Assessing the feasibility of sustaining a "Zero-COVID" policy in China in the era of highly transmissible variants

Yan Wang[1†], Kaiyuan Sun[2†], Zhaomin Feng[3], Lan Yi[1], Yanpeng Wu[1], Hengcong Liu[1], Quanyi Wang[3], Marco Ajelli[4], Cécile Viboud[2], Hongjie Yu[1*]

Affiliations:

1. School of Public Health, Fudan University, Key Laboratory of Public Health Safety, Ministry of Education, Shanghai, China.
2. Division of International Epidemiology and Population Studies, Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.
3. Beijing Center for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC), China.
4. Laboratory of Computational Epidemiology and Public Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Indiana University School of Public Health, Bloomington, IN, USA.

†These authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding author. Email: yhj@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

We developed a spatially structured, fully stochastic, individual-based SARS-CoV-2 transmission model to evaluate the feasibility of sustaining a "Zero-COVID" policy in mainland China in light of currently dominant Omicron variants, China's current immunization level, and non-pharmaceutical intervention (NPI) strategies. We found that due to high transmissibility, neither Omicron BA.1 or BA.2 sublineages could be contained by China's Pre-Omicron non-pharmaceutical intervention strategies which were successful at sustaining the "Zero-COVID" policy until March 2022. However, increased intervention intensity, such as enhanced population mobility restrictions and multi-round mass testing, could lead to containment success without the necessity of population-wide lockdown. As China's current vaccination has yet to reach high coverage in older populations, non-pharmaceutical interventions remain essential tools to maintain low levels of infection while building protective population immunity, ensuring a smooth transition out of the pandemic phase, and minimizing the overall disease burden and societal costs.

Key words: Zero-COVID, Omicron, NPI, vaccination

Main

Prior to the emergence of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variants, a few countries and regions had successfully managed a "Zero-COVID" policy, while the rest of the world adopted mitigation approaches that resulted in higher burden of disease and loss of lives(*1*). The state of the pandemic in late 2021-early 2022 has posed more challenges on the long-term sustainability of a "Zero-COVID" policy: the emergence and rapid spread of the highly transmissive and immune evasive Omicron variants, together with the observed waning of vaccine-induced immunity, have demonstrated that none of the currently licensed vaccines can guarantee population immunity levels capable of preventing major epidemic waves, even with high booster coverage rates(*2, 3*). Furthermore, reports of spillover of human SARS-CoV-2 viruses into a wide range of animal hosts, followed by sustained transmission in animals, as well as reverse zoonosis from animals to humans, highlight the existence of multiple animal reservoirs for SARS-CoV-2(*4-7*). All the available evidence points to the inevitable long-term circulation of SARS-CoV-2 in human populations.

A decoupling of immune protection against infection from immune protection against severe outcomes has also been observed, suggesting that while an "immunity wall" against SARS-CoV-2 infection is likely unattainable, an "immunity wall" against severe outcomes is still achievable through vaccination with booster shots(*2, 8*), or through natural immunity for countries where vaccine coverage has remained low so far. In fact, countries such as Australia, New Zealand, and Singapore achieved high immunization coverage prior to a successful transition from "Zero-COVID" to mitigation strategies, reporting remarkably low number of deaths despite a large number of SARS-CoV-2 infections(*9*). In contrast, the fifth COVID-19 wave in Hong Kong, China, caused by the Omicron variants, overcame the long-standing success of its "Zero-COVID" policy. A particularly low vaccine coverage among the elderly has resulted in high rates of severe COVID-19 disease, overwhelming the healthcare system and causing a considerable number of deaths(*10*).

Until mid-March 2022, mainland China successfully sustained a "Zero-COVID" policy through rigorous border entry quarantine/isolation and swift suppression of sporadic local outbreaks, including those caused by both Delta and Omicron variants. However, a major Omicron epidemic started in late-February 2022, leading to over 540,000 reported infections (as of April 27, 2022) in Shanghai(*11*), causing the government to put the entire city into lockdown for more than a month. Moreover, similar to Hong Kong, substantial immunity gaps exist in mainland China, especially

in the most vulnerable populations (the elderly and/or individuals with underlying medical conditions). In the population over the age of 80 years, only 50.7% have received primary shots of inactivated vaccines; only 19.7% had received a booster shot as of March 17, 2022 (Fig. S1; note that due to initial immunization prioritization to healthy adults, vaccine coverage declines with age in China)(*12*). A rushed reopening of international borders and lifting of existing NPIs could potentially lead to catastrophic consequences in mainland China, similar to those observed during the fifth wave in Hong Kong, but on a far larger scale: over 1/6 of the world population lives in mainland China. Whether it is possible for China to sustain a "Zero-COVID" policy in face of the highly transmissible and/or immune evasive Omicron variants, and under what conditions, is of paramount importance to resolve at this stage of the pandemic. The ability to maintain "Zero-COVID" until a safe transition to mitigation strategies can be safely ensured is essential to minimize the overall disease burden and societal cost of COVID-19 in China.

In this study, we utilized a previously developed, spatially structured, fully stochastic, individual-based SARS-CoV-2 transmission model that was used to reconstruct the containment effort of the Xinfadi outbreak in Beijing, China, caused by the ancestral lineage in a population with negligible immunity(*13*). We updated the model to consider the increased transmissibility and immune evasion of Omicron's BA.1 and BA.2 sublineages, as well as vaccination effectiveness and coverage representative of current and future immunization efforts in China. We further created five levels of NPIs with incrementally increasing intensity from a baseline corresponding to the levels adopted during the Xinfadi outbreak. The findings of this work can be generalized to anticipate the counter-measure requirements necessary to contain future outbreaks in densely populated urban settings experiencing variants that have characteristics similar to Omicron.

Specifically, we examine the feasibility of achieving outbreak containment conditional on SARS-CoV-2 variant introduction in Beijing, a highly urbanized setting, under various scenarios. We considered two SARS-CoV-2 variant scenarios: 1) the BA.1 sublineage of Omicron ($R_0 = 7.5$, Method Section 2.4), 2) the BA.2 sublineage of Omicron ($R_0 = 9.5$, Method Section 2.4). For each variant scenario, we further explore the feasibility of outbreak control under different NPI intensities and vaccine coverage. For NPIs, we start with the baseline levels that were implemented in 2020 to control the spread of the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 lineages, at a time where population immunity was minimal(*13*). We then strengthened NPIs gradually by improving: 1) symptom

surveillance, 2) mask wearing, 3) occupation-based screening, 4) mass testing, and 5) mobility restrictions; these represent ascending NPI intensities from Level 1 through 5 (Table 1). Finally, for each NPI scenario we further explored a baseline immunization scenario with 100% population coverage of the primary vaccine course and two enhanced immunization scenarios with 100% population coverage through a booster dose of the Chinese-manufactured inactivated vaccines (homologous booster) or a booster dose of a viral vector vaccine (heterologous booster). We used estimates published in the study by Jun et. al.(*14*) for vaccine effectiveness against infection (VE_I), symptomatic disease (VE_s) and onward transmission (VE_T) caused by Omicron variants (Table. S1). We assumed VE_T=0%, VE_I=9.1% and VE_s=17.3% in our baseline immunization scenario, VE_T=12.4%, VE_I=17% and VE_s=30.8% in the homologous booster scenario, and VE_T=12.4%, VE_I=56.1% and VE_s=60.7% in the heterologous booster scenario. The detailed description of the analyzed scenarios is reported in the Methods Section. We use the effective reproduction number R_{eff} (defined as the average of the reproduction number for each individual infected after the implementation of NPIs) as the metric to determine the success of SARS-CoV-2 containment for each variant, NPI intensity, and immunization scenario, with $R_{eff} < 1$ indicating successful containment. To characterize the stochastic nature of SARS-CoV-2 transmission, we simulated each scenario 100 times and recorded the probability of successful containment.

In Fig. 1, we report the estimated probability of outbreak containment for each of the analyzed scenarios. Under the baseline immunization scenario, we found that both Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 could not be contained under the baseline NPI intensity (Fig. 1A, Level 0). Layering enhanced symptom surveillance, mask wearing mandate, and high-risk occupation screening (corresponding to Level 3 NPI intensity, Table 1) does not lead to significant improvement, with the probability of containment remaining negligible (3% and 1% for Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 outbreak, respectively). Multiple rounds of mass testing with an expanded geographical scope (corresponding to Level 4 NPI intensity, Table 1), would substantially increase the containment probability of Omicron BA.1 (36%), while the probability of containing Omicron BA.2 outbreak is still low (1%). Implementation of more stringent population mobility restrictions would increase the likelihood of containment to 91% and 82% for BA.1 and BA.2 respectively (Fig. 1A, Level 5). As of April 27, 2022, there are two major Omicron BA.2 outbreaks in China in Pudong, Shanghai and Jilin, Jilin. We estimated that the empirical effective reproduction numbers prior to the lockdown were 3.04 (95% CI: 2.72 – 3.41) for Pudong, and 2.81 (95%CI: 2.07-3.81) for Jilin (Fig.

S2), in agreement with our model estimates at baseline NPI intensity (Level 0). After implementing the lockdown, cases have been declining in both locations (Fig. S2).

In the homologous boosting scenario (Fig. 1B), vaccination has only a moderate effect on preventing infection and reducing onward transmission, due to Omicron's immune evasive properties against antibody neutralization activities(*15*) (Table. S1). Consequently, the average effective reproduction numbers under baseline NPI intensity are 1.81 for BA.1 and 2.05 for BA.2, both well above the epidemic threshold (Fig. 1B, Level 0). However, these booster strategies result in significant reductions in rates of severe illness and death, as demonstrated in earlier work(*8*). The high effective reproduction number under the baseline NPI intensity demands more stringent NPI measures for outbreak containment. Our modeling results suggest that even at Level 4 NPI intensity (with enhanced mass testing, Table 1), the average effective reproduction number hovers around the epidemic threshold of 1 (i.e., R_{eff}=0.93 for BA.1 and R_{eff}=1.14 for BA.2). As a result, the outcome of a containment effort becomes highly stochastic with approximately even chances of containment success vs. failure. In the case of containment failure, temporary population level mobility restrictions need to be swiftly implemented (Fig. 1B, Level 5) to bring the outbreak to an end.

As heterologous boosters with stronger immune response (relative to homologous boosters) were approved by the Chinese authorities in February 2022(*16*), we explore the conditions for outbreak control with full coverage using a heterologous booster (Fig. 1C). Under the baseline NPI intensity, and despite full booster coverage of the entire population, vaccine breakthroughs will occur due to Omicron's immune evasion properties (Table. S1). The outbreak is unlikely to be contained, with R_{eff}=1.32 for BA.1 and R_{eff}=1.47 for BA.2 (Fig. 1C, Level 0). However, heterologous boosters increase the population immunity against SARS-CoV-2 transmission, relative to homologous boosters, making epidemic control more likely with less stringent interventions. Enhancing routine interventions (Fig. 2B) would incrementally reduce transmissibility, with the probability of containment already over 50% at Level 3 intervention intensity for BA.1. For BA.2, probability of containment is lower (21%), suggesting that more containment efforts are needed to control this more transmissible lineage. Layering enhanced mass testing could achieve approximately 90% containment success for both BA.1 and BA.2, making population-level lockdowns unnecessary for most scenarios (Fig. 1C, Level 4 to 5).

In Fig. 3, we focus on two individual simulations of Omicron BA.2 outbreaks (annotated on Fig. 1B) under homologous booster scenario and Level 4 NPI intensity, representing containment failure (Fig. 3 A-C) and containment success (Fig. 3 D-F) respectively. The containment failure situation is characterized by growing incidence over time, spatially dispersed distribution of infections, and effective reproduction number above the epidemic threshold. On the contrary, containment success is characterized by a clear inflection point of the incidence curve, a localized outbreak, and an effective reproduction number swiftly dropping below the epidemic threshold. This illustrates how epidemiologic indicators of the trajectory of incidence and R_{eff} need to be closely monitored to guide decisions on the necessity of imposing stricter interventions. Temporary implementation of enhanced mobility restrictions and/or population-level lockdowns can allow for other, more targeted NPI measures to catch up and synergistically contain the spread of highly contagious variants.

In this study, we utilized a detailed model of SARS-CoV-2 transmission and intervention, informed by age-specific contact patterns and high-resolution mobility data, to evaluate the feasibility of containment of Omicron's BA.1 and BA.2 sublineages in the urban setting of Beijing. We explored an array of vaccine coverages and booster strategies to reflect existing and future population immunization levels. The demographic and spatial resolution of the model allowed us to characterize each non-pharmaceutical intervention explicitly and to dissect the contribution of each intervention individually. The stochastic nature of the model, together with consideration of individual transmission heterogeneity, enabled a probabilistic evaluation of the likelihood of outbreak containment. An interesting observation stemming from our model is that the overall effective reproduction number fluctuates drastically across simulations within the same scenario, reflecting the intrinsic stochasticity of SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Such observations have important real-world implications: under a given NPI intensity, a few anecdotal successes of containment for a specific variant does not guarantee that such NPI strategy will always be successful in the future. The containment of an outbreak could partially be due to stochastic fadeouts. A contingency plan to heighten NPI intensity needs to be ready to deploy swiftly.

For the immune escape of the Omicron variants, both the homologous and heterologous booster vaccination programs have only a marginal effect in reducing transmission and are thus inadequate to achieve epidemic control even when accompanied by the baseline non-pharmaceutical

interventions. However, studies have shown that while all currently available vaccines are only partially effective in preventing infection, the vaccine effectiveness against severe outcomes remains high following the administration of a booster shot(*2, 8*). Boosting population immunity and filling vaccine coverage gaps, especially for frail subgroups at high risk of COVID-19, and stockpiling effective antiviral drugs are top priorities; in the interim, "dynamic Zero-COVID" (local containment) policies should be sustained. Moreover, given waning of immunity, sustained viral circulation in human and animal populations, and the repeated emergence of new variants, long-term plans for COVID-19 control in the post-pandemic phase would likely need to consider moving away from elimination and favoring transmission mitigation and disease burden reduction. However, mainland China's current vaccination gap in older age groups, akin to the situation in Hong Kong (Fig. S1)(*10*), would not permit an immediate transition to mitigation without causing significant hospitalization and death burden(*14*). Sustaining "Zero-COVID" is important until a high enough vaccination coverage can be reached and a decoupling between infection and severe disease can be ensured. Recent experiences with high vaccine coverages and mild Omicron outbreaks in New Zealand and Singapore(*9*) illustrate how a safe post-pandemic exit strategy could operate in mainland China.

Among all the analyzed NPI strategies, enhanced mass testing—increasing testing frequencies and expanding the size of the tested population—had the biggest effect on reducing transmission, besides population level mobility restrictions. The effectiveness of population-wide testing in reducing SARS-CoV-2 community transmission has also been demonstrated elsewhere(*17*). If contact tracing efforts are unable to identify epidemiological links between the detected infections, then enhanced mass testing of the population in high-risk regions needs to be swiftly implemented to uncover unobserved infections and interrupt transmission chains while the outbreak is still geographically limited. Logistically, enhanced mass testing would require a ramp-up of capacity over a short period of time. Building technical and logistic capacity for PCR testing before outbreaks materialize is of the highest priority to prevent Omicron and any future variant epidemics. However, this does not imply that other enhanced NPI measures—such as enhanced symptom surveillance, enhanced mask wearing, and enhanced occupational screening—are unimportant. All NPIs contribute incrementally to reducing SARS-CoV-2 transmission and could help elevate intervention pressures during the initial ramp up of mass testing. The additional transmission reduction effects of other NPI measures also contribute to faster outbreak suppression,

decreased death and hospital burden, and faster return to normality. In addition, due to the stochastic nature of SARS-CoV-2 transmission as well as Omicron's high transmissibility, targeted interventions cannot always contain outbreaks even with high vaccination coverage. The epidemic trajectory needs to be closely monitored throughout the entire course of an outbreak and stricter interventions such as temporary city-wide lockdown should be immediately implemented once rapid growing incidence and/or geographical dispersion are observed. Missing the optimal time of intervention will result in high case loads that could overwhelm testing and contact tracing capacity, and in turn lead to reduced effectiveness of targeted NPIs and faster epidemic growth, akin to the situation of the on-going (as of April 27 2022) outbreaks in Shanghai and Jilin which have forced these cities into prolonged lockdown(*18*), illustrating that there is a short window of opportunity for interventions.

Our model has several limitations. First, vaccine effectiveness to prevent Omicron infection is calculated based on neutralizing antibody titers and the current established correlate of protection(*19*). However, the correlate of protection analysis was based on symptomatic disease with the ancestral strain, thus it may not apply to other variant or asymptomatic infections. We also didn't consider waning of immunity, although our model assumes low effectiveness against Omicron infection to start with. The temporal span of our simulations is limited as well. Future field studies of the effectiveness of inactivated vaccines against Omicron for both primary and booster doses are needed. Second, as of April 2022, the currently available evidence for BA.2's fitness advantage over BA.1 is limited. In this study, we assume BA.2 has the same immune evasion properties, but heightened transmissibility based on preliminary data from the UK(*20*). Further evidence could help refine scenarios and provide more realistic assessments.Third, our analysis is based on the properties of the Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 sublineages. However, new variants/sublineages with even better fitness advantages over currently circulating ones could emerge in the future. The feasibility of containment against future variants needs to be critically reassessed to inform policy decisions. Finally, we considered 100% vaccine coverage of primary courses and boosters, which is a high bar, and hence our estimates of containment should be deemed optimistic. We note that China has been successful in reaching very high vaccine coverage for other immunization programs(*21*).

Overall, our study shows that a "Zero-COVID" policy is not viable with sole reliance on vaccination, but it can be sustained by implementation of increased and highly reactive NPI interventions. Early outbreak detection, monitoring of epidemic indicators, and proactive control (particularly mass testing) are key to limit outbreak diffusion before it is too late. A rapid increase of coverage of primary vaccine courses and boosters among high risk population is of utmost importance before China can exit out of the pandemic phase and move into long-term mitigation of endemic SARS-CoV-2.

Reference

1. Science News, "Can 'zero COVID' countries continue to keep the virus at bay once they reopen?" (Science News, 2021); https://www.science.org/content/article/can-zero-covid-countries-continue-keep-virus-bay-once-they-reopen
2. UK Health Security Agency, "COVID-19 vaccine surveillance report Week 10" (UK Health Security Agency, 2022); https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1060030/vaccine-surveillance-report-week-10.pdf
3. C. A. B. Pearson *et al.*, Bounding the levels of transmissibility & immune evasion of the Omicron variant in South Africa. medrxiv [Preprint] (2021); https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.19.21268038v1
4. T. H. C. Sit *et al.*, Infection of dogs with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* **586**, 776-778 (2020).
5. V. L. Hale *et al.*, SARS-CoV-2 infection in free-ranging white-tailed deer. *Nature* **602**, 481-486 (2022).
6. B. B. Oude Munnink *et al.*, Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on mink farms between humans and mink and back to humans. *Science* **371**, 172-177 (2021).
7. H. L. Yen *et al.*, Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 (Variant Delta) from Pet Hamsters to Humans and Onward Human Propagation of the Adapted Strain: A Case Study. *Lancet* **399**, 1070-1078 (2022).
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prenvention, "Effectiveness of a Third Dose of mRNA Vaccines Against COVID-19–Associated Emergency Department and Urgent Care Encounters and Hospitalizations Among Adults During Periods of Delta and Omicron Variant Predominance — VISION Network, 10 States, August 2021–January 2022" (Centers for Disease Control and Prenvention, 2022); https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/71/wr/mm7104e3.htm
9. Johns Hopkins University & Medicine, "COVID-19 Dashboard" (Johns Hopkins University & Medicine, 2022); https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html
10. D^{2}4H@HKSTP and HKU WHO Collaborating Centre on Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Modelling, "Modelling the fifthwave of COVID-19 in Hong Kong" (D^{2}4H@HKSTP and HKU WHO Collaborating Centre on Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Modelling, 2022); http://www.med.hku.hk/en/news/press/-

/media/2A74F3A259E04C9AB78EEBDAB6CC34D7.ashx

11. Shanghai Municipal Health Commission, "News Center." (Shanghai Municipal Health Commission, 2022); https://wsjkw.sh.gov.cn/xwfb/index.html

12. The State Council Information Office of the People's Republic of China, "Press briefing on the work of the prevention and control of COVID-19" (The State Council Information Office of the People's Republic of China, 2022); http://www.scio.gov.cn/xwfbh/xwbfbh/wqfbh/47673/48041/index.htm

13. Y. Wang *et al.*, SARS-CoV-2 containment through nonpharmaceutical interventions can best be achieved during the early stage of the pandemic: a retrospective modelling study of Xinfadi outbreak in Beijing. [Manuscript in Preparation attached to this submission as related material];

14. J. Cai *et al.*, Projecting the impact of the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in China in the context of waning immunity after vaccination. Research Square [Preprint] (2022); https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1478539/v1

15. E. Pérez-Then *et al.*, Neutralizing antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron variants following heterologous CoronaVac plus BNT162b2 booster vaccination. *Nature Medicine* **28**, 481-485 (2022).

16. The State Council of the People's Republic of China, "The National Health Commission has started to deploy heterologous booster immunization." (The State Council of the People's Republic of China, 2022); http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2022-02/19/content_5674688.htm

17. M. Pavelka *et al.*, The impact of population-wide rapid antigen testing on SARS-CoV-2 prevalence in Slovakia. *Science* **372**, 635-641 (2021).

18. National Health Commission of People's Republic of China, "China's COVID-19 report updated on April 24" (National Health Commission of People's Republic of China, 2022); http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/yqtb/202204/61cb992a27de4c66995e03707a689d52.shtml

19. X. Chen *et al.*, Prediction of long-term kinetics of vaccine-elicited neutralizing antibody and time-varying vaccine-specific efficacy against the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant by clinical endpoint. *BMC Medicine* **20**, 36-47 (2022).

20. UK Health Security Agency, "SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigationin England(Technical briefing 38)" (UK Health Security Agency, 2022); https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1060337/Technical-Briefing-38-11March2022.pdf

21. The Joint Prevention and Control Mechanism of the State Council, "The Joint Prevention and Control Mechanism of the State Council. Further notice on COVID-19 prevention and control" (The Joint Prevention and Control Mechanism of the State Council, 2022); http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/gwylflkjz193/index.htm

22. P. Poletti *et al.*, Association of Age With Likelihood of Developing Symptoms and Critical Disease Among Close Contacts Exposed to Patients With Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Italy. *JAMA Netw Open* **4**, e211085 (2021).

23. M. Kang *et al.*, Transmission dynamics and epidemiological characteristics of Delta variant infections in China. *Euro Surveill* **27**, (2022).

24. National Health Commission of People's Republic of China, "Protocol of prevention and control for COVID-19 (8th edition)" (National Health Commission of People's Republic of China, 2021); http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2021-05/14/content_5606469.htm

25. Q. Chen, L. Rodewald, S. Lai, G. F. Gao, Rapid and sustained containment of covid-19 is achievable and worthwhile: implications for pandemic response. *Bmj* **375**, e066169 (2021).

26. F. P. Lyngse *et al.*, SARS-CoV-2 Omicron VOC Transmission in Danish Households. medrxiv [Preprint] (2021); https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.27.21268278v1

27. R. Sonabend *et al.*, Non-pharmaceutical interventions, vaccination, and the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant in England: a mathematical modelling study. *Lancet* **398**, 1825-1835 (2021).

28. D. C. Adam *et al.*, Clustering and superspreading potential of SARS-CoV-2 infections in Hong Kong. *Nature Medicine* **26**, 1714-1719 (2020).

29. W. S. Hart *et al.*, Generation time of the alpha and delta SARS-CoV-2 variants: an epidemiological analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* **22**, 603-610 (2022).

30. World Health Organization, "WHO Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Dashboard" (World Health Organization, 2022); https://covid19.who.int/

31. L. M. Kucirka, S. A. Lauer, O. Laeyendecker, D. Boon, J. Lessler, Variation in False-Negative Rate of Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction-Based SARS-CoV-2 Tests by Time Since Exposure. *Ann Intern Med* **173**, 262-267 (2020).

32. G. Leech *et al.*, Mass mask-wearing notably reduces COVID-19 transmission. medrxiv [Preprint] (2021); https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.06.16.21258817v1

33. H. Bundgaard *et al.*, Effectiveness of Adding a Mask Recommendation to Other Public Health Measures to Prevent SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Danish Mask Wearers : A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Ann Intern Med* **174**, 335-343 (2021).

34. WorldPop, "The spatial distribution of population in 2020, China" (WorldPop, 2020); https://www.worldpop.org/geodata/summary?id=6524

35. Beijing Municipal Bureau of Statistics, "Beijing 2021 annual statistics" (Beijing Municipal Bureau of Statistics, 2020); http://tjj.beijing.gov.cn/tjsj_31433/tjbmfbjh/ndtjzl_31437/2021ndtjzl/202012/t20201231_2191210.html

36. J. Wallinga, M. Lipsitch, How generation intervals shape the relationship between growth rates and reproductive numbers. *Proc Biol Sci* **274**, 599-604 (2007).

37. The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, "Hong Kong Vaccination Dashboard" (The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 2022); https://www.covidvaccine.gov.hk/en/dashboard

38. Ministry of Health Manatū Hauora, "COVID-19 vaccinations: weekly updates" (Ministry of Health Manatū Hauora, 2022); https://www.health.govt.nz/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-data-and-statistics/covid-19-vaccine-data#uptake

Acknowledgments

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the NIH. This study was supported by grants from the Key Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82130093).

Author contributions

H. Y. designed and supervised the study. Y. Wang and K. S. carried out development of the model, designed the simulations, performed statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. Z. F., L. Y., and Y. Wu. helped with constructing the figures. H. L. helped with inferring the effective reproduction number using the epidemic data. Q.W., M.A., C.V., and H.Y. made critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors were involved in the research and revising of the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

H.Y. received research funding from Sanofi Pasteur, GlaxoSmithKline, Yichang HEC Changjiang Pharmaceutical Company, Shanghai Roche Pharmaceutical Company, and SINOVAC Biotech Ltd. Except for research funding from SINOVAC Biotech Ltd, which is related to the data analysis of clinical trials of immunogenicity and safety of CoronaVac, the others are not related to COVID-19. All the other authors have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

All data and code to reproduce all figures in the main text and supplementary material are publicly available upon acceptance of the manuscript.



Fig. 1. Likelihood of containing SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 outbreaks. (**A**) Effective reproduction numbers and the probabilities of achieving containment under primary vaccination scenario and different level of NPI intensity, based on 100 simulations of the transmission chain. (**B**) Same as **A** but for homologous boosting scenario. (**C**) Same as A but for heterologous boosting scenario.



Fig. 2. Non-pharmaceutical interventions to contain COVID-19 outbreak in Beijing, China. (**A**) Public health response after the virus introduction. (**B**) Routine interventions and public health emergency response (PHER) to accelerate case identification and prevent onward transmission.



Fig. 3. Individual simulations representing situations of containment failure and containment success of an Omicron BA.2 outbreak, under homologous booster scenario, with Level 4 NPI intensity. (**A**) Daily number of new infections in an uncontained outbreak, stratified by mode of detection. (**B**) the 5-day moving average effective reproduction number in an uncontained outbreak. The shaded area represents the 95% confidence interval. (**C**) Spatial distribution of infected individuals in in an uncontained outbreak. (**D-F**), Same as **A-C** but for a contained outbreak.

Table 1. Intervention parameters of each scenario.

Non-pharmaceutical intervention	Level 0	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Symptom surveillance						
Fraction of detected symptomatic infections	33.3%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%
Mean time delay from symptom onset to hospitalization (days)	3.7†	2.7‡	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
Mask wearing (% of population)						
In the workplace	10%	10%	50%	50%	50%	50%
In the community	30%	30%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Occupational screening (% of working-age populations)						
High risk	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	5%	5%	5%
Medium risk	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	20%	20%	20%
Mass screening						
Rounds	1	1	1	1	5	5
Geographical range	Street/town	Street/town	Street/town	Street/town	District/county	District/county
Mobility restrictions#						
High-risk region	High	High	High	High	High	Lockdown
Moderate-risk region	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High
Low-risk region	Low*	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate

Note: † The time delay from symptom onset to hospitalization is taken from a Weibull distribution (shape=2.38, scale=4.17); ‡ The time delay from symptom onset to hospitalization is taken from a gamma distribution (shape=0.69,rate=0.26); # The hypothetical origin-destination mobility matrices of NPI intensity Level 0-4 and Level 5 are shown in Table S2 and Table S3, respectively; * We assume a 20% reduction in human mobility between low risk areas after the official report of the first infection according to the mobile phone signaling data

Supplementary Materials

Methods

1. Overview

Here we use a spatially structured individual-based SARS-CoV-2 transmission model to evaluate the feasibility of containment strategy against Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 in a densely populated urban setting in China. In particular, the model built upon prior work that was applied to reconstruct the containment of the Xinfadi outbreak in Beijing caused by the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 lineage(*13*). The model was further expanded to incorporate Omicron-specific epidemiology, in terms of transmissibility, generation interval, and immune evasion properties. The model considers various levels of non-pharmaceutical intervention strategies to reflect enhanced outbreak response protocols that have been adopted in China over time. Finally, the model further incorporates up-to-date vaccination coverage to reflect the current (as of March 2022) and future level of population immunity in China.

2. Model structure

2.1 Individual reproduction number and SARS-CoV-2 transmission as branching process

To simulate the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the absence of NPIs, we start by seeding the population of interest with three infected individuals. Each individual i infected at time t_i can either be classified as symptomatic or asymptomatic based on the age-specific asymptomatic rate(*22*) of SARS-CoV-2 Φ_{asymp}. For symptomatic infections, we assign the time delay from infection to symptom onset τ_{incu} by drawing from the incubation period distribution $P_{incu}(\tau)$(*23*). To simulate the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 at the individual level, in the absence of NPIs, we assign individual i's reproduction number R_i (number of secondary infections caused by i), by drawing from a negative binomial distribution $NB(R_0, k)$, where the mean of the negative binomial distribution R_0 is the basic reproduction number (population average of R_i), and k is the dispersion parameter of negative binomial distribution, capturing the heterogeneity of SARS-CoV-2 transmission (we provide R_0 and k values specific for Omicron later in methods). Therefore, individual i would cause a total of R_i secondary infections. We assume the shape of each infected individual's infectiousness profile follows the distribution of the generation interval $GI(\tau)$. Thus, the timing of transmission τ_{ij} from individual i to individual $j \in \{R_i\}$ is given by $\tau_{ij} = t_j - t_i$, where t_i and t_j are the i and j's timing of infection, and τ_{ij} is drawn from the generation interval distribution $GI(\tau)$. We recursively simulate the onward spreading of secondary infections through multiple generations until the 30th day after virus introduction or the daily number of infections reaches 10,000.

2.2 Population structure reflecting age-specific contact patterns, occupation, transmission setting, and spatially resolved mobility patterns

When transmission between primary infection i and their contact j occurs, we first generate the setting of the transmission event (home, workplace, or community) permissive by the occupation of the primary infector i. We then assign the age of secondary infection j based on the age-specific contact matrices and the transmission setting. We further probabilistically determine j's occupation in accordance with their age based on the transmission setting and primary infector i's occupation. Conditional on the transmission setting, the geographical locations of the residences of secondary infections were assigned based on the street/town level mobility network from aggregated mobile phone data provided by China Unicom, one of the leading mobile phone service providers in China (see the study by Yan et. al.(*13*)for more details).

2.3 Non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs)

The details of the public health measures in response to local outbreaks in China have been previously described(*24, 25*). As shown in Fig. 2, we categorize these measures into seven types: (i) symptom-based surveillance in healthcare facilities and communities; (ii) mask-wearing order in public places; (iii) routine screening for workers with risk of occupational exposure; (iv) systematic tracing, quarantine, and testing of close contacts; (v) lockdown of residential communities with detected infections; (vi) mass testing and (vii) mobility restrictions based on regional risk levels. All intervention strategies are summarized in Fig. 2, of which symptom surveillance, mask wearing, and occupational screening are routine interventions implemented regularly, while contact tracing, community confinement, mass testing, and mobility restrictions are public health emergency response only conducted when new infections are detected. We modeled the impact of NPIs by emulating their effect on accelerating case detection and preventing new infections. Below we give a brief description of each NPI:

- **(i) Symptom surveillance:** Individuals who present symptoms consistent with SARS-CoV-2's clinical presentation during healthcare consultations at hospitals and local clinics would be considered as SARS-CoV-2 suspected cases and be provisionally isolated in designated facilities. At least 3 subsequent PCR tests for SARS-CoV-2 diagnostics would be conducted at the 1st, 3rd, and 7th day of isolation. If the suspected case is diagnosed by molecular tests, they would remain in hospital and be treated until the individual is fully recovered and no longer infectiousness. To heighten case detection through symptom surveillance, routine temperature checking is implemented in public spaces, such as workplaces, markets, shopping malls, subway stations, railway stations, and airports. Any individual with suspected symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 will be asked to seek medical attention.
- **(ii) Mask wearing:** Mask wearing is required in public spaces, including hospitals, public transportation, markets, shopping malls, and entertainment venues.

- **(iii) Occupational screening:** Routine PCR screening is conducted among individuals with occupations at risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and/or in frequent contact with the general population, including: staff at customs and border control, international ports, and quarantine locations; healthcare workers; individuals working in confined environments (e.g., institutions providing long term care and prisons); staff providing public services (e.g., public transportation, delivery, museums, and libraries); and workers in businesses and wet markets. The screening frequency is determined based on the occupational exposure risk and the screening population can be adjusted according to the actual situation.
- **(iv) Contact tracing:** A close contact is defined as person who interacts with a confirmed or suspected COVID-19 case for the period from 4 days before to 14 days after the illness onset, or with an asymptomatic carrier for the period from 4 days before to 14 days after collection of the first positive sample. Close contacts are further grouped into household contacts, work contacts, and community contacts based on their transmission setting. Epidemiological investigations to identify close contacts, including manual investigations (e.g., phone contact, interview) and electronic tracing (e.g., mobile apps, online databases), should be completed within 24 hours. Centralized quarantine for at least 14 days is required for all close contacts with periodic PCR testing at the 1st, 4th, $7^{th,}$ and 14th day of quarantine and the 2nd and 7th day after discharge.
- **(v) Residential community confinement:** The residential communities with detected infections are on lockdown at the building block level until 14 days after the last case identification, with stay-at-home orders for all residents other than essential workers. Supplies of living necessities are provided by community workers. Three rounds of PCR screening are conducted as part of mass testing for all community residents at the 2nd, 8th and 13th day of lockdown, respectively.
- **(vi) Mass testing:** Emergency mass testing in a targeted area is immediately activated when a new infection is reported. The geographical scope is usually determined according to the administrative division. Multiple rounds of testing are often conducted, and each round should be completed within 3 days. A 10:1 or 5:1 pooled sample approach is used to expand the PCR capacity and increase cost effectiveness.
- **(vii) Mobility restrictions:** Unrestricted movement is allowed in low-risk areas, i.e., streets/towns with zero to one detected infection. The street/town is upgraded to moderate risk once it has reported more than one infection, with entertainment venues being closed and mass gatherings being prohibited. Residents in moderate risk areas are required to avoid unnecessary travel. A street/town with more than five infections will be upgraded to high risk with more stringent population mobility restrictions being implemented, where all public transportation within and in and out of the area will be suspended. Temporal lockdown is sometimes adopted in high risk areas, with all residents except for essential workers staying at home during lockdown. The street/town

will be downgraded to low risk if no new infections are reported for 14 consecutive days, with mobility restrictions gradually lifted.

The detailed implementations of each NPI into the transmission model has been previously described in the study by Yan et. al.(*13*). The strengths of each NPI in different scenarios considered are presented in Table 1.

2.4 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 sublineages

Current (April 2022) evidence suggests the Omicron variant has significant fitness advantage over Delta and has replaced Delta to become the dominate variant globally. A household transmission study from Denmark has shown that the Omicron BA.1 variant is 17% more transmissible than the Delta variant(*26*) for unvaccinated individuals, while the BA.2 variant is 27% more transmissible than BA.1(*20*). Assuming that Delta's basic reproduction number is 6.4(*27*), then the basic reproduction numbers for BA.1 and BA.2 are 7.5 and 9.5 respectively. The generation interval, incubation period, overdispersion, and symptomatic proportion are assumed the same for BA.1 and BA.2. For unmitigated transmission, we assume Omicron variant transmission's offspring distribution follows a negative binomial distribution with mean R_0 and the dispersion parameter k=0.43(*28*). We assume the mean intrinsic generation interval of Omicron to be the same as Delta (4.7 days)(*29*). We assume the incubation period follows gamma distribution with a mean of 5.8 days and standard deviation of 3.0 days(*23*). We hypothesize that the proportion of symptomatic infections Φ_{symp} increases with age, with 18.1%, 22.4%, 30.5%, 35.5%, and 64.6% of infections (without vaccination) developing symptoms in groups aged 0-19, 20-39, 40-59, 60-79, and 80 or more years respectively(*22*).

2.5 Vaccination coverage and population immunity

As of March 17, 2022, a total of 3.21 billion doses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines have been administered in mainland China, including two inactive vaccines (CoronaVac and Covilo) and a viral vector vaccine (Convidecia)(*12*) . As of March 17, 2022, the vaccine coverage of the primary series is 87.9%, on top of which 45.7% of the population have received a booster shot(*12*). The effectiveness of primary vaccination, homologous booster and heterologous booster in preventing infection (VE_I), symptomatic disease (VE_S) and onward transmission (VE_T) caused by the Omicron variants was taken from the estimates in the study by Jun et. al.(*14*), either extracted from real-world studies or predicted based on neutralizing antibody titers (NATs), and summarized in Table. S1.

Since the "Zero-COVID" policy has been maintained in mainland China since the beginning of the pandemic, the proportion of the population who has been infected with SARS-CoV-2 remains extremely low nationally(*24, 25, 30*). In this study, we ignore the effect of infection-induced immunity and focus on vaccine-induced immunity.

2.6 Scenarios of SARS-CoV-2 containment under different variants, levels of population immunity, and NPI strengths

To anticipate the feasibility of maintaining the "Zero-COVID" policy in mainland China in 2022, we evaluate an exhaustive combinatory of hypothetical scenarios along

the dimensions of different Omicron sublineages, level of population immunity ,and strength of NPIs.

Variant type: We consider both the Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 sublineages, as they account for the vast majority of the currently circulating variants. According to prior studies, Omicron BA.1 is 17% more transmissible than the previously circulating Delta variant, with the corresponding basic reproduction number $R_0 = 7.5$ (*26*), while Omicron BA.2 has an increased transmissibility of 27% comparing to BA.1, with $R_0 = 9.5$(*20*).

Level of population immunity: We consider three immunization scenarios for the modelling analysis with the baseline immunization scenario assuming 100% coverage by primary series with 0% booster coverage and two enhanced immunization scenarios, one with 100% homologous booster coverage and the other with 100% heterologous booster coverage. As vaccine rollout continues in China, the real-world situation lies between the two enhanced immunization scenarios. The effectiveness of vaccination on preventing infection, symptomatic disease, and reducing onward transmission is described in section 2.5.

Intervention strategy: To assess the effectiveness of different containment strategies, we firstly set a baseline scenario with moderate intervention intensity. The NPI intensity is then gradually increased in the subsequent scenarios until we have enough confidence to achieve epidemic control. Under each scenario, we consider the following hypotheses: i) infections are isolated immediately at the time of laboratory confirmation; ii) isolation and quarantine are completely effective on preventing onward transmission; iii) the sensitivity of PCR testing varies with time, following the estimates of the prior study(*31*); iv) PCR testing should be completed (from collection of samples to reporting of results) within six hours(*25*); v) The protective effect of mask wearing against onward transmission and infection of SARS-CoV-2 is 9.5% and 18%, respectively(*32, 33*); vi) all household contacts are immediately quarantined, while all work contacts and 70% of community contacts are quarantined with a mean time delay of 0.7 days. Details of each intervention scenario are described below. The intervention parameters are summarized in Table 1.

- **Level 0 (Baseline interventions):** In our baseline scenario, we hypothesize that 33.3% of the symptomatic infections seek healthcare attention with an average delay of 3.7 days after symptom onset. We assume a moderate mask-wearing order, with 10% of individuals wearing masks in the workplace and 30% in the community. For occupational screening, we assume that high-exposure risk population (i.e., relative risk (RR) = 8 comparing to low-risk groups, 2.5% of the working-age population) are tested every 3 days; moderate-exposure risk population (i.e., RR=2, 7.5% of the working-age population) are tested every 7 days. Emergency response, including contact tracing, community confinement, mass testing, and mobility restrictions, is triggered right after the identification of the first infection. Mass testing is conducted at the street/town level and must be completed within three days after the first infection being detected. Stringent or moderate mobility restrictions are implemented according to the risk level of

each street/town based on the real-time assessment of local transmission risk. The hypothetical origin-destination mobility matrix depending on risk levels, is shown in Table. S2.

- **Level 1 (Level 0 + Enhanced symptom surveillance):** Comparing to the baseline intervention scneario**,** we enhance the intensity of symptom surveillance, with the proportion of detectible symptomatic infections increased from 33.3% to 66.7%, and the mean time delay from symptom onset to hospitalization shortened from 3.7 days to 2.7 days.
- **Level 2 (Level 1 + Enhanced mask wearing):** Comparing to Level 1 intervention scenario, a more stringent mask-wearing order is considered, assuming 50% and 80% of individuals wearing masks in the workplace and in the community, respectively.
- **Level 3 (Level 2 + Enhanced occupational screening):** Comparing to Level 2 intervention scenario, the population of occupational screening is then expanded, with 5% of working-age populations (i.e., RR= 7.5) included in high-risk group and tested every 3 days, and 20% (i.e., RR=1.875) included in moderate-risk group and tested every week.
- **Level 4 (Level 3 + Enhanced mass testing):** Comparing to Level 3 intervention scenario, we further enhance the intensity of mass testing, with the rounds of testing increased from 1 to 5, and the geographical range of testing expanded from the residential street/town of the detected infections to the whole district/county.
- **Level 5: (Level 4 + Enhanced mobility restrictions):** Comparing to Level 4 intervention scenario, mobility restrictions are further enhanced if the outbreak cannot be contained through previous efforts. In addition to the lockdown of high-risk regions, strict and moderate mobility restrictions are implemented in moderate-risk and low-risk regions, respectively. The hypothetical origin-destination mobility matrix depending on risk levels is shown in Table. S3.

We exhaustively explored all combinatory of two Omicron sublineages, three population immunity levels, and six NPI intensity levels, for a total of 36 scenarios.

2.7 Outbreak Simulation

For each of the 36 scenarios described in the previous section, we seed outbreaks with three initial infections distributed according to population density(*34*). Their ages and occupations are sampled from the demographical structures(*35*). With variant-specific epidemiologic parameters and immunity induced infection prevention and transmission reduction, we first simulate the transmission chain in the absence of NPIs, based on a branching process described in Section 2.1. Even for the enhanced immunization scenario, all variants considered have effective reproduction numbers larger than 1, above the epidemic threshold, and are able to sustain transmission in the population. We simulate the transmission chain until either reaching the 30th day after the virus introduction or when the daily number of new infections exceeds 10,000. We then simulate the effect of NPIs through pruning the unmitigated chains of transmission by removing branches that would otherwise be interrupted by the corresponding NPIs of

the scenario of interest. Details of the implementations of the simulation can be found in the study by Yan et. al.(*13*). We run 100 simulations for each scenario to capture the stochasticity of the transmission process. For each simulation, the following summary statistics are calculated: i) the overall effective reproduction number (R_{eff}), defined as the average of the individual reproduction number of each infection infected after the implementation of NPIs; ii) daily number of new infections by modes of detection; iii) The five-day moving average effective reproduction number (R_t) at day t, defined as the average individual reproduction number for a cohort of infections infected within the time window of day t till day $t+5$; iv) the spatial distribution of SARS-CoV-2 infections at the street/town level. The branching process model is coded in Python 3.10. The statistical analyses and visualization are performed using R software, version 4.0.2.

3. Estimating effective reproduction number during the early phase of the Omicron BA.2 oubreaks in Pudong, Shanghai and Jilin, Jilin

In Fig. S2, we plotted the daily incidence of the ongoing Omicron BA.2 outbreaks in Pudong District, Shanghai and Jilin, Jilin Province. The vertical dashed vertical lines indicates the timing of imposing lockdown in both locations. We estimated the the epidemic growth rate before the lockdown (assuming expential growth during this period) through fiting a linear regression to the incidence curve (in log scale) prior to the lockdown. The growth rate along with its uncertainties were estimated as the slope of the linear regression. Based on the estimated growth rates and the generation interval distribution (Methods Section 2.4), we estimated the effective reproduction number in Pudong and Jilin based on method proposed by Wallinga et. al. for emperial generation interval distributions(*36*):

$$R_e = \frac{r}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i (e^{-ra_{i-1}} - e^{-ra_i})/(a_i - a_{i-1})}$$

Where R_e denotes the effective reproduction number, r denotes the growth rate, y_i denotes the relative frequency of the histogram of the discretized generation interval at daily resolution and a_i denotes the category bounds in such histogram.



Fig. S1. Vaccinations uptake by age in Hong Kong, China (as of February 1, 2022), Mainland China (as of March 17, 2022) and New Zealand (as of April 5, 2022)(*12*, *37*, *38*). **(A)** Primary vaccination. **(B)** Booster vaccination.



Fig. S2. The observed epidemic growth rate and effective reproduction numbers with baseline interventions in the early stage of the two recent outbreaks in mainland China. (A) the outbreak in Pudong new district, Shanghai. Red dots are daily new infections and the blue line best fitted growth rate. The blue shade represents 95%CI. **(B)** Same as A but for the outbreak in Jilin city, Jilin province.

Table S1. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines.

Vaccine effectiveness (VE)	Primary vaccination	Homologous booster	Heterologous booster
Against onward transmission (VE_T)	0%	12.4%	12.4%
Against infection (VE_I)	9.1%	17%	56.1%
Against symptomatic disease (VE_S)	17.3%	30.8%	60.7%

Table S2. The hypothetical origin-destination mobility matrix of NPI intensity Level 0-4.

Risk level of the origin street/town	Risk level of the destination street/town			Mobility within one street/town
	High	Moderate	Low	
High	0	0.1	0.3	0.3
Moderate	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.6
Low	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.9

Note: The risk level refers to the real-time risk level of the street/town at the time of the transmission event occurring. The value in each cell of the matrix refers to the average travel probability per person after official report of the first detected infection, given the risk level of the origin and destination region.

Table S3. The hypothetical origin-destination mobility matrix of NPI intensity Level 5.

Risk level of the origin street/town	Risk level of the destination street/town			Mobility within one street/town
	High	Moderate	Low	
High	0	0	0	0
Moderate	0	0.1	0.3	0.3
Low	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.6

Note: The risk level refers to the real-time risk level of the street/town at the time of the transmission event occurring. The value in each cell of the matrix refers to the average travel probability per person after official report of the first detected infection, given the risk level of the origin and destination region.