

Prevalence and determinants of mental well-being and satisfaction with life among university students amidst COVID-19 pandemic

Author(s): Md. Safaet Hossain Sujan^{a,b*}, Atefhsadat Haghghathoseini^c Rafia Tasnim^{a,b}, Rezaul Karim Ripon^a, Sayem Ahmed Ripon^d, Mohammad Mohiuddin Hasan^e, Muhammad Ramiz Uddin^f, Most. Zannatul Ferdous^a,

Affiliation(s):

^aDepartment of Public Health and Informatics, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh

^bCentre for Advanced Research Excellence in Public Health, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^cDepartment of Health Administration and Policy, George Mason University

^dDepartment of Geography and Environmental studies. University of Chittagong

^eHospital Services Management, DGHS, Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh

^fDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The university of Oklahoma, Norman, USA

Email addresses:

Md. Safaet Hossain Sujan, sujanmahmuddphi@gmail.com

Atefhsadat Haghghathoseini, ahoseini@gmu.edu

Rafia Tasnim, tasnimrifa97@gmail.com

Rezaul Karim Ripon, riponrezaul5@gmail.com

Sayem Ahmed Ripon, Sayem.ges@std.cu.ac.bd

Mohammad Mohiuddin Hasan, dr.mohiuddinhasan@gmail.com

Muhammad Ramiz Uddin, muhammadramizuddin@gmail.com

Most. Zannatul Ferdous, m.zannatul.ferdous@juniv.edu

***Corresponding Author**

Md. Safaet Hossain Sujan

Department of Public Health and Informatics, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh

Email: sujanmahmuddphi@gmail.com

Mobile: +8801614660122

Orcidid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0313-2423>

Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has caused a slew of mental illnesses due to a lack of cures and vaccinations, as well as concerns about students' well-being and satisfaction with life, resulting in psychological symptoms and dissatisfaction with their lives. As students are highly susceptible to mental health issues, researchers discovered that perceived SWL and MWB decreased. The present study investigated the prevalence and determinants of mental well-being and satisfaction with life among university students in Bangladesh. **Methods:** An e-survey based cross-sectional study was carried out from February to April 2021 among 660 students. A purposive sampling technique was utilized in the study. Self-reported mental well-being and satisfaction with life psychological tools were also used. The e-questionnaire survey was conducted with informed consent and questions were related to socio-demographics, satisfaction with life, and mental well-being scales. Descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis were performed. The data were rechecked and analyzed with the R programming language. **Results:** The prevalence estimates of mental well-being and satisfaction with life were 27% and 13%, respectively. In a total of 660 participants, 58.2% of them were male and the rest of them were female (41.8%). Among the participants, 22.5% suffer the worst conditions regarding their financial conditions, and 16.5% badly seek a job for livelihood. **Conclusion:** The present findings revealed that the COVID-19 pandemic and longtime educational institution closure significantly affect the students mental health. Students' mental well-being was in vulnerable conditions and their satisfaction with life was extremely poor. A comprehensive student psychological support service should be expanded to help students' mental health.

Keywords: COVID-19, mental well-being, satisfaction with life, students, perceived stress, mental trauma

1. Introduction

The global health catastrophe caused by the COVID-19 pandemic poses a serious threat both physically and mentally. SARS-CoV-2, the novel coronavirus that produced the COVID-19 pandemic, has a profound influence on the entire human race, with long-term consequences that are still unknown. The following COVID-19 pandemic, and the people's psychological capacity to cope with a prolonged crisis is challenging. Besides inflicting mortality worldwide, this pandemic also creates psychological pressure on vulnerable populations, especially students, who are already suffering from various stressors (depression, loneliness, anxiety, and stress) (Tasnim et al., 2020).

Many countries imposed travel bans, social gathering restrictions, and educational institution's closures after the virus spread fast and widely in a relatively short period of time (Rabi et al., 2020). For millennia, quarantine has been used as a preventive tool for the large infectious epidemics worldwide, and it has been proven to be successful in reducing the spread of contagious illnesses like cholera and plague in the past (Brooks et al., 2020). All educational institutes, entertainment, and other public facilities, including restaurants, movie theaters, gyms, shopping malls, and places of worship, were closed a few days later, prohibiting the free movement of people across borders without special authorization. Students' lives have changed considerably in a short amount of time as they have been ordered to leave school and adjust to new living situations. Students have to leave their campus immediately after the declaration of country-wide lockdown and go back to their respective areas again for an uncertain period of time. It could lead to a high rate of depression, anxiety, stress, and other mental health problems among students (Roy et al., 2020), which may have life-long consequences.

Moreover, for prospective students who come from other countries and join an educational institute, making new social relationships can be extremely difficult, and this can lead to feelings of loneliness or disconnection. Loneliness has been linked to increased stress, anxiety, depression, and other mental health burdens among students, impacting mental well-being (Richardson et al., 2017) and satisfaction with life. The rapid transmission of novel coronavirus COVID-19 has changed this landscape dramatically, from instructional delivery to campus closures, contributing to an already complicated combination of factors affecting student well-being (Burns et al., 2020) and life satisfaction.

In addition, after a few days of university closure, the students were asked to adapt to online learning platforms. Students' stress levels are likely to have grown due to the shift to online learning, particularly in courses that were not originally planned for online delivery (Kecojevic et al., 2020). Courses which need physical presence, physical labs, internship, and artistic performances, have distinct disadvantages when delivered online (Sahu, 2020). Besides, it's assumed that some students have trouble accessing to computers and internet at home, especially students from low-socio economic backgrounds and living in rural areas (Hernandez, 2019; Sahu, 2020).

Moreover, concerns about individual health, the health of family members, and, constraints on movement and outdoor recreation, , self-isolation, quarantine, disrupted ordinary daily activities, affect overall mental well-being, financial status, particularly those students who support themselves by working, and overall life satisfaction of this group of people (Di Renzo et al., 2020; Đogaš et al., 2020; Kriaucioniene et al., 2020). These new circumstances, together with the general sense of ambiguity, resulted a widespread distress with a negative influence on the psychological health, as seen by an increase in reported sadness and anxiety among the people (Brooks et al., 2020). As a result of these mental health problems, unhealthy habits may emerge as coping techniques (Pfefferbaum & North, 2020). Students' academic progress and social connections can be greatly harmed by these mental health issues, limiting their future professional and personal potentiality.

Furthermore, several prior studies among Bangladeshi students have repeatedly noted asinificant mental repercussions specially among university students (Anjum et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2020; Hossain et al., 2020; Konstantinovs & Lapa, 2021). As a result of the suspension of educational activities, together with the disruption of regularity and restricted human interactions, 83% believe that their already existing mental disorders have been aggravated (Konstantinovs & Lapa, 2021).

However, in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), such as Bangladesh, where little resources are available to combat both COVID-19, the mental health concerns is more prevalent as a result of this outbreak. Additionally, there is a dearth of research evaluating the mental well-being and satisfaction with life of students in Bangladesh during the pandemic. To bridge that

gap, this study sought to investigate the prevalence and determinants of mental well-being and satisfaction of life among the students.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants, Study procedure, and Measures

A cross-sectional study was employed to assess mental well-being and satisfaction with life among the students. The purposive sampling technique is also utilized in the present study. The survey was conducted from February to April 2021. The inclusion criteria for the study were i) being a university student, ii) >18 years of age, and iii) has the ability to read and speak Bangla.

A semi-structured self-reported e-survey questionnaire (in Bangla) was developed and an easily accessible Google survey form was created and publicly circulated on multiple social media platforms (Facebook, WhatsApp, etc.). Sufficient number of research assistants were recruited to get a high response rate in the survey.

All participants provided informed consent after the purpose and objectives of the study were thoroughly explained to them. Participation in this survey was voluntary and anonymous.

Before going on to the next phase, a pilot test was undertaken with 50 participants from the same population (target group) to ensure that the questionnaire was acceptable and transparent. Initially, there were 855 responses, and after removing incomplete responses, there were 660 responses for final analysis. The e-questionnaire consisted of three sections: socio-demographic, mental well-being, and satisfaction with life amidst COVID-19 during the last month.

2.2 Socio-demographic measures:

Socio-demographic characteristics were collected by asking age, sex (male/female), relationship status (single/ engaged/ married), family type (nuclear/joint family), residence (urban/rural), monthly family income (<15,000 Bangladeshi Taka (BDT), 15,000–30,000 BDT, and >30,000 BDT) (Islam et al., 2020). Crisis during COVID-19 were measured by asking the following questions: “are you currently searching for a job?” (trying/ moderately trying/ crying need/ not trying), “your financial conditions during COVID-19” (good/ better/ best/ worst), “your relationships with loved ones (good/ better/ best/ poor).

2.3 Satisfaction with life scale (SWLS)

The satisfaction with life scale is the most widely used scale to measure life satisfaction (Yun et al., 2019). The scale consists of five items, with which respondents indicate their level of agreement or disagreement on a seven-point Likert scale (from 7 = Strongly agree to 1 = Strongly disagree). Total scores ranged from 5 to 35, with the lowest scores indicating Extremely dissatisfied (scores between 5 and 9), scores between 10 and 14 indicating dissatisfied, 19-19 indicating Slightly dissatisfied, 20 indicating Neutral, 21-25 indicating slightly satisfied, 26-30 indicating Satisfied, and 31-35 indicating Extremely satisfied. The SWLS has demonstrated satisfactory psychometric properties, a significant degree of internal consistency (Cronbach's varying from 79 to 89 in various studies), and a greater level of chronological reliability (Rogowska et al., 2021), (Aishvarya et al., 2014). In the present study, the SWLS found to be have very good reliability (Cronbach's alpha =0.88)

2.4 Warwick-Edinburg mental well-being scale (WEMWBS)

WEMWBS is a mental well-being metric that focuses solely on positive aspects of mental health. It holds promise as a tool for monitoring mental well-being at the population level because it is a short and psychometrically robust scale with no ceiling effects in a population sample (Tennant et al., 2007). An expert panel developed it based on current academic literature, qualitative research with focus groups, and psychometric testing of an existing scale. The scale consists of fourteen items, with a five-point Likert scale (from 1 = None of the time to 5 = All of the time). The Likert scale assigns a score of 1 to 5 to each item, with a minimum score of 14 and a maximum score of 70. All items received a positive score. The WEMWBS overall score is calculated by adding the scores for each item with equal weights. As a result, a higher WEMWBS score indicates a higher level of mental well-being. In the present study, the WEMWBS showed content reliability (Cronbach's alpha =0.89)

2.5 Sampling procedure

The sample size was calculated using the following equation:

$$n = \frac{z^2 pq}{d^2}; n = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.5 \times (1 - 0.5)}{0.05^2} = 384.16 \approx 384$$

Here,
 n = number of samples
 z = 1.96 (95% confidence level)
 p = prevalence estimate (50% or 0.5) (as no study found)
 q = (1- p)
 d = Precision of the prevalence estimate

The calculated sampling size was 384. There are limited studies to base this on however, $p=0.5$ was initially selected. Our sample size exceeds this by a substantial proportion. Out of 855 received responses, 660 responses were analyzed after removing incomplete or ineligible data.

2.6 Statistical analysis

Descriptive and inferential statistics (such as frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations) were computed. Inferential statistics included using t-tests or one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to determine mean differences in mental well-being scores in relation to background variables. Skewness, Kurtosis, and Pearson correlations were calculated between all measures pertaining to mental well-being and life satisfaction. The Cronbach's alpha for SWLS and WEMWBS were 0.88 and 0.89 respectively. And then a well fitted regression model was used to determine relationship between SWLS and WEMWBS with demographic variables. All analyses were carried out with a p-value of < 0.05 using the R programming language.

2.7 Ethics

The present study was carried out in accordance with Institutional Research Ethics and the Helsinki Declaration. This study was approved by the respective Ethical Review Committee[Ref: UAMC/ERC/27/2021].. The study's objectives were explicitly defined in the first part of the questionnaire, along with i) the current research processes, ii) data confidentiality and privacy, and iii) the right to withhold data from the study at any time.

3. Results

3.1 Descriptive analysis

In this study, we use age, sex, relationship status, family type, residence, monthly family income, currently searching for a job, financial situation during COVID-19, and relationships with loved ones as personal variables. Age 18-23 years (75.9%), male (69.5%), marital status in a relationship (72.1%), joint family (71.7%), rural residence (69.5%), monthly family income $<15,000$ BDT (69.6%), searching job as a crying need (73.6%), bad financial situation during COVID-19 (81.2%), bad relationships with loved ones (82.5%) are good satisfaction with their life that means their score more than 13 in SWL scale[**Table:2**]. Male those age 18-23 years

(43%), Unmarried (38.6%), Joint family (43%), rural residence (46%), family income 5,000-30000 BDT (39%), currently searching for a job as yes trying (42.8%), better financial condition during covid-19 (32.3%), best relationships with loved ones (34.1%) are good satisfied with their life [Table:1]. Female those one age 30-35 years(42.9%), unmarried (25.7%), nuclear family (28.1%), urban residence (30%), monthly family income >30,000 BDT (34%), currently searching for a job as moderately trying (35.7%), best financial situation during covid-19 (36.6%), poor relationships with loved ones (37.5%) are not satisfied with their life [Table:1]. Age 18-23 years (51.6%), female (53%), relationship status in a relationship (78.2%), joint family (62.8%), rural residence (81.9%), monthly family income <15,000 BDT (50.1%), currently searching for a job as moderately trying (87.9%), best financial situation during covid-19 (68.8%), best relationships with loved ones (56.7%) are good wellbeing during last month [Table:3].

3.2 Association among satisfaction with life, mental well-being during last month, and personal variables

Those with better financial situation during COVID-19 [odd ratio: 38%, CI (.22-.63), p value < 0.05] and best relationships with loved ones [OR: 29; 95%CI (0.09-.89), p value < 0.001] are good satisfied with their life comparing to others [Table: 2]. Age [OR: 88; 95% CI (.30-2.55), p value < 0.001], Male [OR: 69; 95% CI (.45-.95), p value < 0.001], nuclear family type [OR: 46; 95% CI (.30-.67), p value < 0.001], good financial situation during COVID-19 [OR:17; 95% CI (.27-.81), p value <0001], good relationships with loved ones [odd ratio .23, 95% of CI (0.09-.58), p value < 0.001] are good mental well-being during last month comparing to others [Table:3]. Overall, Those aged 18-23 years, relationship status in a relationship, joint family, rural residence, monthly family income <15,000 BDT, poor relationships with loved ones are simultaneously soundly satisfied with life and mental well-being during last month are good [Table:1].

4. Discussion

The global pandemic has significantly transformed the student welfare sector partly because of extensive pragmatic reforms to limit the spread of COVID-19. Across the board, education has seen significant changes in how education is delivered. The shift to online learning has happened quickly, posing a variety of new issues for both faculty and students. The required transformation in educational institutions has had a significant (mostly unfavorable) influence on students' overall learning experience and psychological well-being. Therefore, a study regarding their mental well-being and life satisfaction become necessary to address the issues. However, the objective of this study was to determine the mental well-being and satisfaction with life of students during COVID-19 outbreak in Bangladesh. To the best of author's knowledge this is the first study reporting students mental well-being and satisfaction with during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh.

According to the present findings, students reported low mental well-being and poor life satisfaction. In addition, the present study reported male students, early adults, middle socio-economic status, and job seeker students are significantly more vulnerable to poor mental health and life satisfaction. As per the study, students' mental health is jeopardized during the pandemic. This study reports the mental well-being and satisfaction with life of students in Bangladesh while the education sector was substantially disturbed by COVID-19. However, the present study revealed that only 13% of students are satisfied with their lives, and the prevalence of mental well-being is 27%.

Despite differences in survey populations, methods, and cultures, the current findings are comparable to previous research on satisfaction with life and mental well-being and related factors in students and other populations.

However, a recent study conducted among nine countries university students found that higher satisfaction with life (60.54%). However, Columbia reported the highest satisfactory (81.94%) students and on the other hand Turkey reported the lowest prevalence of life satisfaction (28.06%) of students (Rogowska et al., 2021). Moreover, a recent study in Germany revealed

that 72.2% students are suffering from serious impairment of mental well-being (Brähler et al., 2007), and another study revealed that 75.8% have serious indication of mental disorder (Holm-Hadulla et al., 2021).

However, the early adults (24 to 29 years) had significantly poor life satisfaction in this study. A prior study indicated that as people grew older, they became less satisfied with their lives (Chen, 2001). While determining good well-being, this study showed that the prevalence of good mental well-being found significantly associated with middle age people. In line with the present study another study among students reported that increased age was associated with enhanced psychological well-being (Franzen et al., 2021). A prior study among English and Scottish adolescent students showed no correlation between mental health and age (Clarke et al., 2011). In a survey of students of health disciplines, increased age was found to have a positive association with psychological well-being (Franzen et al., 2021).

However, in the present study, it has been found that male students have a significant association with good mental well-being. In contrast to a study in Denmark among general practitioners, which reported that male were more likely than female to experience poor mental well-being (Nørøxe et al., 2018). Another study of health science university students found no significant associations between and within age groups when it came to their mental well-being (Alshehri, 2021). A similar study among medical students indicated that women's burnout rates are higher than men (Wimberly et al., 2020). A further study among public health students showed that the psychological discomfort among female was larger than in the general population at the same age (Bíró et al., 2011), indicating the male students as more mentally sound. However, a previous study indicated that males are less likely than females to seek care for mental health issues, resulting in greater mental health burden (Sagar-Ouriaghli et al., 2020). Male students, in comparison to female students, have more negative attitudes toward psychiatric services and are less likely to seek treatment (Sagar-Ouriaghli et al., 2020). There is a dearth of evidence-based research to address this issue, despite significant interest. To completely comprehend the psychological impact of COVID-19 on male students, more research is needed.

Moreover, satisfaction with life found significantly associated with monthly family income in this study. According to a previous study, relative income was found to be more important for life satisfaction (Wolbring et al., 2013). Furthermore, students with a better financial standing

were found to have higher levels of life satisfaction. Similarities have been found with a study conducted among college students which suggests that favorable financial behaviors contribute to financial satisfaction, which in turn adds to life satisfaction (Xiao et al., 2009). Other research involving university students indicated that when the financial stress of paying tuition is removed, students' life satisfaction improves dramatically (Slavinski et al., 2021). Consequently, students with higher socio-economic status are more satisfied with their life (Chow, 2005).

Additionally, millions of individuals have lost their jobs as a result of global economic instability following COVID-19 (Crayne, 2020). As a result, these same people will be dealing with the pain of job loss in the present, as well as the stress of job hunting in the future. In addition, the satisfactory relationship with loved ones has also been found to be significantly associated with life satisfaction compared to others. However, the present study is in line with a study among University Students in a Canadian Prairie City (Chow, 2005). A study in Barbados also showed similar findings (Alleyne et al., 2010). According to another study on Family Functioning and Life Satisfaction, people who rate their family functioning as cohesive, adaptable, communicative, and fulfilling are more likely to process their own emotions and have better life satisfaction (Szcześniak & Tułeczka, 2020). As a representation of the quality of life, the home is more than just a house. It can offer a variety of advantages for a person's bodily and psychological well-being and satisfaction with life. So the relationship with family members, especially during the pandemic situation, as well as the relationship with friends and relatives, also plays an important role in mental health.

Nonetheless, each individual's mental well-being is critical to their performance and productivity. But various stressors and environmental variables can contribute to an imbalance. Students frequently experience mental health issues as a result of recent changes in the education system, online classes, and financial strain. Unmarried people have been found to have good mental well-being in this current study. In contrast to a previous study, which revealed that married people have the highest level of subjective well-being (Dush & Amato, 2005). Longitudinal research, on the other hand, consistently shows that marriage promotes mental well-being (Dush & Amato, 2005; Williams, 2003). As COVID-19 hits different people in different ways, the unmarried participants were found to have good mental well-being as they didn't need to think regarding their family as they don't have spouses and childrens.

However, industrialization and globalization have accelerated social transformation, which has affected not just people's professional life but also their personal lives, particularly in developing countries. As a result, extended families are turning into nuclear families. In the course of time, families were shown to be highly linked to the social adaptation and the psychological well-being of an individual (Kellam et al., 1977). In this study, students from nuclear families have been found to have good mental well-being compared to others. This may be due to the nuclear family having a significant impact on the formation of an individual's personality. An individual is closer to their parents and may have more open and honest discussions with them about their concerns during quarantine, which aids in maintaining their psychological well-being. Nuclear families are also more likely to use emergency rooms and can have the opportunity to give children adequate healthcare (Anderson, 2014). Moreover, the emotional pressure on children with two parents living in a non-violent family is far lower. This maintains students living in the nuclear family in good mental well-being. A study among Joint and Nuclear Family Women revealed that there was a significant difference in marital adjustment and mental health between women from joint and nuclear families (Patel & Zala, 2011).

However, people from middle socio-economic status (15,000 to 30,000 BDT) demonstrated good mental well-being. An earlier studies in Finland found that low income was associated with poor mental health in the men in the study group (Viinamäki et al., 1993). A comparable study among university students found that prolonged financial stress severely impacted students' psychological well-being by lowering their sense of comprehensibility about their circumstances, as well as their sense of control and self-esteem (Lange & Byrd, 1998). In contrast to many other studies, those who are looking for work as a crying need exhibited good mental well-being in our current study (Bialowolski et al., 2021; Viinamäki et al., 1993) .

Consequently, being a student in today's society is the most challenging task, and the academic system has been more demanding than ever before. Furthermore, throughout the pandemic, it has become increasingly complex, with increased competitiveness, resulting in increased levels of stress among students. The spread of COVID-19 caused a severe change to the emotional, physical, mental, social, and financial conditions of billions of persons. The COVID-19 pandemic could have a major effect on the mental well-being of many people, especially students. Another prior study at the time of COVID-19 has found that the threat of COVID-19

has a negative impact on subjective mental well being (Meo et al., 2020; Paredes et al., 2021), serial mediation studies revealed that during COVID-19, intolerance, and uncertainty had a large direct effect on mental well-being and satisfaction with life (Satici et al., 2020). According to a recent study, the lockdown, social distancing, and self-isolation requirements are stressful and harmful to many people, causing health, mental well-being, and satisfaction with life problems among students (Nurunnabi et al., 2020).

However, the present study reported that students who have a good relationship with their loved one are found to be good mental well-being and sufficient life satisfaction than others which is in line with a prior study (Viejo et al., 2015). A previous study demonstrated that participants who reported poor family support had low mental well-being (Cano et al., 2003). In addition, a further longitudinal study is necessary to establish a strong link between relationship with loved ones and mental well-being and life satisfaction.

Limitations

There are several limitations to the study that should be considered. The main limitation of this study is that participation in the study required access to a smartphone/computer, implying that respondents from the lower socioeconomic subgroup could not be included. Second, because this study relied on self-reported data, it was not completely free of recall or reporting bias. Third, because the study was conducted online using a convenience sampling technique, the possibility of selection bias should be considered. Finally, the study's cross-sectional design includes method bias because a causal relationship cannot be accurately elucidated in this design. Future qualitative and longitudinal studies will be required to determine the true scenario in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Conclusions

The current study found that the closing of educational institutions generated significant disturbance in students' mental health. The COVID-19 pandemic had a significant impact on students' mental well-being and life satisfaction, and precautions were put in place to prevent its spread. Student's psychological services in hard-to-reach places should be expanded by the government and other policymakers. The evidence so far in respect to pre-existing models of well-being shows that the pandemic's psychological influence will be extensive. While students

will be living with uncertainty about their studies for an undetermined amount of time, researchers should move rapidly to assess student well-being and life satisfaction in these unprecedented times and beyond.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the participants who took part in the study. We also acknowledge the efforts of all research assistants that helped in data collection for the study.

Funding

The authors did not receive any financial support from any public/private organizations or other funding agencies for this study.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare that they have no potential conflict of interest in the dissemination of the study's findings.

References

- Alleyne, M., Alleyne, P., & Greenidge, D. (2010). Life satisfaction and perceived stress among university students in Barbados. *Journal of Psychology in Africa, 20*(2), 291–297.
- Anderson, J. (2014). The impact of family structure on the health of children: Effects of divorce. *The Linacre Quarterly, 81*(4), 378–387.
- Anjum, A., Hossain, S., Sikder, T., Uddin, M. E., & Rahim, D. A. (2019). Investigating the prevalence of and factors associated with depressive symptoms among urban and semi-urban school adolescents in Bangladesh: a pilot study. *International Health*.
- Bíró, É., Ádány, R., & Kósa, K. (2011). Mental health and behaviour of students of public health and their correlation with social support: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health, 11*(1), 1–8.
- Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G. J. (2020). The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet*.
- Burns, D., Dagnall, N., & Holt, M. (2020). Assessing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on student wellbeing at universities in the United Kingdom: A conceptual analysis. *Frontiers in Education, 5*, 204.
- Cano, A., Scaturro, D. J., Sprafkin, R. P., Lantinga, L. J., Fiese, B. H., & Brand, F. (2003). Family support, self-rated health, and psychological distress. *Primary Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 5*(3), 111.
- Cao, W., Fang, Z., Hou, G., Han, M., Xu, X., Dong, J., & Zheng, J. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Research, 287*, 112934.
- Cheung, H. Y., & Chan, A. W. H. (2009). The effect of education on life satisfaction across

- countries. *Alberta Journal of Educational Research*, 55(1).
- Chow, H. P. H. (2005). Life satisfaction among university students in a Canadian prairie city: A multivariate analysis. *Social Indicators Research*, 70(2), 139–150.
- Crayne, M. P. (2020). The traumatic impact of job loss and job search in the aftermath of COVID-19. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, 12(S1), S180.
- Di Renzo, L., Gualtieri, P., Pivari, F., Soldati, L., Attinà, A., Cinelli, G., Leggeri, C., Caparello, G., Barrea, L., & Scerbo, F. (2020). Eating habits and lifestyle changes during COVID-19 lockdown: an Italian survey. *Journal of Translational Medicine*, 18, 1–15.
- Dogaš, Z., Lušić Kalcina, L., Pavlinac Dodig, I., Demirović, S., Madirazza, K., Valić, M., & Pecotić, R. (2020). The effect of COVID-19 lockdown on lifestyle and mood in Croatian general population: a cross-sectional study. *Croatian Medical Journal*, 61(4), 309–318.
- Hernandez, K. (2019). *Barriers to Digital Services Adoption in Bangladesh*.
- Hossain, S., Anjum, A., Hasan, M. T., Uddin, M. E., Hossain, M. S., & Sikder, M. T. (2020). Self-perception of physical health conditions and its association with depression and anxiety among Bangladeshi university students. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 263, 282–288.
- Islam, M. S., Sujan, M. S. H., Tasnim, R., Sikder, M. T., Potenza, M. N., & van Os, J. (2020). Psychological responses during the COVID-19 outbreak among university students in Bangladesh. *PloS One*, 15(12), e0245083. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245083>
- Kecojevic, A., Basch, C. H., Sullivan, M., & Davi, N. K. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on mental health of undergraduate students in New Jersey, cross-sectional study. *PloS One*, 15(9), e0239696.
- Kellam, S. G., Ensminger, M. E., & Turner, R. J. (1977). Family structure and the mental health of children: Concurrent and longitudinal community-wide studies. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 34(9), 1012–1022.
- Konstantinovs, N., & Lapa, J. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on young people's mental health in latvia. *European Psychiatry*, 64(S1), S296–S296.
- Kriaucioniene, V., Bagdonaviciene, L., Rodríguez-Pérez, C., & Petkeviciene, J. (2020).

- Associations between changes in health behaviours and body weight during the covid-19 quarantine in Lithuania: the Lithuanian covidiet study. *Nutrients*, 12(10), 3119.
- Lange, C., & Byrd, M. (1998). The relationship between perceptions of financial distress and feelings of psychological well-being in New Zealand university students. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 7(3), 193–209.
- Meo, S. A., Abukhalaf, A. A., Alomar, A. A., Sattar, K., & Klonoff, D. C. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic: impact of quarantine on medical students' mental wellbeing and learning behaviors. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, 36(COVID19-S4), S43.
- Nurunnabi, M., Almusharraf, N., & Aldeghaither, D. (2020). Mental health and well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic in higher education: Evidence from G20 countries. *Journal of Public Health Research*, 9(Suppl 1).
- Paredes, M. R., Apaolaza, V., Fernandez-Robin, C., Hartmann, P., & Yañez-Martinez, D. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on subjective mental well-being: The interplay of perceived threat, future anxiety and resilience. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 170, 110455.
- Pfefferbaum, B., & North, C. S. (2020). Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(6), 510–512.
- Powdthavee, N., Lekfuangfu, W. N., & Wooden, M. (2015). What's the good of education on our overall quality of life? A simultaneous equation model of education and life satisfaction for Australia. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, 54, 10–21.
- Rabi, F. A., Al Zoubi, M. S., Kasasbeh, G. A., Salameh, D. M., & Al-Nasser, A. D. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 and coronavirus disease 2019: what we know so far. *Pathogens*, 9(3), 231.
- Richardson, T., Elliott, P., & Roberts, R. (2017). Relationship between loneliness and mental health in students. *Journal of Public Mental Health*.
- Roy, D., Tripathy, S., Kar, S. K., Sharma, N., Verma, S. K., & Kaushal, V. (2020). Study of knowledge, attitude, anxiety & perceived mental healthcare need in Indian population during COVID-19 pandemic. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 51, 102083.

- Sagar-Ouriaghli, I., Brown, J. S. L., Tailor, V., & Godfrey, E. (2020). Engaging male students with mental health support: a qualitative focus group study. *BMC Public Health*, *20*(1), 1–14.
- Sahu, P. (2020). Closure of universities due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): impact on education and mental health of students and academic staff. *Cureus*, *12*(4).
- Satici, B., Saricali, M., Satici, S. A., & Griffiths, M. D. (2020). Intolerance of uncertainty and mental wellbeing: serial mediation by rumination and fear of COVID-19. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, *1*.
- Slavinski, T., Bjelica, D., Pavlović, D., & Vukmirović, V. (2021). Academic performance and physical activities as positive factors for life satisfaction among university students. *Sustainability*, *13*(2), 497.
- Szcześniak, M., & Tułeczka, M. (2020). Family functioning and life satisfaction: The mediatory role of emotional intelligence. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, *13*, 223.
- Tasnim, R., Islam, M. S., Sujon, M. S. H., Sikder, M. T., & Potenza, M. N. (2020). Suicidal ideation among Bangladeshi university students early during the COVID-19 pandemic: Prevalence estimates and correlates. *Children and Youth Services Review*, *119*(November), 105703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chilyouth.2020.105703>
- Wimberly, C. E., Rajapakse, H., Park, L. P., Price, A., Proeschold-Bell, R. J., & Østbye, T. (2020). Mental well-being in Sri Lankan medical students: a cross-sectional study. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, 1–14.
- Xiao, J. J., Tang, C., & Shim, S. (2009). Acting for happiness: Financial behavior and life satisfaction of college students. *Social Indicators Research*, *92*(1), 53–68.
- Aishvarya, S., Maniam, T., Karuthan, C., Sidi, H., Jaafar, N. R. N., & Oei, T. P. S. (2014). Psychometric properties and validation of the Satisfaction with Life Scale in psychiatric and medical outpatients in Malaysia. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *55*(SUPPL. 1), S101–S106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2013.03.010>
- Alshehri, E. A. R. (2021). Mental well-being among health science specialty female students in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Middle East Current Psychiatry*, *28*(1), 1–8.

- Bialowolski, P., Weziak-Bialowolska, D., Lee, M. T., Chen, Y., VanderWeele, T. J., & McNeely, E. (2021). The role of financial conditions for physical and mental health. Evidence from a longitudinal survey and insurance claims data. *Social Science & Medicine*, *281*, 114041.
- Brähler, E., Mühlan, H., Albani, C., & Schmidt, S. (2007). Teststatistische Prüfung und Normierung der Deutschen Versionen des EUROHIS-QOL Lebensqualität-index und des WHO-5 Wohlbefindens-index. *Diagnostica*, *53*(2), 83–96. <https://doi.org/10.1026/0012-1924.53.2.83>
- Chen, C. (2001). Aging and life satisfaction. *Social Indicators Research*, *54*(1), 57–79.
- Clarke, A., Friede, T., Putz, R., Ashdown, J., Martin, S., Blake, A., Adi, Y., Parkinson, J., Flynn, P., & Platt, S. (2011). Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS): validated for teenage school students in England and Scotland. A mixed methods assessment. *BMC Public Health*, *11*(1), 1–9.
- Dush, C. M. K., & Amato, P. R. (2005). Consequences of relationship status and quality for subjective well-being. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, *22*(5), 607–627.
- Franzen, J., Jermann, F., Ghisletta, P., Rudaz, S., Bondolfi, G., & Tran, N. T. (2021). Psychological distress and well-being among students of health disciplines: The importance of academic satisfaction. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(4), 2151.
- Holm-Hadulla, R. M., Klimov, M., Juche, T., Möltner, A., & Herpertz, S. C. (2021). Well-Being and Mental Health of Students during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Psychopathology*, *54*(6), 291–297. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000519366>
- Lange, C., & Byrd, M. (1998). The relationship between perceptions of financial distress and feelings of psychological well-being in New Zealand university students. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, *7*(3), 193–209.
- Nørøxe, K. B., Pedersen, A. F., Bro, F., & Vedsted, P. (2018). Mental well-being and job satisfaction among general practitioners: a nationwide cross-sectional survey in Denmark. *BMC Family Practice*, *19*(1), 1–11.

- Patel, A. C., & Zala, K. J. (2011). A Comparative Study of Marital Adjustment and Mental Health among Joint and Nuclear Family Women. *Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing*, 2(5), 1049–1050.
- Rogowska, A. M., Ochnik, D., Kuśnierz, C., Jakubiak, M., Schütz, A., Held, M. J., Arzenšek, A., Benatov, J., Berger, R., Korchagina, E. V., Pavlova, I., Blažková, I., Konečná, Z., Aslan, I., Çınar, O., & Cuero-Acosta, Y. A. (2021). Satisfaction with life among university students from nine countries: Cross-national study during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-12288-1>
- Tennant, R., Hiller, L., Fishwick, R., Platt, S., Joseph, S., Weich, S., Parkinson, J., Secker, J., & Stewart-Brown, S. (2007). The Warwick-Dinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS): Development and UK validation. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 5, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-5-63>
- Viejo, C., Ortega-Ruiz, R., & Sánchez, V. (2015). Adolescent love and well-being: The role of dating relationships for psychological adjustment. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 18(9), 1219–1236.
- Viinamäki, H., Koskela, K., Niskanen, L., Arnkill, R., & Tikkanen, J. (1993). Unemployment and mental wellbeing: a factory closure study in Finland. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 88(6), 429–433.
- Williams, K. (2003). Has the future of marriage arrived? A contemporary examination of gender, marriage, and psychological well-being. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 44(4), 470.
- Wolbring, T., Keuschnigg, M., & Negele, E. (2013). Needs, comparisons, and adaptation: The importance of relative income for life satisfaction. *European Sociological Review*, 29(1), 86–104.
- Yun, Y. H., Rhee, Y. E., Kang, E., & Sim, J. A. (2019). The satisfaction with life scale and the subjective well-being inventory in the general korean population: Psychometric properties and normative data. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16091538>

Table-1: General characteristics of personal variables

Variables		Prevalence (%)	Prevalence of Satisfaction With Life (score \geq 13)		Prevalence of mental well-being (score \geq 27)	
			Male (58.2%)	Female (41.8%)	Male (58.2%)	Female (41.8%)
Age	18-23	419 (67.1%)	180 (43%)	107 (26%)	131 (31%)	104 (25%)
	24-29	220 (30.1%)	83 (37.7%)	61 (27.7%)	54 (24.5%)	50 (22.7%)
	30-35	21 (2.9%)	6 (28.6%)	9 (42.9%)	4 (1.9%)	6 (28.6%)
Relationship status	Unmarried	440 (60.1%)	170 (38.6%)	113 (25.7%)	112 (25.5%)	77 (1.8%)
	In a Relationship	140 (19.1%)	62 (4.4%)	54 (3.9%)	42 (3%)	37 (26%)
	Married	149 (20.4%)	35 (23%)	39 (25%)	33 (22%)	46 (3%)
Family type	Joint	180 (24.6%)	78 (43%)	51 (28%)	61 (34%)	52 (29%)
	Nuclear	544 (74.3%)	190 (35%)	155 (28.1%)	127 (23%)	107 (2%)
Residence	Urban	463 (63.4%)	146 (32%)	145 (30%)	103 (22%)	108 (23%)
	Rural	259 (35.4%)	118 (46%)	61 (24%)	82 (32%)	52 (2%)
Monthly family income	<15,000 BDT	168 (23%)	83 (5%)	33 (2%)	61 (36%)	23 (14%)
	15,000–30,000	276 (37.7%)	107 (39%)	77 (28%)	68 (25%)	56 (2%)
	>30,000 BDT	281 (38.4%)	789 (28%)	96 (34%)	60 (21%)	80 (28%)
Currently searching for a job?	trying	250 (34.2%)	107 (42.8%)	48 (19.2%)	62 (24.8%)	30 (12%)
	moderately trying	182 (24.9%)	51 (28.02%)	65 (35.7%)	47 (25.8%)	55 (30.2%)
	crying need	121 (16.5%)	51 (42.1%)	38 (31.4%)	44 (33.9%)	36 (29.8%)
	not trying	176 (24%)	59 (33.5%)	55 (31.2%)	36 (20.5%)	39 (22.2%)
Financial situation during COVID-19	good	153 (20.9%)	55 (35.9%)	26 (17%)	29 (19%)	13 (8.5%)
	better	316 (43.2%)	102 (32.3%)	91 (28.8%)	80 (25.3%)	74 (23.4%)
	best	93 (12.7%)	35 (37.6%)	34 (36.6%)	33 (35.5%)	31 (33.33%)
	worst	165 (22.5%)	77 (46.7%)	56 (33.9%)	47 (28.5%)	42 (25.5%)
Relationships with loved ones	good	244 (33.3%)	86 (35.2%)	57 (23.4%)	47 (19.3%)	34 (13.9%)
	better	186 (25.4%)	78 (41.9%)	48 (25.8%)	52 (28%)	43 (23.1%)
	best	255 (34.8%)	87 (34.1%)	84 (32.9%)	73 (28.6%)	68 (26.7%)
	worst	40 (5.5%)	18 (45%)	15 (37.5%)	16 (40%)	14 (35%)

Table-2: Association between personal variable and satisfaction with life (SWL).

Variables		Prevalence of satisfaction with life (score \geq 13)	OR (95% of CI)	P value
Age	18-23	318 (75.9%)	.77 (.27-2.19)	.624
	24-29	144 (65.5%)	.88 (.30-2.55)	<.001
	30-35	15 (71.4%)	1	
Sex	Male	269 (69.5%)	.72 (.49-1.04)	.040
	Female	207 (68.5%)	1	
Relationship status	Unmarried	284 (64.5%)	.99 (.62-1.58)	.051
	In a Relationship	101 (72.1%)	.66 (.54-1.71)	.899
	Married	89 (59.7%)	1	
Family type	Joint	129 (71.7%)	1	
	Nuclear	346 (63.6%)	.82 (.54-1.24)	.355
Residence	Urban	191 (42.3%)	.75 (.51-1.11)	.158
	Rural	180 (69.5%)	1	
Monthly family income	<15,000 BDT	117 (69.6%)	1.15 (.66-1.96)	.590
	15,000–30,000	184 (66.67%)	1.30 (.86-1.95)	<.001
	>30,000 BDT	174 (61.9%)	1	
Currently searching for a job?	trying	156 (62.4%)	.91 (.57-1.46)	.715
	moderately trying	116 (63.7%)	.72 (.43-1.20)	.216
	crying need	89 (73.6%)	1.08 (.58-2.01)	.792
	not trying	114 (64.8%)	1	
Financial situation during COVID-19	good	81 (52.9%)	.33 (.18-.59)	<.001
	better	193 (61.1%)	.38 (.22-.63)	<.001
	best	69 (74.2%)	.79 (.37-1.66)	.538
	worst	134 (81.2%)	1	
Relationships with loved ones	good	143 (58.6%)	.24 (0.08-.74)	.013
	better	127 (68.3%)	.31 (.10-.95)	<.001
	best	171 (67.1%)	.29 (0.09-.89)	<.001
	worst	33 (82.5%)	1	

Table-3: Association between personal variable and mental well-being

Variables		Prevalence mental well-being (score \geq 27)	OR (95% of CI)	P value
Age	18-23	216 (51.6%)	1.35 (.48-3.81)	.569
	24-29	104 (47.3%)	1.26 (.43-3.36)	<.001
	30-35	10 (47.6%)	Reference	
Sex	Male	189 (44.4%)	.69 (.45-.95)	<.001
	Female	160 (53%)	Reference	
Relationship status	Unmarried	190 (67%)	.87 (.56-1.36)	<.001
	In a Relationship	79 (78.2%)	Reference	
	Married	79 (53.02%)	1.31 (.74-2.33)	.348
Family type	Joint	113 (62.8%)	Reference	
	Nuclear	235 (43.2%)	.46 (.30-.67)	<.001
Residence	Urban	134 (28.9%)	.77 (.52-1.14)	.162
	Rural	212 (81.9%)	Reference	
Monthly family income	<15,000 BDT	85 (50.1%)	.77 (.88-1.63)	.935
	15,000–30,000	124 (44.9%)	.81 (.54-1.22)	<.001
	>30,000 BDT	140 (49.8%)	Reference	
Currently searching for a job?	trying	92 (58.33%)	.75 (.45-1.15)	.172
	moderately trying	102 (87.9%)	1.25 (.76-2.05)	.366
	crying need	81 (66.9%)	1.51 (.88-.72)	<.001
	not trying	75 (42.6%)	Reference	
Financial situation during COVID-19	good	42 (27.5%)	.17 (.27-.81)	<.001
	better	155 (49.1%)	.70 (.45-1.11)	<.001
	best	64 (68.8%)	1.49 (.76-2.29)	.122
	worst	89 (53.9%)	Reference	
Relationships with loved ones	good	81 (33.2%)	.23 (0.09-.58)	.002
	better	95 (51.1%)	.35 (.14-.88)	<.001
	best	142 (56.7%)	.38 (.15-.94)	<.001
	poor	30 (75%)	Reference	