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Abstract

In this study, an exploratory online survey was conducted to clarify points that have not been clarified so far in the medical illustration research field. If several illustrators make an illustration of the same information, does a match of intentions and techniques affect the impression or comprehension of the information? The aim is to further the utilization of medical illustration in actual practice (n=1104).

First, we selected Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease (ADPKD) as the medical condition about which information had to be disseminated. Then, we asked 32 art professionals to make six illustrations with three detail types (high, middle, and low) and two purposes (“getting interested” and “boosting comprehension”). Thereafter, we selected six different types of art professionals’ illustrations for the questionnaire to ask the participants about the intentions of the illustrations and comprehension of the content.

We found that if the illustrator’s intentions and visual description techniques match with the recipients, the match of intentions could help generate interest in the content, and the match of visual description techniques could enhance the comprehension of the information.
Introduction

Communication in the medical field is called health communication. In particular, a communicative relationship between doctors and patients is an essential matter (1-4). It is the prime responsibility of doctors to explain every aspect of diseases to their patients (5, 6) as excellent communication can improve the outcome of the treatment procedure (3, 4, 7-9). As most patients are not familiar with medical terminologies, occasionally, some friction emerges in the health communication field (3, 10). Previous research has tried to find efficient ways to use verbal behaviors (11, 12), attitudes (13, 14), and cultural aspects (15, 16) to provide solutions to this problem. Currently, the use of illustrations is in the limelight as a measure of effective health communication.

Illustrations used in the medical field are called medical illustrations (sometimes called infographics or visual cues) and have been used to convey medical knowledge among physicians for a long time (17). Currently, doctors also transfer detailed medical information to patients and societies. Previous research has revealed that illustrations can encourage people to remember the information (18, 19), enhance understanding (20), and secure attention (21). Moreover, illustrations are practical for someone who has low
literacy, especially low medical literacy skills (18, 22, 23). Illustrations are mostly used
to create concrete images of numeric information (24-26) or to depict details of diseases
and affected areas comprehensively (27, 28). However, there are still plenty of things that
remain to be clarified, as explained below.

In previous studies, several illustrations created by illustrators were used (29, 30). All
medical illustrators cannot create the exact same illustrations as others because they
would have different views, techniques, and aesthetic senses of creation (18). Considering
these differences is vital for using medical illustration in the medical field to convey
accurate information. However, previous studies have never conducted research using
several illustrations created by various illustrators simultaneously.

Responding to the above statement, we assume that various illustrators’ illustrations
would include different intentions and techniques even though the purpose of the
illustrations are the same. For instance, to create a brochure to convey medical
information, one medical illustrator might exaggerate some part of the information to
accomplish the purpose. The result might be something abnormal or grotesque. These
varying intentions and technical details have remained concealed and never had the
opportunity of being revealed in previous studies. Thus, it is not clear whether these intentions and techniques could convey the information to the recipients accurately. However, we presume that if these intentions and techniques could affect the recipient’s impression and comprehension, it is worth paying attention to them with the intent of conducting more efficient health communication.

Hence, in this research, we have set up an exploratory research question as follows:

RQ: When several illustrators make illustrations of the same information, does a match of intention and technique affect the impression on the recipients or their comprehension of the information?

We are convinced that studying this research question would reveal how to use medical illustration more intentionally and strategically in health communication. These perceptions would be helpful in all aspects of communication areas in the scientific field.

Materials and methods

This study employed a quantitative design comprising an online questionnaire to evaluate the research question. Prior to the study, some art professionals created medical
illustrations for different purposes. They were asked to describe their intention of
illustrations and the techniques they have used. Thereafter, these responses were used for
the completed questionnaire using an online survey and the research and analysis were
conducted.

The survey was approved by the Ethical Review Board of Saitama Prefectural University
(No. 20083), and informed consent was obtained from all participants through the online
survey system. This study was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration
of Helsinki.

Selecting medical information

Before conducting the research, we selected suitable medical information. The functions
of medical illustrations are affected by participant base knowledge. A previous study
revealed that if recipients of the information are familiar with the medical information,
the illustration would not work well to enhance comprehension compared to those who
are unfamiliar recipients of the same (31). Therefore, we had to select unfamiliar medical
information to reduce the distraction factors for participants.
Moreover, we focused on selecting a medical condition that is not well known generically but essential in the medical field; thus, we selected Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease (ADPKD), the most common hereditary kidney disorder (32, 33).

**Setting medical illustration types**

After selecting the medical information to be imparted, we set the details and purposes of the illustrations. Previous studies divided illustration details into three types to understand the influences of the illustrations (29, 30). This study followed them and divided the details into three categories, as follows: realistic (high detail: HD), characteristic (medium detail: MD), and schematic (low detail: LD). Additionally, to find the “visual description techniques” of each illustrator, we divided the purposes of illustrations into two: “getting interested” and “boosting comprehension.” This point has been referenced in previous studies (27) that show that the most effective illustration, which got the recipients interested, differed from the most effective illustration, which favored comprehension. Subsequently, we made illustrators create normal drawings to clarify differences of “intentions” for each purpose. Hence, each illustrator created seven illustration types...
(Figure 1). We provided some ADPKD information, including simple exposition and pictures, to the illustrators to create the illustrations.

Figure 1. Types of illustrations drawn by an illustrator

Developing medical illustrations

Before the leading research, we made several medical illustrations of ADPKD. This part can be divided into two phases. First, we recruited four art professionals who have fine art college training for more than four years and have been involved in creating artworks for more than ten years in Japan. Then, we asked them to create seven types of illustration of ADPKD with two purposes, three details, and normal drawings. Afterward, we asked them to answer some questions: 1) What intentions have you included in each illustration? and 2) Point out the differences in the visual description techniques for each illustration, and provide corresponding feedback.

After modifying the methods based on the feedback and confirming the procedures, we proceeded to the second phase, gathering 35 art professionals and asking them to create
ADPKD illustrations and answer questions about intentions and visual description techniques. Eventually, we collected 32 professionals and 224 illustrations.

Picking up the illustrations’ intentions and visual description techniques

First, we selected six different types of professional illustrators for the questionnaire to find out how each illustration affects the generation of interest and comprehension of medical information related to ADPKDs (Figure 2). From the six illustrators’ responses to the abovementioned questions, we observed twelve keywords related to intentions (A)–(L) and seven different types of visual description techniques (a)–(g) (Table 1). Then, these categories were used for the core research questionnaire to determine whether the participants of the quantitative research could detect the same intentions and visual description techniques from each illustration as professionals.

Figure 2. Illustrations created by six art professionals

Table 1. Details of “Intentions” and “Visual description techniques”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intentions</th>
<th>Visual description techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Painful</td>
<td>(a) Compare with normal and abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Feel sick</td>
<td>(b) Description of cross-sectional view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Interested</td>
<td>(c) Description of cross-sectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Bizarre</td>
<td>(d) Description of progression of disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) Grotesque</td>
<td>(e) Exclude lurid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F) Anguished</td>
<td>(f) Description of simplicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G) Abnormal</td>
<td>(g) Description of features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H) Surprised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I) Fear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J) Vitality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K) Creeps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L) A sense of danger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the questionnaire, we showed illustrations created with three details and purpose by each illustrator. We asked the participants which illustration was the most effective for
getting attention/boosting comprehension and made them pick the correct one. Afterward,

we asked the participants about the visual description techniques and intentions for
picking the illustrations by using (a)–(g) and (A)–(M), which were created from the art
professionals‘ answers. In this part, participants could choose applicable items without
limitation. In addition to the above questions, the participants answered some preliminary
questions on medical history and demographics before completing the questionnaire.

Contents of the questionnaire

We used the following questions to gather information on the illustrations that generated
the most interest and those that were the most comprehensible, and the participants’
impression of the intentions and visual description techniques.

(Q1) Which illustration did you feel succeeded in getting you interested the most when
accompanied by text? (Q2) Which illustration was the most comprehensible when
accompanied by text? Participants chose each suitable one from the three types of
illustrations (realistic, characteristic, and schematic) with respect to each illustrator's
creations.
Question 1’s dependent variable was operationalized as a 7-point Likert scale from “not at all interested” to “very interested,” and the dependent variable of question 2 used a 7-point Likert scale ranging from “did not boost comprehension at all” to “deepened comprehension very much.”

In addition, with regard to each selected illustration, participants were asked to select the intentions and techniques from (A)–(L) and (a)–(g). In this part, participants could choose applicable items without limitation.

**Procedure and measures**

In this research, we selected Macromill, the largest online survey service in Japan, to conduct the survey. The survey was conducted by randomly selecting a sample of 1000 respondents among the registered respondents on Macromill (500 male and 500 female). For each gender, 100 participants were assigned for each of the age categories: 20s, 30s, 40s, 50s, and over 60s. All participants were screened based on the condition that none of them are health care workers and not involved in the medical care field. We also asked demographic questions about marriage, children, household income, personal income,
and occupation.

We then asked about confounding factors that might affect their interest in and understanding of the illustration. These included the respondent’s and family’s experience of hospitalization, medical history, kidney function and shape, knowledge of the disease, methods of obtaining medical information, media preference, and work experience in illustration.

After the above questions, participants were presented with some information of ADPKD, including simple expositions and pictures.

**Analysis**

First, regarding the degree of correspondence between the illustrator’s intentions and the respondents’ comprehension, which is the independent variable, the following is to be noted.

This study has twelve intention categories, and an illustration can be mapped as a point in a twelve-dimensional space. For example, when an illustrator tries to convey “(A) Painful” and “(B) Feel sick,” the intended intention can be expressed as vector a in
Equation 1. When the respondent answers “(A) Painful” or “(C) Interested,” the intention received can be expressed as vector \( b \) in Equation 2. The cosine of the angle between these two vectors is called the cosine similarity. If we use Equation 3, we can obtain it as a numerical value in an interval of \([-1, 1]\), where the degree of correspondence is the least consistent, to 1, where the degree of correspondence between the intended impression and received impression is the most consistent.

\[
a = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \quad (1)
\]

\[
b = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\cos(a,b) = \frac{a \cdot b}{||a|| ||b||} \quad (3)
\]

In the above sample, the cosine similarity is 0.5, and the angle between vector \( a \) and vector \( b \) is 60 degrees, which means they are relatively similar. The same formula was applied to the items in the right column of Table 1 for the degree of correspondence in the description.

Next, in addition to the single numerical value of the agreement, a dummy variable was
established to specifically observe which items related to intentions and visual description techniques were in agreement. For example, the variable is 1 if the respondent received the intention of “Painful” for an illustration that was intended to convey the intention of “Painful,” and 0 otherwise. The third independent variable was the one that asked the participants to choose which of the three types of illustration (HD, MD, or LD) was the best.

Using this analysis method, we approximated the dependent variables as continuous values (Norman, 2010), and statistical analysis was conducted using normal linear models, and four models were constructed.

The first model used response data about getting interested, with the degree of correspondence between intentions and visual description techniques as the independent variables.

The second model used response data about the comprehension of information, with the degree of correspondence between intentions and visual description techniques as the independent variables.

The third model used response data about getting interested and used the dummy variable
for the correspondence between intentions and visual description techniques as the
independent variables.

The fourth model used responses on comprehension of information, with dummy
variables for intentions and visual description techniques as independent variables.

All models contained confounding variables, in addition to the previously mentioned
items, the type of details (HD, MD, or LD) as well as illustrators. The coefficients of each
variable in the above models were interpreted at the 5% level of significance.

Results

Sample descriptive statistics

The total number of participants was \( N = 1104 \) (male = 555, female = 549). Other
demographic items are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Participant details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total n</td>
<td>1104 (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20s n</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30s n</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40s n</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50s n</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 60s n</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Junior high</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior high</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational school</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior college</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Graduate college 34 (3.1)

Other 8 (0.7)

Summary of the models in the research

The following is a summary of the four statistical models created by this study (Table 3).

The dependent variable is the degree of interest; in Models 1 and 2, the independent variables are the degree of correspondence of intentions and the degree of correspondence of visual description techniques; in Models 3 and 4, they are dummy variables for matched intentions and visual description techniques between illustrators and respondents; Models 1 and 3 use data about getting interested, and Models 2 and 4 use data about promoting comprehension. The confounding variables were included in the models only to ensure the reliability of the coefficients of the explanatory variables. Hence, their description is omitted in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of the model

Dependent variable: Degree of coincidence (Intentions)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Getting</td>
<td>Boosting</td>
<td>Getting</td>
<td>Boosting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>interesse</td>
<td>comprehension</td>
<td>interesse</td>
<td>comprehension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations (n)</td>
<td>6618</td>
<td>6618</td>
<td>6618</td>
<td>6618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of coincidence</td>
<td><strong>.432</strong>*</td>
<td><strong>.594</strong>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Intentions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of coincidence</td>
<td><strong>.785</strong>*</td>
<td><strong>.958</strong>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Visual description techniques)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intentions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) Painful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.173</td>
<td>.317</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Oddness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.412***</td>
<td>.250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Interested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.492***</td>
<td>.418**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Gross</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.276***</td>
<td>.146*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) Oddness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.201***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F) Anguished</td>
<td>.220</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G) Abnormal</td>
<td>.415 ***</td>
<td>.417 ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H) Surprised</td>
<td>.476 **</td>
<td>.458 **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I) Fear</td>
<td>.432 ***</td>
<td>.592 ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J) Vitality</td>
<td>.360</td>
<td>.287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K) Creeps</td>
<td>.286 *</td>
<td>.119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L) A sense of danger</td>
<td>.794 ***</td>
<td>.696 ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visual description techniques

(a) Compare with normal and abnormal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) Compare with normal</th>
<th>.348 **</th>
<th>1.011 ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(b) Description of cross-sectional view

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(b) Description of cross-sectional</th>
<th>.373</th>
<th>.344 ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(c) Description of cross-sectional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(c) Description of cross-sectional</th>
<th>.153</th>
<th>.104</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(d) Description of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(d) Description of</th>
<th>.360 **</th>
<th>.536 ***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
progression of disease

(e) Exclude lurid  .380**  .213*

(f) Description of simplicity  .405***  .410***

(g) Description of features  .629***  .614***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Medium Detail (Compare with High Detail)</th>
<th>Low Detail (Compare with High Detail)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>24460 23920 24270 23680</td>
<td>542*** .567*** .476*** .468***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<.05; ** p<.01; *** p<.001

Result of Models 1–4

According to the table’s result, we focus on Models 1 and 2 first.

For both models—getting interested and promoting comprehension—the degree of
correspondence between illustrators and participants’ intentions and visual description techniques had a significant effect. Additionally, the degree of descriptive correspondence had a stronger effect. Moreover, both coefficients were greater than 0.1 for promoting comprehension rather than getting interested.

Next, regarding Model 3, in terms of getting interested, the intentions that had a significant positive impact on the level of interest were, in descending order of coefficient, Intentions (L), (C), (H), (I), (G), and (D). In contrast, the intention that had a negative impact was Intention (B). In terms of visual description techniques, (g), (f), (e), (d), and (a) had a positive influence, in that order.

In Model 4, the intentions that had a significant positive impact on the degree of interest in promoting comprehension were, in order of increasing coefficient, Intentions (L), (I), (H), (C), (G), (E), and (D).

As for visual description techniques, (a), (g), (f), (d), (b), and (e) had a significant influence, in that order.

From these, the distinctive results for getting interested are the magnitude of the negative impact of Intention (B), the magnitude of the coefficient of Intention (D), and the
magnitude of the coefficient of visual description techniques (e). In contrast, the features
that stand out for promoting comprehension are the large coefficients of Intentions (E)
and (I), the large difference in the coefficient of visual description techniques $a$, and the
large coefficient of (d).

Result differences between illustration details and interests

We discuss the coefficients against the degree of interest for the three types of illustrations
(HD, MD, and LD). As these three were treated as categorical variables, they are
calculated as the coefficients of the other depictions compared to the HD. The results
showed that the HD had the most positive impact on the level of interest. The MD had a
lower impact, and the LD had the lowest impact.

Discussion

The key findings of this study are described below.

First, with regard to the relationship between the illustrator’s intentions and the
respondent’s reception, the finding was that interest was more enhanced when the
techniques used in the illustration were correctly conveyed than when what was intended
to be expressed in the illustration was conveyed as intended. When comparing getting
interested and promoting comprehension, correspondence of the visual description
techniques was more critical in the latter

In this research, we found that interest decreased significantly when negative intention
(i.e., “(B) Feel sick”) was conveyed to the respondents. In contrast, we found that to
promote comprehension of disease-related information by using illustrations, it is
important to correctly convey the method of visual description techniques; in particular,
“(a) Compare with normal and abnormal” was found to be remarkably important.

Additionally, there were six intentions (C, D, G, H, I, L) and four visual description
techniques (d, e, f, g) as common positive factors for both getting interest and promoting
comprehension. Moreover, the HD had the most positive impact on the level of interest.
The MD had a lower impact, and the schematic depiction had the lowest impact in this
study.

*Effect of intention matches*
First, we would like to discuss the effect of negative intentions. This research showed that negative intentions (i.e., “(B) Feel sicky”) decrease the interest in the content. This result could be connected with a previous study’s viewpoint (34) that an uncomfortable feeling from seeing visual material could generate disinterest in the content.

As the goal of this study is to accurately communicate medical information to patients and laypersons and maintain interest in the information, we could say that the use of uncomfortable visual descriptions is not desirable. Unfortunately, this research could not reveal where the impression of “(B) Feel sick” stemmed from. It might come from visual descriptions of the illustration or it may depend on the participant’s experiences and characteristics. Therefore, it could be said that further research is needed to explain these points in more detail.

Meanwhile, another negative intention, “(L) A sense of danger,” is one of the most important factors to getting interested and promoting comprehension of content. This result may be possibly related to the function of graphic warning labels such as those on cigarette packs. Previous researches showed that when people get aware of some risks, they try to avoid potentially dangerous activities (35, 36). Therefore, there is some
possibility that the impression of “(L) A sense of danger” could be used to encourage people to get interested and promote comprehension of the content to avoid some critical situations.

Applying these two points for the RQ of this study, we could say that if the illustrator’s intentions match the recipients, especially if the negative intentions match, then there is a possibility of affecting content interest. Notably, an awkward intention—” (B) Feel sick”—would have the potential influence of decreasing interest in the content, whereas intentions related to the individual health situation, like “(L) A sense of danger and (I) Fear,” could be effective for not only generating interest but also boosting comprehension of the content.

Therefore, it can be suggested that conveying a sense of risk to an individual might be useful to boost interest in and understanding of medical information content.

However, it is still difficult to generalize these points, and we need to determine how to achieve these results in medical illustrations using different medical information. To standardize these results, further research is required.
Effect of visual description technique matches

We would also like to mention the impact of the match of visual description techniques. In this study, we used illustrations created by six art professionals, which were composed of a composite of seven visual description techniques. Among them, when illustrators’ and recipients’ cognition matched using “(a) Compare with normal and abnormal,” comparing normal kidneys and ADPKD-affected kidneys had a strong impact on promoting comprehension of content. Additionally, matching using “(d) Description of progression of disease” was also found to be effective in promoting comprehension.

Moreover, in “(g) Description of features,” the characteristics of the kidney were emphasized, which, in terms of illustration detail, was found to be effective in both getting interest and promoting comprehension of its content.

This study is the first one to divide the visual descriptions technique into several factors and investigate whether a match of visual description techniques with recipients can affect the impression or comprehension of information. Therefore, these findings have possibly become new perceptions in this research field.

We also assumed that we could only mention some techniques related to the types of
illustration detail using previous research results. Some previous studies showed that HD
illustrations are the most efficient to boost comprehension of the content. Hence, using a
technique of “(g) Description of features,” it could be possible to regard the use of HD
for illustration as the most suitable method of conveying medical information to patients
and laypersons.

Taking together these results, we could say that if the illustrator’s visual description
techniques match recipient perceptions, techniques about disease transformation, like “(a)
Compare with normal and abnormal” and “(d) Description of progression of disease,”
could be effective to boost comprehension of the information.

Limitations

There are some limitations to this research.

First, this research has an exploratory design and was conducted using certain medical
information. Therefore, the results could not be standardized and need further research
using various medical information for confirmation.

Second, we recruited some art professionals to create medical illustrations, most of whom
have no training as medical illustrators. Therefore, whether using those illustrations for research was desirable or not, is a controversial issue.

Third, this research gathered participants online. It cannot be confirmed whether this participants’ group represents our society correctly. With a change in groups, the results have the possibility of changing.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we focused on the function of medical illustrations to convey medical information to contribute smooth health communication between doctors or researchers and patients or laypersons and set an exploratory RQ that includes new points of view about illustrations’ intentions and visual description techniques.

As a result, we found that if the illustrator’s intentions and visual description techniques match with the recipients, this could help generate interest in the content, and a match of visual description techniques could enhance the comprehension of the information.

These results have not been standardized yet and only apply to a particular kind of medical information and specific population group. Still, it can be said that it would be
possible to use these results for actual practices experimentally and for further exploratory research.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High detail</th>
<th>Medium detail</th>
<th>Low detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal detail</td>
<td>(0) Getting interested (HD)</td>
<td>(1) Getting interested (MD)</td>
<td>(2) Getting interested (LD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Boosting comprehension (HD)</td>
<td>(2) Boosting comprehension (MD)</td>
<td>(3) Boosting comprehension (LD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Types of illustrations drawn by an illustrator.
Figure 2: Illustrations created by six art professionals.