The nature and extent of enterprise virtual care physician services in Canada: an environmental scan

Sheryl Spithoff MD MSc (1,2,3), Lana Mogic BSc (1)

Affiliations

1. Department of Family and Community Medicine, Women's College Hospital, Toronto, Canada
2. Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
3. Women's College Hospital Research Institute, Women's College Hospital, Toronto, Canada

Corresponding author**:** Sheryl Spithoff: sheryl.spithoff@wchospital.ca

OCRID: SMS https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6965-473X

Funding: This project received funding from the Social Science and Humanities Council of Canada (SSHRC). Sheryl Spithoff receives funding from a New Investigator award from the Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto.

Conflicts of interest
Sheryl Spithoff and Lana Mogic have no financial conflicts of interest to report.

Word count: 1430

Key words: enterprise care, employee health care, virtual care, funding of health care, health care delivery, health data, privacy, Canada

Abstract

Background: Employers in Canada are increasingly offering physician services to their employees, often through enterprise virtual care platforms. To date however, little work has been done to understand this method of organizing and delivering care.

Objective: To understand the nature, extent and implications of enterprise virtual care in Canada.

Methods: We conducted structured internet and database searches to identify enterprise virtual care platforms and their public websites. To answer our research question, we extracted data from their websites, and linked company documents, including investor reports, and information from Mergent Intellect, a web-based application with business data on Canadian companies.

Findings: We identified nine companies offering enterprise virtual care physician services to employees in Canada on behalf of their employers. According to enterprise virtual care company claims, over four million Canadian employees and their family members have access to enterprise virtual care services. All platforms offer virtual physician services to employees and four also offer in person visits arranged through their platforms. Eight of the platforms provide primary care services and one offers only addiction medicine services. Four of the platforms offer to communicate and share information with an employee's regular primary care provider. Four state they share aggregate or de-identified health data with employers.

Implications: Enterprise virtual care companies provide millions of Canadian employees and their families with access to physician services. These services appear to disrupt continuity of care (care by the same provider over time) and pose risks to privacy. As other Canadians do not have access to these services, enterprise virtual care is also introducing two-tiered health care broadly across Canada.

Introduction

Employers in Canada are increasingly offering physician services to their employees, typically through an enterprise virtual care platform (1–5) (Box 1). Dialogue, a company that provides these enterprise services on behalf of employers, states it has 1.8 million enterprise members in Canada. Companies like Dialogue claim the enterprise platforms enable rapid access to virtual physician services, leading to lower rates of employee absenteeism (6,7) and a good return on investment for employers (6–8). It is unclear if the physicians providing enterprise virtual care bill privately or publicly for their services.

This model differs from the traditional model of health care in Canada. Most people receive care from self-employed physicians working independently or in small groups in community clinics (9). These physicians either bill the provincial/territorial single-payer public health system – known as "Medicare" – or, much less commonly, bill patients directly (10)(11,12). Until recently, community physicians rarely provided virtual care; only three percent of Canadians reported a virtual care physician visit in 2018 (13). With the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic, and the introduction of public funding for virtual care, more physicians started offering these services (14)(13). In the province of Ontario, for example, virtual care visits increased 56-fold to comprise over 70% of all visits between March 2020 and July 2020 (15).

Despite the apparent widespread access to enterprise virtual care in Canada, little work has been done to understand the extent, nature and implications of this method of organizing and delivering physician services. It is unclear how many people have access to the services, what services are available, which companies provide the enterprise services and how the physician services are remunerated. It is also unclear if the platforms share employee health data (aggregated or de-identified) with employers, as happens in the U.S. and U.K. (16–18)**.** Our research objective, therefore, was to gain an understanding of the nature, extent and implications of enterprise virtual care in Canada through a national environmental scan.

Box 1. Terms used in the article

Virtual care (Telemedicine)	Any interaction between patients and/or members of their circle of care (e.g. physicians, nurses), occurring remotely, using any forms of communication or information technologies with the aim of facilitating or maximizing the quality and effectiveness of patient care (19)
Enterprise virtual care	A method of delivering virtual care to employees, on behalf of employers, using a proprietary software platform
Enterprise virtual care companies	The companies contracted to provide virtual care services to employees, on behalf of employers

Methods

We conducted structured internet searches between December 3rd, 2021 and February 1st, 2022 to identify enterprise virtual care platforms that provide physician services in Canada. The search terms used consisted of three terms pertaining to virtual care, Canada, and enterprise.

These included *(Canada AND "virtual care" OR telemedicine OR "virtual health care") AND (employer OR employee OR business OR enterprise).* We ran each of the searches individually and examined the first 50 results looking for relevant findings. We compared our findings to a list we compiled when conducting a previous research study examining direct-to-patient virtual care in Canada to ensure our search terms were identifying all relevant platforms. We then identified the company that owned the platform.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

We included virtual care platforms if the company could be contracted by an employer to provide physician virtual care services through a proprietary software platform to employees living in Canada. Although, by definition, virtual care includes communication between patients and any member of their care team, we focused on physician services as these historically are part of Canadian Medicare, whereas many other clinical services (e.g., counseling) are not. We included companies with platforms that provided virtual visits through both synchronous (e.g., phone calls, video chat) and asynchronous communication (e.g., text communication). We excluded companies if they provided services solely to employees living outside of Canada or if they offered only non-physician services. We only included companies with platforms using the English language.

Identification of documents

For each enterprise virtual care platform we included, we identified the platform's public website and any linked websites or documents. We also searched Mergent Intellect, a publicly accessible, web-based application offering business data for a collection of US and Canadian private and public corporations (20). This database contains basic company information, as well as financial, industry, and executive details for over 1.6 million Canadian businesses. It offers information on corporate structures, including a list of key executives and a complete company family tree.

Data extraction and analysis

We extracted information on the company's corporate structure, company headquarters, countries of operation, ownership (publicly-traded or privately held), communication mode(s) (e.g., text, phone, or video), primary care physician services, specialist services, payment for physician services (private or public), in person services, system navigator services, family coverage for services, sharing of data with employers and information on communication with an employee's regular primary care provider. Where available, we also collected information on company claims about number of Canadian enterprise clients (employers) and number of enterprise members (employees and their family members) in Canada.

Ethics

As we only used publicly available documents, we received a research ethics board exemption from Women's College Hospital.

Results

We identified nine enterprise virtual care platforms offering physician services to employees in Canada. These enterprise care companies claim to provide services to more than 44,000 companies and to over 4.5 million employees and their family members (Table 1). Five of the nine companies are publicly-traded. Eight companies are based in Canada and one in the U.S. (Table 2). All companies offer virtual care physician services and four also arrange in person visits through their platform. Eight companies offer primary care and one company offers only addiction physician services. Seven provide coordinated access to specialist appointments and five provide a system navigator to help employees navigate the health system. Four platforms offer to provide information to an employee's regular primary care provider and four state they provide employers with aggregate or de-identified employee health information.

Table 1. Enterprise virtual care companies operating in Canada

Platform name	**Parent company**	**Claims about services provided**
ALAViDA	Lifespeak	-
Appletree	Appletree Medical Group	-
CloudMD	CloudMD Software & Services	7200 companies (employers)
Dialogue	Dialogue Health Technologies	25,000 plus companies (employers), 1.8 million members (employees and family members)
JungoHR	JungoHR	-
Maple	Maple Corporation	-
Meira Care	Meira Care	-
Teladoc	Teladoc Health	12,000 plus companies (employers)
TELUS Health Virtual Care	TELUS Health	2.8 million members (employees and family members)

Table 2. Description of enterprise virtual care in Canada

		Number (%)
Ownership	Privately-held	4 (45%)
	Publicly-traded	5 (55%)
Headquarters	Canada	8 (89%)
	US	1 (11%)
	Other	--
Funding of services	Public	--
	Private	--
	Not stated	9 (100%)
Medical services	Primary care services	8 (89%)
	Addiction physician services only	1 (11%)
	Access to specialist care	7 (78%)
	System navigators	5 (55%)
	Family coverage	4 (45%)
Modality	Virtual care	9 (100%)
	In person care	4 (45%)
Access	24/7	6 (67%)

	Same day	2 (22%)
Shares information with employee's regular primary care provider upon request	Yes	4 (45%)
	No	--
	Not stated	5 (55%)
Provide aggregate or de-identified health data to employers	Yes	4 (45%)
	No	--
	Not stated	5 (55%)

% = percentage

Discussion

Our environmental scan provides insight into enterprise virtual care physician services in Canada. These services – available to millions of Canadians and their families – have implications for both quality of care and privacy of employee health data. Canadians who are not working for employers who offer enterprise virtual care do not have access to these services. Hence, this model is also introducing two-tiered health care broadly across Canada. This may also affect public health system costs.

Our analysis indicates that companies providing enterprise virtual care are not seeking to provide holistic primary care. Less than half of the platforms enable in-person physician visits. Some also offer to send information back to patients' regular primary care provider, implying patients are expected to maintain their ongoing relationship with their family doctor or nurse practitioner. Rather enterprise platforms provide supplementary services – rapid access to a virtual physician visit. As a result, employees are likely to experience a disruption to continuity of care (care by the same provider over time), a challenge for effective management of chronic and complex health conditions (6).

As some of these enterprise care companies state they share de-identified or aggregate patient data with employers and/or third parties, this method of delivering health care may present additional risks to employees. Although the risk of privacy loss from re-identification, is likely to be low, if the data are de-identified or aggregated (1,21), sharing data with employers can enable surveillance and discrimination. For example, the data can be used to identify the characteristics of employees who are likely to have complex health conditions or who may have a high-risk pregnancy (16,17)(18,22). Companies may use this information to improve services for these types of employees. They may also, however, use this information in job recruitment algorithms to ensure these individuals are not offered employment in the first place (23–25).

Finally, enterprise virtual care is introducing a two-tiered system, where millions of employees and family members have access to care not available to other Canadians. This may worsen health disparities. Employees of larger companies are often from a higher socio-economic stratum than other people living in Canada, and part of a group that already has better access to care (9), and better health outcomes (21–24). Further, studies show that a two-tiered system is likely to increase wait-times for those in the public system by luring physicians and other

health care providers to the enterprise system (26–28). Enterprise virtual care may also likely lead to cream-skimming (29,30). As many enterprise platforms do not offer in person assessments, physicians working for these platforms can only treat simple medical problems. Patients who have complicated health issues are (presumably) shunted to the emergency department or back to their regular primary care provider (27,31,32). This may increase the burden on the public health system (29,30). Recent research supports this concern. A Canadian study found that patients who had a virtual care visit with a commercial virtual care platform were more likely to have an emergency department visit that those who had a virtual care visit with their regular primary care provider (14).

Conclusion

Enterprise virtual care provides employees with rapid access to care. It may also expose employees to harms, as well as pose threats to the sustainability of the public health care systems in Canada. More research is needed to further explore this method of delivering and funding physician services in Canada including how the system functions economically, who the system benefits and the implications for employees, other people in Canada and publicly-funded health systems.

References

1. CloudMD. CloudMD Announces Rapid Growth of Enterprise Health Solutions Division [Internet]. CloudMD Software & Services Inc. [cited 2021 Aug 30]. Available from: https://investors.cloudmd.ca/cloudmd-announces-rapid-growth-of-enterprise-health-solutions-division/

2. Shoppers Drug Mart. Shoppers Drug Mart and SilverCloud Health bring leading digital mental health solution to Canadian employers [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 30]. Available from: https://www.newswire.ca/news-releases/shoppers-drug-mart-and-silvercloud-health-bring-leading-digital-mental-health-solution-to-canadian-employers-840010994.html

3. Maple. Businesses [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 30]. Available from: https://www.getmaple.ca/business/

4. Teledact Inc. Gotodoctor.ca to provide on-demand virtual care services to frontline staff of Union Social Group restaurants [Internet]. [cited 2021 Aug 11]. Available from: https://www.newswire.ca/news-releases/gotodoctor-ca-to-provide-on-demand-virtual-care-services-to-frontline-staff-of-union-social-group-restaurants-806856625.html

5. PRLive. Digital Health Care Creating a Potential $634.9 Billion Opportunity [Internet]. Baystreet.ca. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 30]. Available from: /contact/

6. Virtual Care | TELUS Health [Internet]. TELUS. [cited 2022 Apr 13]. Available from: https://www.telus.com/en/health/organizations/group-health-benefits/employers/virtual-care

7. The Maple experience [Internet]. Maple. [cited 2022 Apr 13]. Available from: https://www.getmaple.ca/business/experience/

8. Normandeau M. What makes Dialogue a valued investment in organizational wellness? [Internet]. [cited 2022 Apr 13]. Available from: https://www.dialogue.co/en/blog/dialogue-valued-investment-organizational-wellness

9. Martin D, Miller AP, Quesnel-Vallée A, Caron NR, Vissandjée B, Marchildon GP. Canada's universal health-care system: achieving its potential. Lancet. 2018;391(10131):1718–35.

10. The illegality of private health care in Canada [CMAJ - March 20, 2001] [Internet]. [cited 2022 Apr 10]. Available from: https://www.nlc-bnc.ca/eppp-archive/100/201/300/cdn_medical_association/cmaj/vol-164/issue-6/0825.asp

11. Contandriopoulos D, Law MR. Policy changes and physicians opting out from Medicare in Quebec: an interrupted time-series analysis. CMAJ. 2021 Feb 16;193(7):E237–41.

12. Flood CM. Two-tier healthcare after Cambie - Colleen M. Flood, 2021. Healthcare Management Forum [Internet]. 2021 Mar 2 [cited 2022 Feb 1]; Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0840470421994304

13. Connecting patients for better health: 2018 [Internet]. Canada Health Infoway; 2018 [cited 2022 Apr 7]. Available from: https://www.infoway-inforoute.ca/en/component/edocman/3564-connecting-patients-for-better-health-2018/view-document?Itemid=0

14. Lapointe-Shaw L, Salahub C, Bhatia RS, Desveaux L, Glazier RH, Hedden L, et al. Characteristics and healthcare use of patients attending virtual walk-in clinics: a cross-sectional analysis [Internet]. medRxiv; 2022 [cited 2022 Mar 12]. p. 2022.02.28.22271640. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.28.22271640v1

15. Glazier RH, Green ME, Wu FC, Frymire E, Kopp A, Kiran T. Shifts in office and virtual primary care during the early COVID-19 pandemic in Ontario, Canada. CMAJ. 2021 Feb 8;193(6):E200–10.

16. Companies Are Using Big Data To Track Employee Health And Pregnancies [Internet]. Popular Science. 2016 [cited 2022 Apr 10]. Available from: https://www.popsci.com/companies-use-big-data-to-track-employee-health-and-pregnancies/

17. Board TE. Opinion | Protecting Employees' Health Data. The New York Times [Internet]. 2016 Mar 26 [cited 2022 Apr 10]; Available from:

https://www.nytimes.com/2016/03/27/opinion/sunday/protecting-employees-health-data.html

18. Mark Witte: How to make employee health data work for your business and employees [Internet]. HRreview. 2019 [cited 2022 Apr 10]. Available from: https://www.hrreview.co.uk/analysis/mark-witte-how-to-make-employee-health-data-work-for-your-business-and-employees/116608

19. Shaw J, Jamieson T, Agarwal P, Griffin B, Wong I, Bhatia RS. Virtual care policy recommendations for patient-centred primary care: findings of a consensus policy dialogue using a nominal group technique. J Telemed Telecare. 2018 Oct;24(9):608–15.

20. Mergent Intellect [Internet]. Toronto Public Library. [cited 2022 Jan 17]. Available from: https://www.torontopubliclibrary.ca/detail.jsp?Entt=RDMEDB0188&R=EDB0188

21. Big Data in Healthcare: How Employers, Providers, and Brokers Are Using Healthcare Analytics [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 30]. Available from: https://www.artemishealth.com/blog/big-data-in-healthcare-how-employers-providers-and-brokers-are-using-healthcare-analytics

22. Landi H. Modern Health rolls out data tool for employers to better pinpoint workers' mental health needs [Internet]. Fierce Healthcare. 2021 [cited 2022 Apr 10]. Available from: https://www.fiercehealthcare.com/tech/modern-health-rolls-out-data-tool-for-employers-as-companies-ramp-up-efforts-to-address

23. Regan PM, Jesse J. Ethical challenges of edtech, big data and personalized learning: twenty-first century student sorting and tracking. Ethics Inf Technol. 2019 Sep 1;21(3):167–79.

24. O'Neil C. Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy. 1st edition. New York: Crown; 2016. 272 p.

25. Ebeling MFE. Healthcare and Big Data: Digital Specters and Phantom Objects. 1st ed. 2016 edition. Vol. p.43. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; 2016.

26. Duckett SJ. Private care and public waiting. Aust Health Rev. 2005 Feb;29(1):87–93.

27. Lee SK, Rowe BH, Mahl SK. Increased Private Healthcare for Canada: Is That the Right Solution? Healthc Policy. 2021 Feb;16(3):30–42.

28. Cheng TC, Kalb G, Scott A. Public, private or both? Analyzing factors influencing the labour supply of medical specialists. Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique. 2018;51(2):660–92.

29. Cheng TC, Haisken-DeNew JP, Yong J. Cream skimming and hospital transfers in a mixed public-private system. Soc Sci Med. 2015 May;132:156–64.

30. Duckett S. Commentary: The Consequences of Private Involvement in Healthcare – The Australian Experience. Healthc Policy. 2020 May;15(4):21–5.

31. Davidson A. Parallel Payers, Privatization and Two-Tier Healthcare in Canada. HealthcarePapers [Internet]. 2008 May 15 [cited 2021 Aug 30];8(3). Available from: https://www.longwoods.com/content/19793/healthcarepapers/parallel-payers-privatization-and-two-tier-healthcare-in-canada

32. Davidson A. Under the Radar: Stealth Development of Two-Tier Healthcare in Canada. Healthc Policy. 2006 Jul;2(1):25–33.