

17/02/22

1 Direct estimates of absolute ventilation in primary health care clinics 2 in South Africa

3 Peter G Beckwith^{1,2}; Aaron S Karat^{1,3}; Indira Govender^{1,4} ; Arminster Deol⁵; Nicky McCreech⁵; Karina
4 Kielmann⁶; Kathy Baisley⁷; Alison D Grant^{1,4,8}; Tom A Yates⁹

5 Affiliations

6 ¹ TB Centre, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

7 ² Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa

8 ³ The Institute for Global Health and Development, Queen Margaret University, United Kingdom

9 ⁴ Africa Health Research Institute, School of Laboratory Medicine & Medical Sciences, College of
10 Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

11 ⁵ TB Modelling Group, TB Centre, CMMID, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, The
12 London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

13 ⁶ Institute of Global Health & Development, Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh, UK

14 ⁷ Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, The London School of Hygiene & Tropical
15 Medicine, United Kingdom

16 ⁸ School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa

17 ⁹ Department of Infectious Disease, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, United Kingdom

18 Corresponding author

19 Dr Peter Beckwith, Department of Clinical Research, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases,
20 London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom
21 Tel: +27 73 6177970 | email: peterbeckwith89@gmail.com

22

23

24

25

26

17/02/22

27 Abstract

28 Background

29 Healthcare facilities are important sites for the transmission of pathogens spread via bioaerosols,
30 such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*). Natural ventilation can play an important role in reducing
31 this transmission. In primary health care (PHC) clinics in low and middle-income settings, susceptible
32 people, including healthcare workers, are exposed to individuals with infectious pulmonary
33 tuberculosis. We measured rates of natural ventilation in PHC clinics in KwaZulu-Natal and Western
34 Cape provinces, South Africa.

35 Methods and Findings

36 We measured ventilation in clinic spaces using a tracer-gas release method. In spaces where this was
37 not possible, we estimated ventilation using data on indoor and outdoor carbon dioxide levels,
38 under reasonable assumptions about occupants' metabolic rates. Ventilation was measured i) under
39 usual conditions and ii) with all windows and doors fully open. We used these ventilation rates to
40 estimate the risk of *Mtb* transmission using the Wells-Riley Equation. We obtained ventilation
41 measurements in 33 clinical spaces in 10 clinics: 13 consultation rooms, 16 waiting areas and 4 other
42 clinical spaces. Under usual conditions, the absolute ventilation rate was much higher in waiting
43 rooms (median 1769 m³/hr, range 338–4815 m³/hr) than in consultation rooms (median 197 m³/hr,
44 range 0–1451 m³/hr). Ventilation was better in permanent than in temporary structures. When
45 compared with usual conditions, fully opening existing doors and windows resulted in a median two-
46 fold increase in ventilation. Our Wells-Riley estimates show that, following sustained exposure, or
47 contact with highly infectious index cases, some risk of *Mtb* infection may persist in the best
48 ventilated clinical spaces unless other components of transmission risk are also addressed.

49 Conclusions

50 Among the clinical spaces studied, we observed substantial variation in natural ventilation.
51 Ventilation interventions may have considerable impact on *Mtb* transmission in this setting. We
52 recommend these form part of a package of infection prevention and control interventions.

53

54

17/02/22

55 Introduction

56 Good ventilation in congregate spaces can reduce transmission of airborne pathogens, such as
57 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*), Rubeola virus (measles), and SARS-CoV-2[1][2][3]. However,
58 ventilation rates are difficult to measure and there are limited data available on ventilation rates in
59 public spaces, such as clinics, in sub-Saharan Africa [4][5][6][7][8][9].

60 Healthcare facilities bring together infectious and susceptible individuals and are important sites for
61 the transmission of airborne pathogens. This is particularly true for *Mtb* [10]. Clinic attendees
62 including vulnerable populations, such as people living with HIV, may inhale bioaerosols containing
63 *Mtb* produced by individuals with pulmonary tuberculosis (TB) attending the same facility
64 [11][10][12][13][14]. *Mtb* is an important occupational health concern, with health workers at
65 greater risk than the general population of infection and reinfection and, as a result, developing
66 active TB disease [15][16].

67 Improved ventilation, an infection prevention and control (IPC) intervention, decreases the risk of
68 infection by removing droplet nuclei containing aerosolised *Mtb*. Natural ventilation plays a key role
69 in low and middle-income settings where the resources and infrastructure needed for mechanical
70 ventilation systems are usually unavailable [17]. Natural ventilation can have comparable or superior
71 performance to mechanical ventilation systems [17]. However, rates will vary with changes in wind
72 speed or direction, and inadequate rates of natural ventilation may occur in poorly designed
73 buildings [18].

74 We aimed to describe the ventilation of waiting areas, consultation rooms, and other clinical spaces
75 across ten primary healthcare clinics (PHCs) in South Africa. Our ventilation experiments were
76 undertaken as part of an interdisciplinary project called *Umoya omuhle* (meaning “good air” in Zulu),
77 which used a whole systems approach to understand *Mtb* transmission and TB IPC in PHC clinics in
78 South Africa [19][20].

79 Methods

80 Setting

81 Ventilation experiments were performed in five PHC clinics in KwaZulu-Natal and five in Western
82 Cape province, South Africa, between December 2018 and December 2019. These facilities were
83 built between the 1980s and early 2010s and serve both urban and rural populations. They were
84 selected to be broadly representative of PHC clinics in the two provinces with respect to location,
85 age of building, and type of clinic. Each clinic had a unique design, though some clinics had several
86 rooms that were identical in their layout. Many clinics had a mixture of permanent and temporary

17/02/22

87 buildings. Temporary buildings included “park homes” (portacabins) that were used to rapidly
88 expand clinic capacity as the antiretroviral therapy programme was being rolled out in the 2000’s
89 [21].

90 Measurements were undertaken in spaces where patients either waited or interacted with clinic
91 staff. These included: rooms where patient vital signs were measured; rooms where phlebotomy
92 was performed; formal and informal waiting areas; and consultation rooms. The timing of
93 experiments was agreed in advance with clinic managers.

94 **Weather**

95 Data on wind speed and temperature were provided by the South African Weather Services for the
96 period 01 January 2018 to 31 December 2020. These consisted of hourly measurements taken at the
97 nearest weather station to each clinic (median Euclidean distance: 20.5km [range: 5-35km]). Using
98 these data, the wind speed and temperature at the time experiments were undertaken were
99 compared to the distribution observed during typical clinic opening hours (0600–1800) across this
100 three-year period.

101 **Describing spaces**

102 The dimensions of each room were measured using a Bosch PLR 40R digital laser measure (Bosch,
103 Gerlingen, Germany; accuracy +/-2 mm) and room volumes calculated. The configuration of the
104 windows and doors when the room was in routine use was recorded – these were then used as the
105 ‘usual’ conditions in our experiments. To summarise these data, window configuration was classified
106 into one of four categories: 1) open (all windows fully open); 2) closed (all windows closed); 3) half
107 or less open; or 4) more than half open. For example, if there were three windows, one closed and
108 two half open, the windows would be 33% open $[(0 + 0.5 + 0.5) / 3]$, so the windows would be
109 classified as ‘half or less open’. The same classification was used for doors.

110 **Measuring ventilation**

111 **Tracer gas release experiments**

112 Our primary means of measuring ventilation was a tracer gas release technique using carbon dioxide
113 (CO₂; supplementary table 1) [17][22]. Ventilation was estimated under both ‘usual’ conditions (the
114 configuration observed when the room was in routine use) and ‘ideal’ conditions (with existing
115 windows and doors maximally open; supplementary table 2).

116 At the start of each experiment, every window and door was closed and any remaining openings
117 were taped closed with plastic sheeting. CO₂ monitors were placed at two different central locations
118 within the room (Datalogging Indoor Air Quality Meter – 800050, Sper Scientific, Scottsdale, Arizona,

17/02/22

119 USA; accuracy +/-75 parts per million [ppm] CO₂). The concentration of CO₂ in the room was then
120 increased by releasing CO₂ fire extinguishers for 5–10 seconds. CO₂ was mixed with room air using a
121 paddle fan for two minutes, with the aim of achieving a stable and homogeneous CO₂ concentration
122 of 3,000–10,000 ppm throughout the space. The fan was then turned off and, after five minutes, any
123 plastic sheeting was removed and the windows and doors were placed in either the usual or ideal
124 configuration. CO₂ levels were then recorded every second for a period of approximately five
125 minutes. The aim was to perform three experiments under usual conditions, and three under ideal
126 conditions, yielding six CO₂ decay curves under each set of conditions.

127 **Rebreathed fraction approach**

128 Tracer gas release experiments were not possible in spaces that 1) could not be vacated by patients
129 or clinic staff or 2) were large and very open to the outdoors, meaning high levels of CO₂ could not
130 be attained at baseline. Where tracer gas release experiments were not possible, an established
131 approach [23] [24] was adapted to estimate the ventilation rate using data on indoor CO₂
132 concentrations, outdoor CO₂ concentrations, and occupancy [25]. These experiments were
133 undertaken in eight main waiting areas during working hours when spaces were occupied by
134 patients and staff.

135 In these waiting areas, three CO₂ monitors were placed at different central locations and one
136 monitor placed immediately outside the building to capture the CO₂ concentration of the
137 replacement air. Measurements were obtained every second. The number of individuals in the room
138 was counted every ten minutes, categorising individuals as aged <1 year, 1–5 years, or >5 years.
139 Data were collected for between one and three hours under each set of conditions (usual and ideal).

140 **Statistical analysis**

141 The tracer gas release experiments produced data similar to those presented in Supplementary
142 Figure 1. Analysis focussed on the right-hand side of these curves. Specifically, data were included
143 from 30 seconds after the doors and windows were opened until CO₂ levels reached 200 ppm above
144 baseline or, where no baseline value was available, until CO₂ levels reached 800 ppm.

145 Prior to analysis, the CO₂ data were smoothed, using a sixty second moving average (mean),
146 consisting of the 30 seconds before and after each measurement. Using these smoothed data, the
147 natural logarithms of the CO₂ concentration measurements were plotted against time in hours. A
148 linear regression line was then fitted through each trace, with the gradient of this line providing an
149 estimate of the number of air changes per hour (ACH). With (typically) six such estimates per
150 experiment (three experiments, two CO₂ meters per experiment), a pooled estimate of the number

17/02/22

151 of air changes per hour, with an associated 95% confidence interval (CI), was obtained using random
152 effects meta-analysis. The absolute ventilation rate (in m³/hour) was then calculated as the product
153 of the number of air changes per hour and the room volume.

154 To analyse the paired indoor and outdoor CO₂ measurements, we developed an adaptation of the
155 Persily and de Jonge method [24] to allow for non-steady state conditions. The outside CO₂
156 concentration was estimated as a mean obtained through curve fitting, using linear interpolation.
157 The indoor CO₂ concentration in each space was calculated at each time point by taking the mean
158 value from the three inside monitors. The number of individuals in each age group in the room at
159 each time point was multiplied by their assumed CO₂ generation rate to estimate the total CO₂
160 generation rate at each time point. Age-specific CO₂ production rates were taken from Persily and de
161 Jonge [24], assuming an activity rate of 1.2 MET (occupants sitting quietly). A simple linear
162 regression model was then fitted describing how, at each time point, the difference between the
163 indoor CO₂ concentration and the outdoor CO₂ concentration related to the total CO₂ generation
164 rate. The slope of this regression line estimated the absolute ventilation rate. More details of this
165 method are given elsewhere[25]. Note, outdoor CO₂ concentrations in our experiments were
166 measured and found to be stable. In settings where outdoor CO₂ levels are more variable,
167 investigators using this method may prefer to update the outdoor CO₂ measurement at each
168 timestep.

169 Most spaces were only visited on one occasion and, as such, our estimates of ventilation do not fully
170 capture variation in ventilation rates associated with, for example, changes in wind speed or
171 direction. For this reason, when combining data from different spaces, we opted for a descriptive
172 presentation of our results. We avoided calculating pooled effect estimates with associated
173 confidence intervals, as we did not wish to overestimate precision.

174 Calculation of risk

175 The Wells-Riley equation models transmission risk for airborne infections as a Poisson process. The
176 approach assumes a well-mixed airspace. It takes into account the time spent in a space, the number
177 of infectious individuals present, the number of 'infectious quanta' they produce per unit time
178 (usually assumed), the volume of air susceptible individuals breathe per unit time, and the absolute
179 ventilation rate [26]. Here, quanta are defined as 'the number of infectious airborne particles
180 required to infect which may be one or more airborne particles' [26].

181

182

17/02/22

183

184
$$P = 1 - e^{-\frac{Iqpt}{Q}} \quad (1)$$

185 P = probability for each susceptible individual of being infected over time t; I = Number of infectious
186 individuals; q = number of infectious quanta they produce per hour; p = pulmonary ventilation rate
187 of susceptible individuals (volume/time); t = exposure time; Q = absolute room ventilation
188 (volume/time)

189 We related our calculated absolute ventilation rate to the risk of *Mtb* transmission using the Wells
190 Riley Equation[26]. These calculations assumed a single infectious individual was present and that
191 susceptible individuals had a pulmonary ventilation rate of 0.6 m³/hr.

192 The infectiousness of people with pulmonary TB is known to be heterogeneous. The standard
193 assumption is that infectious individuals produce 1.25 infectious quanta per hour, based on data
194 from early studies at Johns Hopkins [27]. A similar study of HIV-positive adults with pulmonary TB in
195 Lima, Peru, reported a mean quanta production rate of 8.2 per hour, and the most infectious
196 individual in that study produced 226 quanta per hour [28]. We, therefore, estimated transmission
197 risk at 1.25, 8.2 and 226 quanta/hr.

198 Tools and Code

199 Analysis of the tracer gas release experiments was undertaken in Stata version 14.2 (StataCorp,
200 College Station, Texas, USA). This Stata code is available on GitHub
201 (https://github.com/tayates/uo_ventilation). Analysis of paired indoor-outdoor CO₂ measurements
202 was carried out using R version 3.6.0 [29]. This R code is also available on GitHub (URL:
203 <https://github.com/ArminsterD/ventilation.git>). The data are available via
204 <https://datacompass.lshtm.ac.uk/>.

205 Ethics

206 The *Umoya omuhle* study received ethical approval from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee
207 of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (ref. BE082/18), the Human Research Ethics Committee of the
208 Faculty of Health Sciences of the University of Cape Town (ref. 165/2018), the Research Ethics
209 Committee of Queen Margaret University (ref. REP 0233), and the Observational/Interventions
210 Research Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (ref. 14872). No
211 data on individuals were captured for this aspect of the project. Consent to undertake these
212 experiments was obtained from facility managers.

17/02/22

213 Results

214 Setting

215 Ventilation experiments were performed in 33 clinic spaces between December 2018 and December
216 2019. Clinic characteristics are detailed in Table 1. Tracer release experiments were performed in 26
217 different clinic spaces of which 13 (50%) were consulting rooms; nine (35%) were waiting areas; and
218 four (15%) other spaces, including corridors, rooms where patients' vital signs were measured, and
219 phlebotomy rooms. Eight main waiting areas were assessed using the rebreathed fraction approach.

220 **Table 1: Characteristics of facilities (n = 10) and rooms (n = 33) in which ventilation experiments were**
221 **conducted**

Facility code	Decade built	Type of location	Estimated monthly headcount, n (1000s)	Type of clinic	Rooms studied (n = 33), n	Rooms where CO2 release experiments performed (n = 26), n	Rooms where rebreathed fraction experiments performed (n = 8), n
KZN1	1990s	Peri-urban	11–14	PHC	5	4	1
KZN2	1980s	Rural	5–8	PHC	7	7	0
KZN3	2000s	Peri-urban	27–30	CHC	3	2	1
KZN4	1980s	Urban	27–30	CHC	2*	2	1
KZN6	2000s	Rural	3–6	PHC	2	2	0
WC1	2010s	Peri-urban	25–28	PHC	3	1	2
WC2	2000s	Urban	1–3	PHC	2	1	1
WC3	1980s	Peri-urban	2–5	PHC	5	4	1
WC5	2010s	Urban	27–30	CHC	2	1	1
WC6	2000s	Peri-urban	25–28	PHC	2	2	0

222 CHC: community health clinic; PHC: primary healthcare clinic

223 *Both tracer gas release and rebreathed fraction experiments were performed in the same room

224

225 Under usual conditions in the 26 rooms where the CO₂ release technique was used, four rooms
226 (15%) had no windows, two (8%) had all existing windows closed, 12 (46%) had their windows less
227 than half open, and eight (31%) had their windows maximally open (of which the majority were
228 consulting rooms (5/8 [63%]). All consulting rooms had their doors closed when attending to
229 patients and no waiting rooms had more than half of their doors open when the room was in use
230 (Table 2).

231

232

17/02/22

233 **Table 2: The configuration of windows and doors under usual conditions in rooms where tracer gas release**
 234 **experiments were performed (n = 26 experiments on 12 days)**

Type of room	Configuration of windows				Configuration of door in rooms with one door (n = 13), n		Configuration of doors in rooms with multiple doors (n = 13), n		
	No windows	All windows closed	Windows open half or less	All windows maximally open	Door closed	Door open	All doors closed	Half or less doors open	Greater than half doors open
Consulting room (n = 13)	0	2	6	5	11	0	0	1	1
Waiting area (n = 9)	3	0	4	2	0	0	0	7	2
Other (n = 4)*	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	2
Total (n = 26)	4	2	12	8	12	1	0	8	5

235 *Other rooms included: two rooms where patient vital signs were measured, one phlebotomy room,
 236 one corridor where patients waited

237 Temperature and wind speed

238 We compared temperature and wind speed measured at the nearest weather station at the time we
 239 undertook our experiments to the mean temperature and wind speed measured during typical clinic
 240 opening hours at the same weather station.


241 Temperatures at the times tracer gas release experiments were conducted were within one and two
 242 standard deviations (SDs) of the 3-year mean temperature for 10/12 (83%) and 12/12 (100%)
 243 experiment days, respectively, and wind speeds within one and two SDs of the 3-year mean wind
 244 speed for 10/12 (83%) and 11/12 (92%) experiment days, respectively (Figure 1).

245 Temperatures at the time we performed rebreathed fraction experiments were within one and two
 246 SDs of the 3-year mean temperature for 7/8 (88%) and 8/8 (100%) experiment days and wind speeds
 247 within one and two SDs of the 3-year mean wind speed for 7/8 (88%) and 8/8 (100%) of experiment
 248 days (Supplementary Figure 2).

249

17/02/22

250 **Figure 1. Histograms showing the distribution of temperatures and wind speeds in KwaZulu-Natal and**
251 **Western Cape during working hours from January 2018–December 2020. Vertical lines show the mean**
252 **temperatures and wind speeds on the 12 days when tracer gas release experiments were conducted**



253 A: temperatures in KwaZulu-Natal; B: temperatures in Western Cape; C: wind speeds in KwaZulu-Natal; D:
254 wind speeds in Western Cape.
255 Vertical lines indicate mean temperature or wind speed at the weather station closest to each clinic during
256 working hours on the day the data were collected. Colour of histograms and vertical lines corresponds to
257 specific weather stations in each province.
258 C: centigrade; km/h: kilometres per hour; KZN: KwaZulu-Natal; WC: Western Cape
259

260 Tracer gas release experiments

261 The distribution of room volumes across the 26 spaces in which we performed tracer gas release
262 experiments is presented in Figure 2A. Box and whisker plots in Figure 3 present the same results
263 disaggregated by province, type of room, the age of the building, and whether the community is
264 urban or rural. The distribution of room sizes was skewed with a small number of much larger
265 rooms. As might be expected, consultation rooms ($n = 13$; median volume 31 m^3 , range $15\text{--}45 \text{ m}^3$)
266 were smaller than waiting rooms ($n = 9$; median volume 68 m^3 , range $31\text{--}135 \text{ m}^3$). Rooms in
267 temporary structures ($n = 5$; median volume 21 m^3 , range $15\text{--}106 \text{ m}^3$) were smaller than those in
268 permanent structures ($n = 21$; median volume 43 m^3 , range $21\text{--}135 \text{ m}^3$).
269

270

17/02/22

271 **Figure 2: Histograms describing the distribution of room volumes (A), the number of air changes per hour (B)**
272 **and the absolute ventilation rate (C) in the 26 clinical spaces where we conducted tracer gas release**
273 **experiments. Ventilation rates are described under usual conditions and ideal conditions (all doors and**
274 **windows fully open)**

275

276

277


278

279

280

281

282



283


284

285

286

287

288



289

290

291


292

293

294


295

296



17/02/22

297 **Figure 3: Box and whisker plots describing the distribution of room volumes in the clinical spaces where we**
298 **conducted tracer gas release experiments. Results are disaggregated by province (A), room type (B), the age**
299 **of the building (C), and clinic location (D). Here, the boxes mark the 25th percentile, the 50th percentile**
300 **(median) and the 75th percentile, with the whiskers marking the upper and lower adjacent values.**



301


302 The distribution of the number of ACH under both usual and ideal conditions is presented in Figure
303 2B. The same data disaggregated by province, type of room, the age of the building, and whether
304 the community is urban or rural are presented in Figure 4. The number of ACH varied substantially. It
305 was higher in waiting rooms than in consultation rooms (usual conditions: median ACH in waiting
306 rooms = 21, range 4 – 36; median ACH in consultation rooms = 4, range 0 - 32) and, as expected,
307 higher with existing doors and windows fully open (ideal conditions: median ACH in waiting rooms =
308 29, range 16 - 65; median ACH in consultation rooms = 26, range 7 - 54). Opening existing doors and
309 windows resulted in a median 2.1-fold increase in the number of air changes per hour (range 1 -
310 25.6; note, this range does not include a consultation room with zero effective ventilation under
311 usual conditions).

312

313

17/02/22

314 **Figure 4: Box and whisker plots describing the distribution of the number of air changes per hour in the 26**
315 **clinical spaces where we conducted tracer gas release experiments. Ventilation rates are described under**
316 **usual conditions and with all doors and windows fully open. Results are disaggregated by province (A), room**
317 **type (B), the age of the building (C), and clinic location (D). Here, the boxes mark the 25th percentile, the 50th**
318 **percentile (median) and the 75th percentile, with the whiskers marking the upper and lower adjacent values.**
319




320

321 The distribution of the absolute ventilation rates is presented in Figure 2C. These data,
322 disaggregated by province, type of room, the age of the building, and whether the community is
323 urban or rural, are presented in Figure 5. Again, we observed substantial variation. The absolute
324 ventilation rate was much higher in waiting rooms (usual conditions: median absolute ventilation
325 rate = 1289 m³/hr, range 338–2651 m³/hr) than in consultation rooms (usual conditions: median
326 absolute ventilation rate 197 m³/hr, range 0–1451 m³/hr). Temporary buildings (usual conditions:
327 median absolute ventilation rate 360 m³/hr, range 18–2240 m³/hr) were less well ventilated than
328 permanent structures (usual conditions: median absolute ventilation rate 493 m³/hr, range 0–2651
329 m³/hr).

17/02/22

330 Supplementary table 3 contains full results for each space in which tracer gas release experiments
331 were performed. Supplementary figure 3 shows the association between wind speed and the
332 absolute ventilation rate for the 26 spaces in which we undertook tracer gas release experiments.

333 **Figure 5: Box and whisker plots describing the distribution of the absolute ventilation rate in the 26 clinical**
334 **spaces where we conducted tracer gas release experiments. Ventilation rates are described under usual**
335 **conditions and with all doors and windows fully open. Results are disaggregated by province (A), room type**
336 **(B), the age of the building (C), and clinic location (D). Here, the boxes mark the 25th percentile, the 50th**
337 **percentile (median) and the 75th percentile, with the whiskers marking the upper and lower adjacent values.**
338



339

340

341


17/02/22

342 **Rebreathed fraction experiments**

343 The estimated absolute ventilation rates in the eight clinic waiting rooms where the rebreathed
344 fraction approach was used are presented in Figure 6 and supplementary table 4. The volume of
345 these waiting rooms was a median 342.7 (range 50.2–2147.3) m³. Under usual conditions, there
346 were a median 4.5 ACH (range 2.1–33.5), which improved under ideal conditions to a median 11.2
347 ACH [range 2.5–107.2]. Absolute ventilation rates were lower under usual conditions than under
348 ideal conditions (median 1898.8 [range 701.5–4815.1] m³/hr vs. 5417.8 [range 1870.3–9328.4]
349 m³/hr, respectively) with fully opening existing doors and windows improving the absolute
350 ventilation rate by a median 2.0 fold (range 1.0–6.5).

351 **Figure 6. Absolute ventilation rates of eight clinic waiting rooms under usual and ideal conditions, estimated**
352 **by the rebreathed fraction approach**

353



354

355 Usual conditions = configuration of windows and doors observed when the room was in routine use
356 Ideal conditions = all windows and doors maximally open
357 Clinic WC3, room X: usual conditions the same as ideal conditions
358 Vertical bars indicate upper and lower estimates of 95% confidence intervals
359 WC: Western Cape; KZN: KwaZulu-Natal; WA2, WA4, WA1, X, E, MA, U, L are the codes for the specific waiting
360 rooms where experiments were performed.

361



362

17/02/22


363 Estimated risk of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* transmission

364 We related the absolute ventilation rate to the risk of Mtb transmission using the Wells Riley
365 Equation[26], as described above. We produced estimates of infection risk under various
366 assumptions about the quanta production rate (Figure 7). The horizontal lines on the figure give the
367 median clinic visit duration of 2 hours 36 minutes [30]. The vertical lines give the median absolute
368 ventilation rate in waiting rooms under both usual and ideal conditions. Combining data from both
369 the tracer gas release experiments and the rebreathed fraction approach, this figure is 1769 m³/hr
370 under usual conditions and 2950 m³/hr under ideal conditions. Meaningful reductions in
371 transmission risk can be achieved by improving ventilation or reducing the duration of clinic visits.
372 However, ventilation alone cannot eliminate transmission risk in the context of sustained exposure.

373 **Figure 7. A set of heat maps translating ventilation rate into transmission risk, as estimated using the Wells-**
374 **Riley equation at 1.25 (A) ,8.2 (B) and 226 (C) quanta/hr.**
375 *The horizontal lines show the median clinic visit duration. The vertical lines show the median absolute*
376 *ventilation rate in clinic waiting rooms under both usual and ideal conditions.*



17/02/22



400 Discussion

401 We observed substantial variation between spaces in rates of natural ventilation. Under usual
402 conditions, using the gold standard tracer gas release method, the median number of ACH was 11.7
403 and the median absolute ventilation rate was 447 m³/hr. There were higher ventilation rates in
404 waiting rooms (median Q = 1289 m³/hr) than in consultation rooms (median Q = 197 m³/hr). In the
405 eight waiting rooms where we used the rebreathed fraction approach, the median absolute
406 ventilation rate was 1898.8 m³/hr. We showed that ventilation rates could, on average, be doubled if
407 existing doors and windows were opened.

408 There are few published estimates of the absolute ventilation rate in naturally ventilated public
409 spaces, including clinical spaces, in high burden settings. In Peruvian hospitals, Escombe and
410 colleagues estimated an absolute ventilation rate of 2477 m³/hr in naturally ventilated spaces, and
411 402 m³/hr in mechanically ventilated spaces [17]. In a study of four small clinic rooms in Cape Town,
412 Cox and colleagues estimated a median ventilation rate of 487 m³/hr in the best ventilated space,
413 but none of these experiments were conducted with both the window and the wind-driven roof
414 turbines open [5].

415 The latest World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines on TB IPC, published in 2019, do not contain
416 a recommended target ventilation rate for clinical spaces [3]. A 2009 WHO guideline recommended

17/02/22

417 that ‘general wards and outpatient spaces’ in naturally ventilated buildings should aim for a
418 minimum ventilation rate of 60 litres/second/person (216 m³/hr/person)[1]. For ‘airborne
419 precaution rooms’ (small rooms for accommodating people who may be infectious) the
420 recommended ventilation rate was 160 litres/second/person on average (576 m³/hr/person), with a
421 minimum rate of 80 litres/second/person (288 m³/hr/person) when wind speed and direction are
422 not favourable. In high burden settings, the transmission risk in general clinic spaces may be
423 equivalent to that in ‘airborne precaution rooms’, given the high prevalence of undiagnosed TB [31].
424 Dividing the ventilation rates estimated in this study by the per person rates recommended by the
425 WHO yields estimates of maximum occupancy that are lower than those we observed in these
426 clinics. The median ventilation rate in waiting rooms (combining both measurement approaches)
427 meant only eight people could be accommodated under usual conditions, or 13 under ideal
428 conditions, whilst achieving a ventilation rate of 60 litres/second/person. The median ventilation
429 rate in consultation rooms meant doors and windows needed to be fully opened for a health worker
430 and one patient to be accommodated whilst achieving a ventilation rate of 60 litres/second/person.
431 We undertook detailed analysis of patient flow in nine waiting rooms at three clinics, as part of the
432 *Umoya omuhle* project. The quietest waiting area, in a rural clinic, had a median occupancy of 11
433 people, whereas the busiest waiting area had a median occupancy of 52 people [30]. Consultation
434 rooms typically accommodate a single healthcare worker plus a patient, though patients are not
435 infrequently accompanied by a friend or family member. The fold changes in ventilation rates
436 needed to meet these WHO recommendations may be unfeasible without significant decongestion
437 of clinic spaces.

438 We showed that natural ventilation can be improved by two-fold by fully opening available windows
439 and doors. We observed that only 30% of spaces had their windows fully open and all consulting
440 room doors were closed when consultations were taking place. Major barriers to opening existing
441 doors and windows include concerns regards privacy and thermal comfort [32]. In a number of
442 spaces, we observed doors and windows had been closed to allow air conditioning units to function.
443 Detailed qualitative research exploring TB IPC related beliefs and behaviours in the same clinics will
444 be presented separately.

445 An advantage of this work is the wide range of clinical spaces studied. These included: both new and
446 old buildings, temporary and permanent structures, in urban and rural clinics across two provinces.
447 We found that small rooms in temporary structures were particularly badly ventilated. Investment in
448 replacing temporary structures with well-designed permanent structures should be considered,
449 including, where feasible, covered outdoor waiting areas. Low-cost adaptations to existing structures

17/02/22

450 should also be considered. A study of small, poorly-ventilated clinic rooms in Cape Town
451 demonstrated improvements in natural ventilation associated with use of wind driven roof turbines
452 or ‘Whirlybird’ fans [5]. A study in Lima, Peru measured ventilation before and after making changes
453 to six clinical spaces. These changes ranged in intensity from repairing windows that could not be
454 opened at a cost of USD 25, to building a sheltered outdoor waiting area at a cost of USD 7000. The
455 changes resulted in a median 3.0 fold increase in the ventilation rate [33].

456 Our Wells-Riley estimates of *Mtb* transmission risk suggest that substantial reductions in
457 transmission risk can be achieved by improvements in both natural ventilation and the duration of
458 clinic visits. The substantial variation in ventilation rates observed among spaces within clinics also
459 suggest that transmission risk might be reduced by reorganising care so that patients spend more
460 time in better ventilated spaces. However, as has been argued elsewhere [34], in the absence of
461 other precautions (e.g. consistent use of N95 respirators), very high ventilation rates are needed to
462 protect individuals with prolonged exposure, such as healthcare workers. Where feasible, this might
463 be achieved by moving waiting areas outdoors. The use of upper room germicidal ultraviolet (UVGI)
464 has been advocated where there are constraints on the degree of natural ventilation that can be
465 achieved [32]. This technology is effective at reducing *Mtb* transmission [35][36], and can offer
466 protection on calm days and with doors and windows closed. However, recent experience in South
467 Africa of low-quality installations and poor maintenance led to it falling out of favour [37]. UVGI
468 implementation in resource limited settings is an active area of research and innovative approaches
469 may facilitate easier installation and maintenance [38].

470 As part of the wider *Umoya omuhle* project, we have modelled the impact of IPC interventions
471 implemented in clinics, including improvements in natural ventilation, on transmission both within
472 clinics [39] and in surrounding communities [40]. Cost effectiveness analyses of these interventions
473 will be published shortly. This work considers the infrastructural, organisational and behavioural
474 changes needed for such interventions to be sustainable. These results support additional
475 investment in a package of IPC interventions to limit the transmission of *Mtb* and other airborne
476 pathogens. Ideally, these interventions would be introduced in manner that allowed robust
477 estimation of their impact, as has previously been done during the national roll out of major
478 interventions in South African healthcare system [41].

479 CO₂ release experiments are a robust approach to measuring ventilation. However, the approach is
480 labour intensive, technically demanding, and not possible in large open spaces or spaces that cannot
481 be emptied of people. For this reason, we obtained ventilation estimates using this approach in a
482 limited number of spaces and, usually, only on a single visit. As such, we will not have captured the

17/02/22

483 variability in ventilation that might be expected with changes in weather and season. However,
484 considering these spaces, we obtained measurements over the course of a calendar year. The days
485 on which we took our measurements were broadly representative with respect to temperature and
486 wind speed. Mathematically, the rebreathed fraction approach should give comparable results,
487 though it required assumptions about occupants' metabolic rate. Direct comparison between the
488 two approaches, in the same space and with the same weather conditions, was not possible,
489 because one method required the space to be occupied and other required it to be empty. It should
490 be noted that while the confidence intervals around the estimates obtained using the rebreathed
491 fraction approach were frequently large, this reflects changes in ventilation rates that occurred over
492 the measurement period – for instance, due to changes in wind speed – as well as the precision of
493 our measurements.

494 Simpler approaches to estimating the absolute ventilation rate are needed. Several have been
495 proposed, such as simply measuring indoor CO₂ levels [42], or estimating transmission risk using the
496 approach described by Rudnick and Milton [23]. However, these approaches do not partition risk
497 into that caused by poor ventilation versus that caused by overcrowding, problems with distinct
498 solutions. A single infectious person may transmit to a single susceptible person in a poorly
499 ventilated space with the low room occupancy only resulting in modest rises in background CO₂
500 levels. Furthermore, in our experience, rebreathed fraction measurements may be difficult to
501 interpret where there is rapid flux in levels of occupancy – i.e. in small consultation rooms where
502 patients enter and leave frequently.

503 Ideally, we need approaches to estimate the absolute ventilation rate that do not depend on costly
504 equipment; that can be performed quickly by clinic staff in occupied spaces with minimal training;
505 and with immediate results to guide risk reduction interventions, such as reducing occupancy or
506 opening windows. A possible approach advocated in WHO documents [1][2] makes a set of simple
507 calculations based on wind speed, which must be measured, and the area of the windows of
508 windows and doors. No validation data are presented and the method can only be applied in spaces
509 with openings on opposite walls. One alternative might be a simplified tracer gas release
510 experiment, ideally using an inert substance not usually present in room air. This might mean that
511 small volumes of tracer gas could be released with the room in routine use. We wonder whether
512 concentrations might then be measured using a meter adapted to feed data to a smart phone, with
513 a phone application used to interpret the decay curve.

514 In conclusion, we observed substantial variation in natural ventilation in clinical spaces in primary
515 health care facilities in two provinces of South Africa. The worst ventilated spaces were small rooms

17/02/22

516 where doors and windows had been closed, and temporary structures had lower ventilation rates
517 than permanent structures. Opening all existing doors and windows resulted in meaningful
518 improvements in ventilation. Concerns regarding privacy and thermal comfort may place limits on
519 the ventilation rates that can be achieved. A package of IPC interventions, including those directed
520 at improving natural ventilation, are needed to reduce *Mtb* transmission in these settings. In future
521 work, we plan to model adaptations to clinic structures that maximise ventilation without
522 compromising thermal comfort.

523 Acknowledgments

524 We are grateful to the clinical and management staff at 10 clinics where we obtained ventilation
525 measurements. We thank Thomas Murray, Harriet Gliddon, and Sinethemba Mabuyakhulu who
526 assisted us with ventilation measurements in KZN. We are grateful to Rod Escombe, Ed Nardell, Jon
527 Taylor, Don Milton and Toby van Reenen for useful discussions about various aspects of ventilation
528 science – they take no responsibility for the content of this manuscript.

529 Author contributions

530 Conceptualization: TAY, ADG, PGB
531 Data collection and curation: PGB, ASK, IG
532 Formal analysis: TAY, AD, PGB, ASK, KB
533 Funding acquisition: ADG, NM, TAY, KB, KK
534 Methodology: PGB, ASK, TAY, AD, NM, KB, ADG
535 Project administration: ASK, TAY, ADG, KK
536 Visualization: PGB, ASK, TAY
537 Writing – original draft: PGB
538 Writing – review & editing: ASK, IG, AD, NM, KB, KK, ADG, TAY

539 Funding

540 The Umoya Omuhle project is supported by the Economic and Social Research Council (UK). Grant
541 reference: ES/P008011/1. The project is partly funded by the Antimicrobial Resistance Cross Council
542 Initiative supported by the seven research councils in partnership with other funders including
543 support from the Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF). TAY was funded via an NIHR Academic
544 Clinical Fellowship. NM is additionally funded by the Wellcome Trust (218261/Z/19/Z).

545 Competing interests

546 The authors declare no competing interests.

17/02/22

547 Supplementary material

548 Supplementary table 1: Differences between the two methods used to measure ventilation in the
549 clinics

550 Supplementary table 2: Definitions of usual and ideal conditions

551 Supplementary table 3: Absolute ventilation rates of the clinic spaces under usual and ideal
552 conditions using the tracer gas release technique

553 Supplementary table 4: Ventilation rates using the rebreathed fraction approach using the
554 continuous measurements under usual and ideal conditions

555 Supplementary Figure 1: an example of a tracer gas release experiment from Room C at KZN2 clinic
556 (experiment 6 Monitor a). Following log transformation , the right hand side of the curve is
557 approximately linear.

558 Supplementary Figure 2: Histograms showing the distribution of temperatures and wind speeds in
559 KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape during working hours from January 2018 – December 2020.
560 Vertical lines shows the mean temperatures and wind speeds on the 8 days when the rebreathed
561 approach experiments were conducted.

562 Supplementary Figure 3: Association between wind speed and the absolute ventilation rates

563 References

564

- 565 1. Atkinson J, Chartier Y, Lúcia Pessoa-Silva C, Jensen P, Li Y, Seto W-H. Natural Ventilation for
566 Infection Control in Health-Care Settings WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data:
567 Natural ventilation for infection control in health-care settings. Geneva WHO; 2009;1.
- 568 2. Roadmap to improve and ensure good indoor ventilation in the context of COVID-19. Geneva.
569 World Health Organization;2021. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 2021.
- 570 3. WHO guidelines on tuberculosis infection prevention and control, 2019 update, Geneva:
571 World Health Organization; 2019. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. Available:
572 [https://www.who.int/tb/publications/2019/guidelines-tuberculosis-infection-prevention-
573 2019/en/%0Ahttps://www.who.int/tb/areas-of-work/preventive-care/infection-
574 control/Annexes4_5-GRADetables.pdf?ua=1&ua=1](https://www.who.int/tb/publications/2019/guidelines-tuberculosis-infection-prevention-2019/en/%0Ahttps://www.who.int/tb/areas-of-work/preventive-care/infection-control/Annexes4_5-GRADetables.pdf?ua=1&ua=1)
- 575 4. Taylor JG, Yates TA, Mthethwa M, Tanser F, Abubakar I, Altamirano H. Measuring ventilation
576 and modelling M. Tuberculosis transmission in indoor congregate settings, rural KwaZulu-
577 Natal. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2016;20. doi:10.5588/ijtld.16.0085
- 578 5. Cox H, Escombe R, McDermid C, Mtshemla Y, Spelman T, Azevedo V, et al. Wind-driven roof

17/02/22

- 579 turbines: A novel way to improve ventilation for TB infection control in health facilities. *PLoS*
580 *One*. 2012;7: 1–6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029589
- 581 6. Richardson ET, Morrow CD, Ho T, Fürst N, Cohelia R, Tram KH, et al. Forced removals
582 embodied as tuberculosis. *Soc Sci Med*. 2016;161: 13–18.
583 doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.05.015
- 584 7. Wood R, Morrow C, Ginsberg S, Piccoli E, Kalil D, Sassi A, et al. Quantification of shared air: A
585 Social and environmental determinant of airborne disease transmission. *PLoS One*. 2014;9: 1–
586 8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106622
- 587 8. Andrews JR, Morrow C, Walensky RP, Wood R. Integrating social contact and environmental
588 data in evaluating tuberculosis transmission in a South African township. *J Infect Dis*.
589 2014;210: 597–603. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu138
- 590 9. Hella J, Morrow C, Mhimbira F, Ginsberg S, Chitnis N, Gagneux S, et al. Tuberculosis
591 transmission in public locations in Tanzania: A novel approach to studying airborne disease
592 transmission. *J Infect*. 2017;75: 191–197. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2017.06.009
- 593 10. Gandhi NR, Moll A, Sturm AW, Pawinski R, Govender T, Lalloo U, et al. Extensively drug-
594 resistant tuberculosis as a cause of death in patients co-infected with tuberculosis and HIV in
595 a rural area of South Africa. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2006;368: 1575–1580.
596 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69573-1
- 597 11. Bock NN, Jensen PA, Miller B, Nardell E. Tuberculosis Infection Control in Resource-Limited
598 Settings in the Era of Expanding HIV Care and Treatment. *J Infect Dis*. 2007;196: S108–S113.
599 doi:10.1086/518661
- 600 12. McCreesh N, Grant AD, Yates TA, Karat AS, White RG. Tuberculosis from transmission in
601 clinics in high HIV settings may be far higher than contact data suggest. *Int J Tuberc lung Dis*.
602 2020;24: 403–408. doi:10.5588/ijtld.19.0410
- 603 13. Mzembe T, Mclean E, Khan PY, Koole O, Sichali L, Mwinuka V, et al. Risk of *Mycobacterium*
604 tuberculosis transmission in an antiretroviral therapy clinic. *AIDS*. 2018;32: 2417–2421.
605 doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000002006
- 606 14. Bantubani N, Kabera G, Connolly C, Rustomjee R, Reddy T, Cohen T, et al. High rates of
607 potentially infectious tuberculosis and multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) among
608 hospital inpatients in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa indicate risk of nosocomial transmission.
609 *PLoS One*. 2014;9: 1–7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090868
- 610 15. Uden L, Barber E, Ford N, Cooke GS. Risk of Tuberculosis Infection and Disease for Health
611 Care Workers: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2017;4: 1–7.
612 doi:10.1093/ofid/ofx137
- 613 16. McCarthy KM, Scott LE, Gous N, Tellie M, Venter WDF, Stevens WS, et al. High incidence of
614 latent tuberculous infection among South African health workers: an urgent call for action.
615 *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2015;19: 647–653. doi:10.5588/ijtld.14.0759
- 616 17. Escombe AR, Oeser CC, Gilman RH, Navincopa M, Ticona E, Pan W, et al. Natural ventilation
617 for the prevention of airborne contagion. *PLoS Med*. 2007;4: 0309–0317.
618 doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040068

17/02/22

- 619 18. Levin H. Natural ventilation: A sustainable solution to infection control in healthcare settings?
620 IAQ Conferene: IAQ 2010: Airborne Infection Control. 2010 : 1–10.
- 621 19. Kielmann K, Karat AS, Zwama G, Colvin C, Swartz A, Voce AS, et al. Tuberculosis infection
622 prevention and control: Why we need a whole systems approach. *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2020;9:
623 1–4. doi:10.1186/s40249-020-00667-6
- 624 20. Umoya Omuhle. Infection prevention and control for drug-resistant tuberculosis in South
625 Africa in the era of decentralised care: A whole systems approach. 2021.
- 626 21. Wallrauch C, Heller T, Lessells R, Kekana M, Bärnighausen T, Newell M. F ORUM High uptake
627 of HIV testing for tuberculosis patients in an integrated primary health care HIV / TB
628 programme in rural. 2010;100: 146–147.
- 629 22. Menzies R, Schwartzman K, Loo V, Pasztor J. Measuring ventilation of patient care areas in
630 hospitals. Description of a new protocol. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1995;152: 1992–1999.
631 doi:10.1164/ajrccm.152.6.8520767
- 632 23. Rudnick SN, Milton DK. Risk of indoor airborne infection transmission estimated from carbon
633 dioxide concentration. *Indoor Air*. 2003;13: 237–245. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0668.2003.00189.x
- 634 24. Persily A, de Jonge L. Carbon dioxide generation rates for building occupants. *Indoor Air*.
635 2017;27: 868–879. doi:10.1111/ina.12383
- 636 25. Doel AK, Beckwith P, Yates TA, Karat AS, Yan AWC, Baisley K, et al. Estimating ventilation rates
637 in rooms with varying occupancy levels: relevance for reducing transmission risk of airborne
638 pathogens. *PLoS One*. 2021.
- 639 26. Riley EC, Murphy G, Riley RL. Airborne spread of measles in a suburban elementary school.
640 *Am J Epidemiol*. 1978;107: 421–432. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112560
- 641 27. Riley RL, Mills CC, O’Grady F, Sultan LU, Wittstadt F, Shivpuri DN. Infectiousness of air from a
642 tuberculosis ward. Ultraviolet irradiation of infected air: comparative infectiousness of
643 different patients. *Am Rev Respir Dis*. 1962;85: 511–525. doi:10.1164/arrd.1962.85.4.511
- 644 28. Escombe AR, Moore DAJ, Gilman RH, Pan W, Navincopa M, Ticona E, et al. The Infectiousness
645 of Tuberculosis Patients Coinfected with HIV. *PLOS Med*. 2008;5: 1.
646 doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0050188
- 647 29. R Core Team 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
648 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available: <https://www.r-project.org/>.
- 649 30. Karat AS, McCreech N, Baisley K, Govender I, Kallon II, Kielmann K, et al. Waiting times,
650 patient flow, and occupancy density in South African primary health care clinics: implications
651 for infection prevention and control. medRxiv. : 1–31. doi:MedRxiv Doi:
652 10.1101/2021.07.21.21260806
- 653 31. Govender I, Karat AS, Baisley K, Dayi N, Gunda R, Smit T, et al. Prevalence of Mycobacterium
654 tuberculosis in sputum among adult clinic attendees compared with the surrounding
655 community in rural South Africa: implications for finding the missing millions. *Intern J Tuberc
656 Lung Dis*. 2020;24: S363.
- 657 32. Nardell E, Lederer P, Mishra H, Nathavitharana R, Theron G. Cool but dangerous: How climate

17/02/22

- 658 change is increasing the risk of airborne infections. *Indoor Air*. 2020;30: 195–197.
659 doi:10.1111/ina.12608
- 660 33. Escombe AR, Ticona E, Chávez-Pérez V, Espinoza M, Moore DAJ. Improving natural ventilation
661 in hospital waiting and consulting rooms to reduce nosocomial tuberculosis transmission risk
662 in a low resource setting. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2019;19: 1–7. doi:10.1186/s12879-019-3717-9
- 663 34. Nardell EA, Keegan J, Cheney SA, Etkind SC. Airborne infection. Theoretical limits of
664 protection achievable by building ventilation. *Am Rev Respir Dis*. 1991;144: 302–306.
665 doi:10.1164/ajrccm/144.2.302
- 666 35. Escombe AR, Moore DAJ, Gilman RH, Navincopa M, Ticona E, Mitchell B, et al. Upper-room
667 ultraviolet light and negative air ionization to prevent tuberculosis transmissio. *PLoS Med*.
668 2009;6: 0312–0323. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000043
- 669 36. Mphaphlele M, Dharmadhikari AS, Jensen PA, Rudnick SN, van Reenen TH, Pagano MA, et al.
670 Institutional Tuberculosis Transmission. Controlled Trial of Upper Room Ultraviolet Air
671 Disinfection: A Basis for New Dosing Guidelines. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2015;192: 477–
672 484. doi:10.1164/rccm.201501-0060OC
- 673 37. Nardell E, Vincent R, Sliney DH. Upper-Room Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation (UVGI) for Air
674 Disinfection: A Symposium in Print. *Photochem Photobiol*. 2013;89: 764–769.
675 doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/php.12098
- 676 38. Linnes JC, Rudnick SN, Hunt GM, McDevitt JJ, Nardell EA. Eggcrate UV: a whole ceiling upper-
677 room ultraviolet germicidal irradiation system for air disinfection in occupied rooms. *Indoor*
678 *Air*. 2014;24: 116–124. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12063
- 679 39. McCreesh N, Karat AS, Baisley K, Diaconu K, Bozzani F, Govender I, et al. Modelling the effect
680 of infection prevention and control measures on rate of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
681 transmission to clinic attendees in primary health clinics in South Africa. 2021; 1–8.
682 doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2021-007124
- 683 40. McCreesh N, Karat AS, Govender I, Baisley K, Diaconu K, Yates TA, et al. Estimating the
684 contribution of transmission in primary healthcare clinics to community-wide TB disease
685 incidence, and the impact of infection prevention and control interventions, in KwaZulu-
686 Natal, South Africa. *medRxiv*. 2021; 2021.08.02.21260844. doi:10.1101/2021.08.02.21260844
- 687 41. Churchyard GJ, Stevens WS, Mametja LD, McCarthy KM, Chihota V, Nicol MP, et al. Xpert
688 MTB/RIF versus sputum microscopy as the initial diagnostic test for tuberculosis: A cluster-
689 randomised trial embedded in South African roll-out of Xpert MTB/RIF. *Lancet Glob Heal*.
690 2015;3: e450–e457. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00100-X
- 691 42. Richardson ET, Morrow CD, Kalil DB, Bekker LG, Wood R. Shared air: A renewed focus on
692 ventilation for the prevention of tuberculosis transmission. *PLoS One*. 2014;9: 1–7.
693 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096334
- 694
- 695

17/2/2022

Supplementary material: Direct estimates of absolute ventilation rates in primary level clinics in South Africa

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary table 1: Differences between the two methods used to measure ventilation in the clinics

Name of method	Brief description of method	Room conditions in which experiments performed	Occupancy of space at time of experiment	Rooms in which experiments were performed in	Advantages	Disadvantages
Tracer gas release experiments	CO ₂ was used as the tracer gas for this method. CO ₂ was released. The windows and doors were opened and the decay of the CO ₂ was measured.	Ideal and usual conditions	Rooms had no HCWs or patients in the room	Mainly small spaces where a high CO ₂ baseline could be achieved	Gold standard	CO ₂ is released into the atmosphere Difficult to measure large spaces with this method Difficult to perform during work hours in areas with a large occupancy
Rebreathed fraction approach	CO ₂ levels were measured every second in three inside sites of the waiting room and at one site outside of the building. Headcounts were performed.	Ideal and usual conditions	Rooms were occupied by HCWs and patients	Main waiting rooms	Can be performed during working hours.	Headcounts were performed every 10 minutes. The waiting rooms were very dynamic so the variations of CO ₂ produced by the number of people may not have been captured

CO₂: Carbon dioxide HCW: healthcare workers

17/2/2022

Supplementary table 2: Definitions of Usual and Ideal conditions

Term	Description
Usual conditions	The configuration of the existing windows and doors in the room observed on the day of room volume measurements (in the case of the tracer gas release experiments); or The configuration of the existing windows and doors found when in use (in the case of the rebreathed fraction approach)
Ideal conditions	All windows and doors fully opened to allow for maximal ventilation

17/2/2022

Supplementary table 3: Absolute ventilation rates of the clinic spaces under usual and ideal conditions using the tracer gas release technique

Clinic	Rooms	Use of room	Room volume (m ³)	ACH under usual conditions	Absolute ventilation under usual conditions (CI)	ACH under ideal conditions	Absolute ventilation under ideal conditions (CI)	Fold increase in ventilation rate (absolute/ideal)
WC1	Room CR3	Consulting room	30.9	1.69 (1.12 – 2.27)	52.43 (34.57 – 70.28)	15.82 (12.43 – 19.199)	489.44 (384.72 – 594.17)	9.34
WC2	Room CR	Consulting room	29.4	2.11 (1.39 – 2.84)	62.04 (40.68 – 83.37)	54.01 (44.81 – 63.21)	1586.52 (1316.34 – 1856.71)	25.57
WC3	Room D	Consulting	22.4	0	0	14.73 (12.40 – 17.06)	329.69 (277.50 – 381.88)	*
WC3	Room G	Waiting room	31.3	26.47 (18.03 – 34.91)	827.56 (563.61 – 1091.52)	26.47 (18.03 – 34.91)	827.56 (563.61 – 1091.52)	1
WC3	Room H	Consulting room	45.5	31.93 (25.87 – 37.99)	1451.45 (1176.01 – 1726.94)	34.10 (28.36 – 39.84)	1550.28 (1289.34 – 1811.27)	1.07
WC3	Room J	Consulting room	22.1	9.66 (8.53 – 10.79)	213.35 (188.39-238.33)	29.50 (17.27 – 41.72)	651.47 (381.49 – 921.46)	3.05
WC5	Room A	Consulting room	32.9	3.91 (2.41 – 5.51)	128.54 (79.19 – 177.90)	7.22 (5.33 – 9.12)	237.37 (175.10 – 299.64)	1.85
WC6	Room PD	Waiting area	54.3	36.18 (27.21 – 45.15)	1962.93 (1476.36 – 2449.50)	65.16 (53.49 – 76.84)	3535.09 (2901.94 – 4168.30)	1.8
WC6	Room TB	Waiting area	81.0	32.74 (29.21 – 36.28)	2650.95 (2364.52 – 2937.39)	36.11 (25.71 – 46.5)	2923.22 (2081.72 – 3764.64)	1.10
KZN1	Room CD	Vitals	16.7	3.47 (3.07 – 3.87)	58.03 (51.32 – 64.74)	23.39 (18.16 – 28.62)	391.25 (303.70 – 478.81)	6.74
KZN1	Room CI	Consulting	20.8	17.34 (14.99 – 19.68)	360.42 (311.66 – 409.17)	25.42 (22.27 – 28.58)	528.60 (463.04 – 594.17)	1.47
KZN1	Room ME	Consulting	20.7	3.06 (1.15 – 5.01)	63.33 (22.89 – 103.78)	13.18 (12.62 – 13.75)	273.03 (261.39 – 284.69)	4.31
KZN1	Room MF	Consulting	32.8	15.07 (14.23 – 15.91)	493.81 (466.25 – 521.36)	18.76 (17.35 – 20.18)	614.77 (568.41 – 661.13)	1.25
KZN2	Room A	Waiting area	135.4	14.57 (12.03 – 17.12)	1972.65 (1628.02 – 2317.29)	24.18 (18.55 – 29.81)	3273.22 (2511.26 – 4035.18)	1.65
KZN2	Room C	Vitals	42.7	22.26 (20.81 – 23.71)	949.92 (887.99 – 1011.81)	24.29 (23.32 – 25.26)	1036.65 (995.38 – 1077.92)	1.09
KZN2	Room HI	Consulting	34.6	6.43 (3.60 – 9.27)	222.70 (124.64 – 320.73)	26.88 (22.95 – 30.81)	930.30 (794.20 – 1066.36)	4.17
KZN2	Room K	Waiting area	79.6	4.25 (3.19 – 5.31)	338.03 (253.80 – 422.34)	15.74 (11.52 – 19.95)	1252.77 (917.13 – 1588.42)	3.71

17/2/2022

KZN2	Room M	Consulting	44.8	4.41 (4.00 – 4.82)	197.39 (178.99 – 215.75)	26.34 (23.60 – 29.075)	1179.33 (1056.67 – 1301.98)	5.97
KZN2	Room S	Consulting	14.7	1.24 (0.78 – 1.71)	18.26 (11.50 – 25.05)	26.70 (23.15 – 30.248)	391.97 (339.88- 444.07)	21.46
KZN2	Room V	Corridor inside	53.4	25.03 (19.68 – 30.38)	1337.07 (1051.19 – 1622.90)	32.64 (19.39 – 45.89)	1743.74 (1035.91 – 2451.57)	1.30
KZN3	Room HAST	Waiting area	106.4	21.05 (17.80 – 24.30)	2240.34 (1894.16 – 2586.42)	29.32 (26.68 – 31.95)	3119.68 (2839.59 – 3399.78)	1.39
KZN3	Room TB	Waiting area	28.1	9.09(7.86 – 10.31)	255.41 (220.89 – 289.90)	27.69 (23.19 – 32.194)	7778.49 (651.97 – 904.99)	3.05
KZN4	Area L	Main indoor waiting area	50.2	25.69 (23.03 – 28.35)	1289.38 (1156.03 – 1422.68)	40.32 (34.25 – 46.39)	2023.68 (1719.09 – 2328.27)	1.57
KZN4	Space STU	Bloods room	51.8	7.73 (6.61 – 8.85)	400.34 (342.22 – 458.47)	18.09 (15.29 – 20.89)	936.98 (792.04 – 1081.93)	2.34
KZN6	Room KJ	Waiting area	68.3	13.71 (12.10 – 15.32)	936.88 (826.89 – 1046.87)	43.57 (39.42 – 47.73)	2976.71 (2692.93 – 3260.43)	3.18
KZN6	Room N	Consulting room	35.5	20.36 (19.99 – 20.74)	723.68 (710.49 – 736.86)	27.73 (26.27 – 29.19)	985.52 (933.70 – 1037.33)	1.36

*Unable to calculate fold increase as ACH under usual conditions was 0.

17/2/2022


Supplementary table 4: Ventilation rates using the rebreathed fraction approach using continuous measurements under usual and ideal conditions

Facility	Rooms	Volume of room	ACH under usual conditions (95% CI)	Absolute ventilation under usual conditions(95% CI) l/s	Absolute ventilation under usual conditions(95% C/l) m ³ /hr	ACH under ideal conditions (95% CI)	Absolute ventilation under ideal conditions (95% CI) l/s	Absolute ventilation under ideal conditions(95% C/l) m ³ /hr	Fold increase in ventilation
Facility WC1	Room WA2	509.6	3.09 (2.43 – 3.74)	436.71 (344.08 – 529.34)	1572.16 (1238.69 – 1905.62)	13.71 (9.46 – 17.96)	1940.78 (1339.33 - 2542.23)	6986.81 (4821.59 – 9152.03)	4.44
	Room WA4	157.3	9.13 (6.12 – 12.14)	399.14 (267.61 – 530.68)	1436.90 (963.40 – 1910.45)	59.29 (36.01 – 82.57)	2591.21 (1573.8 - 3608.62)	9328.36 (5665.68 – 12991.03)	6.49
Facility WC2	Room WA1	213.9	3.28 (2.37 – 4.19)	194.85 (140.96 – 248.73)	701.46 (507.456 – 895.43)	8.74 (5.91 – 11.58)	519.53 (351.24 - 687.82)	1870.31 (1264.46 – 2476.15)	2.66
Facility WC3	Room X	549.0	4.36 (3.84 – 4.87)	664.3 (586.37 – 742.23)	2391.48 (2210.93 – 2672.03)	4.36 (3.84 – 4.87)	664.3 (586.37 - 742.23)	2391.48 (2210.93 – 2672.03)	1
Facility WC5	Room E	2147.3	2.08 (1.87 – 2.3)	1241.75 (1113.2 – 1370.29)	4470.3 (4007.52 – 4933.04)	2.54 (2.17 – 2.91)	1516.34 (1295.59 - 1737.09)	5458.82 (4664.12 – 6253.52)	1.22
Facility KZN1	Room MA	226.7	21.24 (19.18 – 23.3)	1337.53 (1207.89 – 1467.18)	4815.11 (4348.40 – 5281.85)	24.06 (17.35 – 30.78)	1515.17 (1092.53 - 1937.82)	5454.61 (3933.11 – 6976.15)	1.13
Facility KZN3	Room U	458.7	4.62 (4.00 – 5.23)	588.04 (509.63 – 666.44)	2116.94 (1834.67 – 2399.18)	6.07 (4.7 – 7.43)	772.79 (598.84 - 946.75)	2782.04 (2155.82 – 3408.3)	1.31
Facility KZN4	Space L	50.2	33.49 (28.51 – 38.46)	466.83 (397.52 – 536.14)	1680.59 (1431.07 – 1930.10)	107.22 (83.15 – 131.29)	1494.74 (1159.15 - 1830.33)	5381.06 (4172.94 – 6589.19)	3.20

17/2/2022

Figures


Supplementary Figure 1. An example of a tracer gas release experiment from Room C at KZN2 clinic (experiment 6 Monitor A). Following log transformation, the right hand side of the curve is approximately linear.



CO₂: carbon dioxide; PPM: parts per million

17/2/2022

Supplementary Figure 2: Histograms showing the distribution of temperatures and wind speeds in KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape during working hours from January 2018 - December 2020. Vertical lines shows the mean temperatures and wind speeds on the 8 days when the rebreathed approach experiments were conducted



17/2/2022

Supplementary Figure 3: The association between wind speed at the nearest weather station and the number of air changes per hour in 26 clinical spaces. Measurements were taken both under usual conditions (Beta: 1.56, 95% CI [-1.98 – 5.10]; R²: 0.03) and ideal conditions (Beta: 3.96, 95 CI [0.23 – 7.70]; R²: 0.17)

