## 1 Understanding Health worker Perspectives on Risk

# 2 Screening for HIV Testing - A Qualitative Study from

# 3 Zimbabwe

4	Hamufare D. N	/lugauri <sup>1,2*#a</sup> ,	Joconiah	Chirenda <sup>1</sup> ,	Kudakwashe	Takarinda <sup>3</sup> ,	Owen Mugurun	gi²,

5 Getrude Ncube<sup>2</sup>, Ishmael Chikondowa<sup>2</sup>, Patrick Mantiziba<sup>4</sup>, Mufuta Tshimanga<sup>1</sup>

6

7 <sup>1.</sup> The University of Zimbabwe, Department of Primary Health-care Sciences, Harare,

8 Zimbabwe

- 9 <sup>2.</sup> Ministry of Health and Child Care, AIDS and TB Unit, Harare, Zimbabwe
- 10 <sup>3</sup>. Organisation for Public Health Interventions and Development (OPHID), Harare, Zimbabwe
- 11<sup>4.</sup> Clinton Health Access Initiative (CHAI), Harare, Zimbabwe
- 12
- 13 <sup>#a</sup> Current Address: Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Department of Primary Health-care
- 14 Sciences, New Health Sciences Building, Parirenyatwa Complex, Mazowe road, Harare,
- 15 Zimbabwe
- 16 \*Corresponding Author
- 17 Email: <u>dumiwaboka@gmail.com</u> (HDM)
- 18
- 19

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## 20 Abstract

Background: The use of screening tools during targeted human immunodeficiency virus
(HIV) testing services improves efficiency by identifying individuals who are likely to test
positive. Effective utilization of screening tools requires an understanding of health care worker
perception and willingness to use the tools. We determined health workers' perspectives on
screening tools to enhance their effective and consistent utilisation.

Methods: We conducted a qualitative study among healthcare workers at 8 selected
primary healthcare facilities in Zimbabwe. Interviewer guided, in-depth interviews were
conducted with healthcare workers and their immediate supervisors. Inductive and deductive
coding (hybrid) was applied to develop and analyze themes following a framework built around
the grounded theory model to describe perspectives that influence the effective and consistent
utilization of HIV screening tools and suggestions for improved eligibility screening.

Results: Behavioural factors facilitating the utilisation of the screening tool included
 motivation to adhere to standard practice, awareness of screening role in targeting testing, and its
 ability to manage workload through screening out ineligible clients. This was evident across
 service delivery levels. Barriers included limited healthcare capacity, confidentiality space,
 multiple screening tools and opaque screening in/out criteria and the potential of clients not
 responding to screening questions truthfully.

38 Conclusions: Across geographical and service delivery levels, correct placing of the
39 screening tool at HIV testing entry point, healthcare worker knowledge on screening in/out
40 criteria emerged as enablers for correct and consistent use of the screening tools. Further,
41 standardizing the tools used would improve the utilisation of the correct tool.

42

43 Key Words: Screening tool, qualitative, HIV Testing Services, health worker perspectives,
44 grounded theory framework

#### 45 Introduction:

More than two decades into the HIV pandemic, an estimated 79.3 million people have
been infected and approximately 36.3 million people have succumbed to AIDS-related
illnesses globally (1,2). The virus remains a major global public health threat with an
estimated 37.7 million people living with HIV (including 1.7 million children), globally in
2020. Notably, around 16% of the people living with HIV (6.1 million) do not know their
HIV status exposing a large gap in testing (1).

The HIV pandemic is skewed against East and Southern Africa, which contributes
20.6 million people living with HIV and 670,000 new HIV infections in 2020 alone, making
it the epicentre of the pandemic (2). Further, one in every 25 adults (3.6%) is living with HIV
in Southern Africa alone, accounting for more than two-thirds of the people living with HIV
worldwide (1).

57 Knowing one's HIV status through testing is key to mitigating the onward 58 transmission of the virus in the community. While universal testing (provider and client-59 initiated testing) remains the gold standard, many resource-poor settings are struggling to 60 offer this, mainly because of test kit shortages indicating the need for cost-effective 61 approaches to HIV testing. To respond to this context, screening tools are suggested to aid 62 testers to segregate clients and prioritize testing clients who are most likely to test HIV 63 positive, thereby reducing "unnecessary testing" – whereby a negative test result is almost 64 predictable. Screening tools are an integral component of the targeted testing strategy (3). 65 Zimbabwe shifted from testing for coverage and embraced targeted testing in 2017 as 66 a stratagem to enhance positivity yield (4). Further, an adult HTS screening tool was 67 introduced in 2019 to aid testers to direct HIV testing for clients likely to test positive. This 68 tool was subsequently evaluated and validated, resulting in a revised tool that met the

properties acceptable to effectively reduce testing volumes and minimally screen out potentialpositive testers.

71 During the evaluation and validation exercise, it was anticipated that the positivity 72 vield would decline since no screening was being done (both screened in and screened out 73 clients were tested) in contrast with before the exercise when the screening tool guided 74 eligibility for testing. However, it was noted with concern that a positivity yield of 7.53% was 75 documented during the evaluation comparable with 7.68%, which was documented at the 76 same facilities a month before the evaluation exercise. This finding strongly suggested that 77 either the tool was not being routinely utilized as expected, or that the tool was not effective 78 in its determination of eligibility for testing.

Using a qualitative research approach and drawing on perspectives from Nurse
managers and testers, this study sought to generate an in-depth understanding of the factors
that influence the utilisation of screening tools at public health facilities in Zimbabwe. The
goal was to inform the effective, routine and standardized implementation of screening tools
to guide targeted testing.

## 84 <u>Materials and Methods</u>

### 85 Study design and theoretical framework

This cross-sectional qualitative study using in-depth interviews (IDIs) sought to understand and describe the factors that influence health workers' and their managers' perspectives on the utility of HIV Testing Services screening tools. We applied objectivist and constructivist attributes of the Grounded theory adapted to our context. This facilitated the application of the comparative methodology that provided systematic guidance for gathering, synthesizing, analysing, and conceptualizing qualitative data to understand health workers' perspectives on

92 the use of Screening tools in HIV testing (5). The adaptation of the Grounded theory is

## 93 illustrated in Fig 1.

#### 94 Fig1. Adaptation of the grounded theory

95 Two-part questionnaires were developed to guide the elicitation of key variables from the

96 Nurse managers (Sister in Charge and Matrons) and the Testers (Nurses and Primary

97 Counsellors).

#### 98 Study Setting

99 The study was carried out at Primary Health Care (PHC) facilities which are the first port100 of call for communities seeking healthcare in Zimbabwe.

Zimbabwe is a landlocked, low-income country in Southern Africa which is located
between Botswana, South Africa, Mozambique and Zambia with an estimated population of 16
million and a human development index of 0.516, ranked number 154 globally out of 189
countries in 2016 (6). The country is divided into two urban provinces, eight rural provinces and
62 districts. The capital city is Harare and other major cities include Bulawayo, Gweru, Kadoma,
Kwekwe, Masvingo and Mutare (7).

107 All clients who report at the public health facilities are offered HIV testing services 108 after being screened for eligibility, according to existing Job aides and OSDM (Operational 109 Service Delivery Manual) (8). Provider initiated testing and counselling (PITC) is practised at 110 the facility and in the community, whereby the health worker makes the initiative to offer 111 HIV testing services to eligible clients regardless of the purpose of the visit. Clients may also 112 demand the service (Client-Initiated Testing and Counselling - CITC) (9). HIV screening 113 results are not routinely documented, the process only aids the service provider to determine

114 if the client can be tested during that visitor to be advised to report back at a later date,

115 according to their risk profile.

Outpatients (OPD), Family and Child Health (FCH) departments, as well as
Opportunistic Infections clinics (OIC), are the common entry points for HTS. Admitted
clients may also be tested within the wards.

119

## 120 Sampling, participant recruitment, and data collection

121 Eight healthcare facilities were selected from the 25 facilities that participated in the 122 quantitative evaluation and validation of the screening tool. The rationale for facility selection 123 was to synthesise interrelated circumstances and participants for the quantitative and qualitative 124 studies, on account of their inter-relatedness. Health workers (Nurse managers and testers) found 125 onsite during the data collection exercise were recruited into the study, which recorded a 100% 126 response rate from the health workers identified. Data were collected in November 2021 by Data 127 collectors with experience in conducting qualitative interviews. The facilities, selected from 4 of 128 the 10 provinces of the country included 1 Rural hospital (Hwedza), 1 district Hospital (Banket), 129 1 Mission Hospital (Avilla), 3 urban Polyclinics (Zengeza, Overspill and Seke south), Partner run 130 site (New Africa house Newstart centre) and a rural clinic (Ruyamuro) as tabulated below;

131

132

- 133
- 134

135

#### 136 Table 1. Sites for Qualitative Data Collection for the Adult HTS Screening tool, 2021

Province	District	Name of site
Mashonaland West	Banket	Banket District Hospital
Harare	Harare City	Ruyamuro clinic, Overspill Clinic, New Africa house Newstart Centre
	Chitungwiza	Seke South Clinic, Zengeza clinic
Manicaland	Nyanga	Avila Mission hospital
Mashonaland East	Hwedza	Hwedza Rural Hospital

137

138 All participants were provided with detailed study information before giving their written 139 informed consent. All participants were either Nurse managers (Sister in Charge or Matron) or 140 Testers, (Nurses and Primary Counsellors) working at the selected clinics and willing to consent 141 to the audio recording of the interview. Consenting participants were assigned a unique study 142 number for confidentiality. The final sample of 20 participants included male and female Nurse 143 managers, nurses and primary counsellors. The variedness of this ultimate sample would enable 144 obtaining a fairly comprehensive picture of experiences and perceptions related to using HTS 145 screening tools (10).

Interviews lasted 25–35 minutes and were carried out using a guide with open-ended
questions. Topics covered in the guide included awareness of the existence of the screening tool,
its usefulness and consistency in its usage to guide decision making on eligibility for an HIV test
for clients. Experiences using screening tools as well as the barriers to and facilitators for usage,

and provider perceptions of their value in targeting HIV testing were also investigated. Interviews
were conducted in quiet locations, mostly in open spaces or in offices. Discussions were
primarily conducted in English, but participants were free to express themselves in vernacular
(Shona) which they felt helped them better articulate their experiences when utilising HTS
screening tools. Saturation of themes during data collection was achieved through regular
debriefing discussions among the investigators on probing techniques (11). Interviews were
stopped when no new issues emerged.

## 157 Data analysis

158 All interviews were transcribed verbatim. Audio recordings with renderings of local 159 languages were directly transcribed and translated to English by the investigators fluent in the 160 study languages, and checked the accuracy of the transcripts against digital recordings. Multiple 161 reading of transcripts was done by both investigators, followed by manual coding and 162 categorisation into pre-set themes, new themes were also developed from recurring related 163 responses. Transcripts were imported into QSR International NVivo version 10 software to group 164 the initial codes into themes and subsequently organize them into key dimensions and identify 165 patterns across groups. (12). Soft-copy transcripts were stored securely and safely on password-166 protected computers and audio recordings were deleted from recorders. Transcripts were not 167 returned to participants for comment.

The two members of the study team independently reviewed and coded the transcripts guided by the Grounded theory constructs to explore the perceptions of participants on perceptions on the utility of screening tools in public health settings. We applied open and axial coding to facilitate the interpretation of collected data. To analyse the qualitative data, we used thematic analysis and inductively and deductively developed codes (hybrid). The codes were organized into three overarching domains of factors for nurse managers, namely location, usage,

and capacity. For implementers, the overarching themes were four, namely time, honesty,capacity and impact.

176	Collaboratively, the investigators reviewed and refined emerging key dimensions and
177	themes The process of refining, and reviewing key dimensions and emerging themes were
178	repeatedly done until saturation was achieved when no additional themes or categories could be
179	identified (12). The analysis process identified salient differences in the health workers'
180	perceptions of screening tools and their utility in public health settings. Participant demographic
181	characteristics were obtained from the qualitative interviews. We categorized gender based on the
182	responses to the question: "Tell us more about yourself," when the participant explicitly and
183	voluntarily mentioned their gender as either male or female without probing.
184	Results
185	Participants' characteristics
185 186	<b>Participants' characteristics</b> The participants (n = 20) were mostly female (n = 13, 65%), and of median age of 37
185 186 187	Participants' characteristics The participants (n = 20) were mostly female (n = 13, 65%), and of median age of 37 (IQR: 31–40) years. The majority were Primary Counsellors (n=9, 45%), followed by Sisters in
185 186 187 188	Participants' characteristics The participants (n = 20) were mostly female (n = 13, 65%), and of median age of 37 (IQR: 31–40) years. The majority were Primary Counsellors (n=9, 45%), followed by Sisters in Charge (n=6, 30%) and 1 Matron (5%). Most reported having professional experience of between
185 186 187 188 189	Participants' characteristics The participants (n = 20) were mostly female (n = 13, 65%), and of median age of 37 (IQR: 31–40) years. The majority were Primary Counsellors (n=9, 45%), followed by Sisters in Charge (n=6, 30%) and 1 Matron (5%). Most reported having professional experience of between 2 and 5 years (9, 45%) and having served for less than 2 years at the current facility (n=8, 40%).
185 186 187 188 189 190	Participants' characteristics The participants (n = 20) were mostly female (n = 13, 65%), and of median age of 37 (IQR: 31–40) years. The majority were Primary Counsellors (n=9, 45%), followed by Sisters in Charge (n=6, 30%) and 1 Matron (5%). Most reported having professional experience of between 2 and 5 years (9, 45%) and having served for less than 2 years at the current facility (n=8, 40%). (Table 2.)
185 186 187 188 189 190 191	Participants' characteristics The participants (n = 20) were mostly female (n = 13, 65%), and of median age of 37 (IQR: 31–40) years. The majority were Primary Counsellors (n=9, 45%), followed by Sisters in Charge (n=6, 30%) and 1 Matron (5%). Most reported having professional experience of between 2 and 5 years (9, 45%) and having served for less than 2 years at the current facility (n=8, 40%). (Table 2.)

**193** Table 2. Demographic characteristics of participants.

D	0.14	::	-	mta
r	art	ICI	pa	IIIIS

Number (%)\* N=20

Sex			
0	Female	13	(65)
0	Male	6	(35)
Age—	-median (interquartile range)	37	(31-40)
Profe	ssional category		
0	Matron	1	(5)
0	Sister in Charge	6	(30)
0	Registered General nurse	4	(20)
0	Primary Counsellor	9	(45)
Profe	ssional years of experience		
0	<2years	4	(20)
0	2-5 years	9	(45)
0	>5 years	7	(35)
Years	working in the current clinic		
0	<2 years	8	(40)
0	2-5 years	5	(25)
0	>5 years	7	(35)
1			

## 194 <sup>\*</sup>column percentage

195 We present five themes from the analysis (Table 3) supported with verbatim, minimally edited

196 quotes.

## 197 Health worker perceptions of screening tools

Healthcare Workers (HCW) expressed varying perceptions on the ideal placing of the screening tool to maintain an ideal client flow. Further, they expressed their opinions on the timeframe required to proficiently conduct the screening process and how the screening decision should be communicated to the client as well as how to deal with clients who may falsify responses to obtain the desired HIV test, or avoid it. The perceptions were informed by their experience using the tools and for others, how they perceived the questions when they were shared with them.

# 204 Table 3. Themes and key dimensions from in-depth interviews and their relevant Grounded

## 205 theory constructs and domains.

Theme and key dimensions	Relevant Grounded theory construct	Relevant
	and the operational definition	Grounded
		domain
Theme 1: Ideal placing of the HTS	Outcome expectations	Social or
screening tool within the health care	"Health workers identifying the ideal	Environmental
facility	location of the screening process to	Factors
Key dimensions	achieve optimal client flow and utility of	
• Screening for HTS eligibility at	the tool"	
facility entry, reception area, consultation		
room or HIV testing point		
• Opinions on the best placing of a		
screening tool within the healthcare setup		
Theme 2: Potential negative sequelae	Reciprocal determinism	-
from utilising HIV screening tools by	"Interactions between personal and	
health workers	social/environmental factors that	
Key dimensions	positively or negatively influence	
	utilization of HIV screening tools"	

• Fear of the screening process		
increasing the workload for HIV testing		
• Health workers are not clear		
about the screening in/out process due to		
a lack of orientation		
• Concerns from providers that		
multiple tools are available and it's not		
clear which tool to utilize		
• Client flow is already reduced at		
health facilities hence no need to screen		
the few that come		
Theme 3: Potential deliberate	Behavioural capability	Professional and
misinformation by clients desiring an	"Having and using acquired knowledge	Personal factors
HIV test	and skills to promote honesty in	
Key dimensions	responding to screening questions to	
• Fear of clients not responding	ensure that screening decision is based	
honestly when asked screening questions	on true factors"	
because of their desire to be tested/ not		
tested		
Confidentiality environment		
creation and assurance at the onset of		
engaging with the client		
• Client attitudes towards being		
screened for eligibility before testing		
Theme 4: Amount of time required to	Self-efficacy	
perform the screening process		

Key dimensions	"Having a good understanding of the	
• To correctly ascertain the amount	importance of screening for HIV testing	
of time required to conduct HIV	and the minimum time it takes when	
screening	routinely applied"	
• Contrasting the amount of time		
required to conduct an HIV test against		
the amount of screening		
• Determining screening duration		
time reduction when screening is		
routinely performed		
Theme 5: The effect that screening for	Observational learning	Environmental and
HIV testing has on various health	"Reflecting on the role of eligibility	professional
aspects; resources, workload,	screening for HIV testing in reducing	factors
efficiency	testing volumes, reduce workload and	
Key dimensions	promote efficiency in HIV testing"	
• Reflect on how reducing testing		
volumes through eligibility screening	Reinforcements	
discourages high frequent testing with no	"Encouraging positive changes through	
corresponding positivity yield	interpersonal and structural support"	
• Drawing from regular onsite data		
analysis how positivity yield is impacted		
by testing volumes		

206

207 The ideal placing of the HTS screening tool within the health care facility

208

209	This theme was observed across various facility levels and sizes. Clinic setups have single entry
210	points and usually attend to low volume clients whereas larger facilities such as district and rural
211	hospitals had multi-entry points hence the need to determine the most ideal placing of the tool.
212	"it is useful but needs to be placed at the right entry point, where the health worker engages with
213	the client one on one" (Male, Primary Counsellor, District Hospital.)
214	Further, a relationship between correct placing and subsequent utilization and the ease thereof
215	was suggested.
216	"We need to screen clients at all testing points for HIV, where we meet the client who has opted
217	in for HIV testing following the group education sessions. If we screen them on arrival, this may
218	discourage them from visiting our facility. (Female, Sister in Charge, Rural Hospital)
219	Potential negative sequelae from utilising HIV screening tools by health workers
220	Participants across the geographical areas had different opinions on how screening tools would
221	impact their workload which reflected that a few of them did not have a clear understanding of
222	their utilization.
223	"When clients come into the testing room, they want to be tested. I would rather not waste time
224	asking them screening questions when yet there is a queue outside" (Female, Primary
225	Counsellor, Urban Clinic)
226	"Few clients are turning up for HIV testing because of COVID-19. I think the few that come
227	should just be tested because they made efforts to come. Those who are not at risk are not
228	coming" (Male, Primary Care Nurse, Mission Hospital)
229	Most of the health care workers were aware of the application of the screening tool to assess
230	eligibility for an HIV test, which inevitably result in some clients being screened out.

"When a client is screened out, I won't proceed with testing and explain that they are not eligible
at the time" – (Female, Sister In Charge, Urban Clinic).

Few of the HCWs were not clear on the role of the screening tool: that it should be applied to

- assess eligibility for an HIV test on the day of the visit. If a client does not meet the screening
- criteria, then they should not be tested but advised on their next date for re-screening. Further,
- some clients should not be screened because they are catered for under specific programs.

237 "Client would still test despite being screened out according to SOP" Female, Sister In Charge,
238 District Hospital).

239 The cited Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) referred to pregnant and lactating women

retesting algorithm, which is unique to them whilst the rest of the population does not utilize it.

241 There seemed to be multiple screening tools being utilized, particularly among partner-run sites.

242 *"Here we use our own tool supplied by our organisation, which is electronic because we review* 

243 the work done by our counsellors in determining who to test and who not to test." – (Female,

- 244 Doctor, Partner-run health centre).
- Lastly, discussions revealed that utilization of available interventions was said to depend onattitude and HIV risk perception.

## 247 Potential deliberate misinformation by clients desiring an HIV test

Aligned to the behavioural capability construct, this theme focused on the risk of clients

249 deliberately providing false information during the screening process to access an HIV test or

250 decline it.

251 "Some clients will lie because they want to get tested and will be angry if you say you won't test
252 them" (Female, Registered General Nurse, Mission Hospital).

253 Health workers across geographical settings concurred that creating confidential space and

assuring the client of the same is needed in routine practice when dealing with HIV issues and

that the screening process is no exception.

256 "To get honest responses, we discuss with our clients in the privacy and assure them that no one

257 will know about the conversation. We also explain that the risk assessment provides us with

258 *important information to advise them on how best to live their lives, without exposing themselves* 

259 to HIV..." (Female Primary Care Nurse, Rural Hospital).

Discussions revealed that the screening process, just like any other medical procedure, requires confidentiality to be created and assured. Clients may vary their responses to achieve an end and it's the health worker's responsibility to identify inconsistencies and highlight them courteously to verify facts.

## 264 Amount of time required to perform the screening process

265 Participants who had never used the screening tool were motivated to utilize the tool in pairs and

266 determine how much time they required to apply it whilst those who had experience using the

tool provided feedback on the time they usually took to complete the screening process.

268 "I only needed 6 minutes to ask all the questions because I was not familiar with them, with

269 routine use, I will probably need less than 5 minutes because I would have memorised

270 them..."(Male, Primary Counsellor, Rural Clinic).

271 Discussions revealed that health workers would take an average of 5 minutes if they routinely

- 272 utilize the screening tool. Further, observing medical work ethics is essential to avoid the
- 273 screening process being used to wantonly reduce workload.
- 274 *"The time I need to conduct an HIV test is 25 minutes at the minimum, that is if I am doing things"*
- 275 right, the screening time is less than a third of that time, so it's not much, but there is a need to
- 276 make sure everyone screened out was not eligible for a test, to avoid some people screening
- 277 clients out to reduce workload" (Male, Primary Counsellor, Urban Clinic).

#### 278 The effect that screening for HIV testing has on various health aspects; resources,

- 279 workload, efficiency
- 280 This theme focused on the impact of screening for eligibility for HIV testing on workload against
- 281 positivity yielding results and efficiency in the delivery of HIV testing services. Consistency was
- 282 observed, across geographical locations that screening and testing clients who are likely to test
- 283 HIV positive result in efficiency and economic use of finite resources (test kits) whilst ensuring
- that the positivity yield is optimal.
- 285 "Seeing that our positivity remains low despite efforts to raise it, the screening will reduce the
  286 total number of tests we do and we will test clients who mostly test positive and we would have
  287 done well..."(Female, Matron, District Hospital).
- HCWs mentioned additional strengthening of the existing system to ensure that screening
  becomes mandatory at all facilities and that the client responses to screening questions should be
  documented for verification.
- 291 Discussion

292 Our findings highlight the relevance of using the GTM framework to enhance the routine 293 utilization of HIV risk screening tools by HCWs. GTM provides a framework for understanding 294 how perceptions about the ideal placing of the tool, self-efficacy and outcome expectations, 295 influenced by personal, interpersonal and environmental factors, as well as behaviour capability, 296 ultimately affect the utilization of the tools. In this regard, the FGDs revealed that placing the 297 screening tool at the HIV testing entry points is ideal to ensure that the tool is administered to 298 clients who are willing to conduct an HIV test and the screening process is conducted within a 299 confidential space. Assuring the client of confidentiality was suggested to complement the 300 environment and ensure that the client can freely discuss sexual matters. The relationship 301 between confidentiality and client willingness to divulge sensitive information is well 302 documented in the literature (13–15). The interactions between factors at each one of these levels 303 are particularly important for understanding the factors that motivate the routine utilization of 304 screening tools by HCWs in heterogeneous settings.

The construct of self-efficacy emphasized the importance of screening process orientation and awareness of the right tool for utilization. The existence of multiple screening tools was identified as a hindrance to the effective use of the same. Health workers across facility levels suggested the standardization of the screening tools across the country, regardless of whether a facility is supported by a partner or entirely run by the government. This will create a comprehensive database of screening for eligibility for testing thereby creating an opportunity to evaluate adherence to the laid down procedure at determined intervals.

The construct of behavioural capability emphasized the need for creating a therapeutic relationship with clients, grounded on confidentiality to ensure honesty in response to the screening questions. Inconsistencies in client responses to questions can be confronted in this

315 confidential space complimented by assurance o confidentiality. This can only be achieved if the
316 HCWs are skilled in counselling dynamics as emphasized in the literature (16,17).

317 Our study showed that the minimal time needed to conduct screening is +/-5mins. Routine 318 implementation of the screening tool will result in the questions being integrated as part of a 319 continuous therapeutic conversation with the healthcare worker, during which the risk profile of 320 the client is determined and hence the screening decision arrived at. This finding was consistent 321 with what is documented regarding the value of targeting HIV testing to high-risk clients who are 322 likely to obtain a positive test result (18,19). Discussions with HCWs indicated that the time 323 taken to screen is worth the benefits of screening out ineligible testers, improving efficiency in 324 testing services and improving positivity yield since targeted testing is enhanced by testing 325 individuals likely to obtain a positive diagnosis. Applied regularly and consistently, screening is 326 an effective tool to improve client flow at health facilities.

Further, it was observed that the screening process needs to be integrated into the minimum package for clients seeking HIV testing services. To achieve this end screening should be a mandatory step for all clients seeking HIV testing services. This is consistent with the drive to target HIV testing where screening tools form an integral part of risk assessment, particularly in environments where clients have a culture of high-frequency testing without regard to risk. Done correctly and consistently, screening for eligibility for an HIV test has documented benefits (20,21).

### 334 Conclusions

Assessing eligibility for an HIV test is an integral part of targeting HIV testing services. This
reduces the retesting frequency and considers the risk profile before offering an HIV test. Across
geographical and service delivery levels, the correct placing of the screening tool at HIV testing

338	entry point, health	care worker knowled	lge on screenin	g in/out crite	eria emerged as	s enablers for
-----	---------------------	---------------------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	----------------

- 339 correct and consistent use of the screening tools. Further, standardizing the tools used would
- 340 improve the utilisation of the correct tool.

# 341 **Declarations**

342	Ethics approval and consent to participate
343	Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the Ministry of Health and Child
344	Care head office, the Joint Research Ethics Committee for the University of Zimbabwe
345	Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences and Parirenyatwa Group of Hospitals (JREC
346	280/2021) and the Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe (MRCZ/A/2783). Written
347	informed consent was obtained from all participants before conducting FDGs and recording
348	the participants.
349	
350	Consent for publication
351	All authors consent to the publication of this work
352	
353	Availability of data and material
354	The interview guide for this study has been provided in <b>S1 Annex</b>
355	
356	Competing interests
357	The authors declare that they have no competing interests
358	
359	Funding

This project did not receive direct funding for its implementation. The study was conducted
as part of routine service provision for the Zimbabwe Ministry of Health and Childcare HIV testing
services.

363 Authors' contributions

Conception and design: HDM, JC, KT, OM, GN, PM, MT; development of data capture tools: HDM, KT, PM; data collection: HDM, KT, PM; data entry: HDM, PM; data analysis and interpretation: all authors; preparing the first draft of the manuscript: HDM, KT, OM, JC, MT; critical review and approval of final draft: all authors

368

## 369 Acknowledgements

370 I acknowledge several individuals and institutions that made this study a success. Special 371 gratitude goes to my academic supervisors, Professor M. Tshimanga, Dr J. Chirenda and Dr K. 372 Takarinda, The Director of AIDS & TB Unit, Dr O. Mugurungi and the entire HTS team for their 373 support and prodding during this study. Further, I thank the Clinton Health Access Initiative which 374 provided financial support to meet travelling costs associated with the implementation of this study.

## 375 **References**

- 1. UNAIDS. Global HIV Statistics. Fact Sheet 2021. 2021;(June):1–3.
- **377** 2. UNAIDS GA. CONFRONTING INEQUALITIES.
- 378 https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2021/2021-global-aids-update. 2021;
- 379 3. Routine and Targeted HIV Testing [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb 10]. Available from:
- 380 https://www.thebodypro.com/article/routine-and-targeted-hiv-testing
- 381 4. Ministry of Health and Child Care (MOHCC) Z. Zimbabwe National HIV Testing
- 382 Services Strategy, 2017-2020. 2017; Available from: https://hts.hivci.org/hts-
- 383 score.html?countryid=GTM

384	5.	Charmaz K. Grounded Theory: Methodology and Theory Construction. Int Encycl Soc
385		Behav Sci. 2001;6396–9.

- 3866.UNAIDS. UNAIDS. Country: Zimbabwe [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Apr 17]. Available
- 387 from: http://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/zimbabwe
- 388 7. World Population Review.com/countries/zimbabwe-population/. Zimbabwe Population
- 389 2019 (Demographics, Maps, Graphs) [Internet]. [cited 2019 Apr 4]. Available from:
- 390 http://worldpopulationreview.com/countries/zimbabwe-population/
- 391 8. AIDS & TB Programme M of H and CCZ. Zimbabwe Operational and Service Delivery
- 392 Manual (OSDM) for the Prevention, Care and Treatment of HIV in Zimbabwe, 2017
- 393 [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Dec 9]. Available from: http://www.ophid.org/treat-all-

394 toolkit/MOHCC Guidelines/MOHCC Zimbabwe OSDM 2017.pdf

- 395 9. Zimbabwe Ministry of Health and Child Care, National AIDS Council Z. Zimbabwe HIV396 and AIDS Strategy for the Informal Economy 2017-2020.
- 397 10. Moser A, Korstjens I. Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 3: Sampling,
- data collection and analysis. Eur J Gen Pract [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Mar
- **399** 9];24(1):9. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5774281/

404

- 400 11. Elo S, Kääriäinen M, Kanste O, Pölkki T, Utriainen K, Kyngäs H. Qualitative Content
  401 Analysis. SAGE Open. 2014 Jan 1;4(1):215824401452263.
- 402 12. Wong L, Li Ping W. Data Analysis in Qualitative Research: A Brief Guide to Using
  403 Nvivo. Malaysian Fam Physician Off J Acad Fam Physicians Malaysia [Internet]. 2008

[cited 2022 Mar 9];3(1):14. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4267019/

405 13. Sirinskiene A, Juskevicius J, Naberkovas A. Confidentiality and duty to warn the third

406		parties in HIV/AIDS context. Med Etika Bioet [Internet]. [cited 2022 Apr 21];12(1):2-7.
407		Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16607711
408	14.	Duty of Confidentiality and HIV/AIDS - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2022 Apr 21].
409		Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28758472/
410	15.	Konradsen H, Lillebaek T, Wilcke T, Lomborg K. Being publicly diagnosed: A grounded
411		theory study of Danish patients with tuberculosis. Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being. 2014
412		Apr 23;9(1):1–8.
413	16.	Confidentiality and disclosure of HIV infection: HIV-positive persons' experience with
414		HIV testing and coping with HIV infection in Latvia - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2022 Apr
415		21]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11177453/
416	17.	Deribe K, Woldemichael K, Wondafrash M, Haile A, Amberbir A. Disclosure experience
417		and associated factors among HIV positive men and women clinical service users in
418		southwest Ethiopia. BMC Public Health. 2008;8.
419	18.	Liu GG, Guo JJ, Smith SR. Economic costs to business of the HIV/AIDS epidemic.
420		Pharmacoeconomics. 2004;22(18):1181–94.
421	19.	Bloom DE, Glied S. Benefits and costs of HIV testing. Science [Internet]. 1991 [cited
422		2022 Apr 21];252(5014):1798-804. Available from:
423		https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1829547/
424	20.	Howard J. Hiv screening scientific, ethical, and legal issues. J Leg Med. 1988;9(4):601-
425		10.
426	21.	Screening for HIV. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 1988 Feb 11 [cited 2022 Apr 21];318(6):378-
427		80. Available from: http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJM198802113180610

## 428 Supplementary file: SI\_ Qualitative Study Dataset



Fig 1. Adaptation of the grounded theory