medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

# Nebulised dornase alfa reduces inflammation and improves clinical outcomes in severe COVID-19: a randomised clinical trial

Joanna C. Porter[1,2], Jamie Inshaw[3], Vincente Joel Solis[2], Emma Denneny[1,2], Rebecca Evans[2], Mia I. Temkin[4]*, Nathalia De Vasconcelos[4]*, Iker Valle Aramburu[4]*, Dennis Hoving[4]*, Donna Basire[1], Tracey Crissell[2], Jesusa Guinto[2], Alison Webb[2], Hanif Esmail[2,5], Victoria Johnston[2,5], Anna Last[2,6], Thomas Rampling[2,5], Elisa Theresa Helbig[7], Lena Lippert[7], Florian Kurth[7], Bryan Williams[2,5], Aiden Flynn[3], Pauline T Lukey[8], Veronique Birault[9] and Venizelos Papayannopoulos[4]

1.UCL Respiratory, University College London, UK ; 2.University College London Hospitals NHS Trust, London, UK; 3. Exploristics, Belfast, N. Ireland; 4.Antimicrobial Defence lab, The Francis Crick Institute, London, UK; 5.National Institute for Health Research, University College London Hospital Biomedical Research Centre, UK; 6. Clinical Research Department, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK; 7. Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Department of Infectious Diseases and Respiratory Medicine, Berlin, Germany. 8. Target to Treatment Consulting Ltd, Stevenage, UK; 9.Translation, The Francis Crick Institute, London, UK *contributed equally

**Correspondence:** Professor Joanna C Porter, joanna.porter@ucl.ac.uk and Venizelos Papayannopoulos, veni.p@crick.ac.uk

Funding: LifeArc, Breathing Matters

Trial registration: NCT04359654 https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04359654

We show proof-of-concept that nebulised DNAse can reduce inflammation in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and should be prioritised for further investigation in other viral pneumonias characterised by NETosis with high circulating cell free-DNA.

Total words 3498 and needs to be 3500

**NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.**

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

**Background:**

Cell-free (cf)-DNA, from cellular sources, including Neutrophil Extracellular Traps (NETs), is found in the circulation of COVID-19 patients and may contribute to immune dysregulation. This study determined whether pulmonary administration of the endonuclease, dornase alfa, reduced systemic inflammation by degrading local and systemic cf-DNA.

**Methods:**

Eligible patients were randomized (3:1) to receive twice-daily nebulised dornase alfa in addition to best available care (BAC) or BAC alone for seven days or until discharge. A 2:1 ratio of matched contemporary controls (CC) provided additional comparators. The primary endpoint was improvement in C-reactive protein (CRP) over time, analysed using a repeated-measures mixed model, adjusted for baseline factors.

**Results:** Between June 2020-October 2021 we recruited 39 evaluable patients: 30 randomised to dornase alfa; 9 randomised to BAC; with 60 CC. Dornase alfa reduced CRP by 33% compared to BAC. Least squares (LS) mean post-dexamethasone CRP fell from 101.9mg/L to 23.23 mg/L in the BAC+ dornase alfa group versus a fall from 99.5mg/L to 34.82 mg/L in the BAC group at 7 days; P=0.01. This effect of dornase alfa on CRP was confirmed with subgroup and sensitivity analyses. Dornase alfa increased the chance of live discharge by 63% (HR 1.63, 95% CI 1.01 to 2.61, P=0.03), increased lymphocyte counts (LSmean 1.08 vs 0.87, P=0.02) and reduced circulating cf-DNA and the coagulopathy marker D-dimer (LS mean: 570.78 vs 1656.96 µg/mL, P=0.004). Dornase alfa was well-tolerated.

**Conclusions**: We provide proof-of-concept evidence that dornase alfa reduces pathogenic inflammation in hospitalised patients with COVID-19 pneumonia.

**Key words:** COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, endonuclease, Dornase, DNA, virus, infection, pneumonia, anti-inflammatory, DNAse, NETs, neutrophil, neutrophil extracellular traps.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## INTRODUCTION

SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia can lead to hyperinflammation, coagulopathy, respiratory failure, and death (Siddiqi and Mehra, 2020; Zhou et al., 2020), even with less pathogenic variants and in immunised patients (2000). In severe COVID-19 neutrophil activation drives neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation (Radermecker et al., 2020; Zuo et al., 2020). NETs, are composed of DNA-histone complexes and have been associated with coagulopathy and endothelial dysfunction (Papayannopoulos, 2018). Moreover, extracellular histones promote inflammation, immune dysfunction and lethality in sepsis (Ioannou et al., 2022; Tsourouktsoglou et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2009). Digestion of chromatin DNA by endonucleases suppresses the proinflammatory activity of histones and enables their clearance from the circulation (Ioannou et al., 2022; Tsourouktsoglou et al., 2020). Consistently, DNAse I treatment reduces pathology in murine pulmonary viral infections (Cortjens et al., 2018; Pillai et al., 2016). Endogenous DNAse activity and NET clearance capacity are defective in severe COVID-19 pneumonia (Aramburu et al., 2022b), and the extent of these defects correlates with mortality. Hence, supplementation with exogenous DNases may facilitate extracellular chromatin degradation and increase survival.

Pulmozyme, dornase alfa, is a recombinant human DNAase approved since 1993 for patients with cystic fibrosis (CF)(Konstan and Ratjen, 2012; Lazarus and Wagener†, 2019). Dornase alfa solubilizes NETs, reduces inflammation and improves pulmonary function in chronic and acute exacerbations of CF(Konstan and Ratjen, 2012; Papayannopoulos et al., 2011). Pulmozyme is safe and well-tolerated in children and adults with CF at doses up to 10mg BD. Nebulized pulmozyme does not increase circulating endonucleases. Hence, it remained unclear whether the degradation of extracellular chromatin in the lungs would reduce circulating chromatin and systemic hyper-inflammation.

To probe the effectiveness of DNase I as an anti-inflammatory therapy in severe COVID-19 pneumonia, we measured as our primary endpoint C-reactive protein (CRP). CRP is a reliable marker of systemic inflammation in severe infection(McArdle et al., 2004). In patients infected with COVID-19, a high CRP of ≥40 mg/L carried a poor prognosis with a lower cut-off of ≥35 mg/L in the elderly (Villoteau et al., 2021), particularly when combined with lymphopenia and coagulopathy marked by elevated D-dimer (Fisher et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2020; Luo et al., 2020; Smilowitz et al., 2021; Tornling et al., 2021; Ullah et al., 2020). Poor outcomes are associated with an early rise (Mueller et al., 2020), higher peak and delayed

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

reduction in CRP (Cui et al., 2021). Moreover, elevated IL-6 and CRP can predict the need for mechanical ventilation(Herold et al., 2020). In addition, CRP correlated well with levels of DNAse and NET degradation activity in COVID-19 patient plasma suggesting a link between CRP and the capacity to degrade cf-DNA in the circulation (Aramburu et al., 2022b). CRP became a reliable primary endpoint in subsequent trials demonstrating the effectiveness of other systemic therapies such as namilumab or infliximab that target inflammatory cytokines (Fisher et al., 2022).

In this proof-of-concept study we evaluated the effect of dornase alfa on inflammation, as measured by the impact on circulating CRP in patients with COVID-19, compared with best available care (BAC). The trial was initiated in June 2020 and was completed in October 2021. At the start of the trial only dexamethasone had been proven to benefit hospitalized COVID-19 pneumonia patients and was thus included in both arms of the trial. To increase the chance of reaching significance under challenging constraints in patient access, we opted to increase our sample size by using a combination of randomized individuals and available CRP data from matched contemporary controls (CC) hospitalized at UCL but not recruited to a trial. These approaches demonstrated that when combined with dexamethasone, nebulized DNase treatment was an effective anti-inflammatory treatment in randomized individuals with or without the implementation of CC data.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## METHODS

### Sponsor and location

The trial was sponsored by University College London (UCL) and carried out at University College London Hospital UCLH with ethical (REC: 20/SC/0197, Protocol: 132333, RAS ID:283091) and UK MHRA approvals. All randomized participants provided informed consent. Consent for CC was covered by Health Service (Control of Participant Information) Regulations 2002. Safety and data integrity were overseen by the Trial Monitoring Group and Data Monitoring Committee. All data was collected at UCLH. Additional CRP data is reported from the Pa-COVID-19 study, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin with ethical approvaL, Berlin (EA2/066/20). Both Pa-COVID-19 and COVASE studies were carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the principles of Good Clinical Practice (ICH 1996).

### Trial design

The COVASE trial was a single-site, randomised, controlled, parallel, open-label investigation. The primary endpoint was change in CRP. Screening was performed within 24 hours prior to the administration of dornase alfa (**Figure 1A**). Eligible, consented participants were randomly assigned (3:1) to either BAC plus nebulised dornase alfa (BAC+DA) or BAC alone. On Day 1 a baseline sample was collected. From Day 1-7 participants randomised to active arm received 2.5mg BD nebulised dornase alfa in addition to BAC. In all cases BAC included dexamethasone (6 mg/day) for 10 days or until discharge, whichever was shorter as per RECOVERY (Group et al., 2021). Participants received additional treatments at the discretion of their physicians. The primary analysis was performed on samples up to Day 7. The final trial visit occurred at day 35.

In addition to the recruited randomised group, we included contemporary controls (CC) to increase the sample size due to practical difficulties in recruiting patients in the UK. For every COVASE participant randomised to active treatment, 2 matched CC were included. CC were admitted to UCLH over the same time as randomised patients and treated with the same BAC, including dexamethasone. CCs fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the COVASE study and were matched for age, gender, BMI, comorbidities and CRP. CRP matching was based either on admission CRP (CC1), or matched based on first CRP after starting dexamethasone (CC2).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

**Eligibility**

Trial participant inclusion criteria

1. Male and female participants, aged ≥ 18 years

Dornase alfa in COVID-19: Sponsor code: 132333

Protocol Version 2.0, 25.4.2020 Page 26 of 51

2. Participants who are hospitalised for suspected Coronavirus (SARS-CoV)-2 infection confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test or radiological confirmation with chest CT

3. Participants with stable oxygen saturation (>=94%) on supplementary oxygen

4. CRP >= 30 mg/L

5. Participants will have given their written informed consent to participate in the study and are able to comply with instructions and nebuliser

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Females who are pregnant, planning pregnancy or breastfeeding

2. Concurrent and/or recent involvement in other research or use of another experimental investigational medicinal product that is likely to interfere with the study medication within (specify time period e.g. last 3 months) of study enrolment

3. Serious condition meeting one of the following:

a. Respiratory distress with respiratory rate >=40 breaths/min

b. oxygen saturation<=93% on high-flow oxygen

4. Require mechanical invasive or non-invasive ventilation at screening

5. Concurrent severe respiratory disease such as asthma, COPD and/or ILD

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

6. Any major disorder that in the opinion of the Investigator would interfere with the evaluation of the results or constitute a health risk for the trial participant

7. Terminal disease and life expectancy <12 months without COVID-19

8. Known allergies to dornase alfa and excipients

9. Participants who are unable to inhale or exhale orally throughout the entire nebulisation period

So briefly

Patients were excluded if they were:

1. pregnant, planning pregnancy or breastfeeding

2. Serious condition meeting one of the following:

a. Respiratory distress with respiratory rate >=40 breaths/min

b. oxygen saturation<=93% on high-flow oxygen

4. Require ventilation at screening

5. Concurrent severe respiratory disease such as asthma, COPD and/or ILD

6. Terminal disease and life expectancy <12 months without COVID-19

8. Known allergies to dornase alfa and excipients

9. Participants who are unable to inhale or exhale orally throughout the entire nebulisation period"

**Participants**

Adults (≥18 years of age) admitted to UCLH with confirmed SARS-Cov2 infection by RT-PCR and radiologically confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia on chest radiograph or CT-scan; oxygen saturation < 94% requiring supplemental oxygen; and evidence of hyperinflammation (CRP ≥ 30 mg/L, after administration of dexamethasone) were eligible. While all participants had CRP > 30 mg/L at screening, on two occasions the baseline CRP concentrations fell below

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

30 mg/L after the patients had already agreed to participate. For full inclusion and exclusion criteria see Protocol: Supplementary Appendix 1.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome was the least square (LS) mean CRP up to 7 days or at hospital discharge whichever was sooner. Data beyond 7 days was included in the model to estimate the slope. Subsequently, the estimated slope in each group was used in order to calculate the LS mean at 7 days. Pre-specified secondary outcomes included days on oxygen; time to hospital discharge; mortality by day 35; and changes in clinically relevant biomarkers including lymphocyte count and D-dimer levels. Day 35 was chosen as being likely to include most early mortality due to COVID-19 being 4 weeks after completion of a week of treatment. ( i.e. d7 of treatment +28 (4 x 7 days)).

Efficacy assessments of primary and secondary outcomes in the intention-to-treat (ITT) population were performed on all randomised participants who had received at least one dose of dornase alfa if randomized to treatment. For full details see Statistical Analysis Plan. The ITT was adjusted to mitigate the following protocol violations where one participant in the BAC arm and one in the DA arm withdrew before they received treatment and provided only a baseline CRP measurement available. The participant in the DA arm was replaced with an additional recruited patient. Exploratory endpoints were only available in randomised participants and not in the CC. In this case, a *post hoc* within group analysis was conducted to compare baseline and post-baseline measurements.

**Sample size calculation**

Size calculations were produced using the proc power function in SAS Version 9.4. These were conducted to achieve 80% power to detect difference in the active arm versus the control group at 5% level of significance. Based on a mean of 99mg/L in the control group and a common standard deviation of 62mg/L derived from the literature (Han et al., 2020; Zhou, 2020), a total sample size of 90 participants would provide sufficient power to detect a greater than a 40% relative difference for CRP in the dornase alfa group compared to the control group.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

This study used existing data collected at UCLH from contemporary controls (CC) admitted with COVID-19. This gave a ratio of active versus comparator of 1:2. The required power, would result in 30 participants in the active treatment group and at least 60 in the control. An additional 10 participants were recruited as a control for exploratory objectives and to compare the characteristics of enrolled participants with CC. This gave a total of 40 participants enrolled in the study and 60 CC.

**Randomisation**

A closed envelope method was implemented to randomize the participants into the control and active treatment groups.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## RESULTS

### Patient charateristics

From June 2020-October 2021, 41 participants were recruited and randomised. 1 participant in BAC group was discharged before a second CRP measurement, and was excluded from all, except safety, analyses (CONSORT Diagram: **Figure 1B and S1**). One participant withdrew consent prior to receiving dornase alfa and was replaced and excluded from all analyses. 39 participants were included in the intention-to-treat analysis set, 30 BAC+DA and 9 BAC. One participant withdrew due to side-effects. This participant was removed from the per protocol population (PPP). All 39 participants were followed up for 35 days or death whichever was sooner. Two participants were excluded from the PPP. One from BAC as this was the only patient in whom randomisation occurred prior to dexamethasone being widely used in COVID-19 and a second participant who withdrew after one dose of dornase alfa (**Figure 1B and S1**). The trial ended when 40 eligible participants had been recruited, although thereafter 1 had participant in the BAC arm had to be excluded from the primary and secondary endpoint analysis.

Baseline characteristics were well balanced across groups (**Table 1**). Selection of 60 matched CC participants via propensity score matching was successful in ensuring the means of the characteristics included in propensity score matching were similar (**Table 1** and **Figure S2**). The overall mean age was 56.8 years (56.8 years BAC+DA, 56.8 years BAC). The percentage of males was 75.8% (76.7% BAC+DA, 75.4% BAC). The most prevalent ethnicity was “White British”, with 30.3% of participants identifying in that category (33.3% BAC+DA, 29.0% BAC). The mean BMI was 28.0kg/m2 (27.8kg/m2 BAC+DA, 28.2kg/m2 BAC). The mean baseline CRP (post dexamethasone) was 100.2mg/L (101.9mg/L BAC=DA, 99.5mg/L) BAC. The proportion of participants with a comorbidity, defined as one or more of hypertension, diabetes, or cardiovascular disease, was 52.5% (46.7% BAC+DA, 55.1% BAC). All randomised participants except one received dexamethasone prior to randomisation, and 48 of the total 99 participants also received remdesivir or tocilizumab in addition to dexamethasone within the first 7 days. The average duration of dexamethasone treatment prior to dornase alfa was 1.13+/- 0.79 days (**Table S1**). The last pre-dexamethasone CRP was also similar between groups, n mean of 125.0mg/L (me128.1mg/L BAC+DA, 122.7mg/L BAC). The number of days between dexamethasone initiation and baseline was 1.2 days (0.7 days BAC+DA, 1.3 days

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

BAC). There were imbalances noted at baseline between the groups in white blood cell count, neutrophil count, procalcitonin count and D-dimer **(Table S2).**

## Clinical outcomes

*Longitudinal CRP correlates with disease outcomes*

To ascertain the clinical value of CRP as the COVASE trial's primary endpoint, we first examined the correlation of CRP with mortality in a cohort of 63 hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 (Aramburu et al., 2022b; Kurth et al., 2020; Messner et al., 2020). All participants who reached a WHO severity ordinance scale 7 were recruited at the Charité Hospital in Berlin in spring 2020 and did not receive anti-inflammatory therapies such as dexamethasone or anti-IL-6 antibody treatments. Plasma CRP measurements in 465 samples were segregated by disease outcome. This analysis revealed that deceased participants had significantly higher CRP than participants in the survivor group (**Figure 2A**). Clustering the 63 participant according to their longitudinal average CRP indicated that the frequency of mortality increased as the average CRP per patient increased (**Figure 2B**). Consistently, Mantel Cox survival analysis of the participants segregated into three groups with average longitudinal CRP ranges of 0-100 mg/L, 100-200 mg/L and 200-450 mg/L, showed that survival decreased significantly as the average CRP increased (**Figure 2C**). This results highlighted the strong correlation between CRP and survival in hospitalized individuals with severe COVID-19 pneumonia, suggesting that the blood CRP concentration was a strong predictor of clinical outcomes such as the probability of survival.

*Primary outcome*

Next, we analysed the results from our COVASE participants whose individual CRP traces over time are shown in **Figure S3A**. Blood collection for both BAC and BAC+DA groups occurred at similar times and comparable frequencies (**Figure S3B**). For the ITT group, the LS mean log (CRP) over 7 days follow-up was 3.15 (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.87 to 3.42) BAC+DA (n=30), and 3.55 (95% CI, 3.35 to 3.75) in BAC (n=69) (**Table 2, 3 and Figure 3A**), p=0.01. This indicates a reduction in mean CRP of approximately 33% in the BAC+DA (23.23 mg/mL) compared to BAC (34.82 mg/mL) at mean follow-up over 7 days. This effect of dornase alfa on CRP was confirmed in various other subgroup analyses (**Table 2**): the per-modified-protocol population only; the participants who were randomised into the COVASE

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

trial, excluding the CC (**Figure 3B**); participants who were randomised to BAC+DA in the COVASE trial, and CC excluding those randomised to BAC only. In addition, to ensure that the CC did not have a significantly different CRP trajectory to those randomised to BAC, we compared participants who were randomised to BAC with CC by excluding those randomised to BAC+DA and found no significant differences (**Table 2**). Sensitivity analyses supported the observed effect on CRP. These included the log(CRP) as an area under the curve; the CC matched for their last pre-dexamethasone CRP measurement as opposed to their first CRP after starting dexamethasone ; and the effect of remdesivir or tocilizumab (**Table 2**).

To better understand the effects of dornase alfa on the CRP of the treated population we also performed a frequency distribution analysis of the change in CRP over time. Instead of fitting a slope on the CRP values of the individual samples of each participant, we plotted the fraction between the CRP measurement of the baseline and final sample collected for each participant during the 7 day treatment period. We then plotted the distribution of participants within the different ranges of change in CRP (**Figure 3C**). Compared to BAC, the BAC+DA treatment group exhibited a distribution towards larger ranges in CRP reduction with better suppression of the fraction of patients that did not respond to treatment as indicated by an increase in CRP (fraction: 1 to 6). These results indicated that the addition of dornase alfa to dexamethasone cause a significant and sustained reduction in CRP in a greater number of COVID-19 infected participants.

*Secondary outcomes*

Length of hospitalisation was analysed as a time-to-event outcome of alive discharge from hospital censored at 35 days. The hazard ratio observed in the Cox proportional hazards model was 1.63 (95% CI, 1.01 to 2.61), p=0.03 (**Table 4, Figure 3D and S3C**). Showing that throughout 35 days follow-up, there was a 63% higher chance of discharge alive at any given time-point in BAC+DA compared to BAC. Although the rate of discharge was similar in 50% of patients, 80% discharge occurred by 8 days in BAC+DA whereas, whereas the same proportion was reached at 30 days in BAC. This trend was also seen when only the randomised participants were considered, although not powered to reach significance and with a smaller HR of 1.18 (95% CI, 0.52-2.69), p=0.62, (**Table S3 and Figure S3D**).

Over 7 days of follow up there was no significant difference between BAC+DA versus BAC alone in either the fraction of participants admitted to ICU (23.3% versus 21.74%),

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

p=0.866, or the length of ICU stay, LS mean 21.25 (95% CI, 4.65 to 37.84) hours versus 19.85 (95% CI, 8.00 to 31.70) hours, p=0.883. The same was seen over 35-day follow-up, LS mean 55.21 95% CI, -23.59 to 134.00) hours versus 60.60 (95% CI, 4.34 to 116.86) hours, p=0.905. At any point during the 35 days follow-up, 23% of BAC+DA were admitted to ICU compared to 23.19% BAC, p= 0.983 (**Table S4**).

There was no significant difference in time requiring oxygen between the two groups, at either 7 days, LS mean 94.32 (95% CI, 72.8 to 115.79) hours, versus 88.96 (95% CI, 73.64 to 104.29) hours, p=0.662, or 35 days, LS mean 133.22 (95% CI, 52.01 to 214.43) hours versus 156.35 (95% CI, 98.36, 214.33) hours, p=0.618. At 35 days follow up, there were only 9 randomised participants to evaluate, but mean oxygen use tends to a reduction of 123 hours with BAC+DA, versus 241 hours for BAC, p=0.187 (**Table S4**)

The time to event data was censored at 28 days post last dose (up to d35) for the randomised participants and at the date of the last electronic record for the CC. Over 35 days follow up, 1 person amongst the 30 patients in BAC+DA died, compared to 8 of the 69 participants in BAC. The hazard ratio observed in the Cox proportional hazards model was 0.47 (95% CI, 0.06 to 3.86), indicating a trend towards a reduced chance of death at any given time-point in BAC+DA compared to BAC, but this did not reach significance p= 0.460 (**Figure 3E and S3D**). The hazard ratio observed in the Cox proportional hazards model (95% CI) was 0.47 (0.06, 3.86), which estimates that throughout 35 days follow-up, there was a 53% reduced chance of death at any given timepoint in the BAC + dornase-alfa group compared to the BAC group, though the confidence intervals are wide due to a small number of events. The p-value from a log-rank test was 0.460, which does not reach statistical significance at an alpha of 0.05.

There was no significant difference at either 7- or 35-days follow-up, in the number of participants that required mechanical ventilation in BAC+DA compared with BAC (16.67% vs 13.04%), p=0.628. Amongst participants that were ventilated, the mean length of mechanical ventilation at 7 days follow-up in BAC+DA was 76.8 hours, compared to 88.78 in BAC. At 35 days follow-up, the mean length of mechanical ventilation in BAC+DA was 76.8 hours compared to 411.17 hours in BAC (**Table S4**). There was no significant difference in superadded bacterial pneumonia at either 7- or 35-days follow-up: 7 days, 1 (3.33%) participant in BAC+DA compared to 3 (4.35%) participants in BAC, p= 0.934; 35 days, 2 (6.67%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

participants in BAC+DA had bacterial pneumonia, compared to 3 (4.35%) participants in BAC, p=0.548 (**Table S4**).

Blood analysis with no adjustment for multiple testing showed a significant treatment effect in BAC+DA vs. BAC group for three parameters: lymphocyte counts, D-dimer, and procalcitonin (PCT). First, BAC+DA exhibited higher lymphocyte counts with LS mean of 0.87 (95% CI, 0.76-0.98) in the BAC group vs. 1.08 (95% CI, 0.92-1.27) in BAC+DA, p=0.02 (**Table 4 and Table S3**). Patients with lymphopenia at baseline (<$1x10^9$ lymphocytes/L) exhibited a greater increase in blood lymphocyte numbers in BAC+DA than in BAC during the entire length of treatment (**Figure 4A**). Furthermore, D-dimer levels were lower in BAC+DA compared to BAC, with LS mean D-dimer difference of 1657 (95% CI, 3131-877) (**Table 4, Table S3, Figure 4B and Figure S4A**). Our analysis indicated lower levels of PCT in participants that received BAC+DA, mean 0.18 ng/mL (95% CI, -0.2-0.56) compared to BAC alone, mean 1.31 ng/mL (95% CI, 0.56-2.05), p=0.005 (**Table 4**). Repeat analysis excluding the CC population replicated these results, and changes in all 3 parameters were significant (**Table S3**).

*Exploratory outcomes*

Given the role of circulating cf-DNA in pathology, we examined whether the pulmonary administration of dornase alfa influenced systemic cf-DNA levels. Compared to the plasma of anonymized healthy donor volunteers at the Francis Crick institute (HD), plasma cf-DNA levels were elevated in both BAC and DA-treated COVASE participants. There was no difference in baseline plasma cf-DNA levels between the two groups. However, during the treatment period cf-DNA was reduced in participants randomised to BAC+DA compared to BAC alone (**Figure 4C and Figure S4B**). There was a positive correlation between the levels of cf-DNA in the final sample collected during the treatment period and the ratio of final/baseline CRP ($CRP_{final}/CRP_{baseline}$) (**Figure 4D**). Moreover, samples with cf-DNA >100µg/mL had significantly higher D-dimer levels compared to samples with cf-DNA levels <100µg/mL (**Figure 4E**). Hence, dornase alfa treatment was associated with a suppression of circulating cf-DNA that correlated with a more sustained reduction in CRP.

*Safety*

Dornase alfa was well tolerated with no systemic effects, consistent with its short half-life (**Table S5**). There were 10 reported adverse events (AEs) in 9 participants with BAC versus

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

30 AEs in 30 participants with BAC+DA **(Table S6)**. Of these, one was reported by the clinical team as definitely related and one as unlikely to be related to study drug **(Table S5**). No treatment-related serious AEs were reported.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## DISCUSSION

Our findings show that nebulised dornase alfa significantly reduced CRP in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and systemic inflammation even when already receiving dexamethasone. This was robust with several sensitivity analyses including analysis of only randomised participants (i.e excluding CC). The secondary outcomes were consistent with the same directionality. Despite not being formally powered for length of stay, dornase alfa reduced time to discharge over 35 days.

Identification of novel/repurposed treatments effective for COVID-19 were hampered by patient recruitment to competing studies during a pandemic. This resulted in small studies with inconclusive or contrary findings. Our study design took advantage of frequent repeated measures of CRP in each patient, to allow a smaller sample size to determine efficacy/futility. A similar CRP-based approach was applied in CATALYST (Fisher et al., 2022) and ATTRACT studies (Tornling et al., 2021). In addition, we used contemporary controls as additional comparators to efficiently use limited resources.

Following the RECOVERY trial, dexamethasone became standard care in patients with COVID-19 pneumonitis requiring oxygen. We recruited participants with CRP ≥ 30 mg/L, on the day after receiving dexamethasone to minimise steroid-dependent effects on CRP. The finding that dornase alfa can significantly reduce CRP (by average 33%) in participants receiving dexamethasone suggests a complementary mechanism of action. Dornase alfa may provide a treatment choice for patients with mild COVID-19 pneumonia not requiring oxygen, in whom dexamethasone may be harmful(Group et al., 2021).

Our primary effect was consistently reflected in secondary outcomes. Dornase alfa increased the chance of live discharge by 63% at any time up to 35 days, with a trend to reduce hospital stay. This impact on hospital occupancy during a pandemic cannot be overestimated. In addition, dornase alfa significantly increased lymphocyte counts and reduced D-dimer. CRP levels are associated with venous thromboembolic disease in COVID-19 with the worst outcomes seen in patients with high CRP and D-dimers (Smilowitz et al., 2021). In a metanalysis of 32 studies involving 10 491 COVID-19 patients, elevated CRP (OR 4.37, p<0.00001), lymphopenia (3.33, p<0.00001), elevated D-dimer (3.39 (p<0.00001) and elevated PCT (6.33, p<0.00001) were independent markers of poor outcomes(Malik et al., 2021).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Our study design offered a solution to the early screening of compounds for inclusion in larger platform trials. The study took advantage of frequent repeated measures of quantifiable CRP in each patient, to allow a smaller sample size to determine efficacy/futility than if powered on clinical outcomes. We applied a CRP-based approach that was similar to the CATALYST and ATTRACT studies (Fisher et al., 2022; Tornling et al., 2021). CATALYST showed in much smaller groups (usual care, 54, namilumab, 57 and infliximab, 35) that namilumab that is an antibody that blocks the cytokine GM-CSF reduced CRP even in participants treated with dexamethasone whereas infliximab that targets TNF-α had no significant effect on CRP. This led to a suggestion that namilumab should be considered as an agent to be prioritised for further investigation in the RECOVERY trial. A direct comparison of our results with CATALYST is difficult due to the different nature of the modelling employed in the two studies. In general, Dornase alfa exhibited comparable significance in the reduction in CRP compared to standard of care as described for namilumab at a fraction of the cost. Furthermore, endonuclease therapies may prove superior to cytokine blocking monotherapies, as they are unlikely to increase the risk for microbial co-infections that have been reported for antibody therapies that neutralize cytokines that are critical for immune defence such as IL-1β, IL-6 or GM-CSF.

In addition, Dornase alfa reduced circulating cf-DNA levels, suggesting that this nebulized enzyme exerts systemic effects on circulating chromatin and inflammatory mediators. The reduction in cf-DNA suggests that by stripping the DNA from chromatin, dornase alfa suppresses the proinflammatory properties of histones and potentiates their degradation(Aramburu et al., 2022a; Papayannopoulos et al., 2011). The inverse correlation between final circulating cf-DNA and change in CRP during treatment highlights the link between circulating chromatin and systemic inflammation. The correlation of d-dimer with cf-DNA and the reduction in d-dimer with dornase alfa is consistent with the reported pro-thrombotic role of NETs in the alveoli (Radermecker et al., 2020). Moreover, the difference in the recovery rates for lymphopenia is in line with a link between extracellular chromatin and lymphocyte death in sepsis (Ioannou et al., 2021). Another reason why recombinant endonuclease treatment may be warranted in patients with acute infections is the reduction in endogenous chromatin degradation capacity that correlates with a high risk for mortality (Aramburu et al., 2022a).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Nebulized dornase alfa treatment has several advantages. Whilst immunisation has reduced COVID-19 hospital admissions, a substantial population remain unvaccinated or immunocompromised. Hence, there will always be a need for virally agnostic therapies that retain efficacy as viruses mutate. Nebulised dornase alfa controls immune pathology in a range of infections. Moreover, it can be administered safely and effectively outside the health-care setting. Three other small trials of dornase alfa (totalling 18 patients) in COVID-19 have reported improved oxygenation (Holliday et al., 2021; Okur et al., 2020; Weber et al., 2020). One small study indicated improvements in plasma and sputum proteomic profiles (Fisher et al., 2021). Despite differing study designs, patient populations and endpoints, the overall trend suggests an improvement in clinical outcomes.

There are limitations in this study. This single centre open-label study was designed to powerfully report futility or efficacy despite including just under 40 patients and 60 CC. However, the trial was not powered to report mortality nor to overcome confounders, such as the use of antivirals and tocilizumab, an IL-6 inhibitor recognised to reduce CRP (Galvan-Roman et al., 2021), or the impact of an open-label study on influencing other therapeutic/ discharge decisions. Although underpowered, we demonstrate a trend to a reduction in CRP with dornase alfa in participants that had received tocilizumab and/or remdesivir, and those that had not. Moreover, the open-label nature of the study could potentially introduce bias. Nevertheless, we tried to minimize this by applying a standard testing schedule and discharge criteria.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that nebulised dornase alfa significantly reduces inflammation in hospitalised patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia leading to improved clinical profiles and earlier discharge from hospital. These results confirm the role of cf-DNA in promoting systemic inflammation, coagulopathy and immune dysfunction in acute respiratory infections and suggest that recombinant DNases provide an additional mode of anti-inflammatory action that can broaden the effectiveness and sustainability of anti-inflammatory regimens. These encouraging data warrant further investigation in other respiratory infections characterized by hyperinflammation in the setting of cell lysis and/ or NET formation.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

**Acknowledgements**

We thank the patients, caregivers, and families who participated in the trial; and acknowledge the help of the following: Additional BRC Contributors: Margaret Duku, Gulten Geneci, Farah Islam, Ciprian-Ionut Matei, Marta Merida, Eleni Nastouli, Marivic Ricamara, Anisa Tariq. Pharmacy: Matthew Baker, Nina Bason, Chi Yee Chung, Zoila Gilham-Fernandez, Temi Olusi. Sponsors/UCL: Liam Banks, Helen Cadiou, Novin Fard, Farhat Gilani, Vince Greaves, Yusuf Jaami, Pushpsen Joshi, Misha Ladva, David Lomas, Catherine Maidens, Anthea Mo, Anisha Nayar, Nick McNally, Samim Patel. Data Monitoring Committee: Balaji Ganeshan, Maria Leandro, Kay Roy. COVID Clinical Consultants: Diana Ayoola, Robin Bailey, David Brealey, Mike Brown, Anna Checkley, Charlie Coughlan, Philip Gothard, Robert Heyderman, Sarah Logan, Nicky Longley, Jessica Manson, Michael Marks, David Moore, Neil Stone, Emma Wall. T8 Nursing Staff: Adam Cureton-Griffiths, Amy Mann, Laura Nichols, Pantelis Savvides. NOCRI Respiratory Translational Research Collaboration: Chris Brightling, Jane Davies, Ratko Djukanovic, Liam Heeney, Ling-Pei Ho, Alex Horsley, Tracy Hussell, Stefan Marciniak, Lorcan McGarvey, Thomas Wilkinson. Pari/Roche Products Limited/LifeArc: Mal Apter, Ruth Davies, Ciara O'Brien, Pauline Stasiak, Davia Viellec.

**Funding**

This work was supported by LifeArc (UCL-UCLH132333), UCL, Breathing Matters and the Francis Crick Institute which receives its core funding from the UK Medical Research Council (FC0010129), Cancer Research UK (FC0010129) and the Wellcome Trust (FC0010129). The study was undertaken at UCLH/UCL who received a proportion of funding from the Department of Health's NIHR Biomedical Research Centres funding scheme. VJS and DB are funded by the NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre. I.V.A was funded by an EMBO LTF (ALTF 113-2019). Dornase alfa was provided by Roche Products Limited and nebulizers were donated by PARI. Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of the published article.

**Author contributions:**

JCP, JI, ED, BW, AF, PTL, VB and VP contributed to the concept and design of the study.

JCP, VJS, ED, RE, MIT, NDV, IVA, DH, DB, TC, JG, AW, HE, VJ, AL, TR, VP contributed to the acquisition of data. ETH, LL, FK designed and directed the Berlin Pa-COVID-19 study. JCP, JI,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

AF, PTL, VB and VP contributed to analysis, and/or interpretation of data or the creation of new software used in the work. JCP, JI, BW, AF, PTL, VB and VP drafted the manuscript. All authors have approved the submitted version (and any substantially modified version that involves the author's contribution to the study); and to have agreed both to be personally accountable for the author's own contributions and to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work, even ones in which the author was not personally involved, are appropriately investigated, resolved, and the resolution documented in the literature. Roche Products Ltd conducted a factual accuracy check on the final version but any decision to incorporate comments was made solely at the discretion of the authors.

**The authors declare no conflicts of interest.**

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## References:

(2000). American Thoracic Society. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: diagnosis and treatment. International consensus statement. American Thoracic Society (ATS), and the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Am J Respir Crit Care Med *161*, 646-664.
Aramburu, I.V., Hoving, D., Vernardis, S.I., Tin, M., Demichev, V., Helbig, E.T., Lippert, L., Stahl, K., Ioannou, M., Temkin, M.I., *et al.* (2022a). Functional proteomic profiling links deficient DNA clearance to mortality in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. medRxiv.
Aramburu, I.V., Hoving, D., Vernardis, S.I., Tin, M., Ioannou, M., Temkin, M.I., De Vasconcelos, N.M., Demichev, V., Helbig, E.T., Lippert, L., *et al.* (2022b). Functional proteomic profiling links deficient DNA clearance with increased mortality in individuals with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. Immunity.
Cortjens, B., de Jong, R., Bonsing, J.G., van Woensel, J.B.M., Antonis, A.F.G., and Bem, R.A. (2018). Local dornase alfa treatment reduces NETs-induced airway obstruction during severe RSV infection. Thorax *73*, 578-580.
Cui, Z., Merritt, Z., Assa, A., Mustehsan, H., Chung, E., Liu, S., Kumthekar, A., Ayesha, B., McCort, M., Palaiodimos, L., *et al.* (2021). Early and Significant Reduction in C-Reactive Protein Levels After Corticosteroid Therapy Is Associated With Reduced Mortality in Patients With COVID-19. J Hosp Med *16*, 142-148.
Fisher, B.A., Veenith, T., Slade, D., Gaskell, C., Rowland, M., Whitehouse, T., Scriven, J., Parekh, D., Balasubramaniam, M.S., Cooke, G., *et al.* (2022). Namilumab or infliximab compared with standard of care in hospitalised patients with COVID-19 (CATALYST): a randomised, multicentre, multi-arm, multistage, open-label, adaptive, phase 2, proof-of-concept trial. Lancet Respir Med *10*, 255-266.
Fisher, J., Mohanty, T., Karlsson, C.A.Q., Khademi, S.M.H., Malmstrom, E., Frigyesi, A., Nordenfelt, P., Malmstrom, J., and Linder, A. (2021). Proteome Profiling of Recombinant DNase Therapy in Reducing NETs and Aiding Recovery in COVID-19 Patients. Mol Cell Proteomics *20*, 100113.
Galvan-Roman, J.M., Rodriguez-Garcia, S.C., Roy-Vallejo, E., Marcos-Jimenez, A., Sanchez-Alonso, S., Fernandez-Diaz, C., Alcaraz-Serna, A., Mateu-Albero, T., Rodriguez-Cortes, P., Sanchez-Cerrillo, I., *et al.* (2021). IL-6 serum levels predict severity and response to tocilizumab in COVID-19: An observational study. J Allergy Clin Immunol *147*, 72-80 e78.
Group, R.C., Horby, P., Lim, W.S., Emberson, J.R., Mafham, M., Bell, J.L., Linsell, L., Staplin, N., Brightling, C., Ustianowski, A., *et al.* (2021). Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. The New England journal of medicine *384*, 693-704.
Herold, T., Jurinovic, V., Arnreich, C., Lipworth, B.J., Hellmuth, J.C., von Bergwelt-Baildon, M., Klein, M., and Weinberger, T. (2020). Elevated levels of IL-6 and CRP predict the need for mechanical ventilation in COVID-19. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology *146*, 128-136 e124.
Holliday, Z.M., Earhart, A.P., Alnijoumi, M.M., Krvavac, A., Allen, L.H., and Schrum, A.G. (2021). Non-Randomized Trial of Dornase Alfa for Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Secondary to Covid-19. Front Immunol *12*, 714833.
Ioannou, M., Hoving, D., Aramburu, I.V., De Vasconcelos, N.M., Temkin, M.I., Wang, Q., Vernardis, S., Demichev, V., Tsourouktsoglou, T.-D., Boeing, S., *et al.* (2021). SIGNR1 promotes immune dysfunction in systemic candidiasis by modulating neutrophil lifespan via T cell-derived histones and G-CSF. bioRxiv.
Ioannou, M., Hoving, D., Aramburu, I.V., Temkin, M.I., De Vasconcelos, N.M., Tsourouktsoglou, T.D., Wang, Q., Boeing, S., Goldstone, R., Vernardis, S., *et al.* (2022). Microbe capture by splenic macrophages triggers sepsis via T cell-death-dependent neutrophil lifespan shortening. Nature communications *13*, 4658.
Konstan, M.W., and Ratjen, F. (2012). Effect of dornase alfa on inflammation and lung function: potential role in the early treatment of cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros *11*, 78-83.
Kurth, F., Roennefarth, M., Thibeault, C., Corman, V.M., Muller-Redetzky, H., Mittermaier, M., Ruwwe-Glosenkamp, C., Heim, K.M., Krannich, A., Zvorc, S., *et al.* (2020). Studying the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

pathophysiology of coronavirus disease 2019: a protocol for the Berlin prospective COVID-19 patient cohort (Pa-COVID-19). Infection *48*, 619-626.
Lazarus, R.A., and Wagener†, J.S. (2019). Recombinant Human Deoxyribonuclease I. In Pharmaceutical Biotechnology: Fundamentals and Applications, D.J.A. Crommelin, R.D. Sindelar, and B. Meibohm, eds. (Cham: Springer International Publishing), pp. 471-488.
Liu, F., Li, L., Xu, M., Wu, J., Luo, D., Zhu, Y., Li, B., Song, X., and Zhou, X. (2020). Prognostic value of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and procalcitonin in patients with COVID-19. J Clin Virol *127*, 104370.
Luo, X., Zhou, W., Yan, X., Guo, T., Wang, B., Xia, H., Ye, L., Xiong, J., Jiang, Z., Liu, Y.*, et al.* (2020). Prognostic Value of C-Reactive Protein in Patients With Coronavirus 2019. Clin Infect Dis *71*, 2174-2179.
Malik, P., Patel, U., Mehta, D., Patel, N., Kelkar, R., Akrmah, M., Gabrilove, J.L., and Sacks, H. (2021). Biomarkers and outcomes of COVID-19 hospitalisations: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Evid Based Med *26*, 107-108.
McArdle, P.A., McMillan, D.C., Sattar, N., Wallace, A.M., and Underwood, M.A. (2004). The relationship between interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein in patients with benign and malignant prostate disease. Br J Cancer *91*, 1755-1757.
Messner, C.B., Demichev, V., Wendisch, D., Michalick, L., White, M., Freiwald, A., Textoris-Taube, K., Vernardis, S.I., Egger, A.S., Kreidl, M.*, et al.* (2020). Ultra-High-Throughput Clinical Proteomics Reveals Classifiers of COVID-19 Infection. Cell Syst *11*, 11-24 e14.
Mueller, A.A., Tamura, T., Crowley, C.P., DeGrado, J.R., Haider, H., Jezmir, J.L., Keras, G., Penn, E.H., Massaro, A.F., and Kim, E.Y. (2020). Inflammatory Biomarker Trends Predict Respiratory Decline in COVID-19 Patients. Cell Rep Med *1*, 100144.
Okur, H.K., Yalcin, K., Tastan, C., Demir, S., Yurtsever, B., Karakus, G.S., Kancagi, D.D., Abanuz, S., Seyis, U., Zengin, R.*, et al.* (2020). Preliminary report of in vitro and in vivo effectiveness of dornase alfa on SARS-CoV-2 infection. New Microbes New Infect *37*, 100756.
Papayannopoulos, V. (2018). Neutrophil extracellular traps in immunity and disease. Nat Rev Immunol *18*, 134-147.
Papayannopoulos, V., Staab, D., and Zychlinsky, A. (2011). Neutrophil elastase enhances sputum solubilization in cystic fibrosis patients receiving DNase therapy. PloS one *6*, e28526.
Pillai, P.S., Molony, R.D., Martinod, K., Dong, H., Pang, I.K., Tal, M.C., Solis, A.G., Bielecki, P., Mohanty, S., Trentalange, M.*, et al.* (2016). Mx1 reveals innate pathways to antiviral resistance and lethal influenza disease. Science *352*, 463-466.
Radermecker, C., Detrembleur, N., Guiot, J., Cavalier, E., Henket, M., d'Emal, C., Vanwinge, C., Cataldo, D., Oury, C., Delvenne, P., and Marichal, T. (2020). Neutrophil extracellular traps infiltrate the lung airway, interstitial, and vascular compartments in severe COVID-19. J Exp Med *217*.
Siddiqi, H.K., and Mehra, M.R. (2020). COVID-19 illness in native and immunosuppressed states: A clinical-therapeutic staging proposal. J Heart Lung Transplant *39*, 405-407.
Smilowitz, N.R., Kunichoff, D., Garshick, M., Shah, B., Pillinger, M., Hochman, J.S., and Berger, J.S. (2021). C-reactive protein and clinical outcomes in patients with COVID-19. Eur Heart J *42*, 2270-2279.
Tornling, G., Batta, R., Porter, J.C., Williams, B., Bengtsson, T., Parmar, K., Kashiva, R., Hallberg, A., Cohrt, A.K., Westergaard, K.*, et al.* (2021). Seven days treatment with the angiotensin II type 2 receptor agonist C21 in hospitalized COVID-19 patients; a placebo-controlled randomised multi-centre double-blind phase 2 trial. EClinicalMedicine *41*, 101152.
Tsourouktsoglou, T.D., Warnatsch, A., Ioannou, M., Hoving, D., Wang, Q., and Papayannopoulos, V. (2020). Histones, DNA, and Citrullination Promote Neutrophil Extracellular Trap Inflammation by Regulating the Localization and Activation of TLR4. Cell reports *31*, 107602.
Ullah, W., Basyal, B., Tariq, S., Almas, T., Saeed, R., Roomi, S., Haq, S., Madara, J., Boigon, M., Haas, D.C., and Fischman, D.L. (2020). Lymphocyte-to-C-Reactive Protein Ratio: A Novel Predictor of Adverse Outcomes in COVID-19. J Clin Med Res *12*, 415-422.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Villoteau, A., Asfar, M., Otekpo, M., Loison, J., Gautier, J., Annweiler, C., and group, G.-C.s. (2021). Elevated C-reactive protein in early COVID-19 predicts worse survival among hospitalized geriatric patients. PloS one *16*, e0256931.
Weber, A.G., Chau, A.S., Egeblad, M., Barnes, B.J., and Janowitz, T. (2020). Nebulized in-line endotracheal dornase alfa and albuterol administered to mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients: a case series. Mol Med *26*, 91.
Xu, J., Zhang, X., Monestier, M., Esmon, N.L., and Esmon, C.T. (2011). Extracellular histones are mediators of death through TLR2 and TLR4 in mouse fatal liver injury. J Immunol *187*, 2626-2631.
Xu, J., Zhang, X., Pelayo, R., Monestier, M., Ammollo, C.T., Semeraro, F., Taylor, F.B., Esmon, N.L., Lupu, F., and Esmon, C.T. (2009). Extracellular histones are major mediators of death in sepsis. Nat Med *15*, 1318-1321.
Zhou, F., Yu, T., Du, R., Fan, G., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., Xiang, J., Wang, Y., Song, B., Gu, X.*, et al.* (2020). Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet *395*, 1054-1062.
Zuo, Y., Yalavarthi, S., Shi, H., Gockman, K., Zuo, M., Madison, J.A., Blair, C., Weber, A., Barnes, B.J., Egeblad, M.*, et al.* (2020). Neutrophil extracellular traps in COVID-19. JCI Insight *5*.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## MAIN FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. Trial design and Consort diagram**

A. COVASE Trial Design.

B. Consort diagram summary. Numbers not in parentheses indicate the participants in the intention-to-treat population and the numbers in parentheses indicate the number of participants in the per-protocol population. A complete consort diagram is shown in Supplementary figure 1.

**Figure 2. Longitudinal CRP predicts survival probability in severe COVID-19 pneumonia. Data from the Berlin COVID-19 study**

A. Individual CRP concentrations in 465 plasmas from 63 participants with maximum WHO severity grade 7 COVID-19 pneumonia segregated into survivor and deceased groups.

B. Participants ordered by their longitudinal average CRP concentrations shown in the left column. Mortality is depicted in yellow in the right column.

C. Kaplan Meier survival probabilities (left panel) and numbers at risk (right panel) for patients segregated into three categories of longitudinal average CRP ranges: 0-100, 100-200, and 200-450 mg / L. Statistical significance (P), Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for group 1 against group 3 and group 2 against group 3 are shown below the survival plot.

Statistics by Mann-Whitney and Mantel-Cox log rank tests.

**Figure 3. Analysis of primary and clinical endpoints**

A and B. Fitted mean (95% confidence interval) from mixed model, with natural log (CRP) over 7 days follow-up as the outcome, adjusting for natural log baseline CRP, age, sex, BMI, serious comorbidity (Diabetes, Cardiovascular disease or hypertension), time and a treatment × time interaction. P-value generated by comparing least-square means between arms. (A) Intention to treat (ITT) population (Blue: CC and participants randomised to BAC, N=69; Pink: participants randomised to BAC+DA, N=30) and (B) randomised participants

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

only: (Blue: participants randomised to BAC, N=9; Pink: participants randomised to BAC+DA, N=30).

C. Distribution of participants based on the change in CRP measured as a ratio of the final CRP reading within the 7 day treatment period over the baseline CRP reading per patient. Statistical analysis by Fisher's test.

D. Kaplan-Meier plot showing time to discharge from hospital from baseline. ITT population. Hazard ratio from Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for baseline CRP, age, sex, BMI, serious comorbidity (diabetes, cardiovascular disease of hypertension). P-value from log-rank test. (Blue: CC and participants randomised to BAC, N=69. Pink: participants randomised to BAC+DA, N=30).

E. Kaplan-Meier plot showing time to death over 35 days follow up. ITT population. Hazard ratio from Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for baseline CRP, age, sex, BMI, serious comorbidity (Diabetes, Cardiovascular disease of hypertension). P-value from log-rank test. (Blue: CC and participants randomised to BAC, N=69. Pink: participants randomised to BAC+DA, N=30). Abbreviations: BAC-best available care, CRP-C-reactive protein, DA-dornase alfa, ITT-intention-to-treat.

**Figure 4. Analysis of secondary and exploratory endpoints in blood**

A. Difference between the lymphocyte count for each day of the treatment period and the baseline in each patient who exhibited lymphopenia at baseline (<1x109 lymphocytes/mL). Mean and 95%CI interval is shown with statistical analysis by two-way Anova.

B. Mean D-dimer levels per day in randomised BAC (blue) and BAC+DA (pink) participants with error bars depicting 95% CI in randomised BAC (blue) and BAC+DA (DA) participants (pink). Statistical difference by by mixed effects Anova analysis.

C. Mean cf-DNA levels per day in randomised BAC (blue) and BAC+DA (pink) participants, with error bars depicting standard deviation. Statistical analysis by mixed effects Anova.

D. Correlation between the final cf-DNA levels and ratio of CRP at day-7 normalized to the baseline CRP (CRPfinal/CRPbaseline) per participant. Fitting by non-linear regression.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

E. Correlation between D-dimer and cell-free (cf) DNA levels in the blood of participants randomised to BAC (blue) or to BAC+DA (DA) (pink), where samples have been segregated depending on whether the corresponding levels of cf-DNA were below or above 100 µg/mL. Statistical analysis by unpaired parametric t-test.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

# Figure 1




Figure 1. Trial design and Consort diagram

A. COVASE Trial Design.
B. Consort diagram summary. Numbers not in parentheses indicate the participants in the intention-to-treat population and the numbers in parentheses indicate the number of participants in the per-protocol population. A complete consort diagram is shown in Supplementary figure 1.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

# Figure 2




Figure 2. Longitudinal CRP predicts survival probability in severe COVID-19 pneumonia. Data from the Berlin COVID-19 study

A. Individual CRP concentrations in 465 plasmas from 63 participants with maximum WHO severity grade 7 COVID-19 pneumonia segregated into survivor and deceased groups.
B. Participants ordered by their longitudinal average CRP concentrations shown in the left column. Mortality is depicted in yellow in the right column.
C. Kaplan Meier survival probabilities (left panel) and numbers at risk (right panel) for patients segregated into three categories of longitudinal average CRP ranges: 0-100, 100-200, and 200-450 mg / L. Statistical significance (P), Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for group 1 against group 3 and group 2 against group 3 are shown below the survival plot.
Statistics by Mann-Whitney and Mantel-Cox log rank tests.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

# Figure 3




Figure 3. Analysis of primary and clinical endpoints

A and B. Fitted mean (95% confidence interval) from mixed model, with natural log (CRP) over 7 days follow-up as the outcome, adjusting for natural log baseline CRP, age, sex, BMI, serious comorbidity (Diabetes, Cardiovascular disease or hypertension), time and a treatment × time interaction. P-value generated by comparing least-square means between arms. (A) Intention to treat (ITT) population (Blue: CC and participants randomised to BAC, N=69; Pink: participants randomised to BAC+DA, N=30) and (B) randomised participants only: (Blue: participants randomised to BAC, N=9; Pink: participants randomised to BAC+DA, N=30).

C. Distribution of participants based on the change in CRP measured as a ratio of the final CRP reading within the 7 day treatment period over the baseline CRP reading per patient. Statistical analysis by Fisher's test.

D. Kaplan-Meier plot showing time to discharge from hospital from baseline. ITT population. Hazard ratio from Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for baseline CRP, age, sex, BMI, serious comorbidity (diabetes, cardiovascular disease of hypertension). P-value from log-rank test. (Blue: CC and participants randomised to BAC, N=69. Pink: participants randomised to BAC+DA, N=30).

E. Kaplan-Meier plot showing time to death over 35 days follow up. ITT population. Hazard ratio from Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for baseline CRP, age, sex, BMI, serious comorbidity (Diabetes, Cardiovascular disease of hypertension). P-value from log-rank test. (Blue: CC and participants randomised to BAC, N=69. Pink: participants randomised to BAC+DA, N=30). Abbreviations: BAC-best available care, CRP-C-reactive protein, DA-dornase alfa, ITT-intention-to-treat.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

# Figure 4




Figure 4. Analysis of secondary and exploratory endpoints in blood

A. Difference between the lymphocyte count for each day of the treatment period and the baseline in each patient who exhibited lymphopenia at baseline (<1x10$^9$ lymphocytes/mL). Mean and 95%CI interval is shown with statistical analysis by two-way Anova.
B. Mean D-dimer levels per day in randomised BAC (blue) and BAC+DA (pink) participants with error bars depicting 95% CI in randomised BAC (blue) and BAC+DA (DA) participants (pink). Statistical difference by by mixed effects Anova analysis.
C. Mean cf-DNA levels per day in randomised BAC (blue) and BAC+DA (pink) participants, with error bars depicting standard deviation. Statistical analysis by mixed effects Anova.
D. Correlation between the final cf-DNA levels and ratio of CRP at day-7 normalized to the baseline CRP (CRPfinal/CRPbaseline) per participant. Fitting by non-linear regression.
E. Correlation between D-dimer and cell-free (cf) DNA levels in the blood of participants randomised to BAC (blue) or to BAC+DA (DA) (pink), where samples have been segregated depending on whether the corresponding levels of cf-DNA were below or above 100 µg/mL. Statistical analysis by unpaired parametric t-test.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## Table 1. Patient baseline characteristics

| | Randomised to BAC + dornase-alfa (N=30) | Randomised to BAC (N=9) | Contemporary controls (N=60) | All BAC (N=69) | Total (N=99) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Age (years)** | | | | | |
| N | 30 | 9 | 60 | 69 | 99 |
| Mean | 56.8 | 53.3 | 57.3 | 56.8 | 56.8 |
| SD | 12.5 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 14.3 | 13.7 |
| Median | 58.0 | 53.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 |
| Min | 32.0 | 31.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Max | 77.0 | 76.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 |
| **Gender** | | | | | |
| Female N (%) | 7 (23.3) | 2 (22.2) | 15 (25.0) | 17 (24.6) | 24 (24.2) |
| Male N (%) | 23 (76.7) | 7 (77.8) | 45 (75.0) | 52 (75.4) | 75 (75.8) |
| **BMI (kg/m²)** | | | | | |
| N | 30 | 9 | 60 | 69 | 99 |
| Mean | 27.8 | 30.8 | 27.8 | 28.2 | 28.0 |
| SD | 4.7 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| Median | 26.5 | 28.9 | 27.9 | 28.2 | 27.7 |
| Min | 20.7 | 22.6 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
| Max | 41.7 | 48.4 | 43.8 | 48.4 | 48.4 |
| **Baseline CRP (mg/L)** | | | | | |
| N | 30 | 9 | 60 | 69 | 99 |
| Mean | 101.9 | 91.9 | 100.7 | 99.5 | 100.2 |
| SD | 52.2 | 68.1 | 68.3 | 67.8 | 63.3 |
| Median | 86.3 | 74.6 | 75.8 | 75.3 | 79.6 |
| Min | 25.2 | 18.9 | 30.8 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Max | 261.5 | 221.6 | 336.4 | 336.4 | 336.4 |
| **Key Comorbidity** | | | | | |
| No N (%) | 16 (53.3) | 3 (33.3) | 28 (46.7) | 31 (44.9) | 47 (47.5) |
| Yes N (%) | 14 (46.7) | 6 (66.7) | 32 (53.3) | 38 (55.1) | 52 (52.5) |

## Table 2. Primary endpoint and sensitivity analysis

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

| CRP (mg/L) | Randomised to BAC + dornase-alfa | BAC | Difference between BAC + dornase-alfa and BAC | p-value* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Primary analysis of Primary Endpoint: ITT population including all individuals: (BAC + dornase-alfa, BAC & historical controls).** | | | | |
| N | 30 | 69 | | |
| Least-squares mean log(CRP) * (95% CI) | 3.15 (2.87 to 3.42) | 3.55 (3.35 to 3.75) | -0.4 (-0.71 to –0.10) | 0.010 |
| Least-square mean CRP ** (95% CI) | 23.23 (17.71 to 30.46) | 34.82 (28.55 to 42.47) | 0.67 (0.49 to 0.91) | |
| **Sensitivity Analyses** | | | | |
| **PP population including all individuals: (BAC + dornase-alfa, BAC & historical controls)** | | | | |
| N | 29 | 68 | | |
| Least-squares mean log(CRP)* (95% CI) | 3.12 (2.85 to 3.39) | 3.55 (3.36 to 3.74) | -0.43 (-0.73 to –0.13) | 0.006 |
| Least-square mean CRP** (95% CI) | 22.64 (17.35 to 29.54) | 34.82 (27.7 to 42.21) | 0.65 (0.48 to 0.88) | |
| **ITT population including randomised individuals only** | | | | |
| N | 30 | 9 | | |
| Least-squares mean log(CRP)* (95% CI) | 3.1 (2.84 to 3.35) | 3.59 (3.13 to 4.06) | -0.5 (-0.97 to –0.02) | 0.041 |
| Least-square mean CRP** (95% CI) | 22.12 (17.16 to 28.5) | 36.34 (22.79 to 57.94) | 0.61 (0.38 to 0.98) | |
| **ITT population including randomised individuals to BAC + dornase-alfa and contemporary controls only.** | | | | |
| N | 30 | 60 | | |
| Least-squares mean log(CRP)* (95% CI) | 3.18 (2.91 to 3.45) | 3.56 (3.35 to 3.76) | -0.37 (-0.68 to –0.06) | 0.019 |

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Least-square mean CRP** (95% CI) | 24.09 (18.36 to 31.6) | 35.03 (28.44 to 43.15) | 0.69 (0.5 to 0.94) | |
| **ITT population including randomised individuals to BAC and contemporary controls only, i.e. the comparator population** | | | | |
| N | 9 | 60 | | |
| Least-squares mean log(CRP)* (95% CI) | 3.78 (3.23 to 4.33) | 3.53 (3.3 to 3.77) | 0.24 (-0.32 to 0.8) | 0.386 |
| Least-square mean CRP** (95% CI) | 43.69 (25.18 to 75.8) | 34.23 (27.11 to 43.24) | 1.28 (0.73 to 2.23) | |
| **Area under the log(CRP), standardised by days followed up, over 7 days follow-up: ITT population including all individuals (BAC + dornase-alfa, BAC & contemporary controls).** | | | | |
| N | 30 | 69 | | |
| Least-squares mean area [a] (95% CI) | 3.45 (3.22 to 3.68) | 3.72 (3.55 to 3.88) | -0.27 (-0.53 to –0.01 | 0.043 |
| **ITT population including all individuals: (BAC + dornase-alfa, BAC & contemporary controls matched including last pre-Dexamethasone CRP value).** | | | | |
| N | 30 | 69 | | |
| Least-squares mean log(CRP)* (95% CI) | 3.16 (2.83 to 3.5) | 3.69 (3.44 to 3.93) | -0.53 (-0.91 to –0.14) | 0.007 |
| Least-square mean CRP** (95% CI) | 23.57 (16.85 to 32.970 | 39.92 (31.32 to 50.89) | 0.59 (0.4 to 087) | |
| **ITT population including all individuals: (BAC + dornase-alfa, BAC & contemporary controls). Stratified by BAC treatment** | | | | |
| **No Remdesivir or Tocilizumab** | | | | |
| N | 12 | 39 | | |
| Least-squares mean log(CRP)* (95% CI) | 3.29 (2.83 to 3.76) | 3.75 (3.45 to 4.04) | -0.45 (-0.96 to 0.05) | 0.079 |
| Least-square mean CRP** | 26.97 (16.87 to 43.11) | 42.35 (31.44 to 57.04) | 0.64 (0.38 to 1.06) | |

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (95% CI) | | | | |
| **Remdesivir no Tocilizumab** | | | | |
| N | 16 | 23 | | |
| Least-squares mean log(CRP)* (95% CI) | 3.16 (2.79 to 3.53) | 3.5 (3.18 to 3.83) | -0.35 (-0.79 to 0.1) | 0.123 |
| Least-square mean CRP** (95% CI) | 23.53 (16.29 to 33.99) | 33.26 (23.97 to 46.15) | 0.71 (0.45 to 1.1) | |
| **Tocilizumab no Remdesivir** | | | | |
| N | 1 | 5 | | |
| **Remdesivir and Tocilizumab** | | | | |
| N | 1 | 2 | | |

* From linear repeated measures model, adjusted for natural log(baseline CRP, age, sex, BMI, serious condition, time, treatment, a treatment*time interaction, and subject as a random effect. Least squares means compared at mean follow-up time.

** Antilog of estimates from *. Ratio of BAC + dorna-alfa: BAC shown in the difference column.

[a] From linear model, adjusted for natural log(baseline CRP, age, sex, BMI, serious condition, and treatment.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## Table 3. Primary endpoint by day

Supplementary table: Mean (SD) CRP over 7 days follow-up by treatment: ITT population including all individuals (BAC + dornase-alfa, BAC & historical controls).

| Days from baseline | Mean BAC + DA | SD BAC + DA | N BAC + DA | Mean BAC | SD BAC | N BAC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 4.058 | 0.591 | 21 | 4.029 | 0.734 | 42 |
| 2 | 3.538 | 0.658 | 33 | 3.852 | 0.937 | 52 |
| 3 | 3.332 | 0.816 | 24 | 3.839 | 1.037 | 53 |
| 4 | 2.917 | 1.017 | 17 | 3.573 | 1.132 | 37 |
| 5 | 2.52 | 1.118 | 15 | 3.872 | 1.157 | 24 |
| 6 | 3.059 | 1.508 | 12 | 3.547 | 1.516 | 26 |
| 7 | 3.386 | 1.824 | 9 | 3.504 | 1.451 | 27 |
| 8 | 3.428 | - | 1 | 2.478 | 1.393 | 9 |

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

**Table 4. Secondary Endpoints**

| Secondary Endpoints | Randomised to BAC + dornase-alfa | BAC | Difference between BAC + dornase-alfa and BAC | p-value* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Time to discharge from hospital (days)** | | | | |
| Number discharged | 27 | 51 | | |
| Median time to discharge [a] | 6 | 7 | -1 | |
| (95% CI) | (4 to 7) | (6 to 12) | | |
| Hazard ratio [b] | | | 1.63 | 0.030 |
| (95% CI) | | | (1.01 to 2.61) | |
| **D-dimer (ug/L) FEU [d]** | | | | |
| N | 28 | 11 | | |
| Least-square mean [c] | 570.78 | 1656.96 | 0.34 | |
| (95% CI) | (384.51 to 847.3) | (876.93 to 3130.81) | (0.17 to 0.69) | 0.004 |
| **Lymphocyte count (×10⁹/L)**** | | | | |
| N | 30 | 61 | | |
| Least-square mean [c] | 1.08 | 0.87 | 1.25 | 0.021 |
| (95% CI) | (0.92 to 1.27) | (0.76 to 0.98) | (1.03 to 1.51) | |
| **Procalcitonin levels (ng/mL)** | | | | |
| N | 26 | 7 | | |
| Least-square mean [c] | 0.18 | 1.31 | -1.13 | 0.005 |
| (95% CI) | (-0.2 to 0.56) | 0.56 to 2.05) | (-1.88 to –0.37) | |

a Estimated from Kaplan-Meier curve.

b From Cox proportional hazard model, adjusting for age, baseline CRP and treatment

c From linear repeated measures model, adjusted for baseline endpoint, age, sex, BMI, serious condition, time, treatment, a treatment*time interaction, and subject as a random effect. Least squares means compared at mean follow-up time.

d Modelled by log transforming the outcome. Estimates shown are the antilog of the estimates from the fitted model. Ratio of BAC + dornase-alfa: BAC shown in the difference column.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.22272888; this version posted August 31, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

* From linear repeated measures model, adjusted for natural log(baseline CRP), age, sex, BMI, serious condition, time, treatment, a treatment*time interaction, and subject as a random effect. Least squares means compared at mean follow-up time.

** Antilog of estimates from *. Ratio of BAC + dorna-alfa: BAC shown in the difference column.