Digital health and quality of home-based primary care for older adults: A scoping review protocol

Corresponding author: Ísis de Siqueira Silva. Endereço postal: Av. Sen. Salgado Filho, 1787 - Lagoa Nova, Natal/RN. Departamento de Odontologia. E-mail: isis1998.siqueira.silva@gmail.com. Telefone: +5524 998434579. Telefone/Ramal: (84) 3342-2338/401.

Authors: Ísis de Siqueira Silva[1], Cícera Renata Diniz Vieira Silva[2], Rayssa Horácio Lopes[3], Aguinaldo José de Araújo[4], Renan Cabral de Figueirêdo[5], Osvaldo de Goes Bay Junior[6], Luís Velez Lapão[7], Severina Alice da Costa Uchôa[8].

1. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Saúde Coletiva, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brasil. E-mail: isis1998.siqueira.silva@gmail.com. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2403-2504

2. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brasil.E-mail: renatadiniz_enf@yahoo.com.br https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0928-8368

3. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Saúde Coletiva, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brasil. E-mail: rayssahlt@hotmail.com https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7041-4792

4. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Saúde Coletiva, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brasil. E-mail: aguinaldo.araujo@ufrn.br https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3697-1269

5. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Saúde da Família, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brasil. E-mail: cabralrenan@yahoo.com.br. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5172-3733

6. Faculdade de Ciências da Saúde do Trairi, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Santa Cruz, Brasil. E-mail: osvaldo.bay@ufrn.br https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1017-2346

7. Global Health and Tropical Medicine, Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal. E-mail:luis.lapao@ihmt.unl.pt . https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0506-1294

8. Departamento de saúde coletiva, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brasil.E-mail: alicedacostauchoa@gmail.co Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2531-9937

Keywords: Aged, Geriatric care, digital health, quality in healthcare, home-based primary care, home care services.
Word count: 3.036

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Digital health strategies have expanded in home care, particularly in home-based primary care (HBPC) following the increase in the older adult population and the need to respond to the higher demand of chronic conditions and health frailties of this population. There was an even greater demand with COVID-19 and subsequent isolation/social distancing measures for this group considered at risk. The objective of this study is to map digital health strategies and analyze their impacts on the quality of home-based primary care for older adults around the world.

Methods and analysis: This is a scoping review protocol which will enable a rigorous, transparent and reliable synthesis of knowledge. The review will be developed in the theoretical perspective of Arksey and O'malley, with updates by Levac et al. and Peters et al., based on the Joanna Briggs Institute manual, and guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR). Data from white literature will be extracted from multidisciplinary health databases such as: the Virtual Health Library, LILACS, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL and Embase; while Google Scholar will be used for gray literature. The quantitative data will be analyzed through descriptive statistics and qualitative data through thematic analysis. The results will be submitted to stakeholder consultation for preliminary sharing of study findings, identification of gaps, effective dissemination strategies and suggestions for future studies.

Ethics and disclosure: Stakeholder consultation was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Hospital Onofre Lopes, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, CAEE 54853921.0.0000.5292, and will not involve direct patient participation. It is registered in the Open Science Framework (OSF) (https://osf.io/vgkhy/). The results will be disseminated through publication in open access scientific journals, in scientific events and academic and community journals.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This scoping review will be the first to explore the types, uses and impacts of digital health strategies on home-based primary care (HBPC) for older adults around the world.

- The geographic mapping of the studies will provide a global overview of the use of digital health strategies in providing home care.

- The search strategy for studies will be broad, including the main multidisciplinary health databases and gray literature.

- The expansion of the use of digital health strategies during COVID-19 may be a limiting factor to the scientific quality of studies.
- A large number of publications in the gray literature may imply an important limitation of the study but also meaning that digital home care still lacks maturity.

INTRODUCTION

The increase in the older adult population and the subsequent need for health systems to respond to issues of chronic diseases, weaknesses, and loss of autonomy has increased the demand for home-based primary care (HBPC) around the world. HBPC includes care that seeks to adequately meet the social and health needs of people in the residential environment with high quality, offering actions to promote, prevent, minimize the sequelae of diseases, situations of weakness and loss of autonomy, monitoring of chronic diseases and palliative care, which can be technical and offered by health professionals and/or laypeople, resulting in support in daily life activities for older adults and self-care guided by professionals [1].

According to the 2019 Revision of World Population Prospects Report [2], the number of people over 60 years old surpassed that of children under 5 years old for the first time, and the perspectives were that one in every 4 people in North America and Europe would reach age 65 or older. The increase in the older adult population contributes to an expansion of dependence on healthcare for a long time, which includes home-based primary care [3]. Frailty in older adults is related to the reduction of physiological factors associated with increased vulnerability and contributes to the emergence of Chronic Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs), which influence the loss of functionality and are the main cause of dysfunction such as disabilities, limitation in activities or restriction in community and social participation [4,5].

An important challenge for the quality of HBPC is the need for complex coordination due to the interdependence of health services, formal and informal healthcare providers, and self-care; this coordination can be through Primary Healthcare (PHC), hospitals or nursing services [6].

Regarding PHC and HBPC articulation, studies show advantages in home-based primary care (HBPCs) such as providing users with mechanisms to access longitudinal care and promoting improved quality of care with lower costs due to its centralization in the direct relationship of the person with their caregiver [7]. The demand for HBPC has increased, and many countries have strengthened coordination through PHC. This coordination in Europe occurs with integration between HBPC and PHC, but only in selected cases in Austria,

Belgium, Switzerland, Portugal, Spain, and England; or in a structural way such as Sweden, Germany and Hungary [6].

Expanding coverage and quality of services are of paramount importance for PHC [8] as a strategy for organizing and reorganizing health systems to guarantee longitudinal and comprehensive care for chronic patients in the territories covered, especially in cases where HBPC is the timeliest form of care [6,8].

HBPC demand has increased significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic, for which older adults with chronic diseases and who take immunosuppressants are a high-risk group [7,9]. HBPC was used to reduce attendance at emergency services and the iatrogenic effects of going to health services, to increase testing, and ensure that chronic medical problems were treated, within the home environment, to prevent their worsening [10,11]. Faced with the challenges in PHC from COVID-19, the use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) such as the internet, cell phones, computers, software, social networks and other media applications and services which allow to access, retrieve, store, transmit and manipulate information in digital format, and which were already being implemented, became even more prominent [12,13,14]. The use of ICT configures digital health, a series of services and systems, which include medical and health informatics, telehealth, care monitoring, as well as so-called e-learning and mobile health [15].

Given the importance of the digital health and HBPC relationship, especially enhanced in the current pandemic period and its possibilities for its continuity even after the pandemic due to the significant benefits, it is of fundamental importance to retrieve and systematize the evidence of these experiences. Thus, the objective of this study is to map the uses and types of digital health strategies and analyze their impacts on the quality of HBPC for older adults around the world.

The quality of care can be considered taking the Donabedian model as its theoretical reference, which has the process and results focused on the technical (accuracy of the choice of actions and the way they are produced), interpersonal (social and psychological relationships between care providers and users) and organizational dimensions (conditions in which services are offered such as comprehensiveness and continuity of care, coverage, coordination of actions, access and accessibility and their impacts on users' health) [16,17].

A preliminary search in the PROSPERO, MEDLINE, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and JBI Evidence Synthesis databases did not identify any current or ongoing scoping or systematic reviews with this theme.

METHODS

This study is a scoping review protocol which seeks to answer broad research questions with less restrictive selection criteria. The study will identify and map emerging evidence on the topic addressed, synthesizing knowledge with rigor, transparency and reliability. It is guided by the theoretical framework of Arskey and O'malley [18], with updates from Levac et al. [19] and Peters et al. [20], as well as by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) (TRICCO et al., 2018) [21]. The protocol was registered in the Open Science Framework (OSF) (https://osf.io/vgkhy) and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Onofre Lopes Hospital of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte (CAEE 54853921.0.0000.5292). This review will be conducted in six steps: formulating the research question, identifying relevant studies, selecting studies, extracting and coding data, analyzing and interpreting results, and consulting stakeholders [22].

Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in the preparation of this protocol.

Step 1: Formulating the research question

The research questions were formulated through the PCC mnemonic conceptual model – (Population, Concept, Context) [22], as:

P: Older adults;

C: Digital health strategies;

C: Home-based primary care.

The key concepts for elaborating the research questions are described in Table 1, and the three research questions prepared by the authors according to the PCC can be observed in Table 2.

Table 1. Key concepts for the study questions.

Concept	Definition
Older adult	For the World Health Organization (2005) [23], the concept of "old age" is multidimensional, and includes the terms chronological (based on the date of birth), biological (related to the capacity of the human body), psychological (related to the psycho-emotional functioning) and social age (related to social roles). For the United Nations [24], the definition of an older adult is related to those who

Concept	Definition
	are 60 years old or more, but at the same time they affirm that there is a diversity of older people with different needs, abilities, lifestyles, experiences and preferences which are influenced by age, gender, health, income, education, ethnicity and other factors.
Digital health	Digital health expands the concept of eHealth, encompassing a range of services and systems such as medical informatics, telehealth, e-learning, care monitoring, mobile health, including digital consumers with a wider range of smart devices and connected equipment as uses of digital technologies for health, such as the Internet of Things, artificial intelligence, big data and robotics [15].
HBPC (home-based primary care	This is a model of providing long-term primary care at home, ranging from palliative care, rehabilitation, and disease management to care coordination. The multidisciplinary team has older adults with chronic diseases and physical and cognitive disabilities as its main clientele [25,26].
Care quality	The quality of care can be defined in 3 dimensions: technical (accuracy in the choice of actions and the way in which they are produced), interpersonal (social and psychological relationships between care providers and users) and organizational (conditions in which services are offered comprehensively and with continuity of care, coverage, coordination of actions, access and accessibility to services [16].

Table 2. Research questions of the scoping review.

Question	Population (P)	Concept (C)	Context (C)	Result of interest (O)
1) Which countries use digital health in home-based primary care for older adults?	Older adults in countries with Primary Healthcare	Older adults Home-based primary care Digital health	Home-based primary care	Geographic map of digital health use
2) What digital health strategies are used in home-based primary care for older adults?		Home-based primary care of older adults Digital health	Home-based primary care	Applications of digital health in home-based primary care
3) What is the impact of digital health strategies on the quality of home-		Quality of care Digital	Home-based primary care	Impact of digital health on HBPC of older adults

based primary care for	health
older adults?	

Step 2: Identifying relevant studies

A previous exploratory search was performed in the PubMed and Virtual Health Library (BVS) databases to identify the main Health Sciences Descriptors (DeCS) related to the topic. The search strategy was developed based on this preliminary search, combining descriptors and keywords using the Boolean operators AND and OR, and were adjusted according to each database. A complete search strategy for the PubMed database is included in the online supplemental appendix 1. The detailed search strategy for all data sources (i.e. white and gray literature) will be attached to the final scoping review.

Search strategy

Chart 1 organizes the main descriptors available in the DeCS that started the search strategy carried out by the authors based on the PCC.

Chart 1: Descriptors used according to the PCC Mnemonic.

Mnemonic	Descriptor	Synonyms/keywords
P	**aged / elderly / frail elderly**	Older adults; Aging; older persons; elderly care; aged people; Older Adult
C	**Digital health**	eHealth; e-Health; telehealth; Telecare; mHealth; Telerehabilitation; Telehomecare; home telehealth; Home telecare; telemonitoring; telecare monitoring system; telenursing; Digital Health Strategies Digital Health Strategy; eHealth Strategies and Policies; Telemedicine
C	**Home-based care services**	*cuidados domiciliares; atenção primária domiciliar*; home health services; home monitoring; home health care; home care; home-based primary care; Hospitalization at home; Home-based care; Home healthcare

The standard search strategy (available in Chart 2) was developed by a librarian, and built using 4 controlled health vocabularies (DECS, MESH, Tesauro Cinahl and EMTREE) in order to obtain a broad spectrum of results in different

databases. We chose to use natural language in conjunction with controlled language (descriptors) considering the need for greater sensitivity and aiming to expand the search results [27].

Chart 2: Standard review search strategy.

Population	Concept	Context
aged OR elderly OR "aged patient" OR "aged people" OR "aged person" OR "aged subject" OR "elderly patient" OR "elderly people" OR "elderly person" OR "elderly subject" OR "senior citizen" OR "middle aged" OR "middle age" OR "old person" OR "old people" OR "very elderly" OR centenarian OR centenarians OR nonagenarian OR nonagenarians OR octogenarian OR octogenarians OR "very old" OR "oldest old" OR "aged 60" OR "aged 70" OR "aged 80" OR "aged 90" OR "aged 100" OR "60 years" OR "70 years" OR "80 years" OR "90 years" OR "100 years"	Telemedicine OR "m-Health" OR "Mobile Health" OR "mobile healthcare" OR mHealth OR eHealth OR "tele medicine" OR teleconsultation OR "long distance consultation" OR "tele-consultation" OR "telephone consultation" OR "electronic consultation" OR "e-consultation" OR econsultation OR "remote consultation" OR teleconsultations OR telehealth OR "e-health" OR "tele-health" OR telediagnosis OR "remote diagnoses" OR "remote diagnosis" OR "remote diagnostics" OR "tele-diagnosis" OR telediagnoses OR telediagnostics OR telemonitoring OR "distant monitoring" OR "distant patient monitoring" OR "remote monitoring" OR "remote patient monitoring" OR "tele monitoring" OR "video consultation" OR "telemedicine video-consultation" OR videoconsultation OR telepharmacy OR "tele-pharmacy" OR telenursing OR "tele-nursing" OR "digital	"home care services" OR "home care service" OR "domiciliary care" OR "home health care" OR "home care" OR "domestic health care" OR "domestic healthcare" OR "domiciliary health care" OR "domiciliary healthcare" OR "home care agencies" OR "home care program" OR "home care programme" OR "home care service" OR "home health nursing" OR "home health care nursing" OR "home healthcare" OR "home help" OR "home nursing" OR "home service" OR "home treatment" OR homecare OR "homemaker service" OR "homemaker services"

	health" OR "digital-health"	

Data sources

The search for evidence was performed in the following indicated portals and databases: LILACS; PubMed; Scopus; Web of Science; CINAHL and Embase. Gray literature will be searched through Google Scholar and preprint repositories. The appropriate strategy will be applied to each of them, and the title and abstract of all identified studies will be evaluated based on the established criteria after removing duplicates.

Step 3: Selecting the studies

The study selection process will be guided by the steps proposed in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-P) for white and gray literature, which are: (1) identification; (2) screening; (3) eligibility; and

(4) inclusion, which will be presented in detail in the review selection diagram.

The selection process of publications belonging to the gray literature will follow the guidelines recommended by Godin et al. [28], with specific strategies for searches on Google Scholar and Preprints repositories. Combinations of the following groups of search terms will be used: Aged OR elderly OR "middle age" OR "old people" OR "very elderly" AND Telemedicine OR teleconsultation OR "electronic consultation" OR "remote consultation" OR telehealth AND "home health care" OR "home care". The search terms and the number of results retrieved for each gray literature search strategy will be recorded and will follow the other proposed selection steps. The results from Google Scholar will be sorted by relevance and the first hundred will be included in the screening.

Publications will be selected when they describe information about at least one digital health strategy used in the context of home-based primary care for older adults. Reference lists of studies will be consulted for verification of additional publications.

Studies selected by title and abstract will be retrieved in full and, after reading the full text and building the final review sample, data will be extracted by the two independent reviewers, highlighting all reasons for exclusion when necessary and the entire selection process, eligibility, inclusion and reasons for exclusions will be presented in a specific flowchart [19].

Identified studies will be grouped in the Mendeley reference manager and duplicates removed. The Rayyan software program will be used in the evaluation of studies by titles and abstracts to assist in blinding the reviewers [29] and any differences between the two reviewers (ISS and AJA) will be discussed with a third reviewer (SACU).

Potentially relevant studies will be retrieved in full and exported to a database in the Microsoft Excel® program. The full text will be evaluated in detail according to the eligibility criteria and the reasons for exclusion of studies will be recorded and reported in the review report.

A pilot test will be carried out with all authors of the protocol before starting data collection in order to ensure alignment in the selection process. Each author will randomly sample 25 titles and abstracts in one data source, then screen them using eligibility criteria. Afterwards, the team will meet to discuss the discrepancies and make necessary changes to the criteria and definitions. Screening will only start when 75% or

more similarity is achieved [22].

Inclusion criteria

Only publications which address digital health strategies, used worldwide in the context of home-based care for older adults, available in full, which answer the study questions (Table 2), and address information on how digital health strategies are being used in home-based primary care for older adults will be considered.

The following will be included:

a) Primary studies.

b) Gray literature, including government manuals, reports and documents, as well as dissertations and theses.

Time filters will not be applied to the searches, as the search strategies will contain descriptors and terms referring to digital health, which in itself restricts the intended context. Searches will be updated one week before submitting the article to a scientific journal.

Exclusion criteria

As this is a review with a broad scope of research questions, the exclusion criteria will be publications with inconsistent results, which do not answer the questions, other reviews, editorials, brief communications and theoretical essays.

Step 4: Extracting and codifying data

Data from evidence sources will be extracted and included if they align with the objectives and research question of the scoping review. Data related to the included studies will be extracted by two independent reviewers to reduce the chance of errors and biases, using a data extraction form based on the JBI model and adapted by the authors (According to Appendix II).

Data such as the research characteristics, country, study design and year of publication will be extracted, and specific data related to the objective of this research, such as characterizing the dependence level of the older adult and evaluating the impact of the use of digital health strategies in the quality of home-based primary care. The instrument can receive updates during the research to obtain a deeper understanding of the theme, as according to Peters et al. [20].

Step 5: Analyzing and interpreting the results

Simple descriptive statistics (absolute and percentage frequencies) will be used to analyze quantitative data with the help of the SPSS V.24 software program. Qualitative data analysis will be guided by thematic analysis. The type of study and the evidence level of the study design will also be analyzed [30].

This step will be divided into three others, according to Levac [19], namely: data analysis; exposure of results linked to research questions; and interpreting the implications of the results for other research and services.

All results will be discussed with the relevant literature. The evidence synthesis will be presented in a descriptive format through tables, diagrams, thematic maps to better visualize the results found, and if it is possible to combine studies, a meta-analysis of the information will be carried out. A narrative summary will follow the mapped data, and report how the results relate to the review objective and questions.

Summary of evidence, conclusions, implications of findings

The main results will be summarized (including an overview of the concepts, themes and types of evidence available), the research questions and the objective should be answered based on the results found. Expectations about the implications of the findings on digital health strategies and their relevance to the home-based care of older adults will be presented.

Step 6: Consulting the Stakeholders

The nine stages of review development will be completed according to Levac [19]. The results will be presented remotely (using a virtual Google Meet room that will be created by the researcher's institutional email) to a group of five (5) researchers with experience in digital health strategies aimed at home-based care, belonging to the faculty of the university to which the authors of this study are linked, in the Postgraduate Program in Public Health (PPGSCol). The procedure will include sending an individual invitation to candidates for research participants, explaining the purpose of their participation and, if they accept, they will sign the Free and Informed Consent Form. The material will be sent via individual email to each invited participant, which will be made available in .docx document format containing a summary of the scoping

review results, and a summary of the topics covered during the meeting in order to guarantee the research participant the right to access to the instrument content before answering the questions for an informed decision making. Next, two web conferences will be held after 15 days, with guests who accept to participate in the research (Must express interest by replying to the invitation email), lasting up to two hours each. Both will be scheduled to take place in a group discussion (principal researcher together with the five expert researchers) about their opinions about the evidence (Appendix III). There will be no voice or image recording.

The objectives of this strategy will be the preliminary sharing of study findings, being considered a mechanism for knowledge transfer and exchange, as well as to develop effective dissemination strategies and ideas for future studies [19].

Ethics and dissemination of the results

The study does not directly involve patients, but the stakeholder consultation was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Onofre Lopes University Hospital/Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte CAEE 54853921.0.0000.5292. The results will be presented at scientific conferences, events with stakeholders and submitted for open-access publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

The stages of the ongoing review are shown in table 3.

Table 3. Steps of the scoping review.

Step	Initiation	Conclusion
Pilot research to support the review protocol and define the research strategy	December 4, 2021	January 11, 2022
Construction of the review protocol	December 4, 2021	January 21, 2022
Protocol registration in the Open Science Framework	January 11, 2022	March 18, 2022
Selection of studies	No	No

Step	Initiation	Conclusion
Data extraction and encoding	No	No
Analysis and interpretation of the results	No	No
Consult stakeholders	No	No

Footnotes

Contributors: SAdCU proposed the study and coordinated the elaboration of the protocol. ISS developed the protocol. ISS, CRDVS, RHL, OdGBJ, AJA, RCF, LVL and SdACU participated in the discussion of the theoretical and methodological aspects of the study. CRDVS, ISS and RHL conducted the pilot searches to substantiate the search strategy. All authors reviewed the protocol and approved its final version for publication.

Competing interests: None declared.

Funding: This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

Patient consent for publication: Not required

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Tarricone, Rosanna; Tsouros, Agis D. (Ed.). *Home care in Europe: the solid facts*. WHO Regional Office Europe, 2008. https://conexaohomecare.com/web/Home%20Care%20in%20Europe.pdf
2. Organização das Nações Unidas. *World population prospects 2019: highlights*. Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, 2019. Disponível em: https://population.un.org/wpp/
3. Koller, D., Schön, G., Schäfer, I. et al. Multimorbidity and long-term care dependency—a five-year follow-up. *BMC Geriatr* 14, 70 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-14-70
4. World Health Organization (WHO). International Classification of functioning, disability and health: ICF. Genebra: WHO; 2001.

5. Cabrera MAS, Andrade SM, Wajngarten M. Causes of mortality in elderly people: a 9-year follow-up study. Geriatria & Gerontologia. 2007; 1(1):14-20

6. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe, European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, Genet, Nadine , Boerma, Wienke , Kroneman, Madelon . et al. (2012). *Cuidados domiciliários na Europa: estrutura atual e desafios futuros*. Organização Mundial da Saúde. Escritório Regional para a Europa. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/327948

7. Ritchie CS, Gallopyn N, Sheehan O, et al. COVID Challenges and Adaptations Among Home-Based Primary Care Practices: Lessons for an Ongoing Pandemic from a National Survey. *Jornal da Associação Americana de Diretores Médicos* (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2021.05.016

8. Daumas RP, Silva GA, Tasca R, et al. The role of primary care in the Brazilian healthcare system: limits and possibilities for fighting COVID-19. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* [online]. v. 36, n. 6 [Acessado 22 Janeiro 2022] , e00104120. Disponível em: <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00104120>. ISSN 1678-4464. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00104120.

9. Hammerschmidt, Karina, & Rosimere Ferreira Santana. Health Of The Older Adults In Times Of The Covid-19 Pandemic.*Cogitare Enfermagem* [Online], 25 (2020). Disponível em: <https://revistas.ufpr.br/cogitare/article/view/72849>. Acesso em: 17 mar. 2022. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5380/ce.v25i0.72849.

10. Volpp KG, Diamond SM, Shrank WH. Innovation in Home Care: Time for a New Payment Model. JAMA. 2020;323(24):2474–2475. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.1036

11. Franzosa E, Gorbenko K, Brody AA, et al. At Home, with Care": Lessons from New York City Home-based Primary Care Practices Managing COVID-19. *Jornal da Sociedade Americana de Geriatria* 69.2 (2021): 300-306.https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16952

12. Silva CRDV , Lopes RH , Júnior ODGB , et al. Telemedicine in primary health care for the quality of care in times of COVID-19: a scoping review protocol. *BMJ Open* 2021; 11: e046227. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-046227

13. Lapão LV, Peyroteo M, Maia M, et al. Implementation of digital monitoring services during the COVID-19 pandemic for patients With chronic diseases: design science approach..*Journal of Medical Internet Research* 23.8 (2021): e24181.https://www.jmir.org/2021/8/e24181.

14. Al-Ruzzieh MA, Ayaad O, Qaddumi B. The role of e-health in improving control and management of COVID 19 outbreak: current perspectives. Int J Adolesc Med Health. 2020 Aug 31:/j/ijamh.ahead-of-print/ijamh-2020-0072/ijamh-2020-0072.xml. doi: 10.1515/ijamh-2020-0072. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32866117.

15. World Health Organization. Regional for Africa, Committee. Framework for implementing the global strategy on digital health in the African Region. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Africa, 2021. Disponível em:

https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/348981/AFR-RC71-10-por.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

16. Donabedian A. The Quality of Medical Care: how can it be evaluated? *JAMA*. 1988;260(12):1743–1748. doi:10.1001/jama.1988.03410120089033

17. Donabedian A. An introduction to quality assurance in health care. New York: Oxford University Press, 2003. Disponível em: https://books.google.com.br/books?hl=pt-BR&lr=&id=fDSriunx6UEC&oi=fnd&pg=PR17&dq=Donabedian+A.+An+introduction+to+quality+assurance+in+health+care.+New+York:+Oxford+University+Press%3B+2003.&ots=v6zhPKGsjv&sig=jm8Ele-LowgjpbdUGwVrt0ln7Pg#v=onepage&q=Donabedian%20A.%20An%20introduction%20to%20quality%20assurance%20in%20health%20care.%20New%20York%3A%20Oxford%20University%20Press%3B%202003.&f=false

18. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. Int J Soc Res Methodol 2005;8:19–32.

19. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implementation Sci* 2010;5:69.

20. Peters, MDJ, Marnie, Tricco AC, et al.Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JBI* 19.1 (2020): 3-10. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-20-00167

21. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. "PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation." *Anais de medicina interna 169*.7 (2018): 467-473. Doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.

22. Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P. Chapter 11: Scoping reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, eds. *JBI manual for evidence synthesis*. Adelaide: JBI, 2020. https://synthesismanual.jbi.global.

23. World Health Organization. " Active ageing: a policy framework." (2005). https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/envelhecimento_ativo.pdf

24. Organização das Nações Unidas. Plano de ação internacional contra o envelhecimento, 2002/ Organização das Nações Unidas; tradução de Arlene Santos. — Brasília : Secretaria Especial dos Direitos Humanos, 2003. — 49 p. : 21 cm. – (Série Institucional em Direitos Humanos; v. 1).

25. Leftwich Beales, J., & Edes, T. Veteran's Affairs Home Based Primary Care. Clinics in Geriatric Medicine, 25(1), 149–154. (2009). doi:10.1016/j.cger.2008.11.002

26. Akhtar, S., and T. N. Pham. "A Canadian provider's handbook to home-based primary care." (2015).

27. Oliveira Araújo, W. C. Health information retrieval: construction, models and strategies. *ConCI: Convergências Em Ciência Da Informação*, Vol. 3, nº 2, julho de 2020, p. 100-34, doi:10.33467/conci.v3i2.13447

28. Godin K, Stapleton J, Kirkpatrick SI, et al. Applying systematic review search methods to the grey literature: a case study examining guidelines for school-based breakfast programs in Canada. *Syst Rev* 2015;4:1–10.

29. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, et al. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Sistema Rev* 5, 210 (2016). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4

30. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009) Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097.