Effectiveness of an Inactivated Covid-19 Vaccine with Homologous and Heterologous Boosters against Omicron in Brazil

Otavio T. Ranzani, PhD[1,2], Matt D.T. Hitchings, SD[3], Rosana Leite de Melo, PhD[4], Giovanny V. A. de França, PhD[5], Cássia de Fátima R. Fernandes, MSc[5], Margaret L Lind, PhD[6], Mario Sergio Scaramuzzini Torres, MSc[7], Daniel Henrique Tsuha[8], Leticia C. S. David[9], Rodrigo F. C. Said[9], Maria Almiron, PhD[9], Roberto D. de Oliveira, PhD[8,10], Derek A.T. Cummings, PhD[11,12]†, Natalie E Dean, PhD[13]†, Jason R. Andrews, MD[14]†, Albert I. Ko, MD[6,15]†, Julio Croda, PhD[6,8,16*]†

Affiliations:
1. Barcelona Institute for Global Health, ISGlobal, Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona; CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain
2. Pulmonary Division, Heart Institute, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculdade de Medicina, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
3. Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health & Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
4. Secretaria Extraordinária de Enfrentamento à Covid-19, Ministério da Saúde, Brasília, DF, Brazil
5. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Ministério da Saúde, Brasília, DF, Brazil
6. Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT, USA
7. Municipal Health Secretary of Manaus, AM, Brazil
8. Fiocruz Mato Grosso do Sul, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil
9. Pan American Health Organization, Brasilia, DF, Brazil
10. State University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Dourados, MS, Brazil
11. Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
12. Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
13. Department of Biostatistics & Bioinformatics, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA
14. Division of Infectious Diseases and Geographic Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA,USA
15. Instituto Gonçalo Moniz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Salvador, BA, Brazil
16. Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil
†Authors contributed equally

*Correspondence to: Prof Julio Croda, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul and Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, julio.croda@fiocruz.br

Keywords: Covid-19; vaccines; CoronaVac; BNT162b2; case-control study; booster

Word count: 4,179

Abstract

The effectiveness of inactivated vaccines (VE) against symptomatic and severe COVID-19 caused by omicron is unknown. We conducted a nationwide, test-negative, case-control study to estimate VE for homologous and heterologous (BNT162b2) booster doses in adults who received two doses of CoronaVac in Brazil in the Omicron context. Analyzing 1,386,544 matched-pairs, VE against symptomatic disease was 8.6% (95% CI, 5.6-11.5) and 56.8% (95% CI, 56.3-57.3) in the period 8-59 days after receiving a homologous and heterologous booster, respectively. During the same interval, VE against severe Covid-19 was 73.6% (95% CI, 63.9-80.7) and 86.0% (95% CI, 84.5-87.4) after receiving a homologous and heterologous booster, respectively. Waning against severe Covid-19 after 120 days was only observed after a homologous booster. Heterologous booster might be preferable to individuals with completed primary series inactivated vaccine.

Introduction

The substantial initial protection of primary series Covid-19 vaccines against moderate and severe Covid-19 has been demonstrated through randomized clinical trials and observational studies.[1–3] Since then, accumulating evidence has demonstrated the importance of waning protection following primary series completion,[4–6] and decreased effectiveness of current vaccines to variants of concern (VoC), in particular the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant.[4,7] Delineating the effectiveness of the range of booster vaccination strategies is therefore critical for guiding national and global policy.[8]

The majority of the existing vaccine effectiveness evidence is for mRNA vaccines and adenoviral vectored vaccines, both as the primary series and as booster doses,[7,9,10] leaving significant evidence gaps regarding inactivated vaccine products. Inactivated vaccines are widely used, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, and represent half of the administered doses of Covid-19 vaccines worldwide as of Jan 2022.[11] Large Omicron epidemics associated with severe cases and deaths have occurred in regions, most recently Eastern Asia, where inactivated vaccines have been extensively administered.[12] Brazil initiated booster vaccination in September 2021, after Delta VoC began to dominate in the country and three months before Omicron dominance.[5] Evidence concerning the effectiveness of inactivated vaccines with homologous or heterologous boosters is critically needed to inform vaccine policies in countries that used these vaccines in their initial rollout.

We evaluated the vaccine effectiveness of CoronaVac and BNT162b2 booster doses among Brazilian adults who completed the primary series of the CoronaVac vaccine in a nationwide test-negative case-control study. Our primary analysis focused on the period from December 25, 2021 to April 22,

2022, when circulation of the Omicron variant was predominant, and compared these findings with those from the prior period, from September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021 when the Delta variant was predominant in the country.

Methods

Study setting and design

We conducted a matched test-negative case-control study between September 6, 2021, and April 22, 2022, in Brazil. The national Covid-19 vaccination campaign started on January 17, 2021, and administration of booster doses began for the general population on September 6, 2021. The primary series used in Brazil were homologous schemes of Sinovac CoronaVac (two doses), Oxford-AstraZeneca ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (two doses), Pfizer BNT162b2 (two doses), Janssen Ad26.COV2.S (single dose), and heterologous combinations of the above products in periods of vaccine shortage. All four vaccine products were administered as a homologous or heterologous booster dose. There was no differential recommendation for which vaccine to be administered, except a suggestion for BNT162b2 if available. The booster vaccination followed an age-prioritization scheme. The interval between second and booster doses was initially six months and was subsequently shortened to four months during November 2021 in some states and nationally on December 20, 2021. The proportion of individuals with a primary series of CoronaVac who received a booster dose of Ad26.COV2.S or ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 was small; therefore we limited our analysis to booster doses of CoronaVac and BNT162b2.

Data sources

We obtained individual-level information on Covid-19 outcomes from two national surveillance databases in Brazil: e-SUS and SIVEP-Gripe. e-SUS collects information of any individual suspected

to have mild Covid-19 syndromic illnesses, including those who were not tested, tested negative and tested positive. SIVEP-Gripe collects information on any severe acute respiratory infection, including all Covid-19 hospitalizations and deaths.[3,5,13] We obtained individual-level vaccination status from the national vaccination database (SI-PNI). Notification to these three systems is compulsory in Brazil. The three databases have a unique identifier after pseudo-anonymization by the Ministry of Health. Additional information is available on **eTable 1**. We extracted eSUS, SIVEP-Gripe and SI-PNI on 29/04/2022 and used data until 22/04/2022, allowing for a one-week potential delay. This study was approved by the ethical committee for research of Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul (CAAE: 43289221.5.0000.0021)

The study population was adults (aged ≥18 years) residing in Brazil, and who underwent SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or rapid antigen testing associated with symptomatic illness[14] during the study period. We excluded individuals with missing or inconsistent information on age, sex, municipality of residence, and on vaccination and testing status and dates. We excluded RT-PCR/antigen tests that were not collected within 10 days of symptom onset to avoid potentially misclassification, positive or negative RT-PCR/antigen tests with a positive RT-PCR/antigen test in the previous 90 days to capture only incident infections and avoid a second positive test because of prolonged viral shedding, and negative RT-PCR/antigen tests with a positive RT-PCR/antigen test occurring in the following 14 days because of likely false-negative test in the first negative test. For individuals who received multiple RT-PCR or antigen tests during the study period, we included all eligible tests up to and including the first positive test (ie, the first positive test in the study period and at least 90 days prior to another positive). The number of RT-PCR/antigen tests performed during the study period in Brazil is shown in **eFigure 1**.

To assess waning of the booster doses over time since administration, we performed a separate, secondary, case-control analysis on the same study population, restricting to cases and controls who received a primary series of CoronaVac and received an RT-PCR/antigen test at least six months after their second dose, i.e. when eligible for a booster dose. The study design and matching procedure was otherwise the same.

Selection of cases and matched controls

Cases were defined as those from the study population who had Covid-19 symptoms, defined by the presence of at least one symptom: fever, sore throat, headache, cough, chills, runny nose, dyspnea, anosmia, and ageusia, and a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR/antigen test result. Eligible controls were defined as those from the study population who had Covid-19 symptoms as defined by cases, and a negative SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR/antigen test result. Finally, we excluded all RT-PCR/antigen tests that were obtained after receipt of a primary series of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, BNT162b2 or Ad26.COV2.S vaccines.

We matched each case with one control according to the age (± 10 years), sex, municipality of residence, variant period, and RT-PCR/antigen test sample collection date (± 10 days). The algorithm used for the continuous variables (age and test sample collection date) was nearest neighbor matching. After identification of each case, we randomly chose one control from the set of all eligible matching controls, allowing for replacement of controls. We performed a sensitivity analysis on the matching approach by creating strata of unique combinations of the matching factors (age category in 10 years band, sex, municipality of residence, variant period and week of testing). The numbers of cases and controls per stratum are not pre-specified, and strata with no cases, or with no controls, were excluded. This leads to varying ratios of cases to controls. This was

done to use all available information, reducing how often unmatched cases or controls needed to be discarded and no case or control appears in more than one stratum, thus dealing with the potential issue related to replacement. To improve computational performance of the models while retaining the majority of cases, large strata were reduced in size by dividing into smaller strata. In strata with more controls than cases, each stratum allowed a case to be matched to up to ten controls, and vice versa. For strata with at least ten times as many controls as cases, excess controls were discarded, and vice versa. In this way, strata sizes varied from two to eleven.[15]

Statistical analysis

We estimated the vaccine effectiveness of booster doses of CoronaVac and BNT162b2 against symptomatic Covid-19 in the 0-7 days, 8-59 days and ≥60 days after the booster dose. We also estimated the vaccine effectiveness of a booster dose against Covid-19 hospitalization and/or death by restricting the analysis population to case-control pairs in which the case was hospitalized or died.[3,5,16,17] Symptomatic Covid-19 includes mild and severe cases. Severe Covid-19 was defined as hospital admission and death with severe acute respiratory infection due to SARS-CoV-2 (positive RT-PCR/Antigen test). For the analyses of symptomatic and severe Covid-19, we considered the date of respiratory sample collection as the date of the event. There are several choices of controls for severe outcomes, including community non-syndromic controls, community syndromic controls, and hospitalized test-negative controls with or without symptoms.[16,18,19] Each has their advantages and disadvantages in how well they represent the source population in their uptake of Covid-19 vaccination. We choose a priori to use community and hospitalized syndromic controls as we agreed these are the controls that better represent the vaccination status in the Brazilian setting. Additionally, we chose syndromic controls to reduce the bias in testing behaviour,[20] as those tested in the absence of symptoms are more likely to be part of special groups of individuals (e.g.,

healthcare workers). The reference group was unvaccinated individuals. For the secondary analysis assessing waning effectiveness, we estimated the relative vaccine effectiveness (rVE),[7,21] using booster eligible (≥180 days after the second dose) CoronaVac recipients as the reference group, and stratified the time since booster administration by 8-59 days, 60-89 days, 90-119 days and ≥120 days. We used RT-PCR/Antigen test respiratory samples to define cases and controls in any effectiveness analyses. Cases and controls that were not linked to the vaccination database were ascertained as unvaccinated.

We used conditional logistic regression to estimate the adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of vaccination comparing cases and controls, and (1−aOR)*100 provided an estimate of vaccine effectiveness under the assumptions of a test negative design.[16] Because age is a strong determinant of Covid-19 outcomes, we adjusted for age (as a continuous variable, modeled with a restricted cubic spline) after matching to control for potential residual confounding within age bands. We also adjusted for chronic comorbidities (including cardiovascular, renal, diabetes, chronic respiratory disorder, obesity, or immunosuppression, categorized as 0, 1, and ≥2 comorbidities), self-reported race, and any previous symptomatic event that were reported to the surveillance systems (categorized as 0, and ≥1). Prior SARS-CoV-2 exposure is defined as notified acute respiratory infection or positive SARS-CoV-2 test result prior to the sampled RT-PCR/Antigen test. This variable is our best surrogate of previously confirmed or suspected infection of SARS-CoV-2. We considered the vaccine effectiveness estimates for the 0-13 days after the first dose as a “bias indicator”, because it is expected that vaccines have no or limited effectiveness for this period.[22]

We conducted an analysis of vaccine effectiveness within age subgroups (<60, 60-74 and vs ≥75 years old) by adding an interaction term with the vaccination category. Because the analysis period

incorporated a Delta (B.1.617.2) (September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021) and Omicron (December 25, 2021 to April 22, 2022) period, we conducted separate analyses in each time period. We defined the end of the Delta period as when national Omicron VoC prevalence amongst sequenced genomes reached 25% and the beginning of the Omicron period as when the prevalence reached 75% in the GISAID database.[23] We conducted the same analyses using only RT-PCR tests as a sensitivity analysis, to address potential misclassification. Finally, in a post-hoc sensitivity analysis, we evaluated vaccine effectiveness in the main analysis population further adjusting by month of second dose as a factor in the model.

All analyses were done in R (v.4.1.2).[24]

Results

Descriptive Characteristics

During the study period, there was a low incidence of Covid-19 cases and hospital admissions or deaths during the Delta wave compared to earlier periods in Brazil, until the end of December 2021, which corresponded to the introduction and spread of the Omicron variant (**Figure 1**). During Omicron period, 97.3% of samples were BA.1 (97.3%) followed by BA.2 (2.7%) according to the GISAID data. Across all age groups in Brazil, on April 22, 2022, coverage was 83.7% for the first vaccine dose, 77.1% for second doses, and 40.7% for boosters (**Figure 1**).

After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, there were 3,548,209 RT-PCR/antigen tests from 3,320,429 individuals eligible for matching for the primary analysis. After matching one control per case, with replacement, the analysis population was 3,094,478 RT-PCR/antigen tests from 2,107,696 individuals for the primary analysis (**eFigure 2**). Controls were matched to multiple cases

a mean 2.7 ± 4, median of 2 (IQR: 1-3) times. The characteristics for the selected case-control sets for CoronaVac during the Delta and Omicron periods is shown in **Table 1**. Among severe Covid-19, 88% (54,307/61,647) of patients had at least one sign/symptom of respiratory distress (dyspnoea, hypoxaemia, respiratory discomfort); 77% (46,739/60,919) of patients received either non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation. During the omicron period, these numbers were 87% (36,702/42,195) and 75% (31,151/41,714) respectively. The characteristics of those who received an homologous or heterologous booster are shown on **eTable 2**.

Vaccine effectiveness estimates

Vaccine effectiveness estimates for two doses of CoronaVac, and for a booster dose of CoronaVac and BNT162b2, are displayed in **Figure 2** and in **eTable 3**. Relative to the Delta period, the Omicron period was associated with a substantial decrease in vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic disease for the primary series of CoronaVac (VE ≥180 days after second dose 34.0%, 95% CI 32.3 to 35.7; in the Delta period; compared to 6.3%, 95% CI 5.3 to 7.3, during the Omicron period). During the Omicron period, vaccine effectiveness 8-59 days after a homologous booster was 8.6% (95% CI, 5.6 to 11.5) against symptomatic Covid-19 and 73.6% (95% CI, 63.9 to 80.7) against severe Covid-19 and for a BNT162b2 booster, vaccine effectiveness was 56.8% (95% CI, 56.3 to 57.3) against symptomatic and 86.0% (95% CI, 84.5 to 87.4) against severe Covid-19.

We observed lower vaccine effectiveness against hospitalization or death in individuals aged ≥75 years, compared to younger individuals, for a primary series of CoronaVac, a CoronaVac booster, and for a BNT162b2 booster (**Table 2**). However, vaccine effectiveness against hospitalization and death was significantly higher in individuals aged ≥75 years who received a heterologous BNT162b2 booster than a homologous CoronaVac booster ≥60 days of booster dose (78.5% vs 51.4%,

respectively). Vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic disease was overall lower than severe COVID-19 across age groups and an age-related trend was not discernable.

A total of 1,240,266 RT-PCR/antigen tests out of 1,308,364 eligible were selected into matched case-control pairs for the waning vaccine effectiveness in the Omicron period (**eTable 4**). Administration of a CoronaVac booster was associated with an increased VE against hospitalization or death relative to individuals who received their second dose ≥180 days previously (Table 3; rVE 8-59 days after third dose 47.1%, 95% CI 27.8 to 61.2), but minimal increase in VE against symptomatic disease (rVE 8-59 days after booster dose 4.9%, 95% CI 1.5 to 8.1). In addition, the additional protection gained by the booster dose against hospitalization or death waned after three months (rVE 90-119 days after booster dose 23.5%, 95% CI 12.4 to 33.1; rVE ≥120 days after booster dose 20.7%, 95% CI 10.1 to 30.0). In contrast, a BNT162b2 booster was associated with substantial increase in protection against hospitalization or death that was maintained for at least four months (**Table 3**; rVE ≥120 days after booster dose 62.8%, 95% CI 59.3 to 65.9). The gain in VE against symptomatic disease was lower for the homologous booster and appeared to wane over time for homologous and heterologous booster (**Table 3**).

A sensitivity analysis for the matching strategy obtained comparable estimates to the main analysis (**eTable 5**, **eTable 6**, and **eTable 7**), as after adjusting for month of the second dose (**eTable 8**), as a sensitivity analysis that was restricted to RT-PCR tests only (**eFigure 3**, **eTable 9**) obtained comparable estimates to the main analysis (**eTable 10**, **eTable 11**, **eTable 12** and **eTable 13**).

Discussion

In this large observational study, we observed substantially lower effectiveness of a primary series of CoronaVac, and of a homologous CoronaVac and heterologous BNT162b2 booster dose, against symptomatic Covid-19 during an Omicron-dominated period compared to a Delta-dominated period. Effectiveness against severe outcomes was more similar between the two periods. In addition, a homologous booster dose conferred no additional protection against symptomatic disease during the Omicron-dominated period, and a moderate increase in protection against severe disease. Of note, the increased protection afforded by a homologous booster against severe disease does waned during the four month period after its administration. In contrast, the effectiveness of a heterologous BNT162b2 booster dose was substantially higher against symptomatic and severe disease, and protection against severe disease appeared to be durable up to four months.

Our findings have immediate implications for the current suggestion to administer homologous booster doses of inactivated vaccines in the context of the current global spread of the Omicron variant.[8] There was overall a small benefit of a homologous booster and, for individuals aged ≥75 years, both the primary series and homologous booster afforded limited protection against severe disease (40-50%). However, a heterologous booster dose of BNT162b2 afforded a substantial increase in protection against severe disease in all age groups, including the elderly with age ≥75 years, compared to the primary series and some protection against symptomatic disease, albeit of uncertain duration. Although the direct comparison between homologous and heterologous booster is not straightforward because of the potential confounding factors between the individuals who received each vaccine (eTable 2), the main differences are region of residence and age, both factors accounted for in our adjustment. Additionally, based on the literature and magnitude of difference on the estimated VEs, it is unlikely the difference to be due to residual confounding.

These findings suggest that the use of homologous CoronaVac as an option for booster doses,[8] may need to be revisited, as preference to heterologous booster doses may be crucial to reducing morbidity and mortality associated with Omicron epidemics. Further research should investigate combinations of heterologous booster doses other than BNT162b2, including non-mRNA vaccines.

The reduced effectiveness of primary vaccination with CoronaVac and subsequent boosting schemes was observed primarily for symptomatic to moderate cases during the Omicron period. Low neutralizing antibody responses against the Omicron variant have been observed in individuals receiving two doses of CoronaVac[25–27] and three doses of CoronaVac.[25,28] A BNT162b2 booster dose has been shown to increase neutralizing antibodies against Omicron compared to a primary series of CoronaVac,[25,28] and to a higher level than individuals who received a primary series of BNT162b2.[27] The protection against severe disease for inactivated vaccines observed in this study speaks to the gaps in understanding of correlates of protection against severe disease, with a decoupling between measured neutralizing antibodies and clinical protection. This disparity has been observed for the primary series of CoronaVac, with moderate-to-high levels of protection against severe disease maintained beyond six months[5] despite the lack of detectable neutralizing antibodies during this period.[29]

Our findings on the effectiveness against severe Covid-19 of homologous and heterologous booster doses during the Delta period is consistent with a previous test-negative study in Brazil[5] and with a cohort study from Chile conducted during the same Delta period.[30] For the Omicron period, our estimates are consistent with an ecological study from Hong Kong regarding the effectiveness of a primary vaccination with CoronaVac.[12] However, our estimates of vaccine effectiveness against severe disease for a homologous booster are lower than reported the study in Hong Kong. The

population seroprevalence in Brazil is higher than in Hong Kong, meaning that there is likely more infection-derived immunity in unvaccinated individuals, leading to lower VE estimates in this context. In addition, differences in study design, time of follow-up, non-pharmaceutical interventions in place during the Omicron outbreak in Hong Kong, and limited sample size for severe disease in the Hong Kong study could introduce differences.[12]

There was evidence for waning of effectiveness against symptomatic disease for homologous and heterologous boosters, and against severe disease for a homologous booster dose after three months during the Omicron period. This finding is consistent with numerous studies of primary series vaccination,[4–6] and with more recent studies of booster dose effectiveness over time.[7,31] In this study, we attempted to mitigate this bias by estimating relative VE over time since booster dose administration. We chose to evaluate waning using the reference group of those ≥180 days of second dose, assuming the waning from this period is slow or minimal. Where appreciable waning ≥180 days of second dose occurs, the interpretation of waning from rVE could be limited, because we would compare waning of booster against waning of second dose. Studies designed to identify and mitigate such biases should be prioritized to estimate the extent and timescale of waning effectiveness.[15,33]

We observed “negative” VE for some vaccination groups of homologous booster particularly for the Omicron period and homologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19. This phenomenon has been observed in some VE studies against Covid-19 and it is likely related to uncontrolled bias.[34] We observed “negative” VE after some time from the vaccine, likely relating the bias driven by those early adopters or a widespread attack rate during Omicron surge. Additionally, some modeling suggests increased contact between vaccinated individuals associated with low VE could explain

“negative” VE.[35] Other unexpected finding is the lower VE in the groups <75 y compared to ≥75 y against symptomatic COVID-19. Differences in risk behaviour between age groups during the Omicron surge could explain these findings, making higher attack rates among the young and decreasing VE.[36] This phenomenon was not observed for VE against severe Covid-19.

There are several strengths of our study. We used a nationwide database resulting in a large sample size and geographical coverage. We applied a matched test-negative design, including matching by time of epidemic and each one of 5,570 Brazilian municipalities. Finally, the timing of the booster campaign in Brazil together with the size and extent of the Omicron epidemic afforded us an opportunity to analyze a large population with three vaccine doses during an Omicron-dominated period, providing effectiveness estimates with relatively high precision even in age subgroups and over time.

Some limitations should be acknowledged. The data available for this study was collected as part of Brazil's passive surveillance efforts for Covid-19, so important covariates may be missing or incomplete. As usually done in population-based studies with record linkage, we considered those individuals not linked to the vaccination database as unvaccinated, so we can have some degree of misclassification on vaccination status. We did not expect a relevant proportion of misclassification because the databases are centrally managed by the same data guarantor and we excluded few inconsistencies between and within databases. The distribution of RT-PCR tests and antigen tests, which have different sensitivity, changed over the course of the study period, which could have led to a decrease in estimated VE during the Omicron period through misclassification. However, a sensitivity analysis restricted to RT-PCR tests produced similar results. In the same topic, a higher

vaccine effectiveness was observed in the main analysis against symptomatic Covid-19 during Omicron period (VE: 24.6%) in the period 0-13 days after the first dose ("bias indicator") compared with the estimate n the sensitivity analysis restricted to RT-PCR tests (VE: 4.6%), showing evidence for potential misclassification of rapid antigen tests. In all analyses the overall vaccine effectiveness estimates were consistent and we don't expect these biases would change considerably the message of this study. In addition, the test-negative controls may have been different during the Omicron and Delta periods, which could explain some of the difference in VE estimates. In particular, a higher proportion of controls were hospitalized or died during the Delta period (**Table 1**), implying either that other pathogens with severe outcomes were circulating during that period, or that less testing was being done in the outpatient setting during the Delta period. VE estimates in the primary analysis could be biased downwards due to accrual of undetected infection in unvaccinated individuals.[20,32] However, if the additional protection by the vaccine on those with previous infection are proportional to the added protection in those naive of infection, the bias could be minimal.. We tried to adjust for it by using the proxy indication of previous COVID-19 likely illness, and at the same time immunity acquired by natural infection is less protective against Omicron. Our study is observational and so the VE is subject to confounding,[37] given the bias indicator for the Delta period is close to 0, and for Omicron is significantly different from 0 for symptomatic cases, our VE estimates for the Omicron period appear to be more affected by bias.22 Additionally, the direct comparison between homologous and heterologous booster doses is not straightforward because there is a possibility for differences in risk by those who were offered and uptake of each booster type. However, we have controlled for important confounders (in particular age, location, time of test, comorbidities), and the difference in effectiveness observed between homologous and heterologous boosters is very unlikely to be explained by unmeasured confounding alone. Nevertheless, our results could be biased through this mechanism, and the

direction of bias is unclear. Finally, differences in effectiveness and waning patterns by age could be driven by other factors, including occupational exposure (e.g., health care workers) and personal risk mitigation behavior.[15]

Overall, we found that primary vaccination with two doses of the CoronaVac vaccine provided 40-50% effectiveness against severe Covid-19 outcomes during the Omicron epidemic in Brazil, although effectiveness against symptomatic disease was close to zero. While a homologous booster afforded little additional protection, a heterologous booster dose of BNT162b2 restored high effectiveness against severe Covid-19, and moderate effectiveness against symptomatic disease up to four months.

Contributors: All authors conceived the study. DATC, NED, JRA, AIK, and JC contributed equally as senior authors. OTR completed analyses with guidance from MDTH, MLL, DATC, NED, JRA, AIK, and JC. MSST, DHT, LCSD, MDTH and OTR curated and validated the data. OTR and MDTH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. RLM, GVAF, CFRF, MA, RFCS and RS provided supervision. All authors contributed to, and approved, the final manuscript. OTR and JC had verified the data. JC is the guarantor. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Declaration of interests: We declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Pan American Health Organization for its support.

Funding: No external funding was provided for this study. OTR is funded by a Sara Borrell fellowship (CD19/00110) from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III. OTR acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa 2019-2023 programme (CEX2018-000806-S) and from the Generalitat de Catalunya through the Centres de Recerca de Catalunya (CERCA) programme. DATC and MDH report a contract from Merck (to the University of Florida) for research unrelated to this manuscript. These institutions had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

Data availability: Deidentified databases will be deposited in the repository https://github.com/juliocroda/VebraCOVID-19

Code availability: Code used to perform conduct statistical analysis will be available in the repository https://github.com/juliocroda/VebraCOVID-19

References

1. Voysey, M. et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. Lancet 397, 99–111 (2021).

2. Polack, F. P. et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 383, 2603–2615 (2020).

3. Ranzani, O. T. et al. Effectiveness of the CoronaVac vaccine in older adults during a gamma variant associated epidemic of covid-19 in Brazil: test negative case-control study. BMJ n2015 (2021) doi:10.1136/bmj.n2015.

4. Accorsi, E. K. et al. Association Between 3 Doses of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine and Symptomatic Infection Caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta Variants. JAMA 327, 639 (2022).

5. Cerqueira-Silva, T. et al. Vaccine effectiveness of heterologous CoronaVac plus BNT162b2 in Brazil. Nat Med (2022) doi:10.1038/s41591-022-01701-w.

6. Chemaitelly, H. et al. Waning of BNT162b2 Vaccine Protection against SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Qatar. N Engl J Med 385, e83 (2021).

7. Andrews, N. et al. Covid-19 Vaccine Effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variant. N Engl J Med NEJMoa2119451 (2022) doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2119451.

8. Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization - SAGE (WHO). Highlights from the Meeting of the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) on Immunization, 4-7 October 2021. (2021).

9. Abu-Raddad, L. J. et al. Effect of mRNA Vaccine Boosters against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Infection in Qatar. N Engl J Med NEJMoa2200797 (2022) doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2200797.

10. Feikin, D. R. et al. Duration of effectiveness of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease: results of a systematic review and meta-regression. The Lancet 399, 924–944 (2022).

11. Dolgin, E. Omicron thwarts some of the world's most-used COVID vaccines. Nature 601, 311–311 (2022).

12. McMenamin, M. E. et al. Vaccine effectiveness of one, two, and three doses of BNT162b2 and CoronaVac against COVID-19 in Hong Kong: a population-based observational study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases S1473309922003450 (2022) doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00345-0.

13. Bastos, L. S. et al. COVID-19 and hospitalizations for SARI in Brazil: a comparison up to the 12th epidemiological week of 2020. Cad. Saúde Pública 36, e00070120 (2020).

14. Brazilian Ministry of Health. Epidemiologic Surveillance Guide. National Emergency of Public Health Concern due to the COVID-19 disease.

15. Hitchings, M. D. T. et al. Change in covid-19 risk over time following vaccination with CoronaVac: test negative case-control study. BMJ e070102 (2022) doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-070102.

16. Sullivan, S. G., Tchetgen Tchetgen, E. J. & Cowling, B. J. Theoretical Basis of the Test-Negative Study Design for Assessment of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. Am. J. Epidemiol. 184, 345–353 (2016).

17. Andrejko, K. L. et al. Prevention of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) by mRNA-Based Vaccines Within the General Population of California. Clinical Infectious Diseases 74, 1382–1389 (2022).

18. Chua, H. et al. The Use of Test-negative Controls to Monitor Vaccine Effectiveness: A Systematic Review of Methodology. Epidemiology 31, 43–64 (2020).

19. Foppa, I. M. et al. The case test-negative design for studies of the effectiveness of influenza vaccine in inpatient settings. Int. J. Epidemiol. dyw022 (2016) doi:10.1093/ije/dyw022.

20. Lewnard, J. A. et al. Theoretical Framework for Retrospective Studies of the Effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines. Epidemiology 32, 508–517 (2021).

21. Thompson, M. G. et al. Effectiveness of Covid-19 Vaccines in Ambulatory and Inpatient Care Settings. N Engl J Med 385, 1355–1371 (2021).

22. Hitchings, M. D. T. et al. Use of Recently Vaccinated Individuals to Detect Bias in Test-Negative Case–Control Studies of COVID-19 Vaccine Effectiveness. Epidemiology 33, 450–456 (2022).

23. GISAID - hCov19 Variants. Export 09/05/2022. https://www.gisaid.org/hcov19-variants/.

24. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (2021).

25. Cheng, S. M. S. et al. Neutralizing antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant BA.1 following homologous and heterologous CoronaVac or BNT162b2 vaccination. Nat Med 28, 486–489 (2022).

26. Lu, L. et al. Neutralization of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Omicron Variant by Sera From BNT162b2 or CoronaVac Vaccine Recipients. Clinical Infectious Diseases ciab1041 (2021) doi:10.1093/cid/ciab1041.

27. Pérez-Then, E. et al. Neutralizing antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron variants following heterologous CoronaVac plus BNT162b2 booster vaccination. Nat Med 28, 481–485 (2022).

28. Costa Clemens, S. A. et al. Heterologous versus homologous COVID-19 booster vaccination in previous recipients of two doses of CoronaVac COVID-19 vaccine in Brazil (RHH-001): a phase 4, non-inferiority, single blind, randomised study. The Lancet 399, 521–529 (2022).

29. Zeng, G. et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a third dose of CoronaVac, and immune persistence of a two-dose schedule, in healthy adults: interim results from two single-centre,

double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 clinical trials. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 22, 483–495 (2022).

30. Jara, A. et al. Effectiveness of homologous and heterologous booster doses for an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine: a large-scale prospective cohort study. The Lancet Global Health 10, e798–e806 (2022).

31. Ferdinands, J. M. et al. Waning 2-Dose and 3-Dose Effectiveness of mRNA Vaccines Against COVID-19–Associated Emergency Department and Urgent Care Encounters and Hospitalizations Among Adults During Periods of Delta and Omicron Variant Predominance — VISION Network, 10 States, August 2021–January 2022. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 71, 255–263 (2022).

32. Lipsitch, M., Goldstein, E., Ray, G. T. & Fireman, B. Depletion-of-susceptibles bias in influenza vaccine waning studies: how to ensure robust results. Epidemiol. Infect. 147, e306 (2019).

33. Kahn, R., Schrag, S. J., Verani, J. R. & Lipsitch, M. Identifying and Alleviating Bias Due to Differential Depletion of Susceptible People in Postmarketing Evaluations of COVID-19 Vaccines. American Journal of Epidemiology 191, 800–811 (2022).

34. Hansen, C. H. et al. Vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection with the Omicron or Delta variants following a two-dose or booster BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 vaccination series: A Danish cohort study. http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.20.21267966 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.20.21267966.

35. Bodner, K., Knight, J., Hamilton, M. A. & Mishra, S. Higher contact among vaccinated can be a mechanism for negative vaccine effectiveness. http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.04.25.22274266 (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.04.25.22274266.

36. Kaslow, D. C. Force of infection: a determinant of vaccine efficacy? npj Vaccines 6, 51 (2021).

37. Dean, N. E., Hogan, J. W. & Schnitzer, M. E. Covid-19 Vaccine Effectiveness and the Test-Negative Design. N Engl J Med 385, 1431–1433 (2021).

Figure 1. Times series of Covid-19 cases and Covid-19 hospital admissions or deaths, variants of concern prevalence and vaccination coverage in Brazil from Sep 2021 to Apr 2022.



Daily prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 variants among genotyped isolates were obtained from the GISAID (global initiative on sharing avian influenza data) database (extraction on 09 May 2022), selecting samples from Brazil. Green represents Delta prevalence, pink area represents Omicron prevalence and gray area represents others. Second dose coverage includes a single dose of Ad26.COV2.S. MA - moving averages.

Figure 2. Adjusted vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic Covid-19 (A) and Covid-19 hospitalization or death (B) of primary two-dose vaccination with CoronaVac and subsequent booster vaccination with CoronaVac and BNT162b2 compared to unvaccinated individuals, according to days since receiving the last vaccine dose.

Table 1. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case test negative pairs for the analysis of vaccine effectiveness during the Delta period (September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021) and the Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to Apr 22, 2022)

	Matched pairs for Delta period			**Matched pairs for Omicron period**		
	Controls (n=160,695)	**Cases (n=160,695)**	**SMD**	**Controls (n=1,386,544)**	**Cases (n=1,386,544)**	**SMD**
Demographics						
Age, mean (SD), years	45.3 (19.7)	45.5 (19.8)	0.011	42.6 (18.7)	42.9 (18.9)	0.019
Age categories, n (%)			0.039			0.052
18-39 years	80624 (50.2)	78822 (49.1)		788973 (56.9)	770276 (55.6)	
40-59 years	33251 (20.7)	35150 (21.9)		279260 (20.1)	297263 (21.4)	
60-79 years	40746 (25.4)	39948 (24.9)		276213 (19.9)	267538 (19.3)	
≥80 years	6074 (3.8)	6775 (4.2)		42098 (3.0)	51467 (3.7)	
Male sex, n (%)	74312 (46.2)	74312 (46.2)	<0.001	576604 (41.6)	576604 (41.6)	<0.001
Self-reported race[†], n (%)'			0.029			0.052
White/Branca	68661 (42.7)	69853 (43.5)		604233 (43.6)	620750 (44.8)	
Brown/Pardo	50272 (31.3)	48671 (30.3)		423463 (30.5)	405572 (29.3)	
Black/Preta	6304 (3.9)	6062 (3.8)		57866 (4.2)	51664 (3.7)	
Yellow/ Amarela	1969 (1.2)	2203 (1.4)		25547 (1.8)	23496 (1.7)	
Indigenous/Indigena	1171 (0.7)	1358 (0.8)		5189 (0.4)	2983 (0.2)	
Missing	32318 (20.1)	32548 (20.3)		270246 (19.5)	282079 (20.3)	

Region of residence			<0.001			<0.001
North	11901 (7.4)	11901 (7.4)		67977 (4.9)	67977 (4.9)	
Northeast	21128 (13.1)	21128 (13.1)		200629 (14.5)	200629 (14.5)	
Central-West	14865 (9.3)	14865 (9.3)		108722 (7.8)	108722 (7.8)	
Southeast	73931 (46.0)	73931 (46.0)		743956 (53.7)	743956 (53.7)	
South	38870 (24.2)	38870 (24.2)		265260 (19.1)	265260 (19.1)	
Reported number of chronic comorbidities[‡], n (%)			0.058			0.012
None	141535 (88.1)	138508 (86.2)		1281876 (92.5)	1285339 (92.7)	
One or two	18254 (11.4)	20972 (13.1)		101645 (7.3)	97797 (7.1)	
Three or more	906 (0.6)	1215 (0.8)		3023 (0.2)	3408 (0.2)	
Prior SARS-CoV-2 exposure						
Previous symptomatic events notified to the surveillance system[¶], n (%)	41632 (25.9)	28920 (18.0)	0.192	336835 (24.3)	345715 (24.9)	0.015
Positive SARS-CoV-2 test result[††], n (%)	9159 (5.7)	2594 (1.6)	0.219	92402 (6.7)	66049 (4.8)	0.082
Interval between symptoms onset and RT-PCR/Antigen testing, median (p25-p75), days	3 [2, 5]	3 [2,5]	0.064	3 [2,4]	3 [2,4]	0.140
Hospitalization or death	7910 (4.9)	18922 (11.8)	0.250	22307 (1.6)	35807 (2.6)	0.252
Vaccination status			0.313			0.206
Not vaccinated, n (%)	36058 (22.4)	50002 (31.1)		165850 (12.0)	197214 (14.2)	
Primary vaccination with CoronaVac						

Single dose, 0-13 days, n (%)	2104 (1.3)	2606 (1.6)		1705 (0.1)	1472 (0.1)	
Single dose, ≥14 days, n (%)	13330 (8.3)	13497 (8.4)		74669 (5.4)	71579 (5.2)	
Two doses, 0-13 days, n (%)	4291 (2.7)	3678 (2.3)		3306 (0.2)	2991 (0.2)	
Two doses, 14-89 days, n (%)	30019 (18.7)	24131 (15.0)		57216 (4.1)	50099 (3.6)	
Two doses, 90-179 days, n (%)	37211 (23.2)	35791 (22.3)		385196 (27.8)	431676 (31.1)	
Two doses, ≥180 days, n (%)	24162 (15.0)	25515 (15.9)		134379 (9.7)	161176 (11.6)	
Booster vaccination						
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days, n (%)	104 (0.1)	103 (0.1)		1111 (0.1)	1170 (0.1)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days, n (%)	539 (0.3)	466 (0.3)		7666 (0.6)	8589 (0.6)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days, n (%)	71 (0.0)	81 (0.1)		21364 (1.5)	27847 (2.0)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days, n (%)	1977 (1.2)	1945 (1.2)		18549 (1.3)	18486 (1.3)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days, n (%)	10259 (6.4)	2654 (1.7)		137230 (9.9)	75833 (5.5)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days, n (%)	570 (0.4)	226 (0.1)		378303 (27.3)	338412 (24.4)	
Interval between first dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	33 [20, 63]	33 [19, 63]	0.004	137 [93, 166]	141 [99, 171]	0.068
Interval between second dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	140 [58, 180]	147 [70, 186]	0.109	139 [117, 174]	142 [119, 179]	0.061
Interval between third dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	22 [11, 38]	10 [6, 30]	0.325	86 [51, 105]	91 [64, 109]	0.177

RT-PCR=reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SMD=standardized mean difference; SD=standard deviation; † Race/skin colour as defined by the Brazilian national census bureau (Instituto Nacional de Geografia e Estatísticas). ‡ Comorbidities included cardiovascular, or renal conditions, diabetes, chronic respiratory disorder, obesity, or immunosuppression. ¶ Reported illness with covid-19 associated symptoms in eSUS and SIVEP-Gripe databases before the start of study on 06 September 2021.. †† Defined as a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or antigen detection test result before the start of study on 06 September 2021.

Table 2. Effectiveness of homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 and Covid-19 hospital admissions or deaths in adults stratified by age during Omicron period in Brazil

	<60 years		60-74 years		≥75 years	
Symptomatic	Controls/Cases	VE (95% CI)	Controls/Cases	VE (95% CI)	Controls/Cases	VE (95% CI)
Not vaccinated	149451/172793	Reference	12394/17467	Reference	4005/6954	Reference
Two doses, ≥180 days	84833/102591	0.8% (-0.4-2)	34007/39122	22% (19.8-24.2)	15539/19463	28.1% (24.7-31.3)
Homologous booster						
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	6058/6725	8.1% (4.6-11.4)	1238/1493	12.2% (4.7-19)	370/371	37.1% (26.7-46)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days	2578/3567	-10.5% (-15.1--5.7)	7352/9141	6.7% (2.7-10.5)	11434/15139	13.2% (8.8-17.5)
Heterologous booster						
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	108819/57507	57.3% (56.8-57.9)	23479/15053	57.9% (56.5-59.3)	4932/3273	62.5% (60.2-64.7)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days	183245/156962	31.8% (31.1-32.5)	130148/116955	41.8% (40.3-43.3)	64910/64495	45% (42.7-47.3)
Hospitalization or Death						
Not vaccinated	1169/2894	Reference	693/2108	Reference	877/2683	Reference
Two doses, ≥180 days	732/688	71% (65.9-75.3)	2035/3180	63.4% (58.3-67.9)	2750/5207	40.7% (33.7-46.9)
Homologous booster						
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	34/16	86% (71.7-93.1)	73/50	80.7% (68.6-88.1)	49/51	47.7% (12.4-68.8)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days	23/21	82% (60.9-91.7)	511/462	76.1% (70.8-80.4)	2146/2964	51.4% (44.6-57.3)
Heterologous booster						
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	775/183	92.1% (90.2-93.7)	1203/537	88.4% (86.2-90.2)	755/540	77.3% (73.1-80.8)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days	1397/370	90.2% (88.3-91.7)	7441/3099	90.4% (89.1-91.5)	9755/7063	78.5% (76.1-80.6)

Table 3. Vaccine effectiveness of a homologous and heterologous booster dose, relative to primary vaccination with CoronaVac during the period greater or equal to 180 days after the 2nd dose during Omicron period

	Symptomatic Covid-19		**Hospitalization or Death**	
	Controls/Cases	**Relative VE (95% CI)**	Controls/Cases	**Relative VE (95% CI)**
Two doses, ≥180 days	120128/157410	Reference	4360/8977	Reference
Homologous booster				
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	6757/8384	4.9% (1.5-8.1)	148/117	47.1% (27.8-61.2)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 60-89 days	5380/6518	-3.8% (-7.5-0.2)	352/326	36% (21.5-47.8)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 90-119 days	9410/12579	-13.8% (-16.5--11)	964/1200	23.5% (12.4-33.1)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥120 days	5931/8582	-24.8% (-28--21.6)	1164/1906	20.7% (10.1-30)
Heterologous booster				
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	113848/74009	53.4% (52.8-54)	2005/1243	67.3% (63.9-70.4)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 60-89 days	124797/110356	34.7% (34-35.5)	4804/2668	71% (68.7-73.2)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 90-119 days	170478/170754	25.2% (24.4-26)	7033/4653	68.6% (66.4-70.7)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥120 days	48409/52496	15.7% (14.2-17.1)	3449/3134	62.8% (59.3-65.9)

Supplementary material

eTable 1. Data sources

eTable 2. General characteristics of who received homologous or heterologous booster

eFigure 1. Number of SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR and rapid antigen tests in symptomatic individuals performed in Brazil since January 2021.

eFigure 2. Study flow chart showing inclusion of cases and controls for the primary analysis

eTable 3. Effectiveness of CoronaVac and homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 and hospital admissions or deaths in adults in Brazil

eTable 4. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case test negative pairs for the CoronaVac analysis during the Delta period (September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021) and Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to April 22, 2022), for the analysis of relative vaccine effectiveness

eTable 5. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case test negative pairs for the analysis of vaccine effectiveness during the Delta period (September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021) and the Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to April 22, 2022) - Sensitivity analysis for matching

eTable 6. Sensitivity analysis of matching strategy to evaluate the vaccine effectiveness of CoronaVac and homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 using RT-PCR or Antigen tests

eTable 7. Sensitivity analysis of matching strategy to evaluate the vaccine effectiveness of CoronaVac and homologous or heterologous booster against Severe Covid-19 using RT-PCR or Antigen tests

eTable 8. Vaccine effectiveness of a homologous and heterologous booster dose, relative to primary vaccination with CoronaVac during the period greater or equal to 180 days after the 2nd dose during Omicron period, further adjusted by month of second dose (sensitivity analysis)

eFigure 3. Flow chart showing inclusion of cases and controls for the sensitivity analysis including only RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests

eTable 9. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case-test negative pairs for the sensitivity analysis including only RT-PCR tests during the Delta period (September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021) and Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to April 2, 2022)

eTable 10. Effectiveness of CoronaVac and homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 and Covid-19 hospital admission or deaths in adults in Brazil, from the sensitivity analysis including RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests only

eTable 11. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case-test negative pairs for the sensitivity analysis using RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests only, during the Delta period (September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021) and Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to April 22, 2022), for the analysis of relative vaccine effectiveness

eTable 12. Effectiveness of homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 and Covid-19 hospital admission or deaths in adults stratified by age during Omicron period in Brazil from the sensitivity analysis including RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests only

eTable 13. Vaccine effectiveness of homologous and heterologous booster relative to those at least 180 days after the second dose of a primary series of CoronaVac during the Omicron period, from the sensitivity analysis including RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests only

eTable 1. Data sources

Data	Source	Extracted	Censored	Content
Suspected mild COVID-19	e-SUS notifica	29/04/2022	22/04/2022	COVID-19 suspected individuals of any age in Brazil, from public and private sectors, with mild clinical presentation, including those asymptomatic, not tested, those tested negative and tested positive. COVID-19 suspected cases notification is compulsory.
Suspected Severe COVID-19	SIVEP-Gripe	29/04/2022	22/04/2022	Severe Acute Respiratory Infections (SARI) at any age in Brazil, from public and private sectors. Includes those not tested, those tested negative and those tested positive. It also includes SARI caused by other respiratory viruses, other aetiologies and those without an etiology. During COVID-19 pandemic, the official system to which COVID-19 hospital admissions and deaths must be notified. COVID-19 suspected cases notification is compulsory.
COVID-19 vaccination status	SI-PNI	29/04/2022	22/04/2022	National database from the Brazilian National Programme of Vaccination. COVID-19 vaccines notification is compulsory.
Variants of Concern in Brazil	GISAID	09/05/2022	22/04/2022	Public genomic repository data

eTable 2. General characteristics of who received homologous or heterologous booster

	Homologous booster (CoronaVac) (n=69,111)	Heterologous booster (BNT152b2) (n=984,444)	SMD
Demographics			
Age, mean (SD), years	65.1 (21.5)	53.2 (19.1)	0.602
Age categories, n (%)			0.645
18-39 years	12890 (18.7)	321694 (32.7)	
40-59 years	8313 (12.0)	227987 (23.2)	
60-79 years	31925 (46.2)	380315 (38.6)	
≥80 years	15983 (23.1)	54448 (5.5)	
Male sex, n (%)	27431 (39.7)	336163 (34.1)	0.115
Self-reported race†, n (%),			0.399
White/Branca	42677 (61.8)	461276 (46.9)	
Brown/Pardo	9464 (13.7)	278390 (28.3)	
Black/Preta	1750 (2.5)	34897 (3.5)	
Yellow/ Amarela	652 (0.9)	15873 (1.6)	
Indigenous/Indigena	25 (0.0)	2377 (0.2)	
Missing	14543 (21.0)	191631 (19.5)	
Region of residence			1.234
North	278 (0.4)	49932 (5.1)	
Northeast	302 (0.4)	173982 (17.7)	
Central-West	350 (0.5)	75923 (7.7)	
Southeast	66494 (96.2)	489057 (49.7)	
South	1687 (2.4)	195550 (19.9)	
Reported number of chronic comorbidities‡, n (%)			0.221
None	56094 (81.2)	875843 (89.0)	
One or two	12457 (18.0)	104856 (10.7)	
Three or more	560 (0.8)	3745 (0.4)	
Prior SARS-CoV-2 exposure			

Previous symptomatic events notified to the surveillance system¶, n (%)	14381 (20.8)	253801 (25.8)	0.118
Positive SARS-CoV-2 test result††, n (%)	3268 (4.7)	52378 (5.3)	0.027
Interval between symptoms onset and RT-PCR/Antigen testing, median (p25-p75), days	3 [2, 4]	3.00 [2, 4]	0.038
Interval between third dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	93 [50, 116]	87 [56, 105]	0.123
Omicron period	67747 (98.0)	966813 (98.2)	0.013

RT-PCR=reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SMD=standardized mean difference; SD=standard deviation; SMD=standardized mean difference. † Race/skin colour as defined by the Brazilian national census bureau (Instituto Nacional de Geografia e Estatísticas). ‡ Comorbidities included cardiovascular, or renal conditions, diabetes, chronic respiratory disorder, obesity, or immunosuppression. ¶ Reported illness with covid-19 associated symptoms in eSUS and SIVEP-Gripe databases before the start of study on 06 September 2021.. †† Defined as a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or antigen detection test result before the start of study on 06 September 2021.

eFigure 1. Number of SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR and rapid antigen tests in symptomatic individuals performed in Brazil since January 2021.

Red refers to rapid antigen test for SARS-CoV-2; green to RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2

eFigure 2. Study flow chart showing inclusion of cases and controls for the primary analysis



eTable 3. Effectiveness of CoronaVac and homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 and hospital admissions or deaths in adults in Brazil

	Symptomatic COVID-19		**Hospitalization or death**	
	Delta	Omicron	Delta	Omicron
Not vaccinated	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Single dose, within 0-13 days	2.2% (-4-8.1)	24.6% (19.1-29.8)	1.7% (-28.4-30.8)	-3.1% (-57.5-54.7)
Single dose, ≥14 days	27.2% (25.1-29.3)	17% (15.9-18)	46.6% (38.9-53.3)	47.5% (40.7-53.5)
Two doses, within 0-13 days	38.5% (35.3-41.5)	21.3% (17.3-25.2)	76.7% (67.3-83.4)	14.8% (-46-60.8)
Two doses, 14-59 days	51.3% (49.9-52.7)	28.1% (26.5-29.6)	86.5% (83.4-88.9)	56.1% (40.6-67.5)
Two doses, 60-179 days	37.6% (36.1-39.1)	3.9% (3.1-4.8)	71% (68.5-73.2)	61.4% (57.4-65)
Two doses, ≥180 days	34% (32.3-35.7)	6.3% (5.3-7.3)	60.9% (57.3-64.2)	57.6% (54.4-60.6)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days	44.7% (26.2-58.5)	9.6% (1.7-16.9)	80.8% (67.7-88.6)	75.6% (26.7-91.8)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	57.1% (50.4-62.9)	8.6% (5.6-11.5)	75.9% (67.8-81.9)	73.6% (63.9-80.7)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days	53.5% (30.9-68.6)	-2.9% (-5.2--0.6)	75.6% (52.8-87.4)	67.8% (64.3-71)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days	42.6% (38.5-46.5)	16.6% (14.7-18.4)	80.4% (75.8-84)	62.6% (50.7-71.6)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	86.7% (86-87.4)	56.8% (56.3-57.3)	92.3% (91-93.4)	86% (84.5-87.4)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days	83.2% (79.8-86.1)	33.8% (33.2-34.4)	90.8% (84.8-94.4)	86.4% (85.4-87.3)

eTable 4. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case test negative pairs for the for the analysis of relative vaccine effectiveness analysis during the Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to Apr 22, 2022),

	Matched pairs for Omicron period		
	Controls (n=620,133)	**Cases (n=620,133)**	**SMD**
Demographics			
Age, mean (SD), years	54.23 (18.9)	54.5 (19.1)	0.016
Age categories, n (%)			0.058
18-39 years	185374 (29.9)	181268 (29.2)	
40-59 years	150442 (24.3)	154755 (25.0)	
60-79 years	247298 (39.9)	238998 (38.5)	
≥80 years	37019 (6.0)	45112 (7.3)	
Male sex, n (%)	214071 (34.5)	214071 (34.5)	<0.001
Self-reported race[†], n (%)'			0.052
White/Branca	289223 (46.6)	293911 (47.4)	
Brown/Pardo	178933 (28.9)	168763 (27.2)	
Black/Preta	23067 (3.7)	21092 (3.4)	
Yellow/ Amarela	9970 (1.6)	9487 (1.5)	
Indigenous/Indigena	2818 (0.5)	2098 (0.3)	
Missing	116122 (18.7)	124782 (20.1)	
Region of residence			<0.001
North	33438 (5.4)	33438 (5.4)	
Northeast	100347 (16.2)	100347 (16.2)	
Central-West	45755 (7.4)	45755 (7.4)	
Southeast	328824 (53.0)	328824 (53.0)	
South	111769 (18.0)	111769 (18.0)	
Reported number of chronic comorbidities[‡], n (%)			0.014
None	546266 (88.1)	548356 (88.4)	

One or two	71314 (11.5)	68945 (11.1)	
Three or more	2553 (0.4)	2832 (0.5)	
Prior SARS-CoV-2 exposure			
Previous symptomatic events notified to the surveillance system¶, n (%)	164774 (26.6)	151882 (24.5)	0.124
Positive SARS-CoV-2 test result††, n (%)	40970 (6.6)	23827 (3.8)	0.127
Interval between symptoms onset and RT-PCR testing, median (p25-p75), days	3 [2, 4]	3 [2, 4]	0.127
Hospitalization or Death	15771 (2.5)	24395 (3.9)	0.079
Vaccination status			0.223
Two doses, ≥180 days, n (%)	120128 (19.4)	157410 (25.4)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days, n (%)	878 (0.1)	1140 (0.2)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days, n (%)	6757 (1.1)	8384 (1.4)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 60-89 days, n (%)	5380 (0.9)	6518 (1.1)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 90-119 days, n (%)	9410 (1.5)	12579 (2.0)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥90 days, n (%	5931 (1.0)	8582 (1.4)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days, n (%)	14117 (2.3)	17905 (2.9)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days, n (%)	113848 (18.4)	74009 (11.9)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 60-89 days, n (%)	124797 (20.1)	110356 (17.8)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 90-119 days, n (%)	170478 (27.5)	170754 (27.5)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥120 days, n (%)	48409 (7.8)	52496 (8.5)	
Interval between second dose and RT-PCR/Antigen test, mean (SD), days	269 [228, 305]	269 [223, 306]	0.012
Interval between third dose and RT-PCR/Antigen test, mean (SD), days	87 [56, 105]	91 [65, 109]	0.133

RT-PCR=reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SMD=standardized mean difference; SD=standard deviation; † Race/skin colour as defined by the Brazilian national census bureau (Instituto Nacional de Geografia e Estatísticas). ‡ Comorbidities included cardiovascular, or renal conditions, diabetes, chronic respiratory disorder, obesity, or immunosuppression. ¶ Reported illness with covid-19 associated symptoms in eSUS and SIVEP-Gripe databases before the start of study on 06 September 2021. †† Defined as a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or antigen detection test result before the start of study on 06 September 2021..

eTable 5. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case test negative pairs for the analysis of vaccine effectiveness during the Delta period (September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021) and the Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to Apr 22, 2022) - **Sensitivity analysis for matching**

	Matched pairs for Delta period			**Matched pairs for Omicron period**		
	Controls (n=360,045)	**Cases (n=132,355)**	**SMD**	**Controls (n=982,144)**	**Cases (n=1,213,302)**	**SMD**
Demographics						
Age, mean (SD), years	40.4 (17.9)	44.6 (19.4)	0.225	41.7 (18.5)	42.2 (18.4)	0.025
Age categories, n (%)			0.227			0.034
18-39 years	222864 (61.9)	68163 (51.5)		573177 (58.4)	696053 (57.4)	
40-59 years	68145 (18.9)	27948 (21.1)		196976 (20.1)	256255 (21.1)	
60-79 years	61653 (17.1)	31947 (24.1)		187718 (19.1)	227151 (18.7))	
≥80 years	7383 (2.1)	4297 (3.2)		24273 (2.5)	33843 (2.8)	
Male sex, n (%)	148640 (41.3)	59822 (45.2)	0.079	388595 (39.6)	495040 (40.8)	0.025
Self-reported race[†], n (%)'			0.071			0.087
White/Branca	165235 (45.9)	58768 (44.4)		431055 (43.9)	540505 (44.5)	
Brown/Pardo	99280 (27.6)	37519 (28.3)		306878 (31.2)	346765 (28.6)	
Black/Preta	15917 (4.4)	5106 (3.9)		43312 (4.4)	46625 (3.8)	
Yellow/ Amarela	4090 (1.1)	1861 (1.4)		17671 (1.8)	20763 (1.7)	
Indigenous/Indigena	1101 (0.3)	898 (0.7)		2800 (0.3)	2233 (0.2)	
Missing	74422 (20.7)	28203 (21.3)		180428 (18.4)	256411 (21.1)	

Region of residence			0.216			0.031
North	14887 (4.1)	8488 (6.4)		40809 (4.2)	55841 (4.6)	
Northeast	34766 (9.7)	15319 (11.6)		135724 (13.8)	168228 (13.9)	
Central-West	20801 (5.8)	11562 (8.7)		78255 (8.0)	89169 (7.3)	
Southeast	211159 (58.6)	64695 (48.9)		541177 (55.1)	668797 (55.1)	
South	78432 (21.8)	32291 (24.4)		186179 (19.0)	231267 (19.1)	
Reported number of chronic comorbidities[‡], n (%)			0.122			0.014
None	325084 (90.3)	114438 (86.5)		908535 (92.5)	1126250 (92.8)	
One or two	33518 (9.3)	16900 (12.8)		71502 (7.3)	84147 (6.9)	
Three or more	1443 (0.4)	1017 (0.8)		2107(0.2)	2905 (0.2)	
Prior SARS-CoV-2 exposure						
Previous symptomatic events notified to the surveillance system[¶], n (%)	117610 (32.7)	25033 (18.9)	0.318	307779 (31.3)	310788 (25.6)	0.127
Positive SARS-CoV-2 test result[††], n (%)	24952 (6.9)	2212 (1.7)	0.261	79126 (8.1)	58724 (4.8)	0.131
Interval between symptoms onset and RT-PCR testing, median (p25-p75), days	3 [2, 4]	3 [2, 5]	0.143	3 [2, 4]	3 [2, 4]	0.105
Hospitalization or death	9980 (2.8)	14547 (11.0)	0.329	13538 (1.4)	28387 (2.3)	0.071
Vaccination status			0.422			0.217
Not vaccinated, n (%)	73296 (20.4)	40165 (30.3)		115379 (11.7)	168224 (13.9)	
Primary vaccination with CoronaVac						

Single dose, 0-13 days, n (%)	4477 (1.2)	2135 (1.6)		1025 (0.1)	1298 (0.1)	
Single dose, ≥14 days, n (%)	32487 (9.0)	11580 (8.7)		53933 (5.5)	64038 (5.3)	
Two doses, 0-13 days, n (%)	11889 (3.3)	3205 (2.4)		2803 (0.2)	2657(0.2)	
Two doses, 14-89 days, n (%)	92035 (25.6)	21210 (16.0)		41152 (4.2)	44794 (3.7)	
Two doses, 90-179 days, n (%)	65840 (18.3)	29431 (22.2)		268947 (27.4)	391160 (32.2)	
Two doses, ≥180 days, n (%)	47556 (13.2)	20348 (15.4)		98996 (10.1)	137720 (11.4)	
Booster vaccination						
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days, n (%)	225 (0.1)	81 (0.1)		647 (0.1)	1072 (0.1)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days, n (%)	1384 (0.4)	349 (0.3)		5202 (0.5)	7414 (0.6)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days, n (%)	241 (0.1)	61 (0.0)		12747 (1.3)	21818 (1.8)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days, n (%)	4041 (1.1)	1573 (1.2)		11747 (1.2)	16677 (1.4)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days, n (%)	24942 (6.9)	2046 (1.5)		96675 (9.8)	66339 (5.5)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days, n (%)	1632 (0.5)	171 (0.1)		273307 (27.8)	290091 (23.9)	
Interval between first dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	34 [21, 62]	33 [19, 62]	0.032	138 [95, 169]	140 [99, 170]	0.033
Interval between second dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	96 [45, 172]	145 [66, 184]	0.283	138 [115, 177]	141 [119, 176]	0.048
Interval between third dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	24 [13, 41]	10 [6, 29]	0.451	86 [53, 107]	91 [64, 107]	0.073

RT-PCR=reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SMD=standardized mean difference; SD=standard deviation; † Race/skin colour as defined by the Brazilian national census bureau (Instituto Nacional de Geografia e Estatísticas). ‡ Comorbidities included cardiovascular, or renal conditions, diabetes, chronic respiratory disorder, obesity, or immunosuppression. . ¶ Reported illness with covid-19 associated symptoms in eSUS and SIVEP-Gripe databases before the start of study on 06 September 2021. †† Defined as a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or antigen detection test result before the start of study on 06 September 2021

eTable 6. Sensitivity analysis of matching strategy to evaluate the vaccine effectiveness of CoronaVac and homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 using RT-PCR or Antigen tests

	Delta Period		**Omicron Period**	
	Matching 1:1	Stratified by sets	Matching 1:1	Stratified by sets
Not vaccinated	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Single dose, within 0-13 days	2.2% (-4-8.1)	4.6% (-1.3-10.2)	24.6% (19.1-29.8)	17.8% (10.1-24.9)
Single dose, ≥14 days	27.2% (25.1-29.3)	23.9% (21.8-26)	17% (15.9-18)	14.6% (13.3-15.9)
Two doses, within 0-13 days	38.5% (35.3-41.5)	35.9% (32.8-38.8)	21.3% (17.3-25.2)	27.2% (22.6-31.4)
Two doses, 14-59 days	51.3% (49.9-52.7)	48.3% (46.9-49.7)	28.1% (26.5-29.6)	26.5% (24.6-28.4)
Two doses, 60-179 days	37.6% (36.1-39.1)	33.2% (31.7-34.7)	3.9% (3.1-4.8)	0.6% (-0.4-1.6)
Two doses, ≥180 days	34% (32.3-35.7)	29.7% (27.9-31.5)	6.3% (5.3-7.3)	3.6% (2.4-4.9)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days	44.7% (26.2-58.5)	50.4% (34.2-62.7)	9.6% (1.7-16.9)	-0.5% (-10.5-9.6)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	57.1% (50.4-62.9)	63.6% (58-68.5)	8.6% (5.6-11.5)	9.8% (6-13.3)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days	53.5% (30.9-68.6)	60.1% (42.7-72.2)	-2.9% (-5.2--0.6)	-5.8% (-8.6--2.9)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days	42.6% (38.5-46.5)	36.1% (31.6-40.3)	16.6% (14.7-18.4)	13.9% (11.5-16.1)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	86.7% (86-87.4)	86.4% (85.6-87.1)	56.8% (56.3-57.3)	55.2% (54.6-55.9)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days	83.2% (79.8-86.1)	81.1% (77.2-84.3)	33.8% (33.2-34.4)	29.9% (29.1-30.7)

eTable 7. Sensitivity analysis of matching strategy to evaluate the vaccine effectiveness of CoronaVac and homologous or heterologous booster against Severe Covid-19 using RT-PCR or Antigen tests

	Delta Period		**Omicron Period**	
	Matching 1:1	Matching stratified sets	Matching 1:1	Matching stratified sets
Not vaccinated	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Single dose, within 0-13 days	1.7% (-28.4-30.8)	22.4% (-7.8-44.5)	-3.1% (-57.5-54.7)	-64.1% (-87.3-1.7)
Single dose, ≥14 days	46.6% (38.9-53.3)	51.8% (44.9-57.8)	47.5% (40.7-53.5)	46.4% (38.9-53)
Two doses, within 0-13 days	76.7% (67.3-83.4)	79.2% (70.5-85.3)	14.8% (-46-60.8)	61.2% (22.4-80.6)
Two doses, 14-59 days	86.5% (83.4-88.9)	87.3% (84.6-89.5)	56.1% (40.6-67.5)	55.2% (38.9-67.2)
Two doses, 60-179 days	71% (68.5-73.2)	73.4% (71.1-75.5)	61.4% (57.4-65)	63.5% (59.4-67.2)
Two doses, ≥180 days	60.9% (57.3-64.2)	64.2% (60.9-67.2)	57.6% (54.4-60.6)	58.4% (54.9-61.6)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days	80.8% (67.7-88.6)	81% (66.8-89.2)	75.6% (26.7-91.8)	79.6% (36.1-93.5)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	75.9% (67.8-81.9)	82.7% (77.2-86.8)	73.6% (63.9-80.7)	79.9% (72.3-85.4)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days	75.6% (52.8-87.4)	81.7% (66.6-89.9)	67.8% (64.3-71)	72.8% (69.6-75.7)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days	80.4% (75.8-84)	81.9% (77.6-85.4)	62.6% (50.7-71.6)	67.5% (56.5-75.7)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	92.3% (91-93.4)	93% (91.8-93.9)	86% (84.5-87.4)	87.1% (85.5-88.5)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days	90.8% (84.8-94.4)	89% (82.7-93)	86.4% (85.4-87.3)	87.2% (86.1-88.1)

eTable 8. Vaccine effectiveness of a homologous and heterologous booster dose, relative to primary vaccination with CoronaVac during the period greater or equal to 180 days after the 2nd dose during Omicron period, further adjusted by month of second dose (sensitivity analysis)

	Symptomatic Covid-19		**Hospitalization or Death**	
	Main analysis	**Sensitivity analysis adjusted by month of 2nd dose**	**Main analysis**	**Sensitivity analysis adjusted by month of 2nd dose**
	Relative VE (95% CI)	Relative VE (95% CI)	Relative VE (95% CI)	Relative VE (95% CI)
Two doses, ≥180 days	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Homologous booster				
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	4.9% (1.5-8.1)	1.4% (-2.1-4.8)	47.1% (27.8-61.2)	45.8% (26-60.3)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 60-89 days	-3.8% (-7.5-0.2)	-4.5% (-8.3--0.6)	36% (21.5-47.8)	35.8% (21.3-47.7)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 90-119 days	-13.8% (-16.5--11)	-14% (-16.7--11.1)	23.5% (12.4-33.1)	25.1% (14.3-34.6)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥120 days	-24.8% (-28--21.6)	-23.4% (-26.6--20.1)	20.7% (10.1-30)	24.3% (14-33.4)
Heterologous booster				
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	53.4% (52.8-54)	51.7% (51.1-52.4)	67.3% (63.9-70.4)	66.7% (63.2-69.9)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 60-89 days	34.7% (34-35.5)	34.5% (33.7-35.3)	71% (68.7-73.2)	70.9% (68.5-73)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 90-119 days	25.2% (24.4-26)	25% (24.1-25.9)	68.6% (66.4-70.7)	69.1% (66.8-71.1)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥120 days	15.7% (14.2-17.1)	16.9% (15.4-18.5)	62.8% (59.3-65.9)	64.3% (60.9-67.5)

eFigure 3. Flow chart showing inclusion of cases and controls for the sensitivity analysis including only RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests



eTable 9. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case-test negative pairs for the sensitivity analysis including only RT-PCR tests during the Delta period (September 6, 2021 to December 14, 2021) and Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to Apr 22, 2022)

	Matched pairs for Delta period			**Matched pairs for Omicron period**		
	Controls (n=70,545)	**Cases (n=70,545)**	**SMD**	**Controls (n=301,025)**	**Cases (n=301,025)**	**SMD**
Demographics						
Age, mean (SD), years	47.4 (20.2)	47.5 (20.3)	0.007	42.7 (19)	43.0 (19.2)	0.015
Age categories, n (%)			0.024			0.043
18-39 years	32535 (46.1)	31990 (45.3)		173722 (57.7)	170224 (56.5)	
40-59 years	14878 (21.1)	15426 (21.9)		59687 (19.8)	63234 (21.0)	
60-79 years	19447 (27.6)	19256 (27.3)		54828 (18.2)	53014 (17.6)	
≥80 years	3685 (5.2)	3873 (5.5)		12788 (4.2)	14553 (4.8)	
Male sex, n (%)	32130 (45.5)	32130 (45.5)	<0.001	125368 (41.6)	125368 (41.6)	<0.001
Self-reported race[†], n (%)'			0.092			0.094
White/Branca	28649 (40.6)	29984 (42.5)		128775 (42.8)	133966 (44.5)	
Brown/Pardo	23789 (33.7)	21471 (30.4)		93042 (30.9)	82110 (27.3)	
Black/Preta	3498 (5.0)	2957 (4.2)		12804 (4.3)	11176 (3.7)	
Yellow/ Amarela	922 (1.3)	1218 (1.7)		4694 (1.6)	5618 (1.9)	
Indigenous/Indigena	197 (0.3)	281 (0.4)		399 (0.1)	291 (0.1)	
Missing	13490 (19.1)	14634 (20.7)		61311 (20.4)	67864 (22.5)	

Region of residence			<0.001			<0.001
North	3424 (4.9)	3424 (4.9)		8355 (2.8)	8355 (2.8)	
Northeast	10216 (14.5)	10216 (14.5)		50186 (16.7)	50186 (16.7)	
Central-West	5758 (8.2)	5758 (8.2)		15313 (5.1)	15313 (5.1)	
Southeast	38529 (54.6)	38529 (54.6)		199212 (66.2)	199212 (66.2)	
South	12618 (17.9)	12618 (17.9)		27959 (9.3)	27959 (9.3)	
Reported number of chronic comorbidities[‡], n (%)			0.037			0.064
None	58550 (83.0)	57585 (81.6)		265890 (88.3)	271794 (90.3)	
One or two	11258 (16.0)	12088 (17.1)		32894 (10.9)	27565 (9.2)	
Three or more	737 (1.0)	872 (1.2)		2241 (0.7)	1666 (0.6)	
Prior SARS-CoV-2 exposure						
Previous symptomatic events notified to the surveillance system[¶], n (%)	17825 (25.3)	12137 (17.2)	0.198	88840 (29.5)	78548 (26.1)	0.076
Positive SARS-CoV-2 test result[††], n (%)	3881 (5.5)	1402 (2.0)	0.186	24261 (8.1)	16613 (5.5)	0.101
Interval between symptoms onset and RT-PCR testing, median (p25-p75), days	3 [2, 5]	3 [2, 5]	0.115	3 [2, 4]	3 [2, 4]	0.151
Hospitalization or Death	8097 (11.5)	12842 (18.2)	0.191	26664 (8.9)	19851 (6.6)	0.085
Vaccination status			0.294			0.223
Not vaccinated, n (%)	14100 (20.0)	19354 (27.4)		31413 (10.4)	36865 (12.2)	
Single dose, within 0-13 days, n (%)	676 (1.0)	956 (1.4)		301 (0.1)	305 (0.1)	

Single dose, ≥14 days, n (%)	5695 (8.1)	5810 (8.2)		14809 (4.9)	14667 (4.9)	
Two doses, within 0-13 days, n (%)	1904 (2.7)	1697 (2.4)		796 (0.3)	601 (0.2)	
Two doses, 14-89 days, n (%)	13248 (18.8)	10877 (15.4)		10758 (3.6)	9808 (3.3)	
Two doses, 90-179 days, n (%)	17605 (25.0)	17009 (24.1)		84500 (28.1)	100216 (33.3)	
Two doses, ≥180 days, n (%)	11431 (16.2)	12351 (17.5)		30159 (10.0)	35974 (12.0)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days, n (%)	68 (0.1)	54(0.1)		411 (0.1)	368 (0.1)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days, n (%)	328 (0.5)	271(0.4)		2416 (0.8)	2545 (0.8)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days, n (%)	54(0.1)	47(0.1)		6412 (2.1)	8309 (2.8)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days, n (%)	929 (1.3)	837(1.2)		3913 (1.3)	4132 (1.4)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days, n (%)	42421(6.0)	1187 (17)		29257 (9.7)	17102 (5.7)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days, n (%)	265 (0.4)	95(0.1)		85880 (28.5)	70133 (23.3)	
Interval between first dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	36 [23, 67]	35 [21, 67]	0.006	138 [93, 170]	143 [101, 173]	0.072
Interval between second dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	144 [60, 181]	150 [73, 187]	0.105	140 [119, 176]	142 [122, 178]	0.039
Interval between third dose and testing, median (p25-p75), days	21 [11, 38]	10 [6, 30]	0.307	86 [53, 103]	90 [62, 106]	0.123

RT-PCR=reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SMD=standardized mean difference; SD=standard deviation; † Race/skin colour as defined by the Brazilian national census bureau (Instituto Nacional de Geografia e Estatísticas). ‡ Comorbidities included cardiovascular, or renal conditions, diabetes, chronic respiratory disorder, obesity, or immunosuppression. ¶ Reported illness with covid-19 associated symptoms in eSUS and SIVEP-Gripe databases before the start of study on 06 September 2021. †† Defined as a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or antigen detection test result before the start of study on 06 September 2021.

eTable 10. Effectiveness of CoronaVac and homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 and Covid-19 hospital admission or deaths in adults in Brazil, from the sensitivity analysis including RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests only

	Symptomatic COVID-19		**Hospitalization or death**	
	Delta	Omicron	Delta	Omicron
Not vaccinated	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Single dose, within 0-13 days	-11.9% (-20.8--2)	7.8% (-7.8-21.6)	-16.5% (-49.1-27.1)	-
Single dose, ≥14 days	25.5% (22-28.8)	10.5% (7.9-12.9)	45.2% (36-53.1)	47.1% (38.7-54.3)
Two doses, within 0-13 days	34.5% (29.3-39.2)	30.7% (22.7-37.8)	78.2% (67-85.6)	67.8% (15.3-87.8)
Two doses, 14-59 days	49% (46.8-51.2)	22.2% (18.2-26)	85.4% (81.4-88.5)	23% (-15.7-50)
Two doses, 60-179 days	35.5% (33.1-37.8)	-7.1% (-8.9--5.3)	68.1% (65-71)	53.4% (47.2-58.9)
Two doses, ≥180 days	30.1% (27.3-32.8)	7% (4.8-9.1)	57.3% (52.7-61.5)	55.8% (51.8-59.5)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days	52.3% (31-67.1)	18.2% (5.4-29.2)	76% (57.6-86.4)	18.4% (-72.3-81.6)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	56.9% (47.8-64.4)	10.5% (5-15.7)	74.1% (64.5-81.1)	72.1% (59.7-80.7)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days	64.1% (41.4-78)	-1.6% (-5.8-2.8)	84.2% (67.5-92.3)	63.6% (58.9-67.7)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days	46.4% (40.4-51.7)	6.9% (2.3-11.2)	78.5% (72.5-83.2)	63.8% (48.8-74.4)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	85% (83.8-86.2)	53.5% (52.3-54.7)	90.5% (88.7-92)	84.4% (82.3-86.2)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days	84.8% (79.9-88.5)	39.6% (38.4-40.8)	87.3% (78.1-92.6)	82.9% (81.4-84.3)

eTable 11. Characteristics of adults in Brazil, who were selected into case-test negative pairs for the sensitivity analysis using RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests only, during the Omicron period (December 25, 2021 to Apr 10, 2022), for the analysis of relative vaccine effectiveness

	Matched pairs for Omicron period		
	Controls (n=135,053)	**Cases (n=135,053)**	**SMD**
Demographics			
Age, mean (SD), years	54.1 (19.4)	54.2 (19.7)	0.006
Age categories, n (%)			0.042
18-39 years	41810 (31.0)	41514 (30.7)	
40-59 years	34262 (25.4)	34931 (25.9)	
60-79 years	48057 (35.6)	46309 (34.3)	
≥80 years	10924 (8.1)	12299 (9.1)	
Male sex, n (%)	46477 (34.4)	46477 (34.4)	<0.001
Self-reported race[†], n (%)'			0.126
White/Branca	61832 (45.8)	63243 (46.8)	
Brown/Pardo	38848 (28.8)	32776 (24.3)	
Black/Preta	5334 (3.9)	4561 (3.4)	
Yellow/ Amarela	2067 (1.5)	2350 (1.7)	
Indigenous/Indigena	194 (0.1)	176 (0.1)	
Missing	26778 (19.8)	31947 (23.7)	
Region of residence			<0.001
North	3859 (2.9)	3859 (2.9)	
Northeast	24618 (18.2)	24618 (18.2)	
Central-West	7255 (5.4)	7255 (5.4)	
Southeast	86825 (64.3)	86825 (64.3)	
South	12496 (9.3)	12496 (9.3)	
Reported number of chronic comorbidities[‡], n (%)			0.087

None	110250 (81.6)	114600 (84.9)	
One or two	22967 (17.0)	19083 (14.1)	
Three or more	1836 (1.4)	1370 (1.0)	
Prior SARS-CoV-2 exposure**			
Previous symptomatic events notified to the surveillance system¶, n (%)	41841 (31.0)	34182 (25.3)	0.126
Positive SARS-CoV-2 test result††, n (%)	10419 (7.7)	6303 (4.7)	0.127
Interval between symptoms onset and RT-PCR testing, median (p25-p75), days	3 [2, 4]	3 [1, 4]	0.164
Hospitalization or Death	18040 (13.4)	13484 (10.0)	0.106
Vaccination status			0.218
Two doses, ≥180 days, n (%)	26610 (19.7)	34817 (25.8)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 0-7 days, n (%)	262 (0.2)	357 (0.3)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days, n (%)	1929 (1.4)	2458 (1.8)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 60-89 days, n (%)	1613 (1.2)	1858 (1.4)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, 90-119 days, n (%)	2694 (2.0)	3811 (2.8)	
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥120 days, n (%)	1888 (1.4)	2561 (1.9)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 0-7 days, n (%)	2649 (2.0)	3954 (2.9)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days, n (%)	23637 (17.5)	16503 (12.2)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 60-89 days, n (%)	26921 (19.9)	23643 (17.5)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, 90-119 days, n (%)	38587 (28.6)	36377 (26.9)	
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥120 days, n (%)	8263 (6.1)	8714 (6.5)	
Interval between second dose and RT-PCR/Antigen test, mean (SD), days	272 [239, 309]	273 [237, 313]	0.003
Interval between third dose and RT-PCR/Antigen test, mean (SD), days	88 [57, 104]	90 [63, 106]	0.078

RT-PCR=reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SMD=standardized mean difference; SD=standard deviation; † Race/skin colour as defined by the Brazilian national census bureau (Instituto Nacional de Geografia e Estatísticas). ‡ Comorbidities included cardiovascular, or renal conditions, diabetes, chronic respiratory disorder, obesity, or immunosuppression. ** Before the start of study on 06 September 2021. ¶ Reported illness with covid-19 associated symptoms in eSUS and SIVEP-Gripe databases. †† Defined as a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or antigen detection test result

eTable 12. Effectiveness of homologous or heterologous booster against symptomatic Covid-19 and Covid-19 hospital admission or deaths in adults stratified by age during Omicron period in Brazil from the sensitivity analysis including RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests only

	Symptomatic COVID-19			**Hospitalization or Death**		
	<60 years	60-74 years	≥75 years	<60 years	60-74 years	≥75 years
Not vaccinated	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Two doses, ≥180 days	-3.6% (-6.2--1)	34.1% (30-38)	23.6% (17.3-29.4)	72% (65.4-77.4)	63.8% (57.8-69)	31.5% (22.2-39.7)
Homologous booster						
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	9.2% (2.9-15)	24.4% (11.1-35.6)	21.8% (-2.2-40.2)	91.4% (78-96.6)	74.3% (55.3-85.2)	51.1% (8.8-73.8)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥60 days	-15.7% (-23.9--6.7)	23% (16.3-29.2)	3.6% (-4.8-11.6)	78.4% (44.1-91.6)	73.1% (65.7-78.9)	40.9% (31.5-49)
Heterologous booster						
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	51.5% (50.1-52.8)	63.3% (60.6-65.8)	66.6% (62.5-70.2)	91.9% (89.2-93.9)	86.8% (83.7-89.3)	74.2% (68.6-78.8)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥60 days	40% (38.6-41.3)	48.6% (45.7-51.4)	42.8% (38.4-46.8)	93.9% (92.3-95.2)	87.6% (85.6-89.4)	70.2% (66.4-73.6)

eTable 13. Vaccine effectiveness of homologous and heterologous booster relative to those at least 180 days after the second dose of a primary series of CoronaVac during the Omicron period, from the sensitivity analysis including RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 tests only

	Symptomatic COVID-19	**Hospitalization or Death**
	Relative VE (95% CI)	**Relative VE (95% CI)**
Two doses, ≥180 days	Reference	Reference
Homologous booster		
Third dose of CoronaVac, 8-59 days	1.5% (-5.0-7.7)	37% (7.5-57.1)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 60-79 days	2.7% (-4.7-9.7)	34.6% (17.2-48.4)
Third dose of CoronaVac, 90-119 days	-19.3% (-24--14.4)	7.8% (-7.6-21.5)
Third dose of CoronaVac, ≥120 days	-20.6% (-26.4--14.3)	15.9% (3.2-27)
Heterologous booster		
Third dose of BNT162b2, 8-59 days	49.8% (48.5-51.2)	62.8% (57.9-67.1)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 60-89 days	35.9% (34.3-37.5)	68.3% (65.1-71.1)
Third dose of BNT162b2, 90-119 days	30.9% (29.2-32.4)	62.0% (58.8-64.9)
Third dose of BNT162b2, ≥120 days	16.6% (13.2-19.9)	50.3% (44.8-55.3)