**Examining the association between genetic risk for depression, wellbeing and**

**schizophrenia, and proximity to greenspace**

Zoe E. Reed[1,2*], Tim T. Morris[2,3], Oliver S.P. Davis[2,3,4,5], George Davey Smith[2,3],

Marcus R. Munafò[1,2,4], Gareth J. Griffith[2,3]

*Corresponding author: zoe.reed@bristol.ac.uk

1 School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TU, UK

2 Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of

Bristol, Bristol, BS8 2BN, UK

3 Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, Oakfield House, Oakfield

Grove, Bristol, BS8 2BN, UK

4 National Institute for Health Research Bristol Biomedical Research Centre,

University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and University of Bristol, Bristol,

BS8 2BN, UK

5 Alan Turing Institute, British Library, London, NW1 2DB, UK

**Abstract**

Previous studies indicate that residing in areas with greater greenspace is associated with better mental health and wellbeing. It is unclear whether these associations reflect those with better mental health seeking out greener environments.

To examine this we 1) test associations between depression, wellbeing and schizophrenia polygenic scores (PGS) with two greenspace measures in UK Biobank (N=238,306 and 293,922), 2) estimate multilevel-models (MLM), clustering individuals by local geography to investigate whether observed global effect estimates may be capturing between area differences and, 3) conduct one-sample Mendelian randomisation (MR) to estimate causal effects.

Depression and schizophrenia PGS were associated with residing in areas with lower greenspace, whilst wellbeing PGS was associated with higher greenspace. Locally-clustered MLM demonstrated attenuation for the individual wellbeing PGS association and a reversal of effect for the schizophrenia PGS association. MR revealed evidence of a causal effect of increased depression liability on decreased greenspace.

Our study provides evidence for a putative causal relationship whereby people with greater liability to depression may select into less green neighbourhoods. Our results also highlight the potential for apparently individual-level PGS effect estimates to be biased by contextual, between-area differences in outcome, which are not effectively addressed using traditional principal component adjustment.

**Introduction**

Living in areas with greater greenspace has been shown to associate with both
decreased prevalence (and symptoms) of mental health conditions and increased
wellbeing (Gong et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020). Evidence has been found across
many indicators of greenspace, measured in terms of both land use (Feng & Astell-
Burt, 2017; White et al., 2013) and vegetation (Bezold et al., 2018a; Herrera et al.,
2018; Wang et al., 2019), and across mental health outcomes, for instance,
decreased psychological distress and depression (Bezold et al., 2018a, 2018b; Li et
al., 2018; Sarkar et al., 2018) and increased wellbeing (Ward et al., 2016). In this
research we consider two of the most commonly used and widely validated
indicators. The first uses the natural reflective properties of photosynthesising plants
to infer vegetation density from satellite imagery (Rhew et al., 2011), and the second
uses administrative data from the land use database to estimate greenspace
availability (Department for Communities and Local Government, 2007).

Similarly, residing in more urban areas, which have less greenspace, is associated
with increased prevalence of mental health conditions (Faris & Dunham, 1939),
including depression and schizophrenia (Pedersen, 2015; Peen et al., 2010; Purtle et
al., 2019; Solmi et al., 2017; Vassos et al., 2016a). Recent meta-analyses of
urbanicity and mental health studies found that risk for a range of mental health
conditions was higher in urban areas (Peen et al., 2010; Purtle et al., 2019), with
odds ratios (ORs) between 1.13 and 1.33. A recent Danish population-based study
found incidence rate ratios between 1.15 and 2.17 for the most urban areas
compared to rural areas across multiple mental health conditions (Vassos et al.,

2016b). Greenspace has been suggested to drive a component of urban-rural

differences in health outcomes (Verheij et al., 2008).

These associations are commonly ascribed to the "social drift" hypothesis (Sariaslan

et al., 2016). Social drift proposes that those with greater risk of current or future

mental health conditions may migrate, or "drift", to more deprived, urban areas,

driving the observed concentration of such conditions in urban centres (Faris &

Dunham, 1939), and in turn be less likely to have access to greenspace (Pedersen,

2015). There is, however, a long history of research contesting the causal

directionality of this relationship (Giggs, 1973, 1975). In the contrary hypothesis,

socioeconomic position (SEP) or greenspace are considered causal risk factors for

developing a mental health condition, rather than simply confounding the relationship

between poor mental health and selective migration. Such debates are further

complicated by spatial confounding, as urbanicity and greenspace are intimately

related to many socio-demographic variables known to associate with mental health

(Gong et al., 2016; March et al., 2008; Verheij et al., 2008). Therefore, identifying a

causal effect of greenspace over that which might be caused by correlated,

contextual confounds is difficult. The resulting identification issues contribute to the

ongoing uncertainty around the causal nature of the mental health and greenspace,

or urbanicity, relationship.

Previous studies exploring causal relationships between mental health and urbanicity

found evidence for a causal effect of increased genetic risk for schizophrenia on

living in more densely populated and deprived areas (Colodro-Conde et al., 2018;

Sariaslan et al., 2016). Recent systematic reviews found evidence for mental health

effects of environmental interventions to increase greenspace was absent for adults

(Moore et al., 2018). However, risk-of-bias assessments in this study indicated that

only four of the 14 studies provided robust data, so studies with more robust designs

are needed to draw reliable conclusions.

Further evidence is therefore required to establish whether a causal relationship

between greenspace and mental health liability does exist. Furthermore, such

analyses must determine whether it is greenspace specifically and not urbanicity or

SEP confounds driving these associations.

In this study, we assessed the association between genetic risk for several mental

health outcomes and current residential greenspace for participants in UK Biobank.

We also tested whether observed associations may be causal using one-sample

Mendelian randomisation (MR) (Davey Smith & Ebrahim, 2003; Davey Smith &

Hemani, 2014; Lawlor et al., 2008). MR exploits the randomisation of genotypes at

conception to estimate causal effects of modifiable exposures on outcomes, here

mental health on greenspace. We used polygenic scores (PGS) for depression,

wellbeing and schizophrenia. We suggest that an association would support that

those with a genetic predisposition for more positive mental health outcomes may

preferentially select into environments with more greenspace.

**Results**

*Sample characteristics*

Sample characteristics for our UK Biobank sample are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Sample characteristics

|  | N with data available | Mean (SD) or percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|
| **Age** | 336,997 | 56.87 (8.00) |
| **Sex** | 336,997 | 53.79% females |
| **NDVI** | 238,306 | 0.16 (0.17) |
| **Percentage greenspace** | 293,922 | 36.86 (23.64) |
| **Depression diagnosis** | 336,997 | 12.53% |
| **MHQ depression** | 79,946 | 26.82% |
| **Wellbeing** | 109,943 | 4.59 (0.78) |
| **Schizophrenia diagnosis** | 293,922 | 0.17% |

*SD=Standard Deviation, NDVI=Normalised Difference Vegetation Index, MHQ=Mental Health*

*Questionnaire*

*Phenotypic associations*

Results from linear regression models between depression, wellbeing and

schizophrenia and two greenspace outcomes, adjusting for age and sex are

presented in Supplementary Table S1. The two measures of greenspace we used

were the mean Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and the percentage

of an area within a 300m radius of the participants home classified as greenspace

(see Methods for further details). We found negative associations between the

depression and schizophrenia diagnosis phenotypes and greenspace measures,

although there was weaker evidence of this for the Mental Health Questionnaire

(MHQ) depression measure. We found positive associations between wellbeing and

the greenspace measures, although evidence for this was weaker with the NDVI

outcome.

*Linear regression model associations between polygenic scores and NDVI and*

*percentage greenspace*

Results from the linear regression model adjusting for age, sex and the first 25

principal components (PCs) are presented in Table 2 for NDVI and Table 3 for

percentage greenspace. Table 2 and 3 present results for PGS calculated at a p-

value threshold of 0.05 (see Supplementary Tables S2 and S3 for other p-value

thresholds). Polygenic risk for depression was negatively associated with residing in

green areas for both NDVI (*b*=-0.008; 95% CI: -0.01 to -0.004; *p*=0.0001) and

percentage greenspace (*b*=-0.005; 95% CI: -0.01 to -0.001; *p*=0.01). This was in a

broadly consistent direction across most PGS p-value thresholds (Supplementary

Tables S2 and S3); however, we only found strong evidence of this association at

less stringent thresholds (1x10$^{-03}$ for the NDVI measure and 0.01 for percentage

greenspace).

The wellbeing PGS was positively associated with residing in greener areas for

percentage greenspace only (*b*=0.01; 95% CI: 0.003 to 0.01; *p*=0.0005). This was in

a consistent direction across all PGS p-value thresholds. However, we again only

found strong evidence of this using a p-value threshold above 0.01 for percentage

greenspace. We did not find strong evidence of this association with the NDVI

measure (*b*=-0.0004; 95% CI: -0.004 to 0.003; *p*=0.83), although the direction of

effect was positive for most other p-value thresholds.

For the schizophrenia PGS, we found strong evidence of an association with

percentage greenspace only (*b*=-0.004; 95% CI: -0.01 to -0.001; *p*=0.02). This was

in a consistent direction across most PGS p-value thresholds, although there is only

strong evidence of this using a p-value threshold above 0.05 (Supplementary Table

S3). We did not find evidence of an association with the NDVI measure (*b*=0.0005;

95% CI: -0.004 to 0.005; *p*=0.80).

Table 2. Associations between polygenic scores for depression, wellbeing and

schizophrenia and NDVI for p-value threshold of 0.05 (N=238,306)

| Phenotype | Beta* | 2.5% CI | 97.5% CI | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Depression | -0.008 | -0.01 | -0.004 | 0.0001 |
| Wellbeing | -0.0004 | -0.004 | 0.003 | 0.83 |
| Schizophrenia | 0.0005 | -0.004 | 0.005 | 0.80 |

147  *CI=Confidence Interval. *Beta reflects the NDVI SD change predicted by an SD increase in the PGS*

148  Table 3. Associations between polygenic scores for depression, wellbeing and

149  schizophrenia and percentage greenspace for p-value threshold of 0.05 (N=293,922)

| Phenotype | Beta* | 2.5% CI | 97.5% CI | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Depression | -0.005 | -0.009 | -0.001 | 0.007 |
| Wellbeing | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.01 | 0.0005 |
| Schizophrenia | -0.004 | -0.008 | -0.0006 | 0.02 |

*CI=Confidence Interval. *Beta reflects the percentage greenspace SD change predicted by an SD*

*increase in the PGS*

*Multilevel model associations between polygenic scores and NDVI and percentage*

*greenspace, accounting for census area*

The random-intercept models allowing neighbourhood or district differentials both fit

better than the linear model (Supplementary Table S4 shows comparisons of fit

statistics for all three models). The level-2 VPC, which assesses the proportion of

total, unexplained variation in outcome accounted for by a specific level in the model

(see Supplementary Material Section 1 for further details), for the neighbourhood

and district models respectively were 0.83 and 0.52 for the NDVI measure, and 0.48

and 0.24 for percentage greenspace. This implies that after adjusting for mental

health PGS (across any measure), and 25 principal components, 83% of the

remaining unexplained variation in individual-level NDVI exposure can be explained

by the neighbourhood of the individual, or equally (from the ICC definition) we would

expect a correlation of 0.83 between NDVI measures taken from pairs of individuals

within the same neighbourhood.

Results from these models are presented in Table 4 for NDVI (and Supplementary

Table S5) and Table 5 for percentage greenspace (and Supplementary Table S6).

Results from these models are comparable to those from the linear model for

depression liability with NDVI under both the neighbourhood specification ($b$=-0.002;

95% CI: -0.003 to -0.0004; $p$=0.01) and the district specification ($b$=-0.004; 95% CI: -

0.01 to -0.002; $p$=2.60x10$^{-04}$). They were also comparable for the percentage

greenspace measure for neighbourhood ($b$=-0.004; 95% CI: -0.01 to -0.001;

$p$=5.31x10$^{-03}$) and district specifications ($b$=-0.01; 95% CI: -0.01 to -0.004;

$p$=1.61x10$^{-05}$). For these variables, within-area effect estimates were consistent

direction with global effect estimates.

The coefficient for wellbeing and percentage greenspace attenuated in both the

neighbourhood specification ($b$=0.002; 95% CI: -0.001 to 0.005; $p$=0.12) and district

specification ($b$=0.004; 95% CI: 0.001 to 0.01; $p$=0.01), indicating that the initial

association may reflect aggregate differences in the wellbeing PGS between

neighbourhoods and/or districts. However, there was still no clear evidence of an

association with the NDVI measure in either specification.

Finally, for schizophrenia liability we still did not find any evidence of an effect on

NDVI in either neighbourhood or district specification. However, for the percentage

greenspace measure we observed an interesting effect in that the direction of the

association was reversed in both the neighbourhood ($b$=0.003; 95% CI: 0.0002 to

0.01; $p$=0.03) and district specifications ($b$=0.001; 95% CI: -0.002 to 0.005; $p$=0.50).

There was weaker evidence of this for the district specification; however, for the

neighbourhood specification this effect was observed for all p-value thresholds. In

specifying a random intercept model, we allow for between-neighbourhood (and

between-district) differences in NDVI to be estimated as normally distributed

residuals from a global mean. This means that the beta estimates functionally

represent a within-area estimate, for instance, the effect of PGS on NDVI, given that

you are in a neighbourhood with a higher-than-average NDVI. The change in

direction of this sign implies that although the global relationship between

schizophrenia PGS and greenspace was negative, this is mostly driven by

neighbourhoods with high NDVI tending to have lower than average PGS for

schizophrenia. When we account for between-area differences in our intercept, we

see that within-neighbourhoods the relationship was positive. This can be considered

an example of Simpson's paradox(Hernán et al., 2011; Julious & Mullee, 1994)

where an individual model would fail to address the important context of

neighbourhood in determining an individual's NDVI.

Table 4. Associations between polygenic scores for depression, wellbeing and

schizophrenia and NDVI measure, with neighbourhood or district level random

intercepts, for p-value threshold of 0.05 (N=238,306).

| | Neighbourhood | | | | District | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Phenotype | Beta* | 2.5% CI | 97.5% CI | P | Beta* | 2.5% CI | 97.5% CI | P |
| Depression | -0.002 | -0.003 | -0.0003 | 0.02 | -0.004 | -0.006 | -0.002 | $2.13 \times 10^{-04}$ |
| Wellbeing | 0.001 | -0.0006 | 0.003 | 0.22 | 0.002 | -0.0004 | 0.004 | 0.11 |
| Schizophrenia | -0.0006 | -0.002 | 0.001 | 0.45 | -0.0007 | -0.003 | 0.001 | 0.52 |

*Neighbourhood= Middle Layer Super Output Area or intermediate zone, District= Local Authority*

*District or Local Authority, CI=Confidence Interval. **Beta reflects the NDVI SD change predicted by*

*an SD increase in the PGS, for the average individual in a typical neighbourhood or district.*

Table 5. Associations between polygenic scores for depression, wellbeing and

schizophrenia and percentage greenspace with neighbourhood or district level

random intercepts, for p-value threshold of 0.05 (N=293,922).

| | Neighbourhood | | | | District | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Phenotype | Beta* | 2.5% CI | 97.5% CI | P | Beta* | 2.5% CI | 97.5% CI | P |
| Depression | -0.004 | -0.006 | -0.001 | $6.06 \times 10^{-03}$ | -0.007 | -0.01 | -0.004 | $1.10 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| Wellbeing | 0.002 | -0.0006 | 0.005 | 0.14 | 0.004 | 0.0009 | 0.007 | 0.01 |
| Schizophrenia | 0.003 | 0.0003 | 0.006 | 0.03 | 0.001 | -0.002 | 0.004 | 0.51 |

*Neighbourhood= Middle Layer Super Output Area or intermediate zone, District= Local Authority*

*District or Local Authority, CI=Confidence Interval. *Beta reflects the percentage greenspace SD*

*change predicted by an SD increase in the PGS, for the average individual in a typical neighbourhood*

*or district.*

*One-sample Mendelian randomisation for depression and wellbeing on greenspace*

*measures*

We used one-sample MR analyses to assess whether there was evidence of a

causal effect of depression liability on the NDVI measure and percentage

greenspace, of wellbeing on percentage greenspace, and of schizophrenia liability

on percentage greenspace. Results from the one-sample MR analyses are shown in

Table 6 and results from sensitivity analyses are presented in Supplementary Table

S7. We found strong evidence for a causal effect of the liability of having a diagnosis

of depression on lower percentage greenspace (*b*=-0.51; 95% CI: -0.93 to -0.10;

*p*=0.01). This was not apparent for the MHQ depression measure, although the

direction of effect was the same. We did not find strong evidence of a causal effect of

liability for the depression measures on the NDVI outcome; however, the direction of

effect was the same for both measures. We did not find strong evidence of a causal

effect of wellbeing on percentage greenspace for the PGS constructed for genome-

wide significant SNPs or at the p-value threshold of $1 \times 10^{-05}$, although the direction of

effect was positive. Finally, we did not find strong evidence of a causal effect of

schizophrenia liability on percentage greenspace.

We did not find any strong evidence for causal effects in the sensitivity analyses

(Supplementary Table S7), although the direction of effect was consistent with our

main results for depression liability and percentage greenspace.

Table 6. One-sample Mendelian randomisation results

| Exposure | Outcome | N | Beta* | 2.5% CI | 97.5% CI | P |
|----------|---------|---|-------|---------|----------|---|
| Depression diagnosis | NDVI | 238,306 | -0.26 | -0.74 | 0.23 | 0.30 |
| MHQ depression | NDVI | 64,740 | -0.01 | -0.51 | 0.50 | 0.97 |
| Depression diagnosis | Percentage greenspace | 293,922 | -0.51 | -0.93 | -0.10 | 0.01 |
| MHQ depression | Percentage greenspace | 77,358 | -0.54 | -1.14 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| Wellbeing (GW PGS) | Percentage greenspace | 97,099 | 0.16 | -0.49 | 0.81 | 0.63 |
| Wellbeing (PGS at 1x10$^{-05}$) | Percentage greenspace | 97,099 | 0.06 | -0.75 | 0.87 | 0.88 |
| Schizophrenia | Percentage greenspace | 293,922 | 6.75 | -7.95 | 21.45 | 0.37 |

237    *CI=Confidence Interval, GW=Genome-wide, PGS=Polygenic score, NDVI=Normalised Difference*

238    *Vegetation Index, MHQ=Mental Health Questionnaire. *Beta reflects the NDVI or percentage*

239    *greenspace SD change predicted by an increased genetic liability for depression and schizophrenia*

240    *or every one unit increase in the wellbeing score*

**Discussion**

Our results indicate that genetic risk for depression and wellbeing are associated with the greenspace of the areas which participants reside in. We found the strongest evidence for depression, with increased genetic risk associated with decreased greenspace for two different measures of greenspace. We also found evidence that this effect might be causal for percentage greenspace – in other words, that those at a higher genetic risk for depression may select into less green areas. Our MR sensitivity analyses did not provide strong evidence of a causal effect; therefore, our results should be interpreted with caution. However, the sensitivity analyses used individual SNPs and our results may therefore have been impacted by low statistical power. The positive association with wellbeing was only observed for the percentage greenspace measure, but the direction of effect was the same with the NDVI measure. Our results support previous observational studies which found an association between greenspace and depression and wellbeing (Bezold et al., 2018b, 2018a; Peen et al., 2010; Vassos et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2020) and extend these findings by suggesting a causal effect of liability to depression diagnosis on greenspace. As greenspace cannot cause genetic risk for these mental health outcomes, this overcomes issues around reverse causation.

In addition, models specifying a random intercept specification for local context indicated that the relationship for depression and greenspace was negative both within- and between-neighbourhoods and districts. Our concern that global effect estimates may produce misleading PGS relationships was borne out for all phenotypes, as the inclusion of an area-level random intercept attenuated results for depression liability and wellbeing, and inverted the sign of the schizophrenia liability

relationship. For this study (and, we argue, for other genetically informed study

designs) the effect estimate of interest is the relationship between PGS and outcome

over and above that which is due to area-level compositional differences and other

residual area-level confounding.

This suggests that at least part of the PGS-greenspace relationships we see were

due to aggregate between-area differences, and not due to the impact of those PGS

within an area. For instance, attenuation for random effects model estimates for

wellbeing with percentage greenspace suggests that the initial association was likely

due to individuals' wellbeing PGS being consistent within an area. Thus, when we

accounted for between-area differences via the random intercept specification, the

observed relationship within-area became far less strong, as most of this initial

relationship was driven by certain areas having both high wellbeing PGS and high

greenspace levels.

This is evidenced more explicitly by the extremely high level-2 VPC values. We note

that these were not identical for all mental health PGS but the amount of variance

explained by each PGS was very small, so the VPC was almost the same for each

model. A VPC of 0.83 implies that simply knowing individuals' neighbourhoods would

allow you to account for 83% of the unexplained, observed variation in phenotypic

NDVI. This is important as, coupled with the reversal of sign of the effect estimate in

our schizophrenia liability model, it implies our initial global effect estimate was

mostly driven by individuals living within the same area (both neighbourhood or

district areas) being more phenotypically and genetically similar to their immediate

neighbours than to those living in other areas. This is particularly concerning as this

is what adjustment for genetic principal components is often cited as insulating

against, with the implication that adjustment for genetic ancestry should deal with

spatial confounding between UK Biobank participants. This appears to not be the

case, and as such we propose that local neighbourhood random intercept

specifications be included in PGS analyses as a routine robustness check to ensure

that global PGS estimates are not being biased by such spatial confounding. That

said, our study design is likely an extreme case of such confounding, as the

greenspace value for any given individual can be reasonably inferred to be nearly

identical of that of their immediate neighbours, simply because the outcome is

defined within a spatial boundary.

Our results for depression and wellbeing PGS are consistent with the social drift

hypothesis, which supports a role of the movement of genetically similar individuals

into more urban/less green areas. Here, this suggests those with greater genetic risk

of poor mental health may select into areas with less greenspace. We did not test a

bidirectional effect for mental health with greenspace as we were unable to construct

strong genetic instruments for greenspace. We did run GWAS for both greenspace

measures in UK Biobank, but only found one genome-wide significant SNP for NDVI,

and none for percentage greenspace so analyses would be impossible, or

underpowered to detect any effects. If larger GWAS of contextual phenotypes were

to be conducted, this may help investigate causation in this direction.

The relationship we observe for schizophrenia liability is particularly interesting. We

found that for both greenspace measures when we modelled the association with

schizophrenia liability the direction of the association reversed when specifying an

area-level random-intercept parameter, an example of Simpson's paradox(Kievit et

al., 2013). There are multiple reasons why this may occur, but one which is

consistent with known UK Biobank selection issues is that this is caused by non-

random selection within areas. UK Biobank participation is known to be patterned by

both phenotypic schizophrenia and schizophrenia PGS(Tyrrell et al., 2021). We

suggest that the results we see are consistent with collider bias where, within-areas,

those with low schizophrenia PGS and in greener areas are more likely to have

participated in UK Biobank. Assuming no complex interactions, this would induce a

positive relationship between schizophrenia PGS and greenness as a result of

collider bias, where individuals with a high schizophrenia PGS are more likely to

have high greenspace values. What is striking, however, is that schizophrenia PGS

and greenspace are still clustered strongly enough that between areas we see the

anticipated relationship, implying that areas with higher greenspace tend to be

populated with individuals with lower schizophrenia PGS to a strong enough degree

that the global effect estimate is negative in the full UK Biobank population.

Our results also differed between the NDVI and greenspace measure used. Our

results are consistent with previous observational studies showing increased NDVI,

which indicates visible greenness of an area was associated with fewer depressive

symptoms, decreased job-related stress and psychological distress (Bezold et al.,

2018a; Herrera et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). However, in other studies using a

similar measure to ours based on data from the Generalized Land Use Database

(i.e., percentage of greenspace in an area), associations with mental health

outcomes were not generally found (Feng & Astell-Burt, 2017; Mueller et al., 2019;

Weeland et al., 2019).

*Limitations*

Our study has several limitations. First, PGS analyses have well known limitations;

for example, different p-value thresholds to construct scores affects the predictive

accuracy of the score. More SNPs may increase the variance explained but may

also suffer from overfitting. In our main analyses we focused on one p-value

threshold but included other thresholds as sensitivity analyses (see Supplementary

Tables S2 and S3) and found consistent results across these. Second, our large

sample size means that the estimated associations will have increased precision and

we may overstate confidence in associations which may not generalise to a wider

population. Similarly, these results were obtained from a European ancestry sample

and may not be generalisable to other ancestry groups. Third, it is possible that the

GWAS for our mental health phenotypes also include some SNPs associated with

"upstream" traits like greenspace (i.e., the primary phenotype is mis-specified)

(Richmond & Davey Smith, 2021). As we do not know what SNPs influence

greenspace, we are unable to account for this. However, the PRS analyses are more

likely to be impacted by this than the MR analyses given the less stringent p-values

used and therefore the greater number of SNPs included that may capture these

"upstream" traits. Fourth, whilst we may increase the likelihood of obtaining

generalisable estimates by including a random intercept for area-context,

participation in UK Biobank at baseline was extremely non-random as we outline

above. Fifth, we were not able to be prescriptive with our operationalisation of

greenspace. A recent study illustrated the sensitivity of results to greenspace

specification; for instance, availability, accessibility, and visibility of greenspace

produced very different results when included in analyses (Labib et al., 2021).The

NDVI measure likely offers a more bespoke measure of greenspace in rural settings

as it is calculated at a household level, rather than at OA level (rural OAs are sparser

and larger). However, NDVI simply captures surface reflectivity from satellite

imagery, so has no sensitivity to the accessibility of the greenspace it is capturing,

unlike the percentage greenspace measure. Future research into this must carefully

consider which components of greenspace are of interest for each research

question. Sixth, in our MR analyses we were possibly underpowered to detect an

effect for wellbeing due to the small number of genome-wide significant SNPs

identified in the GWAS. Seventh, a key limitation of MLM approaches is that

endogenous, omitted variables associated with the higher-level contexts can reduce

generalisability. If the aim of an analysis is solely to adjust for higher level context,

then a fixed effects approach might be more appropriate (Abdellaoui et al., 2021).

Finally, we also need to consider that geographical location will also be, to some

extent, accounted for in our PGS (Haworth et al., 2019), as well as other types of

structure, which may include population stratification and assortative mating (Morris

et al., 2020).

In conclusion, we found evidence to suggest that those at risk of poorer mental

health outcomes, specifically depression and wellbeing, may select into less green

areas. Further studies investigating bidirectionality are called for as if lack of

greenspace further exacerbates poor mental health then interventions targeting

greenspace may be important. Finally, our study highlights the potential for spatial

confounding to bias genetic analyses of geographically clustered phenomena. We

suggest therefore, that increasing availability of genetic datasets with geographical

location will allow for future research to understand and quantify these potential

biases.

**Methods**

**Cohort description**

The UK Biobank is a large population-based prospective health research resource of

503,317 participants, aged between 38 and 73 years at recruitment, recruited

between 2006 and 2010, from across the UK (Sudlow et al., 2015). The study aimed

to identify determinants of human disease in middle and old age. A range of data

have been collected across sociodemographic, health status, lifestyle, cognitive

function, self-reported measures and physical and mental health measures. Data

were collected via a number of methods, including paper and web-based

questionnaires, computer assisted interviews, clinic visits and data linkage. Baseline

assessment took place at 22 assessment centres in the UK to enable recruitment

from a range of locations. Further information can be found on the UK Biobank

website (www.ukbiobank.ac.uk). UK Biobank received ethical approval from the

Research Ethics Committee (REC reference for UK Biobank is 11/NW/0382). We

excluded participants based on the latest withdrawal lists at the time of data

extraction (04/02/2020) for our UK Biobank project (project number: 21829).

**Phenotypic measures**

The proposed mechanism of greenspace impact is inconsistently defined in the

literature (Cusack et al., 2017). Therefore, we used two measures to capture

participants' residential access to greenspace. These measures were made

available to UK Biobank by Sarkar et al., and were derived using exact postcodes

(Sarkar et al., 2015). Both measures were standardised prior to inclusion in models.

*Normalised Difference Vegetation Index*

Our first outcome was the mean Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI),

calculated for a 500m radius of the participant's home location. NDVI is a commonly

used parameterisation of green-space access as it can be calculated from satellite

imagery, rather than requiring administrative land use information (Herrera et al.,

2018; Wang et al., 2019), and closely approximates subjective exposure estimates

(Rhew et al., 2011). The NDVI ranges between -1 and +1, with positive values

indicating greener areas based on reflectance measures in Colour InfraRed (CIR)

satellite data(Sarkar et al., 2015). The distribution in UK Biobank was -0.50 to 0.70.

After exclusions based on withdrawn consent and quality control filtering for genetic

data (described below) and including only those with genetic data, the final sample

size for NDVI was 238,306 (see Figure 1).

*Percentage greenspace*

Our second outcome refers to the percentage of an area within a 300m radius of the

participants home classified as greenspace. This is based on land use data from the

Generalized Land Use Database (GLUD) (Department for Communities and Local

Government, 2007) for England 2005 data at the 2001 census output area (OA)

level. Census output areas were designed to have a consistent population, thus their

area varies considerably with population density. Each participant's home location

was allocated an area weighted mean of these data for output areas intersecting the

300m radius of a home. After exclusions and including only those with genetic data,

the final sample size used in analyses with this outcome was 293,922 (see Figure 1).

The percentage greenspace measure was only weakly correlated with the NDVI

measure ($r$=0.16). This is likely because they capture different aspects of

greenspace; the NDVI measure captures greenness via the reflectance of the land

surface, whereas the percentage greenspace classification captures functional

greenspace, including areas of natural agricultural land, recreational areas and other

areas of grassland, and excluding domestic gardens (Department for Communities

and Local Government, 2007).

Figure 1. Flowchart of number of participants included in analyses

*Participants who withdrew consent by 04/02/2020*

*NDVI= Normalised Difference Vegetation Index*

*Depression*

For one-sample MR analyses, we also require phenotypic data on mental health

outcomes. We used data from a combination of both ICD-10 diagnoses and

diagnoses reported in verbal interviews with a nurse at the assessment centre.

Anyone who had a diagnosis of depression from any of these sources was coded as

having depression. Anyone without a diagnosis indicated in these data sources was

coded as not having depression. We refer to this combined measure as diagnosis of

depression. Data were also available for a sub-sample of UK Biobank participants

who completed questions related to depressive and manic symptoms during the final

two years of recruitment. From these data, a variable for having bipolar disorder and

major depression was derived, where a participant reporting both was coded only as

having the most severe condition (Smith et al., 2013). We excluded participants who

had bipolar disorder type 1 or 2 and identified those as having depression if they had

probable recurrent major depression (severe or moderate) or a single probable major

depression episode. We refer to this as the mental health questionnaire (MHQ)

depression measure.

*Wellbeing*

As part of an on-line mental health self-assessment questionnaire issued in 2016,

participants were asked the question 'In general how happy are you?'. Participants

could select from the following options: 'Prefer not to answer', 'Do not know',

'Extremely happy', 'Very happy', 'Moderately happy', 'Moderately unhappy, 'Very

unhappy' and 'Extremely unhappy'. We excluded participants who selected either of

the first two options and coded the other options so that a higher number indicated a

more positive response.

*Schizophrenia*

Similar to the depression diagnosis variable, we used data from a combination of both ICD-10 diagnoses and diagnoses reported in verbal interviews with a nurse at the assessment centre. Participants with a diagnosis of schizophrenia from any of these sources were coded as having schizophrenia. Participants without a diagnosis indicated in these data sources were coded as not having schizophrenia. In UK Biobank 0.17% of participants were coded as having schizophrenia, compared to 0.7% reported for psychotic disorders in England (McManus et al., 2016). This is consistent with known selection pressures in UK Biobank , as demonstrated previously with reduced participation in individuals with greater liability to schizophrenia (Tyrrell et al., 2021).

*Potential confounders*

We included age at time of initial assessment and sex in all of our analyses. As we examined phenotypes related to geographical location, we also included the first 25 principal components of population structure (PCs) as confounders in all of our models including PGS. The number of PCs was chosen to reduce geographical confounding. A recent study found that adjusting for 18 PCs was a sensible correction for population structure (Sarmanova et al., 2020), but in this study we corrected for 25 PCs as this was where we saw a flattening in the variance explained by the PCs in our outcomes (Supplementary Figure S1).

*Structural Variables*

In our multilevel models we specified geographical clustering using the administrative area that each participant resided in at the time of recruitment.

Participant locations were matched on easting and northing coordinates (Office for

National Statistics, 2020) to the most recently defined local administrative units at

two spatial scales, one to represent local neighbourhood context, and one the

broader city and commuting context. We consider Middle Layer Super Output Areas

(MSOAs, average population = 7,200) for England and Wales analogous to

Intermediate Zones (IZ, average population = 4,200) for Scotland – hereafter,

"neighbourhoods". Similarly, we consider Local Authority Districts (LADs, average

population 140,000) analogous to Local Authorities (LAs, average population

170,000) for Scotland, hereafter "districts". Supplementary Figures S2 and S3 show

the number of UK Biobank participants per neighbourhood and district respectively.

Data were rounded to the nearest kilometre to preserve anonymity, meaning some

participants' recorded locations fell outside of census boundaries (0.6% of

participants). These participants were assigned to the nearest neighbourhood or

district based on Euclidean distance to the census output area centre.

**Genetic data**

There were 488,377 participants with genotyped samples, of which 49,979 were

genotyped using the UK BiLEVE array and 438,398 using the UK Biobank axiom

array. Pre-imputation quality control, phasing and imputation have been described

elsewhere (Bycroft et al., 2018). In summary, multiallelic SNPs and those with a

MAF≤1% were removed. Phasing of genotype data was performed using a modified

version of the SHAPEIT2 algorithm. The SNPs used were imputed to the Haplotype

Reference Consortium (HRC) reference panel, using IMPUTE2 algorithms. A graded

filtering with different imputation qualities for different MAF ranges was used

(Info>0.3 for MAF>3%, Info>0.6 for MAF 1-3%, Info>0.8 for MAF 0.5-1% and

Info>0.9 for MAF 0.1-0.5%), where MAF and info scores were recalculated on an in-

house derived 'European' subset. Individuals with sex-mismatch or sex-chromosome

aneuploidy were excluded (N=814). In-house quality control filtering of the UK

Biobank data is described in a published protocol(Mitchell et al., 2019). We restricted

the sample to individuals of white British ancestry who self-report as "White British"

and who have very similar ancestral backgrounds according to principal components

analysis (N=409,703), as described by Bycroft and colleagues (Bycroft et al., 2018).

Estimated kinship coefficients using the KING toolset (Manichaikul et al., 2010)

identified 107,162 pairs of related individuals. An in-house algorithm was then

applied to this list and preferentially removed the individuals related to the greatest

number of other individuals until no related pairs remain. These individuals were

excluded (N=79,450).

**Polygenic scores**

We constructed 12 different PGS using Plink (version 2) (Purcell et al., 2007) for

each phenotype using summary statistics from genome wide association studies

(GWAS) of major depressive disorder (MDD) (Wray et al., 2018), wellbeing (Okbay

et al., 2016) and schizophrenia (Ripke et al., 2014). The summary statistics for the

MDD and wellbeing GWAS excluded UK Biobank to avoid sample overlap, and also

excluded 23andMe participants. The PGS we constructed were based on different p-

value thresholds of the trait GWAS (0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, $1\times10^{-3}$, $1\times10^{-4}$,

$1\times10^{-5}$, $1\times10^{-6}$, $5\times10^{-8}$). We used the PGS constructed at a p-value threshold of 0.05

for our main analyses due to this explaining the largest proportion of variance in

depression (Wray et al., 2018) and schizophrenia (Ripke et al., 2014) in the original

GWAS studies. This was not reported for wellbeing; therefore, we used a p-value

threshold of 0.05 for these analyses for consistency. We present results from other

p-value thresholds as sensitivity analyses to assess the consistency of the observed

effects across different thresholds. For the PGS constructed at a p-value threshold of

0.05, the depression PGS explained 0.4% of the variance in having a diagnosis of

depression in the UK Biobank, the wellbeing PGS explained 0.3% of the variance in

wellbeing, and the schizophrenia PGS explained 2.7% of the variance in having a

diagnosis of schizophrenia. SNPs from the GWAS and UK Biobank were

harmonised, aligning the effect estimates and alleles. SNPs were clumped used the

European subsample of the 1000 genomes project, with $R^2 < 0.25$ and a window of

500 kb. The PGS were created by multiplying the number of effect alleles for each

participant in UK Biobank by the effect estimate of the SNP from the relevant GWAS,

then summing across all SNPs associated with each trait. PGS were z-standardised;

results should be interpreted as per standard deviation (SD) increase in score.

**Statistical analysis**

*Linear regression models*

Initial analyses were conducted in R version 3.5.1 and 3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2016)

using linear regression models. We first conducted analyses to examine phenotypic

associations in UK Biobank where NDVI or percentage greenspace were the

outcomes and the mental health phenotypes were the exposures. We then

conducted analyses where NDVI or percentage greenspace were the outcomes and

the PGS for MDD, wellbeing and schizophrenia were the exposures in separate

analyses. These global regression models cannot meaningfully distinguish within-

and between-area components of a given relationship but are useful to demonstrate

aggregate relationships across a dataset. It also allows us to observe whether there

are differences when accounting for geographical context which may indicate

contextually varying relationships.

*Multilevel models (MLM)*

Our interest for this study is in modelling the impact of the mental health PGS on

greenspace, but also in quantifying the degree to which the ostensibly individual

relationship can be distorted by higher level spatial confounding. As such, we fit

multilevel (or mixed or hierarchical) models (MLM) to efficiently estimate the within-

area effect of PGS exposure, whilst we account for between-area differences in

greenspace and PGS, retaining the capacity to quantify the impact of contextual

clustering that is sacrificed in traditional fixed effect approaches (Bell & Jones, 2015).

We present this as a Variance Partitioning Coefficient (VPC), for the area level,

which gives the proportion of unexplained variation in a coefficient, that is explained

by the area level rather than solely being assumed a result of individual differences.

In the two level case, this is exactly equivalent to the intra-class correlation (ICC),

giving the expected correlation between randomly selected pairs of individuals within

the same higher level unit (Merlo et al., 2005). This ensures we do not misattribute

between-area aggregate variation in mental-health PGS exposures, to independent

between-individual variation. The MLM's are further described in the Supplementary

Material (Section 1).

For MLMs we used the R package *lme4* (Bates et al., 2015). We fitted two MLMs,

adjusting for sex, age and 25 PCs as fixed effects. The first model included a

normally distributed random effect around the modelled intercept, which varies at

neighbourhood level. By allowing each area to have its own modelled intercept, we

account for the fact that different geographical areas have different mean values for

exposure and outcome. This means our PGS effect estimate represents the effect of

a unit increase in PGS *within* a given neighbourhood. The second MLM extends the

formulation above but with random effects specified for district rather than

neighbourhood. We evaluated the model fit using Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC)

and compared across all three models, taking the best fitting model as the one with

lowest AIC. We also present the proportion of the total residual variance attributable

to variation between neighbourhoods or districts, rather than between-individual,

within-area variation, by calculating ICCs.

*One-sample Mendelian randomisation analyses*

Where we found evidence of an association between the PGS and the greenspace

outcomes, we ran one-sample MR analyses, with externally derived weights, to

assess whether these relationships may be causal. MR is a causal inference method

which uses genetic variants (typically SNPs), which are randomly assigned at

conception, as instrumental variables (IVs) for an exposure of interest. MR is more

robust to assumptions of confounding and reverse causation than conventional

observational analyses. There are three underlying core assumptions for MR, which

are i) that the genetic IV is robustly associated with the exposure (relevance), ii) the

genetic IV is only associated with the outcome via the exposure i.e., no direct effects

on the outcome (exclusion restriction), and iii) there is no confounding of the genetic

IV and outcome (independence).

In these analyses, for the genetic IV for depression, wellbeing and schizophrenia, we

used weighted PGS created using SNPs that reached genome-wide significance

($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) in the GWAS including all samples. For schizophrenia we used the

corresponding weights from the GWAS including all samples, however for

depression and wellbeing we used weights from the GWAS excluding UK Biobank

and 23andMe samples (Okbay et al., 2016; Ripke et al., 2014; Wray et al., 2018).

For the genetic IV for wellbeing, we also used a weighted PGS created at $p<1 \times 10^{-05}$,

due to the low number of SNPs (n=3) reaching genome-wide significance.

We used a two-stage least squares regression approach, with the Applied

Econometrics with R (AER) package (v1.2-9), to estimate the causal effects of

depression liability, wellbeing and schizophrenia liability on the greenspace

outcomes. Two-stage least squares regression allows the IV-exposure effect to be

estimated in the first step and then in the second step the effect on the outcome is

estimated by regressing the outcome on the estimates from step one. For

depression, we used the variable for diagnosis of depression to estimate the genetic

IV and exposure relationship in our main analyses, and we used the MHQ

depression measure in sensitivity analyses. For schizophrenia we used the variable

for diagnosis of schizophrenia to estimate the genetic IV and exposure relationship.

We also ran sensitivity analyses for all one-sample MR analyses using individual

SNP data (as these were not possible to apply to the PGS), except for the genome-

wide p-value threshold wellbeing analysis as there were too few SNPs. We used the

collider-correction approach (Barry et al., 2021), which applies two-sample MR

methods to one-sample MR data. This approach artificially induces collider bias

between the exposure and outcome and then corrects for it, in order to facilitate

simple adjustment for weak instrument bias and pleiotropy. We used collider-

corrected inverse-variance weighted (IVW), Simulation Extrapolation (SIMEX)

adjusted MR-Egger and least absolute deviation approaches. The IVW approach

constrains the regression intercept to pass through zero and assumes there is no

unbalanced pleiotropic effects of SNPs. The IVW estimate ignores uncertainty in the

SNP-exposure estimate by assuming that its variance is negligible (known as the NO

Measurement Error [NOME] assumption). MR Egger assesses and counteracts

unbalanced pleiotropy by not constraining the intercept to pass through zero. SIMEX

allows for adjustment due to regression dilution bias from weak instruments. Finally,

IVW and MR Egger rely on the InSIDE assumption (that the SNP-exposure

association is not correlated with a path from the SNP to the outcome, independent

of the exposure) and the least absolute deviation approach does not. The least

absolute deviation approach is also SIMEX adjusted. We also calculated the I-

squared statistic (indicative of strength of NOME violation for MR Egger) and the

mean F-statistic (indicative of instrument strength) (Bowden et al., 2016). Finally, we

ran MR Robust Adjusted Profile Score (RAPS) for the wellbeing MR using a p-value

threshold of $p<1 \times 10^{-05}$. As it allows large numbers of weak instruments below the

conventional GWAS threshold ($5 \times 10^{-08}$) to be included, accounting for weak

instrument bias.

**Data availability**

UK Biobank data are available through a procedure described at

https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/enable-your-research.

**Code availability**

The analysis code that forms the basis of the results presented here is available from

https://github.com/ZoeReed/Greenspace_MH_PGS_analysis.

**Acknowledgements:** This research has been conducted using data from UK

Biobank, a major biomedical database (www.ukbiobank.ac.uk, application No

21829). We thank the participants of UK Biobank. Quality Control filtering of the UK

Biobank data was conducted by R.Mitchell, G.Hemani, T.Dudding, L.Corbin,

S.Harrison, L.Paternoster as described in the published protocol (doi:

10.5523/bris.1ovaau5sxunp2cv8rcy88688v).

**Funding:** This work was supported in part by the UK Medical Research Council

Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol (Grant ref:

MC_UU_00011/1, MC_UU_00011/7). GG was supported by an ESRC Postdoctoral

Fellowship (Grant ref: ES/T009101/1). MRM is supported by the National Institute for

Health Research Bristol Biomedical Research Centre. OSPD is funded by the Alan

Turing Institute under the EPSRC grant EP/N510129/1. MRM and OSPD were also

supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research

Centre at the University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of

Bristol.

**Competing Interests:** There were no conflicts of interest

**Author contributions:** Conceptualization: ZER, MRM, GJG; Methodology: ZER,

GJG, TTM; Formal Analysis: ZER; Resources: MRM, OSPD, GDS; Data Curation:

ZER; Writing—Original Draft: ZER, GJG; Writing—Review and Editing: ZER, GJG,

TTM, OSPD, GDS, MRM; Supervision: MRM; Project Administration: MRM; Funding

Acquisition: MRM, GDS, OSPD.

**References**

Abdellaoui, A., Verweij, K. J. H., & Nivard, M. G. (2021). Geographic Confounding in
Genome-Wide Association Studies. *BioRxiv*, 2021.03.18.435971.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.18.435971
Barry, C., Liu, J., Richmond, R., Rutter, M. K., Lawlor, D. A., Dudbridge, F., &
Bowden, J. (2021). Exploiting collider bias to apply two-sample summary data
Mendelian randomization methods to one-sample individual level data. *PLOS*
*Genetics*, *17*(8), e1009703. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009703
Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. C. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-
effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*(1).
https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01
Bell, A., & Jones, K. (2015). Explaining Fixed Effects: Random Effects Modeling of
Time-Series Cross-Sectional and Panel Data. *Political Science Research and*
*Methods*, *3*(1), 133–153. https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2014.7
Bezold, C. P., Banay, R. F., Coull, B. A., Hart, J. E., James, P., Kubzansky, L. D.,
Missmer, S. A., & Laden, F. (2018a). The Association Between Natural
Environments and Depressive Symptoms in Adolescents Living in the United
States. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *62*(4), 488–495.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.10.008
Bezold, C. P., Banay, R. F., Coull, B. A., Hart, J. E., James, P., Kubzansky, L. D.,
Missmer, S. A., & Laden, F. (2018b). The relationship between surrounding
greenness in childhood and adolescence and depressive symptoms in
adolescence and early adulthood. *Annals of Epidemiology*, *28*(4), 213–219.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ANNEPIDEM.2018.01.009
Bowden, J., Fabiola Del Greco, M., Minelli, C., Smith, G. D., Sheehan, N. A., &
Thompson, J. R. (2016). Assessing the suitability of summary data for two-
sample mendelian randomization analyses using MR-Egger regression: The role
of the I 2 statistic. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *45*(6), 1961–1974.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw220
Bycroft, C., Freeman, C., Petkova, D., Band, G., Elliott, L. T., Sharp, K., Motyer, A.,
Vukcevic, D., Delaneau, O., O'Connell, J., Cortes, A., Welsh, S., Young, A.,
Effingham, M., McVean, G., Leslie, S., Allen, N., Donnelly, P., & Marchini, J.
(2018). The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data.
*Nature*, *562*(7726), 203–209. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z
Colodro-Conde, L., Couvy-Duchesne, B., Whitfield, J. B., Streit, F., Gordon, S.,
Kemper, K. E., Yengo, L., Zheng, Z., Trzaskowski, M., De Zeeuw, E. L., Nivard,
M. G., Das, M., Neale, R. E., MacGregor, S., Olsen, C. M., Whiteman, D. C.,
Boomsma, D. I., Yang, J., Rietschel, M., … Martin, N. G. (2018). Association
between population density and genetic risk for schizophrenia. *JAMA*
*Psychiatry*, *75*(9), 901–910. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1581
Cusack, L., Larkin, A., Carozza, S. E., & Hystad, P. (2017). Associations between
multiple green space measures and birth weight across two US cities. *Health*
*and Place*, *47*, 36–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2017.07.002
Davey Smith, G., & Ebrahim, S. (2003). "Mendelian randomization": can genetic
epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of
disease? *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *32*(1), 1–22.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyg070

Davey Smith, G., & Hemani, G. (2014). Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors
for causal inference in epidemiological studies. *Human Molecular Genetics*,
*23*(R1), R89-98. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddu328
Department for Communities and Local Government. (2007). *Generalised Land Use*
*Database Statistics for England 2005 (Enhanced Basemap)*. DCLG.
Faris, R. E. L., & Dunham, H. W. (1939). Mental disorders in urban areas: an
ecological study of schizophrenia and other psychoses. In *Mental disorders in*
*urban areas: an ecological study of schizophrenia and other psychoses.* Univ.
Chicago Press.
Feng, X., & Astell-Burt, T. (2017). The relationship between neighbourhood green
space and child mental wellbeing depends upon whom you ask: Multilevel
evidence from 3083 children aged 12–13 years. In *International Journal of*
*Environmental Research and Public Health* (Vol. 14, Issue 3, p. 235).
Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14030235
Giggs, J. A. (1973). The Distribution of Schizophrenics in Nottingham. *Transactions*
*of the Institute of British Geographers*, *59*, 55–76.
https://doi.org/10.2307/621712
Giggs, J. A. (1975). The Distribution of Schizophrenics in Nottingham: A Reply.
*Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, *64*, 150–156.
https://doi.org/10.2307/621712
Gong, Y., Palmer, S., Gallacher, J., Marsden, T., & Fone, D. (2016). A systematic
review of the relationship between objective measurements of the urban
environment and psychological distress. *Environment International*, *96*, 48–57.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.08.019
Haworth, S., Mitchell, R., Corbin, L., Wade, K. H., Dudding, T., Budu-Aggrey, A.,
Carslake, D., Hemani, G., Paternoster, L., Smith, G. D., Davies, N., Lawson, D.
J., & J. Timpson, N. (2019). Apparent latent structure within the UK Biobank
sample has implications for epidemiological analysis. *Nature Communications*,
*10*(1), 333. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08219-1
Hernán, M. A., Clayton, D., & Keiding, N. (2011). The simpson's paradox unraveled.
*International Journal of Epidemiology*, *40*(3), 780–785.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyr041
Herrera, R., Markevych, I., Berger, U., Genuneit, J., Gerlich, J., Nowak, D., Schlotz,
W., Vogelberg, C., Von Mutius, E., Weinmayr, G., Windstetter, D., Weigl, M.,
Heinrich, J., & Radon, K. (2018). Greenness and job-related chronic stress in
young adults: A prospective cohort study in Germany. *BMJ Open*, *8*(6),
e021599. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021599
Julious, S. A., & Mullee, M. A. (1994). Confounding and Simpson's paradox. *BMJ*
*(Clinical Research Ed.)*, *309*(6967), 1480–1481.
https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.309.6967.1480
Kievit, R. A., Frankenhuis, W. E., Waldorp, L. J., & Borsboom, D. (2013). Simpson's
paradox in psychological science: A practical guide. *Frontiers in Psychology*,
*4*(AUG), 513. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00513
Labib, S. M., Lindley, S., & Huck, J. J. (2021). Estimating multiple greenspace
exposure types and their associations with neighbourhood premature mortality:
A socioecological study. *Science of the Total Environment*, *789*, 147919.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147919
Lawlor, D. A., Harbord, R. M., Sterne, J. A. C., Timpson, N., & Davey Smith, G.
(2008). Mendelian randomization: Using genes as instruments for making

causal inferences in epidemiology. *Statistics in Medicine*, *27*(8), 1133–1163. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.3034

Li, D., Deal, B., Zhou, X., Slavenas, M., & Sullivan, W. C. (2018). Moving beyond the neighborhood: Daily exposure to nature and adolescents' mood. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *173*, 33–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2018.01.009

Manichaikul, A., Mychaleckyj, J. C., Rich, S. S., Daly, K., Sale, M., & Chen, W. M. (2010). Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(22), 2867–2873. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq559

March, D., Hatch, S. L., Morgan, C., Kirkbride, J. B., Bresnahan, M., Fearon, P., & Susser, E. (2008). Psychosis and place. In *Epidemiologic Reviews* (Vol. 30, Issue 1, pp. 84–100). Oxford Academic. https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxn006

McManus, S., Bebbington, P., Jenkins, R., Brugha, T., NHS Digital., & UK Statistics Authority. (2016). *Mental health and wellbeing in England : Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2014*.

Merlo, J., Chaix, B., Yang, M., Lynch, J., & Råstam, L. (2005). A brief conceptual tutorial of multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: Linking the statistical concept of clustering to the idea of contextual phenomenon. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, *59*(6), 443–449. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2004.023473

Mitchell, R., Hemani, G., Dudding, T., Corbin, L., Harrison, S., & Paternoster, L. (2019). *UK Biobank Genetic Data: MRC-IEU Quality Control, Version 2*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5523/bris.1ovaau5sxunp2cv8rcy88688v

Moore, T. H. M., Kesten, J. M., López-López, J. A., Ijaz, S., McAleenan, A., Richards, A., Gray, S., Savović, J., & Audrey, S. (2018). The effects of changes to the built environment on the mental health and well-being of adults: Systematic review. In *Health and Place* (Vol. 53, pp. 237–257). Pergamon. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2018.07.012

Morris, T. T., Davies, N. M., Hemani, G., & Smith, G. D. (2020). Population phenomena inflate genetic associations of complex social traits. *Science Advances*, *6*(16), eaay0328. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay0328

Mueller, M. A. E., Flouri, E., & Kokosi, T. (2019). The role of the physical environment in adolescent mental health. *Health and Place*, *58*, 102153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.102153

Office for National Statistics. (2020). *2011 Census: Aggregate Data*. UK Data Service. https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.5257/census/aggregate-2011-2

Okbay, A., Baselmans, B. M. L., De Neve, J. E., Turley, P., Nivard, M. G., Fontana, M. A., Meddens, S. F. W., Linnér, R. K., Rietveld, C. A., Derringer, J., Gratten, J., Lee, J. J., Liu, J. Z., De Vlaming, R., SAhluwalia, T., Buchwald, J., Cavadino, A., Frazier-Wood, A. C., Furlotte, N. A., … Cesarini, D. (2016). Genetic variants associated with subjective well-being, depressive symptoms, and neuroticism identified through genome-wide analyses. *Nature Genetics*, *48*(6), 624–633. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3552

Pedersen, C. B. (2015). Persons with schizophrenia migrate towards urban areas due to the development of their disorder or its prodromata. *Schizophrenia Research*, *168*(1), 204–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.08.028

Peen, J., Schoevers, R. A., Beekman, A. T., & Dekker, J. (2010). The current status of urban-rural differences in psychiatric disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *121*(2), 84–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.2009.01438.x

Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A. R., Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P. I. W., Daly, M. J., & Sham, P. C. (2007).

PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based
Linkage Analyses. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, *81*(3), 559–575.
https://doi.org/10.1086/519795
Purtle, J., Nelson, K. L., Yang, Y., Langellier, B., Stankov, I., & Diez Roux, A. V.
(2019). Urban–Rural Differences in Older Adult Depression: A Systematic
Review and Meta-analysis of Comparative Studies. *American Journal of*
*Preventive Medicine*, *56*(4), 603–613.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2018.11.008
R Core Team. (2016). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
Rhew, I. C., Vander Stoep, A., Kearney, A., Smith, N. L., & Dunbar, M. D. (2011).
Validation of the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index as a Measure of
Neighborhood Greenness. *Annals of Epidemiology*, *21*(12), 946–952.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2011.09.001
Richmond, R. C., & Davey Smith, G. (2021). Mendelian Randomization: Concepts
and Scope. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*, a040501.
https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a040501
Ripke, S., Neale, B. M., Corvin, A., Walters, J. T. R., Farh, K.-H., Holmans, P. A.,
Lee, P., Bulik-Sullivan, B., Collier, D. A., Huang, H., Pers, T. H., Agartz, I.,
Agerbo, E., Albus, M., Alexander, M., Amin, F., Bacanu, S. A., Begemann, M.,
Belliveau Jr, R. A., … O'Donovan, M. C. (2014). Biological insights from 108
schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature*, *511*(7510), 421–427.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13595
Sariaslan, A., Fazel, S., D'Onofrio, B. M., Långström, N., Larsson, H., Bergen, S. E.,
Kuja-Halkola, R., & Lichtenstein, P. (2016). Schizophrenia and subsequent
neighborhood deprivation: Revisiting the social drift hypothesis using population,
twin and molecular genetic data. *Translational Psychiatry*, *6*(5), e796–e796.
https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2016.62
Sarkar, C., Webster, C., & Gallacher, J. (2015). UK Biobank Urban Morphometric
Platform (UKBUMP) – a nationwide resource for evidence-based healthy city
planning and public health interventions. *Annals of GIS*, *21*(2), 135–148.
https://doi.org/10.1080/19475683.2015.1027791
Sarkar, C., Webster, C., & Gallacher, J. (2018). Residential greenness and
prevalence of major depressive disorders: a cross-sectional, observational,
associational study of 94 879 adult UK Biobank participants. *The Lancet*
*Planetary Health*, *2*(4), e162–e173. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-
5196(18)30051-2
Sarmanova, A., Morris, T., & Lawson, D. J. (2020). Population stratification in GWAS
meta-analysis should be standardized to the best available reference datasets.
*BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.03.281568
Smith, D. J., Nicholl, B. I., Cullen, B., Martin, D., Ul-Haq, Z., Evans, J., Gill, J. M. R.,
Roberts, B., Gallacher, J., Mackay, D., Hotopf, M., Deary, I., Craddock, N., &
Pell, J. P. (2013). Prevalence and characteristics of probable major depression
and bipolar disorder within UK Biobank: Cross-sectional study of 172,751
participants. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(11), e75362.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075362
Solmi, F., Dykxhoorn, J., & Kirkbride, J. B. (2017). *Urban-Rural Differences in Major*
*Mental Health Conditions* (pp. 27–132). Springer, Singapore.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2327-9_7

Sudlow, C., Gallacher, J., Allen, N., Beral, V., Burton, P., Danesh, J., Downey, P.,
Elliott, P., Green, J., Landray, M., Liu, B., Matthews, P., Ong, G., Pell, J.,
Silman, A., Young, A., Sprosen, T., Peakman, T., & Collins, R. (2015). UK
Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range
of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLoS Medicine*, *12*(3), e1001779.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779
Tyrrell, J., Zheng, J., Beaumont, R., Hinton, K., Richardson, T. G., Wood, A. R.,
Davey Smith, G., Frayling, T. M., & Tilling, K. (2021). Genetic predictors of
participation in optional components of UK Biobank. *Nature Communications*,
*12*(1), 886. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21073-y
Vassos, E., Agerbo, E., Mors, O., & Bøcker Pedersen, C. (2016a). Urban-rural
differences in incidence rates of psychiatric disorders in Denmark. *British*
*Journal of Psychiatry*, *208*(5), 435–440.
https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.161091
Vassos, E., Agerbo, E., Mors, O., & Bøcker Pedersen, C. (2016b). Urban-rural
differences in incidence rates of psychiatric disorders in Denmark. *British*
*Journal of Psychiatry*, *208*(5), 435–440.
https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.161091
Verheij, R. A., Maas, J., & Groenewegen, P. P. (2008). Urban-rural health
differences and the availability of green space. *European Urban and Regional*
*Studies*, *15*(4), 307–316. https://doi.org/10.1177/0969776408095107
Wang, P., Meng, Y. Y., Lam, V., & Ponce, N. (2019). Green space and serious
psychological distress among adults and teens: A population-based study in
California. *Health and Place*, *56*, 184–190.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.02.002
Ward, J. S., Duncan, J. S., Jarden, A., & Stewart, T. (2016). The impact of children's
exposure to greenspace on physical activity, cognitive development, emotional
wellbeing, and ability to appraise risk. *Health and Place*, *40*, 44–50.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2016.04.015
Weeland, J., Laceulle, O. M., Nederhof, E., Overbeek, G., & Reijneveld, S. A. (2019).
The greener the better? Does neighborhood greenness buffer the effects of
stressful life events on externalizing behavior in late adolescence? *Health and*
*Place*, *58*, 102163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.102163
White, M. P., Alcock, I., Wheeler, B. W., & Depledge, M. H. (2013). Would You Be
Happier Living in a Greener Urban Area? A Fixed-Effects Analysis of Panel
Data. *Psychological Science*, *24*(6), 920–928.
https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612464659
Wray, N. R., Ripke, S., Mattheisen, M., Trzaskowski, M., Byrne, E. M., Abdellaoui,
A., Adams, M. J., Agerbo, E., Air, T. M., Andlauer, T. M. F., Bacanu, S. A.,
Bækvad-Hansen, M., Beekman, A. F. T., Bigdeli, T. B., Binder, E. B.,
Blackwood, D. R. H., Bryois, J., Buttenschøn, H. N., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., …
Sullivan, P. F. (2018). Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk
variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nature*
*Genetics*, *50*(5), 668–681. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0090-3
Zhang, Y., Mavoa, S., Zhao, J., Raphael, D., & Smith, M. (2020). The association
between green space and adolescents mental well-being: A systematic review.
*International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(18), 1–
26. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186640
918