COVID-19 Disease Model with Reservoir of Infection : Cleaning Surfaces and Wearing Masks Strategies

FATIHA NAJM
Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Tofail University
Campus Universitaire, Kenitra, Morocco
fatiha.najm@uit.ac.ma

RADOUANE YAFIA
Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Tofail University
Campus Universitaire, Kenitra, Morocco
radouane.yafia@uit.ac.ma

M. A. AZIZ-ALAOUI
Normandie Univ, France; ULH, LMAH, F-76600 Le Havre
FR-CNRS-3335, ISCN, 25 rue Ph. Lebon, 76600 Le Havre, France
aziz.alaoui@univ-lehavre.fr

AHMED AGHRICHE
Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Tofail University
Campus Universitaire, Kenitra, Morocco
aghriche87@gmail.com

Abstract

At the end of 2019 a new coronavirus (called SARS-COV-2) epidemic appears in china and spreads from China to the rest of the world at beginning of 2020 and caused a new disease called $COVID-19$. It's well known that, $COVID-19$ disease spreads between humans through the air by coughing and sneezing or by contact. In this paper, we develop a mathematical SIR model which takes into account the effect of disease transmission by coughing and sneezing and the period of latency which is represented by time delays. We prove that, there is non effect of latency period on the dynamics of the propagation and transmission of the coronavirus, and for some critical value of the basic reproduction number a transcritical bifurcation may occur and the disease disappears for values smaller than this critical value and persist otherwise. In the end, we carry out some numerical simulations in order to illustrate our theoretical results. Our study confirm that, cleaning surfaces and wearing masks is a controlling strategy for limiting the propagation of $COVID-19$.

Keywords: SIR Model, latence period, DDE, transcritical bifurcation, basic reproduction number R_0.

1. Introduction and mathematical model

Coronavirus $2019-nCoV$ (SARS-COV-2) is one of the largest family of coronavirus, it was firstly identified in Wuhan (China) on December 2019 and spreads to the rest of the world. Till now it causes $139,816,716$ infected cases and $3,002,419$ death and $118,843,964.M$ recovered around the world and becomes a major

global health concern. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) the disease caused by $2019-nCoV$ virus (called $COVID-19$ by WHO on February 2020) spreads between humans through air by coughing and sneezing or by contacting surfaces which containing the drops resulting by coughing and sneezing [WHO , 2020]. Humans people who are infected with $COVID-19$ have developed mild to severe respiratory illness with symptoms including fever, cough, shortness of breath, and potentially respiratory distress $2-14$ days after exposure. In the last months, some authors introduce some mathematical models to better understanding the behaviour of $COVID-19$ disease and how to control its spread, for example [Chen *et al.*, 2020; Jia *et al.*, 2020; Shao *et al.*, 2020]. According to statista [statista , 2020], its well known that the mortality rate is about 2%, the infection index is between 1.5 and 3.5, the critical cases is 6.1% and the mortality rate is 15% for persons with age bigger than 80 years. Based on some studies given in [worldometers , 2020], the incubation period of $COVID-19$ varies between 2 and 14 days (see Table 1), but a case with an incubation period of 27 days was reported by Hubei Province local government on Feb. 22 and another case with an incubation period of 19 days was reported in JAMA study of five cases published on Feb. 21 [Chinese provincial government , 2020]. Then, knowing the range of the incubation period is very important for health authorities in order to introduce more effective quarantine systems, for people suspected of carrying the virus, best controlling and preventing the spread of the virus. In the following table 1, we resume the incubation period of some coronavirus family.

In the present work, we construct a mathematical COVID-19 model of type SIR, which takes into account

Virus	Incubation period
SARS-COV-2	2-19 days or 0-24 days
SARS	2-7 days
MERS	2-14 days

the effect of latency period and a reservoir of infection. Our model is given by a system of differential equations with two delays as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS}{dt} = \Lambda - \beta_s S I_\tau - \beta_W S W_\nu - \mu_s S \\ \frac{dI}{dt} = \beta_s S I_\tau + \beta_W S W_\nu - (\gamma + \mu_I) I \\ \frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I - \mu_R R \\ \frac{dW}{dt} = \mu_W I - \varepsilon W \\ S(0) = S_0 \geq 0, I(s) = \varphi(s), s \in [-\tau, 0], R(0) = R_0 \geq 0, W(s) = \xi(s), s \in [-\nu, 0]. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Where S, I and R are the total number of susceptible, infected and recovered populations respectively. W is the concentration of SARS-COV-2 virus caused by humans, resulting by coughing and sneezing. All parameters of the model are positive and defined in Table 2. As the equation of recovered population R depends only on infected population I, it suffices to study the following reduced system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS}{dt} = \Lambda - \beta_s S I_\tau - \beta_W S W_\nu - \mu_s S \\ \frac{dI}{dt} = \beta_s S I_\tau + \beta_W S W_\nu - (\gamma + \mu_I) I \\ \frac{dW}{dt} = \mu_W I - \varepsilon W \\ S(0) = S_0 \geq 0, I(s) = \varphi(s), s \in [-\tau, 0], W(s) = \xi(s), s \in [-\nu, 0]. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the positivity, boundedness of solutions and the existence of equilibria. The stability analysis without delays of the corresponding equilibria is established in Section 3 by applying direct and indirect Lyapunov method, we prove also the occurrence of a transcritical bifurcation for some critical value of the basic reproduction number R_0. In Section 4 and 5, we consider the model with one and two delays respectively and we study the stability of equilibrium points. In Section 6 we prove how parameters can affect the basic reproduction number R_0 by sensitivity analysis. Some numerical simulations are given in Section 7 in order to confirm and illustrate our analytical results. Finally, some biological and mathematical conclusions are summarized in the last Section.

Parameters	Epidemiological interpretation
Λ	Birth rate parameter of S population
β_s	Transmission rate from I to S
β_W	Transmission rate from W to S
μ_s	Death rate of people S
γ	Recovery rate
μ_I	Death rate of I population
μ_R	Death rate of R population
μ_W	Shedding coefficients from I to W
$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$	Lifetime of the virus in W
τ	Latency period
ν	Time needed for a population S to become infected by coughing and sneezing

2. Boundedness of solutions and equilibria

For system (2) to be biologically meaningful, it is necessary to show the nonnegativity and boundedness of solutions.

Proposition 1. *The set $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ is positively invariant with respect to system (2) with $(\tau = \mu = 0)$. Furthermore, all solutions of (2) are uniformly bounded in the compact subset.*

$$\Gamma = \left\{ (S, I, W) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : S + I \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu_S},\ W \leq \frac{\mu_W \Lambda}{\varepsilon \mu_S} \right\}. \tag{3}$$

Proof. From the first equation of system (2), we have

$$S(t) = S(0) \times exp(\int_0^t -\phi(s)ds) + exp(\int_0^t -\phi(s)ds) \times \int_0^t \Lambda \times exp(\int_0^u \phi(l)dl)du.$$

Thus $S(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$. To establish that $\forall t > 0$, $I(t) > 0$, $W(t) > 0$ whenever $I(0) > 0$, $W(0) > 0$, the above arguments can not be easily implemented. We then use an alternative trick. We Consider the following sub-equations related to the time evolution of variables

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dI}{dt} = \beta_s SI + \beta_W SW - (\gamma + \mu_I)I \\ \frac{dW}{dt} = \mu_W I - \varepsilon W \\ I(0) > 0, W(0) > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$\dot{X}(t) = AX(t)$$

where $X = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ W \end{pmatrix}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_s S - (\gamma + \mu_I) & \beta_W S \\ \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$.
From the expression of A, its a Metzler matrix and its exponential is positive. Then we deduce the positivity of $I(t)$ and $W(t)$ whenever $I(0) > 0$ and $W() > 0$. This proves the positively invariant property of $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ with respect to system (2).
Let $N(t) = S(t) + I(t)$, then

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \Lambda - \mu_S S - (\gamma + \mu_I)I \leq \Lambda - \mu_S N.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{t \longrightarrow \infty} N(t) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu_S}.$$

This implies that S and I are uniformly bounded in the region Γ. Furthermore, from the bound of I and the last equation of (2), it follows that

$$\limsup_{t\longrightarrow\infty} W(t) \leq \frac{\mu_W \Lambda}{\varepsilon \mu_S}.$$

This guarantees the boundedness of W. This completes the proof. ■

The equilibrium points of model (2) are obtained by solving the algebraic system obtained by cancelling all derivatives of $S(t), I(t)$ and $W(t)$. Thus:

(1) The disease free equilibrium (DFE) is: $E_0 = (S_0, 0, 0) = (\frac{\Lambda}{\mu_S}, 0, 0)$.
(2) The endemic equilibrium is: $E_1 = (S^*, I^*, W^*)$, with its components given by

$$S^* = \frac{\varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I)}{\varepsilon\beta_S + \beta_W \mu_W}, \quad W^* = \frac{\mu_W}{\varepsilon} I^* \quad \text{and} \quad I^* = \frac{\Lambda}{\gamma + \mu_I} - \frac{\varepsilon \mu_S}{\varepsilon\beta_S + \beta_W \mu_W}. \tag{5}$$

The endemic equilibrium E_1 lies in the positive orthant if the basic reproduction number R_0 of model (2) is greater than 1, it is given by:

$$R_0 = \frac{\Lambda(\varepsilon\beta_S + \beta_W \mu_W)}{\mu_s \varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I)}. \tag{6}$$

Proposition 2.

- *If $R_0 \leq 1$, the system (2) has only the disease free equilibrium $E_0 = (S_0, 0, 0)$.*
- *If $R_0 > 1$, in addition to E_0 the system (2) has a unique endemic equilibrium $E_1 = (S^*, I^*, W^*)$ which is positive.*

3. Model without delay

Suppose $\tau = \nu = 0$, system (2) is written as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS}{dt} = \Lambda - \beta_s SI - \beta_W SW - \mu_s S \\ \frac{dI}{dt} = \beta_s SI + \beta_W SW - (\gamma + \mu_I) I \\ \frac{dW}{dt} = \mu_W I - \varepsilon W \\ S(0) = S_0 \geq 0, \ I(0) = I_0 \geq 0, W(0) = W_0 \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

3.1. *Stability Analysis*

The aim of this section is to analyze the stability of the two equilibria E_0 and E_1.

Linearizing system (7) around an equilibrium point $E = (S, I, W)$, we get the following jacobian matrix:

$$J_{E=(S,I,W)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta_S I - \beta_W W - \mu_S & -\beta_S S & -\beta_W S \\ \beta_S I + \beta_W W & \beta_S S - \gamma - \mu_I & \beta_W S \\ 0 & \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

Replacing E by E_0 and calculating the characteristic equation, we have

$$\det(\lambda I - J_{E_0}) = (\lambda + \mu_S)(\lambda^2 - Tr(J^{11}_{E_0})\lambda + \det(J^{11}_{E_0})) \tag{8}$$

where

$$J^{11}_{E_0} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_S S_0 - \gamma - \mu_I & \beta_W S_0 \\ \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Tr(J^{11}_{E_0}) = \beta_S S_0 - \gamma - \mu_I - \varepsilon$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\det(J^{11}_{E_0}) &= \varepsilon(-\beta_S S_0 + \gamma + \mu_I) - \mu_W \beta_W S_0 \\ &= \varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I)(1 - R_0).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the following result.

Proposition 3. *If $R_0 < 1$, the disease free equilibrium E_0 is asymptotically stable and it becomes unstable if $R_0 > 1$.*

Proof. If $R_0 < 1$, then $Tr(J^{11}_{E_0}) < 0$ and $\det(J^{11}_{E_0}) > 0$, then the characteristic equation (8) does not admit a real strictly positive root.
If $R_0 > 1$, then $\det(J^{11}_{E_0}) < 0$. So, the characteristic equation (8) has at least one positive root. ■

Proposition 4. *If $R_0 > 1$, the endemic equilibrium E_1 is asymptotically stable.*

Proof. Let $R_0 > 1$ and we compute the characteristic equation associated to E_1. The Jacobian matrix at E_1 is given by

$$J_{E^*=(S^*,I^*,W^*)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\Lambda}{S^*} & -\beta_S S^* & -\beta_W S^* \\ (\gamma+\mu_I)\frac{I^*}{S^*} & -\beta_W \frac{S^*W^*}{I^*} & \beta_W S^* \\ 0 & \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

and the characteristic equation associated to E_1 is as follows:

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + a_2\lambda + a_1\lambda + a_0, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \varepsilon + \beta_W \frac{S^*W^*}{I^*} + \frac{\Lambda}{S^*} \\ a_1 &= \frac{\Lambda}{S^*}(\varepsilon + \beta_W \frac{S^*W^*}{I^*}) + \beta_S(\gamma+\mu_I)I^* \\ a_0 &= (\gamma+\mu_I)(\varepsilon\beta_S + \mu_W\beta_W)I^*. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $R_0 > 1$, then the coefficients a_0, a_1 and a_2 are all strictly positive, then the polynomial P does not admit a real strictly positive root. ■

Proposition 5. *If $R_0 > 1$, the endemic equilibrium E_1 is globally asymptotically stable.*

Proof. Define a Lyapunov functional as follows:

$$V(t) = S(t) - S^* - \int_{S^*}^{S(t)} \frac{S^*}{Z} dZ + I^*\Phi\left(\frac{I(t)}{I^*}\right) + \frac{\beta_W S^* W^*}{\varepsilon}\Phi\left(\frac{W(t)}{W^*}\right), \tag{10}$$

where $\Phi(Z) = Z - 1 - \ln Z > 0$, for $Z > 0$. It is obvious that Φ attains its strict global minimum at 1 and $\Phi(1) = 0$. Then $\Phi(Z) > 0$ and the functional V is nonnegative.

For convenience, let $\psi = \psi(t)$ for any $\psi \in \{S, I, W\}$.
Differentiating V with respect to t along the solutions of (7), we obtain

$$\dot{V}(t)|_{(7)} = \left(1 - \frac{S^*}{S}\right)\frac{dS}{dt} + \left(1 - \frac{I^*}{I}\right)\frac{dI}{dt} + \frac{\beta_W S^*}{\varepsilon}\left(1 - \frac{W^*}{W}\right)\frac{dW}{dt}. \tag{11}$$

Using $\Lambda = \mu_S S^* + (\gamma+\mu_I)I^*$ and $\mu_W I^* = \varepsilon W^*$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t)|_{(7)} &= \mu_S S^*\left(1 - \tfrac{S}{S^*}\right)\left(1 - \tfrac{S^*}{S}\right) + \beta_S S^* I^*\left(2 - \tfrac{S^*}{S} - \tfrac{S}{S^*}\right) \\ &+ \beta_W S^* W^*\left(3 - \tfrac{S^*}{S} - \frac{SWI^*}{S^*W^*I} - \tfrac{W^*I}{WI^*}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}(t)|_{(7)} &= \mu_S S^* \left(1-\tfrac{S}{S^*}\right)\left(1-\tfrac{S^*}{S}\right) - \beta_S S^* I^* \left[\Phi\left(\tfrac{S^*}{S}\right)+\Phi\left(\tfrac{S}{S^*}\right)\right] \\ &\quad -\beta_W S^* W^* \left[\Phi\left(\tfrac{S^*}{S}\right)+\Phi\left(\tfrac{W^*I}{WI^*}\right)+\Phi\left(\tfrac{SWI^*}{S^*W^*I}\right)\right] \\ &= \mu_S S^* \left(1-\tfrac{S}{S^*}\right)\left(1-\tfrac{S^*}{S}\right) \\ &\quad -\beta_S S^* I^* \left[2\Phi\left(\tfrac{S^*}{S}\right)+\Phi\left(\tfrac{S}{S^*}\right)+\Phi\left(\tfrac{W^*I}{WI^*}\right)+\Phi\left(\tfrac{SWI^*}{S^*W^*I}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Since $\left(1-\frac{S}{S^*}\right)\left(1-\frac{S^*}{S}\right) \leq 0$ and $\Phi(Z) \geq 0$ for $Z > 0$, we deduce that $\dot{V}(t)|_{(7)} \leq 0$ and the equality occurs at the endemic equilibrium E_1. Consequently, the global asymptotic stability of E_1 follows from LaSalle's invariance principle [LaSalle, 1976]. ■

3.2. *Analysis at* $R_0 = 1$

In this section, we state and prove the following theorem which characterizes the occurrence of transcritical bifurcation at $R_0 = 1$.

Theorem 1. *The disease free equilibrium E_0 changes its stability from stable to unstable at $R_0 = 1$ and system (7) exhibits a transcritical bifurcation at bifurcation parameter value $\Lambda = \Lambda^* = \dfrac{\mu_S \varepsilon(\gamma+\mu_I)}{\varepsilon\beta_S + \beta_W \mu_W}$.*

Proof. Linearized matrix of system (7) around E_0 at the bifurcation parameter value $\Lambda = \Lambda^* = \dfrac{\mu_S \varepsilon(\gamma+\mu_I)}{\varepsilon\beta_S + \beta_W \mu_W}$ is given by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_S & -\beta_S \frac{\Lambda^*}{\mu_S} & -\beta_W \frac{\Lambda^*}{\mu_S} \\ 0 & \beta_S \frac{\Lambda^*}{\mu_S} - \gamma - \mu_I & \beta_W \frac{\Lambda^*}{\mu_S} \\ 0 & \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix J has a simple zero eigenvalue at $R_0 = 1$, (see characteristic equation 8) and the others eigenvalues have negative real part. At this stage the linearization techniques fail to conclude the behaviour of system (7). Center Manifold Theory is used to study the behaviour of non-hyperbolic equilibrium. Then from Theorem 1 of Castillo-Chavez and Song [Castillo-Chavez & Song, 2004] the bifurcation constants a_1 and b_1 are given by

$$a_1 = \sum_{k,i,j=1}^{n} v_k w_i w_j \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)_{E_0} \tag{14}$$

and

$$b_1 = \sum_{k,i=1}^{n} v_k w_i \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial \Lambda^*}\right)_{E_0}. \tag{15}$$

A right eigenvector associated with 0 eigenvalue is $w = \left[\dfrac{-\Lambda^*}{\mu_S}\left(\dfrac{\beta_S\varepsilon + \beta_W\mu_W}{\mu_W}\right), \dfrac{\varepsilon}{\mu_W}, 1\right]^T$ and the left eigenvector v satisfying $v.w = 1$ is $v = \left[0, \dfrac{\mu_W\mu_S + \Lambda^*(\beta_S\varepsilon + \beta_W\mu_W)}{\varepsilon\mu_S}, \dfrac{-\Lambda^*}{\mu_S}\left(\dfrac{\beta_S\varepsilon + \beta_W\mu_W}{\mu_W}\right)\right]$. Algebraic calculations show that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = -\beta_S, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} = -\beta_W, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = \beta_S, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} = \beta_W \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_1 \partial \Lambda^*} = \frac{\beta_W}{\mu_S}.$$

The rest of the second derivatives appearing in the formula for a_1 in (14) and b_1 in (15) are all zero. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= v_2 w_1 w_2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}\right) + v_2 w_1 w_3 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}\right) \\
&= \frac{-\Lambda^*}{\mu_S}\left(\frac{\beta_S\varepsilon + \beta_W\mu_W}{\mu_W}\right)\frac{\mu_W\mu_S + \Lambda^*(\beta_S\varepsilon + \beta_W\mu_W)}{\varepsilon\mu_S}\left(\frac{\varepsilon\beta_S}{\mu_W} + \beta_W\right) \\
&= \frac{-\Lambda^*}{\mu_S}\left(\frac{\beta_S\varepsilon + \beta_W\mu_W}{\mu_W}\right)^2\frac{\mu_W\mu_S + \Lambda^*(\beta_S\varepsilon + \beta_W\mu_W)}{\varepsilon\mu_S} < 0. \\
b_1 &= v_2 w_2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x_1 \partial \Lambda^*}\right) \\
&= \frac{\mu_W\mu_S + \Lambda^*(\beta_S\varepsilon + \beta_W\mu_W)}{\varepsilon\mu_S}\frac{\varepsilon\beta_W}{\mu_W\mu_S} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that at $R_0 = 1$, disease free equilibrium E_0 changes stability from stable to unstable and endemic equilibrium E_1 exists when R_0 crosses the threshold value one. This emphasizes that, the system exhibts transcritical bifurcation at $R_0 = 1$. ■

4. Model with one delay τ

We suppose $\tau > 0$ and $\nu = 0$, system (1) is written as

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dS}{dt} = \Lambda - \beta_s S I_\tau - \beta_W S W - \mu_s S \\
\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta_s S I_\tau + \beta_W S W - (\gamma + \mu_I) I \\
\frac{dW}{dt} = \mu_W I - \varepsilon W \\
S(0) = S_0 \geq 0, I(s) = \varphi(s), s \in [-\tau, 0], W(0) = W_0 \geq 0.
\end{cases} \tag{16}$$

4.1. *Local stability of disease free equilibrium*

Linearizing system (16) around the disease free equilibrium $E_0 = (S_0, 0, 0)$, we get

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = L_0 X(t) + L_\tau X(t-\tau),$$

where X is a vector composed by state variables S, I, W and

$$L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_S & 0 & -\beta_W S_0 \\ 0 & -(\gamma+\mu_I) & \beta_W S_0 \\ 0 & \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta_S S_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_S S_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

The associated characteristic equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle(\lambda) &= det(\lambda I - L_0 - e^{-\lambda\tau} L_\tau) \\
&= (\lambda + \mu_S)\left[P_0(\lambda) + Q_0(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau}\right] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\lambda_1 = -\mu_S$ is a root of the characteristic equation, so the study of stability of E_0 is reduced to the study of the roots of equation

$$P_0(\lambda) + Q_0(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0(\lambda) &= \lambda^2 + (\gamma + \mu_I + \varepsilon)\lambda + \varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I) - \mu_W\beta_W S_0, \\
Q_0(\lambda) &= -\beta_S S_0 \lambda - \varepsilon\beta_S S_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Define F by $F(y) = |P_0(iy)|^2 - |Q_0(iy)|^2$, (see [Cooke & van den Driessche, 1986]), then we have

$$F(Y) = Y^2 + b_1 Y + b_0,$$

with $Y = y^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= (\gamma + \mu_I)^2 + (\beta_S S_0)^2 + \varepsilon^2 + 2\mu_W \beta_W S_0, \\ b_0 &= \big(\varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I) - \mu_W \beta_W S_0\big)^2 + (\varepsilon \beta_S S_0)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_1 > 0, b_2 > 0$, the function F does not admit a real strictly positive root. So there is no change in stability.

Therefore, we summarize the above discussions in the following result.

Proposition 6. *The disease free equilibrium E_0 is asymptotically stable for all $\tau > 0$.*

4.2. *Local stability of endemic equilibrium*

In this section, we study the local asymptotic stability of the endemic equilibrium $E_1 = (S^*, I^*, W^*)$ of system (16) considering latency period.

The linearized system of (16) around E_1 is given by:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = J_0 X(t) + J_\tau X(t - \tau),$$

where

$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta_S I^* - \beta_W W^* - \mu_S & 0 & -\beta_W S^* \\ \beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* & -(\gamma + \mu_I) & \beta_W S^* \\ 0 & \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta_S S^* & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_S S^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The associated characteristic equation to E_1 is as follows

$$P_1(\lambda) + Q_1(\lambda) e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(\lambda) &= \lambda^3 + \alpha_2 \lambda^2 + \alpha_1 \lambda + \alpha_0, \\ Q_1(\lambda) &= c_2 \lambda^2 + c_1 \lambda + c_0, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 &= \beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S + \gamma + \mu_I + \varepsilon, \\ \alpha_1 &= \varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I) - \mu_W \beta_W S^* + (\beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S)(\gamma + \mu_I + \varepsilon), \\ \alpha_0 &= \varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I)(\beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S) - \mu_S \mu_W \beta_W S^* \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &= -\beta_S S^*, \\ c_1 &= -(\varepsilon + \mu_S)\beta_S S^*, \\ c_0 &= -\varepsilon \beta_S \mu_S S^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since the endemic equilibrium E_1 is asymptotically stable for $\tau = 0$, (proposition (4)), by the continuity property, it's still asymptotically stable for small $\tau > 0$ [Cooke & van den Driessche, 1986; Boese, 1998]. To obtain the switch of stability, one needs to find a purely imaginary root for some critical value of τ. Let $i\omega$ ($\omega > 0$) be a root of Eq. (17), then we have

$$-i\omega^3 - \alpha_2 \omega^2 + i\alpha_1 \omega + \alpha_0 - (c_2 \omega^2 - i c_1 \omega - c_0)(\cos \omega\tau - i \sin \omega\tau) = 0. \tag{18}$$

Separating the real and imaginary parts, we find

$$\begin{cases} \omega^3 - \alpha_1 \omega &= c_1 \omega \cos \omega\tau + (c_2 \omega^2 - c_0) \sin \omega\tau \\ \alpha_2 \omega^2 - \alpha_0 &= -(c_2 \omega^2 - c_0) \cos \omega\tau + c_1 \omega \sin \omega\tau. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Adding up the squares of both the equations, we obtain

$$\omega^6 + B_2\omega^4 + B_1\omega^2 + B_0 = 0. \tag{20}$$

Let $z = \omega^2$, eq. (20) becomes

$$f(z) = z^3 + B_2 z^2 + B_1 z + B_0 = 0, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &= \alpha_2^2 - c_2^2 - 2\alpha_1, \\ B_1 &= 2(c_0c_2 - \alpha_0\alpha_2) - (c_1^2 - \alpha_1^2), \\ B_0 &= \alpha_0^2 - c_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let the hypothesis:

$$(H) : B_0 > 0,\ B_2 > 0 \text{ and } B_2B_1 - B_0 > 0. \tag{22}$$

Proposition 7. *If $R_0 > 1$ and (H) is satisfied, then endemic equilibrium E_1 is asymptotically stable for all $\tau > 0$.*

Proof. The proof is deduced from the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion [Chebotarev & Meiman, 1949]. ■

5. Model with two delays $\tau = \nu > 0$

We consider $\tau = \nu > 0$, the model (2) is written as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS}{dt} = \Lambda - \beta_s S I_\tau - \beta_W S W_\tau - \mu_s S \\ \frac{dI}{dt} = \beta_s S I_\tau + \beta_W S W_\tau - (\gamma + \mu_I) I \\ \frac{dW}{dt} = \mu_W I - \varepsilon W \\ S(0) = S_0 \geq 0, I(s) = \varphi(s), W(s) = \xi(s), s \in [-\tau, 0]. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

5.1. *Local stability of E_0*

Linearising the system (23) around the disease free equilibrium E_0, we get

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = M_0 X(t) + M_\tau X(t-\tau),$$

where,

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_S & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\gamma+\mu_I) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta_S S_0 & -\beta_W S_0 \\ 0 & \beta_S S_0 & \beta_W S_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the corresponding characteristic characteristic equation is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} det(\lambda I - M_0 - e^{-\lambda\tau} M_\tau) &= (\lambda + \mu_S)\left[P_2(\lambda) + Q_2(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau}\right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Since $\lambda_1 = -\mu_S$ is a root of the characteristic equation, so the study of stability of E_0 is reduced to the study of the roots of

$$P_2(\lambda) + Q_2(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0, \tag{25}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(\lambda) &= \lambda^2 + (\gamma + \mu_I + \varepsilon)\lambda + \varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I), \\ Q_2(\lambda) &= -S_0(\beta_S\lambda + \varepsilon\beta_S + \mu_W\beta_W). \end{aligned}$$

Define G by $G(y) = |P_2(iy)|^2 - |Q_2(iy)|^2$ and we have

$$G(Y) = Y^2 + d_1 Y + d_0, \tag{26}$$

with $Y = y^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \gamma + \mu_I + \varepsilon + (S_0\beta_S)^2 - 2\varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I), \\ d_0 &= \varepsilon^2(\gamma + \mu_I)^2 - \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu_S}\right)^2 (\varepsilon\beta_S + \mu_W\beta_W)^2, \\ &= \varepsilon^2(\gamma + \mu_I)^2(1 - R_0^2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, if $R_0 > 1$ then $G(0) = d_0 < 0$. As the function G is continuous and $\lim_{Y\to\infty} G(Y) = +\infty$. Then, equation (26) has at least one positive root, denoted by Y_0. Consequently, the characteristic equation (24) has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm iy_0$. Hence the following result.

Proposition 8. *The disease free equilibrium E_0 is stable if $R_0 \leq 1$ and unstable when $R_0 > 1$ for all time delay $\tau > 0$.*

5.2. *Local stability of E_1*

In this part, we study the local asymptotic stability of the endemic equilibrium E_1 of system (23). By linearising system (23) at E_1, we get

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = J_0^* X(t) + J_\tau^* X(t-\tau),$$

where

$$J_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta_S I^* - \beta_W W^* - \mu_S & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* & -(\gamma + \mu_I) & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_W & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J_\tau^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta_S S^* & -\beta_W S^* \\ 0 & \beta_S S^* & \beta_W S^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get the following corresponding characteristic equation

$$(\lambda + \beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S)\big[P^*(\lambda) + Q^*(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau}\big]0. \tag{27}$$

Since $\lambda = -(\beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S)$ is a root of the characteristic equation, so the study of stability of E_1 is reduced to the study of the roots of

$$P^*(\lambda) + Q^*(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0, \tag{28}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(\lambda) &= \lambda^3 + \alpha_2^*\lambda^2 + \alpha_1^*\lambda + \alpha_0^*, \\ Q^*(\lambda) &= \delta_2^*\lambda^2 + \delta_1^*\lambda + \delta_0^*. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2^* &= \beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S + \gamma + \mu_I + \varepsilon, \\ \alpha_1^* &= (\beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S)(\gamma + \mu_I + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I), \\ \alpha_0^* &= \varepsilon(\gamma + \mu_I)(\beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2 &= -\beta_S S^*, \\ \delta_1 &= -\big(\beta_S S^*(\beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S + \varepsilon) + \mu_W\beta_W S^*\big), \\ \delta_0 &= (\varepsilon - 1)\beta_S S^*(\beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^*) - \mu_S(\beta_S S^* + \mu_W\beta_W W^*). \end{aligned}$$

Since the endemic equilibrium E_1 is asymptotically stable for $\tau = 0$, by the continuity property, it's still asymptotically stable for small $\tau > 0$, (see proposition 4). To obtain the switch of stability, one needs to

find a purely imaginary root for some critical value of τ.
Let $i\omega$ ($\omega > 0$) be a root of Eq. (28), then we have

$$-i\omega^3 - \alpha_2^*\omega^2 + \alpha_1^* i\omega + \alpha_0^* + (-\delta_2\omega^2 + \delta_1 i\omega + \delta_0)(\cos\omega\tau - i\sin\omega\tau) = 0. \tag{29}$$

Separating the real and imaginary parts, we find

$$\begin{cases} \omega^3 - \alpha_1^*\omega = \delta_2\omega^2\sin\omega\tau + \delta_1\omega\cos\omega\tau - \delta_0\sin\omega\tau \\ \alpha_2^*\omega^2 - \alpha_0^* = -\delta_2 w^2\cos\omega\tau + \delta_0\cos\omega\tau + \delta_1\omega\sin\omega\tau. \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

Adding up the squares of both the equations, we obtain

$$\omega^6 + A_2^*\omega^4 + A_1^*\omega^2 + A_0^* = 0. \tag{31}$$

Let $z = \omega^2$, then equation (31) becomes

$$h(z) = z^3 + A_2^* z^2 + A_1^* z + A_0^* = 0, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^* &= (\alpha_2^*)^2 - 2\alpha_1^*, \\ &= (\beta_S I^* + \beta_W W^* + \mu_S)^2 + (\gamma + \mu_I)^2 + \varepsilon^2, \\ A_1^* &= (\alpha_1^*)^2 - \delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2 + 2(\delta_0\delta_2 - \alpha_0^*\alpha_2^*), \\ A_0^* &= (\alpha_0^*)^2 - \delta_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_2^* > 0$, let the hypothesis:

$$(H_3) : A_0^* > 0 \text{ and } A_2^* A_1^* - A_0^* > 0. \tag{33}$$

Proposition 9. *If $R_0 > 1$ and (H_3) is satisfied, then endemic equilibrium E_1 is asymptotically stable for all $\tau = \nu > 0$.*

Proof. The proof is deduced from the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion [Chebotarev & Meiman, 1949]. ■

6. Sensitivity Analysis

As we saw in Section 2, the basic reproduction number for the COVID-19 model (2), which we propose in Section 1, is given by (6). The sensitivity analysis for the endemic threshold (6) tells us how important each parameter is to disease transmission. This information is crucial not only for experimental design, but also to data assimilation and reduction of complex models [Powell *et al.* , 2005]. Sensitivity analysis is commonly used to determine the robustness of model predictions to parameters values, since there are usually errors in collected data and presumed parameters values. It is used to discover parameters that have a high impact on the threshold R_0 and should be targeted by intervention strategies. More accurately, sensitivity indices allows us to measure the relative changes in a variable when a parameter changes. For that purpose, we use the normalized forward sensitivity index of a variable with respect to a given parameter, which is defined as the ratio of the relative change in the variable to the relative change in the parameter. If such variable is differentiable with respect to the parameter, then the sensitivity index is defined as follows.

Definition 6.1. [Ngoteya & Gyekye, 2015] The normalized forward sensitivity index of R_0 , which is differentiable with respect to a given parameter θ, is defined by

$$\Upsilon_\theta^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial\theta}\frac{\theta}{R_0}.$$

The values of the sensitivity indices for parameters values of Table 4, are presented in Table 3.

Note that the sensitivity index may depend on several parameters of the system, but also can be constant, independent of any parameter. For example, $\Upsilon_\theta^{R_0} = +1$ means that increasing (decreasing) θ by a given percentage increases (decreases) always R_0 by that same percentage. The estimation of

Parameter	Sensitivity index	Index at parameters value
Λ	$+1$	$+1$
β_S	$\dfrac{\varepsilon\beta_S}{\varepsilon\beta_S+\beta_W\mu_W}$	0.7500
β_W	$\dfrac{\mu_W\beta_W}{\varepsilon\beta_S+\beta_W\mu_W}$	0.25
μ_S	-1	-1
γ	$-\dfrac{\gamma}{\gamma+\mu_I}$	-0.1818
μ_I	$-\dfrac{\mu_I}{\gamma+\mu_I}$	-0.8182
μ_W	$\dfrac{\beta_W}{\varepsilon\beta_S+\beta_W\mu_W}$	8.3333
ε	$\dfrac{\varepsilon\beta_S}{\varepsilon\beta_S+\beta_W\mu_W}-\dfrac{\gamma}{\gamma+\mu_I}$	0.5682

a sensitive parameter should be carefully done, since a small perturbation in such parameter leads to relevant quantitative changes. On the other hand, the estimation of a parameter with a rather small value for the sensitivity index does not require as much attention to estimate, because a small perturbation in that parameter leads to small changes.

From Table 3, we conclude that the most sensitive parameters to the basic reproduction number R_0 of $COVID-19$ model (2) are $\Lambda, \beta_S, \mu_S, \mu_I$ and μ_W . In concrete, an increase of the value of β_S by (100%)will increase the basic reproduction number by 75% and this happens, in a similar way, for the parameters Λ and μ_W. In contrast, an increase of the value of μ_I by (100%) will decrease R_0 by 81.82% and this happens, in a similar way, for the parameter μ_S.

7. Numerical simulations

In this section, we aim to provide a numerical simulation to substantiate the theoretical results established in the previous sections by using Matlab Software with the parameters given in table 4. Here, we consider

parameter	value
Λ	$0-0.7$
β_S	0.09
β_W	$0-0.7$
μ_S	0.03
γ	0.02
μ_I	0.09
μ_W	0.03
ε	$0-0.5$

W be a virtual reservoir population that fits to scaling of the population amounts and that is fitting by the infected (as stated) and decreases over time if no further input occurs.

8. Conclusions

In this work, we have proposed and investigated a new model SIR that describes the transmission dynamics of Corona virus (COVID-19), which takes into account the effect of disease transmission by coughing and sneezing and the period of latency. The well-posedness of the proposed model and the stability analysis of equilibria are rigorously studied. More precisely, we have established the existence, uniqueness, non

Fig. 1. Stability of E_0 and non existence of E_1 for $\tau \geq 0$ and $\nu \geq 0$ (left) and Instability of E_0 and stability of E_1 for $\tau \geq 0$ and $\nu \geq 0$ (right).



Fig. 2. Temporal evolution of S, I and W for different values of Λ. If Λ increases, the number R_0 increases which imply the increasing of the infected population and the virus concentration.

negativity, and boundedness of solutions. By using appropriate Lyapunov functional and linearisation technique, we have proved the first steady state E_0 is asymptotically stable when $R_0 \leq 1$, which means that the disease dies out in the population. However, when $R_0 > 1$, E_0 becomes unstable and the model has an endemic steady state E_1 which is asymptotically stable (Fig. 1). This leads to the persistence of disease in the population when $R_0 > 1$.

From figures 2, 3, 4 and 5; the best strategy to stop the propagation of $COVID-19$ disease is to decrease the concentration of coronavirus, by cleaning surfaces and make the wearing of masks mandatory for all infected people in order to limiting the transmission of the virus from infected population to surfaces and from surfaces to susceptible population.



Fig. 3. Temporal evolution of S, I and W for different values of β_W. If β_W increases, the number R_0 increases which imply the increasing of infected population and virus concentration.



Fig. 4. Temporal evolution of S, I and W for different values of ϵ. If ϵ increases, the number R_0 decreases which imply the decreasing of infected population and virus concentration.



Fig. 5. Temporal evolution of S, I and W for different values of μ_W. If μ_W increases, the number R_0 increases which imply the increasing of infected population and virus concentration.

Then we deduce that, the strategies have the effect due to their modification of critical growth drives: i) wearing a mask reduces β_S and μ_W, and ii) cleaning surfaces reduces β_W. What could be made more clear is that it may be important to use both strategies together.

Funding: This research was supported by CNRST (Cov/2020/102).

References

Boese, F.G. [1998] "Stability with Respect to the Delay: On a Paper of K. L. Cooke and P. van den Driessche," *Journal of Math. Anal. & App.*, **228(2)**, 293–321.

Castillo-Chavez, C. & Song, B. [2004] "Dynamical Models of Tuberculosis and Their Applications. The reemergence of tuberculosis," *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*,**1 (2)**.

Chebotarev, N. G. &. Meiman, N. N [1949] "The routh-hurwitz problem for polynomials and entire functions," *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*

Chen, T. M., Rui, J., Wang, Q. P., Zhao, Z. Y, Cui, J. A. & Ling Yin [2020] "A mathematical model for simulating the phase-based transmissibility of a novel coronavirus," *Infectious Diseases of Poverty* **9:24**.

Cooke, K. L. & van den Driessche, P. [1986] "On the zeroes of some transcendental equations," *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **29**, 77–90.

World Health Organization [2020] "Coronavirus. World Health Organization, cited January 19," *https://www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus.*

Jia, J. *et al.* [2020] "Modeling the control of $COVID-19$: impact of policy interventions and meteorological factors," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **23**, 1–24.

LaSalle, J. P. [1976] "The stability of dynamical systems," (Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia), Pa.

Ngoteya, F.N. & Gyekye, Y. N. [2015] "Sensitivity Analysis of Parameters in a Competition Model," *Appl. Comput. Math.*, **4(5)**, 363–368.

Shao, N., Cheng, J. & Chen. W, [2020] "The reproductive number R_0 of COVID-19 based on estimate of a statistical time delay dynamical system," *medRxiv*.

Powell, D.R., Fair, J., LeClaire, R. & Moore, L. [2005] "Sensitivity analysis of an infectious disease model", *Proceedings of the International System Dynamics Conference*.

Statista [2020] "https://fr.statista.com/themes/6050/le-coronavirus-covid-19/."

Worldometers [2020] "https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirus-incubation-period/".

Chinese provincial government says [2020] "Coronavirus incubation could be as long as 27 days," *Reuters* Feb. 22.