Enterprise healthcare physician services in Canada: an environmental scan Sheryl Spithoff MD MSc (1,2,3), Lana Mogic BSc (1) **Affiliations** 1. Department of Family and Community Medicine, Women's College Hospital, Toronto, Canada 2. Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada 3. Women's College Hospital Research Institute, Women's College Hospital, Toronto, Canada Corresponding author: Sheryl Spithoff: sheryl.spithoff@wchospital.ca OCRID: SMS https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6965-473X Word count: 1519

Abstract

 Background: Employers in Canada are increasingly offering physician services to their employees, often through third party workplace "enterprise healthcare" platforms. To date however, little work has been done to understand this method of organizing and delivering care.

Objective: To understand the nature, extent and implications of enterprise healthcare physician services in Canada.

Methods: We conducted structured internet and database searches to identify enterprise healthcare platforms that provided physician services and their public websites. To answer our research question, We extracted data from company websites and linked company documents as well as information from Mergent Intellect, a web-based application with business data on Canadian companies.

Results: We identified nine companies offering enterprise physician services to employees in Canada via 11 enterprise software platforms. According to company claims, over four million Canadian employees and their family members have access to enterprise physician services. All platforms offer virtual physician services and five also facilitate in person visits. Ten of the platforms provide primary care services and one offers only addiction medicine services. Four of the platforms offer to communicate and share information with an employee's regular primary care provider. Five state they share aggregate or de-identified health data with employers.

Conclusions: Enterprise healthcare companies provide millions of Canadian employees and their families with rapid access to virtual physician services and, in some cases, in person care. These services may disrupt continuity of care (care by the same provider over time) and pose risks to employee privacy. As other Canadians do not have access to these services, enterprise healthcare is also introducing two-tiered healthcare across Canada potentially affecting the sustainability of the public healthcare system.

Keywords: enterprise care; workplace healthcare; physician services; employee health; virtual care; telehealth; healthcare financing; healthcare delivery; routinely collected health data; patient data privacy; privacy; Canada

Introduction

Employers in Canada are increasingly offering physician services to their employees, typically through a third party company (1–5). The third party companies enable virtual physician services through a workplace "enterprise healthcare" platform (Box 1). Some also facilitate in person physician care through the platforms (6). The companies claim the enterprise platforms improve access to care, leading to lower rates of employee absenteeism (7,8) and a good return on investment for employers (7–9). Some enterprise healthcare companies may share deidentified employee health data with employers (10–12). The companies state that data sharing allows employers to evaluate the health services they provide and identify ways to reduce employee absenteeism. Data sharing, however, also has implications for employee privacy and autonomy.

Enterprise healthcare differs from the traditional model of healthcare in Canada where most people receive care from self-employed physicians working independently or in small groups in community clinics (13). These physicians either bill the provincial/territorial single-payer public health system – known as "Medicare" – or, much less commonly, bill patients directly (14–16). Until recently, these physicians, working in the traditional model of care, rarely provided virtual care; only three percent of Canadians reported a virtual care physician visit in 2018 (17). With the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic, and the introduction of public funding for virtual care, more physicians started offering these services (18). In the province of Ontario, for example, virtual care visits increased 56-fold to comprise over 70% of all visits between March 2020 and July 2020 (19).

Despite the apparent widespread access to enterprise healthcare in Canada, little work has been done to understand the extent and nature of this method of organizing and delivering physician services, nor the implications for employees and for the health system. Our research objective, therefore, was to gain an understanding of the nature, extent and implications of enterprise healthcare in Canada through a national environmental scan.

Box 1. Terms used in the article

DOX II TETTIS asca III are	X 1. Terms used in the dringe		
Virtual care (Telemedicine)	Any interaction between patients and/or members of their circle of care (e.g.		
	physicians, nurses), occurring remotely, using any forms of communication or		
	information technologies with the aim of facilitating or maximizing the quality and		
	effectiveness of patient care (20)		
Enterprise healthcare	A method of delivering healthcare to employees, on behalf of the employer, using		
	a third party's proprietary software platform		
Enterprise healthcare	The third-party companies contracted to provide enterprise healthcare services to		
companies	employees, on behalf of employers		

Methods

We conducted structured internet searches between December 3rd, 2021 and April 1st, 2022 to identify enterprise platforms that provide physician services to company employees in Canada. The search terms used consisted of terms pertaining to the topic areas of virtual care, Canada,

and enterprise. We ran each of the searches individually and examined the first 50 results looking for relevant findings. We compared our findings to a list we compiled when conducting a previous research study examining direct-to-patient virtual care in Canada to ensure our search terms were identifying all relevant platforms. We then identified the company that owned the platform.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

We included platforms if the company could be contracted by an employer to provide physician healthcare services through a proprietary software platform to employees living in Canada. We only included companies that provided physician services as these historically are part of Canadian Medicare, whereas many other clinical services (e.g., counseling) are not. We included companies with platforms that provided physician visits through both synchronous (e.g., phone calls, video chat, in person) and asynchronous communication (e.g., text communication). We excluded companies if they provided services solely to employees living outside of Canada or if they offered only non-physician services. We only included companies with platforms using the English language.

Identification of documents

For each enterprise healthcare platform we included, we identified the platform's public website and any linked websites or documents. We also searched Mergent Intellect, a publicly accessible, web-based application offering business data for a collection of US and Canadian private and public corporations (21). This database contains basic company information, as well as financial, industry, and executive details for over 1.6 million Canadian businesses. It offers information on corporate structures, including a list of key executives and a complete company family tree.

Data extraction and analysis

We extracted information on the company's corporate structure, company headquarters, countries of operation, ownership (publicly-traded or privately held), communication mode(s) (e.g., in person, text, phone, or video), primary care physician services, specialist services, payment for physician services (private or public), in person services, system navigator services, family coverage for services, sharing of data with employers and information on communication with an employee's regular primary care provider. Where available, we also collected information on company claims about number of Canadian enterprise clients (employers) and number of enterprise members (employees and their family members) in Canada.

Ethics

As we only used publicly available documents, we received a research ethics board exemption from Women's College Hospital.

Results

Table 1. Companies providing enterprise physician services

140

141

142

143144

145146

147

148

149150151

152

153154155

Platform name	Parent company	Claims about services provided
ALAViDA	Lifespeak	-
Appletree	Appletree Medical Group	-
CloudMD	CloudMD Software & Services	7200 companies (employers)
Dialogue	Dialogue Health Technologies	25,000 plus companies (employers), 1.8 million members (employees and family members)
JungoHR	JungoHR	-
Kii	CloudMD Software & Services	-
Lifeworks	Telus	-
Maple	Maple Corporation	-
Meira Care	Meira Care	-
Teladoc	Teladoc Health	12,000 plus companies (employers)
TELUS Health Virtual Care	Telus	2.8 million members (employees and family members)

Table 2. Description of companies providing enterprise physician services in Canada

		Number (%)
Ownership	Privately-held	4 (36%)
	Publicly-traded	7 (64%)
Headquarters	Canada	10 (91%)
	US	1 (9%)
Funding of physician services	Public	
	Private	
	Not stated	11 (100%)
Medical services	Primary care services	10 (91%)
	Addiction physician services only	1 (10%)
	Access to specialist care	7 (64%)
	System navigators	6 (55%)
	Family coverage	5 (45%)
Modality	Virtual care	11 (100%)
	In person care	5 (45%)
Access	24/7	8 (73%)

	Same day	2 (22%)
Data sharing	With employee's regular primary care provider	4 (36%)
	With employers (aggregate or de-identified health data only)	5 (45%)

% = percentage

Discussion

Our environmental scan provides insight into enterprise physician services in Canada. Enterprise healthcare companies provide millions of Canadian employees and their families with rapid access to virtual physician services and, in some cases, in person care. These services may disrupt continuity of care (care by the same provider over time) and pose risks to employee privacy. Further, as many people living in Canada do not have access to these services, the model is also introducing two-tiered healthcare broadly across Canada. This is likely to increase disparities in care and costs to the public health system.

Our analysis indicates that some employees may experience disruptions to continuity of care. Less than half of the platforms enable in-person physician visits, implying patients are expected to seek care from their regular care provider or the emergency department for complicated issues. As a result, employees are likely to experience a disruption to continuity of care (care by the same provider over time), a challenge for effective management of chronic and complex health conditions (6).

As some of these enterprise care companies state they share de-identified or aggregate patient data with employers and/or third parties, this method of delivering healthcare may present additional risks to employees. Although the risk of re-identification, is likely to be low if the data are de-identified or aggregated (1,22), sharing data with employers can enable other privacy-related harms such as surveillance and discrimination. For example, the data can be used to identify the characteristics of employees who are likely to have complex health conditions or who may have a high-risk pregnancy (10–12,23). Companies may use this information to improve services for these types of employees. They may also, however, use this information in job recruitment algorithms to ensure these individuals are not offered employment in the first place (24–26).

Enterprise healthcare is also introducing a two-tiered system, where millions of employees and family members have access to physician services not available to other Canadians. This may worsen health disparities. Employees of larger companies are often from a higher socioeconomic stratum than other people living in Canada, and part of a group that already has better access to care (13), and better health outcomes (21–24). Further, studies show that a two-tiered system is likely to increase wait-times for those in the public system by luring physicians and other healthcare providers to the enterprise system (27–29).

Finally, enterprise healthcare may lead to "cream-skimming" (30,31). As mentioned above, many enterprise platforms do not offer in person assessments meaning that physicians working for these platforms can only treat simple medical problems. Patients who have complicated

health issues are shunted to the emergency department or back to their regular primary care provider (28,32,33). This may increase the burden on the public health system (30,31). Recent research supports this concern. A Canadian study found that patients who had a virtual care visit with a commercial virtual care platform were more likely to have an emergency department visit that those who had a virtual care visit with their regular primary care provider (18).

Limitations

Our study is limited by the fact that we relied on company documents to answer our research questions. Additionally, we were not able to find information on whether physician services were billed to the public system, or if companies paid for these services privately.

Conclusion

Enterprise healthcare seeks to provide employees with rapid access to physician services. This method of organizing and delivering care, however, may also expose employees to harms, as well as pose threats to the sustainability of the public healthcare systems in Canada. More research is needed to further explore this method of delivering and funding physician services in Canada including how the system functions economically, who the system benefits and the implications for employees, other people in Canada and the publicly-funded health systems.

Acknowledgements

- This project received funding from the Social Science and Humanities Council of Canada (SSHRC). Sheryl Spithoff receives funding from a New Investigator award from the Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto.
- 223 Conflicts of interest

224 None declared.

References

- CloudMD. CloudMD Announces Rapid Growth of Enterprise Health Solutions Division [Internet]. CloudMD Software & Services Inc. [cited 2021 Aug 30]. Available from: https://investors.cloudmd.ca/cloudmd-announces-rapid-growth-of-enterprise-health-solutions-division/
- 232 2. Shoppers Drug Mart. Shoppers Drug Mart and SilverCloud Health bring leading digital
 233 mental health solution to Canadian employers [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 30]. Available
 234 from: https://www.newswire.ca/news-releases/shoppers-drug-mart-and-silvercloud235 health-bring-leading-digital-mental-health-solution-to-canadian-employers236 840010994.html

13. Martin D, Miller AP, Quesnel-Vallée A, Caron NR, Vissandjée B, Marchildon GP. Canada's

universal health-care system: achieving its potential. Lancet. 2018;391(10131):1718-35.

work-for-your-business-and-employees/116608

267

268

- 273 15. Contandriopoulos D, Law MR. Policy changes and physicians opting out from Medicare in Quebec: an interrupted time-series analysis. CMAJ. 2021 Feb 16;193(7):E237–41.
- 275 16. Flood CM. Two-tier healthcare after Cambie Colleen M. Flood, 2021. Healthcare
 276 Management Forum [Internet]. 2021 Mar 2 [cited 2022 Feb 1]; Available from:
 277 http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0840470421994304
- 278 17. Connecting patients for better health: 2018 [Internet]. Canada Health Infoway; 2018 [cited
 279 2022 Apr 7]. Available from: https://www.infoway inforoute.ca/en/component/edocman/3564-connecting-patients-for-better-health-
- 200 Infordute.ca/en/component/edocman/5504-connecting-patients-for-better-nearth
- 281 2018/view-document?Itemid=0
- 282 18. Lapointe-Shaw L, Salahub C, Bhatia RS, Desveaux L, Glazier RH, Hedden L, et al.
- 283 Characteristics and healthcare use of patients attending virtual walk-in clinics: a cross-
- sectional analysis [Internet]. medRxiv; 2022 [cited 2022 Mar 12]. p. 2022.02.28.22271640.
- 285 Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.28.22271640v1
- 286 19. Glazier RH, Green ME, Wu FC, Frymire E, Kopp A, Kiran T. Shifts in office and virtual primary care during the early COVID-19 pandemic in Ontario, Canada. CMAJ. 2021 Feb 8;193(6):E200–10.
- 289 20. Shaw J, Jamieson T, Agarwal P, Griffin B, Wong I, Bhatia RS. Virtual care policy
 290 recommendations for patient-centred primary care: findings of a consensus policy
 291 dialogue using a nominal group technique. J Telemed Telecare. 2018 Oct;24(9):608–15.
- 292 21. Mergent Intellect [Internet]. Toronto Public Library. [cited 2022 Jan 17]. Available from: https://www.torontopubliclibrary.ca/detail.jsp?Entt=RDMEDB0188&R=EDB0188
- 294 22. Big Data in Healthcare: How Employers, Providers, and Brokers Are Using Healthcare
 295 Analytics [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 30]. Available from:
- https://www.artemishealth.com/blog/big-data-in-healthcare-how-employers-providersand-brokers-are-using-healthcare-analytics
- 23. Landi H. Modern Health rolls out data tool for employers to better pinpoint workers'
 299 mental health needs [Internet]. Fierce Healthcare. 2021 [cited 2022 Apr 10]. Available
 300 from: https://www.fiercehealthcare.com/tech/modern-health-rolls-out-data-tool-for301 employers-as-companies-ramp-up-efforts-to-address
- Regan PM, Jesse J. Ethical challenges of edtech, big data and personalized learning:
 twenty-first century student sorting and tracking. Ethics Inf Technol. 2019 Sep
 1;21(3):167–79.

- 26. Ebeling MFE. Healthcare and Big Data: Digital Specters and Phantom Objects. 1st ed. 2016 edition. Vol. p.43. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; 2016.
- 309 27. Duckett SJ. Private care and public waiting. Aust Health Rev. 2005 Feb; 29(1):87–93.
- 28. Lee SK, Rowe BH, Mahl SK. Increased Private Healthcare for Canada: Is That the Right Solution? Healthc Policy. 2021 Feb;16(3):30–42.
- 29. Cheng TC, Kalb G, Scott A. Public, private or both? Analyzing factors influencing the labour supply of medical specialists. Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadianne d'économique. 2018;51(2):660–92.
- 30. Cheng TC, Haisken-DeNew JP, Yong J. Cream skimming and hospital transfers in a mixed public-private system. Soc Sci Med. 2015 May;132:156–64.
- 31. Duckett S. Commentary: The Consequences of Private Involvement in Healthcare The Australian Experience. Healthc Policy. 2020 May;15(4):21–5.
- 319 32. Davidson A. Parallel Payers, Privatization and Two-Tier Healthcare in Canada.
- Healthcare Papers [Internet]. 2008 May 15 [cited 2021 Aug 30];8(3). Available from:
- 321 https://www.longwoods.com/content/19793/healthcarepapers/parallel-payers-
- 322 privatization-and-two-tier-healthcare-in-canada
- 33. Davidson A. Under the Radar: Stealth Development of Two-Tier Healthcare in Canada.
- 324 Healthc Policy. 2006 Jul;2(1):25–33.