1	SARS-CoV-2 testing of aircraft wastewater shows that mandatory tests and vaccination
2	pass before boarding did not prevent massive importation of Omicron variant in Europe
3	
4	Lorlane Le Targa ^{1,2,3} , Nathalie Wurtz ^{1,2} , Alexandre Lacoste ⁴ , Gwilherm Penant ^{1,2} , Priscilla
5	Jardot ^{1,2} , Alexandre Annessi ⁴ , Philippe Colson ^{1,2} , Bernard La Scola ^{1,2*} , Sarah Aherfi ^{1,2*}
6	
7	Affiliations:
8	¹ MEPHI, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Assistance Publique -
9	Hôpitaux de Marseille (AP-HM), Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France
10	² IHU Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France
11	³ Biosellal
12	⁴ Bataillon des Marins Pompiers de la ville de Marseille, Marseille, France
13	
14	
15	* Corresponding authors:
16	Sarah Aherfi, IHU - Méditerranée Infection, 19-21 boulevard Jean Moulin, 13005 Marseille,
17	France. Tel.: +33 413 732 401, Fax: +33 413 732 402; email: <u>aherfi.s@gmail.com</u>
18	Bernard La Scola, IHU - Méditerranée Infection, AP-HM, 19-21 boulevard Jean Moulin,
19	13005 Marseille, France. Tel.: +33 413 732 401, Fax: +33 413 732 052; email:
20	
21	KEYWORDS: SARS-CoV2, wastewater monitoring, variants spreading, aircraft,
22	surveillance

23 ABSTRACT (200 words)

- 24 Background : Most new SARS-CoV-2 epidemics in France occurred following importation
- 25 from abroad of emerging viral variants. Currently, the control of such risk of new variant
- 26 importation is based on the negativity of a screening test (PCR or antigenic) and on an up-to-
- 27 date vaccine status, such as International Air Transport Association travel pass.
- 28 Methods: Wastewater of 2 planes arriving in Marseille (France) from Addis-Ababa (Ethiopia)
- 29 on December 2021 were i) tested by RT-PCR for SARS-CoV2 detection, and variants
- 30 screening; these tests were carried out between landing and custom clearance, ii)sequenced by
- 31 MiSeq Illumina.
- 32 Antigenic tests and sequencing by NovaSeq were carried out on respiratory samples collected
- 33 from the 56 passengers of the second flight.

Results: SARS-CoV-2 RNA suspected of being from the Omicron BA.1 variant was detected
on the aircraft's wastewater. ,

- SARS-CoV2 RNA was detected for 11 (20%) passengers and the Omicron BA.1 variant was
 identified.
- 38 Conclusion: Our work shows the efficiency of aircraft wastewater testing to detect SARS-
- 39 CoV-2 cases among travelers and identify the viral genotype. It also highlights the low
- 40 performance for incoming flights from outside Europe to France of the current filter strategy
- 41 that combines requirement for a vaccine pass and a negative testing before boarding.
- 42

43 MAIN TEXT (2752 words)

44 Introduction

45 Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19), caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory 46 Syndrome – Coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2), emerged in Wuhan (China) in December 2019 47 and since then became a pandemic with more than 336 million confirmed cases globally and 48 5.5 million deaths as of January 20, 2022 . Most SARS-CoV-2 epidemics that occurred 49 successively or concurrently at the level of countries resulted from the importation from 50 abroad of emerging viral variants [1]. Indeed, air travel and boat cruise have been associated 51 with the spread of SARS-CoV-2 including of new variants via infected passengers. Since the 52 beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, many countries and regions imposed restrictions, for 53 example quarantines, entry bans, obligation of vaccination, or travel restrictions. To know the 54 restrictions imposed in each country, the International Air Transport Association (IATA) 55 developed a COVID-19 Travel Regulations Map (powered by Timatic), which gives in real 56 time the requirements according to the itinerary with certainty for anywhere in the world

57 (https://www.iatatravelcentre.com/world.php). Previous studies have examined the effect of 58 travel restrictions and travel-related measures imposed during the pandemic. Most of these 59 studies focused on the initial phase of the COVID-19 spread, when the epidemic was 60 concentrated in Wuhan (China) [2;3]. All of these studies found that travel restrictions in the 61 early part of the epidemic helped to delay the spread of COVID-19. Other studies found that 62 the restrictions were insufficient to control the global spread completely [4;5]. With the 63 emergence of new SARS-CoV-2 variants, many countries have strengthened border control 64 measures, with pre-travel and post-travel screening tests, to avoid their importation. In the last 65 months, new variants had spread worldwide including most recently the Omicron variant that 66 was first described in South Africa and Bostwana [6]. Its clinical manifestations are similar to 67 those of other respiratory viral infections with dry cough, fever, tiredness, myalgia and 68 difficulty to breath [6], but can also include gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhea, 69 nausea, abdominal pain and vomiting in 2-10 % of cases [7]. High concentrations of SARS-70 CoV-2 RNA have been found in stools of infected asymptomatic and symptomatic people [8] 71 and viruses were still infectious [9]. Therefore, analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater 72 appears an interesting approach to monitor the disease burden in communities. After the first 73 report of the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater by Medema et al. in Netherlands [10], 74 detection and monitoring in wastewater samples have been reported in many countries [11-75 14]. A few studies have performed SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing from sewage to identify 76 viral genotypes circulating in a community and study genetic diversity [14-19]. Some of them 77 showed congruence bewteen variants found in clinical isolates during the same period, while 78 others identified genotypes not yet reported in clinical samples.

79 Until now, one study showed early in the pandemic that SARS-CoV-2 RNA was 80 detected in wastewater from passenger aircraft [20;21] and this surveillance demonstrated a 81 high positive predictive value for SARS-CoV-2 infection among people [22]. Only one study 82 recently reported the successful detection by genome sequencing of variants in aircraft 83 wastewater [23]. In the current study, we report the detection of SARS-CoV-2 variants in 84 wastewater of aircrafts travelling from Addis Abeba, Ethiopia, to France. Two methods 85 including full-length genome sequencing and real time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR 86 (qPCR) to detect different variants by using the Bio-T Kit® FiveStar Covid-19 (Biosellal, 87 Dardilly, France) were used. The high concentration of the Omicron variant in aircraft 88 wastewater that was confirmed by antigenic testing of travelers shows massive importation of 89 the Omicron variant in France from Africa. This confirms that the surveillance of aircraft 90 wastewater provides precious public health information on the global spread of emerging

91 SARS-CoV-2 variants and shows that production of a negative SARS-CoV-2 detection test

92 before boarding does not guarantee that passengers are not viral carriers.

93

94 MATERIALS AND METHODS

95 Samples

A volume of 100 mL of aircraft wastewater samples from 2 flights arriving from Addis Abeba (Ethiopia) to Marseille (France) on December 22^{nd} and 24^{th} (indentified as 2212 and 2412 respectively) were collected on the aircraft of the airport tarmac by the bataillon des marins pompiers de Marseille (BMPM), and then stored at 5°C until the arrival at the laboratory. Samples were first passed through a paper filter to remove large particles, then a volume of 30 mL of the filtrate was filtered on a Millex sterile syringe filter with a pore size of 5 µm (SLSV025LS, Merck Millipore, MA, USA).

For the flight of December 24th, 2021, all passengers were proposed to have a 103 nasopharyngeal swab sampled for SARS-CoV-2 detection according to a joint initiative of the 104 105 regional prefecture and the regional health agency and according to decree No. 2020-551 of 106 12 May 2020 on the information systems mentioned in Article 11 of Law No. 2020-546 of 11 107 May 2020 extending the state of health emergency for people arriving from countries 108 classified at risk if the virus circulates actively [24]. These nasopharyngeal swabs were 109 sampled by the staff of BMPM for 56 passengers and tested by a rapid antigenic diagnosis test 110 COVID-VIRO®, AAZ (Boulogne-Billancourt, France). For all patients tested positive, 111 samples were supplied to our laboratory at $+4^{\circ}$ C for further RT-PCR and sequencing.

112

113 Nucleic acids extraction

For wasterwater sample, before DNA/RNA extraction, 10μ L of Bio-T Kit® FiveStar Covid-19 internal positive control (Biosellal, Dardilly, France) and 10 μ L of magnetic silica are added in each sample. Nucleic acids from 1mL of each wastewater sample were extracted with the eGENE-UP[®] Lysis and RNA/DNA Purification (Biomérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) to obtain a volume of 100 μ L of eluate. Negative control consisted in RNase Free water extracted following the same protocol.

For clinical samples, viral RNAs were extracted using the KingFisher Flex system
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's
recommendations.

123

124 **RT-PCR detection and variants screening**

Direct Screening of SARS-CoV-2 variants in wastewater was performed on a RT-PCR Quantstudio5 device (Thermofisher, France) by using combination of the Bio-T Kit FiveStar Covid-19 and the Bio-T kit "Environmental Δ & O" (Biosellal, Dardilly, France). Confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 positivity attested by antigenic test in patients was confirmed by RT-PCR as previously described [25].

130

131 Samples preparation for NGS sequencing

132 The first RNA/DNA extract from the sample 2212 was used without pre-treatment for 133 further RT-PCR. For the sample 2412, 1 mL was freeze-dried then rehydrated in 30 µL of 134 water. The reverse transcription step was performed in duplicate with the SuperScript VILO 135 cDNA synthesis kit (11754-250, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the supplier's recommendations in a final volume of 20 μ L per reaction. The ARTIC v3 PCR 136 137 (ARTIC nCoV-2019 V3 Panel and 500rxn of IDT 10006788, Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., Coralville, IA, USA) was carried out under the following conditions for one reaction for 138 139 each of the pools 1 and 2: 2.5 µL of reaction Mix 10X, 0.5 µL dNTP (10 mM), 0.4 µL of 140 forward primer (100 nM), 0.125 µL of HotStart qDNA Polymerase (Qiagen 203205, Hilden, 141 Germany), water PCR grade (qsp 25μ L) and 2μ L of template. Eight replicates were made per 142 extract and per pool. The 8 replicates were then pooled (final volume of 200 μ L) before 143 purification on a Nucleofast 96 plates (Macherey Nagel ref 743100.50, Hoerdt, France). The 144 purification products were eluted in 30 µL of TE 1X then placed on a 2% agarose gel 145 (migration for 30 minutes, 100 V). The 400 base pair (bp) bands were cut out of the gel and 146 purified according to the supplier protocol with the Monarch DNA Gel Extraction Kit (New 147 England BioLabs, ref T1020L, Evry-Courcouronnes, France) with a final elution volume of 148 40µL.

For passengers' samples, cDNA were amplified using with the Illumina COVIDSeq protocol including a multiplex PCR protocol with ARTIC nCoV-2019 V3 Panel primers (Integrated DNA technologies) according to the ARTIC procedure (https://artic.network/).

152

153 NGS sequencing

For wastewater samples, final purification products were sequenced with the pairedend strategy with the Nextera XT DNA sample preparation kit (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). These samples were barcoded for mixing with other projects. Libraries were prepared following the Illumina protocol (Illumina Inc, California, USA). PCR amplification to complete tag adapters and introduce dual index barcodes was done over 12 cycles followed by

159 purification with 0.8x AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Inc, Fullerton, CA, USA). 160 Libraries were then normalized on specific beads according to the Nextera XT protocol 161 (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) and pooled. Automated cluster generation and pairwise 162 sequencing with dual-index reads were performed in 2x250 bp with the Miseq Reagent Kit 163 (V2-500 cycles) (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). We chose to sequence wastewater 164 samples on a MiSeq Illumina instrument to avoid possible cross contaminations with all 165 clinical samples received in our laboratory and routinely sequenced on the NovaSeq6000 166 instrument.

167

Viral genomes from clinical samples were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 instrument 168 (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), as previously described [26].

169

170 **Sequences analysis**

171 Reads of wastewater samples were analyzed as previously described [27]. Briefly, 172 reads from pool1 and pool2 provided by the ARTIC procedure were mapped together against 173 the Wuhan-Hu-1 SARS-CoV-2 isolate genome (GenBank accession number NC_045512.2) 174 using the CLC genomics softwarev7.5 (Qiagen Digital Insights, Germany) with the default 175 parameters. The non-synonymous mutations present in more than 10% of the reads were 176 taken into account. For each sample, non-synonymous mutations were individually compared 177 with classifying mutations that matched with 225 SARS-CoV-2 variants and sub-variants that 178 had circulated since the beginning of the pandemics, including those that were circulating at 179 this time, we call here the non-synonymous mutations mapped during the analysis: mutations 180 patterns. When a mutation patterns occurred in more than one variant or sub-variant, all 181 variants and sub-variants were added to the results (Supplementary Table 1).

182 For clinical samples, genome consensus sequences were generated with the CLC 183 Genomics workbench v.7 by mapping on the SARS-CoV-2 genome GenBank accession 184 no.NC_045512.2 with the following thresholds: 0.8 for coverage and 0.9 for similarity. 185 Sequences from complete genomes and clade assignment were analyzed using the Nextclade 186 web-tool (https://clades.nextstrain.org/) [28].

187

188 RESULTS

189 Wastewater 2212 was screened positive with cycle threshold value (Ct) of 31.2 and 190 34.4 for systems targeting the E gene and the mutation E484A respectively. Wastewater 2412

was also positive with Ct of 32.7 and 34.9 for systems targeting the E gene and the mutationE484A respectively.

193

194 For the sample 2212, on the 1,419,298 reads obtained, 97.3% were mapped, covering 195 75% of the reference genome. When considering a threshold of 10%, 31 non-synonymous 196 mutations were present, 14 of them being signature mutations of SARS-CoV-2 variants 197 (Figure 1). Besides, P4715L and D614G present in the majority of SARS-CoV-2 variants 198 were found in 100% of the reads. Of 27 cumulative Omicron BA.1/21K and BA.1.1 199 subvariant mutations covered by the reads, 13 were present at a frequency ranging from 51 to 200 100%. The following specific mutations of these subvariants K856R, S3673 G3676S, 201 I3758V, T547K, N856K were found. Of 20 and 32 mutation patterns of the Omicron 202 BA.1.1.529 and BA.2/21L subvariants covered by the reads, 8 were found at a frequency 203 ranging from 17 to 100%.

204 A total of 1,274,982 reads were obtained for the sample 2412, of which 83.7% were 205 mapped, covering 71.6% of the reference genome. Twenty-four non-synonymous mutations 206 were present when considering a threshold of 10%. The analysis of the reads revealed 13 non-207 synonymous mutation patterns specific of variants (Figure 2). D614G mutation was found in 208 100% of the reads. Of the 34 Omicron BA.1 and BA.1.1 mutation patterns covered by the 209 reads, 9 were present with a frequency of 36 to 100%. The K856R, S2083_L2084delinsIle, 210 S3673_G3676S and T547K mutations specific of these sub-variants were found. On a total of 211 27 and 39 mutation patterns of Omicron BA.1.1.529 and BA.2 sub-variants covered by the 212 reads, 6 were present with a frequency ranging from 25 to 100%. Six mutation patterns 213 specific of the Delta variant (comprising between 10 and 18 mapped mutations) were present 214 with a frequency ranging from 11 to 100%.

For the aircraft that flew on December 24th, 2021, among the 56 passengers tested at the exit of the plane, by a rapid antigenic diagnostic test, 12 (21%) were detected as positive. The result was obtained in 20 minutes and directly communicated to the passengers. Among them, 11 were confirmed byRT- PCR. SARS-CoV-2 next-generation genome sequencing with the COVIDSeq protocol performed for these 11 samples provided the identification of the Omicron BA.1 variant for all.

221

222 DISCUSSION

7

223 In this work, we screened the aircraft wastewater of the flight from Ethiopia to 224 Marseille. RT-PCR screening showed the presence of the Omicron variant. The combined 225 ARTIC and Illumina sequencing revealed the presence of mutation patterns of the Omicron 226 variant. Interestingly, 13 passengers from the flight of December 24th, 2021 were tested 227 positive by rapid antigenic test and the full genome sequencing of 11 of them revealed the 228 presence of the Omicron variant. Thus, despite a negative PCR test requirement performed in 229 the previous 72 hours before boarding as required even for vaccinated French people, one 230 quarter of all passengers on board were infected. Besides, the congruent results for qPCR 231 screening and variant detection by NGS on aircraft wastewater and the genotype obtained on 232 clinical samples showed that aircraft wastewater surveillance by NGS is efficient for 233 monitoring the circulation of variants, and especially, a possible powerful strategy for 234 preventing massive importation of new variants of concern from abroad.

235 Monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 circulation in wastewater has already proven to be an effective 236 tool for tracking infections at the community level, correlated with the number of individual 237 cases [29]. Such approach applied to the aircraft wastewater may be a powerful tool for 238 controlling SARS-CoV-2 importation and exportation, this risk existing despite strict control 239 measures of passengers by mandatory clinical negative testing. Recent studies have shown 240 that SARS-CoV-2 monitoring in wastewater from international flights and cruise ships is 241 useful to prioritize testing of onboard passengers, and to improve management of contact 242 tracing [20;22]. Ahmed et al recently detected the Omicron variant by NGS of aircraft 243 wastewater samples collected from flight arriving to Darwin (Australia) from Johannesburg, 244 South Africa) [23]. They combined the ARTIC approach with the Oxford Nanopore-GridION 245 technology and the ATOPlex combined with the DNBseq-g400 sequencing. In the present 246 study, we also detected the Omicron variant in wastewater samples from a long-haul flight 247 from Addis-Ababa to Marseille that lasted 9 hours. One limit of this study is the possible 248 contamination by remaining traces of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from previous flights in blackwater 249 tanks. However, results obtained here show that this risk is very limited given the good 250 correlation with clinical testing results. Also, SARS-CoV-2 infection was not assessed for the 251 aircraft crew.

The Omicron variant was designated on November 26th, 2021 as a variant of concern by the world health organization, and described as highly transmissible, with a potential of immune escape as assessed by a reduced efficiency of the protective immunity developed after COVID-19 vaccination. Within three weeks after the first declared cases in Botswana, it had been detected in 87 countries [30]. Similarly, a previous work carried out in our laboratory

257 showed that of sixteen SARS-CoV-2 variants identified in Marseille since the beginning of 258 pandemics, seven were imported through travel from abroad [1]. History as a lesson, to be 259 early aware of and implement preventing measures for new potential future pandemic waves 260 due to new emerging variants, tracking variants' circulation is indispensable. The importation 261 in Europe of the Omicron variant from South-Africa [30] perfectly illustrates that the airway 262 traffic is a threatening and powerful entry point for new variants despite public health policies 263 including the green passport and the RT-PCR test required for travelers (wrong certificates). 264 This work shows that despite a requirement of a negative RT-PCR test result performed in 265 the previous 72 hours before crossing the border, 20% of passengers were positive, 266 highlighting a great failing in such prevention policy. Aircraft wastewater screening can be 267 performed in one hour, a timeframe short enough to inform passengers before custom 268 clearance, and for triggering nasopharyngeal testing and strict quarantine until results. Such 269 approach could be an efficient tool, probably more powerful than the mandatory certificate of 270 negative testing that can be falsified. Aircraft and cruise wastewater monitoring may be of 271 particular interest during inter-epidemic periods and for remote territories, for which massive 272 importation of new SARS-CoV2 variants would have considerable public health 273 consequences. Based on these findings, we propose wastewater SARS-CoV2 screening 274 followed by variants' monitoring by NGS as a global strategy for preventing new SARS-275 CoV-2 variants importation in unaffected regions, especially in isolated territories as islands 276 or during periods of low viral circulation. Systematic screening and NGS on aircraft, and boat 277 wastewater may help policy makers for targeted management strategies by testing and 278 isolating passengers in case of a positive detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater.

- 279
- 280 Acknowledgments
- 281

282 Author contributions

LLT analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. NW wrote the manuscript. AL collected the samples and performed PCR screening on wastewater and clinical samples. GP prepared samples for NGS and wrote the manuscript. PJ performed NGS and wrote the manuscript. PC revised the manuscript. BLS conceived the project, revised the manuscript and supervised the work. SA conceived data analysis, wrote the manuscript and supervised the work.

288 Funding

This research was funded by the French Government under the "Investissements d'avenir"(Investments for the Future) program managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche

291 (ANR, French National Agency for Research), reference: Méditerranée Infection 10-IAHU-

292 03.

293 Conflict of interest statement

294 Lorlane Le Targa is employee of Biosellal society.

295 Ethics

296 Since July 2021, according to decree No. 2020-551 of 12 May 2020 on the information 297 systems mentioned in Article 11 of Law No. 2020-546 of 11 May 2020 extending the state of 298 health emergency for people arriving from countries classified at risk if the virus circulates 299 actively, all passengers arriving at Marseille airport are proposed to have a naso-pharyngeal 300 swab for SARS-CoV-2 antigenic detection according to a joint initiative of the regional 301 prefecture and the regional health agency. Sampling and antigenic detection were performed 302 by the bataillon des marins pompiers de Marseille. The positive samples collected for 303 diagnostic purposes were reused for retrospective genotyping anonymously. According to 304 French law (loi Jardé), anonymous retrospective studies do not require institutional review 305 board approval nor informed consent. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of 306 the IHU Mediterranée Infection under the number 2022–013.

307

308 FIGURE LEGENDS

309

310 Figure 1. Mutation matrix, showing the mutations of the samples and the variants for which 311 these mutations are found. The number indicated in the orange cells is the frequency of 312 detection of the mutation. These results were obtained from the mapping of the sample 2212 313 the SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 isolate genome (GenBank accession number to 314 NC 045512.2) using the CLC genomics software v7.5 (Qiagen Digital Insights, Germany) 315 with default settings. Only non-synonymous mutations present on at least 10% of reads were 316 recovered. Variant labels include our local nomenclature (implemented at IHU Méditerranée 317 Infection), and Nextstrain and Pangolin nomenclatures.

318

319 Figure 2. Mutation matrix, showing the mutations of the samples and the variants for which 320 these mutations are found. The number indicated in the orange cases is the occurrence 321 frequency of the detection of the mutation. These results were obtained from the mapping of 322 the sample 2412 to the reference SARS-CoV-2 genome. (GenBank accession number 323 NC_045512.2) using the CLC genomics software v7.5 (Qiagen Digital Insights, Germany) 324 with default settings. Only non-synonymous mutations present on at least 10% of reads were 325 recovered. Variant labels include the local nomenclature (IHU) and Nextstrain, and Pangolin 326 nomenclatures.

327 328

329 Supplementary files

330 SF1. Table of variants

331 SF2. Complete mutation matrix, showing all the signature mutations of the SARS-CoV2 332 variants, for which at least one signature mutation was detected in the wastewater 2212 333 sample. Grey cases are signature mutations of the SARS-CoV2 variant indicated in the 334 matching line. Orange cases are signature mutations of the SARS-CoV2 variant indicated in 335 the matching line and detected in the 2212 sample. The number indicated in the orange cases 336 is the occurrence frequency of the detection of the mutation. These results were obtained from 337 the mapping of the sample 2212 to the reference SARS-CoV-2 genome. (GenBank accession 338 number NC_045512.2) using the CLC genomics software v7.5 (Qiagen Digital Insights, 339 Germany) with default settings. Only non-synonymous mutations present on at least 10% of

reads were recovered. Variant labels include the local nomenclature (IHU) and Nextstrain,and Pangolin nomenclatures.

342

343 SF3. Complete mutation matrix, showing all the signature mutations of the SARS-CoV2 344 variants, for which at least one signature mutation was detected in the wastewater 2412 345 sample. Grey cases are signature mutations of the SARS-CoV2 variant indicated in the 346 matching line. Orange cases are signature mutations of the SARS-CoV2 variant indicated in 347 the matching line and detected in the 2412 sample. The number indicated in the orange cases 348 is the occurrence frequency of the detection of the mutation. These results were obtained from 349 the mapping of the sample 2412 to the reference SARS-CoV-2 genome. (GenBank accession 350 number NC_045512.2) using the CLC genomics software v7.5 (Qiagen Digital Insights, 351 Germany) with default settings. Only non-synonymous mutations present on at least 10% of 352 reads were recovered. Variant labels include the local nomenclature (IHU) and Nextstrain, 353 and Pangolin nomenclatures.

354

355

Reference List

- [1] Colson P, Fournier PE, Chaudet H, Delerce J+, Giraud-Gatineau A, Houhamdi L, et al. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 variants from 24,181 patients exemplifies the role of globalisation and zoonosis in pandemics. medRxiv. 2021;2021.
- [2] Wells CR, Sah P, Moghadas SM, Pandey A, Shoukat A, Wang Y, et al. Impact of international travel and border control measures on the global spread of the novel 2019 coronavirus outbreak. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117(13):7504.
- [3] Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, Gioannini C, Litvinova M, Merler S, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. Science. 2020;2020/03/06(6489):395-400.
- [4] Gonzalez-Reiche AS, Hernandez MM, Sullivan MJ, Ciferri B, Alshammary H, Obla A, et al. Introductions and early spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the New York City area. Science. 2020;2020/05/29(6501):297-301.
- [5] Russell TW, Wu JT, Clifford S, Edmunds WJ, Kucharski AJ, Jit M, et al. Effect of internationally imported cases on internal spread of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. Lancet Public Health. 2021;2020/12/07(1):e12-e20.
- [6] Petersen E, Koopmans M, Go U, Hamer DH, Petrosillo N, Castelli F, et al. Comparing SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV and influenza pandemics. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;2020/07/03(9):e238-e244.
- [7] Alberca GGF, Solis-Castro RL, Solis-Castro ME, Alberca RW. Coronavirus disease-2019 and the intestinal tract: An overview. World J Gastroenterol. 2021;27(13):1255-66.
- [8] Gu S, Chen Y, Wu Z, Chen Y, Gao H, Lv L, et al. Alterations of the Gut Microbiota in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 or H1N1 Influenza. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020;71(10):2669-78.
- [9] Dergham J, Delerce J, Bedotto M, La Scola B, Moal Vr. Isolation of Viable SARS-CoV-2 Virus from Feces of an Immunocompromised Patient Suggesting a Possible Fecal Mode of Transmission. 2021;10(12).
- [10] Medema G, Heijnen L, Elsinga G, Italiaander R, Brouwer A. Presence of SARS-Coronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence in the Early Stage of the Epidemic in The Netherlands. Environ Sci Technol Lett. 2020;7(7):511-6.
- [11] Wurtzer S, Marechal V, Mouchel JM, Maday Y, Teyssou R, Richard E, et al. Evaluation of lockdown effect on SARS-CoV-2 dynamics through viral genome quantification in waste water, Greater Paris, France, 5 March to 23 April 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(50):2000776.

- [12] Wurtz N, Lacoste A, Jardot P, Delache A, Fontaine X, Verlande M, et al. Viral RNA in City Wastewater as a Key Indicator of COVID-19 Recrudescence and Containment Measures Effectiveness. Frontiers in microbiology. 2021;12.
- [13] Ahmed W, Angel N, Edson J, Bibby K, Bivins A, O'Brien JW, et al. First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. Science of The Total Environment. 2020;728:138764.
- [14] Izquierdo-Lara R, Elsinga G, Heijnen L, Munnink BBO, Schapendonk CME, Nieuwenhuijse D, et al. Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 Circulation and Diversity through Community Wastewater Sequencing, the Netherlands and Belgium. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27(5):1405-15.
- [15] Agrawal S, Orschler L, Lackner S. Metatranscriptomic Analysis Reveals SARS-CoV-2 Mutations in Wastewater of the Frankfurt Metropolitan Area in Southern Germany. Microbiol Resour Announc. 2021;10(15):e00280-21.
- [16] Crits-Christoph A, Kantor RS, Olm MR, Whitney ON, Al-Shayeb B, Lou YC, et al. Genome Sequencing of Sewage Detects Regionally Prevalent SARS-CoV-2 Variants. mBio. 2021;12(1):e02703-e02720.
- [17] Wurtz N, Revol Oa, Jardot P, Giraud-Gatineau A, Houhamdi L, Soumagnac C, et al. Monitoring the Circulation of SARS-CoV-2 Variants by Genomic Analysis of Wastewater in Marseille, South-East France. 2021;10(8).
- [18] Rios G+, Lacoux C, Leclercq V, Diamant A, Lebrigand K+, Lazuka Al, et al. Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 variants alterations in Nice neighborhoods by wastewater nanopore sequencing. The Lancet Regional Health - Europe. 2021;10:100202.
- [19] Wurtzer S, Waldman P, Levert M, Cluzel N, Almayrac JL, Charpentier C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 genome quantification in wastewaters at regional and city scale allows precise monitoring of the whole outbreaks dynamics and variants spreading in the population. Science of The Total Environment. 2022;810:152213.
- [20] Ahmed W, Bertsch PM, Angel N, Bibby K, Bivins A, Dierens L, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in commercial passenger aircraft and cruise ship wastewater: a surveillance tool for assessing the presence of COVID-19 infected travellers. Journal of Travel Medicine. 2020;27(5):taaa116.
- [21] Albastaki A, Naji M, Lootah R, Almeheiri R, Almulla H, Almarri I, et al. First confirmed detection of SARS-COV-2 in untreated municipal and aircraft wastewater in Dubai, UAE: The use of wastewater based epidemiology as an early warning tool to monitor the prevalence of COVID-19. Science of The Total Environment. 2021;760:143350.
- [22] Ahmed W, Bivins A, Simpson SL, Bertsch PM, Ehret J, Hosegood I, et al. Wastewater surveillance demonstrates high predictive value for COVID-19 infection on board repatriation flights to Australia. Environment International. 2022;158:106938.

- [23] Ahmed W, Bivins A, Smith WJM, Metcalfe S, Stephens M, Jennison AV, et al. Detection of the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of SARS-CoV-2 in aircraft wastewater. Science of The Total Environment. 2022;153171.
- [24] Covid 19 : International travel. Ministère de l'Intérieur 2022 February 12Available from: URL: <u>https://www.interieur.gouv.fr/covid-19-international-travel</u>
- [25] Amrane S, Tissot-Dupont H, Doudier B, Eldin C, Hocquart M, Mailhe M, et al. Rapid viral diagnosis and ambulatory management of suspected COVID-19 cases presenting at the infectious diseases referral hospital in Marseille, France, - January 31st to March 1st, 2020: A respiratory virus snapshot. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2020;101632.
- [26] Colson P, Lagier JC, Baudoin JP, Bou Khalil J, La Scola B, Raoult D. Ultrarapid diagnosis, microscope imaging, genome sequencing, and culture isolation of SARS-CoV-2. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases. 2020;39(8):1601-3.
- [27] Wurtz N, Revol Oa, Jardot P, Giraud-Gatineau A, Houhamdi L, Soumagnac C, et al. Monitoring the Circulation of SARS-CoV-2 Variants by Genomic Analysis of Wastewater in Marseille, South-East France. 2021;10(8).
- [28] Aksamentov I, Roemer C, Hodcroft EB, Neher R. Nextclade: clade assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral genomes. Journal of Open Source Software. 2021;6(67).
- [29] Fernandez-Cassi X, Scheidegger A, Banziger C, Cariti F, Tunas CA, Ganesanandamoorthy P, et al. Wastewater monitoring outperforms case numbers as a tool to track COVID-19 incidence dynamics when test positivity rates are high
- 1. Water Res. 2021;200:117252.
- [30] Viana R, Moyo S, Amoako DG, Tegally H, Scheepers C, Althaus CL, et al. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. Nature. 2022.

5H (C10449A) ORF1a 5 (C10449A) ORF1a 5 (GTCTGGTTTT11287G) ORF1a * (GTCTGGTTTT11287G) ORF1a V (A11537G) ORF1a V (A11537G) ORF1a 5 (C14408T) ORF1a V (A11537G) ORF1a 5 (C23202A) Gene S 6 (A23403G) Gene S 7 (C23202A) Gene S 7 (C23304) Gene S 7 (C23304) Gene S 7 (C23304) Gene S 7 (C24130A) Gene S 7 (C24503T) Gene S 7 (C24503T) Gene S 7 (C24503T) Gene S 7 (C28311T) Gene N 7 (C28311T) Gene N
--

			Amino acid (i	nucleotide) changes	Р	S		<u></u>	Р	F		2 0		< (-	6	m	utations detec
19B [S:681H]	WHO Label	NEXTCLADE	Lignage P.	IHU															
20A		19B	A.19	19B							100								1/2
21H		20A	B.1	20A					100		100								2/2
20C	Mu	21H	B.1.621	21H					100		100								2/11
20G		20C	B.1	20C					100		100								2/6
20H		20G	B.1.2	20G					100		100								2/8
21C	Beta.V2	20H	B.1.351	SudAfricain			17		100		100								3/12
21F	Epsilon	21C	B.1.427	21C					100		100								2/8
20B	lota	21F	B.1.621	21F			17		100		100								3/9
20D		20B	B.1.1	20B					100		100								2/5
21G		20D	B.1.1.1	20D					100		100								2/7
20F	Lambda	21G	C.37	21G			17		100		100						99		4/17
201		20F	D.2	20F					100		100								2/6
20J	Alpha.V1	201	B.1.1.7	Anglais			17		100		100								3/15
21E	Gamma.V3	20J	P.1	Bresilien			17		100		100								3/15
21M	Theta	21E	P.3	21E					100		100		_						2/15
21L(BA.2)	Omicron	21M	B.1.1.529	21M	100		17		100		100 1	.00 10	0	10	0 95		99		9/20
21K (BA.1)	Omicron	21L	BA.2	21L	100		17		100		100 1	.00 10	0	10	0 95		99		9/32
21K (BA.1.1)	Omicron	21K	BA.1	21K	100	51		100	100	99	100 1	.00 10	0 10	00 10	0 95	93	99		14/27
20E	Omicron	21K	BA.1.1	21K	100	51		100	100	99	100 1	.00 10	0 10	00 10	0 95	93	99		14/27
21D.MRS-484K		20E	B.1.177	20E					100		100								2/3
20A.IND	Eta	21D	B.1.525	Marseille484K.V3			17		100		100								3/14
21A.IND			B.1.617	Indien					100		100								2/7
211	Delta	21A	B.1.617.2	Indien484E.V2					100		100								2/11
21J	Delta	211	B.1.617.2	21I.IND					100		100								2/16
21B.IND	Delta	21J	B.1.617.2	21J.IND					100		100								2/21
20A.IND (B.1.617.3)	Карра	21B	B.1.617.1	Indien484Q.V1					100		100								2/11
		20A	B.1.617.3	Indien484Q.V3					100		100								2/2

Fig.1

Fig.2		Amino acid	(nucleotide) Genes	S2083_L2084delinsl (AGTT6512A) ORF1a	P3395H (C10449A) ORF1a	T3646A (A11201G) ORF1a	S3673_G3676delinsS (AGTTTGTCTG11282A) ORF1a	L3674* (GTCTGGTTTT11287G) ORF1a	G5063S (G15451A) ORF1b	L452R (T22917G) Gene S	T478K (C22995A) Gene S	T547K (C23202A) Gene S	D614G (A23403G) Gene S	P13L (C28311T) Gene N	G30_S33delinsG (GGAGAACGCA28361G) Gene N	D63G (A28461G) Gene N	Numbe mutati	er of hallma ons detecte	rk
WHO Label	NEXTCLADE	Lignage P.	IHU										100					4 /2	
	19B	A.19	19B									8	100					1/2	
N.4	20A	B.1	204										100					1/2	
IVIU	21H (IVIU)	B.1.621	21H									-	100					1/12	
	200	D.1 D.1 0	200									2	100					1/0	
Poto V2	20G	D.1.2 P 1 251	200 SudAfricain					25				22	100					1/0 2/12	
Encilon	20H (Beld.V2)	D.1.551	SuuAincain 21C					25		00		1	100					2/12	
Epsilon	21C (Epsilon)		210					25		33			100					2/0	
Tota	21F (10ta)	D 1 1	21F 20P					25					100					2/ / 1 /E	
	208	D.I.I D.1.1	20B									1	100					1/5	
Lambda	20D	D. 1. 1. 1	200					25					100	02				2/16	
Lambua		0.37	210					25					100	32				1/6	
Alpha V/1	20F 201 (Alpha V/1)	D.2 B 1 1 7	Anglais					25					100					2/17	
Gamma V3	201 (Alpha. V1) 201 (Gamma V3)	D.1.1.7 D 1	Bresilien					25					100					2/17	
Theta	203 (Gamma.V3) 21F (Theta)	P 3	21F					25					100					1/15	
Omicron	21M (Omicron)	R 1 1 529	211		91			25		1	100		100	93	69			6/27	
Omicron	2111 (Omicron)	ΒΔ 2	211		91			25			100		100	93	69			6/39	
Omicron	21K (Omicron)	Β <u>Α</u> 1	21E 21K	73	91	1	36	25			100	86	100	93	69			8/34	
Omicron	21K (Omicron)	BA 1.1	21K	73	91		36				100	86	100	93	69			8/34	
officion	20F	B 1 177	20F	15	21		50				100	00	100	55	05			1/2	
Fta	21D (Fta)	B.1.525	Marseille484K V3					25				8	100					2/15	
214	210 (200)	B.1.617	Indien							99		2	100					2/6	
Delta	21A (Delta)	B.1.617 2	Indien484F V2							99	100		100		1	17		4/10	
Delta	211 (Delta)	B.1.617.2	211.IND					11	11	99	100		100		1	17		5/15	
Delta	211 (Delta)	B 1 617 2	211 IND			11			11	99	100		100			17		7/18	
Kanna	21B (Kanna)	B 1 617 1	Indien4840 V1			11				99	100		100			17		3/10	
	-+0 (2.1.01.1				A CONTRACTOR OF												-/ -0	