

1 **Acceptability of a behavioural intervention to mitigate the psychological impacts of**
2 **COVID-19 restrictions in older people with long-term conditions: a qualitative study**

3 Shearsmith L, Coventry PA, Sloan C, Henry A, Newbronner E, Littlewood E, Bailey D,
4 Gascoyne, S., Burke, L., Ryde, E., Woodhouse R, McMillan D, Ekers, D, Gilbody S, Chew-
5 Graham CA.

6
7 **Abstract**

8 **Objectives**

9 The COVID-19 pandemic has heightened the need to address loneliness and social isolation
10 (and associated incidence of depression) amongst older adults. Between June and October
11 2020, the Behavioural Activation in Social IsoLation (BASIL) pilot study investigated the
12 acceptability and feasibility of a remotely delivered brief psychological intervention
13 (Behavioural Activation, BA) to prevent and reduce loneliness and depression in older people
14 with long term conditions (LTCs) during the COVID-19 pandemic.

15
16 **Design**

17 An embedded qualitative study was conducted with semi-structured interviews to generate
18 data that was first analysed inductively using thematic analysis and then deductively using
19 the Theoretical Framework of Acceptability (TFA).

20
21 **Setting**

22 National Health Service and third sector organisations in England.

23
24 **Participants**

25 Sixteen older adults and 9 Support Workers (BSWs) participating in the BASIL pilot trial.

26
27 **Results**

28 Older adults and BSWs described a positive affective attitude towards the intervention linked
29 to altruism, however the activity planning aspect of the intervention was limited due to
30 COVID-19 restrictions. The intervention was understood by older adults & BSWs, although
31 less understanding in older adults without low mood. A manageable burden was involved
32 with delivering and participating in the intervention. For ethicality, older adults valued social
33 contact and making changes, BSWs valued being able to observe those changes. Opportunity
34 cost was low for BSWs & older adults. BA was perceived to be useful in the pandemic and

35 likely to achieve its aims, (Perceived Effectiveness) especially if tailored to people with both
36 low mood and LTCs. Self-efficacy developed over time and with experience for both BSWs
37 and older adults.

38

39 Conclusions

40 Overall, the BASIL pilot study processes and BA intervention were found to be acceptable.
41 Use of the TFA provided valuable insights into how the intervention was experienced and
42 how the acceptability of study processes and the BA intervention could be enhanced ahead of
43 the larger definitive trial (BASIL+).

44

45

46 Strengths & Limitations

- 47 1. The use of TFA in both informing the topic guide and conducting the analysis,
48 demonstrating a systematic enquiry into acceptability, and contributing to the wider
49 field as well as the topic area.
- 50 2. The length of the interviews facilitated an in-depth exploration of older adults and
51 BASIL Support Workers' experiences.
- 52 3. Conducting the interviews by telephone whilst discussing feasibility of telephone
53 delivery may have enabled contextual cues to be discussed that may have been missed
54 in a face-to-face interview set up, however may have led to a self-selecting sample of
55 people who were comfortable with the telephone.
- 56 4. A limitation is that the short timescale for the study meant that participants had to be
57 interviewed as they completed 3m outcome measures, rather than using strategic
58 sampling.

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69 **Acceptability of a behavioural intervention to mitigate the psychological**
70 **impacts of COVID-19 restrictions in older people with long-term**
71 **conditions: a qualitative study**

72

73 **Introduction**

74 In England the number of people aged ≥ 65 years is projected to grow by 20% over the next
75 decade, and by nearly 60% in 25 years.¹ Ageing is a risk factor for social isolation and
76 loneliness. Social isolation is a quantifiable sense of reduced social network size and
77 impoverished social contact.² Loneliness is the subjective psychological expression of social
78 isolation owing to dissatisfaction with the frequency and quality of social contacts³. Social
79 isolation and loneliness are established risk factors among older adults for cognitive
80 impairment, depression and mortality.⁴⁻⁶ In addition to the toll on the individual, loneliness
81 impacts on health and social care services.⁷ Loneliness is associated with an increased risk of
82 subsequent depression, and this risk can persist for up to 12 years after the loneliness is
83 reported.⁸ One in four older people in the UK live with a mental health condition, most
84 commonly depression, and the prevalence is higher among older people with multi-
85 morbidities.⁹ The COVID-19 pandemic and the associated loneliness and lack of social
86 contact has impacted the mental health of older adults,¹⁰ and the government's strategy on
87 loneliness sets reducing social isolation and improving well-being among older adults as a
88 public health priority.¹¹

89

90 The Behavioural Activation in Social IsoLation (BASIL) study investigated the acceptability
91 and feasibility of a remotely delivered brief psychological intervention (Behavioural
92 Activation, BA) to prevent or reduce depression and loneliness in older people with long term
93 conditions during isolation (or shielding).¹² The study was adopted by the NIHR Urgent
94 Public Health programme in May 2020.¹³ BA works on the principle that low mood may be a
95 consequence of inactivity, and loss of positive reinforcement due to a reduction in valued,
96 pleasurable activities.¹⁴ A bespoke intervention was used in the BASIL study incorporating
97 BA, a self-help booklet and trained BASIL Support Workers, with the aim of encouraging the
98 older adult to recognise the link between mood and behaviour, and reinstate or replace valued
99 activities that generate positive reinforcement and so improve mood.

100

101 The BASIL pilot study findings have been reported elsewhere.¹² This paper reports the
102 findings from the qualitative study within BASIL, presenting analysis using the Theoretical
103 Framework of Acceptability (TFA).¹⁵

104

105 **Methods**

106 We conducted a nested qualitative study, using semi-structured interviews to explore the
107 acceptability and feasibility of the BA intervention from the perspectives of older adult
108 participants and BASIL Support Workers.

109

110 Ethical approval was provided by Yorkshire and The Humber - Leeds West Research Ethics
111 Committee ref: 18/YH/0380 (approved as substantial amendment 02 under existing NIHR
112 IRAS249030 research programme). The protocol for the BASIL pilot study was preregistered
113 (ISRCTN94091479) on June 9, 2020.

114

115 **Participant Selection**

116 Sixteen study participants were interviewed from a pool of 86 study participants from the
117 BASIL pilot study who consented to take part in an interview at initial recruitment (inclusion
118 criteria: aged 65 years or over with two or more physical LTCs). All nine BASIL Support
119 Workers consented to participate in an interview. Study participants were approached via
120 telephone following completion of the intervention and one-month post-randomisation
121 follow-up measures. Participants were contacted by the researcher (CS) and interviews were
122 arranged at a time to suit the participant. BASIL Support Workers provided their verbal
123 permission to be contacted followed completion of the BASIL intervention training. BASIL
124 Support Workers were provided with study information and invited to contact the
125 interviewing researcher if they were willing to participate in an interview.

126

127 **Data Collection**

128 Semi-structured interviews were conducted by telephone. The interviews took place between
129 September and November 2020. Topic guides explored intervention delivery context (e.g.,
130 impact of the COVID-19 context on older adults), study processes (e.g. remote recruitment,
131 mode of intervention delivery) and thoughts around intervention content (e.g., study
132 materials). Study topic guides were sensitised by the seven constructs of the Theoretical
133 Framework of Acceptability (TFA)¹⁵ (Table 1).

134 Interviews were digitally-recorded using an encrypted dictaphone and transcribed verbatim
135 by a professional transcribing company. The ‘completer’ interviews lasted between 30 and 56
136 minutes, and BASIL Support Worker interviews 39 and 60 minutes.

137 **Analysis**

138 We initially carried out an iterative thematic analysis,¹⁶ so that we were able to fully explore
139 and familiarise ourselves with the data (reported elsewhere¹²). We then used the theoretical
140 derived Theoretical Framework of Acceptability (TFA)¹⁵, which has 7 domains, described in
141 Table 1.

142

143 **Table 1 Overview of TFA Domains**

<u>Component</u>	<u>Definition</u>
Affective Attitude	How an individual feels about the intervention
Burden	The perceived amount of effort that is required to participate in the intervention
Ethicality	The extent to which the intervention has good fit with the individual’s value system
Intervention Coherence	The extent to which the participant understands the intervention and how it works
Opportunity Costs	The extent to which benefits, profits or values must be given up to engage in the intervention
Perceived Effectiveness	The extent to which the intervention is perceived as likely to achieve its purpose
Self-efficacy	The participant’s confidence that they can perform the behaviour(s) required to participate in the intervention

144 *Table 1. The seven-component construct of the Theoretical Framework of Acceptability, adapted from*
145 *Sekhon, Cartwright & Francis (2017).*

146

147 Using a theoretically derived framework specifically designed for assessing the acceptability
148 of health care interventions was considered appropriate for this study, alongside a thematic
149 analysis to provide a multi-dimensional assessment of the acceptability of the BA
150 intervention and pilot study processes. Though TFA is a fairly novel method, examining
151 acceptability through seven dimensions comprising acceptability (Table 1) ensures that
152 factors are identified to inform the retrospective and prospective acceptability of BA as a
153 healthcare intervention in older adults with LTCs, and thus inform a future trial.

154

155 Familiarisation of data relevant to the TFA was undertaken by drawing on the meaning of the
156 domains¹⁵ and then indexing data considered relevant to these domains using NVIVO 12.

157 This analysis was carried out using Constant Comparison¹⁷ methods and regular research
158 meetings were conducted to agree interpretation, relevance and meaning of indexing to the
159 TFA domains. Data were then analysed by CS and AH within the TFA domains, initially by
160 participant group. Constant Comparison methods were then applied to compare and contrast
161 data across participant groups (data mapping) by CS. The Constant Comparison¹⁷ method
162 facilitated the development of categories of phenomena within the TFA domains that allowed
163 for interpretation of participants' perceptions of the acceptability of the BASIL study. Final
164 data interpretation was agreed through discussion with CS, AH, CCG and PC.

165

166 **Patient and Public Involvement and Engagement**

167 Stakeholder groups (with older adults, carers, clinicians and third sector practitioners) had
168 been conducted pre-pandemic to develop the BA intervention and then online in April 2020
169 to refine the intervention for remote delivery. The public-facing documents were discussed
170 with our patient participation group prior to submission for ethics approval. The findings and
171 analysis from the qualitative study were discussed with the patient participation group.

172

173

174 **Results**

175 **Participants**

176 Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 participants who had completed the BA
177 intervention ('completers'), and one with a participant who did not complete the intervention
178 ('non-completer'). Completer participants had between 2-8 of a maximum 8 intervention
179 sessions (mean = 6.5). Participant demographics for the pilot trial are reported elsewhere¹²
180 along with a summary of the thematic analysis (see also Appendix 1).

181

182 Nine interviews were carried out with the BASIL Support Workers who delivered the
183 intervention (characteristics reported elsewhere¹²).

184

185 Themes from the Thematic analysis are presented in Appendix 1.

186

187 **Findings from the TFA analysis**

188 This paper focuses on our findings within the Theoretical Framework of Acceptability (TFA),
189 incorporating both older adult and BASIL Support Worker perspectives. Throughout the
190 paper and Appendix 1, illustrative data is given with participant identifiers. Older adult

191 intervention ‘completer’ quotes are labelled with the prefix ‘OA’, then their identifier (e.g.
192 OA09). The intervention ‘non-completer’ participant is labelled with the prefix ‘OA’, then
193 ‘NC’ (NC: non-completer), before their identifier (e.g. OA NC07). BASIL Support Worker
194 quotes are labelled with the prefix ‘BSW’, then their identifier (e.g., BSW03). The findings
195 from the TFA analysis are presented under each TFA domain.

196

197 Affective Attitude

198 Affective attitude describes ‘How an individual feels about the intervention’¹⁵. Affective
199 attitude is described here in terms of the overall retrospective attitude to receiving or
200 delivering the BASIL intervention. Older adults described a positive affective attitude
201 towards the BASIL intervention:

202

203 *I feel good about taking part. It’s definitely been good for me, and I hope, if this gets*
204 *off the ground, that it’s going to be good for other people. It was a benefit for me, it*
205 *really was a big benefit to me. (OA01)*

206

207 BASIL Support Workers were positive about being part of the BASIL intervention:

208

209 *And I want to make a difference to people, sort of, experience of healthcare and make*
210 *it more positive and the best, you know, they can have. So, it felt good to be involved*
211 *in something that was trying to do that (BSW03)*

212

213 Some BASIL Support Workers described positive affective attitude in terms of adapting the
214 study in response to the COVID-19 pandemic:

215

216 *“The study was adapted to BASIL to respond to COVID it felt so worthwhile and I felt really*
217 *motivated to work really, really hard on it” (BSW05).*

218

219 It appeared, however, that a positive affective attitude could be attenuated with study
220 challenges, such as the difficulties with activity planning in the context of COVID-19
221 restrictions:

222

223 *The constant changes in rules and the confusion that people have had over that,*
has, you know...it has affected it [intervention delivery]. Because one week,

224 *someone can be doing okay and the next week, rules have changed and they're*
225 *feeling anxious, so they're not really sure where they stand anymore. Or, you*
226 *know, restrictions have been lifted... and they've been able to go back to doing*
227 *most of what they normally do, and actually, they're loads better. So you really*
228 *don't know from one week to the next, where someone's going to be at. (BSW07)*

229

230

231 Some older adult participants discussed how they had valued the BASIL Support Worker
232 being able to help with problem-solving and information-finding:

233 *I suppose only that if I did have a problem, there was someone there that I could*
234 *discuss it with, and someone who could perhaps help me, who knew the right*
235 *people to contact (OA13).*

236

237

238 Intervention Coherence

239 The coherence domain of the TFA is described as '*the extent to which the participant*
240 *understands the intervention, and how the intervention works*'¹⁵. The overall aims of the
241 BASIL Pilot study appeared coherent to both BASIL Support Workers and older adults:

242

243 *The aims are to try and help them to find ways of coping with life events such as a*
244 *pandemic. But not just that. If you can teach them this behavioural activation cycle*
245 *thing then they can apply it to any life event or situation that's affecting them and*
246 *give them a coping strategy. (BSW08)*

247

248 *I presume, or this is how I looked at it, was that you were trying to get information*
249 *on how people were reacting within the COVID environment, and that you were*
250 *hoping to get strategies out of it, that would then help people to cope better with*
251 *the situation. (OA12)*

252

253 BASIL Support Workers described the ease with which they could make links between
254 activity and low mood, which underpins the BA part of the BASIL intervention. BSW09
255 articulates how they think the BA Intervention works, which also informs the 'perceived
256 effectiveness' TFA domain:

257

258 *So I think it's [BASIL intervention] set up as a structured guided support really, so*
259 *that they'll get regular contact with one of the support workers who will look to help*
260 *them to engage with the booklet, and maybe look at how they can make changes to*
261 *what they're currently doing, in the hope that that's going to impact how they feel*
262 *physically in terms of their health, but also emotionally (BSW09)*

263

264 Older adults appeared to have a good understanding of the relationship between activity,
265 mood and physical health, suggesting that the BA intervention was understandable to
266 participants and had a reasonable level of face validity:

267 *I think they link greatly because obviously if you're doing something that keeps*
268 *your...makes you feel good and keeps your mind away from anxieties then that's*
269 *obviously going to help you feel better, it's going to help you face each day in a*
270 *better way. (OA09)*

271

272 However, it appeared that the coherence of the intervention could be reduced when working
273 with the BA cycle with participants who were not experiencing low mood:

274 *Some [older adults] just said because they didn't have a low mood it didn't affect*
275 *their behaviour. It was very difficult to try and make them see the cycle when they*
276 *didn't see any relevance for them because they were fine. (BSW08)*

277

278 BSWs reflected that when people did not perceive themselves as having low mood, the BA
279 intervention made less sense to them and they did not see how BA could work for them.

280

281 Opportunity Cost

282 Opportunity cost describes the 'extent to which benefits, profits, or values must be given up to
283 engage in an intervention'¹⁵. Most BASIL Support Workers talked about how their usual
284 working role had been adjusted to accommodate the delivery of the BA intervention. As such
285 intervention delivery had little cost to their usual working role:

286

287 *P: Yeah, my caseload was adjusted slightly, so I had a couple of client contacts*
288 *less my caseload for the week to create the time to be able to work on the study.*

289 *I: Did that work okay?*

290 *P: Yeah, it was absolutely fine, I was really supported by my [work] to be able to*
291 *do that, they've been brilliant. (BSW04)*

292

293 Other BASIL Support Workers described how intervention delivery fitted in with their
294 working routine: *I just fitted it in with my diary and that worked fine (BSW07).*

295

296 Generally older adults described a low opportunity cost in terms of taking part in the BA
297 intervention. This was attributed, in part, to having time available: *It wasn't bothering my*
298 *timetable or anything like that, cause with the lockdown I've been doing very little anyway*
299 *(OA11).* Other older adults described how the intervention could be planned flexibly around
300 their other activities:

301

302 *I did, just work it in and around my life. If there was something that had to be wrote*
303 *down, then I would sort of spend perhaps an hour thinking about it, and then I'd go*
304 *on and do something else, and then I'd come back to it, and spend another hour*
305 *maybe writing down what I wanted to say and that, you know (OA12)*

306

307 Burden

308 The TFA domain of Burden describes 'The perceived amount of effort that is required to
309 participate in the intervention'¹⁵. Some BASIL Support Workers described a time burden
310 associated with delivering the intervention sessions:

311

312 *If somebody lives on their own and the social isolation is more of a problem, then it's*
313 *really hard to keep it to 30 minutes and generally they take an hour, those calls.*
314 *(BSW06).*

315

316 One BASIL Support Worker discussed the emotional effort involved in delivering the
317 intervention in the pandemic, due to the social restrictions that everyone faced, and reported
318 that it could be an effort to stay positive about the future:

319

320 *It was just everything was just a bit more of an effort but that was the world per se*
321 *really. It was for everybody and anybody that was working everything was more*
322 *difficult, yeah. (BSW01)*

323

324 Some older adults felt the amount of effort required to take part in the BASIL study was
325 minimal, whilst others described the mental effort involved in taking part in the intervention.

326 The data extracts below illustrate these contrasting views:

327

328 *There was a little bit of effort. I mean obviously from week to week you had these*
329 *tasks, your diary to fill in and to think about the tasks that you were doing that*
330 *weren't enjoyable and how I could break it down into smaller chunks rather than do*
331 *the whole thing in one go...So there were some things to do but it wasn't onerous at*
332 *all. (OA03)*

333 *No physical effort whatsoever. Mental effort is the problem. And just, I didn't want*
334 *to let the [person] who was doing the questioning, down, so I used to have to think*
335 *about what was required, you know, and what [they] was expecting from me, and then*
336 *try and adapt it into it. So, yes, there was a bit of effort involved, from the point of*
337 *view that you don't want to do it, but you have to do it, to keep things on an even*
338 *track, you know? (OA12)*

339

340 Self-efficacy

341 The Self-efficacy domain of the TFA describes '*The participant's confidence that they can*
342 *perform the behaviour(s) required to participate in the intervention*'¹⁵. Here, Self-efficacy is
343 described in terms of how confident both BASIL Support Workers and older adults felt
344 undertaking the BASIL intervention overall.

345

346 Some BASIL Support Workers described how, over time, their confidence to deliver the
347 intervention grew with experience:

348

349 *I think your confidence definitely grows with familiarity, so the first few times*
350 *you're having a session with a participant, you're very keen to make sure*
351 *you've got everything, all your materials to hand, that you know what you're*

352 *doing and what's expected of you, making sure that you're following the*
353 *protocol. As it all starts to become more familiar and you can really enjoy using*
354 *the booklet, it's really nice to have such nice materials to use with the client and*
355 *the participant. (BSW04)*

356

357 Some participants expressed a degree of increased confidence, satisfaction and mastery in
358 having completed the intervention:

359

360 *I wouldn't say confident, but I did learn a lot, and I think at the end of it, I did feel*
361 *more confident. At the end of it all, I thought, well, I've done that and I'm much better*
362 *off for doing it. (OA01)*

363

364 Others were, however, more equivocal about engaging with the intervention but still
365 recognised that they had become more certain about coping with the pressures of social
366 isolation:

367 *I didn't feel unconfident. I felt apprehensive, maybe, you know, it's all this... is this*
368 *really Big Brother keeping their eye on us, blah blah blah. But I think everybody I've*
369 *spoken to has been really friendly and helpful and it's helped draw me out and helped*
370 *me see things better and cope with things better. No, I don't think I felt unconfident,*
371 *just more apprehensive (OA14)*

372

373 Perceived effectiveness:

374 Perceived effectiveness describes *'The extent to which the intervention is perceived as likely*
375 *to achieve its purpose'*¹⁵. During the interviews, we asked if participants thought the BASIL
376 study would achieve its aims, and to gauge how positive they felt about this, we also asked if
377 participants would *'recommend the study to others'*¹⁸.

378 Older adults reflected that the BASIL study would achieve its aims:

379

380 *It does cover quite a lot really. As I say, it seems to be, you know, centred obviously*
381 *towards mood and how the...this pandemic has affected how people feel and there,*
382 *sort of, fears and what have you. So yes, I think it's very good (OA09)*

383

384 BASIL Support Workers also acknowledged that BA had the potential to support people who
385 were shielding and isolated during the pandemic:

386

387 *I hadn't thought about it [BA], in terms of delivering for COVID. And I think,*
388 *yeah, it's a really good approach for this. Obviously, I've never thought about it*
389 *before, I've not been in a global pandemic before, but yeah, I think it's a useful*
390 *intervention. (BSW07)*

391

392 Both older adults and BASIL Support Workers reflected that the effectiveness of the BASIL
393 intervention was likely to be enhanced if it was more specifically targeted at those with low
394 mood and long-term physical health problems:

395 *I think possibly it needs to be more targeted, so anybody who has painful medical*
396 *condition or who lives alone who is isolated, certainly I think it would benefit them a*
397 *lot. I think the wide spread that you've currently can be more targeted and more*
398 *focused and more helpful to more people in that sense. (OA02)*

399

400 *I think, yes, but I do think just some consideration needs to be given to who we're*
401 *targeting, maybe it's not quite so useful for people on the threshold of depression and*
402 *feel that they're doing quite well..... I wonder if perhaps it would be more*
403 *beneficial just to focus on people who are expressing their own thoughts of feeling*
404 *low. (BSW04)*

405 Most participants, (Support Workers and older adults), said they would recommend the
406 BASIL study to others:

407 *Yes, definitely. But either I'd like to think that perhaps an older family member*
408 *who was struggling and there was an opportunity, who was struggling who knew*
409 *there was an opportunity to participate in the BASIL study, I would say yes. I'd*
410 *also say yes to my colleagues because I think it really helps. (BSW04)*

411

412 *I think, well, I'd definitely recommend it to people who want to gain some*
413 *experience with working with older adults, because it's great insight. And then*

414 *for the older adults themselves, yeah, particularly those who are struggling with*
415 *low mood, I can see that it is a really valuable resource and it's helpful to have*
416 *that kind of contact, even if it just gets them talking about how they're feeling,*
417 *because that might not be something that they've done previously. (BSW09)*

418

419 Ethicality

420 The Ethicality sub-theme describes 'The extent to which the intervention has a good fit with
421 an individual's value system'¹⁵. Ethicality was explored by enquiring what participants
422 valued most or least about the BASIL intervention. BASIL Support Workers often described
423 how they had valued being able to help older people during the pandemic:

424

425 *I think most valid was just getting to know and help older adults, particularly at*
426 *this time where we know mental health, particularly things like depression and*
427 *things like that, has risen. So that's been [inaudible 53:15], I just like the fact*
428 *that I was able to help this group of people even if it is only [number] of them*
429 *I've managed to help. (BSW 06)*

430

431 Other older adults described feeling positive about the BASIL intervention, primarily because
432 it presented an opportunity to be altruistic:

433 *I:How do you feel about taking part in the BASIL Support Package?*

434 *P:I think if it's helping the likes of yourself to understand how people are managing*
435 *that if I've been able to be a part of that and assist in any way I'm pleased to have*
436 *done it.(OA07)*

437

438 One older adult appeared to value the social contact the intervention provided:

439

440 *I think, it's actually nice to speak to people that are doing this because I think*
441 *it's...in the present situation, I think anybody who's doing something like you*
442 *are doing, I think is pretty good, I think it's wonderful and it's great that you're*
443 *doing it, you know, for whatever reason (OA09)*

444

445 Others discussed personal gains made from taking part in the intervention overall:

446

447 *The thing I valued most is that it has made me more reflective and more grateful*
448 *and that's the thing that I got out of it that it made me really look at my life and*
449 *re-think how I do things (OA02)*

450

451 **Discussion**

452 The BASIL Pilot study was designed to test the feasibility and acceptability of recruiting and
453 remotely delivering a BA intervention to older adults with two or more LTCs during the
454 COVID-19 pandemic. The intervention was set within a collaborative care framework and
455 was designed to prevent and reduce depression and loneliness in socially isolated older
456 adults. The initial thematic analysis (TA) (Appendix 1) suggested that the recruitment
457 procedures to the BASIL pilot trial and the BA intervention (including the self-help booklet)
458 were acceptable. Findings from the TA¹² informed the protocol for the definitive BASIL+
459 randomised controlled trial.²² Remote delivery of the intervention was acceptable and the BA
460 self-help booklet was engaging and relevant, but less so for those without low mood. Activity
461 planning during the intervention was difficult whilst COVID-19 restrictions were in place.
462 Whilst altruism facilitated study participation, the BASIL trial needs to be targeted to those
463 with depressive symptoms. TA highlighted detail surrounding the intervention context;
464 variability in how the restrictions had impacted participants' mental health and perceived lack
465 of access to primary care which could influence the way participants felt about the
466 intervention but were not picked up by the TFA.

467

468 The TFA¹⁵ added to the TA analysis and provided a comprehensive framework to inform the
469 retrospective and prospective acceptability of the BA intervention. All seven of the TFA
470 component constructs were populated with data from the interview transcripts and provided
471 insights that were not identified by the initial TA. However, the ethicality component was
472 more difficult to code, and sometimes overlapped with the Affective Attitude component.
473 Confidence in the intervention (Self-Efficacy) grew over time for both older adults and
474 BASIL Support Workers. For older adults, previous experience of similar interventions
475 promoted self-efficacy, and some older adults reported greater self-efficacy as they
476 experience positive effects from taking part. For BASIL Support Workers, self-efficacy grew
477 with experience of delivering the intervention, although was reduced by having a long gap
478 between training and intervention delivery. Both older adults and Support Workers valued the
479 opportunity to demonstrate altruism in the context of the pandemic, but older adults also

480 valued the social contact and assistance with problem solving and seeking healthcare
481 provision. The intervention had low opportunity cost for both Support Workers and older
482 adults, who found delivering and taking part in the intervention fitted in around other
483 commitments.

484

485 Theoretical Constraints of TFA

486 Burden and opportunity cost were the least populated domains – it could be that low
487 perceived burden and low opportunity cost means participants elaborate less on these topics,
488 or it could be that the volume and richness of the data coded to other domains indicates that
489 those elements appealed more to participants compared to the burden or opportunity cost of
490 the BASIL intervention. Ethicality was a difficult component to evaluate and was closely
491 related to Affective Attitude. The questions “Is there anything you valued about the BASIL
492 study intervention?” and “Would you recommend the BASIL study to others?” were used to
493 target Ethicality, but it was sometimes difficult to separate participants talking about what
494 they valued versus talking about their value system; often participants described liking the
495 intervention for what it can offer and the benefits they gained, and it was left to the research
496 team to interpret whether this was in line with their value system or an expression of how
497 they felt. Perhaps Ethicality is easier to analyse in interviews with healthcare professionals
498 with a clear set of professional values, compared to interviews with participants where their
499 individual value system and core personal values are more implicit. Future iterations of the
500 TFA should consider elaborating on the construct meanings and perhaps provide example
501 questions that researchers can adopt in topic guides. The TFA approach has added value,
502 justification for concluding that the intervention is acceptable, and provided insights into how
503 to refine the recruitment processes for a definitive main BASIL trial (BASIL+
504 ISRCTN63034289) and is comprehensive enough to evaluate acceptability alone if time and
505 resources are limited, however, carrying out an inductive TA first elucidated important
506 contextual information that would have otherwise been missed, therefore we would
507 recommend doing both.

508 Telephone delivery of the intervention was acceptable, although some older adults suggested
509 that if it were not for the pandemic, they would have preferred face-to-face delivery. This
510 finding fits with previous work in the acceptability of telephone-based therapy for depression.
511 Despite user ambivalence, there is no clear evidence that the use of the telephone negatively
512 affects the interactional elements of therapy.¹⁹ Furthermore, telephone-delivered case

513 management has proven efficacy in supporting the implementation of multidisciplinary
514 approaches to managing depression, such as collaborative care.²⁰ our findings offer further
515 support for the utility of using the telephone to deliver low-intensity psychological
516 interventions, even where there might be an expressed preference for face-to-face
517 approaches.

518
519 To conclude, this nested qualitative study explored the perspectives and experiences of those
520 involved in delivering and participating in the BASIL pilot study.¹² Analysis using the TFA¹⁵
521 provides a novel analytic lens to understand key aspects of acceptability, but an initial TA is
522 valuable. To our knowledge this is the first process evaluation of a trial and intervention
523 designed to mitigate the psychological impact of COVID-19, addressing the research priority
524 of evaluating brief psychosocial interventions to prevent depression and loneliness in
525 vulnerable populations during the COVID-19 pandemic.²¹ This contributes to the evidence
526 around psychosocial and behavioural interventions for older adults addressing the mental
527 health impact of COVID-19 and beyond.

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544 **References:**

545 1. Office for National Statistics. Living longer: how our population is changing and why
546 it matters. Overview of population ageing in the UK and some of the implications for

- 547 the economy, public services, society and the individual, 2018.
- 548 2. de Jong Gierveld J, Havens B. Cross-national Comparisons of Social Isolation and
549 Loneliness: Introduction and Overview. *Canadian Journal on Aging / La Revue*
550 *canadienne du vieillissement* 2004;23(2):109-13. doi: 10.1353/cja.2004.0021
551 [published Online First: 2010/03/31]
- 552 3. Peplau LA. Loneliness Research: Basic Concepts and Findings. In: Sarason IG,
553 Sarason BR, eds. *Social Support: Theory, Research and Applications*. Dordrecht:
554 Springer Netherlands 1985:269-86.
- 555 4. Cacioppo JT, Hughes ME, Waite LJ, et al. Loneliness as a specific risk factor for
556 depressive symptoms: Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses. *Psychology and*
557 *Aging* 2006;21(1):140-51. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.21.1.140
- 558 5. Eng PM, Rimm EB, Fitzmaurice G, et al. Social ties and change in social ties in
559 relation to subsequent total and cause-specific mortality and coronary heart disease
560 incidence in men. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;155(8):700-9. doi: 10.1093/aje/155.8.700
561 [published Online First: 2002/04/12]
- 562 6. Shankar A, Hamer M, McMunn A, et al. Social isolation and loneliness: relationships
563 with cognitive function during 4 years of follow-up in the English Longitudinal Study
564 of Ageing. *Psychosom Med* 2013;75(2):161-70. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e31827f09cd
565 [published Online First: 2013/01/31]
- 566 7. Dreyer K, Steventon A, Fisher R, et al. The association between living alone and
567 health care utilisation in older adults: a retrospective cohort study of electronic health
568 records from a London general practice. *BMC Geriatrics* 2018;18(1):269. doi:
569 10.1186/s12877-018-0939-4
- 570 8. Lee, S. L., Pearce, E., Ajnakina, O., Johnson, S., Lewis, G., Mann, F., ... & Lewis, G.
571 (2021). The association between loneliness and depressive symptoms among adults
572 aged 50 years and older: a 12-year population-based cohort study. *The Lancet*
573 *Psychiatry*, 8(1), 48-57.
- 574 9. Age UK (2019) Later Life in the United Kingdom 2019. *Age UK*.
575 [https://www.ageuk.org.uk/globalassets/age-uk/documents/reports-and-](https://www.ageuk.org.uk/globalassets/age-uk/documents/reports-and-publications/late_life_uk_factsheet.pdf)
576 [publications/late_life_uk_factsheet.pdf](https://www.ageuk.org.uk/globalassets/age-uk/documents/reports-and-publications/late_life_uk_factsheet.pdf)
- 577 10. Independent Age (2021) The Mental Health Experiences of Older People During the
578 Pandemic. *Mental Health Foundation and Independent Age*. Accessed via:
579 [https://www.independentage.org/mental-health-experiences-of-older-people-during-](https://www.independentage.org/mental-health-experiences-of-older-people-during-pandemic)
580 [pandemic](https://www.independentage.org/mental-health-experiences-of-older-people-during-pandemic)
- 581 11. HM Government. A connected society. A strategy for tackling loneliness – laying the
582 foundations for change, 2018.
- 583 12. Gilbody S, Littlewood E, McMillan D, Chew-Graham CA, Bailey D, et al.
584 (2021) Behavioural activation to prevent depression and loneliness among socially
585 isolated older people with long-term conditions: The BASIL COVID-19 pilot
586 randomised controlled trial. *PLOS Medicine* 18(10)
- 587 13. NIHR (2022) Study detail: BASIL-C19. Accessed via: [https://www.nihr.ac.uk/covid-](https://www.nihr.ac.uk/covid-studies/study-detail.htm?entryId=249030)
588 [studies/study-detail.htm?entryId=249030](https://www.nihr.ac.uk/covid-studies/study-detail.htm?entryId=249030)
- 589 14. Ekers D, Webster L, Van Straten A, Cuijpers P, Richards D, et al. (2014) Behavioural
590 Activation for Depression; An Update of Meta-Analysis of Effectiveness and Sub
591 Group Analysis. *PLOS ONE* 9(6).
- 592 15. Sekhon, M., Cartwright, M. & Francis, J.J. (2017) Acceptability of healthcare
593 interventions: an overview of reviews and development of a theoretical
594 framework. *BMC Health Service Research* 17, 88
- 595 16. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative*
596 *Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>

- 597 17. Glaser, B. G. (1965). The constant comparative method of qualitative analysis. *Social*
598 *problems*, 12(4), 436-445.
- 599 18. Marshall et al (2015). The HELPER programme: HEalthy Living and Prevention of
600 Early Relapse – three exploratory randomised controlled trials of phase-specific
601 interventions in first-episode psychosis. Appendix 5. The InterACT trial acceptability
602 interview topic guide. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK285595/>
- 603 19. Irvine A, Drew P, Bower P, et al. Are there interactional differences between
604 telephone and face-to-face psychological therapy? A systematic review of
605 comparative studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2020;265:120-31. doi:
606 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.01.057>
- 607 20. Hudson JL, Bower P, Kontopantelis E, et al. Impact of telephone delivered case-
608 management on the effectiveness of collaborative care for depression and anti-
609 depressant use: A systematic review and meta-regression. *PLOS ONE*
610 2019;14(6):e0217948. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0217948
- 611 21. Holmes, EA., O'Connor, RC., Perry, VH. et al. (2020) Multidisciplinary research
612 priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science.
613 *Lancet Psychiatry*. 7: p547-560.
- 614 22. Burke L, Littlewood E, Gascoyne S, McMillan D, Chew-Graham CA, Bailey D, et al.
615 (2022) Behavioural Activation for Social IsoLation (BASIL+) trial (Behavioural
616 activation to mitigate depression and loneliness among older people with long-term
617 conditions): Protocol for a fully-powered pragmatic randomised controlled trial. *PLoS*
618 *ONE* 17(3).
- 619
- 620
- 621
- 622
- 623
- 624
- 625
- 626
- 627
- 628
- 629
- 630
- 631
- 632
- 633
- 634
- 635
- 636
- 637

638 TABLES & FIGURES (up to 5)

639

640 **Appendix 1** Themes from thematic analysis (TA)

641

Theme	Descriptive summary of theme	Illustrative data extracts
Intervention context	The COVID-19 restrictions applied variably to older adults in the BASIL study (e.g., shielding, social distancing) dependent on their health status. There was considerable variability in how the restrictions had impacted participants' mental health.	<p><i>I feel as though I'm held in, I'm being persecuted because I was shielding originally. I did everything that was asked of me, we're now moving out but all of a sudden because of political decisions, I'm now finding myself back where I was. Staying in a lot, don't meet a lot of people at the best of times, generally speaking you'll probably say loneliness, isolation. (OA06)</i></p> <p><i>But I just didn't feel motivated to do anything 'cause all the things that I really had been enjoying had just suddenly stopped overnight, literally. (OA14)</i></p> <p><i>It's just a worry, obviously I don't want to catch it, you know, because of my underlying conditions I think I would have a rough time of it, you know ...So, I'm quite happy to isolate myself, if you like (OA12)</i></p> <p><i>The constant changes in rules and the confusion that people have had over that, has, you know... it has affected it [intervention delivery]. Because one week, someone can be doing okay and the next week, rules have changed and they're feeling anxious, so they're not really sure where they stand anymore. Or, you know, restrictions have been lifted. And so one week, they're really</i></p>

	<p>Remote consulting / perceived lack of access to primary care</p>	<p><i>struggling and the next week they've been able to go back to doing most of what they normally do, and actually, they're loads better. So it changes week to week. So you really don't know from one week to the next, where someone's going to be at, dependent on, you know, the restrictions in their area. (BSW07)</i></p> <p><i>My diabetes, I think, they've forgot about me, I have no idea I haven't...the last two appointments were supposed to be phone ones and they didn't mat...they were cancelled for different reasons. My cancer ones, I don't know what's happening there, I've missed the last two because of the same problem. They've rang up to say that either the person's not available or the computer's broke down, or...so that's my cancer and my diabetes. (OA11)</i></p> <p><i>There's been these two sides of things. There's one side, it's not affected their long-term conditions or their access in the support. The other side is where they're not wanting to access the support because they're either too scared or they don't want to go out, and then that's having the impact on their long-term conditions 'cause they're not being able to go to their routine appointments for it and things like that. (BSW06)</i></p>
<p>Study entry</p>	<p>Phased approach to recruitment (i.e.: 1) initial text from GP surgery, 2) letter with study participant information (sent via GP surgery), 3) phone call</p>	<p><i>So, I was really quite down in the dumps a bit. And then I got this text from my doctor saying that I should take part in...[they] thought it would be beneficial for me to take part. So, I said yes, I would do that and I rang the number and said yes</i></p>

	<p>from the Clinical Research Network and 4) phone call from the University study team), was both feasible and acceptable. It seemed to provide successively layered opportunities for participants to gain sufficient information and decide if they wanted to participate in the BASIL study.</p> <p>Need for targeted recruitment.</p>	<p><i>I would. (OA01)</i></p> <p><i>No, I mean the doctor gives you a warning that this is about to happen and then you're prepared when somebody phones up that it's not a scam, a con, which is what I don't worry about it because I know how to deal with it but to some people it could be worrying. (OANC17)</i></p> <p><i>I think it's maybe thinking about who we would want to target in the main study. I think as I say, the participants I was working with didn't consider themselves to be struggling with their mood, they'd come into the study for altruistic reasons, rather than looking to boost their own mood and wellbeing. I guess maybe to be more targeted. (BSW04)</i></p>
<p>Intervention delivery and content</p>	<p>The BASIL pilot intervention was solely delivered by telephone to older adults in their homes. Online intervention delivery was offered; however, this option was not taken up by any participants.</p>	<p><i>I: receiving the support package over the telephone, how was that?</i></p> <p><i>P: Well, I found that the person I was speaking with showed a lot of empathy, understood where I was coming from, you know, just about being on your own for long periods of time and that sort of thing. (OA06)</i></p> <p><i>And I thought, I don't want to be bothered with not being able to get it [online platform] on, you know, I'd rather a telephone call and then I don't have the worry of the [online platform] going wrong. (OA15)</i></p>

		<p><i>gave you that. (OA06)</i></p> <p><i>with the booklet and the [person] who I was talking with, we were able to say what is it about you that's so important that you must have this priority and that priority, and they compete, why don't you break it down. What can I do, how much can I do, how does it interwork with each other, and it's that breaking down of... catastrophic how you are, obviously, the pandemic, how does the pandemic impact you, what can you do to circumvent it, you know, that sort of thing. And that was very useful. (OA06)</i></p> <p><i>Also, probably the breaking things down into making them more manageable. That's been particularly useful for physical health. So that helped with the physical pain. (BSW06)</i></p> <p><i>And I felt really good to tell [them] that it made me feel better, it made me feel good. The support I got from [them] was really good because things that I'd never thought about, [they] said [themselves], why don't you...you know, have you tried this? (OA01)</i></p>
--	--	--