Solid fuel use in relation to dementia risk in middle-aged and older adults: A prospective cohort study

Fan Pu[1#], Yingying Hu[2#], Chenxi Li[1#], Xingqi Cao[1], Zhenqing Yang[1], Yi Liu[6], Jingyun Zhang[1], Xueqin Li[1], Yongli Yang[3], Wei Wang[4], Xiaoting Liu[2,5], Kejia Hu[6*], Yanan Ma[7*], Zuyun Liu[1*]

[1]Department of Big Data in Health Science School of Public Health and Center for Clinical Big Data and Analytics of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China

[2]School of Public Affairs, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China

[3]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, Henan, China

[4]Department of Occupational Health and Occupational disease, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, Henan, China

[5]Institute of Wenzhou, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

[6]Department of Big Data in Health Science School of Public Health, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China

[7]Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.
*These authors contributed equally as the senior author.

[*]**Corresponding author:** Zuyun Liu, Professor, Department of Big Data in Health Science School of Public Health and Center for Clinical Big Data and Analytics of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, 866 Yuhangtang Rd, Hangzhou, 310058, Zhejiang, China. Telephone: +86-0571-87077127.
Email: Zuyun.liu@outlook.com or zuyunliu@zju.edu.cn

Abstract

Objectives: It remains unknown whether household air pollution is associated with dementia risk. This study examined the associations between solid fuel use for cooking and heating (the main source of household air pollution) and dementia risk.

Methods: This analysis included data on 11,352 participants (aged 45+ years) from the 2011 wave of China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study, with follow-up to 2018. Dementia risk was assessed by a risk score using the Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model (BDRM) and then standardized for analysis. Household fuel types of cooking and heating were categorized as solid (e.g., coal, crop residue) and clean (e.g., central heating, solar). Multivariable analyses were performed using generalized estimating equations. Moreover, we examined the joint associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with the BDRM score.

Results: We found an independent and significant association of solid (vs. clean) fuel use for cooking and heating with a higher BDRM score after adjusting for potential confounders (e.g., ß = 0.14 for solid fuel for cooking; 95% CI: 0.12, 0.17). Participants who used solid (vs. clean) fuel for both cooking and heating had the highest BDRM score (ß = 0.20; 95%CI: 0.16, 0.23). Subgroup analysis suggested stronger associations in participants living in rural areas.

Conclusions: Solid fuel use for cooking and heating was independently associated with increased dementia risk in Chinese middle-aged and older adults, particularly among those living in rural areas. Our findings call for more efforts to facilitate universal access to clean energy for dementia prevention.

Keywords: Solid fuel use; dementia risk; Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model; middle-aged and older adults

Abbreviations: *L*MICs, low- and middle-income countries; HICs, high-income countries; HAP, household air pollution; BDRM, Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model; CHARLS, China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study; BDSI, Brief Dementia Screening Indicator; SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; AD, Alzheimer's disease; GEE, generalized estimating equations.

1. Introduction

Dementia currently affects more than 50 million people worldwide, with the number expected to reach 152 million by 2050. In the absence of definitive and effective treatment for dementia, early prevention is a very important strategy for reducing the dementia risk. This is an important issue especially for low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), which have more patients (i.e., nearly two-thirds of global patients) but fewer dementia-related medicare resources compared to high-income countries (HICs). The identification and modification of risk factors remain fundamental to developing primary and secondary prevention strategies, to lower the incidence of dementia.

Prior studies have shown that ambient air pollutants, including CO, PM2.5, NOx, and O_3, are associated with increased dementia risk (Chen et al. 2017; Jung et al. 2015; Li et al. 2019; Oudin et al. 2016). However, in modern society, people spend 90% of their lives indoors. Household air pollution (HAP) has been established to have a more significant effect on health than outdoor air pollution (Apte and Salvi 2016; Kurmi et al. 2012; Tran et al. 2020). As the main contributor to HAP, solid fuel use for cooking and heating causes 4 million premature death per year globally (Daly and Walton 2017). In China, solid fuels are the primary source of household energy for over 700 million people (Banerjee et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2013). Moreover, household solid fuel use has been linked to a variety of diseases, including cataracts (Ravilla Thulasiraj et al. 2016), tuberculosis (Kolappan and Subramani 2009), and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Painschab et al. 2013). Dementia is highly prevalent in an aging society. Nonetheless, the potential effects of HAP from the use of solid fuel on dementia have not been evaluated yet.

To the end, we leverage survey data from China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), a large and prospective nationally representative cohort study of middle-aged and older adults, who are the most sensitive age groups to both air pollution and dementia. The present study aimed to demonstrate the associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with dementia risk, assessed using a risk score based on the widely used Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model (BDRM) (Licher et al. 2019). We also evaluated whether the associations differed by important socialdemographic characteristics such as age, sex, and residence.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and participants

This study used data from the 2011-2012, 2013-2014, 2015-2016, and 2018-2019 waves of the CHARLS. CHARLS is a national longitudinal cohort study covering 450 urban communities and rural areas in 28 provinces of China. The survey includes participants' information on household demographics, health status, health care utilization, insurance, and other variables. Data can be accessed through its official website (charls.ccer.edu.cn/en). Additional details on study design regarding sampling,

response rates, and data quality assessment have been published previously (Zhao et al. 2014). CHARLS was approved by Peking University's Ethical Review Committee (IRB000001052-11015). All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation.

The baseline survey was launched from 2011 to 2012 and recruited 17,708 participants. We excluded participants whose ages were under 45 years old (N = 484), with missing data on demographics (N = 1,569) and household energy source (N = 204); then, we excluded participants with missing data on variables used to calculate the BDRM score in 2011 wave (N = 192) and in all follow-up waves (N = 3907), leaving 11,352 participants for the final analysis. Details of the flowchart are shown in Figure 1.



Fig. 1. Flow chart of the selection process of participants.

2.2. Dementia risk measurement

Dementia risk was measured at the baseline and in follow-up waves using the BDRM, a dementia risk prediction model developed in HICs and showed similar discriminative ability when applied in LMICs (Stephan et al. 2020). The BDRM score was quantified by weighing the following risk factors to predict dementia: age (1.09 points), history of stroke (1.82 points), subjective memory decline (1.31 points), and need for assistance with finances or medication (1.46 points). The detailed scoring algorithm is shown in Table S1. BDRM scores were first calculated and then z-score

standardized for the statistical analysis, with higher scores indicating greater dementia risk.

2.3. Household fuel types

Household fuel types of cooking and heating were assessed by a structured questionnaire with the following questions: “What is the main source of cooking fuel? (1) Coal, (2) Natural gas, (3) Marsh gas, (4) Liquefied petroleum gas, (5) electric, (6) Crop residue/Woodburning, (7) Other”, and “Does your residence have heating? (1) Yes, (2) No’ and ‘What is the main heating energy source? (1) Solar, (2) Coal, (3) Natural gas, (4) Liquefied petroleum gas, (5) electric, (6) Crop residue/Woodburning, (7) Other”. Household fuel types of cooking and heating were categorized as solid (i.e., coal, crop residue, wood) and clean (i.e., central heating, solar, natural gas, liquefied petroleum gas, electric, or marsh gas). We excluded participants whose answer was “other” as we could not precisely assess the type of fuel.

2.4. Covariates

Covariates including sociodemographic factors and health behaviors were obtained at baseline. The sociodemographic variables including sex, educational level, marital status, residence, and social activities were collected from the self-reported questionnaire. Educational level was categorized into lower than middle school or middle school and above. Marital status was categorized into currently married or others (e.g., separated, divorced, widowed). The residence was categorized into rural and urban. Individuals were considered to participate in social activities if they did any of the following activities: interacted with friends; played mahjong, chess, or cards, or visited a community club; supported family, friends, or neighbors; went to a sport, social, or other kind of club; participated in a community-related organization; did volunteer or charity work; cared for a sick or disabled adult; attended an educational or training course; invested in stocks; surfed the Internet; and others. Health behaviors include smoking, alcohol drinking status, sleep duration, and number of chronic diseases. Smoking status was categorized into never smoker, ever smoker, and current smoker. Alcohol drinking status was categorized into never drinker and ever drinker. Chronic diseases included hypertension, diabetes or high blood sugar, cancer or malignant tumor, chronic lung disease, heart problems, stroke, kidney disease, stomach or other digestive diseases, arthritis or rheumatism, and asthma. Number of chronic diseases was categorized into 0, 1, 2, and ≥ 3.

2.5. Statistical analyses

2.5.1 Descriptive statistics

Distributions of the baseline characteristics were described by stratifying

household solid fuel. Means ± standard deviations (SDs) and numbers (percentages) were used to describe the continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Mann-Whitney U test and Chi-square test were used to examine the differences in continuous variables and categorical variables, respectively.

2.5.2 Single exposure models

Generalized estimating equations (GEEs) were used to separately examine the associations of solid fuel use for cooking or heating with BDRM scores. In Model 1, we adjusted for sex. In Model 2, we further adjusted for residence, marital status, participation in social activities, alcohol drinking status, smoking status, sleep duration, number of chronic diseases, and waves. We estimated the coefficients and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for cooking or heating in the two GEE models.

2.5.3 Co-exposure models

In order to further compare the contributions of cooking and heating, we examined the joint associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores by adding two fuel types and their interaction in GEE models. Since no significant interaction was observed, we removed it in the final models. Covariates in two GEE models were the same as those in the single-exposure models. We reported the coefficients and 95% CIs for cooking and heating in GEE models.

Additionally, we investigated the associations of BDRM score with the following four exposure groups: group 1 (clean cooking fuel type & clean heating fuel type), group 2 (solid cooking fuel type & clean heating fuel type), group 3 (clean cooking fuel type & solid heating fuel type) and group 4 (solid cooking fuel type & solid heating fuel type). Two GEE models using the above four groups as the exposure variable were performed, adjusting for the same covariates as mentioned above. We reported the coefficients and their 95% CIs for the four exposure groups in the two GEE models.

2.5.4 Subgroup analyses

To examine whether the associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores differed by subgroup, we stratified the single-exposure models by baseline characteristics including age (≤ 65 years, > 65 years), sex (male, female), residence (rural, urban), marital status (live with spouse, live without spouse), participation in social activities (yes, not), alcohol drinking status (never drinker, ever drinker), smoking status (never smoker, ever smoker, current smoker), sleep duration (> 4 and < 10 hours per night, ≤ 4 or ≥ 10 hours per night) (Ma et al. 2020), and number of chronic disease (0-1, ≥ 2). We estimated the coefficients and their 95% CIs for cooking or heating.

All statistical analyses were performed using R 4.1.3 (http://www.R-project.org).

The “geepack” packages were used for the GEE model (Hjsgaard et al. 2005). A two-sided P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.5.5 Sensitivity analyses

To test the robustness of our findings, we conducted the sensitivity analyses including: (1) excluding participants with extremely high (i.e., > mean + 2SD) BDRM scores at baseline; (2) using another dementia risk prediction model, BDSI (Brief Dementia Screening Indicator)(Barnes et al. 2014); (3) further adjusting for more covariates in Model 2.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics of the study population

Table1 shows the baseline characteristics of participants included in the prospective analysis. Participants who used solid (vs. clean) fuel tended to be older (e.g., for cooking, 59.91 vs 58.15 years), live in rural areas (e.g., for cooking, 77.9% vs 37.9%), lessly participate in social activities (e.g., for cooking, 44.7% vs 56.3%), and have more chronic diseases (e.g., for cooking, 1.36 vs 1.21). Moreover, participants who used solid (vs. clean) fuel had a significantly higher BDRM score.

3.2. Association of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores

Figure 2 presents the associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores from single-exposure models. Participants who used solid (vs. clean) fuel had significantly higher BDRM scores in all models. For instance, in fully adjusted Model 2, participants who used solid (vs. clean) fuel for cooking or heating had a 0.14 (95% CI: 0.12, 0.17, P < 0.001) and 0.11 (95% CI: 0.08, 0.13, P < 0.001) increase in BDRM scores, respectively.



Fig. 2. Associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores
Note: BDRM, Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model; ß, regression coefficient; Cl, confidence interval.

Model 1 adjusted for sex. Model 2 adjusted for sex, residence, marital status, participation in social activities, alcohol drinking status, smoking status, sleep duration, number of chronic diseases and wave.

3.3 Joint association of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores

Table 2 shows the joint associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores from co-exposure models. We found that solid fuel use for both cooking and heating was independently associated with BDRM scores. For instance, in fully adjusted Model 2, participants who used solid fuel for cooking had a 0.16 (95% CI: 0.13, 0.19, P < 0.001) increase in BDRM scores and participants who used solid (vs. clean) fuel for heating had a 0.03 (95%CI: 0.00, 0.06, P = 0.040) increase in BDRM scores. Meanwhile, group 4 (solid cooking fuel & solid heating fuel) had the highest BDRM score,with a ß of 0.20 (95% CI: 0.16, 0.23, P < 0.001), relative to group 1 (clean cooking fuel type & clean heating fuel type).

3.4 Association of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores by subgroups

The results of subgroup analyses are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Overall, the associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores were largely maintained in different subgroups. We observed the stronger associations in participants living in rural areas and without comorbidity. For instance, in rural areas, participants who used solid (vs. clean) fuel for cooking had a 0.20 (95% CI: 0.17, 0.23) increase in BDRM score and in urban areas, the estimate was 0.06 (95%



CI: 0.02, 0.11).

Fig. 3. Subgroup analyses of associations of solid fuel use for cooking with BDRM scores

Note: BDRM, Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model; ß, regression coefficient; Cl, confidence interval.



Fig. 4. Subgroup analyses of associations of solid fuel use for heating with BDRM scores

Note: Data: ß (95% CI), Interaction p-value;outcome variable: dementia risk scores. BDRM, Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model; ß, regression coefficient; Cl, confidence interval.

3.5. Sensitive analyses

First, excluding participants with an extremely high (>mean + 2SD) BDRM score at baseline did not alter the significant associations between solid fuel use and increased BDRM scores in all models (Table S1). Second, we found similar results using another dementia risk prediction model—BDSI (Table S2-S5 and Figure S1-S4). For instance, as shown in Figure S2, in fully adjusted Model 2, participants who used solid fuel for cooking had a 0.23 (95% CI: 0.19, 0.28, P < 0.001) increase in BDSI scores and participants who used solid fuel for heating had a 0.16 (95% CI: 0.10, 0.21, P < 0.001) increase in BDSI scores. Third, the results were consistent with those from primary analyses after adjusting more covariates in Model 2 for BDSI and BDRM analysis, respectively (Table S7).

4. Discussion

Based on a large sample of middle-aged and older adults from a nationally representative cohort study, we found that solid fuel use for cooking and heating was independently associated with higher BDRM scores (i.e., increased dementia risk), and solid fuel use for cooking exhibited a greater effect on BDRM scores. The associations were maintained in most subgroups and stronger in participants living in rural areas. Our findings highlight the potential of using clean fuel indoors, especially for cooking, for preventing dementia in rural areas.

Nearly three billion people use solid fuels worldwide which is the main reason for HAP (Lim et al. 2012). In China, about one million people died prematurely because of the solid fuel used indoors (Lertxundi et al. 2015). Meanwhile, China is experiencing the highest growing number of people with dementia (Prince 2016). So far, several studies have examined the association of solid fuel use with negative health outcomes, including asthma (Thacher et al. 2013), lower respiratory tract infections (Ezzati and Kammen 2001), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, all-cause mortality (Smith and Mehta 2003) and preeclampsia/eclampsia (Agrawal and Yamamoto 2015). However, no studies have explored the association of solid fuel use with dementia risk. For the first time, we demonstrated that solid fuel use for cooking and heating was associated with increased dementia risk. Our findings highlight an intriguing new avenue for dementia prevention and control, and calls for more efforts to facilitate universal access to clean energy, especially in LMICs such as China. In the Fourteenth Five Year Plan of Agriculture and Rural Area Modernization, China is seeking for evidence to initiate a series of policies to promote the utilization of clean energy, such as solar power and wind energy, and extend the construction of clean heating facilities. Further studies would be applied to explore the improvement of the cognitive function of people through the intervention of this clean energy revolution in China.

Our results in subgroup analysis showed a stronger association of solid (vs. clean) fuel use with increased dementia risk in participants who lived in rural areas. In China, adults in rural areas are still heavily reliant on solid fuel (Chan et al. 2017). Moreover, the prevalence of dementia in rural areas is higher than that in urban China (Hu et al., 2021) (Hu et al. 2021). Adults in rural areas might be more vulnerable to air pollutants caused by solid fuel use, due to their relatively poor health in comparison to their counterparts in urban (Zhang 2017). Nonetheless, an increasing number of Chinese people are willing to retire to the countryside, highlighting a critical intervention point to raise awareness among this group about the benefits of using clean fuel rather than solid fuel (Shen et al. 2021). Considering fewer dementia-related medicare resources in rural areas, this could provide a useful approach for preventing dementia. We also found a stronger association of solid (vs. clean) fuel use with a higher BDSI score in females. This was consistent with Chen’s study where solid fuel use was stronger associated with cognitive function decline among females than males in China (Chen et al. 2021). The plausible explanation is that females in China experience more exposure to HAP from solid fuel use because they actively participate in domestic activities such as cooking. Another possible explanation is that there was a sex difference in the biological effect of solid fuel use on human health, given that PM2.5

has a greater adverse effect in females than in males (Eze et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2019). The above findings may aid in the identification of vulnerable subgroups such as those living in rural areas or females, and the development of precise prevention programs for dementia.

The underlying biological mechanisms of the association observed are unclear. Solid fuel use produces high levels of gaseous pollutants (e.g., CO, NOx, O_3) and particulate matters (e.g., PM2.5) (Chen et al. 2016; Clark et al. 2013; Ni et al. 2016). The particles could translocate to the brain through the circulation crossing the blood-brain barrier (Block and Calderón-Garcidueñas 2009) or olfactory neurons (Tonelli and Postolache 2010) and then cause neurotoxicity (Terzano et al. 2010). The particles trigger dementia pathogenesis via multiple pathways (Akiyama et al. 2000; Block et al. 2012; Calderón-Garcidueñas et al. 2002; Costa et al. 2014). First, particles contribute to dementia pathogenesis by inducing neuroinflammation and oxidative stress (Peters et al. 2006). The second plausible pathway involves the promotion or aggravation of cardiovascular effects. PM2.5 and other pollutants (e.g., NO_2) have been demonstrated to have adverse effects on cardiovascular health, a well-known risk factor for cognitive decline and dementia (O'Brien 2006; Stampfer 2006). Vascular disease may have an indirect effect, i.e., vascular injury in the brain may produce conditions that predispose to neurodegeneration. Alternatively, vascular factors may play a direct role in Alzheimer's disease (AD) progression by promoting neuronal death and the formation of plaques and tangles (the hallmark pathology of Alzheimer's disease) (Stampfer 2006). Further studies are needed to explore the toxicological mechanisms of solid fuel use with dementia risk.

Our study has some strengths. First, this study conducted a comprehensive analysis of the association between the use of solid fuel and dementia risk, using a prospective cohort design and a relatively large sample size of middle-aged and older adults. Second, we used two widely-used dementia risk models of BDSI and BDRM, and the consistent results showed the robustness of our findings. Third, using dementia risk as an outcome enables us to track and prevent dementia at an earlier stage. However, the study has several limitations. First, data on solid fuel use was self-reported; and thus, recall bias is possible. Second, data on detailed exposure duration for solid fuel use were unavailable; and thus, we could not evaluate the effect of exposure duration on dementia risk. Third, outdoor air pollution was not controlled, and it may bias the results. Fourth, BDSI and BDRM are not developed originally in China; however, the two models have been proved to show well discriminative ability when used in LMICs (Stephan et al. 2020).

5. Conclusions

For the first time, this study demonstrated that solid fuel use for cooking and heating was independently associated with increased dementia risk among Chinese middle-aged and older adults, particularly among those living in rural areas. Our findings call for more efforts to facilitate universal access to clean energy for dementia prevention. Meanwhile, more studies are needed to disentangle the

toxicological mechanisms of solid fuel use with dementia risk.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a grant from a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (72004201), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (ZL), Key Laboratory of Intelligent Preventive Medicine of Zhejiang Province (2020E10004), Zhejiang University Global Partnership Fund (188170-11103), and a grant of Humanity and Social Science Youth Foundation of Ministry of Education (20YJC840019). The funders had no role in the study design; data collection, analysis, or interpretation; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Reference

Akiyama, H.; Barger, S.; Barnum, S.; Bradt, B.; Bauer, J.; Cole, G.M.; Cooper, N.R.; Eikelenboom, P.; Emmerling, M.; Fiebich, B.L.; Finch, C.E.; Frautschy, S.; Griffin, W.S.T.; Hampel, H.; Hull, M.; Landreth, G.; Lue, L.F.; Mrak, R.; Mackenzie, I.R.; McGeer, P.L.; O'Banion, M.K.; Pachter, J.; Pasinetti, G.; Plata–Salaman, C.; Rogers, J.; Rydel, R.; Shen, Y.; Streit, W.; Strohmeyer, R.; Tooyoma, I.; Van Muiswinkel, F.L.; Veerhuis, R.; Walker, D.; Webster, S.; Wegrzyniak, B.; Wenk, G.; Wyss–Coray, T. Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology of Aging 2000;21:383-421. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-4580(00)00124-X

Apte, K.; Salvi, S. Household air pollution and its effects on health. F1000Res 2016;5https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7552.1

Banerjee, M.; Siddique, S.; Dutta, A.; Mukherjee, B.; Ranjan Ray, M. Cooking with biomass increases the risk of depression in pre-menopausal women in India. Social Science & Medicine 2012;75:565-572. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.03.021

Barnes, D.E.; Beiser, A.S.; Lee, A.; Langa, K.M.; Koyama, A.; Preis, S.R.; Neuhaus, J.; McCammon, R.J.; Yaffe, K.; Seshadri, S.; Haan, M.N.; Weir, D.R. Development and validation of a brief dementia screening indicator for primary care. Alzheimer's & Dementia 2014;10:656-665.e651. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2013.11.006

Block, M.L.; Calderón-Garcidueñas, L. Air pollution: mechanisms of neuroinflammation and CNS disease. Trends Neurosci 2009;32:506-516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2009.05.009

408 Block, M.L.; Elder, A.; Auten, R.L.; Bilbo, S.D.; Chen, H.; Chen, J.C.; Cory-Slechta, D.A.;
409 Costa, D.; Diaz-Sanchez, D.; Dorman, D.C.; Gold, D.R.; Gray, K.; Jeng, H.A.;
410 Kaufman, J.D.; Kleinman, M.T.; Kirshner, A.; Lawler, C.; Miller, D.S.; Nadadur, S.S.;
411 Ritz, B.; Semmens, E.O.; Tonelli, L.H.; Veronesi, B.; Wright, R.O.; Wright, R.J. The
412 outdoor air pollution and brain health workshop. Neurotoxicology 2012;33:972-984.
413 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2012.08.014
414 Calderón-Garcidueñas, L.; Azzarelli, B.; Acuna, H.; Garcia, R.; Gambling, T.M.; Osnaya, N.;
415 Monroy, S.; Tizapantzi, M.D.R.; Carson, J.L.; Villarreal-Calderon, A.; Rewcastle, B. Air
416 pollution and brain damage. Toxicologic Pathology 2002;30:373-389.
417 https://doi.org/10.1080/01926230252929954
418 Chan, K.H.; Lam, K.B.H.; Kurmi, O.P.; Guo, Y.; Bennett, D.; Bian, Z.; Sherliker, P.; Chen, J.; Li,
419 L.; Chen, Z. Trans-generational changes and rural-urban inequality in household fuel
420 use and cookstove ventilation in China: A multi-region study of 0.5 million adults.
421 International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 2017;220:1370-1381.
422 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2017.09.010
423 Chen, H.; Chen, L.; Hao, G. Sex difference in the association between solid fuel use and
424 cognitive function in rural China. Environ Res 2021;195:110820.
425 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.110820
426 Chen, H.; Kwong, J.C.; Copes, R.; Hystad, P.; van Donkelaar, A.; Tu, K.; Brook, J.R.;
427 Goldberg, M.S.; Martin, R.V.; Murray, B.J.; Wilton, A.S.; Kopp, A.; Burnett, R.T.
428 Exposure to ambient air pollution and the incidence of dementia: A population-based
429 cohort study. Environment International 2017;108:271-277.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.08.020

Chen, Y.; Shen, G.; Liu, W.; Du, W.; Su, S.; Duan, Y.; Lin, N.; Zhuo, S.; Wang, X.; Xing, B.; Tao, S. Field measurement and estimate of gaseous and particle pollutant emissions from cooking and space heating processes in rural households, northern China. Atmospheric Environment 2016;125:265-271. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.032

Clark, M.L.; Peel, J.L.; Balakrishnan, K.; Breysse, P.N.; Chillrud, S.N.; Naeher, L.P.; Rodes, C.E.; Vette, A.F.; Balbus, J.M. Health and household air pollution from solid fuel use: the need for improved exposure assessment. Environ Health Perspect 2013;121:1120-1128. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1206429

Costa, L.G.; Cole, T.B.; Coburn, J.; Chang, Y.C.; Dao, K.; Roque, P. Neurotoxicants are in the air: Convergence of human, animal, and in vitro studies on the effects of air pollution on the brain. BioMed Research International 2014;2014https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/736385

Daly, H.; Walton, M. Energy Access Outlook: from Poverty to Prosperity, World Energy Outlook-2017 Special Report

Eze, I.C.; Hemkens, L.G.; Bucher, H.C.; Hoffmann, B.; Schindler, C.; Künzli, N.; Schikowski, T.; Probst-Hensch, N.M. Association between ambient air pollution and diabetes mellitus in Europe and North America: systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Health Perspect 2015;123:381-389. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307823

Ezzati, M.; Kammen, D.M. Quantifying the effects of exposure to indoor air pollution from biomass combustion on acute respiratory infections in developing countries.

452 Environmental Health Perspectives 2001;109:481-488.
453 https://doi.org/doi:10.1289/ehp.01109481
454 Hjsgaard, S.; Yan, J.; Halekoh, U. The R package GEEPACK for generalized estimating
455 equations. Journal of statistical software 2005;15
456 Hu, F.F.; Cheng, G.R.; Liu, D.; Liu, Q.; Gan, X.G.; Li, L.; Wang, X.D.; Zhang, B.; An, L.N.;
457 Chen, C.; Zou, M.J.; Xu, L.; Ou, Y.M.; Chen, Y.S.; Li, J.Q.; Wei, Z.; Wang, Y.Y.; Wu,
458 Q.; Chen, X.X.; Yang, X.F.; Wu, Q.M.; Feng, L.; Zhang, J.J.; Xu, H.; Yu, Y.F.; Yang,
459 M.L.; Qian, J.; Lian, P.F.; Fu, L.Y.; Duan, T.T.; Tian, Y.; Cheng, X.; Li, X.W.; Yan, P.T.;
460 Huang, G.; Dong, H.; Ji, Y.; Zeng, Y. Population-attributable fractions of risk factors for
461 all-cause dementia in China rural and urban areas: a cross-sectional study. J Neurol
462 2021;https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-021-10886-y
463 Jung, C.-R.; Lin, Y.-T.; Hwang, B.-F. Ozone, Particulate Matter, and Newly Diagnosed
464 Alzheimer's Disease: A Population-Based Cohort Study in Taiwan. Journal of
465 Alzheimer's Disease 2015;44:573-584. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-140855
466 Kim, H.; Noh, J.; Noh, Y.; Oh, S.S.; Koh, S.B.; Kim, C. Gender Difference in the Effects of
467 Outdoor Air Pollution on Cognitive Function Among Elderly in Korea. Front Public
468 Health 2019;7:375. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00375
469 Kolappan, C.; Subramani, R. Association between biomass fuel and pulmonary tuberculosis: a
470 nested case–control study. Thorax 2009;64:705.
471 https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.2008.109405
472 Kurmi, O.P.; Lam, K.B.H.; Ayres, J.G. Indoor air pollution and the lung in low- and
473 medium-income countries. European Respiratory Journal 2012;40:239.

474 https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00190211

475 Lertxundi, A.; Baccini, M.; Lertxundi, N.; Fano, E.; Aranbarri, A.; Martínez, M.D.; Ayerdi, M.;
476 Álvarez, J.; Santa-Marina, L.; Dorronsoro, M.; Ibarluzea, J. Exposure to fine particle
477 matter, nitrogen dioxide and benzene during pregnancy and cognitive and
478 psychomotor developments in children at 15 months of age. Environ Int
479 2015;80:33-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.03.007

480 Li, C.-Y.; Li, C.-H.; Martini, S.; Hou, W.-H. Association between air pollution and risk of
481 vascular dementia: A multipollutant analysis in Taiwan. Environment International
482 2019;133:105233. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105233

483 Licher, S.; Leening, M.J.G.; Yilmaz, P.; Wolters, F.J.; Heeringa, J.; Bindels, P.J.E.; Vernooij,
484 M.W.; Stephan, B.C.M.; Steyerberg, E.W.; Ikram, M.K.; Ikram, M.A. Development and
485 Validation of a Dementia Risk Prediction Model in the General Population: An Analysis
486 of Three Longitudinal Studies. Am J Psychiatry 2019;176:543-551.
487 https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18050566

488 Lim, Y.-H.; Kim, H.; Kim, J.H.; Bae, S.; Park, H.Y.; Hong, Y.-C. Air Pollution and Symptoms of
489 Depression in Elderly Adults. Environmental Health Perspectives
490 2012;120:1023-1028. https://doi.org/doi:10.1289/ehp.1104100

491 Ma, Y.; Liang, L.; Zheng, F.; Shi, L.; Zhong, B.; Xie, W. Association Between Sleep Duration
492 and Cognitive Decline. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3:e2013573.
493 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.13573

494 Ni, K.; Carter, E.; Schauer, J.J.; Ezzati, M.; Zhang, Y.; Niu, H.; Lai, A.M.; Shan, M.; Wang, Y.;
495 Yang, X.; Baumgartner, J. Seasonal variation in outdoor, indoor, and personal air

496 pollution exposures of women using wood stoves in the Tibetan Plateau: Baseline
497 assessment for an energy intervention study. Environ Int 2016;94:449-457.
498 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.05.029
499 Oudin, A.; Forsberg, B.; Adolfsson, A.N.; Lind, N.; Modig, L.; Nordin, M.; Nordin, S.; Adolfsson,
500 R.; Nilsson, L.G. Traffic-Related Air Pollution and Dementia Incidence in Northern
501 Sweden: A Longitudinal Study. Environ Health Perspect 2016;124:306-312.
502 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408322
503 Painschab, M.S.; Davila-Roman, V.G.; Gilman, R.H.; Vasquez-Villar, A.D.; Pollard, S.L.; Wise,
504 R.A.; Miranda, J.J.; Checkley, W.; Group, C.C.S. Chronic exposure to biomass fuel is
505 associated with increased carotid artery intima-media thickness and a higher
506 prevalence of atherosclerotic plaque. Heart 2013;99:984.
507 https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2012-303440
508 Peters, A.; Veronesi, B.; Calderón-Garcidueñas, L.; Gehr, P.; Chen, L.C.; Geiser, M.; Reed,
509 W.; Rothen-Rutishauser, B.; Schürch, S.; Schulz, H. Translocation and potential
510 neurological effects of fine and ultrafine particles a critical update. Part Fibre Toxicol
511 2006;3:13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-8977-3-13
512 Prince, M., Comas-Herrera, A., Knapp, M., Guerchet, M., & Karagiannidou, M. World
513 Alzheimer report 2016: Improving healthcare for people living with dementia:
514 Coverage, quality and costs now and in the future.
515 https://www.alz.co.uk/research/WorldAlzheimerReport2016.pdf (accessed
516 Ravilla Thulasiraj, D.; Gupta, S.; Ravindran Ravilla, D.; Vashist, P.; Krishnan, T.; Maraini, G.;
517 Chakravarthy, U.; Fletcher Astrid, E. Use of Cooking Fuels and Cataract in a

518 Population-Based Study: The India Eye Disease Study. Environmental Health
519 Perspectives 2016;124:1857-1862. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP193
520 Shen, S.; Luo, M.; Meng, X.; Deng, Y.; Cheng, S. All-Cause Mortality Risk Associated With
521 Solid Fuel Use Among Chinese Elderly People: A National Retrospective Longitudinal
522 Study. Front Public Health 2021;9:741637. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.741637
523 Smith, K.R.; Frumkin, H.; Balakrishnan, K.; Butler, C.D.; Chafe, Z.A.; Fairlie, I.; Kinney, P.;
524 Kjellstrom, T.; Mauzerall, D.L.; McKone, T.E.; McMichael, A.J.; Schneider, M. Energy
525 and Human Health. Annual Review of Public Health 2013;34:159-188.
526 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031912-114404
527 Stampfer, M.J. Cardiovascular disease and Alzheimer's disease: common links. J Intern Med
528 2006;260:211-223. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2006.01687.x
529 Stephan, B.C.M.; Pakpahan, E.; Siervo, M.; Licher, S.; Muniz-Terrera, G.; Mohan, D.; Acosta,
530 D.; Rodriguez Pichardo, G.; Sosa, A.L.; Acosta, I.; Llibre-Rodriguez, J.J.; Prince, M.;
531 Robinson, L.; Prina, M. Prediction of dementia risk in low-income and middle-income
532 countries (the 10/66 Study): an independent external validation of existing models.
533 Lancet Glob Health 2020;8:e524-e535.
534 https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(20)30062-0
535 Terzano, C.; Di Stefano, F.; Conti, V.; Graziani, E.; Petroianni, A. Air pollution ultrafine
536 particles: toxicity beyond the lung. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2010;14:809-821.
537 Thacher, J.D.; Emmelin, A.; Madaki, A.J.K.; Thacher, T.D. Biomass fuel use and the risk of
538 asthma in Nigerian children. Respiratory Medicine 2013;107:1845-1851.
539 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2013.09.009

540 Tonelli, L.H.; Postolache, T.T. Airborne inflammatory factors: "from the nose to the brain".
541 Front Biosci (Schol Ed) 2010;2:135-152. https://doi.org/10.2741/s52
542 Tran, V.V.; Park, D.; Lee, Y.-C. Indoor Air Pollution, Related Human Diseases, and Recent
543 Trends in the Control and Improvement of Indoor Air Quality. International Journal of
544 Environmental Research and Public Health
545 2020;17https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17082927
546 Zhang, J. Low-Level Air Pollution Associated With Death: Policy and Clinical Implications.
547 Jama 2017;318:2431-2432. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.18948
548 Zhao, X.; Sun, Z.; Ruan, Y.; Yan, J.; Mukherjee, B.; Yang, F.; Duan, F.; Sun, L.; Liang, R.; Lian,
549 H.; Zhang, S.; Fang, Q.; Gu, D.; Brook, J.R.; Sun, Q.; Brook, R.D.; Rajagopalan, S.;
550 Fan, Z. Personal Black Carbon Exposure Influences Ambulatory Blood Pressure.
551 Hypertension 2014;63:871-877.
552 https://doi.org/doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02588
553
554

Table 1

The baseline characteristics of participants by types of household fuels in CHARLS 2011.

	Cooking fuel			Heating fuel		
Characteristic	Clean	Solid	*P* value	Clean	Solid	*P* value
N (%)	6971 (45.7%)	8276 (54.3%)		2937 (26.0%)	8343 (74.0%)	
Age (mean±SD, years)	58.15 ± 9.61	59.91 ± 9.64	<0.001	57.91 ± 9.56	59.35 ± 9.57	<0.001
Males (N, %)	3323 (47.7%)	3963 (47.9%)	0.802	1409 (48.0%)	4004 (48.0%)	1.000
Marital status (currently married, N, %)	6114 (87.7%)	7189 (86.9%)	0.127	2596 (88.4%)	7242 (86.8%)	0.029
Residence (rural, N, %)	2641 (37.9%)	6446 (77.9%)	<0.001	1117 (38.0%)	6169 (73.9%)	<0.001
Participation in social activities (yes, N, %)	3926 (56.3%)	3697 (44.7%)	<0.001	1740 (59.2%)	3800 (45.5%)	<0.001
Smoking status (N, %)			<0.001			<0.001
Non-smoker	4352 (62.4%)	4874 (58.9%)		1860 (63.3%)	4887 (58.6%)	
Ex-smoker	626 (9.0%)	728 (8.8%)		251 (8.5%)	743 (8.9%)	
Current smoker	1992 (28.6%)	2674 (32.3%)		826 (28.1%)	2713 (32.5%)	
Alcohol drinking status (yes, N, %)	2696 (38.7%)	3241 (39.2%)	0.550	1195 (40.7%)	3255 (39.0%)	0.116
Sleep duration (mean±SD, hours per day)	6.45 ± 1.71	6.26 ± 2.03	<0.001	6.46 ± 1.75	6.30 ± 2.00	0.001
Number of chronic diseases (mean±SD)	1.21 ± 1.22	1.36 ± 1.30	<0.001	1.17 ± 1.18	1.36 ± 1.30	<0.001
Number of chronic diseases (N, %)			<0.001			<0.001
0	2407 (34.5%)	2507 (30.3%)		1000 (34.0%)	2554 (30.6%)	
1	2195 (31.5%)	2565 (31.0%)		993 (33.8%)	2543 (30.5%)	
2	1364 (19.6%)	1779 (21.5%)		567 (19.3%)	1774 (21.3%)	

≥3	1005 (14.4%)	1425 (17.2%)		377 (12.8%)	1472 (17.6%)	
BDRM score (mean±SD)	63.81 ± 10.60	65.94 ± 10.65	<0.001	63.53 ± 10.54	65.30 ± 10.59	<0.001
Z-score standardized BDRM (mean±SD)	-0.11 ± 0.99	0.09 ± 1.00	<0.001	-0.13 ± 0.99	0.03 ± 0.99	<0.001

Note: BDRM, Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model; CHARLS, China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study; SD, standard deviation.
Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation or numbers (percentages).

Table 2

Joint associations of solid fuel use for cooking and heating with BDRM scores.

	β (95% CI)	*P* value
Exposure of solid cooking and solid heating		
Model 1		
Cooking	0.17 (0.14, 0.20)	<0.001
Heating	0.05 (0.02, 0.08)	0.003
Model 2		
Cooking	0.16 (0.13, 0.19)	<0.001
Heating	0.03 (0.00, 0.06)	0.040
Exposure of grouped clean/solid cooking and heating		
Model 1		
Group1: clean cooking fuel & clean heating fuel (reference)		
Group2: solid cooking fuel & clean heating fuel	0.18 (0.12, 0.25)	<0.001
Group3: clean cooking fuel & solid heating fuel	0.05 (0.02, 0.09)	0.007
Group4: solid cooking fuel & solid heating fuel	0.22 (0.19, 0.25)	<0.001
Model 2		
Group1: clean cooking fuel & clean heating fuel (reference)		
Group2: solid cooking fuel & clean heating fuel	0.20 (0.14, 0.26)	<0.001
Group3: clean cooking fuel & solid heating fuel	0.05 (0.01, 0.09)	0.010
Group4: solid cooking fuel & solid heating fuel	0.20 (0.16, 0.23)	<0.001

Note: BDRM, Rotterdam Study Basic Dementia Risk Model; β, regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval.

Model 1 adjusted for sex. Model 2 adjusted for sex, residence, marital status, participation in social activities, alcohol drinking status, smoking status, sleep duration, number of chronic diseases and wave.