Epidemiology and determinants of vitamin D deficiency in Eastern Nepal: a community-based, cross-sectional study

Authors:
Dr. Ojaswee Sherchand[1], Dr. Jouslin Kishore Baranwal[2], Mr. Basanta Gelal[2]

Affiliations:
[1]Department of Biochemistry, Nepal Medical College and Teaching hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal
[2]Department of Biochemistry, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal

Corresponding author:

Dr. Ojaswee Sherchand, MBBS MD

Department of Biochemistry

Nepal Medical College and teaching hospital.

Postal Address: G.P.O. Box: 13344

Gokarneshwor Municipality ward no: 8

Attarkhel, Kathmandu, Nepal

Contact No: +977-01-4911008, +977-01-4912118 (Office), 977-9841423736 (cell)

Fax: 977-1-4912058

Email address: drojasweesherchand@hotmail.com

Co-author 1:

Dr. Jouslin Kishore Baranwal, MBBS MD

Department of Biochemistry

B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal

Email: drjouslin.baranwal@bpkihs.edu

Co-author 2:

Mr. Basanta Gelal, MSc

Department of Biochemistry

B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal

Email: basanta.gelal@bpkihs.edu

Keywords: vitamin D deficiency, risk factors, Nepal

Word count: 2408

Abstract

Objective

To estimate the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in Eastern part of Nepal and identify the sociodemographic factors associated with it.

Methods

A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out among 324 participants between the ages of 18 to 80 years from Sunsari and Morang districts of Nepal. A semi-structured questionnaire was administered to obtain sociodemographic variables followed by anthropometric measurements and blood sampling. 25(OH)D level was measured by Chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA) technique via a fully automated Maglumi 1000 analyzer (SNIBE Co, Ltd, China). Serum 25(OH)D was classified as deficient, insufficient and sufficient (<20 ng/ml, 20-29 ng/ml and 30–100 ng/ml respectively). Chi square test was used to analyze the sociodemographic variables. Significant variables were subject to multivariate logistic regression.

Result

181(55.9%) of the study population had vitamin D deficiency. There was significant association between vitamin D status and gender (Chi-sq = 6.2, p=0.04), education (Chi-sq = 14.4, p=0.02), skin colour (Chi-sq = 17.9, p=0.001), sun exposure (Chi-sq = 11.1, p=0.02), duration of sun exposure (Chi-sq = 15.1, p=0.004), type of meat intake (Fischer's exact test is 16.4, p=0.01), frequency of fish intake (Fischer's exact test is 19.3, p=0.001), frequency of dairy intake (Chi-sq=11.2, p=0.02). In multivariate regression, OR (95% CI) for vitamin D deficiency was significantly higher in women than men [3.0(1.3-7.0) p:0.008], education level up to primary school had lower OR(95% CI) [0.1(0.03-0.4) p:0.001] than higher education. Consumption of dairy products ≥3/week had lower OR(95% CI) [0.1(0.06-0.5) p:0.003.] Weekly fish consumption had lower OR(95% CI) [0.04(0.004-0.4) p: 0.007].

Conclusion

The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency was high in women of eastern Nepal.

68 **Article**

69 ## 1. Background

70 Vitamin D deficiency is a pandemic health problem with a higher prevalence in women.
71 [1] Its adverse health consequences extend from musculoskeletal effects to
72 cardiovascular disease, diabetes, autoimmunity and depression. [2, 3]

73 Vitamin D is synthesized in the skin when exposed to ultra violet radiation from the
74 sun. [3] Hence, any factor compromising the intensity of UV rays can impact vitamin D
75 synthesis. This includes the effects of air pollution, latitude of residence, season and
76 skin pigmentation. [4-6] Furthermore, even with optimum UV intensity, inadequate
77 sun exposure as having indoor jobs, housewives spending most of the time indoors,
78 sunscreen usage can curtail vitamin D synthesis. Another important source of vitamin
79 D is dietary sources as fish, cod liver oil, egg yolk, mushroom cheese etc. [7] However,
80 poverty in Nepal acts as a barrier to access nutritional diet, cleaning drinking water
81 and sanitary living conditions. [8]

82 Data from the neighbouring countries of Nepal; India and China both report high
83 prevalence of vitamin D deficiency. [9, 10] However, there is scarcity of data from
84 Nepal as stipulated by a meta-analysis examining the prevalence of vitamin D
85 deficiency in South Asian adults; which uncovered only two studies from Nepal. [11]
86 Hospital-based studies conducted in Western and Southern Nepal depicted a high
87 prevalence of vitamin D deficiency. [12, 13] However, data from the eastern parts of
88 Nepal is lacking. Moreover, hospital-based studies could be subjected to clinical
89 conditions that affect vitamin D metabolism, thus not representative of the community.

90 In this context, we conducted a community based study in eastern Nepal to determine
91 the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and examined the different facets of vitamin D

deficiency in terms of demographics, socioeconomic status, sun exposure factors, dietary and lifestyle pattern.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Design, Site and Participants

We conducted a community-based cross-sectional study in Sunsari and Morang district (26˚N) of eastern Nepal, between the months of April 2019 to March 2020. The sample size was calculated using prevalence estimate from a previous study in Western part of Nepal. [13] We selected Belbari municipality, a major suburb of Morang district and Dharan and Itahari, sub-metropolitan cities from Sunsari for our study. With the aid of community health worker, we recruited 337 participants; 225 from Morang and 112 from Sunsari. People were explained about the purpose of our study and those giving written informed consent were enrolled. We excluded pregnant women, lactating mothers and people taking vitamin D supplement, suffering from chronic skin conditions, liver/kidney disease. Following the exclusion criteria; 10 participants from Sunsari and 3 from Morang were excluded. This study was initiated after receiving ethical approval from the Institutional Review Committee, B.P Koirala Institute of Health Sciences.

2.2. Demographic variables

We administered a semi-structured questionnaire to obtain demographic data [age: young age (18-44 years) and middle aged and elderly (45-65 years), gender (male and female), ethnicity (Brahmin and Chettri, Newar, Janajati, occupational caste), highest education level attained (upto primary school, intermediate, high school, above high school), occupation (professional and semi-professionals, skilled and semi-skilled work, arithmetic skill jobs, unskilled work, unemployed)]. An aggregate

of educational status and occupation of head of the household and monthly income of the household were used to determine socioeconomic status. [14] Smoking status was classified as current smoker, former or never smoker. Alcohol intake was divided into drinks alcoholic beverage, does not drink. Questionnaire also included indicators of sun exposure; duration of sun exposure (<15minutes, 15-30 minutes and >30 minutes), time of the day during maximum sun exposure (early morning 6-8:59am, late morning 9-11:59am and afternoon 12 noon to evening), skin colour (fair, light brown, dark brown), sunscreen (uses SPF 15 and above, does not use sunscreen). Physical activity was classified as active if they exercised >30 minutes/day at least 5 days a week and moderate if they exercised but lesser than the first criteria and sedentary if they had no physical activity or irregular activity. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight by height squared (kg/meter^2) and classified as normal weight (18.5–22.9kg/m2), overweight (≥23.0–24.99kg/m2), and obese (≥25kg/m2)] [15]

2.3. Dietary pattern

The participants were asked if they followed a vegetarian or non-vegetarian diet. If they followed non-vegetarian diet than we asked what type of meat they consumed: a. only chicken, b. chicken and mutton, c. chicken and pork or d. none. Food frequency was enquired by asking "how often they consumed meat: a. ≥2/week, b. once a week, c. 2-3 times/month d. none. Milk consumption was enquired as how many times they consumed: a. ≥3/week, b. 1-2/week, c. less than once a week. Dairy products (curd, cheese, butter, ghee, paneer including egg) consumption: a. ≥3/week, b. 1-2/week, c. less than once a week. How often they consumed fish was asked: a. monthly, b. weekly, c. rarely/never.

140 ### 2.4. Serum 25(OH)D measurement

141 1,25(OH)D is the active form of vitamin D however, empirical studies have identified
142 serum 25(OH)D as the best marker of vitamin D status. [16] We used the same to
143 find the vitamin D status. Venous blood samples were collected irrespective of the
144 last meal. Samples were collected in a 3 ml plain vial and centrifuged to separate
145 serum. Aliquots of serum samples were transported to the biochemistry laboratory
146 of BPKIHS maintaining cold chain and stored at -20° C for subsequent batch analysis
147 of serum 25(OH)D. We measured serum 25(OH)D with Maglumi 1000 analyzer with
148 Chemiluminesescence Immunoassay (CLIA) System (SNIBE Co, Ltd, China). Quality
149 was assured using internal quality control provided by the manufacturer. Serum
150 25(OH)D was classified as vitamin D deficiency: 25(OH)D <20 ng/ml, vitamin D
151 insufficiency: 25(OH)D 20-29 ng/ml and vitamin D sufficient: 25(OH)D 30–100
152 ng/ml. [16]

153 ## 3. Statistical analysis

154 The data was entered in Microsoft Excel 2010 then moved into Statistical Package of
155 Social science (SPSS) version 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). We used descriptive
156 statistics to express the baseline variables of the study. Prevalence of vitamin D
157 status across categories of demographic, anthropometric variables and diet and
158 lifestyle habit was compared using Chi-square test. Variables found significant in Chi
159 square test were subject to multivariate regression analysis. Vitamin D status was
160 the dependent variable and sociodemographic factors found significant comprised
161 the predictor variables. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically
162 significant at 95 % confidence interval.

163

164 **4. Result:**

165 **Baseline characteristics:**

166 A total of 324 participants were enrolled with 143(44.1%) male and 181(55.9%)
167 female. 102(31.5%) were from Sunsari district and 222(68.5%) were from Morang
168 district. 155(47.8%) belonged to young age group and 169(52.2%) were middle aged
169 and elderly. Majority of study participants were Brahman and Chhetri 136(42%)
170 followed by Janajati 130(40.1%). 127(39.2%) participants were educated up to
171 Intermediate level. 147(45.4%) had normal body mass index and 96(29.6%) were
172 obese. Out of 324, 214(66%) did not drink alcohol and 265(81.8%) did not smoke.
173 164(50.6%) led sedentary lifestyle. Time of maximum sun exposure for majority
174 167(51.5%) of participants were during late morning. 175(54%) received less than
175 15 minutes of sun exposure. (Table 1) Dietary patterns were analyzed in our study,
176 most 305(94.1%) had non-vegetarian diet. 123(38%) consumed mostly chicken
177 followed by 109(33.6%) consumed chicken and mutton while 68(21%) consumed
178 chicken and pork. 147(45.4%) consumed meat once week. Unlike the frequent
179 consumption of meat, majority 199(61.4%) consumed fish at months interval.
180 168(51.9%) drank milk less than once a week. 118(36.4%) consumed dairy products
181 1-2 times/week.

182 **Serum 25(OH)D status**

183 Overall, 181(55.9%) were vitamin D deficient, 98(30.2%) were insufficient and
184 45(13.9%) had sufficient vitamin D. (Figure1) There was significant association
185 between vitamin D status and gender (Chi-sq = 6.2, p=0.04), education (Chi-sq = 14.4,

186 *p*=0.02), skin colour (Chi-sq = 17.9, *p*=0.001), time of max sun exposure (Chi-sq = 11.1,
187 *p*=0.02), duration of sun exposure (Chi-sq = 15.1, *p*=0.004). (Table 1)

188 Among dietary pattern, we found significant association between vitamin D status and
189 type of meat intake (Fischer's exact test is 16.4 and p=0.01), frequency of fish intake
190 (Fischer's exact test is 19.3, p=0.001), frequency of dairy intake (Chi-sq=11.2, *p*=0.02).
191 (Table 2)

192 These characteristics found to be significant on Chi square test were further subjected
193 to multivariate logistic regression analysis. The OR (95% CI) for vitamin D deficient
194 and insufficient were compared against the reference vitamin D sufficient. Both the
195 crude and adjusted OR (95% CI) for vitamin D insufficiency was significantly higher in
196 women compared to men [crude OR(95% CI): 2.4(1.2-5) *p:0.01*, adjusted OR(95% CI):
197 3.3(1.4-8) *p:0.005*]. Similarly, the adjusted odds for vitamin d deficiency was
198 significantly higher in women compared to men [3(1.3-7.0) *p:0.008*]. Consumption of
199 dairy products ≥3/week was seen as protective factor against vitamin D deficiency
200 with OR (95% CI): 0.2(0.1-0.6) *p: 0.005* and when adjusted with other factors OR was
201 0.1(0.06-0.5) *p:0.003.* Weekly fish consumption was a significant protective factor
202 with crude OR (95% CI): 0.07(0.009-0.5) *p: 0.01* and adjusted OR 0.04(0.004-0.4) *p:*
203 *0.007.* People who had light brown complexion had lesser odds of vitamin D deficiency
204 than those with dark brown complexion with OR (95% CI): 0.2(0.09-0.6) *p: 0.007.*

205 **5. Discussion**

206 Our study has determined a higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency
207 than those depicted by previous studies. [12, 13] Overall, 55.9% of our study
208 population were suffering from vitamin D deficiency (<20 ng/ml) and 30.2% had
209 vitamin D insufficiency (20-29 ng/ml). We found the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency

210 was comparable amongst the age groups. When genders were compared we found
211 higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among women. This finding may be attributed
212 to higher sun protective behavior in women as sunscreen usage and using umbrella
213 when outdoors as well as dietary differences. In conformity with our finding, a review of
214 vitamin D status in different regions of the world stated gender-related differences in
215 vitamin D status with higher prevalence in women. [17]
216 Sun exposure particularly the ultraviolet B radiation (UVB rays) from the sun is crucial
217 for converting 7-dehydrocholesterol to previtamin D3 and thus initiating the process of
218 vitamin D synthesis.[3] In our study, we explored this aspect by determining the time of
219 maximum sun exposure and duration of sun exposure on a day-to-day basis. Our study
220 determined people who were exposed to early morning sunlight between 6 am to 8:59
221 am had significantly greater percentage of sufficient vitamin D levels than those
222 exposed to sun later during the day. This finding contradicts the established notion that
223 sun exposure between 10 am to 3 pm is the best for optimum levels of vitamin D. [3]
224 This may be because most of our participants who were exposed to sun later during the
225 day claimed they used umbrella to shield the hot sun and mostly chose to walk in shady
226 areas while others stated they used transport means to get from one place to another
227 thus decreasing their duration in the sun. Furthermore, it has been established that the
228 best time of sun exposure for optimum vitamin D synthesis varies according to latitude,
229 altitude, aging and skin pigmentation. [18]
230 In our study, we determined people who spent less than 15 minutes in the sun had
231 higher number of vitamin D deficiency while spending more than 30 minutes in the sun
232 did not have any effect on vitamin D status. The optimum duration of time for adequate
233 vitamin D levels according to our study was 15-30 minutes/day. The magnitude of solar
234 radiation reaching the earth's surface is affected by latitude, season and smaller

235 atmospheric particles referred to as aerosols. [19] Hence, our finding may be relevant
236 for our latitude, altitude and season of sample collection which were autumn and spring.
237 Another peculiar finding in our study was that people who had lesser degree of
238 education (upto primary level) had lower prevalence of vitamin D deficiency than those
239 having higher degree of education. This was in contrast to a study conducted in United
240 States where they found a significant positive association between vitamin D sufficiency
241 and college degree. They implied that higher education instilled general health
242 awareness which decreased vitamin D deficiency rates. [20] The findings in our study
243 may be because participants having higher degrees comprised significantly of younger
244 age group who worked in offices indoor and were accustomed to sun protective
245 measures. On the other hand majority of participants having education up to primary
246 level were older, mainly worked as farmers and unfamiliar to sun protective measures
247 as sunscreen usage.
248 We found a higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among people with dark brown
249 skin. Dark skinned people have larger amounts of melanin pigment which absorbs the
250 ultraviolet rays of the sun and decreases the quantity available for the conversion of 7-
251 dehydrocholesterol to previtamin D3. [6] This suggests that dark skinned people would
252 require greater duration of sun exposure to synthesize sufficient quantity of vitamin D.
253 A study conducted in Northern Europe found insufficient dietary vitamin D and dark
254 skin were the major risk factors for vitamin D insufficiency. [21] Similarly a longitudinal
255 study of parents and children found fairer-skinned children had higher levels of vitamin
256 D. [22]
257 Dietary sources account for 5 to 10% of the total vitamin D and become crucial where
258 there is inadequate sunlight exposure. [23] Major dietary sources of vitamin D include
259 fish, fish liver oils, beef, pork, chicken, turkey, and eggs. [7] In our study, we analyzed

dietary pattern as vegetarian versus non-vegetarian, type of meat consumed, frequency of meat consumption, fish, milk and dairy product intake. We found participants who consumed fish ≥2/week had significantly higher number of vitamin D sufficient level. Indeed fish is a natural source of vitamin D proven empirically by a misanalysis of randomized controlled trial. According to this metanalysis consuming ≥2 fish meals/week for at least 4 weeks significantly increased 25(OH)D level. [24] We found people who consumed ≥3times/week dairy products had significantly lower prevalence of vitamin D deficiency. Dairy products are energy dense food packed with nutrients essential for growth and development of the body. Dairy products as butter and cheese contain up to 10 μg/kg of vitamin D due to its high fat content. [22]

This study may also be subject to recall bias as participants answered the questionnaire form based on their recollection. Our study was a cross-sectional one; as a result we cannot establish temporal trajectories.

6. Conclusion

The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency was relatively high; particularly in women of eastern Nepal despite adequate sunlight. This highlights the need to create public awareness regarding the importance of vitamin D for health and its sources. Health organization and policy makers at regional and national level need to address this issue and implement food fortification policies.



Figure 1: Pie chart showing the distribution of vitamin D status, Eastern Nepal

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of participants according to vitamin D status.

Characteristics		Total (N=324)		Serum vitamin D [25(OH)D] status						*P-value*
				Deficient[a]		Insufficient[b]		Sufficient[c]		
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Age groups	18-44 years	155	47.8	88	27.2	51	15.7	16	4.9	0.1
	45-65 years	169	52.2	93	28.7	47	14.5	29	9.0	
Gender	Male	143	44.1	79	24.4	37	11.4	27	8.3	0.04
	Female	181	55.9	102	31.5	61	18.8	18	5.6	
Ethnicity	Brahman and Chhetri	136	42.0	79	24.4	37	11.4	20	6.2	0.6*
	Newar	28	8.6	13	4	13	4	2	0.6	
	Janajati	130	40.1	73	22.5	38	11.7	19	5.9	
	Occupational caste (dalit)	30	9.3	16	4.9	10	3.1	4	1.2	
Region of residence	Sunsari	102	31.5	52	16.0	37	11.4	13	4.0	0.2
	Morang	222	68.5	129	39.8	61	18.8	32	9.9	
Education	Upto Primary school	72	22.2	31	9.6	24	7.4	17	5.2	0.02
	Intermediate level	127	39.2	77	23.8	32	9.9	18	5.6	
	High school	59	18.2	33	10.2	23	7.1	3	0.9	
	Above high school	66	20.4	40	12.3	19	5.9	7	2.2	
Occupation	Professional, Semi-Professionals	54	16.7	34	10.5	16	4.9	4	1.2	0.4*
	Skilled and Semi-skilled work	84	25.9	42	13	24	7.4	18	5.6	
	Arithmetic skill jobs	42	13.0	24	7.4	13	4.0	5	1.5	
	Unskilled work	33	10.2	22	6.8	9	2.8	2	0.6	
	Unemployed	111	34.3	59	18.2	36	11.1	16	4.9	
Body Mass Index	Normal	147	45.4	80	24.7	46	14.2	21	6.5	0.9
	Overweight	81	25.0	47	14.5	22	6.8	12	3.7	
	Obese	96	29.6	54	16.7	30	9.3	12	3.7	
Socioeconomic status	Upper middle and above	83	25.6	51	15.7	26	8	6	1.9	0.3
	Lower middle	112	34.6	61	18.8	33	10.2	18	5.6	
	Lower class	129	39.8	69	21.3	39	12	21	6.5	
Smoking Status	Current smoker	59	18.2	31	9.6	17	5.2	11	3.4	0.5
	Former or never smoker	265	81.8	150	46.3	81	25	34	10.5	
Alcohol intake	Drinks alcoholic beverages	110	34.0	64	19.8	34	10.5	12	3.7	0.5
	Does not drink	214	66.0	117	36.1	64	19.8	33	10.2	
Physical activities	Moderate	73	22.5	40	12.3	25	7.7	8	2.5	0.7
	Active	87	26.9	51	15.7	25	7.7	11	3.4	
	Sedentary	164	50.6	90	27.8	48	14.8	26	8	
Skin colour	Fair	92	28.4	55	17.0	27	8.3	10	3.1	0.001
	Light brown	143	44.1	64	19.8	57	17.6	22	6.8	
	Dark brown	89	27.5	62	19.1	14	4.3	13	4.0	
Time of maximum sun exposure	Early morning	108	33.3	59	18.2	25	7.7	24	7.4	0.02
	Late morning	167	51.5	93	28.7	58	17.9	16	4.9	
	Afternoon	49	15.1	29	9	15	4.6	5	1.5	
Duration of sun exposure	<15 minutes	175	54.0	112	34.6	43	13.3	20	6.2	0.004
	15-30 minutes	86	26.5	36	11.1	31	9.6	19	5.9	
	>30 minutes	63	19.4	33	10.2	24	7.4	6	1.9	
Sunscreen	Uses SPF 15 and above	80	24.7	41	12.7	26	8.0	13	4.0	0.6
	Does not use	244	75.3	140	43.2	72	22.2	32	9.9	

Total N% represents the number and percentage of rows.
Deficient[a] : <20 ng/ml 25(OH)D
Insufficient[b]: 29 and 20 ng/ml 25(OH)D
Sufficient[c]: 30–100 ng/ml 25(OH)D
P value <0.05 is significant. *P* value obtained from $\chi 2$ test except * from Fischer's exact test.

Table 2: Dietary pattern and vitamin D status

Characteristics		Total		Serum vitamin D [25(OH)D] status						*P-value*
				Deficient		Insufficient		Sufficient		
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Diet	Non-vegetarian	305	94.1	171	52.8	92	28.4	42	13	0.8
	Vegetarian	19	5.9	10	3.1	6	1.9	3	0.9	
Meat-type	Mostly chicken	123	38.0	53	16.4	44	13.6	26	8	0.01
	Chicken, mutton	109	33.6	72	22.2	26	8	11	3.4	
	Chicken, pork	68	21.0	43	13.3	19	5.9	6	1.9	
	None	24	7.4	13	4	9	2.8	2	0.6	
Frequency of meat intake	2-3 times/month	50	15.4	28	8.6	12	3.7	10	3.1	0.6
	Once a week	147	45.4	87	26.9	42	13	18	5.6	
	≥2/week	103	31.8	53	16.4	35	10.8	15	4.6	
	None	24	7.4	13	4	9	2.8	2	0.6	
Frequency of Fish intake	Monthly	199	61.4	109	33.6	69	21.3	21	6.5	0.001
	weekly	91	28.1	45	13.9	23	7.1	23	7.1	
	Rarely/never	34	10.5	27	8.3	6	1.9	1	0.3	
Frequency of milk intake	Less than once a week	168	51.9	101	31.2	47	14.5	20	6.2	0.3[a]
	1-2/week	97	29.9	52	16	32	9.9	13	4	
	≥3/week	59	18.2	28	8.6	19	5.9	12	3.7	
Frequency of dairy products intake	Less than once a week	115	35.5	77	23.8	30	9.3	8	2.5	0.02[b]
	1-2/week	118	36.4	60	18.5	38	11.7	20	6.2	
	≥3/week	91	28.1	44	13.6	30	9.3	17	5.2	

Total N% represents the number and percentage of rows. *P* value for [a, b] from $\chi 2$ test and Fischer's exact test for others.

Table 3: Multivariate analysis of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency.

Characteristics	Vitamin D status			
	Vitamin D Deficiency		Vitamin D Insufficiency	
	Crude odds (95% CI)	Adjusted odds (95% CI)	Crude odds (95% CI)	Adjusted odds (95% CI)
Gender				
Female	1.9(0.9-3)	3.08(1.3-7.0)[a]	2.4(1.2-5.0)[b]	3.3(1.4-8.0)[c]
Male	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Education				
Upto Primary school	0.3(0.1-0.8)[d]	0.1(0.03-0.4)[e]	0.5(0.1-1.5)	0.2(0.07-0.9)[f]
Intermediate level	0.7(0.2-1.9)	0.4(0.1-1.3)	0.6(0.2-1.8)	0.4(0.1-1.4)
High school	1.9(0.4-8)	1.3(0.2-6.8)	2.8(0.6-12)	2.7(0.5-13.9)
Above high school	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Duration of sun exposure				
>30 minutes	0.9(0.3-2.6)	1.8(0.5-5.7)	1.8(0.6-5.2)	2.7(0.8-8.9)
15-30 minutes	0.3(0.1-0.7)[g]	0.7(0.3-1.9)	0.7(0.3-1.6)	1.5(0.6-4.0)
<15 minutes	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Skin colour				
Fair	1.1(0.4-2.8)	0.3(0.1-1.1)	2.5(0.8-7.1)	0.7(0.2-2.6)
Light brown	0.6(0.2-1.3)	0.2(0.09-0.6)[h]	2.4(0.9-5.9)	0.9(0.3-2.8)
Dark brown	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Time of maximum sun exposure				
Early morning	0.4(0.1-1.2)	0.6(0.1-2.1)	0.3(0.1-1.1)	0.5(0.1-2.1)
Late morning	1.0(0.3-2.9)	1.3(0.4-4.6)	1.2(0.3-3.8)	1.6(0.4-5.6)
Afternoon	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Frequency of dairy products intake				
≥3/week	0.2(0.1-0.6)[i]	0.1(0.06-0.5)[j]	0.4(0.1-1.2)	0.3(0.1-1.0)
1-2/week	0.3(0.1-0.7)[k]	0.2(0.08-0.6)[l]	0.5(0.1-1.3)	0.4(0.1-1.1)
Less than once a week	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Frequency of fish intake				
Monthly	0.1(0.02-1.4)	0.1(0.01-1.5)	0.5(0.06-4.8)	0.7(0.07-7.6)
Weekly	0.07(0.009-0.5)[m]	0.04(0.004-0.4)[n]	0.1(0.01-1.4)	0.1(0.01-1.5)
Rarely/never	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Meat-Type				
Mostly chicken	0.3(0.06-1.4)	0.8(0.1-5.1)	0.3(0.07-1.8)	0.4(0.07-2.8)
Chicken, mutton	1(0.2-5)	3.6(0.5-22)	0.5(0.09-2.8)	1.1(0.1-7.1)
Chicken, pork	1.1(0.1-6)	4.4(0.6-32)	0.7(0.1-4)	1.5(0.2-11.7)
None	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Abbreviation: OR Odds ratio, CI: confidence Interval

[1]Univariate logistic regression, [2]Multivariate logistic regression using sufficient vitamin D status as Reference.

[a]*p*-value:0.008 [b]*p*-value:0.01 [c]*p*-value:0.005 [d]*p*-value:0.02 [e]*p-value*:0.001 [f]*p-value*:0.04 [g]*p*-value:0.004 [h]*p*-value:0.007 [i]*p*-value:0.005 [j]*p*-value:0.003 [k]*p*-value:0.01 [l]*p*-value:0.008 [m]*p*-value:0.01 [n]*p*-value:0.007

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to University Grants Commission for funding and supporting this project without which it would not have been possible. We would like to convey our heartfelt thanks to all the participants of the study, technical staff, health workers and any person who has made a contribution to our study. We are grateful to the department of Biochemistry, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences for providing us a platform to work.

Author statement

This study was conducted after receiving ethical approval from Institutional Review Committee, B.P Koirala Institute of Health Sciences (IRC/1220/018).

Funding

This research was funded by University Grants Commission, Sanothimi, Bhaktapur, Nepal (UGC Faculty Research Grant for FRG-73/74-HS-04.

Conflict of Interest

None declared

References

1. A, Wahl DA, Bonjour JP et al. Global vitamin D status and determinants of hypovitaminosis D. Osteoporos Int. 2009 Nov;20(11):1807-20. doi: 10.1007/s00198-009-0954-6. [PubMed]
2. Sherchand O, Sapkota N, Chaudhari RK, Khan SA, Baranwal JK, Pokhrel T, Das BKL, Lamsal M. Association between vitamin D deficiency and depression in Nepalese population. Psychiatry Res. 2018 Sep;267:266-271. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.06.018. Epub 2018 Jun 15. PMID: 29940458. [PubMed]

3. Wacker M, Holick MF. Sunlight and Vitamin D: A global perspective for health. Dermatoendocrinol. 2013;5(1):51-108. [PubMed][PMC]
4. Hosseinpanah F, Pour SH, Heibatollahi M, Moghbel N, Asefzade S, Azizi F. The effects of air pollution on vitamin D status in healthy women: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2010 Aug 29;10:519. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-10-519. [PubMed] [PMC]
5. Webb AR, Kline L, Holick MF. Influence of season and latitude on the cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D3: exposure to winter sunlight in Boston and Edmonton will not promote vitamin D3 synthesis in human skin. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1988 Aug;67(2):373-8. doi: 10.1210/jcem-67-2-373.[PubMed]
6. Slominski A, Postlethwaite AE. Skin under the sun: when melanin pigment meets vitamin D. Endocrinology. 2015 Jan;156(1):1-4. doi: 10.1210/en.2014-1918.[PubMed][PMC]
7. NIH: office of dietary supplements. Vitamin D-Fact Sheet for Health Professionals. Available at: Vitamin D - Health Professional Fact Sheet (nih.gov)
8. U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). 2021. Nepal: Nutrition Profile. Washington, DC: USAID. Available at: Nepal: Nutrition Profile, (updated May 2021) (usaid.gov)
9. Ritu G, Gupta A. Vitamin D deficiency in India: Prevalence, causalities and interventions. Nutrients. 2014;6:729–75. [PubMed] [PMC free article] [Google Scholar]
10. Zhen D, Liu L, Guan C, Zhao N, Tang X. High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among middle-aged and elderly individuals in northwestern China: its relationship to osteoporosis and lifestyle factors. Bone. 2015 Feb;71:1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2014.09.024. Epub 2014 Oct 5.[PubMed] [CrossRef]
11. Siddiqee MH, Bhattacharjee B, Siddiqi UR, Meshbahur Rahman M. High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among the South Asian adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health. 2021 Oct 9;21(1):1823. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11888-1. PMID: 34627207; PMCID: PMC8501935.[PubMed]
12. Bhattarai K, Manandhar N, Dhakal S. Prevalence of Hypovitaminosis D in Patients Visiting a Tertiary Care Center in Chitwan, Nepal. Journal of College of Medical Sciences-Nepal. 2019 Jun 30;15(2):84-92.[CrossRef]
13. Bhatta M P, Pandey B R, Gurung K M, Nakarmi R , Gurung K et al (2016). Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among adult population of Western Region of Nepal. International Journal of Medicine & Biomedical Sciences. 2016; 1(2):7-12
14. Joshi SK, Acharya K. Modification of Kuppuswamy's Socioeconomic Status Scale in the Context of Nepal, 2019.[Article]
15. Lim, JU, Lee, JH, Kim, JS, et al., 2017. Comparison of World Health Organization and Asia-Pacific body mass index classifications in COPD patients. Int. J. Chronic Obstr. Pulm. Disease 12, 2465–2475. http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/COPD.S141295. [PubMed].
16. Holick MF. Vitamin D status: measurement, interpretation, and clinical application. Ann Epidemiol. 2009 Feb;19(2):73-8. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2007.12.001. Epub 2008 Mar 10. PMID: 18329892; PMCID: PMC2665033. [PubMed] [PMC]

17. Mithal A, Wahl DA, Bonjour JP et al. Global vitamin D status and determinants of hypovitaminosis D. Osteoporos Int. 2009 Nov;20(11):1807-20. doi: 10.1007/s00198-009-0954-6. [PubMed]
18. Nimitphong H, Holick MF. Vitamin D status and sun exposure in southeast Asia. Dermatoendocrinol. 2013;5(1):34-37. [PubMed][PMC]
19. O'Neill CM, Kazantzidis A, Ryan MJ, et al. Seasonal Changes in Vitamin D-Effective UVB Availability in Europe and Associations with Population Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D. Nutrients. 2016;8(9):533. Published 2016 Aug 30. [PubMed][PMC]
20. Parva NR, Tadepalli S, Singh P, et al. Prevalence of Vitamin D Deficiency and Associated Risk Factors in the US Population (2011-2012). Cureus. 2018;10(6):e2741. Published 2018 Jun 5. doi:10.7759/cureus.2741[PubMed] [PMC]
21. Åkeson PK, Lind T, Hernell O, Silfverdal SA, Öhlund I. Serum Vitamin D Depends Less on Latitude Than on Skin Color and Dietary Intake During Early Winter in Northern Europe. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2016 Apr;62(4):643-9[PubMed]
22. Bonilla C, Ness AR, Wills AK, Lawlor DA, Lewis SJ, Davey Smith G. Skin pigmentation, sun exposure and vitamin D levels in children of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:597. Published 2014 Jun 12. Article
23. Heaney RP. Vitamin D in health and disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2008;3(5):1535-1541. doi:10.2215/CJN.01160308 [PubMed][PMC]
24. Lehmann U, Gjessing HR, Hirche F, Mueller-Belecke A, Gudbrandsen OA, Ueland PM, et al. Efficacy of fish intake on vitamin D status: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr. (2015) 102:837–47. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.114.105395 Google Scholar
25. Schmid A, Walther B. Natural vitamin D content in animal products. Adv Nutr. 2013;4(4):453-462. Published 2013 Jul 1. doi:10.3945/an.113.003780[PubMed][PMC]