Nurses are non-inferior to dental hygienists in the prevention of dental caries: Results from the Caried*Away* school-based pragmatic clinical trial

Ryan Richard Ruff, PhD, MPH[1 2], Tamarinda J. Barry-Godín, DDS, MPH[1], and Richard Niederman, DMD[1]

[1] *New York University College of Dentistry*
[2] *New York University School of Global Public Health*

November 2022

Abstract

Background: The sustainability of school-based oral health programs depends on the utilization of effective, efficient treatments and the availability of a trained clinical workforce. The objective of this study was to determine whether registered nurses are non-inferior to dental hygienists in the application and effectiveness of silver diamine fluoride (SDF) for dental caries. *Methods*: Caried*Away* was a school-based cluster-randomized trial of SDF versus dental sealants and atraumatic restorations. Within the SDF arm, subjects were treated by either a licensed dental hygienist or a registered nurse, both under the supervision of a pediatric dentist. The proportion of children who remained caries free after two years was analyzed using two-group proportion tests, adjusting for the clustering effect of schools. *Results*: 417 children were analyzed including 298 treated by hygienists and 119 by nurses. The proportion of caries-free individuals was 0.812 and 0.798 for hygienists and nurses, respectively, for a difference of 0.014 (95% CI = -0.07, 0.098) and within the pre-determined non-inferiority margin. *Conclusions*: Results support silver diamine fluoride and fluoride varnish delivery by nurses in school-based oral health programs.

1 Introduction

The World Health Organization estimates dental caries to be the world's most common noncommunicative disease, disproportionately affecting low-income and minority populations and consuming between 5-10% of healthcare budgets of industrialized nations [1, 2]. Notably, those most at risk of dental caries typically lack access to traditional dental services, which results in substantial unmet

need in vulnerable groups [3, 4, 5]. As a public health intervention to increase access to dental care, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends school-based sealant programs, which are effective [6, 7, 8], economical [9], and "allow the use of dental personnel to the top of their licensure" [10].

Despite the benefits of school sealant programs, they remain underutilized due to burgeoning costs and the required supervision of hygienists by licensed dentists [11]. Silver diamine fluoride (SDF) is an alternative treatment for dental caries [12] that is supported by the American Academy of Pediatric Dentists as part of a comprehensive caries management program [13]. Systematic reviews conclude that SDF is effective in the arrest of caries in primary teeth [14] and significantly reduces the development of new dentin caries after twenty-four months [15]. According to the Association of State & Territorial Dental Directors (ASTDD), state medical or dental practice acts allow physicians, nurses, and assistants to provide SDF in addition to dentists and dental hygienists [17].

The Caried*Away* study was a longitudinal, school-based, cluster randomized non-inferiority trial of non-surgical interventions for dental caries [18]. Prior results from Caried*Away* demonstrated that a single application of silver diamine fluoride was non-inferior to glass ionomer sealants and atraumatic restorations in the two-year arrest and prevention of dental caries [19], and that oral health-related quality of life was not appreciably different between groups [20]. A secondary objective of Caried*Away* was to determine whether the effectiveness of SDF treatment when provided by registered nurses was non-inferior to that of dental hygienists.

2 Methods

Caried*Away* is a registered study at www.clinicaltrials.gov (#NCT03442309) and is reported according to CONSORT recommendations for randomized trials. The study received ethical approval from the New York University School of Medicine IRB (#i17-00578). A study protocol is available [18].

2.1 Design and Participants

Caried*Away* was a longitudinal, cluster-randomized, pragmatic non-inferiority trial conducted from 2018-2023 in New York City primary schools. The pragmatic design was chosen to test study hypotheses in real world settings that characterize school-based oral health programs. Schools that had a student population from at least 50% Hispanic/Latino or black ethnicities and at least 80% receiving free and reduced lunch (a proxy for low socio-economic status) were eligible for inclusion. Schools were further excluded if they already had a school-based oral health program that provided services. Within eligible and enrolled schools, all subjects were eligible for the study if they provided parental informed consent and child assent.

2.2 Randomization

Subjects in Caried*Away* were block randomized at the school level to receive treatments for the primary study objectives (silver diamine fluoride versus atraumatic restorations). Within the SDF treatment arm, subjects were not randomized to provider type (hygienist/dentist versus registered nurse). However, no systematic efforts were made to assign subjects to different providers. By law, nurses could only treat patients if standing orders were created by a supervising dentist. Subjects who were enrolled prior to visiting the school were thus eligible to be seen by nurses or hygienists. These subjects were seen by whichever provider was available. Any subject enrolled during the actual visit to schools (e.g., day-of enrollment) were seen only by hygienists.

2.3 Interventions

All subjects included in analysis received a simple treatment consisting of 38% silver diamine fluoride (Elevate Oral Care Advantage Arrest 38%, 2.24 F-ion mg/dose) applied to all asymptomatic cavitated lesions and brushed on all pits and fissures of bicuspids and molars for 30 seconds. Fluoride varnish (5% NaF, Colgate PreviDent) was then applied to all teeth. Registered nurses and hygienists operated within a specific room in each school using a disposable mirror, disposable explorer, and head lamp with participants laying in a portable dental chair. Nurses and hygienists were under the supervision of a licensed pediatric dentist.



Figure 1: Study flow diagram for the Caried*Away* randomized controlled trial

Table 1: Demographics overall and by provider type for the baseline sample (N=1070) and follow-up sample (N=417)

	Baseline Sample						**Follow-up Sample**					
	All		**Hygienist/Dentist**		**Nurses**		**All**		**Hygienist/Dentist**		**Nurses**	
	N/Mean	% / SD	N	%	N	%	N/Mean	% / SD	N	%	N	%
Subjects	1070	100	755	100	315	100	417	100	298	71.46	119	28.54
Female	540	50.47	286	52.45	144	45.71	221	53	167	56.04	54	45.38
Race												
Hispanic	481	44.95	372	49.27	109	34.6	240	57.55	176	59.06	64	53.78
Black	171	15.98	136	18.01	35	11.11	98	23.5	64	21.48	34	28.57
White	26	2.43	19	2.52	7	2.22	10	2.4	8	2.68	2	1.68
Asian	14	1.31	14	1.85	0	0	14	3.36	10	3.36	4	3.36
Multiple	21	1.96	15	1.99	6	1.9	5	1.2	4	1.34	1	0.84
Other	12	1.12	8	1.06	4	1.27	11	2.64	7	2.35	4	3.36
DK/Missing	345	32.24	191	25.29	154	48.89	39	9.35	29	9.73	10	8.4
Untreated decay, follow-up	–	–	–	–	–	–	61	14.43	46	15.44	15	12.61
Sealants at baseline	105	9.81	78	10.33	27	8.57	43	10.31	33	11.07	10	8.4

2.4 Clinician training

Registered nurses first completed modules on child oral health, caries risk assessment, fluoride varnish, and oral examinations from *Smiles for Life: A national oral health curriculu*m [21], accredited by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation. Nurses and hygienists further received approximately 70 hours of didactic instruction and practical training during the annual Caried*Away* orientation, including dental screening and treatment protocols for silver diamine fluoride, fluoride varnish, dental sealants, and interim therapeutic restorations. Examiner standardization was conducted via case study, utilization of dental models, and through the recruitment of examinees attending pilot and training schools not included in the Caried*Away* study population. Examiners were standardized using identical diagnostic and treatment protocols. Caries diagnosis was performed according to guidelines of the International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS) adapted criteria in epidemiology and clinical research settings [22]. Full description of clinical protocols for diagnosis, outcomes, and other protocol considerations is previously published. Clinicians were standardized through agreement with the senior examiner, a licensed pediatric dentist experienced in applying the dental screening and treatment protocols. The senior examiner performed weekly chairside and data audits to ensure protocol compliance and continuous quality improvement

2.5 Data Collection

The original biannual treatment and data collection schedule described in the trial protocol was disrupted due to school closures associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, the trial was conducted in two phases. In phase 1, baseline observations and initial treatment were conducted from September 2019 to March 2020 with first follow-up observations conducted from September 2021 to March 2022. In phase two, additional data collection was conducted in recurring six month intervals from March 2022 through June 2023. Treatments were provided at every observation. Study clinicians performed full-mouth visual-tactile oral examinations at each observation. Teeth were assessed as being present or missing intraorally. Individual tooth surfaces were assessed as being either intact/sound, sealed, restored, decayed, or arrested.

All data were recorded on Apple iPads using an electronic dental health record designed for school-based programs (New England Survey Systems, Brookline, MA) and were securely uploaded each day to the Boston University School of Public Health Data Coordinating Center.

2.6 Outcomes

The primary outcome was the proportion of subjects with no observed incidence of decayed teeth from previously sound dentition (prevention).

2.7 Covariates

Data for demographic variables were obtained from informed consent documents and/or school records, including race/ethnicity, age at observation, and sex. For socioeconomic status, all enrolled schools in Caried*Away* had at least 80% of the student population receiving free or reduced lunch ("Title 1" schools).

2.8 Statistical Analysis

Analysis of provider variation used data from phase 1 of Caried*Away*. As provider variation in prevention was a secondary objective of the trial, it was not subject to a priori power analyses. Power for the primary study objective is previously available [18].

Subjects were first ordered sequentially by visit and restricted to those randomly assigned to the silver diamine fluoride arm and without dental caries at their baseline observation. Our primary independent variable, provider type, was dichotomized as either registered nurse or dental hygienist/pediatric dentist. Our primary dependent variable of any new evidence of dental decay was created as a dichotomous indicator reflecting whether each tooth presented at follow-up with either untreated caries or clinical evidence of having received an outside filling.

The degree of intraclass correlation was measured using intercept-only mixed effects multilevel regression modeling. Non-inferiority of prevention by provider type was assessed using two-sample proportion tests with cluster adjustment for the school and the estimated intraclass correlation and by comparing the right-sided 95% confidence interval for the difference between providers to the predetermined non-inferiority margin of 0.10 [23, 24]. Results were subsequently compared to a confidence interval for the difference of hygienist minus nurse computed using bootstrap sampling with 10,000 replications. Logistic regression models were also conducted to explore the role of potential confounding variables on the provider/caries relationship.

Following per-protocol analysis, we conducted an intent to treat analysis using imputed data. Five datasets were generated for all subjects receiving silver diamine fluoride and not presenting with caries at baseline using multiple imputation and analyzed using logisitic regression. Analysis was performed using Stata v16.0 and R v1.4. Confidence intervals were created at the 95% level and statistical significance was set at 0.05.

3 Results

Of the 4718 subjects enrolled and randomized in Phase 1, 2998 were enrolled from viable grades. Those subjects enrolled in fourth and fifth grades were treated for ethical reasons but were not viable as they would age out of the study prior to follow-up. We completed follow-up data collection with 1398 subjects from September 2021 through 2 March 2022 (Figure 1). A total of 1070 enrolled subjects were treated with silver diamine fluoride and did not

have caries at baseline, and follow-up data was collected in 418 subjects. One subject did not have provider recorded and thus was removed from analysis (N=417, Table 1). This analytic sample consisted of 298 subjects treated by dental hygienists and 119 treated by registered nurses. Approximately 10% of subjects presented at baseline with dental sealants and 14% developed new caries in the two year period between baseline and follow-up. In keeping with the study inclusion criteria, 81% of subjects were of Hispanic/Latino or black ethnicity. The intraclass correlation coefficient was less than .00001.

Table 2: Prevention rates after two years (N=417)

	Hygienists/Dentists			**Nurses**			**Difference**		**95% CI**	
	N	mean	SE	N	mean	SE	mean	SE	Lower	Upper
Prevention	298	0.81	0.23	119	0.8	0.37	0.01	0.43	-0.07	0.098

The proportion of individuals who remained caries free after two years (prevention rate) was 0.812 and 0.798 for subjects treated by dental hygienists and nurses, respectively, for a difference of 0.014 (95% CI = -0.07, 0.098), just below the non-inferiority threshold (Table 2). Results were similar for bootstrapped confidence intervals. Results from regression models show no differences between provider after controlling for race, age at baseline, sex, and dental sealant prevalence (Table 3).

Imputed data for the baesline sample (N=1070) yielded 315 subjects with no baseline decay that were treated by nurses and 755 subjects by hygienists. The proportion of subjects who remained caries free at follow-up was 80.8% and 81% for nurses and hygienists, respectively. The odds ratio for prevention comparing nurses to hygienists was 1.01 (95% CI = 0.68, 1.50). Results with imputed data remained non-inferior.

4 Discussion

Our analysis demonstrates that the effectiveness of silver diamine fluoride when applied by registered nurses is non-inferior in the two-year prevention of dental caries compared to dental hygienists in a school-based pragmatic setting. Specifically, the overall prevention rate in predominantly low-income, minority children was approximately 80%. If left untreated, dental caries can progress to severe infection [25] and negatively affects quality of life [26], academic performance [27], and school attendance [27]. Thus the effective prevention of dental caries in schools can not only improve health but overall child development.

The ubiquity and impact of school-based sealant programs, while well established, depends in part on the availability of properly trained dental professionals. In a prior survey of registered dental hygienists, 54% of respondents were unfamiliar with SDF, 78% agreed that using SDF as a treatment for dental caries falls within their scope of practice, and 82% believed it to be an alternative to traditional restorative treatments [28]. Further, the use of traditional

Table 3: Regression model results for provider type (N=417)

Variable	**OR**	**SE**	**95% CIL**	**95% CIU**
Provider	0.9	0.25	0.52	1.55
Race				
Black	0.78	0.23	0.43	1.41
White	0.52	0.37	0.13	2.1
Asian	1.35	1.06	0.29	6.29
Other	1.5	1.17	0.33	6.88
Unreported	0.63	0.26	0.28	1.4
Age	1.05	0.11	0.85	1.3
Sex (male)	1.19	0.3	0.72	1.96
Sealants	0.95	0.41	0.41	2.24

preventive services like glass ionomer sealants can be prohibitively expensive when treating large school populations, such as those found in New York City. In contrast, school nursing services prevent millions of dollars in medical care costs and lost productivity [29] and provide for safe and effective management of children with chronic health conditions, improving both health and academic outcomes [30]. Since state medical or dental practice acts can authorize nurses to treat children with silver diamine fluoride, their incorporation into school-based dental programs can have substantial impact on the efficiency and reach of care. For example, in New York, the state scope of practice implies that SDF is a topical fluoride and thus able to be applied by registered nurses under the supervision of a licensed dentist. This also aligns with professional practice, as the National Association of School Nurses advocates for the school nurse to promote child oral health through prevention, education, and coordination [31].

Our overall results corroborate those from more controlled clinical settings. Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses are available for the effectiveness of silver diamine fluoride in the prevention of caries in primary dentition and permanent first molars [32, 15], caries control in exposed root surfaces [33], and in the arrest or reversal of both noncavitated and cavitated lesions in primary and permanent teeth [34]. These studies indicate preventive fractions for SDF versus placebo or active controls in the range of 70-90%, depending on the comparator used.

Table 4: Comparison of nurses to hygienists in caries prevention, imputed data (N=1070)

Variable	**OR**	**SE**	**p-value**	**95% CIL**	**95% CIU**
Provider	1.01	0.2	0.944	0.68	1.5

Although the provider comparison of Caried*Away* was not a randomized one, no efforts were made to introduce bias into treatment protocols. Study subjects who enrolled prior to school visitation received standing orders from the supervising dentist and thus were able to be treated by either nurses or

hygienists. These subjects were seen on a first come, first served basis and were not ordered by any factor (such as severity, sex, or race). Children who enrolled during the study visit were only eligible to be treated by dental hygienist. If pre-visit enrollees were systematically different, this could introduce bias into our results. However, further analysis with potential confounders show that they did not have an appreciable impact.

The two year period between baseline and follow-up observations corresponded with the onset and duration of the COVID-19 pandemic, which resulted in the suspension of all in-school educational and clinical activities from March 2020 to August 2021. As our analytic sample for this analysis considered only those with no untreated decay at baseline, exfoliation of decayed teeth was not a concern. Additionally, our analysis accounted for both any newly observed untreated decay and any evidence of having received a dental filling, which would suggest the incidence of new decay (and thus prevention failure) in the intervening years. As a result, our findings should not be confounded by receipt of traditional care in a dental office.

The Caried*Away* trial utilized non-surgical, minimally-invasive treatments that were recently added to the World Health Organization's list of essential medicines, which can be used to expand the scope and reach of school caries prevention. Our results support the incorporation of not only alternative treatments into traditional school-based prevention programs but the inclusion of under-utilized health professionals. As of 2018, an estimated 132,300 school nurses work in the United States [35], approximately 75% of the entire hygienist workforce, representing a substantial untapped resource to address oral health inequities.

5 Additional information

5.1 Funding

Research reported in this publication was funded through a Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) award (PCS-1609-36824). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the official views of the funding organization, New York University, or the NYU College of Dentistry.

5.2 Author contributions

RRR and RN were the principal investigators and conceived of and designed the study. TBG served as the supervising dentist, directed clinical activities, and oversaw all data collection. RRR performed all statistical analyses and wrote the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript and provided edits. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

5.3 Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the support of multiple people and organizations in their collaboration on this initiative, including Rachel Whittemore, Nydia Santiago-Galvin, and Denise Guerrero, without whom this work would not be possible. We are also thankful for our clinical team who provided care and our patient/stakeholder board who provided community perspectives on COVID-19 and school guidance. We also wish to thank John Roberge and Michael Stanley at New England Survey Systems (NESS), who worked with us to continuously improve our ability to capture clinical data, and Joe Palmissano at the Boston University School of Public Health. We thank Drs. Roger Platt, Ramneet Kalra, and David Tepel at the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, who facilitated access to New York City schools. Finally, we thank Drs. Dionne Richardson and Michele Griguts at the New York State Department of Health, who provided guidance during COVID-19.

References

[1] W. Marcenes, N. J. Kassebaum, E. Bernabé, A. Flaxman, M. Naghavi, A. Lopez, and C. J. L. Murray. Global burden of oral conditions in 1990-2010: a systematic analysis. *Journal of dental research*, 92(7):592–7, 2013.

[2] J. E. Frencken, P. Sharma, L. Stenhouse, D. Green, D. Laverty, and T. Dietrich. Global epidemiology of dental caries and severe periodontitis - a comprehensive review. *J Clin Periodontol*, 44 Suppl 18:S94–S105, 2017.

[3] H. M. Treadwell. The nation's oral health inequities: Who cares. *Am J Public Health*, 107(S1):S5, 2017.

[4] S. Griffin, S. Naavaal, C. Scherrer, P. M. Griffin, K. Harris, and S. Chattopadhyay. School-based dental sealant programs prevent cavities and are cost-effective. *Health Aff (Millwood)*, 35(12):2233–2240, 2016.

[5] B. Dye, X. Li, and G. Thornton-Evans. Oral health disparities as determined by selected healthy people 2020 oral health objectives for the united states, 2009-2010. *NCHS Data Brief*, 104:1–8, 2012.

[6] S. O. Griffin, S. Naavaal, C. Scherrer, M. Patel, S. Chattopadhyay, and Force Community Preventive Services Task. Evaluation of school-based dental sealant programs: An updated community guide systematic economic review. *Am J Prev Med*, 52(3):407–415, 2017.

[7] J. R. Starr, R. R. Ruff, J. Palmisano, J. M. Goodson, O. M. Bukhari, and R. Niederman. Longitudinal caries prevalence in a comprehensive, multicomponent, school-based prevention program. *J Am Dent Assoc*, 152(3):224–233, 03 2021.

[8] Barbara F. Gooch, Susan O. Griffin, Shellie Kolavic Gray, William G. Kohn, R. Gary Rozier, Mark Siegal, Margherita Fontana, Diane Brunson, Nancy Carter, David K. Curtis, Kevin J. Donly, Harold Haering, Lawrence F. Hill, H. Pitts Hinson, Jayanth Kumar, Lewis Lampiris, Mark Mallatt, Daniel M. Meyer, Wanda R. Miller, Susan M. Sanzi-Schaedel, Richard Simonsen, Benedict I. Truman, and Domenick T. Zero. Preventing dental caries through school-based sealant programs. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, 140(11):1356–1365, 2009.

[9] S. S. Huang, R. R. Ruff, and R. Niederman. An Economic Evaluation of a Comprehensive School-Based Caries Prevention Program. *JDR Clin Trans Res*, 4(4):378–387, 10 2019.

[10] 2018.

[11] N. Patel, S. O. Griffin, M. Linabarger, and S. Lesaja. Impact of school sealant programs on oral health among youth and identification of potential barriers to implementation. *J Am Dent Assoc*, 153(10):970–978, 10 2022.

[12] S. S. T. Yeung and C. Argáez. *Silver Diamine Fluoride for the Prevention and Arresting of Dental Caries or Hypersensitivity: A Review of Clinical Effectiveness, Cost-Effectiveness and Guidelines*. Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, Ottawa (ON), 2017.

[13] Y. O. Crystal, A. A. Marghalani, S. D. Ureles, J. T. Wright, R. Sulyanto, K. Divaris, M. Fontana, and L. Graham. Use of silver diamine fluoride for dental caries management in children and adolescents, including those with special health care needs. *Pediatr Dent*, 39(5):135–145, 2017.

[14] N. Seifo, W. Al-Yaseen, and N. Innes. The efficacy of silver diamine fluoride in arresting caries in children. *Evid Based Dent*, 19(2):42–43, 2018.

[15] B. H. Oliveira, A. Rajendra, A. Veitz-Keenan, and R. Niederman. The effect of silver diamine fluoride in preventing caries in the primary dentition: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Caries Res*, 53(1):24–32, 2018.

[16] N. Seifo, H. Cassie, J. Radford, and N. Innes. "It's really no more difficult than putting on fluoride varnish": a qualitative exploration of dental professionals' views of silver diamine fluoride for the management of carious lesions in children. *BMC Oral Health*, 20(1):257, 09 2020.

[17] 2022.

[18] R. R. Ruff and R. Niederman. Silver diamine fluoride versus therapeutic sealants for the arrest and prevention of dental caries in low-income minority children: study protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. *Trials*, 19(1):523, 2018.

[19] Ryan Richard Ruff, Tamarinda Barry-Godin, and Richard Niederman. Non-inferiority of essential medicines for caries arrest and prevention in a school-based program: Results from the cariedaway pragmatic clinical trial. *medRxiv*, page 2022.04.26.22274321, 2022.

[20] R. R. Ruff, T. J. Barry Godín, T. M. Small, and R. Niederman. Silver diamine fluoride, atraumatic restorations, and oral health-related quality of life in children aged 5-13 years: results from the CariedAway school-based cluster randomized trial. *BMC Oral Health*, 22(1):125, Apr 2022.

[21] K Sievers, M B Clark, A B Douglass, R Maier, W Gonsalves, A S Wrightson, R Quinonez, M Dolce, M Dalal, D Rizzolo, and et al., 2020.

[22] K. Shivakumar, S. Prasad, and G. Chandu. International Caries Detection and Assessment System: A new paradigm in detection of dental caries. *J Conserv Dent*, 12(1):10–16, Jan 2009.

[23] G. Piaggio, D. R. Elbourne, S. J. Pocock, S. J. Evans, and D. G. Altman. Reporting of noninferiority and equivalence randomized trials: extension of the CONSORT 2010 statement. *JAMA*, 308(24):2594–2604, Dec 2012.

[24] No authors listed. Non-inferiority clinical trials to establish effectiveness: Guidance for industry. *Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)*, 2016.

[25] M. A. Peres, L. M. D. Macpherson, R. J. Weyant, B. Daly, R. Venturelli, M. R. Mathur, S. Listl, R. K. Celeste, C. C. Guarnizo-Herreño, C. Kearns, H. Benzian, P. Allison, and R. G. Watt. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *Lancet*, 394(10194):249–260, Jul 2019.

[26] B. W. Chaffee, P. H. Rodrigues, P. F. Kramer, M. R. Vitolo, and C. A. Feldens. Oral health-related quality-of-life scores differ by socioeconomic status and caries experience. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*, 45(3):216–224, 2017.

[27] R. R. Ruff, S. Senthi, S. R. Susser, and A. Tsutsui. Oral health, academic performance, and school absenteeism in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Dent Assoc*, 150(2):111–121 e4, 2019.

[28] S. K. Chhokar, L. Laughter, and D. J. Rowe. Perceptions of Registered Dental Hygienists in Alternative Practice Regarding Silver Diamine Fluoride. *J Dent Hyg*, 91(4):53–60, Aug 2017.

[29] L. Y. Wang, M. Vernon-Smiley, M. A. Gapinski, M. Desisto, E. Maughan, and A. Sheetz. Cost-benefit study of school nursing services. *JAMA Pediatr*, 168(7):642–648, Jul 2014.

[30] Z. C. Leroy, R. Wallin, and S. Lee. The Role of School Health Services in Addressing the Needs of Students With Chronic Health Conditions. *J Sch Nurs*, 33(1):64–72, Feb 2017.

[31] J. Buerlein. Promoting children's oral health. A role for school nurses in prevention, education, and coordination. *NASN Sch Nurse*, 25(1):26–29, Jan 2010.

[32] V. Contreras, M. J. Toro, A. R. Elias-Boneta, and A. Encarnacion-Burgos. Effectiveness of silver diamine fluoride in caries prevention and arrest: a systematic literature review. *Gen Dent*, 65(3):22–29, 2017.

[33] B. H. Oliveira, J. Cunha-Cruz, A. Rajendra, and R. Niederman. Controlling caries in exposed root surfaces with silver diamine fluoride: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *J Am Dent Assoc*, 149(8):671–679 e1, 2018.

[34] O. Urquhart, M. P. Tampi, L. Pilcher, R. L. Slayton, M. W. B. Araujo, M. Fontana, S. Guzmán-Armstrong, M. M. Nascimento, B. B. Nový, N. Tinanoff, R. J. Weyant, M. S. Wolff, D. A. Young, D. T. Zero, R. Brignardello-Petersen, L. Banfield, A. Parikh, G. Joshi, and A. Carrasco-Labra. Nonrestorative Treatments for Caries: Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *J Dent Res*, 98(1):14–26, 01 2019.

[35] M. A. Willgerodt, D. M. Brock, and E. D. Maughan. Public School Nursing Practice in the United States. *J Sch Nurs*, 34(3):232–244, Jun 2018.