

Feasibility of an online antigen self-testing strategy for SARS-CoV-2 addressed to health care and education professionals in Catalonia (Spain). The TESTA'T-COVID Project.

Running title:

Online offering of antigen self-testing for SARS-CoV-2 to health care and education professionals in Spain (TESTA'T COVID).

Authors:

Cristina Agustí^{1,2,3}, Héctor Martínez-Riveros^{1,3,4}, Victoria González^{1,2,5}, Gema Fernández-Rivas⁵, Yesika Díaz¹, Marcos Montoro-Fernandez¹, Sergio Moreno-Fornés^{1,2}, Pol Romano-deGea^{1,3}, Esteve Muntada^{1,3}, Beatriz Calvo⁶, Jordi Casabona^{1,2,3,7}.

Affiliations:

¹Centre of epidemiological studies on sexually transmitted infections and AIDS of Catalunya (CEEISCAT). Department of Health. Generalitat of Catalunya. Badalona. Spain.

²Spanish Consortium for Research on Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.

³Germans Trias i Pujol Research Institute (IGTP), Campus Can Ruti, Badalona, Spain.

⁴PhD in Methodology of Biomedical Research and Public Health. Department of Paediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology and Preventive Medicine, Univ Autònoma de Barcelona, Badalona, Spain.

⁵Microbiology Department, Clinical Laboratory North Metropolitan Area, Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital. Department of Genetics and Microbiology, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Badalona, Spain.

⁶Occupational Health and Safety Department, Institut Català d'Oncologia (ICO),
L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain.

⁷Department of Paediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology and Preventive Medicine,
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Badalona, Spain.

Footnote page

Conflict of Interest

Abbot Laboratories provided the tests for the study.

All authors declare not having any conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the collaboration of Andreu Colom, Gema Ballega, Juan Rus, Marina Herrero, Pili Bonamusa, the General Direction of the Health Department and the Education Department of the Catalan Government of Catalonia, Anna Clopés, Deputy Director of the Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Ana Sedano, Director of Human Resources of ICO and Abbot Laboratories.

Funding:

This work was supported by the General Direction of the Health Department of the Catalan Government of Catalonia (Spain) [no grant number] and Abbot Laboratories [grant number 8772008].

Mention of any meeting where the information has previously been presented

The results of the study have been submitted as an abstract to the XL Annual Meeting of the Spanish Society of Epidemiology that will be held in San Sebastián, Spain from August 31 to September 3, 2022. Communication number 564. Acceptance pending.

Corresponding author:

Cristina Agustí

e-mail address: cagusti@iconcologia.net,

Address:

Institut de Recerca Germans Trias i Pujol (IGTP), CEEISCAT Edifici
Muntanya,
Carretera de Can Ruti, Camí de les Escoles s/n,

08916 Badalona (Spain)

Abstract

Word count: 100

We aimed to implement a pilot intervention based on offering online COVID-19 self-test kits addressed to healthcare and education professionals in Spain during the peak of the 6th wave caused by Omicron variant. Kits were ordered online and sent by mail, participants answered an online acceptability/usability survey and uploaded the picture of results. 492 participants ordered a test, 304 uploaded the picture (61.8%). Eighteen positive cases were detected (5.9%). 92.2% were satisfied/very satisfied with the intervention; and 92.5% found performing the test easy/very easy. We demonstrated that implementing online COVID-19 self-testing in schools and healthcare settings in Spain is feasible.

Key words:

SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, Self-testing, health care professionals, education professionals.

Key findings:

- We implemented a pilot intervention based on offering online COVID-19 self-test kits in Spain.
- We demonstrated the feasibility of the intervention during the peak of the 6th wave caused by Omicron variant.
- The intervention counted with high acceptability among healthcare and education professionals in Spain.
- Our results may contribute to define screening strategies addressed to key populations, particularly during peaks of high community transmission of SARS-CoV-2.

Text:

Word count: 1,280

Introduction

It has been estimated that nearly half of the transmissions of SARS-CoV-2 occur from asymptomatic individuals [1]. As for other infections, the screening of asymptomatic individuals at risk of being exposed to SARS-CoV-2 in order to detect and isolate infected persons early is one of the basic non-pharmaceutical preventive interventions shown to decrease incidence at the community level [2]. Antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic tests (Ag-RDTs) have been proposed as suitable tools for point-of-care screening of individuals potentially exposed. The main advantages of Ag-RDTs include low price, the lack of need for high-tech laboratory referral, and a short turnaround time to provide a result [2,3]. Although some contradictory findings have been reported, Ag-RDTs used as self-tests by the general population have a similar accuracy to when they are performed by health professionals [4–7].

Healthcare professionals, who are in contact with many patients, are at a high risk of exposure and, eventually if infected, of transmitting it to vulnerable patients. Above all, the most vulnerable ones such as oncological and immunosuppressed patients. The WHO recommends early detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection among health workers through syndromic surveillance and/or regular testing [7]. Little is known about the level of exposure of teachers and other professionals in the field of education, nevertheless the high transmissibility of the Omicron variant has also dramatically increased prevalence and incidence of SARS-CoV-2 in schools, where exposure is high as well.

The objective of the study was to implement and evaluate a pilot online offering of self-test kits for the SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen screening test for healthcare and education

professionals during the peak of the 6th wave caused by the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 in Catalonia (Spain).

Methods

The study targeted two different key populations: 1) Staff of the Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), a public non-profit organization attached to the Catalan Health Service focused on cancer care and with 1,400 professionals distributed in 5 tertiary hospitals in Catalonia (Spain). 2) Staff of the schools belonging to the COVID Sentinel School Network of Catalonia (CSSNC), which monitors SARS-CoV-2 infection and its determinants, by means of repetitive cross-sectional surveys and includes 23 participating sentinel schools and 700 employees[8].

Data were collected prospectively during the peak of the 6th wave due to the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 in Catalonia, from 15 December 2021 to 15 February 2022.

Health care workers of the ICO and teachers from all participating schools of the CSSNC were invited to participate by email. Respondents accessed the study website (<https://www.testate.org/>), signed up and accepted through an online informed consent form. Then, participants requested a free COVID-19 rapid lateral flow home test kit (PanBIO™ COVID-19 Antigen Self-Test, Abbot Laboratories, Chicago, US) and provided contact details including a postal address. Kits included a pictorial leaflet with guidance on how to perform the test and an instructional video was available on YouTube. After performing the test, participants completed an online survey including sociodemographic characteristics, clinical data, satisfaction with the intervention (Likert-type scale), ease of use of the kit, perceived advantages and disadvantages, result obtained, and a picture of the result. These pictures were assessed blind by the field coordinator and a microbiologist to check if the reading had been done correctly.

All participants with a positive result were contacted and were recommended both to self-isolate and to contact their General Practitioner (GP) as soon as possible.

We assessed the feasibility of the TESTATE COVID screening strategy among its users based on a conceptual framework adapted from earlier models [9,10]. The adapted framework divides the concept of feasibility into learnability, willingness, suitability, satisfaction, and efficacy.

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Germans Trias i Pujol Hospital (PI-20-368).

Results:

During the study period 297 educators and 195 health professionals ordered a self-sampling kit, and 192 teachers (64.6%) and 111 health professionals (56.9%) correctly uploaded a picture of their result and answered the online survey (p: 0.1035). The median age of participants was 43.0 (IQR: 20.0-78.0), 80.1% were women.

We detected 18 positive cases (5.9%), including two cases which were identified as negative by the participants and as positive by the research team. The proportion of positive results was higher among teachers (7.3%) than health care professionals (3.6%) (p: 0.1959). Among positive participants there were: 11 teachers, three physicians, one nurse, two school administrative staff and one person without information. Most participants (78.5%) with a positive result had symptoms compatible with COVID-19 and all of them but two, contacted their GP and isolated themselves after knowing the result. One was not possible to contact and the other one ignored the recommendations because she considered herself to be negative, although the research team read the result as positive and informed her of it.

Most participants had received the COVID-19 vaccine (98.7%), among those vaccinated, 63.2% had had three doses and 30.0% had had two.

Learnability: The majority of the participants (92.5%) found that the self-test was easy or very easy to use, 99.7% successfully completed the test, 88.9% did not need any help to perform the test and 93.5% agreed or strongly agreed with the statement “I trust that my interpretation of the result I obtained with the self-test is correct”, 81.6% of educators strongly agreed compared to 66.7% health professionals (P: 0.006) (Table 1). Two (0,6%) participants failed to read the test results.

Willingness: Most of participants (96.7%) agreed or strongly agreed with the statement “I would repeat the rapid SARS-CoV-2 antigen self-test in the future”, 90.3% of educators strongly agreed compared with 80.2% of health professionals (p: 0.021). The most preferred way to repeat the test was “do the self-test at home” (87.9%); and 99.3% would like the test to be available at their workplace (Table 1).

Suitability: Most participants (89.6%) agreed or strongly agreed with the statement “I trust the result obtained with the self-test” (Table 1).

Satisfaction: 92.2% of the participants answered that they were satisfied or very satisfied with the intervention; and 93.8% agreed or strongly agreed with the statement “I would recommend it to a friend” with 81.6% of educators strongly agreeing compared to 77.5% of health professionals (p :0.024). The advantages that were most identified were getting the result in a few minutes (85.3%) and the fact that the tests might improve safety and protection against COVID-19 at their workplace (84.7%); educators were more likely to identify “Self-tests give more sense of security at the workplace” as an advantage than health professionals (62.2% vs. 41.4%, p: 0.001); 89.6% did not identify any disadvantages.

Discussion

Testing is a critical component of the overall prevention and control strategy for the COVID-19 pandemic[11]. Nevertheless, apart from contact tracing strategies, screening

of key populations implies many logistical and operational challenges, including the necessity of periodic testing in periods of high incidence (ex. twice a week) in order to be effective [2]. Highly sensitive self-tests are cheap, simple, rapid tests and that can enable high frequency regimens that will capture most infections while they are still infectious.

Uploading the pictures of the results online contributed to better traceability of positives and this could improve the ability to break the epidemiological chain.

Although the study used an opportunistic sample, it shows the feasibility of implementation and provides an in-depth account of acceptability and usability of an online screening strategy based on antigen self-tests for COVID-19 addressed to health care and education professionals in Catalonia. This is the first time this was done in Spain, and during the peak of the 6th wave caused by the Omicron variant. This information will be crucial to better define tailored screening strategies addressed to specific key populations, particularly during peaks of high community transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and eventually other respiratory transmitted agents.

References

1. **He X, et al.** Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. *Nature medicine Nat Med*, 2020; **26**: 672–675.
2. **Mina MJ, Parker R, Larremore DB.** Rethinking Covid-19 Test Sensitivity - A Strategy for Containment. *The New England journal of medicine N Engl J Med*, 2020; **383**: e120.
3. **Alemanly A, et al.** Analytical and clinical performance of the panbio COVID-19 antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic test. *The Journal of infection J Infect*, 2021; **82**: 186–230.
4. **Stohr JJM, et al.** Self-testing for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection with rapid antigen tests for people with suspected COVID-19 in the community. *Clinical microbiology and infection* □: the official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases *Clin Microbiol Infect*, 2021; Published online: 2021.doi:10.1016/J.CMI.2021.07.039.
5. **Sumter SR, Vandenbosch L.** Dating gone mobile: Demographic and personality-based correlates of using smartphone-based dating applications among emerging adults. *New Media and Society SAGE Publications Ltd*, 2019; **21**: 655–673.
6. **Martin AF, et al.** Engagement with daily testing instead of self-isolating in contacts of confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2. *BMC Public Health BioMed Central Ltd*, 2021; **21**: 1–11.
7. **World Health Organization (WHO).** Prevention, identification and management of health worker infection in the context of COVID-19. *Interim guidance* 2020; Published online: 2020.
8. **Bordas A, et al.** Study protocol for monitoring SARS-CoV-2 infection and its

- determinants in Catalonia (Spain): an observational and participatory research approach in a Sentinel Network of Schools. *BMJ Open* British Medical Journal Publishing Group, 2022; **12**: e055649.
9. **C A, et al.** Early experiences on the feasibility, acceptability, and use of malaria rapid diagnostic tests at peripheral health centres in Uganda-insights into some barriers and facilitators. *Implementation science* : IS Implement Sci, 2012; **7**Published online: 23 January 2012.doi:10.1186/1748-5908-7-5.
 10. **ÉM A, et al.** Introduction of Syphilis Point-of-Care Tests, from Pilot Study to National Programme Implementation in Zambia: A Qualitative Study of Healthcare Workers' Perspectives on Testing, Training and Quality Assurance. *PloS one* PLoS One, 2015; **10**Published online: 1 June 2015.doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0127728.
 11. **World Health Organization.** Antigen-detection in the diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Interim guidance* 2021; Published online: 2021.

Table 1. Learnability, willingness, suitability and satisfaction of the TESTATE COVID intervention addressed to health care and education professionals in Catalonia (Spain), N: 307. December 2021-February 2022

	All n(%)	Education professionals n(%)	Health care professionals n(%)	p value
Learnability¹	N=307	N=196	N=111	
Test difficulty				0.479
	219			
Very easy	(71.3%)	145 (74.0%)	74 (66.7%)	
Easy	65 (21.2%)	38 (19.4%)	27 (24.3%)	
Neither easy or difficult	17 (5.5%)	10 (5.1%)	7 (6.3%)	
Difficult	5 (1.6%)	2 (1.0%)	3 (2.7%)	
Very difficult	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Dk/Da	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	
Have successfully completed the self-test				1.000
No	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
	306			
Yes	(99.7%)	195 (99.5%)	111 (100%)	
Dk/Da	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	
Needed help to perform the self-test				0.523
	273			
No	(88.9%)	171 (87.2%)	102 (91.9%)	
Yes	33 (10.7%)	24 (12.2%)	9 (8.1%)	
Dk/Da	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	
Trusting that the interpretation of the result obtained with the self-test is correct				0.006
	234			
Strongly agree	(76.2%)	160 (81.6%)	74 (66.7%)	
Agree	53 (17.3%)	24 (12.2%)	29 (26.1%)	
Neither agree nor disagree	9 (2.9%)	6 (3.1%)	3 (2.7%)	

Disagree	7 (2.3%)	3 (1.5%)	4 (3.6%)
Strongly disagree	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)
Dk/Da	3 (1.0%)	3 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Willingness²			
Would repeat the self-test in the future			0.021
	266		
Strongly agree	(86.6%)	177 (90.3%)	89 (80.2%)
Agree	31 (10.1%)	16 (8.2%)	15 (13.5%)
Neither agree nor disagree	3 (1.0%)	1 (0.5%)	2 (1.8%)
Disagree	3 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.7%)
Strongly disagree	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)
Dk/Da	3 (1.0%)	2 (1.0)	1 (0.9%)
Preferred place to repeat the self-tests in the future			0.241
Health care centre	22 (7.2%)	18 (9.2%)	4 (3.6%)
	270		
Do the self-test at home	(87.9%)	170 (86.7%)	100 (90.1%)
Other	6 (1.9%)	3 (1.5%)	3 (2.7%)
Dk/Da	9 (2.6%)	5 (2.5%)	4 (3.6%)
Would like to have available self-tests at their workplace			0.595
	304		
Yes	(99.3%)	194 (99.5%)	110 (99.1%)
No	1 (0.33%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Dk/Da	1 (0.33%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)
Suitability³			
Confidence in the results obtained with the SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen self-tests			0.236
	158		
Strongly agree	(51.5%)	105 (53.6%)	53 (47.7%)
	117		
Agree	(38.1%)	69 (35.2%)	48 (43.2%)
Neither agree nor disagree	18 (5.9%)	14 (7.1%)	4 (3.6%)
Disagree	10 (3.3%)	6 (3.1%)	4 (3.6%)
Strongly disagree	2 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.8%)
Dk/Da	2 (0.6%)	2 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Satisfaction⁴				
Test satisfaction				0.314
	236			
Very satisfied	(76.9%)	153 (78.1%)	83 (74.8%)	
Satisfied	47 (15.3%)	26 (13.3%)	21 (18.9%)	
Neither satisfied or unsatisfied	20 (6.51%)	15 (7.65%)	5 (4.50%)	
Unsatisfied	3 (0.98%)	1 (0.51%)	2 (1.80%)	
Very unsatisfied	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Dk/Da	1 (0.33%)	1 (0.51%)	0 (0.00%)	
Would recommend the self-test to a friend				0.024
	246			
Strongly agree	(80.1%)	160 (81.6%)	86 (77.5%)	
Agree	42 (13.7%)	24 (12.2%)	18 (16.2%)	
Neither agree nor disagree	8 (2.6%)	8 (4.1%)	0 (0.0%)	
Disagree	8 (2.6%)	3 (1.5%)	5 (4.5%)	
Strongly disagree	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	
Dk/Da	2 (0.7%)	1 (0.5%)	1 (0.9%)	
Advantages of the self-test				
	260			
Self-test can improve security and protection of COVID-19 in the workplace	(84.7%)	170 (86.7%)	90 (81.1%)	0.247
	262			
Obtaining of the results in a few minutes	(85.3%)	163 (83.2%)	99 (89.2%)	0.205
	111			
Privacy and confidentiality	(36.2%)	62 (31.6%)	49 (44.1%)	0.039
	258			
Convenience	(84.0%)	167 (85.2%)	91 (82.0%)	0.563
	195			
Test is free	(63.5%)	127 (64.8%)	68 (61.3%)	0.621
Do not need naso-pharyngeal swab	51 (16.6%)	28 (14.3%)	23 (20.7%)	0.195
Do not need to explain yourself to others	41 (13.4%)	22 (11.2%)	19 (17.1%)	0.199
	131			
Self-test contributes to normalize COVID-10 testing	(42.7%)	82 (41.8%)	49 (44.1%)	0.785
Self-tests allow taking control of our health	164	108 (55.1%)	56 (50.5%)	0.505

	(53.4%)			
	168			
Self-tests give more sense of security at the work place	(54.7%)	122 (62.2%)	46 (41.4%)	0.001
Other	3 (1.0%)	2 (1.0%)	1 (0.9%)	1.000
Disadvantages of the self-test				
	275			
None	(89.6%)	173 (88.3%)	102 (91.9%)	0.421
Sensitivity and specificity lower than a PCR	17 (5.5%)	10 (5.1%)	7 (6.3%)	0.854
Having to read the result by yourself	12 (3.9%)	6 (3.1%)	6 (5.4%)	0.363
The time for obtaining the result is too long	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	0.362
Other	21 (6.8%)	10 (5.1%)	11 (9.9%)	0.171
Don't know	16 (5.2%)	13 (6.6%)	3 (2.7%)	0.222
Don't want to answer	3 (1.0%)	3 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0.241

1.Learnability was defined as the ability of the participant to understand how to correctly perform the self-test and accurately read the test results.

2.Willingness was defined as the intention of participants to follow all the procedure.

3.Suitability was defined as participants' belief that the test is relevant for their work and that test results are a true indication of the presence or absence of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

4.Satisfaction was described as feeling that being tested for COVID-19 through the TESTATE intervention was convenient and that it is a process they would experience again. Efficacy was defined as participants' ability to make the effort and time to order the self-testing kit, perform the test, report the obtained result, as well as follow the linkage to care procedure if necessary.