858.MR000027.pub2.
16	60.	Smart NA, Waldron M, Ismail H, Giallauria F, Vigorito C, Cornelissen V, et al.
17		Validation of a new tool for the assessment of study quality and reporting in exercise
18		training studies: TESTEX. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2015;13(1):9–18.
19	61.	Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al.
20		GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of
21		recommendations. BMJ. 2008;336(7650):924-6.

1	62.	Campbell M, McKenzie JE, Sowden A, Katikireddi SV, Brennan SE, Ellis S, et al.
2		Synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline.
3		BMJ. 2020;16890.
4	63.	Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-
5		analyses. BMJ. 2003;327(7414):557-60.
6	64.	Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a
7		simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997;315(7109):629–34.
8		
9		

Table 1. Example of the search strategy for MEDLINE.

((atrial or auricular or atrium) adj3 fibril*).tw,kf.

(afib or a-fib).tw,kf.

((atrial or AF) adj3 burden).tw,kf.

or/1-4

Cardiac Rehabilitation/

Rehabilitation/

rh.fs.

exp Exercise Therapy/

movement/

exp exercise/

physical fitness/

sport/

Martial Arts/

Bicycling/

Weight Lifting/

exp Exercise Movement Techniques/

dancing/

((cardiac or cardiopulmonary or cardiovascular or heart or infarct or coronary) adj3 (rehab* or

conditioning)).tw,kf.

rehab*.ti.

((fitness or aerobic? or exercis*) adj3 (therap* or rehab* or class* or course? or program* or training?

or treatment?)).tw,kf.

continuous passive motion.tw,kf.

((movement or motion) adj (therap* or technique? or technic?)).tw,kf.

((active or ballistic or dynamic or isometric or passive or relaxed or static) adj2 stretch*).tw,kf. muscle stretching*.tw,kf. proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation.tw,kf. (PNF adj (stretch* or exercise? or therap* or technique?)).tw,kf. ((stretch or plyometric or isokinetic or isometric or isotonic) adj3 (drill? or exercise? or train* or activit*)).tw,kf. exercis*.ti. (physical* adj (activ* or fit* or exercis* or training? or conditioning)).tw,kf. (calisthenics or callisthenics).tw,kf. ((interval or circuit) adj training?).tw,kf. (jogging or running or bike? or biking or bicycl* or cycling or swimming or dancing).tw,kf. (sport? or athletic?).tw,kf. (martial arts or aikido or gong fu or gong fu or hap ki do or judo or jujitsu or karate or kung fu or tae kwon do or wushu).tw,kf. (walk or ambulate? or ambulation).tw,kf. (stair? adj2 climb*).tw,kf. ((Strength* or resistance or weight?) adj3 (training? or program*)).tw,kf. (muscle adj2 (training? or strengthening)).tw,kf. (pilates or yoga or ch'i kung or qi gong or qigong or tai chi or t'ai chi or ji quan or tai ji or taiji or taijiquan).tw,kw. or/6-40 5 and 41 exp animals/ exp animal experimentation/ exp models animal/ exp vertebrates/ or/43-46

exp humans/ or exp human experimentation/ 47 not 48 42 not 49

(exp infant/ or exp child/ or adolescent/) not exp adult/

50 not 51

- 2 Additional File 1. PRISMA-P checklist.
- 3 Additional File 2. Draft of the data extraction form.