



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Title

Effects of the introduction of interprofessional conferences on the intensive care unit: Comparison of the length of stay in the intensive care unit before and after the introduction of interprofessional conferences

Short title: Length of stay in the ICU

Author

Daichi Watanabe^{1,2*}, Keiichi Uranaka³, Kyouko Asazawa³, Takako Akimoto⁴, and Hironori Ohnuma⁵

¹ Nursing Department, Teine Keijinkai Hospital, Sapporo, Japan

² Graduate School of Nursing, Tokyo Healthcare University, Tokyo, Japan

³ Higashigaoka Faculty of Nursing, Tokyo Healthcare University, Tokyo, Japan

⁴ Department of Anesthesia, Teine Keijinkai Hospital, Sapporo, Japan

⁵ Information System Development Division, Teine Keijinkai Hospital, Sapporo, Japan

*Corresponding author

daichi.watanabe1107@icloud.com (DW)

28

29 **Abstract**

30 Recently, interprofessional team medicine has been practiced to achieve medical safety and
31 improve patient outcomes. This study evaluated the effects of interprofessional conferences on
32 intensive care units (ICUs) by comparing outcomes before and after their introduction. This study
33 was conducted at a single center and included 1,765 patients who were admitted to the ICU between
34 April 2017 and March 2019. There were 898 patients in the group before the introduction of
35 conferences (before group) and 867 patients in the group after the introduction of conferences (after
36 group). The interprofessional conferences involved physicians, nurses, physical therapists,
37 nutritionists, and pharmacists. Data were extracted from the medical records. The primary outcome
38 measure was the ICU length of stay (LOS). The secondary outcome measures were hospital LOS
39 and rehabilitation and nutrition started within 48 hours of ICU admission. These outcomes were
40 compared before and after the introduction of interprofessional conferences. The adjusted variables
41 were gender, age, body mass index, ICU readmission, outcome, Barthel index at admission, and
42 disease (classified by the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health
43 Problems 10th edition). The ICU LOS (regression coefficient: -0.08; 95% confidence interval [CI]:
44 -0.13 to -0.04) and hospital LOS (regression coefficient: -2.96; 95% CI: -5.20 to -0.72) were
45 significantly shorter in after group than in the before group. Moreover, the proportion of patients
46 who commenced nutrition (odds ratio [OR]: 1.45; 95% CI: 1.14 to 1.84) and rehabilitation (OR:
47 0.77; 95% CI: 0.51 to 1.17) within 48 hours of ICU admission was significantly higher in the after
48 group than in the before group. Interprofessional conferences effectively reduced the ICU LOS and
49 hospital LOS and improved the likelihood of commencing nutrition and rehabilitation within 48
50 hours of ICU admission.

51

52

53

54

55

56 **Introduction**

57 Recently, a wide variety of staff members have been practicing interprofessional team medicine
58 based on their high levels of expertise, sharing objectives and information, and following the
59 individualized needs of each patient [1]. In complex medical environments such as intensive care
60 units (ICUs), where critically ill patients with limited physiological reserves undergo several tests
61 and treatments, effective communication among professions is especially important as part of team
62 medicine [2]. In terms of medical safety, according to the Joint Commission on Accreditation of
63 Health Organization, communication errors are a major cause of medical errors, which comprise
64 85% of serious medical incidents in hospitals. It has been suggested that effective communication
65 can reduce medical errors and improve short-term patient outcomes such as mortality and
66 readmissions [3]. Additionally, the United States Critical Illness and Injury Trial Group Critical
67 Illness Outcomes Study has identified that the establishment of a daily medical plan and a lower
68 nursing ratio are factors that improve patient outcomes [4]. Therefore, the management of critically
69 ill patients by an interprofessional team led by an intensivist has been proposed to improve the
70 quality of care by strengthening interprofessional collaboration, with all professionals having parts
71 of the roles performed by intensivists and utilizing their own expertise [5]. To provide high-quality
72 patient care, it is essential to share patient care plans among many professionals and facilitate
73 communication among them.

74 Previous studies performed in Japan and other countries have evaluated the introduction and
75 implementation of interprofessional protocols, interprofessional rounds, and interprofessional
76 conferences and their effects on ICU outcomes. As an example of interprofessional protocols, for
77 patients requiring ventilation for more than 48 hours in the ICU, an interprofessional team
78 examined the effects of shallow sedation management on safety and ventilator duration using a
79 quality improvement model. The results of this study reported shallower sedation according to the

80 Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale during the day, decreased unplanned device extractions, and
81 decreased ventilator-related adverse events [6]. Additionally, a study of the effects of introducing a
82 long-term ventilator weaning plan led by an interprofessional team for patients requiring ventilation
83 in the ICU for more than 21 days reported decreased ICU and in-hospital mortality rates and
84 decreased ventilator durations [7]. In terms of nutrition, the effects of interprofessional
85 collaboration have also been evaluated. One study reported that the introduction of an
86 interprofessional ICU nutrition management protocol resulted in an improvement in the early
87 enteral nutrition rate within 48 hours of admission to the ICU [8]. Therefore, the introduction of
88 interprofessional protocols is associated with improved patient outcomes in terms of sedation,
89 ventilator use, and nutrition.

90 Furthermore, a study of the effects of daily bedside interprofessional rounds on the outcomes of
91 patients admitted to ICUs at 112 facilities found that interprofessional rounds were associated with
92 decreased mortality rates within 30 days of admission [9]. Additionally, one study of the effects of
93 the participation of family members of ICU patients in interprofessional rounds showed that this
94 participation was associated with better family satisfaction in terms of frequency of communication
95 with the physician and support during decision-making [10]. Therefore, interprofessional rounds
96 contribute not only to better patient outcomes but also to better patient and family satisfaction rates.

97 Moreover, one study of the effects of introducing interprofessional conferences in an
98 open-system ICU reported that the number of days before rehabilitation commenced was reduced
99 after their introduction compared to the number before their introduction [11]. Another study [12]
100 verified the beneficial effects of introducing interprofessional conferences on rehabilitation,
101 including the treatment plan by cardiovascular surgeons and physical therapists, in the ICU, and it
102 reported decreased endotracheal intubation durations, decreased mean hospital length of stay (LOS),
103 and decreased mean ICU LOS compared to those before the introduction of interprofessional
104 conferences. However, all of these studies of interprofessional conferences involved descriptive
105 statistics or univariate analyses, and confounding factors were not adjusted for, thus making it

106 impossible to conclude the effectiveness of interprofessional conferences. Therefore, we evaluated
107 the effects of interprofessional conferences that were introduced for the purpose of sharing
108 treatment plans and information and making decisions about care and medicine to be provided as
109 part of interprofessional team medicine by performing a multivariate analysis to adjust for
110 confounding factors and using patient outcomes as indicators.

111

112 **Materials and Methods**

113 **Terms definition**

114 During this study, an interprofessional conference was defined as an interprofessional team
115 consisting of a physician and at least one other health care provider [3] sharing treatment plans and
116 information and making decisions about the care to be provided in the ICU.

117

118 **Conceptual framework**

119 The conceptual framework of this study is shown in (Fig 1). During this study, the effects of
120 interprofessional conferences in ICUs were evaluated before and after their introduction. The
121 primary outcome measure was the ICU LOS. The ICU LOS before the introduction of
122 interprofessional conferences and that after their introduction were compared. Secondary outcome
123 measures were hospital LOS, whether nutrition was started within 48 hours of ICU admission,
124 whether rehabilitation was started within 48 hours of ICU admission, and mortality within 28 days
125 of ICU admission. The adjusted variables were gender, age, body mass index (BMI), disease
126 (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th edition
127 [ICD-10]), Barthel index (BI) at admission, outcome, ICU readmission, and surgical procedure.

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137


138

139

140

141

142



143

Figure1: The conceptual framework of this study

144

BMI: Body mass index, ICD-10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th edition, BI: Barthel index

145

146 Design

147

This was a retrospective observational study. It included medical records from April 2017 to March

148

2019.

149

150 Study population and setting

151

The inclusion criterion was admission to the ICU during April 2017 to March 2019. The

152

exclusion criteria were admission to the ICU for less than one night and age 18 years or younger.

153

A specific ICU at a municipal hospital with approximately 700 beds in central Hokkaido, Japan,

154

was selected as the research facility for the study. The ICU at the study site was a medical/surgical

155

ICU with 16 beds and was operated using a semi-closed system. It was staffed by an intensivist and

156

had a patient-to-nurse ratio of 2:1. Staff members during the day shift included a full-time physical

157

therapist, clinical engineer, nutritionist, and pharmacist.

158 The research facility introduced regular interprofessional conferences as part of
159 interprofessional team care in 2018. In 2019, an operation manual for interprofessional conferences
160 was created within the ICU department, and the format for recording the conferences in the
161 electronic medical records was standardized.

162 Conferences were conducted once per week for approximately 30 minutes. One target patient was
163 determined after a discussion among the interprofessional staff the day before the conference. There
164 was no clear definition of eligible patients; however, priority was given to patients who were
165 critically ill, patients whose care or treatment was not progressing as planned, and patients who
166 were at high risk for such problems.

167 The interprofessional conference participants were physicians, nurses, physical therapists,
168 nutritionists, pharmacists, clinical engineers, and medical social workers. The purpose of the
169 interprofessional conferences and the contents of discussions varied depending on the target patient;
170 however, the main objectives were sharing treatment plans and information and making decisions
171 about the care and treatment to be provided. Additionally, patient goals and their evaluation dates,
172 as well as specific care plans to achieve them, were discussed.

173

174 **Data collection**

175 After obtaining consent for research cooperation from the administrators of the collaborating
176 facilities, patient data and the number of conferences conducted were extracted from the medical
177 records for the study. The survey items included the following patient characteristics: gender; age;
178 height; weight; disease name (ICD-10); patient outcome (alive or dead); BI at admission (calculated
179 using a 20-point scale for this study); surgical procedure (including scheduled and emergency
180 surgeries); ICU readmission; ICU admission date; ICU discharge date; hospital admission date;
181 discharge date; whether nutrition was started within 48 hours (including enteral and oral nutrition);
182 whether rehabilitation was started within 48 hours of ICU admission (rehabilitation was defined as
183 intervention by a physical therapist); and mortality within 28 days of ICU admission.

184

185 **Measurements**

186 **Intensive care unit length of stay**

187 The ICU LOS was calculated as the difference in the number of days between the date of admission
188 to the ICU and the date of discharge from the ICU. If the patients were re-admitted to the ICU
189 within the same admission period, then they were treated as the same patient, and the LOS in the
190 ICU was calculated by adding the number of days spent in the ICU after the first and subsequent
191 ICU admissions.

192

193 **Hospital length of stay**

194 The hospital LOS was calculated as the number of days between admission to the study facility and
195 the date of discharge from the facility. If the study patients were discharged and readmitted, then
196 they were treated as different patients and their hospital LOS were calculated separately.

197

198 **Other variables related to the intensive care unit length of stay**

199 Factors related to the ICU LOS were based on previous studies and included patient age, gender,
200 outcome, surgical procedure, and disease [11]. The BMI [6], ICU readmission [13,14], and BI at
201 admission [15,16] were also selected as variables.

202

203 **Statistical analysis**

204 Descriptive statistics were calculated for each variable, and patient characteristics before and
205 after the introduction of the interprofessional conferences were compared using the Student t test
206 and χ^2 test, depending on the type of variable. The Mann-Whitney U test was also used to compare
207 the median number of days in the ICU for each variable before and after the introduction of
208 interprofessional conferences. Correlations between variables were calculated using Pearson's
209 correlation coefficient and the Spearman rank correlation coefficient, depending on the type of scale.

210 To examine differences in the ICU LOS based on the introduction of interprofessional conferences,
211 the dependent variable was ICU LOS and the independent variables were before and after the
212 introduction of interprofessional conferences, gender, age, BMI, ICU readmission, outcome, BI at
213 admission, and disease (ICD-10); these were forced variables and a Poisson regression analysis was
214 performed. A multiple regression analysis was performed for the secondary outcome measures; the
215 dependent variable was hospital LOS and the independent variables were before and after the
216 introduction of interprofessional conferences, gender, age, BMI, ICU readmission, outcome, BI at
217 admission, and disease (ICD-10). Regarding whether nutrition was started within 48 hours of ICU
218 admission and whether rehabilitation was started within 48 hours of ICU admission, the dependent
219 variables were whether nutrition was started within 48 hours of ICU admission and whether
220 rehabilitation was started within 48 hours of ICU admission, respectively; the independent variables
221 were before and after the introduction of interprofessional conference, gender, age, BMI, ICU
222 readmission, outcome, BI at admission, and disease (ICD-10). We forced those independent
223 variables and performed a logistic regression analysis. Regarding mortality within 28 days of ICU
224 admission, a logistic regression analysis was performed with mortality within 28 days of ICU
225 admission as the dependent variable and forced entry of before and after the introduction of
226 interprofessional conferences, gender, age, BMI, ICU readmission, BI at admission, and disease
227 (ICD-10) as the independent variables.

228 All statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 15 (Stata Corp LLC, Lakeway, TX,
229 USA). Two-sided $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

230

231 **Ethical considerations**

232 Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Review Committee of Teine Keijinkai
233 Hospital (no. 2-020276-00) and from the Ethics Committee of Tokyo Healthcare University of the
234 researcher (no. 32-50). Informed consent was obtained using a form provided on the website of the
235 Teine Keijinkai Hospital; ethical approval was given. There are no conflicts of interest to disclose.

236

237 **Results**

238 Details of the interprofessional conferences conducted are shown in Table 1. There were 58
239 interprofessional conferences conducted in 2019, and 22 patients were the target of those
240 conferences (in some cases, more than one conference was conducted for a single patient). The
241 contents of 31 conferences included sharing information about the patients. The contents of 25
242 conferences included making clear decisions about treatment and treatment plans. The contents of
243 two conferences included ethics. There were no conferences about unclear records or unavailable
244 records.

245

246 **Table 1 Number and contents of interprofessional conference**

	in 2018 (n=45)	in 2019 (n=58)
247 Sharing information about the patients	29	31
248 Making clear decisions about treatment	9	25
249 Ethics	0	2
250 Unclear records	4	0
251 Unavailable records	3	0

251

252 The characteristics of the study participants are shown in Table 2. The number of study
253 participants was 1765; there were 898 patients in the group before the introduction of
254 interprofessional conferences (before group) and 867 patients in the group after the introduction of
255 interprofessional conference (after group). The mean age of the patients was 69.7 years (standard
256 deviation [SD]: ± 13.6 years); 59% were male patients (1043) and 41% were female patients (722).
257 The mean BMI was 23.3 (SD: 4.5). There were significantly more patients who required
258 readmission in the before group than in the after group ($\chi^2=4.76$; degrees of freedom=1.0; $p=0.029$).
259 There were no significant differences in other characteristics of the two groups.

260

261

262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287

Table2 The characteristics of the study participants (N=1765)

Variables	Bfore group (n=898)		After group (n=867)		<i>p-value</i>
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age	69.6	± 13.5	69.9	± 13.6	0.710
BMI	23.2	± 4.7	23.4	± 4.2	0.420
BI at admission	14.3	± 7.9	14.1	± 8.2	0.690
	n	%	n	%	
Gender					0.890
Male	532	51.0	511	49.0	
Female	366	50.7	356	49.3	
Surgical procedure	724	51.8	672	48.2	0.100
ICU readmission	57	61.9	35	38.1	0.029
Disease (ICD-10)					0.260
Cardiovascular disease	342	48.4	364	51.6	
Neoplasms	318	52.3	289	47.7	
Gastrointestinal diseases	82	49.1	85	50.9	
Other diseases	155	54.5	129	45.5	
Patient outcome					0.940
Alive	819	50.9	786	49.1	
Dead	79	49.3	81	50.7	

Student t test and χ^2 test were used to compare patient characteristics before and after the introduction of the interprofessional conferences

Before group : the group before the introduction of conferences, After group : the group after the introduction of conferences

SD : standard deviation, BMI : Body Mass Index, BI : Barthel Index(calculated using a 20-point scale for this study)

ICD-10 : International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th edition

Table 3 shows the results of a comparison of the before and after groups in terms of the ICU LOS and patient characteristics. There were no significant differences in the ICU LOS based on the characteristics of patients in the before and after groups.

288

289

290

291

292

Table3 The ICU LOS and patient characteristics of the before and after groups. (N=1765)

Variables	n	%	The ICU LOS of before group			The ICU LOS of after group			p-value	
			n	Median	IQR	n	Median	IQR		
Gender	Female	722	41.0	366	2.0	2.0 - 5.0	356	2.0	2.0 - 5.0	0.936
	Male	1043	59.0	532	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	511	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	0.791
Surgical procedure	Without	369	20.9	174	2.0	2.0 - 5.0	195	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	0.507
	With	1369	79.1	724	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	672	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	0.593
ICU readmission	Without	1673	97.8	841	2.0	2.0 - 5.0	832	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	0.437
	With	92	5.2	57	12.0	7.0 - 12.0	35	10.0	10.0 - 16.0	0.131
Disease (ICD-10)	Cardiovascular disease	706	40.0	342	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	364	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	0.795
	Neoplasms	607	34.4	318	2.0	2.0 - 3.0	289	2.0	2.0 - 3.0	0.528
	Gastrointestinal diseases	167	9.5	82	4.0	3.0 - 8.0	85	3.0	2.0 - 6.0	0.183
	Other diseases	287	16.1	155	4.0	2.0 - 9.0	129	4.0	2.0 - 7.0	0.246
Patient outcome	Alive	1605	90.9	819	2.0	2.0 - 5.0	786	3.0	2.0 - 5.0	0.982
	Dead	160	9.1	79	6.0	2.0 - 15.0	81	4.0	2.0 - 12.0	0.400

301

The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the median number of days in the ICU for each variable before and after the introduction of interprofessional conferences.

302

The ICU LOS : the intensive care unit length of stay, Before group : the group before the introduction of conferences, After group : the group after the introduction of conferences

303

IQR : inter quartile range, ICD-10 : International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th edition

304

The primary and secondary outcome measures were compared by performing a univariate

305

analysis of the before and after groups (Table 4). The results showed no significant difference in the

306

ICU LOS of the before and after groups (p=0.859). The proportion of patients who started nutrition

307

within 48 hours of ICU admission was significantly higher in the after group (67.8%) than in the

308

before group (61.9%) (p=0.009). The proportion of patients who started rehabilitation within 48

309

hours of ICU admission was also significantly higher in the after group (68.0%) than in the before

310

group (58.2%) (p=0.000), and there was no significant difference in the proportion of patients who

311

died within 28 days of ICU admission (p=0.943). Next, correlation coefficients of the independent

312

variables were calculated for variable selection to avoid multicollinearity in the multiple regression

313

analysis model (Table 5). The variables that were significantly correlated with ICU LOS were

314 gender ($r=0.07$), ICU readmission status ($r=0.34$), and outcome ($r=0.16$).

315

316

317

Table4 The primary and secondary outcome measures in the before and after groups (N=1765)

Outcome measures	Bfore group (n=898)	After group (n=867)	
ICU LOS, median day (IQR)	3.0 (2.0 - 5.0)	3.0 (2.0 - 5.0)	0.859
Hospital LOS, median day (IQR)	20.0 (11.0 - 37.0)	19.0 (10.0 - 31.0)	0.023
The proportion of patients who started nutrition within 48 hours of ICU admission, %	61.9	67.8	0.009
The proportion of patients who started rehabilitation within 48 hours of ICU admission, %	58.2	68.0	<0.001
Mortality within 28 days of ICU admission, %	7.2	7.1	0.943

324 The Mann-Whitney U test and χ^2 test were used to compare primary and secondary outcome measures in the before and after the Introduction of interprofessional conferences.

325 Before group : the group before the introduction of conferences, After group : the group after the introduction of conferences

326 ICU LOS : intensive care unit length of stay, Hospital LOS : hospital length of stay, IQR : inter quartile range

326

Table5 Correlation coefficients of the variables (N=1724)

Variables	Gender	Age	BMI	ICU readmission	Patient outcome	BI at admission	Surgical procedure	Disease (ICD-10)	Introduction of conferences	ICU LOS
Gender	1.00									
Age	-0.03	1.00								
BMI	0.04	-0.15*	1.00							
ICU readmission	0.04	0.06*	-0.03	1.00						
Patient outcome	0.03	0.04	-0.03	0.10*	1.00					
BI at admission	-0.01	-0.07	0.01	-0.12	-0.35	1.00				
Surgical procedure	0.02	-0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.05*	1.00			
Disease (ICD-10)	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.06*	0.01	-0.01	0.00	1.00		
Introduction of conferences	0.00	0.02	0.02	-0.05	-0.01	0.01	-0.04	0.04	1.00	
ICU LOS	0.07*	-0.05	0.03	0.34*	0.16*	-0.40	0.01	0.05	0.00	1.00

333 Correlations between variables were calculated using Pearson's correlation coefficient and the Spearman rank correlation coefficient, depending on the type of scale. * $p < 0.05$

334 BMI : Body Mass Index, BI : Barthel Index, BI : Barthel Index(calculated using a 20-point scale for this study)

335 ICD-10: ICD-10 : International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th edition

336 ICU LOS : intensive care unit length of stay

335 Additionally, a Poisson regression analysis was performed to determine the association between

336 the primary outcome (ICU LOS) and the introduction of interprofessional conferences (Table 6).

337 The Poisson regression analysis revealed a significant association between ICU LOS and the

338 introduction of interprofessional conferences (partial regression coefficient: -0.08, 95% confidence

339 interval [CI]: -0.13 to -0.04; incidence rate ratio: 0.91, 95% confidence interval: 0.87 to 0.95). Other

366

367

368

369

370

371 **Discussion**

372 **Effectives of interprofessional conferences on starting nutrition and** 373 **rehabilitation**

374 The start of nutrition within 48 hours of ICU admission and the start of rehabilitation within 48
375 hours of ICU admission were significantly higher in the after group than in the before group. The
376 benefits of interprofessional team care include the promotion of shared decision-making among
377 various medical professionals, patients, and family members, the reduction of medical errors and
378 disadvantages to patients, and the improvement of the quality of evidence-based care [3,5,17]. The
379 Japanese guidelines for nutritional therapy for critically ill patients recommend early enteral
380 nutrition within 24 to 48 hours after ICU admission [18]. During early rehabilitation, it is also
381 recommended that positioning and early ambulation practices should be the basis for the prevention
382 of respiratory complications with acute respiratory failure in the ICU [19]. Therefore, it is thought
383 that interprofessional communication was promoted at the interprofessional conferences, and that
384 the exchange of knowledge, skills, and experience among the various medical professionals led to
385 the provision of care based on the evidence of previous studies, which led to the early start of
386 nutrition and rehabilitation. Information sharing has been identified as one of the factors associated
387 with interprofessional collaboration that influence early rehabilitation in the ICU [20]. Information
388 sharing is important when discussing how each professional perceives the patient's condition based
389 on expertise and when discussing specific care among multiple professionals who are working
390 together. Furthermore, it has been reported that increased information sharing opportunities have led
391 to the improved ability to perform activities of daily living of patients in general surgical wards [21],

392 and that facilitating information sharing among multiple professionals may promote early
393 rehabilitation, prevent complications, and improve the long-term prognosis in the ICU [20].
394 Therefore, the introduction of interprofessional conferences may have increased opportunities for
395 information sharing, thus leading to the shared recognition of the characteristics of each
396 professional and patient, which may have contributed to early rehabilitation.

397

398 **Effects of interprofessional conferences on intensive care unit length of** 399 **stay and hospital length of stay**

400 Although there was no significant difference between the two groups according to the
401 univariate analysis, adjusting for gender, age, BMI, ICU readmission, outcome, BI at admission,
402 and disease (ICD-10) in the multivariate analysis resulted in significantly lower ICU LOS compared
403 to that before the introduction of interprofessional conferences. Previous studies have described the
404 possibility that interprofessional rounds, during which an interprofessional team performs daily
405 rounds at the patient's bedside, facilitate the implementation of respiratory therapy and nurse
406 protocols for sedation, thus leading to shorter ventilator use and ICU LOS [22,23]. One study [24]
407 reported that less than 10% of residents and nurses understood the goals of care; however, after the
408 introduction of daily goal sheets and interprofessional sharing, the percentage of residents and
409 nurses who understood the goals of care increased to more than 95%. As a result, there was a
410 reduction in the ICU LOS. Based on previous studies, it can be inferred that sharing patient goals
411 and the care and treatment provided by multiple professions contribute to the ICU LOS and
412 outcomes [24]. During this study, one of the objectives of the interprofessional conferences was to
413 share the care goals and patient goals; regardless of the format of the interprofessional rounds and
414 conferences, the sharing of inpatient conditions and goals led to improved patient outcomes, such as
415 shorter ICU LOS.

416 Furthermore, after the introduction of interprofessional conferences, more patients started
417 nutrition within 48 hours of admission to the ICU, and more patients started rehabilitation within 48

418 hours of admission to the ICU. Although robust evidence of early enteral nutrition is not available, a
419 previous study reported that early initiation of nutrition improved hospital LOS and ICU LOS [25].
420 Additionally, early ambulation and early active exercise for patients admitted to the ICU have been
421 shown to reduce ICU LOS [26] and hospital LOS [27–29]. Therefore, it is suggested that, in
422 addition to shared patient goals among professionals, improved care processes, such as the early
423 start of nutrition and rehabilitation, contributed to the reductions in ICU LOS and hospital LOS.

424

425 **Study implications**

426 During this retrospective study, we were able to use a large amount of original data by
427 extracting a sample from the medical records. This made it possible to compare our outcomes with
428 those of other studies of interprofessional collaboration.

429 This is the first study to examine the effectiveness of interprofessional conferences in the ICU
430 after adjusting for confounding factors through a multivariate analysis. The results confirmed that
431 shorter ICU stays, shorter hospital LOS, and the earlier start of nutrition and rehabilitation were
432 achieved after the introduction of these conferences. Furthermore, the results suggested that if the
433 common goals are to promote collaboration among multiple professionals, to activate
434 communication between professionals, and to share patient conditions, goals, and care among
435 professionals, then patient outcomes will improve regardless of the form of collaboration (such as
436 interprofessional rounds, interprofessional protocols, and interprofessional conferences).

437

438 **Study limitations**

439 There were three limitations to this study. First, it was a before-and-after comparative study
440 involving patient data extracted from the medical records. Adjusting for confounding factors
441 associated with changes in healthcare delivery systems and treatment methods over time was not
442 possible. Additionally, there was no clear definition of the target patients involved in
443 interprofessional conferences, which tended to focus on critically ill patients, patients whose

444 treatment or care was not progressing as planned, or patients at high risk for such problems.
445 Adjusting for confounding factors related to the patient status, such as disease severity, history of
446 illness, and presence of delirium, was not possible. Therefore, future prospective studies with
447 random sampling are required to examine the effectiveness of interprofessional conferences in more
448 detail. Second, the study was conducted at a single center and the sample was biased, which
449 affected the generalizability of the study results. Third, the study was not able to compare
450 participants who attended the interprofessional conferences with those who did not. Only 22
451 patients were targeted by the conferences. Therefore, after accumulating more cases, it will be
452 necessary to conduct a multivariate analysis to control for confounding factors and to clarify causal
453 inferences based on propensity scores.

454

455 **Conclusion**

456 After the introduction of interprofessional conferences in the ICU, more patients started nutrition
457 within 48 hours of ICU admission, more patients started rehabilitation within 48 hours of ICU
458 admission, and shorter ICU LOS and hospital LOS were observed.

459

460 **Acknowledgments**

461 We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all patients who provided their medical records for
462 this study, to Mr. Ohnuma of the System Development Division of the Information Systems
463 Department at the study facilities for his great cooperation in data collection, and to Associate
464 Professor Uranaka and Associate Professor Asazawa for their guidance during this study.

465

466

467 **References**

468

469 1. Working group for the study of measures to promote team medical care; 2011. Available from:

- 470 <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/shingi/2r9852000001ehf7-att/2r9852000001ehgo.pdf>. Basic
471 Book Company Concepts and Practical Case Studies for Promoting Team Medicine
472 Teamiryousuisin no tame no kihon teki na kangae kata to jissenteki jireisyuu(in Japanese). pp.
473 1–76.
- 474 2. Donchin Y, Gopher D, Olin M, Badihi Y, Biesky M, Sprung CL, et al. A look into the nature
475 and causes of human errors in the intensive care unit. 1995. Qual Saf Health Care. 2003;12:
476 143–7; discussion 147. doi: [10.1136/qhc.12.2.143](https://doi.org/10.1136/qhc.12.2.143).
- 477 3. Lane D, Ferri M, Lemaire J, McLaughlin K, Stelfox HT. A systematic review of
478 evidence-informed practices for patient care rounds in the ICU*. Crit Care Med. 2013;41:
479 2015–2029. doi: [10.1097/CCM.0b013e31828a435f](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31828a435f).
- 480 4. Checkley W, Martin GS, Brown SM, Steven Y, Dabbagh O, Fremont RD, et al. Structure,
481 process and annual intensive care unit mortality across 69 centers: United States Critical
482 Illness and Injury Trials Group Critical Illness Outcomes Study (USCIITG-CIOS). Crit Care
483 Med. 2015;42: 344–356.
- 484 5. Weled BJ, Adzhigirey LA, Hodgman TM, Brill RJ, Spevetz A, Kline AM, et al. Critical care
485 delivery: The importance of process of care and ICU structure to improved outcomes: An
486 update from the American College of Critical Care Medicine task force on models of critical
487 care. Crit Care Med. 2015;43: 1520–1525. doi: [10.1097/CCM.0000000000000978](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000000978).
- 488 6. Kawai Y, Muramatsu K, Yamashita T, Nakamura T, Nishida O. [Safety and effectiveness of
489 multidisciplinary shallow sedation management using a Quality Improvement model Quality
490 Improvement model wo mochiita tasyokusyu deno sennchinsei kanri no anzensei to kouka](in
491 Japanese). Jpn J Respir Care. 2020;37: 79–85.
- 492 7. Physiotherapy. C, trust F, Whittington T. A multidisciplinary team approach to weaning from.
493 Br J Hosp Med.. 2012;73: 462–466.
- 494 8. Kano T, Kataoka Y, Hanajima T, Nakatani K, Sato T, Tsuchiya S, et al. [Effect of ICU
495 nutritional support protocol operated by multioccupational members at our emergency center

- 496 Touin kyuumei kyuukyuu center ICU ni okeru tasyokusyu unnyou eiyou protocol dounyuu
497 kouka no kentou](in Japanese). J Jpn Soc Parenter Enter Nutr. 2016;31: 835–842.
- 498 9. Kim MM, Barnato AE, Angus DC, Fleisher LA, Kahn JM. The effect of multidisciplinary care
499 teams on intensive care unit mortality. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170: 369–376. doi:
500 [10.1001/archinternmed.2009.521](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2009.521).
- 501 10. Jacobowski NL, Girard TD, Mulder JA, Ely EW. Communication in critical care: Family
502 rounds in the intensive care unit. Am J Crit Care. 2010;19: 421–430. doi:
503 [10.4037/ajcc2010656](https://doi.org/10.4037/ajcc2010656).
- 504 11. Watanabe K, Takahashi C, Izaki J, Kondo N, Yamamoto I, Tsuchimura M, et al. [Effect of
505 implementation of a multidisciplinary regular conference in an open ICU]. ICU& CCU Open
506 ICU ni okeru tasyokusyu sankagata teiki conference no yuuyousei(in Japanese);40; 2016. pp.
507 293–297.
- 508 12. Kato A, Honda S, Wada N, Kikuchi S, Souma Y, Fukuzawa T, et al. [The trial of early
509 rehabilitation after open heart surgery in the intensive care unit Touin ICU deno
510 sinzoukekkangeka jutugo ni okeru souki rihabilitation no torikumi ni tuite](in Japanese).
511 Hakodate Medical Journal. 2018;42: 57–61.
- 512 13. Kramer AA, Higgins TL, Zimmerman JE. The association between ICU readmission rate and
513 patient outcomes. Crit Care Med. 2013;41: 24–33. doi: [10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182657b8a](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182657b8a).
- 514 14. Santamaria JD, Duke GJ, Pilcher DV, Cooper DJ, Moran J, Bellomo R, et al. Readmissions to
515 intensive care: A prospective multicenter study in Australia and New Zealand. Crit Care Med.
516 2017;45: 290–297. doi: [10.1097/CCM.0000000000002066](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000002066).
- 517 15. Irie K, Urakawa H, Koga M.[DPC hospital management with ADL evaluation in elderly
518 patients] Koureika iryouken deno DPC shinryou ni okeru ADLhyouka no yuuyousei(in
519 Japanese). J Jpn Soc Health Care Manag. 2007;8: 432–437.
- 520 16. Hunter A, Johnson L, Coustasse A. Reduction of intensive care unit length of stay: The case of
521 early mobilization. Health Care Manag (Frederick). 2020;39: 109–116. doi:

- 522 [10.1097/HCM.0000000000000295](https://doi.org/10.1097/HCM.0000000000000295).
- 523 17. Donovan AL, Aldrich JM, Gross AK, Barchas DM, Thornton KC, Schell-Chaple HM, et al.
524 Interprofessional care and teamwork in the ICU. Crit Care Med. 2018;46: 980–990. doi:
525 [10.1097/CCM.0000000000003067](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000003067).
- 526 18. The Committee on Japanese Guidelines for Nutrition Support Therapy in the Adult and
527 Pediatric Critically Ill Patients. Japanese guidelines for nutrition support therapy in the adult
528 and pediatric critically ill patients. J Jpn Soc Intensive Care Med. 2016;23: 185-281.
- 529 19. Early Rehabilitation Review Committee. [Japanese Society of Intensive Care Medicine Early
530 Rehabilitation in Intensive Care ~Evidence-Based Expert Consensus~] Syuutyuu chiryou ni
531 okeru souki rihabilitation ~konkyo ni motodoku expert consensus~(in Japanese). J Jpn Soc
532 Intensive Care Med. 2017;24: 255-303.
- 533 20. Katsuyama A, Akazawa C, Teraguchi S. [Literature review on early rehabilitation by
534 interprofessional collaboration in the Intensive Care Unit] Syuutyuu chiryousitu niokeru
535 tasyokusyuu niyoru souki rihabilitation ni kansuru bunkenkentou(in Japanese). Osaka Med Coll
536 J Nurs Res. 2020;10: 32-42.
- 537 21. Fukatani K, Okada H, Hibino M. [Results of a questionnaire survey examining the
538 effectiveness of the full-time assignment of physical therapists in the Neurosurgery Unit of this
539 hospital] Touin noushinkei geka byoutou ni okeru rigakuryouhousi no senjuuhaichi niyoru
540 kouka no kentou(in Japanese). The Medical Journal of Tosei General Hospital. 2017;32: 72-76.
- 541 22. Ely EW, Baker AM, Dunagan DP, Burke HL, Smith AC, Kelly PT, et al. Effect on the duration of
542 mechanical ventilation of identifying patients capable of breathing spontaneously. N Engl J Med.
543 1996;335: 1864-1869. doi: [10.1056/NEJM199612193352502](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199612193352502).
- 544 23. Kress JP, Pohlman AS, O'Connor MF, Hall JB. Daily interruption of sedative infusions in
545 critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation. N Engl J Med. 2000;342: 1471–1477.
546 doi: [10.1056/NEJM200005183422002](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200005183422002).
- 547 24. Pronovost P, Berenholtz S, Dorman T, Lipsett PA, Simmonds T, Haraden C. Improving

- 548 communication in the ICU using daily goals. *J Crit Care*. 2003;18: 71–75. doi:
- 549 [10.1053/jcrc.2003.50008](https://doi.org/10.1053/jcrc.2003.50008).
- 550 25. Nguyen NQ, Besanko LK, Burgstad C, Bellon M, Holloway RH, Chapman M, et al. Delayed
- 551 enteral feeding impairs intestinal carbohydrate absorption in critically ill Patients. *Crit Care*
- 552 *Med*. 2012;40: 50–54. doi: [10.1097/CCM.0b013e31822d71a6](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31822d71a6).
- 553 26. Morris PE, Goad A, Thompson C, Taylor K, Harry B, Passmore L, et al. Early intensive care
- 554 unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36:
- 555 2238–2243. doi: [10.1097/CCM.0b013e318180b90e](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e318180b90e).
- 556 27. Muehling BM, Halter G, Lang G, Schelzig H, Steffen P, Wagner F, et al. Prospective
- 557 randomized controlled trial to evaluate “fast-track” elective open infrarenal aneurysm repair.
- 558 *Langenbecks Arch Surg*. 2008;393: 281–287. doi: [10.1007/s00423-008-0284-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00423-008-0284-8).
- 559 28. Kayambu G, Boots R, Paratz J. Physical therapy for the critically ill in the ICU: A systematic
- 560 review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med*. 2013;41: 1543–1554. doi:
- 561 [10.1097/CCM.0b013e31827ca637](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31827ca637).
- 562 29. Zang K, Chen B, Wang M, Chen D, Hui L, Guo S, et al. The effect of early mobilization in
- 563 critically ill patients: A meta-analysis. *Nurs Crit Care*. 2020;25: 360–367. doi:
- 564 [10.1111/nicc.12455](https://doi.org/10.1111/nicc.12455).
- 565




Figure1: The conceptual framework of this study

BMI: Body mass index, ICD-10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th edition, BI: Barthel index